

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE SECOND JUDICIAL CIRCUIT,
IN AND FOR LEON COUNTY, FLORIDA

BLACK VOTERS MATTER CAPACITY
BUILDING INSTITUTE, INC., et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

LAUREL M. LEE, in her official capacity as
Florida Secretary of State, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 2022-ca-000666

**PLAINTIFFS' NOTICE OF FILING EXHIBITS IN SUPPORT OF
PLAINTIFFS' MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR
TEMPORARY INJUNCTION**

Plaintiffs hereby give notice of the filing of Exhibits 1 through 12 to Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Law in Support of Motion for Temporary Injunction, as follows:

Exhibits - Part 1	
Exhibit 1	Affidavit of John Devaney
Exhibit 1-A	General Election Official Results webpage
Exhibit 1-B	Article "Florida lawmakers look to avoid running afoul of courts when redrawing districts."
Exhibit 1-C	Article "Ron DeSantis eyes court fight over Florida congressional map to reduce minority seats."
Exhibit 1-D	October 11, 2021 – Senate Meeting Packet
Exhibit 1-E	November 2, 2021 – House Meeting Packet
Exhibit 1-F	Webpage "About Florida Redistricting"
Exhibit 1-G, Part 1	January 10, 2022 – Senate Meeting Packet

Exhibits - Part 2	
Exhibit 1-G, Part 2	January 10, 2022 – Senate Meeting Packet (Cont'd)
Exhibit 1-G, Part 3	January 10, 2022 – Senate Meeting Packet (Cont'd)

Exhibits - Part 3	
Exhibit 1-H, Part 1	November 16, 2021 – Senate Meeting Packet
Exhibit 1-H, Part 2	November 16, 2021 – Senate Meeting Packet (Cont'd)

Exhibits - Part 4	
Exhibit 1-H, Part 3	November 16, 2021 – Senate Meeting Packet (Cont'd)
Exhibit 1-I, Part 1	November 29, 2021 – Senate Meeting Packet

Exhibits - Part 5	
Exhibit 1-I, Part 2	November 29, 2021 – Senate Meeting Packet (Cont'd)

Exhibits - Part 6	
Exhibit 1-J	December 2, 2021 – House Meeting Packet
Exhibit 1-K	January 13, 2022 – House Meeting Packet
Exhibit 1-L	February 24, 2022 – House Meeting Packet
Exhibit 1-M	Article “DeSantis says he will not sign legislation that has ‘unconstitutional gerrymander.’”
Exhibit 1-N	Article “Florida GOP in conflict: DeSantis’ redistricting expert doesn’t convince House panel.”

Exhibit 1-O	Article “Special session: Florida lawmakers heeding Gov. DeSantis’s demand for new congressional map, enraging opponents.”
Exhibit 1-P	Article “Florida House rejects Gov. DeSantis in rare clash on political redistricting.”
Exhibit 1-Q	Bill Analysis and Fiscal Impact Statement of CS/SB 102 dated January 10, 2022
Exhibit 1-R	March 4, 2022 Tweet from Gov. DeSantis
Exhibit 1-S	Article “DeSantis continues redistricting feud with GOP lawmakers by vetoing congressional map.”
Exhibit 1-T	Article “Gov. DeSantis vetoes congressional redistricting maps passed by Florida lawmakers.”
Exhibit 1-U	Article “Florida Legislature gives up, asks DeSantis for congressional maps.”
Exhibit 1-V	April 20, 2022 Transcript of House Legislative Session on Redistricting
Exhibit 1-W	Article “DeSantis signs new congressional map into law as groups sue over redistricting.”
Exhibit 1-X	Webpage “Statewide primary elections calendar”
Exhibit 1-Y	Webpage “Florida Black Population Percentage by County”
Exhibit 2	Affidavit of Dr. Stephen Ansolabehere attaching Expert Report
Exhibit 3	Affidavit of Dr. Sharon Austin attaching Expert Report
Exhibit 4	Affidavit of Pastor Reginald Gundy
Exhibit 5	Affidavit of Sylvia Young
Exhibit 6	Affidavit of Phyllis Wiley
Exhibit 7	Affidavit of Florida Rising Together
Exhibit 8	Affidavit of Cecile Scoon on behalf of the League of Women Voters of Florida Inc. and the League of Women Voters of Florida Education Fund, Inc.
Exhibit 9	Affidavit of Clifford Albright on behalf of Black Voters Matter Capacity Building Institute, Inc.
Exhibit 10	Affidavit of Jasmine Burney on behalf of Equal Ground Education Fund, Inc.
Exhibit 11	Affidavit of Joe Scott, Supervisor of Elections of Broward County
Exhibit 12	Affidavit of Mark Earley, Supervisor of Elections of Leon County

Dated: April 26, 2022

/s/ Frederick S. Wermuth
Frederick S. Wermuth
Florida Bar No. 0184111
Thomas A. Zehnder
Florida Bar No. 0063274
**KING, BLACKWELL, ZEHNDER &
WERMUTH, P.A.**
P.O. Box 1631
Orlando, Florida 32802
Telephone: (407) 422-2472
Facsimile: (407) 648-0161
fwermuth@kbzwlaw.com
tzehnder@kbzwlaw.com

John M. Devaney*
PERKINS COIE LLP
700 Thirteenth Street N.W., Suite 600
Washington, D.C. 20005
Telephone: (202) 654-6200
Facsimile: (202) 654-6211
jdevaney@perkinscoie.com

Respectfully submitted,

Abha Khanna*
Jonathan P. Hawley*
ELIAS LAW GROUP LLP
1700 Seventh Avenue, Suite 2100
Seattle, Washington 98101
Telephone: (206) 656-0177
Facsimile: (206) 656-0180
akhanna@elias.law
jhawley@elias.law

Christina A. Ford
Florida Bar No. 1011634
Joseph N. Posimato*
Graham W. White*
ELIAS LAW GROUP LLP
10 G Street NE, Suite 600
Washington, D.C. 20002
Phone: (202) 968-4490
Facsimile: (202) 968-4498
cford@elias.law
jposimato@elias.law
gwhite@elias.law

Counsel for Plaintiffs

**Pro hac vice application forthcoming*

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on April 26, 2022 I electronically filed the foregoing using the State of Florida ePortal Filing System, which will serve an electronic copy to counsel in the Service List below. I further certify that I have caused to be served, via Process Server, the foregoing on Defendants who have not yet made an appearance in this case.

/s/ Frederick S. Wermuth _____
Frederick S. Wermuth
Florida Bar No. 0184111

Counsel for Plaintiffs

SERVICE LIST

Andy Bardos, Esq.
GrayRobinson, P.A.
P.O. Box 11189
Tallahassee, FL 32302
andy.bardos@gray-robinson.com

Counsel for Defendants
Chris Sprowls and Thomas J. Leek

Exhibit H - Part 1

The Florida Senate
COMMITTEE MEETING EXPANDED AGENDA
SELECT SUBCOMMITTEE ON CONGRESSIONAL
REAPPORTIONMENT
Senator Bradley, Chair

MEETING DATE: Tuesday, November 16, 2021

TIME: 1:30—4:30 p.m.

PLACE: *Pat Thomas Committee Room, 412 Knott Building*

MEMBERS: Senator Bradley, Chair; Senators Bean, Harrell, Rouson, and Stewart

TAB	BILL NO. and INTRODUCER	BILL DESCRIPTION and SENATE COMMITTEE ACTIONS	COMMITTEE ACTION
1	Workshop on Congressional Maps		
2	Public Comment		
	Other Related Meeting Documents		



SENATE COMMITTEE ON
REAPPORTIONMENT

WORKSHOP ON
CONGRESSIONAL MAPS

NOVEMBER 16, 2021



Congressional Redistricting Plans in Florida

- Committee staff has produced a series of redistricting plans per the directives received on October 18, 2021.
- Districts are numbered to be roughly analogous to their predecessor districts, with the exception of the 28th district.
- In drawing plans, staff relied on the plain language of the constitution, federal law, and existing judicial precedent to ensure that plans comply with the complex layering of federal and state standards.
- Districts were drawn to balance co-equal Tier Two standards of Article III, Sections 20 and 21 of the Florida Constitution, unless doing so would conflict with Tier One standards.



Congressional Redistricting Plans in Florida

- To comply with Tier-One standards:
 - Districts were drawn without reviewing any political data other than where a review of the political data was required to perform an appropriate functional analysis to evaluate whether or not a district denied or abridged a racial or language minority group's ability to participate in the political process or diminished their ability to elect representatives of their choice.
 - Districts were drawn without the use of any residence information of any sitting member of the Florida Legislature or Congress.
 - Districts were drawn without regard to the preservation of existing district boundaries.



Congressional Redistricting Plans in Florida

- To comply with Tier-Two standards, districts were drawn:
 - To be as nearly equal in population as practicable, with district population deviations of plus or minus one person of the ideal population of 769,221.
 - To be visually compact in relation to their shape and geography. Mathematical scores were used where appropriate.
 - To use county boundaries where feasible. In less populated areas, whole counties were grouped together to make a district or set of districts. In more populated areas where it was feasible to do so, districts were kept wholly within a county.
 - To use geographic features that are easily recognizable and readily ascertainable as district boundaries where feasible. The Boundary Analysis for each plan illustrates the rate at which railways, interstates, federal and state highways, and large water bodies were used as district boundaries for each district.
 - To keep cities whole where doing so was feasible, while recognizing the impermanent and irregular shapes of municipal boundaries. If or when a city was split, static geographic features were sought out for usage as district boundaries.
- Accordingly, plans contain trade-offs within the co-equal Tier Two criteria, and are presented for consideration and exercise of legislative discretion.



Congressional Redistricting Plans in Florida

- Plans are published and available on www.floridaredistricting.gov, where they may be viewed interactively or downloaded from the [Submitted Plans](#) page for independent analysis:
 - [S000C8002](#)
 - [S000C8004](#)
 - [S000C8006](#)
 - [S000C8008](#)
- Plan packets are part of the meeting materials published by the committee and available on the Select Subcommittee's page:
 - [Select Subcommittee on Congressional Reapportionment](#)



Plan Packets

- Plan packets contain everything used to analyze a redistricting plan. Data comes from the Redistricting Application, and is reformatted for easier consumption.
 - Statewide map, with insets of South Florida, Jacksonville, Tampa Bay, and Orlando.
 - Census and Boundary Statistics
 - Split Counties and Cities
 - Functional Analysis of districts protected from non-diminishment standards in Tier-One of Article III Sections 20 and 21 of the Florida Constitution.



Census and Boundary Statistics

- Census and Boundary Statistics
 - District's population deviation from the ideal, also expressed as a percentage
 - Voting Age Population for
 - BVAP – respondents who identified as being Black either singly or in combination with some other race and/or ethnicity, including Hispanic
 - HVAP – respondents who identified as Hispanic and of any race or combination of races, including Black
 - District Area in square miles, Perimeter in miles, and Compactness scores (Convex Hull, Polsby-Popper, and Reock).
 - Counts of whole and partial counties and cities within each district.
 - Counts of counties and cities that have all their population in only one district.
 - Each district's boundary coincidence with certain types of features identified by the US Census Bureau in their TIGER layers. These include those recognized by the Florida Supreme Court as political and geographic boundaries:
 - City boundaries
 - County boundaries
 - Primary and Secondary Roads (Interstates, US Highways, and State Highways)
 - Railroads
 - Water features with a contiguous area of greater than ten acres.
 - The portion of each district's boundary that does not coincide with these features.



Split Cities and Counties

- Split Counties
 - List of counties that have two or more districts. Total population is presented along with the area (square miles), and is also expressed as a percentage.
- Split Cities
 - List of cities that have two or more districts. Total population is presented along with the area (square miles), and is also expressed as a percentage.



Functional Analysis – Summary

- Functional Analysis - Summary
 - Lists only the districts for which it is necessary to evaluate whether or not a district denies or abridges a racial or language minority group's ability to participate in the political process, or diminishes their ability to elect representatives of their choice.
 - BVAP – respondents who identified as being Black either singly or in combination with some other race and/or ethnicity, including Hispanic
 - HVAP – respondents who identified as Hispanic and of any race or combination of races, including Black
 - 2020 General Election Voter Registration Information for:
 - Registration by Party
 - Registration by Race or Ethnicity
 - Registration by Race or Ethnicity and Party
 - Registration by Party and Race or Ethnicity



Functional Analysis – Summary

- Average of Voter Turnout in 2012, 2014, 2016, 2018, and 2020 Primary Elections
 - Turnout by Party and Race or Ethnicity
- Average of Voter Turnout in 2012, 2014, 2016, 2018, and 2020 General Elections
 - Turnout by Party
 - Turnout by Party and Race or Ethnicity
 - Turnout by Race or Ethnicity and Party
- General Election Performance in Statewide Elections 2012-2020
 - Average Performance (Vote Share) for the Democrat and Republican candidate
 - Count of Wins in Statewide contests for Democrat and Republican candidates
 - Margins
 - Maximum margin of victory in a statewide contest for either the Democrat or Republican candidate
 - Minimum margin of victory in a statewide contest for either the Democrat or Republican candidate
 - Average margin of victory in statewide contests for either the Democrat or Republican candidate



Functional Analysis – Returns

- Shows the percentage of votes received by each candidate in contests for which there was a statewide primary election (2012, 2014, 2016, 2018).
- Shows the percentage of votes received by each candidate in contests for which there was a statewide General Election (2012, 2014, 2016, 2018, 2020).





Plan S000C8002

Plan S000C8002

Overall (Range)		Average										
Deviation	Area	Perim.	Convex	Polsby-	Reock	Political and Geographic Boundaries:						
Total	%	(sq.mi.)	Hull	Popper	Ratio	City	County	Road	Water	Rail	Non-Pol/Geo	
1	0.00%	2,550.1	270.3	0.80	0.41	0.44	10%	59%	20%	37%	3%	11%

District lines and City and County Boundaries	
Number of Counties	67
Counties with only one district	46
Districts with only one county	5
Counties split into more than one district	21
Counties with all population in a single district	46
Aggregate number of county splits	54
Aggregate number of splits with population	54
Number of Cities	412
Cities with only one district	351
Cities split into more than one district	61
Cities with all population in only one district	362
Aggregate number of city splits	132
Aggregate number of splits with population	121

S000C8002




FDEP, Esri, HERE, Garmin, FAO, NOAA, USGS, EPA, NPS



S000C8002

0 5 10 20 Miles
FDEP, Esri, HERE, Garmin, FAO, NOAA, USGS, EPA, NPS

S000C8002



0 5 10 20 Miles

FDEP, Esri, HERE, Garmin, FAO, NOAA, USGS, EPA, NPS

S000C8002

2 LEVY

3

MARRON

6 WILKES

CLYDE

11

LARI

7

SUMMIT

WINDFORD

BERNARD

10

AVANCE

12

PASCU

8

ORVERD

14

9

UNCLICA

PINELLAS

15

28

POLK

HILLSBOROUGH

13

16



17

0 5 10 20 Miles

EDEP: Esri, HERE, Garmin, SafeGraph, FAO, METI/NASA, USGS, EPA, NPS


18

S000C8002



University of South Florida, FDEP, Esri, HERE, Garmin, FAO, NOAA, USGS, EPA, NPS

S000C8002



0 5 10 20 Miles
University of South Florida, County of Collier, FDEP, Esri, HERE, Garmin, SafeGraph, FAO, METI/NASA, USGS, EPA, NPS




S000C8004

Plan S000C8004

Overall (Range)		Average										
Deviation		Area	Perim.	Convex	Polsby-	Reock	Political and Geographic Boundaries:					
Total	%	(sq.mi.)	(mi.)	Hull	Popper	Ratio	City	County	Road	Water	Rail	Non-Pol/Geo
1	0.00%	2,550.1	268.5	0.80	0.42	0.45	10%	60%	20%	38%	3%	11%

District lines and City and County Boundaries	
Number of Counties	67
Counties with only one district	47
Districts with only one county	6
Counties split into more than one district	20
Counties with all population in a single district	47
Aggregate number of county splits	52
Aggregate number of splits with population	52
Number of Cities	412
Cities with only one district	352
Cities split into more than one district	60
Cities with all population in only one district	362
Aggregate number of city splits	128
Aggregate number of splits with population	118



S000C8004

0 20 40 80 Miles


FDEP: Esri, HERE, Garmin, FAO, NOAA, USGS, EPA, NPS



S000C8004

0 5 10 20 Miles
FDEP, Esri, HERE, Garmin, FAO, NOAA, USGS, EPA, NPS


S000C8004



0 5 10 20 Miles

FDEP, Esri, HERE, Garmin, FAO, NOAA, USGS, EPA, NPS



S000C8004



EDEP: Esri, HERE, Garmin, SafeGraph, FAO, METI/NASA, USGS, EPA, NPS




S000C8004



University of South Florida, FDEP, Esri, HERE, Garmin, FAO, NOAA, USGS, EPA, NPS

S000C8004



0 5 10 20 Miles

University of South Florida, County of Collier, FDEP, Esri, HERE, Garmin, SafeGraph, FAO, METI/NASA, USGS, EPA, NPS




S000C8006


Plan S000C8006

Overall (Range)		Average										
Deviation		Area	Perim.	Convex	Polsby-	Reock	Political and Geographic Boundaries:					
Total	%	(sq.mi.)	(mi.)	Hull	Popper	Ratio	City	County	Road	Water	Rail	Non-Pol/Geo
1	0.00%	2,550.1	269.2	0.80	0.42	0.45	10%	60%	20%	38%	2%	10%

District lines and City and County Boundaries	
Number of Counties	67
Counties with only one district	46
Districts with only one county	6
Counties split into more than one district	21
Counties with all population in a single district	46
Aggregate number of county splits	53
Aggregate number of splits with population	53
Number of Cities	412
Cities with only one district	350
Cities split into more than one district	62
Cities with all population in only one district	360
Aggregate number of city splits	132
Aggregate number of splits with population	122



S000C8006




S000C8006

0 5 10 20 Miles
FDEP, Esri, HERE, Garmin, FAO, NOAA, USGS, EPA, NPS



S000C8006



0 5 10 20 Miles

FDEP, Esri, HERE, Garmin, FAO, NOAA, USGS, EPA, NPS

11



S000C8006

0 5 10 20 Miles

EDEP: Esri, HERE, Garmin, SafeGraph, FAO, METI/NASA, USGS, EPA, NPS




S000C8006



University of South Florida, FDEP, Esri, HERE, Garmin, FAO, NOAA, USGS, EPA, NPS

S000C8006



University of South Florida, County of Collier, FDEP, Esri, HERE, Garmin, SafeGraph, FAO, METI/NASA, USGS, EPA, NPS




S000C8008


Plan S000C8008

Overall (Range)		Average										
Deviation		Area	Perim.	Convex	Polsby-	Reock	Political and Geographic Boundaries:					
Total	%	(sq.mi.)	(mi.)	Hull	Popper	Ratio	City	County	Road	Water	Rail	Non-Pol/Geo
1	0.00%	2,550.1	268.8	0.80	0.42	0.44	10%	60%	21%	37%	2%	10%

District lines and City and County Boundaries	
Number of Counties	67
Counties with only one district	47
Districts with only one county	5
Counties split into more than one district	20
Counties with all population in a single district	47
Aggregate number of county splits	53
Aggregate number of splits with population	53
Number of Cities	412
Cities with only one district	351
Cities split into more than one district	61
Cities with all population in only one district	362
Aggregate number of city splits	132
Aggregate number of splits with population	121



S000C8008






S000C8008

0 5 10 20 Miles
FDEP, Esri, HERE, Garmin, FAO, NOAA, USGS, EPA, NPS

S000C8008



11
0 5 10 20 Miles
FDEP, Esri, HERE, Garmin, FAO, NOAA, USGS, EPA, NPS

S000C8008

2

3

6

11

7

10

8

12

9

14


28

15

13

16

17



18

LEWIS

MORISON

WOLFE

CITRUS

LARUE

SEMINOLE

SUMNER

OXFORD

BERNARD

BRADY

PASCAGOULA



OSCEOLA

PINELLAS

HILLSBOROUGH


POLK

S000C8008




University of South Florida, FDEP, Esri, HERE, Garmin, FAO, NOAA, USGS, EPA, NPS

S000C8008



University of South Florida, County of Collier, FDEP, Esri, HERE, Garmin, SafeGraph, FAO, METI/NASA, USGS, EPA, NPS







Population Deviations of
Florida Congressional Districts
Relative to the 2020 Census


Ideal Pop. For 28 Districts
(769,221)

[Darkest Gray]	More than 20% underpopulated
[Dark Gray]	15% to 20% underpopulated
[Medium-Dark Gray]	10% to 15% underpopulated
[Medium Gray]	6% to 10% underpopulated
[Light Gray]	2% to 6% underpopulated
[White]	+/- 2% of ideal population
[Lightest Gray]	2% to 6% overpopulated
[Light Gray]	6% to 10% overpopulated
[Medium Gray]	10% to 15% overpopulated
[Dark Gray]	15% to 20% overpopulated
[Darkest Gray]	More than 20% overpopulated

- Legend**
- County boundary
 - Interstate
 - US Highway
 - Major road
 - Sea, lake, or river
 - District boundary & number



Map produced by professional staff
Florida Senate Committee on Reapportionment
404 S. Monroe St., Tallahassee, FL 32399-1100
Office: 2000 The Capitol; Phone: (850) 487-5855;
Website: www.flsenate.gov/session/redistricting



Legend

- County boundary
- Interstate
- US Highway
- Major road
- Sea, lake, or river
- District boundary & number

Scale bar for statewide map
 0 5 10 20 30 40 50 60 Miles

Jacksonville Area

Map showing Congressional Districts 3, 4, and 5 in the Jacksonville area, including counties like Nassau, Duval, and St. Johns.

Tampa Bay Area

Map showing Congressional Districts 12, 13, 14, 15, and 16 in the Tampa Bay area, including counties like Pasco, Hillsborough, Manatee, and Pinellas.

Orlando Area

Map showing Congressional Districts 6, 7, 8, and 9 in the Orlando area, including counties like Seminole, Orange, and Volusia.

**2016-2022
 Florida
 Congressional Districts**

Court Ordered on
 December 2, 2015

See League of Women Voters
 of Fla. v. Detzner,
 172 So. 3d 363 (Fla. 2015)

Scale bar for insets
 0 5 10 20 Miles



Map produced by professional staff:
 Florida Senate Committee on Reapportionment
 404 S. Monroe St., Tallahassee, FL 32399-1100
 Office: 2000 The Capitol, Phone: (850) 487-5853;
 Website: <https://www.floridareistricting.gov>

Plan FLCD2016

Dist.	Deviation		Voting Age Population:		Area (sq.mi.)	Perim. (mi.)	Convex Hull	Polsby-Popper	Reock Ratio	Counties:		Cities:		Political and Geographic Boundaries:					
	Total	%	Black	Hisp.						Whole	Parts	Whole	Parts	City	County	Road	Water	Rail	Non-Pol/Geo
	228,137	29.66%	15.54%	24.99%	2,644.6	289.8	0.77	0.36	0.44	49	50	373	84	18%	56%	10%	39%	1%	14%
1	38,660	5.03%	13.23%	6.60%	5,393	412	0.82	0.40	0.40	4	1	21	0	3%	94%	0%	60%	0%	6%
2	-41,365	-5.38%	12.42%	6.68%	14,594	942	0.68	0.21	0.31	14	5	51	3	7%	75%	11%	48%	1%	10%
3	-3,088	-0.40%	16.10%	10.29%	3,844	303	0.89	0.53	0.71	5	1	27	1	19%	75%	14%	25%	0%	7%
4	102,663	13.35%	10.36%	8.84%	1,963	379	0.72	0.17	0.37	1	2	9	1	9%	58%	18%	51%	1%	15%
5	-20,311	-2.64%	46.20%	9.14%	3,910	711	0.71	0.10	0.12	4	4	15	3	7%	59%	17%	10%	2%	16%
6	27,033	3.51%	10.12%	12.07%	2,682	316	0.77	0.34	0.44	2	2	22	3	8%	82%	4%	62%	0%	4%
7	19,297	2.51%	12.19%	24.65%	436	122	0.81	0.37	0.57	1	1	7	4	16%	65%	10%	51%	0%	19%
8	14,532	1.89%	9.68%	10.35%	2,412	271	0.76	0.41	0.34	2	1	21	0	0%	89%	2%	41%	0%	10%
9	186,381	24.23%	14.26%	41.53%	2,620	268	0.87	0.46	0.63	1	2	12	4	17%	49%	14%	5%	6%	17%
10	104,583	13.60%	26.70%	28.95%	516	115	0.89	0.49	0.49	0	1	9	4	19%	70%	15%	21%	0%	11%
11	51,614	6.71%	7.22%	10.12%	3,202	375	0.74	0.29	0.42	3	2	16	8	14%	66%	14%	40%	0%	12%
12	37,916	4.93%	5.83%	12.50%	1,288	187	0.82	0.46	0.38	1	2	8	3	11%	77%	11%	36%	0%	9%
13	-41,756	-5.43%	11.88%	9.81%	610	106	0.93	0.68	0.66	0	1	19	3	38%	74%	2%	89%	0%	4%
14	18,226	2.37%	17.89%	30.15%	366	101	0.82	0.45	0.48	0	1	0	2	43%	38%	10%	32%	1%	28%
15	50,632	6.58%	15.39%	22.74%	1,170	240	0.76	0.26	0.33	0	3	2	8	25%	28%	13%	17%	0%	24%
16	114,826	14.93%	9.33%	15.94%	1,910	213	0.90	0.53	0.58	1	2	7	0	12%	61%	10%	56%	0%	6%
17	10,734	1.40%	7.15%	13.26%	6,546	433	0.77	0.44	0.51	6	3	15	1	4%	69%	9%	28%	3%	9%
18	25,503	3.32%	12.95%	15.60%	1,889	228	0.82	0.45	0.50	2	1	14	4	10%	65%	3%	45%	0%	20%
19	65,791	8.55%	6.78%	18.08%	1,972	249	0.79	0.40	0.34	0	2	8	0	4%	66%	9%	60%	0%	15%
20	7,062	0.92%	52.37%	26.75%	2,406	387	0.75	0.20	0.48	0	2	14	12	30%	35%	10%	11%	1%	33%
21	18,786	2.44%	14.97%	22.58%	353	123	0.64	0.29	0.37	0	1	16	5	29%	24%	12%	30%	1%	37%
22	16,535	2.15%	15.22%	21.37%	253	119	0.73	0.22	0.46	0	2	12	5	25%	28%	12%	32%	2%	32%
23	135	0.02%	15.21%	39.74%	252	112	0.65	0.25	0.35	0	2	12	6	58%	15%	13%	29%	3%	17%
24	-26,679	-3.47%	43.62%	44.87%	115	69	0.77	0.30	0.47	0	2	9	2	64%	13%	15%	29%	7%	19%
25	2,213	0.29%	4.86%	74.37%	3,674	357	0.68	0.36	0.41	1	2	11	1	8%	70%	12%	22%	0%	7%
26	18,693	2.43%	11.34%	72.64%	6,710	594	0.55	0.24	0.22	1	1	7	0	1%	88%	6%	87%	0%	1%
27	-29,396	-3.82%	5.95%	70.15%	317	91	0.88	0.48	0.50	0	1	9	1	21%	26%	25%	61%	0%	8%
28	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

Overall numbers of county and city splits:

	In Plan FLCD2016
Number of Counties	67
Counties with only one district	49
Districts with only one county	5
Counties split into more than one district	18
Counties with all population in a single district	49
Aggregate number of county splits	50
Aggregate number of splits with population	50
Number of Cities	412
Cities with only one district	373
Cities split into more than one district	39
Cities with all population in only one district	390
Aggregate number of city splits	84
Aggregate number of splits with population	67

Split Counties and Cities

Plan FLCD2016

Counties included in more than one district					
County	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%
Broward	20	519,827	26.7%	890.2	68.0%
Broward	22	645,095	33.2%	187.0	14.3%
Broward	23	691,006	35.5%	218.0	16.7%
Broward	24	88,447	4.6%	13.2	1.0%
Collier	19	168,394	44.8%	636.6	24.4%
Collier	25	207,358	55.2%	1,968.6	75.6%
Columbia	2	58,045	83.3%	431.0	53.8%
Columbia	5	11,653	16.7%	370.3	46.2%
Duval	4	542,200	54.5%	647.5	70.5%
Duval	5	453,367	45.5%	271.0	29.5%
Hillsborough	12	13,604	0.9%	21.4	1.6%
Hillsborough	14	787,447	53.9%	366.3	27.5%
Hillsborough	15	408,739	28.0%	376.5	28.3%
Hillsborough	16	249,972	17.1%	567.8	42.6%
Holmes	1	11,003	56.0%	388.6	79.5%
Holmes	2	8,650	44.0%	100.4	20.5%
Jefferson	2	1,154	8.0%	245.9	36.6%
Jefferson	5	13,356	92.1%	426.7	63.4%
Lake	6	93,240	24.3%	446.2	38.6%
Lake	11	171,836	44.8%	413.0	35.7%
Lake	15	118,880	31.0%	297.6	25.7%
Lee	17	94,204	12.4%	179.0	11.8%
Lee	19	666,618	87.6%	1,335.8	88.2%
Leon	2	125,721	43.0%	211.6	30.1%
Leon	5	166,477	57.0%	490.2	69.9%
Marion	2	53,370	14.2%	275.6	16.6%
Marion	3	151,649	40.3%	854.4	51.4%
Marion	11	170,889	45.5%	532.7	32.0%
Miami-Dade	23	78,350	2.9%	33.8	1.4%
Miami-Dade	24	654,095	24.2%	102.0	4.3%
Miami-Dade	25	524,457	19.4%	516.3	21.6%
Miami-Dade	26	705,040	26.1%	1,420.0	59.4%
Miami-Dade	27	739,825	27.4%	317.3	13.3%
Orange	7	317,662	22.2%	90.3	9.0%
Orange	8	17,353	1.2%	238.1	23.7%
Orange	9	221,089	15.5%	158.9	15.8%
Orange	10	873,804	61.1%	516.1	51.4%
Palm Beach	18	307,067	20.6%	448.3	18.8%
Palm Beach	20	256,456	17.2%	1,515.8	63.6%
Palm Beach	21	788,007	52.8%	353.0	14.8%
Palm Beach	22	140,661	9.4%	66.2	2.8%
Pinellas	12	231,642	24.2%	252.3	29.3%
Pinellas	13	727,465	75.9%	610.2	70.8%
Polk	9	345,857	47.7%	955.2	47.5%
Polk	15	292,234	40.3%	496.1	24.7%
Polk	17	86,955	12.0%	559.1	27.8%
Sarasota	16	234,365	54.0%	378.3	38.8%
Sarasota	17	199,641	46.0%	597.3	61.2%
St. Johns	4	239,332	87.5%	589.2	71.7%
St. Johns	6	34,093	12.5%	232.3	28.3%

Counties included in more than one district					
County	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%

Counties included in more than one district					
County	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%

Counties included in more than one district					
County	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%

Split Counties and Cities

Plan FLCD2016

Cities included in more than one district						Cities included in more than one district						Cities included in more than one district						Cities included in more than one district					
City	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%	City	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%	City	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%	City	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%
Auburndale	9	15,606	99.9%	20.4	99.2%	Pompano Beach	22	76,608	68.4%	16.1	65.2%												
Auburndale	15	10	0.1%	0.2	0.8%	Riviera Beach	18	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%												
Boca Raton	21	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	Riviera Beach	20	37,604	100.0%	9.7	100.0%												
Boca Raton	22	97,422	100.0%	31.6	100.0%	Royal Palm Beach	18	16,526	42.5%	5.6	47.6%												
Clearwater	12	19,795	16.9%	5.3	14.8%	Royal Palm Beach	20	22,346	57.4%	6.1	51.8%												
Clearwater	13	97,497	83.1%	30.6	85.2%	Royal Palm Beach	21	60	0.2%	0.1	0.6%												
Clermont	11	76	0.2%	0.4	2.0%	Safety Harbor	12	17,072	100.0%	5.1	100.0%												
Clermont	15	42,945	99.8%	18.7	98.0%	Safety Harbor	13	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%												
Dania Beach	22	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	Sunrise	20	45,532	46.8%	6.4	35.1%												
Dania Beach	23	31,723	100.0%	8.3	100.0%	Sunrise	23	51,803	53.2%	11.8	64.9%												
Eatonville	7	0	0.0%	0.1	4.0%	Tallahassee	2	77,828	39.7%	54.4	52.4%												
Eatonville	10	2,349	100.0%	1.1	96.1%	Tallahassee	5	118,341	60.3%	49.4	47.6%												
Eustis	6	23,189	100.0%	12.8	99.7%	Tampa	14	384,959	100.0%	175.8	100.0%												
Eustis	11	0	0.0%	0.0	0.3%	Tampa	15	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%												
Fort Lauderdale	20	59,474	32.5%	12.3	33.9%	Tavares	6	0	0.0%	0.0	0.1%												
Fort Lauderdale	22	123,208	67.4%	23.9	65.7%	Tavares	11	19,003	100.0%	13.8	99.9%												
Fort Lauderdale	23	78	0.0%	0.1	0.4%	Temple Terrace	14	6	0.0%	0.0	0.0%												
Groveland	11	46	0.3%	4.8	18.3%	Temple Terrace	15	26,684	100.0%	7.6	100.0%												
Groveland	15	18,459	99.8%	21.4	81.7%	Wellington	20	112	0.2%	0.1	0.1%												
Hollywood	23	86,010	56.2%	21.5	69.8%	Wellington	21	61,525	99.8%	45.4	99.9%												
Hollywood	24	67,057	43.8%	9.3	30.2%	West Palm Beach	18	27,356	23.3%	36.1	62.3%												
Jacksonville	4	496,244	52.3%	603.5	69.0%	West Palm Beach	20	57,443	48.9%	15.3	26.4%												
Jacksonville	5	453,367	47.7%	271.0	31.0%	West Palm Beach	21	32,616	27.8%	6.6	11.3%												
Lake City	2	8,072	65.5%	7.5	61.5%	Weston	20	0	0.0%	0.1	0.4%												
Lake City	5	4,257	34.5%	4.7	38.5%	Weston	23	68,107	100.0%	26.0	99.7%												
Maitland	7	19,543	100.0%	6.5	100.0%	Winter Haven	9	49,219	100.0%	41.1	100.0%												
Maitland	10	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	Winter Haven	17	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%												
Mascotte	11	6,609	100.0%	18.1	100.0%	Winter Park	7	29,774	99.9%	10.4	100.0%												
Mascotte	15	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	Winter Park	10	21	0.1%	0.0	0.0%												
Miami	24	147,747	33.4%	18.9	33.7%																		
Miami	25	6,034	1.4%	0.6	1.1%																		
Miami	27	288,460	65.2%	36.6	65.2%																		
Minneola	11	13,825	99.9%	11.4	99.8%																		
Minneola	15	18	0.1%	0.0	0.2%																		
Mount Dora	6	16,341	100.0%	9.2	99.8%																		
Mount Dora	11	0	0.0%	0.0	0.2%																		
Oakland Park	20	10,353	23.4%	2.4	29.3%																		
Oakland Park	22	33,876	76.6%	5.8	70.7%																		
Ocala	2	0	0.0%	0.2	0.3%																		
Ocala	3	63,566	100.0%	45.6	96.5%																		
Ocala	11	25	0.0%	1.5	3.2%																		
Oldsmar	12	14,887	99.9%	10.1	100.0%																		
Oldsmar	13	11	0.1%	0.0	0.0%																		
Orlando	7	70,064	22.8%	16.4	13.8%																		
Orlando	9	74,391	24.2%	48.7	41.0%																		
Orlando	10	163,118	53.0%	53.7	45.2%																		
Palm Beach	20	0	0.0%	0.0	0.2%																		
Palm Beach	21	9,245	100.0%	7.8	99.8%																		
Palm Beach Gardens	18	59,182	100.0%	59.3	100.0%																		
Palm Beach Gardens	20	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%																		
Plantation	20	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%																		
Plantation	23	91,750	100.0%	22.1	100.0%																		
Polk City	9	8	0.3%	0.1	2.0%																		
Polk City	15	2,705	99.7%	5.0	98.0%																		
Pompano Beach	20	35,438	31.6%	8.6	34.8%																		

Functional Analysis - Summary

Plan FLCD2016

Dist	2020 Census		2020 General Election Registered Voters																
	VAP who are:		RV who are:			RV who are:		Black Voters who are:			Hisp. Voters who are:			DEM who are:		REP who are:		NPA/Oth who are:	
	Black	Hisp.	DEM	REP	OTH	Black	Hisp.	DEM	REP	NPA/Oth	DEM	REP	NPA/Oth	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.
5	36.20%	9.14%	56.62%	24.04%	19.34%	48.07%	4.78%	84.27%	2.69%	13.03%	44.76%	19.87%	34.91%	68.56%	3.78%	5.15%	3.95%	31.03%	8.62%
9	14.26%	41.53%	39.35%	27.53%	33.12%	10.82%	36.46%	74.89%	3.67%	21.41%	45.38%	13.86%	40.75%	20.60%	42.06%	1.44%	18.35%	6.99%	44.86%
10	26.70%	28.95%	45.12%	24.69%	30.19%	23.22%	21.39%	77.55%	3.27%	19.17%	46.15%	15.09%	38.74%	39.90%	21.88%	3.07%	13.07%	14.74%	27.45%
20	57.37%	26.75%	62.04%	12.78%	25.18%	49.67%	18.33%	81.53%	2.49%	15.95%	43.62%	19.47%	36.81%	65.28%	12.89%	9.69%	27.93%	31.47%	26.80%
24	43.62%	44.87%	61.55%	12.12%	26.34%	48.16%	31.90%	82.85%	2.45%	14.68%	42.06%	21.48%	36.45%	62.14%	21.80%	9.35%	56.56%	25.72%	44.13%
25	4.86%	7.37%	27.57%	40.22%	32.21%	3.13%	57.81%	73.13%	5.88%	20.88%	27.47%	37.80%	34.73%	8.29%	62.39%	0.46%	58.84%	2.03%	67.50%
26	11.34%	72.44%	34.64%	32.40%	32.96%	10.02%	62.33%	78.51%	3.29%	18.16%	28.59%	35.99%	35.41%	22.71%	51.67%	1.02%	69.53%	5.52%	67.25%
27	5.95%	70.35%	35.31%	32.02%	32.67%	4.60%	58.58%	75.92%	4.30%	19.74%	29.35%	37.54%	33.10%	9.89%	48.88%	0.62%	68.94%	2.78%	59.56%

Functional Analysis - Summary

Plan FLCD2016

Dist.	2020 Census		Average Primary Election Turnout						Average General Election Turnout												General Election Performance in Statewide Elections 2012-2020								
	VAP who are:		DEM who are:		REP who are:		Voters who are:			DEM who are:		REP who are:		NPAOth who are:		Black Voters who are:			Hisp. Voters who are:			Avg. Perf.		Wins		Margins			
	Black	Hisp	Black	Hisp	Black	Hisp	DEM	REP	NPAOth	Black	Hisp	Black	Hisp	Black	Hisp	DEM	REP	NPAOth	DEM	REP	NPAOth	DEM	REP	DEM	REP	DEM	REP	MAX	MIN
5	46.20%	9.14%	66.89%	1.10%	3.18%	1.60%	60.90%	26.03%	13.05%	67.14%	2.42%	3.77%	2.87%	27.66%	6.62%	89.83%	2.15%	7.94%	46.26%	24.61%	27.94%	60.9%	37.6%	14	0	D +37%	D +11.8%	D +23.7%	
9	14.26%	41.53%	21.78%	25.89%	0.79%	8.48%	41.14%	33.73%	25.13%	21.65%	33.88%	1.08%	12.62%	6.39%	34.24%	81.78%	3.31%	14.78%	52.01%	15.84%	32.10%	51.2%	47.0%	11	3	D +24.6%	D +1.7%	D +4.5%	
10	26.70%	28.95%	49.28%	11.19%	2.05%	6.42%	46.21%	30.41%	23.38%	43.09%	17.79%	2.27%	9.37%	13.12%	22.22%	84.01%	2.91%	13.03%	50.49%	17.46%	31.98%	58.6%	39.8%	14	0	D +32.1%	D +1%	D +19.3%	
20	57.37%	26.75%	71.18%	4.86%	7.69%	16.30%	67.65%	13.26%	19.08%	66.96%	10.00%	8.22%	23.91%	30.92%	23.72%	86.57%	2.08%	11.27%	46.24%	22.14%	31.26%	80.3%	18.9%	14	0	D +68.4%	D +55.2%	D +61.6%	
24	43.62%	44.87%	72.58%	10.82%	8.04%	53.14%	68.80%	11.56%	19.63%	66.18%	17.39%	8.49%	52.65%	27.10%	41.06%	87.88%	1.89%	10.21%	45.45%	23.64%	30.88%	82.2%	16.9%	14	0	D +72.4%	D +51.4%	D +65.4%	
25	4.86%	74.37%	9.41%	48.39%	0.28%	56.85%	27.94%	45.66%	26.40%	9.02%	56.83%	0.35%	56.62%	1.74%	63.79%	80.09%	5.11%	14.74%	27.06%	44.21%	28.72%	39.7%	59.0%	0	14	R +32.8%	R +2.2%	R +19.1%	
26	11.34%	72.44%	27.03%	33.42%	0.62%	65.65%	36.69%	36.43%	26.88%	24.64%	44.68%	0.85%	66.14%	5.39%	63.18%	83.69%	2.86%	13.40%	28.38%	42.08%	29.53%	51.4%	47.4%	9	5	D +16.2%	R +1.2%	D +4.3%	
27	5.95%	70.35%	12.14%	36.09%	0.35%	72.64%	36.60%	36.49%	26.92%	10.67%	43.66%	0.48%	68.13%	2.60%	58.06%	81.50%	3.71%	14.68%	28.21%	44.12%	27.66%	51.9%	47.0%	11	3	D +19.5%	D +0.6%	D +5.5%	


Functional Analysis - Returns

		5	9	10	20	24	25	26	27	
Plan FLCD2016		BVAP 46.20%	14.26%	26.70%	52.37%	43.62%	4.86%	11.34%	5.95%	
Primary Elections		HVAP 9.14%	41.53%	28.95%	26.75%	44.87%	71.37%	72.44%	70.33%	
2018	Governor (REP)	R_Baldauf	0.67%	0.60%	0.73%	1.46%	2.49%	1.72%	1.79%	1.49%
		R_DeSantis	50.91%	43.76%	53.04%	60.72%	63.42%	66.96%	67.81%	67.52%
		R_Devine	1.16%	1.26%	1.61%	2.07%	3.63%	2.79%	3.35%	3.02%
		R_Langford	1.21%	1.03%	1.61%	1.66%	2.18%	1.40%	1.69%	1.59%
		R_Mercadante	0.47%	0.75%	0.86%	1.54%	2.92%	1.78%	1.98%	2.15%
		R_Nathan	0.75%	0.66%	0.86%	1.49%	2.13%	1.02%	1.36%	1.55%
		R_Putnam	42.67%	49.98%	38.99%	25.91%	17.92%	21.75%	18.18%	19.02%
	R_White	1.63%	1.83%	2.10%	2.92%	4.65%	2.52%	3.59%	3.42%	
	Governor (DEM)	D_Gillum	58.61%	30.20%	45.10%	57.43%	53.26%	22.99%	34.50%	25.61%
		D_Graham	22.66%	31.00%	27.10%	11.98%	10.90%	23.62%	20.26%	23.07%
		D_Greene	5.55%	13.26%	9.56%	9.05%	10.02%	11.00%	10.07%	7.50%
		D_King	1.33%	3.87%	3.50%	0.79%	0.88%	2.82%	1.97%	1.52%
		D_Levine	10.24%	18.68%	13.36%	19.91%	23.97%	36.52%	31.17%	40.79%
		D_Lundmark	0.45%	1.15%	0.47%	0.27%	0.46%	1.50%	0.89%	0.71%
	D_Wetherbee	0.79%	1.60%	0.82%	0.32%	0.40%	1.43%	0.95%	0.62%	
	Attorney General (REP)	R_Moody	58.32%	57.66%	55.16%	55.28%	51.96%	51.91%	54.79%	54.98%
		R_White	41.59%	42.32%	44.85%	44.03%	47.82%	48.07%	45.11%	45.03%
	Attorney General (DEM)	D_Shaw	79.03%	62.16%	72.79%	82.42%	82.19%	62.60%	71.18%	73.79%
		D_Torrens	20.91%	37.82%	27.22%	17.55%	17.80%	37.41%	28.82%	26.23%
	Agriculture Commissioner (REP)	R_Caldwell	36.46%	28.61%	35.26%	42.40%	40.96%	42.29%	42.31%	39.76%
R_Grimsley		22.86%	27.50%	31.60%	26.92%	30.65%	28.78%	31.19%	32.65%	
R_McCalister		9.16%	11.88%	15.39%	20.21%	17.38%	12.73%	16.58%	17.11%	
Agriculture Commissioner (DEM)	R_Troutman	30.93%	31.82%	17.64%	8.43%	10.37%	16.18%	9.67%	10.38%	
	D_Fried	60.02%	53.62%	55.07%	62.94%	57.49%	50.87%	53.09%	61.30%	
	D_Porter	19.67%	18.77%	18.25%	16.26%	18.80%	19.65%	20.63%	13.91%	
US Senate (REP)	D_Walker	20.19%	27.55%	26.67%	20.75%	23.70%	29.42%	26.23%	24.76%	
	R_De La Fuente	11.04%	9.15%	11.06%	14.74%	17.08%	9.41%	12.22%	13.20%	
	R_Scott	88.77%	90.79%	88.92%	84.33%	82.85%	90.58%	87.73%	86.78%	
2016	US Senate (REP)	R_Beruff	21.35%	19.39%	17.64%	13.07%	8.02%	9.59%	6.37%	6.02%
		R_Rivera	3.83%	3.05%	2.52%	4.45%	3.64%	2.20%	2.81%	1.99%
		R_Rubio	68.10%	70.54%	74.37%	72.02%	79.92%	84.44%	86.01%	88.05%
	R_Young	6.23%	6.82%	5.36%	8.29%	7.97%	3.74%	4.77%	3.79%	
US Senate (DEM)	D_De La Fuente	4.17%	12.55%	4.89%	3.29%	6.37%	20.68%	12.73%	11.64%	
	D_Grayson	18.26%	39.05%	42.68%	9.52%	10.12%	12.29%	11.19%	11.78%	
	D_Keith	14.98%	10.52%	12.27%	14.91%	13.73%	14.57%	15.83%	17.86%	
	D_Luster	11.29%	1.42%	2.23%	2.35%	2.89%	1.72%	1.85%	1.30%	
	D_Murphy	50.92%	36.18%	37.83%	69.44%	66.77%	50.65%	58.14%	57.19%	
2014	Governor (REP)	R_Adeshina	1.50%	1.58%	1.64%	2.45%	3.30%	1.26%	1.82%	1.88%
		R_Cuevas-Neunder	8.71%	10.78%	9.92%	15.26%	15.62%	10.21%	14.79%	13.77%
		R_Scott	89.43%	87.50%	88.32%	80.79%	80.75%	88.46%	83.24%	84.26%
	Governor (DEM)	D_Crist	75.18%	74.85%	80.06%	84.62%	84.92%	74.04%	78.65%	73.25%
		D_Rich	24.65%	24.92%	19.90%	15.25%	15.09%	25.79%	21.27%	26.63%
Attorney General (DEM)	D_Sheldon	61.06%	59.89%	50.11%	37.37%	44.20%	67.24%	58.97%	69.29%	
2012	US Senate (REP)	D_Thurston	38.89%	39.98%	49.91%	62.53%	55.81%	32.69%	40.88%	30.61%
		R_Mack	56.41%	47.68%	57.84%	65.27%	71.55%	73.61%	73.54%	76.92%
		R_McCalister	18.56%	12.36%	11.36%	12.26%	7.28%	8.49%	7.35%	5.34%
	US Senate (DEM)	R_Stuart	6.21%	6.68%	5.24%	7.54%	13.33%	11.71%	13.34%	12.65%
		R_Weldon	17.97%	32.91%	25.43%	12.50%	7.17%	6.17%	5.61%	4.86%
D_Burkett	21.27%	22.72%	13.74%	13.71%	14.80%	22.81%	18.27%	14.18%		
D_Nelson	78.59%	77.05%	86.18%	86.04%	85.18%	77.05%	81.63%	85.74%		


Functional Analysis - Returns

			5	9	10	20	24	25	26	27	
Plan FLCD2016			BVAP	46.20%	14.26%	26.70%	52.37%	43.62%	4.86%	11.34%	5.95%
General Elections			HVAP	9.14%	41.53%	28.95%	26.75%	44.87%	74.27%	72.44%	70.34%
2020	President	D_Biden	62.59%	52.99%	62.01%	77.32%	75.42%	37.85%	46.86%	51.18%	
		R_Trump	36.27%	46.05%	37.02%	22.12%	24.00%	61.61%	52.56%	48.27%	
2018	Governor	D_Gillum	64.88%	54.67%	63.26%	82.18%	83.57%	41.38%	53.02%	54.60%	
		R_DeSantis	34.20%	43.91%	35.72%	17.25%	15.65%	57.27%	45.81%	44.34%	
	Attorney General	D_Shaw	61.58%	51.49%	59.61%	80.60%	81.90%	39.54%	51.46%	53.50%	
		R_Moody	36.88%	46.64%	38.78%	18.20%	16.58%	58.45%	46.41%	44.58%	
	Chief Financial Officer	D_Ring	62.80%	54.02%	61.48%	81.86%	83.36%	40.97%	52.49%	54.18%	
		R_Patronis	37.19%	45.98%	38.52%	18.14%	16.63%	59.03%	47.51%	45.82%	
Agriculture Commissioner	D_Fried	63.83%	55.52%	63.22%	82.12%	83.61%	42.21%	53.96%	56.28%		
	R_Caldwell	36.16%	44.48%	36.78%	17.86%	16.38%	57.78%	46.04%	43.73%		
US Senate	D_Nelson	64.78%	53.96%	62.76%	81.94%	83.27%	41.92%	54.00%	53.96%		
	R_Scott	35.22%	46.05%	37.24%	18.07%	16.73%	58.08%	46.00%	44.03%		
2016	President	D_Clinton	60.92%	54.79%	61.76%	80.18%	82.88%	47.70%	56.76%	58.46%	
		R_Trump	36.19%	41.90%	34.88%	18.09%	15.39%	49.90%	40.56%	38.98%	
	US Senate	D_Murphy	55.43%	49.67%	55.92%	77.24%	77.67%	37.44%	48.20%	49.24%	
R_Rubio		41.30%	46.03%	40.32%	20.99%	20.18%	60.34%	49.43%	48.63%		
2014	Governor	D_Crist	59.33%	47.91%	55.49%	81.52%	84.02%	37.15%	52.07%	51.41%	
		R_Scott	37.10%	46.26%	40.09%	16.60%	14.28%	60.11%	45.06%	46.13%	
	Attorney General	D_Sheldon	55.70%	43.06%	52.45%	78.03%	81.43%	32.54%	46.83%	47.62%	
		R_Bondi	41.85%	54.00%	44.81%	20.66%	17.08%	65.13%	50.81%	50.30%	
	Chief Financial Officer	D_Rankin	55.92%	43.89%	50.63%	77.67%	81.51%	33.79%	46.85%	44.48%	
		R_Atwater	44.08%	56.11%	49.36%	22.32%	18.49%	66.20%	53.13%	55.52%	
Agriculture Commissioner	D_Hamilton	57.80%	40.71%	50.50%	79.21%	81.92%	33.60%	47.07%	45.47%		
	R_Putnam	42.18%	59.29%	49.50%	20.77%	18.07%	66.39%	52.92%	54.53%		
2012	President	D_Obama	63.57%	55.67%	60.78%	82.50%	85.78%	44.91%	55.48%	53.07%	
		R_Romney	35.62%	43.46%	38.48%	17.12%	13.88%	54.57%	43.97%	46.40%	
	US Senate	D_Nelson	67.35%	60.89%	65.14%	83.62%	85.64%	47.11%	57.03%	55.41%	
R_Mack		30.31%	36.34%	33.01%	15.26%	13.24%	50.65%	41.37%	43.23%		

Exhibit H - Part 2



Florida
Congressional Districts
S000C8002
 * * * * *
 Produced by
 Florida Senate
 Committee on Reapportionment
 * * * * *
 November 10, 2021


 Map produced by professional staff:
 Florida Senate Committee on Reapportionment
 404 S. Monroe St., Tallahassee, FL 32399-1100
 Office: 2000 The Capitol, Phone: (850) 487-5853;
 Website: <https://www.floridareistricting.gov>

Census and Boundary Statistics

Plan S000C8002

Dist.	Deviation		Voting Age Population:		Area (sq.mi.)	Perim. (mi.)	Convex Hull	Polsby- Popper	Reock Ratio	Counties:		Cities:		Political and Geographic Boundaries:					
	Total	%	Black	Hisp.						Whole	Parts	Whole	Parts	City	County	Road	Water	Rail	Non-Pol/Geo
1	0	0.00%	13.54%	6.68%	4,416	339	0.87	0.48	0.54	3	1	16	0	4%	78%	11%	54%	0%	4%
2	0	0.00%	13.67%	6.23%	15,802	872	0.72	0.26	0.31	15	5	56	4	5%	75%	19%	46%	0%	3%
3	0	0.00%	15.78%	10.42%	3,935	342	0.82	0.42	0.56	4	2	25	3	15%	73%	22%	25%	0%	5%
4	0	0.00%	10.79%	9.27%	1,565	340	0.66	0.17	0.32	1	2	6	2	24%	72%	17%	55%	2%	4%
5	0	0.00%	43.73%	9.04%	3,753	635	0.65	0.12	0.12	4	4	16	3	13%	74%	22%	13%	0%	2%
6	0	0.00%	9.69%	11.03%	2,753	333	0.73	0.31	0.33	1	3	20	5	5%	73%	7%	54%	2%	11%
7	0	0.00%	12.17%	23.77%	490	120	0.84	0.42	0.66	1	2	7	5	7%	40%	11%	29%	4%	39%
8	0	0.00%	9.82%	10.41%	2,191	269	0.73	0.38	0.31	1	2	21	0	0%	77%	12%	45%	0%	10%
9	0	0.00%	12.72%	51.89%	1,848	252	0.86	0.36	0.48	1	1	2	2	3%	76%	18%	31%	0%	6%
10	0	0.00%	28.58%	23.29%	445	107	0.87	0.49	0.50	0	1	9	4	17%	65%	24%	21%	5%	5%
11	0	0.00%	8.52%	10.49%	2,551	316	0.77	0.32	0.33	1	3	17	6	9%	65%	21%	40%	0%	11%
12	0	0.00%	6.55%	14.04%	1,764	194	0.90	0.59	0.55	2	1	8	1	3%	90%	10%	44%	0%	2%
13	0	0.00%	10.72%	9.05%	705	123	0.85	0.59	0.53	0	1	17	5	19%	62%	18%	74%	0%	7%
14	-1	0.00%	11.13%	26.93%	422	96	0.86	0.58	0.53	0	2	2	6	15%	27%	42%	20%	10%	7%
15	0	0.00%	21.98%	24.65%	677	109	0.97	0.71	0.59	0	1	2	1	9%	48%	35%	1%	12%	5%
16	0	0.00%	7.23%	13.73%	1,969	202	0.89	0.61	0.60	1	2	7	1	20%	58%	15%	53%	2%	5%
17	0	0.00%	9.02%	16.71%	5,797	382	0.82	0.50	0.47	6	2	11	2	4%	79%	10%	28%	1%	3%
18	0	0.00%	11.88%	14.70%	1,851	245	0.72	0.39	0.38	2	2	13	9	3%	65%	17%	43%	0%	14%
19	0	0.00%	4.41%	15.10%	1,916	242	0.79	0.41	0.33	0	2	7	1	3%	66%	18%	59%	1%	9%
20	0	0.00%	21.29%	22.50%	2,542	338	0.80	0.28	0.53	0	2	9	21	11%	39%	23%	10%	7%	23%
21	0	0.00%	15.76%	24.36%	260	101	0.64	0.32	0.29	0	2	4	7	16%	5%	38%	2%	6%	45%
22	0	0.00%	11.28%	21.03%	375	165	0.80	0.17	0.15	0	2	19	14	13%	40%	32%	42%	13%	9%
23	0	0.00%	18.49%	42.55%	210	71	0.85	0.52	0.58	0	1	3	10	41%	15%	35%	0%	3%	25%
24	0	0.00%	42.14%	37.69%	176	70	0.89	0.45	0.46	0	2	14	9	14%	34%	29%	45%	2%	21%
25	0	0.00%	7.92%	76.89%	3,658	369	0.66	0.34	0.40	1	2	10	4	6%	68%	13%	22%	0%	9%
26	0	0.00%	10.32%	73.35%	6,710	592	0.55	0.24	0.22	1	1	7	2	1%	88%	5%	88%	0%	1%
27	0	0.00%	7.07%	74.18%	280	70	0.95	0.73	0.71	0	1	6	2	10%	18%	34%	60%	0%	6%
28	0	0.00%	14.82%	23.29%	2,342	274	0.87	0.39	0.42	1	2	17	3	3%	87%	13%	26%	0%	6%

Overall numbers
of county and city splits:

	In Plan S000C8002	
Number of Counties	67	412
Counties with only one district	46	351
Districts with only one county	5	61
Counties split into more than one district	21	362
Counties with all population in a single district	46	132
Aggregate number of county splits	54	121
Aggregate number of splits with population	54	
Number of Cities		
Cities with only one district		
Cities split into more than one district		
Cities with all population in only one district		
Aggregate number of city splits		
Aggregate number of splits with population		

Split Counties and Cities

Plan S000C8002

Counties included in more than one district					
County	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%
Alachua	2	39,930	14.3%	156.6	16.2%
Alachua	3	238,538	85.7%	812.2	83.8%
Broward	20	451,192	23.2%	869.6	66.5%
Broward	21	318,032	16.4%	72.1	5.5%
Broward	22	313,480	16.1%	144.5	11.1%
Broward	23	769,221	39.6%	209.6	16.0%
Broward	24	92,450	4.8%	12.5	1.0%
Collier	19	215,578	57.4%	660.8	25.4%
Collier	25	160,174	42.6%	1,944.3	74.6%
Columbia	2	51,337	73.7%	350.3	43.7%
Columbia	5	18,361	26.3%	451.0	56.3%
Duval	4	505,744	50.8%	454.9	49.5%
Duval	5	489,823	49.2%	463.5	50.5%
Hillsborough	14	592,149	40.6%	299.1	22.5%
Hillsborough	15	769,221	52.7%	676.6	50.8%
Hillsborough	16	98,392	6.7%	356.2	26.7%
Indian River	8	145,456	91.0%	499.4	81.0%
Indian River	18	14,332	9.0%	117.5	19.1%
Jefferson	2	4,410	30.4%	400.1	59.5%
Jefferson	5	10,100	69.6%	272.5	40.5%
Lake	6	35,396	9.2%	375.2	32.4%
Lake	11	304,680	79.4%	555.7	48.0%
Lake	28	43,880	11.4%	226.0	19.5%
Lee	17	207,179	27.2%	260.0	17.2%
Lee	19	553,643	72.8%	1,254.9	82.8%
Leon	2	145,318	49.7%	488.5	69.6%
Leon	5	146,880	50.3%	213.3	30.4%
Marion	3	194,667	51.8%	1,102.6	66.3%
Marion	11	181,241	48.2%	560.1	33.7%
Miami-Dade	24	676,771	25.1%	163.3	6.8%
Miami-Dade	25	569,428	21.1%	524.8	22.0%
Miami-Dade	26	686,347	25.4%	1,420.9	59.5%
Miami-Dade	27	769,221	28.5%	280.3	11.7%
Orange	7	262,969	18.4%	82.2	8.2%
Orange	8	17,153	1.2%	134.4	13.4%
Orange	9	380,565	26.6%	341.6	34.0%
Orange	10	769,221	53.8%	445.3	44.4%
Palm Beach	18	267,232	17.9%	292.6	12.3%
Palm Beach	20	318,029	21.3%	1,672.5	70.2%
Palm Beach	21	451,189	30.2%	187.5	7.9%
Palm Beach	22	455,741	30.5%	230.6	9.7%
Pinellas	12	12,815	1.3%	33.9	3.9%
Pinellas	13	769,221	80.2%	705.3	81.8%
Pinellas	14	177,071	18.5%	123.3	14.3%
Sarasota	16	271,119	62.5%	649.2	66.5%
Sarasota	17	162,887	37.5%	326.4	33.5%
St. Johns	4	173,125	63.3%	384.1	46.8%
St. Johns	6	100,300	36.7%	437.5	53.3%
Sumter	11	129,457	99.8%	474.7	81.9%
Sumter	28	295	0.2%	105.1	18.1%
Volusia	6	518,147	93.6%	1,370.0	95.6%
Volusia	7	35,396	6.4%	62.4	4.4%
Walton	1	47,648	63.3%	821.1	58.3%
Walton	2	27,657	36.7%	588.3	41.7%

Counties included in more than one district					
County	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%

Counties included in more than one district					
County	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%

Counties included in more than one district					
County	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%

Split Counties and Cities

Plan S000C8002

Cities included in more than one district						Cities included in more than one district						Cities included in more than one district						Cities included in more than one district					
City	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%	City	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%	City	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%	City	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%
Belle Isle	9	219	3.1%	0.2	4.5%	Maitland	10	5,888	30.1%	1.7	26.7%	Tallahassee	2	82,107	41.9%	69.0	66.5%						
Belle Isle	10	6,813	96.9%	5.0	95.5%	Margate	20	12,910	22.0%	1.9	20.8%	Tallahassee	5	114,062	58.1%	34.8	33.6%						
Boynton Beach	20	19,290	24.0%	2.6	15.9%	Margate	21	45,802	78.0%	7.2	79.2%	Tampa	14	263,534	68.5%	126.1	71.7%						
Boynton Beach	22	61,090	76.0%	13.9	84.1%	Mascotte	11	4,121	62.4%	16.1	89.1%	Tampa	15	121,425	31.5%	49.8	28.3%						
Clearwater	13	82,282	70.2%	25.4	70.8%	Mascotte	28	2,488	37.7%	2.0	10.9%	Tarpon Springs	12	11,582	46.1%	10.2	57.5%						
Clearwater	14	35,010	29.9%	10.5	29.2%	Miami	24	98,349	22.2%	15.0	26.7%	Tarpon Springs	13	10,748	42.8%	5.8	32.6%						
Clermont	11	39,850	92.6%	16.3	85.3%	Miami	25	54,725	12.4%	4.8	8.5%	Tarpon Springs	14	2,787	11.1%	1.8	9.9%						
Clermont	28	3,171	7.4%	2.8	14.8%	Miami	27	289,167	65.4%	36.3	64.8%	Umattilla	6	1,559	42.3%	1.4	32.7%						
Coconut Creek	20	673	1.2%	0.6	4.6%	Miami Gardens	24	90,649	81.2%	15.2	79.8%	Umattilla	11	2,126	57.7%	2.9	67.3%						
Coconut Creek	21	57,160	98.8%	11.4	95.4%	Miami Gardens	25	20,991	18.8%	3.8	20.2%	Venice	16	12,178	47.8%	10.9	61.9%						
Cutler Bay	26	0	0.0%	0.0	0.1%	Miramar	23	76,594	56.9%	24.1	77.4%	Venice	17	13,285	52.2%	6.7	38.1%						
Cutler Bay	27	45,425	100.0%	10.3	99.9%	Miramar	24	58,127	43.2%	7.0	22.6%	West Palm Beach	18	17,935	15.3%	33.2	57.2%						
Dania Beach	22	9,673	30.5%	2.5	29.6%	Mount Dora	6	0	0.0%	0.0	0.1%	West Palm Beach	20	84,398	71.9%	21.3	36.8%						
Dania Beach	23	22,050	69.5%	5.9	70.4%	Mount Dora	11	16,341	100.0%	9.2	99.9%	West Palm Beach	22	15,082	12.9%	3.5	6.0%						
DeBary	6	9,468	42.5%	8.0	36.7%	Newberry	2	3,695	50.3%	35.4	60.4%	West Park	23	0	0.0%	0.0	0.3%						
DeBary	7	12,792	57.5%	13.8	63.4%	Newberry	3	3,647	49.7%	23.2	39.6%	West Park	24	15,130	100.0%	2.3	99.7%						
Deerfield Beach	20	29,350	33.8%	4.7	29.3%	North Palm Beach	18	13,162	100.0%	5.3	99.5%	Westlake	18	906	100.0%	4.3	66.0%						
Deerfield Beach	21	41,698	48.0%	8.5	52.6%	North Palm Beach	20	0	0.0%	0.0	0.6%	Westlake	20	0	0.0%	2.2	34.0%						
Deerfield Beach	22	15,811	18.2%	3.0	18.2%	Oakland Park	20	15,037	34.0%	3.5	43.0%	Weston	20	0	0.0%	0.3	1.0%						
Delray Beach	21	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%	Oakland Park	22	29,192	66.0%	4.7	57.0%	Weston	23	68,107	100.0%	25.8	99.0%						
Delray Beach	22	66,846	100.0%	16.5	100.0%	Ocala	3	63,183	99.4%	44.0	93.2%	Winter Park	7	23,065	77.4%	8.2	78.6%						
Deltona	6	76,307	81.4%	33.7	82.4%	Ocala	11	408	0.6%	3.2	6.8%	Winter Park	10	6,730	22.6%	2.2	21.4%						
Deltona	7	17,385	18.6%	7.2	17.6%	Opa-locka	24	9,537	57.9%	1.5	34.0%												
Fort Lauderdale	20	61,660	33.7%	13.2	36.4%	Opa-locka	25	6,926	42.1%	3.0	66.0%												
Fort Lauderdale	22	108,057	59.1%	20.5	56.5%	Orlando	7	22,957	7.5%	5.7	4.8%												
Fort Lauderdale	23	13,043	7.1%	2.6	7.2%	Orlando	9	103,806	33.8%	68.6	57.7%												
Fort Myers	17	32,184	37.3%	19.8	40.4%	Orlando	10	180,810	58.8%	44.5	37.5%												
Fort Myers	19	54,211	62.8%	29.2	59.6%	Palm Beach	18	2,634	28.5%	2.7	34.4%												
Gainesville	2	199	0.1%	1.0	1.5%	Palm Beach	22	6,611	71.5%	5.1	65.6%												
Gainesville	3	140,886	99.9%	63.4	98.5%	Palm Beach Gardens	18	58,910	99.5%	59.1	99.5%												
Groveland	11	16,864	91.1%	25.3	96.8%	Palm Beach Gardens	20	272	0.5%	0.3	0.5%												
Groveland	28	1,641	8.9%	0.8	3.2%	Pembroke Park	23	0	0.0%	0.0	0.8%												
Hallandale Beach	22	30,295	73.5%	3.2	70.1%	Pembroke Park	24	6,260	100.0%	1.7	29.2%												
Hallandale Beach	24	10,922	26.5%	1.4	29.9%	Pembroke Pines	23	170,725	99.7%	34.7	99.9%												
Hollywood	22	34,981	22.9%	12.0	38.9%	Pembroke Pines	24	453	0.3%	0.0	0.1%												
Hollywood	23	116,528	76.1%	18.6	60.5%	Pinellas Park	13	53,093	100.0%	16.8	100.0%												
Hollywood	24	1,558	1.0%	0.2	0.6%	Pinellas Park	14	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%												
Hypoluxo	20	546	20.3%	0.3	35.1%	Plantation	20	7,697	8.4%	1.3	5.9%												
Hypoluxo	22	2,141	79.7%	0.5	64.9%	Plantation	23	84,053	91.6%	20.8	94.1%												
Jacksonville	4	461,184	48.6%	413.0	47.2%	Pompano Beach	20	53,532	47.8%	10.5	42.6%												
Jacksonville	5	488,427	51.4%	461.5	52.8%	Pompano Beach	21	3,713	3.3%	3.7	14.8%												
Lake City	2	6,672	54.1%	6.1	49.9%	Pompano Beach	22	54,801	48.9%	10.5	42.6%												
Lake City	5	5,657	45.9%	6.1	50.1%	Riviera Beach	18	8,677	23.1%	2.8	29.5%												
Lake Park	18	1,245	13.8%	0.4	17.1%	Riviera Beach	20	28,927	76.9%	6.8	70.5%												
Lake Park	20	7,802	86.2%	2.0	82.9%	Royal Palm Beach	18	16,407	42.1%	5.0	42.9%												
Lake Worth Beach	20	19,656	46.6%	2.1	30.6%	Royal Palm Beach	20	17,861	45.9%	5.6	48.0%												
Lake Worth Beach	22	22,563	53.4%	4.7	69.4%	Royal Palm Beach	21	4,664	12.0%	1.1	9.1%												
Lantana	20	8,082	70.3%	1.6	52.4%	St. Augustine	4	2,447	17.1%	1.6	12.1%												
Lantana	22	3,422	29.8%	1.4	47.7%	St. Augustine	6	11,882	82.9%	11.3	87.9%												
Largo	13	75,101	91.1%	17.1	87.5%	St. Petersburg	13	241,005	93.3%	124.6	94.9%												
Largo	14	7,384	9.0%	2.5	12.5%	St. Petersburg	14	17,303	6.7%	6.7	5.1%												
Loxahatchee Groves	18	1,767	52.7%	7.0	56.0%	Sunrise	20	36,024	37.0%	4.4	24.4%												
Loxahatchee Groves	20	1,588	47.3%	5.5	43.7%	Sunrise	23	61,311	63.0%	13.7	75.6%												
Loxahatchee Groves	21	0	0.0%	0.0	0.4%	Sweetwater	25	0	0.0%	0.0	0.8%												
Maitland	7	13,655	69.9%	4.8	73.3%	Sweetwater	26	19,363	100.0%	2.3	99.3%												

Functional Analysis - Summary

Plan S000C8002

Dist	2020 Census		2020 General Election Registered Voters																
	VAP who are:		RV who are:			RV who are:		Black Voters who are:			Hisp. Voters who are:			DEM who are:		REP who are:		NPA/Oth who are:	
	Black	Hisp.	DEM	REP	OTH	Black	Hisp.	DEM	REP	NPA/Oth	DEM	REP	NPA/Oth	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.
5	13.73%	9.04%	54.33%	26.24%	19.43%	43.53%	4.83%	84.10%	2.75%	13.14%	44.56%	21.06%	34.20%	67.37%	3.96%	4.57%	3.87%	29.43%	8.50%
9	12.72%	51.89%	42.29%	22.62%	35.09%	9.13%	46.15%	71.84%	3.92%	24.23%	46.78%	13.61%	39.62%	15.51%	51.05%	1.58%	27.75%	6.30%	52.10%
10	28.59%	23.29%	45.15%	26.06%	28.79%	24.62%	16.03%	77.90%	3.23%	18.84%	45.57%	15.95%	38.45%	42.48%	16.18%	3.05%	9.81%	16.11%	21.41%
20	51.29%	22.50%	62.08%	13.26%	24.66%	49.00%	14.00%	81.57%	2.49%	15.90%	46.61%	16.83%	36.49%	64.39%	10.51%	9.19%	17.78%	31.60%	20.72%
24	22.14%	37.60%	60.14%	12.42%	27.45%	43.89%	26.74%	82.53%	2.43%	15.03%	42.80%	20.02%	37.16%	60.22%	19.03%	8.60%	43.09%	24.03%	36.20%
25	7.92%	75.89%	31.37%	36.55%	32.08%	6.92%	63.04%	79.78%	3.94%	16.18%	29.21%	36.00%	34.78%	17.59%	59.64%	0.75%	63.07%	3.49%	69.43%
26	10.32%	73.37%	33.92%	32.58%	33.51%	8.67%	64.02%	77.59%	3.48%	18.90%	28.78%	35.47%	35.75%	19.84%	54.23%	0.93%	69.60%	4.89%	68.18%
27	7.07%	74.13%	34.57%	33.39%	32.04%	6.14%	62.58%	78.63%	3.68%	17.61%	28.03%	38.96%	33.00%	13.97%	50.91%	0.68%	73.27%	3.38%	64.68%

Functional Analysis - Summary

Plan S000C8002


Dist.	2020 Census		Average Primary Election Turnout						Average General Election Turnout									General Election Performance in Statewide Elections 2012-2020											
	VAP who are:		DEM who are:		REP who are:		Voters who are:			DEM who are:		REP who are:		NPAOth who are:			Black Voters who are:			Hisp. Voters who are:			Avg. Perf.		Wins		Margins		
	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	DEM	REP	NPAOth	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	DEM	REP	NPAOth	DEM	REP	NPAOth	DEM	REP	DEM	REP	DEM	REP	MAX	MIN
5	43.73%	9.04%	66.22%	1.13%	2.82%	1.52%	58.12%	28.64%	13.23%	65.88%	2.59%	3.31%	2.84%	25.96%	6.79%	89.70%	2.22%	8.06%	45.58%	25.76%	28.21%	58.5%	40.1%	14	0	D +32.4%	D +7.1%	D +18.8%	
9	12.72%	51.89%	18.03%	36.34%	0.97%	14.47%	44.15%	28.38%	27.46%	16.95%	44.89%	1.30%	20.25%	6.02%	43.36%	78.69%	3.86%	17.40%	52.97%	15.24%	31.77%	57.9%	40.3%	12	2	D +36.3%	R +0.8%	D +18%	
10	28.58%	23.29%	49.09%	7.68%	1.93%	4.75%	45.84%	31.97%	22.18%	44.93%	12.67%	2.18%	7.01%	14.00%	16.57%	84.30%	2.85%	12.79%	49.39%	19.08%	31.34%	57.5%	40.9%	12	2	D +29.3%	R +1.2%	D +17.2%	
20	51.21%	22.50%	67.06%	3.92%	6.62%	9.04%	67.66%	13.66%	18.68%	65.08%	7.88%	7.52%	13.65%	29.96%	17.23%	86.84%	2.02%	11.05%	50.67%	18.00%	30.82%	79.4%	19.7%	14	0	D +67.9%	D +5.3%	D +59.9%	
24	42.14%	37.69%	67.58%	10.58%	6.83%	47.08%	66.63%	12.09%	21.27%	62.94%	15.59%	7.51%	42.23%	23.99%	34.08%	87.46%	1.89%	10.60%	45.30%	22.78%	31.86%	80.4%	18.8%	14	0	D +68.1%	D +49.5%	D +61.7%	
25	7.92%	74.39%	26.69%	42.77%	0.44%	61.75%	32.90%	41.37%	25.73%	20.75%	53.41%	0.56%	61.41%	3.35%	67.08%	85.91%	2.98%	10.99%	29.08%	42.29%	28.62%	45.0%	53.8%	3	11	R +20.3%	D +2.8%	R +8.6%	
26	10.32%	73.35%	22.58%	36.25%	0.57%	65.42%	35.69%	36.75%	27.56%	21.18%	47.58%	0.77%	66.18%	4.67%	64.29%	82.77%	3.10%	14.10%	28.65%	41.34%	30.00%	50.7%	48.0%	9	5	D +15.7%	R +2.2%	D +3%	
27	7.07%	74.19%	17.87%	36.73%	0.39%	75.66%	35.72%	38.10%	26.18%	15.24%	45.38%	0.52%	72.01%	3.20%	63.12%	83.83%	3.09%	12.95%	26.85%	45.71%	27.44%	50.6%	48.3%	9	5	D +17.4%	R +0.6%	D +2.7%	

Functional Analysis - Returns

		5	9	10	20	24	25	26	27	
Plan S000C8002		BVAP 43.73%	12.72%	28.58%	44.29%	42.14%	7.92%	10.32%	7.07%	
Primary Elections		HVAP 9.04%	51.89%	23.29%	22.50%	37.69%	75.88%	73.35%	74.18%	
2018	Governor (REP)	R_Baldauf	0.70%	0.89%	0.69%	1.34%	1.89%	1.96%	1.82%	1.50%
		R_DeSantis	52.43%	52.06%	52.08%	61.25%	66.63%	65.91%	67.74%	67.68%
		R_Devine	1.13%	2.07%	1.41%	2.05%	3.16%	2.92%	3.33%	3.09%
		R_Langford	1.15%	1.53%	1.63%	1.72%	1.90%	1.41%	1.72%	1.54%
		R_Mercadante	0.43%	1.30%	0.74%	1.48%	2.08%	1.94%	2.05%	2.14%
		R_Nathan	0.72%	1.00%	0.80%	1.51%	2.67%	1.14%	1.42%	1.39%
		R_Putnam	41.63%	38.39%	40.26%	26.48%	16.76%	21.89%	18.17%	18.85%
	R_White	1.64%	2.66%	2.06%	2.62%	3.86%	2.63%	3.53%	3.46%	
	Governor (DEM)	D_Gillum	58.39%	29.37%	45.52%	54.49%	50.41%	33.02%	31.82%	28.95%
		D_Graham	22.26%	29.78%	28.36%	13.17%	11.16%	19.28%	21.15%	22.65%
		D_Greene	5.74%	14.10%	8.69%	10.07%	9.35%	9.67%	10.61%	7.95%
		D_King	1.45%	4.42%	3.73%	0.96%	0.73%	2.38%	2.10%	1.54%
		D_Levine	10.70%	19.37%	12.44%	20.37%	27.51%	32.70%	32.23%	37.17%
		D_Lundmark	0.49%	1.17%	0.43%	0.29%	0.36%	1.37%	0.91%	0.78%
	D_Wetherbee	0.84%	1.70%	0.65%	0.35%	0.30%	1.26%	0.97%	0.68%	
	Attorney General (REP)	R_Moody	57.78%	54.13%	55.38%	56.38%	53.06%	52.24%	54.81%	54.80%
		R_White	42.22%	45.85%	44.61%	43.05%	46.57%	47.70%	45.11%	45.20%
	Attorney General (DEM)	D_Shaw	78.66%	60.47%	74.45%	81.47%	82.10%	67.74%	69.57%	74.10%
		D_Torrens	21.31%	39.52%	25.57%	18.49%	17.90%	32.18%	30.42%	25.91%
	Agriculture Commissioner (REP)	R_Caldwell	35.65%	36.39%	34.81%	43.34%	39.63%	42.50%	42.07%	40.18%
R_Grimsley		21.36%	31.75%	31.44%	25.53%	31.18%	29.61%	31.58%	32.69%	
R_McCalister		8.71%	16.31%	15.41%	20.22%	16.84%	12.68%	16.61%	16.76%	
R_Troutman		34.14%	15.47%	18.25%	8.87%	10.94%	15.08%	9.61%	10.37%	
Agriculture Commissioner (DEM)	D_Fried	60.09%	54.87%	55.24%	63.08%	59.01%	52.27%	53.24%	59.89%	
	D_Porter	20.04%	18.96%	17.51%	16.50%	17.38%	20.11%	20.44%	15.12%	
	D_Walker	19.85%	26.15%	27.25%	20.37%	23.62%	27.48%	26.21%	24.88%	
US Senate (REP)	R_De La Fuente	10.22%	10.25%	11.19%	14.51%	15.51%	9.79%	12.28%	12.64%	
	R_Scott	89.70%	89.72%	88.75%	84.78%	83.97%	90.12%	87.65%	87.32%	
2016	US Senate (REP)	R_Beruff	22.32%	17.31%	17.64%	14.23%	8.49%	8.88%	6.42%	5.59%
		R_Rivera	3.73%	3.23%	2.40%	4.62%	3.19%	2.25%	2.93%	1.89%
		R_Rubio	68.00%	71.67%	74.47%	70.06%	80.18%	85.26%	85.70%	88.87%
		R_Young	5.84%	7.69%	5.25%	9.13%	7.31%	3.55%	4.86%	3.46%
US Senate (DEM)	D_De La Fuente	4.13%	15.25%	3.90%	2.87%	5.45%	19.42%	13.76%	12.17%	
	D_Grayson	17.53%	45.90%	40.69%	9.80%	10.83%	11.17%	11.15%	11.20%	
	D_Keith	15.17%	9.58%	12.67%	14.48%	13.84%	13.81%	15.62%	17.86%	
	D_Luster	12.09%	1.26%	2.28%	2.37%	2.64%	2.02%	1.68%	1.54%	
	D_Murphy	50.94%	27.88%	40.26%	69.92%	66.94%	53.13%	57.51%	56.90%	
2014	Governor (REP)	R_Adeshina	1.32%	1.74%	1.63%	2.72%	2.96%	1.49%	1.76%	1.80%
		R_Cuevas-Neunder	8.14%	12.32%	9.55%	14.51%	16.13%	10.73%	15.18%	13.26%
		R_Scott	90.46%	85.83%	88.59%	80.82%	80.04%	87.64%	82.94%	84.83%
	Governor (DEM)	D_Crist	74.34%	76.23%	78.97%	83.85%	84.40%	76.83%	78.42%	73.97%
		D_Rich	25.59%	23.71%	21.01%	15.96%	15.57%	22.87%	21.45%	25.89%
	Attorney General (DEM)	D_Sheldon	60.85%	60.81%	49.60%	38.93%	46.72%	58.63%	61.40%	65.56%
D_Thurston	39.16%	39.12%	50.42%	60.97%	53.26%	41.07%	38.45%	34.36%		
2012	US Senate (REP)	R_Mack	57.58%	48.40%	58.28%	64.02%	71.58%	73.49%	73.64%	77.14%
		R_McCalister	18.69%	12.02%	10.83%	13.00%	6.70%	7.94%	7.35%	5.19%
		R_Stuart	5.97%	6.84%	4.85%	7.03%	13.09%	12.52%	13.26%	13.00%
	R_Weldon	17.46%	32.53%	25.74%	13.11%	7.89%	5.87%	5.66%	4.47%	
	US Senate (DEM)	D_Burkett	22.04%	19.85%	13.56%	14.16%	14.01%	21.25%	18.39%	14.76%
D_Nelson		77.91%	80.11%	86.31%	85.65%	85.93%	78.60%	81.48%	85.12%	

Functional Analysis - Returns

			5	9	10	20	24	25	26	27	
Plan 5000C8002			BVAP	43.73%	12.72%	28.58%	53.29%	42.14%	7.92%	10.32%	7.07%
General Elections			HVAP	9.04%	51.89%	23.29%	22.50%	37.69%	76.88%	79.30%	74.14%
2020	President	D_Biden	60.23%	58.97%	61.61%	76.73%	74.46%	40.94%	46.43%	49.44%	
		R_Trump	38.62%	40.04%	37.39%	22.66%	25.01%	58.52%	52.99%	50.01%	
2018	Governor	D_Gillum	62.51%	62.25%	62.24%	81.10%	81.60%	46.13%	52.49%	53.18%	
		R_DeSantis	36.60%	36.40%	36.74%	18.30%	17.69%	52.48%	46.31%	45.75%	
	Attorney General	D_Shaw	59.25%	58.93%	58.47%	79.41%	80.18%	44.42%	50.86%	51.99%	
		R_Moody	39.21%	39.07%	39.89%	19.31%	18.26%	53.57%	46.94%	46.10%	
	Chief Financial Officer	D_Ring	60.38%	61.36%	60.29%	80.85%	81.66%	45.77%	51.93%	52.59%	
		R_Patronis	39.62%	38.64%	39.70%	19.13%	18.33%	54.22%	48.07%	47.41%	
Agriculture Commissioner	D_Fried	61.38%	62.76%	62.19%	80.99%	82.16%	46.90%	53.44%	54.63%		
	R_Caldwell	38.63%	37.24%	37.81%	18.99%	17.84%	53.09%	46.56%	45.38%		
US Senate	D_Nelson	62.25%	60.97%	62.06%	80.85%	81.53%	46.43%	53.46%	54.47%		
	R_Scott	37.75%	39.02%	37.94%	19.15%	18.47%	53.56%	46.54%	45.52%		
2016	President	D_Clinton	58.51%	62.85%	60.06%	78.80%	81.14%	52.52%	56.46%	57.42%	
		R_Trump	38.61%	33.71%	36.40%	19.45%	17.18%	45.19%	40.81%	40.04%	
	US Senate	D_Murphy	52.82%	55.80%	54.84%	76.67%	76.07%	42.39%	47.69%	47.78%	
R_Rubio		43.90%	40.13%	41.35%	21.34%	21.84%	55.39%	49.92%	50.17%		
2014	Governor	D_Crist	56.54%	53.29%	54.73%	80.78%	82.30%	43.07%	51.20%	50.00%	
		R_Scott	39.85%	41.58%	40.71%	17.08%	16.11%	54.20%	45.89%	47.55%	
	Attorney General	D_Sheldon	53.20%	49.61%	51.87%	77.11%	79.93%	38.75%	45.82%	46.02%	
		R_Bondi	44.31%	47.53%	45.25%	21.46%	18.65%	58.90%	51.75%	51.96%	
	Chief Financial Officer	D_Rankin	53.56%	49.60%	49.35%	76.50%	79.12%	40.29%	45.88%	43.48%	
		R_Atwater	46.43%	50.39%	50.66%	23.50%	20.88%	59.71%	54.12%	56.52%	
Agriculture Commissioner	D_Hamilton	55.57%	48.36%	49.39%	78.25%	79.87%	39.85%	46.04%	44.30%		
	R_Putnam	44.42%	51.64%	50.60%	21.76%	20.12%	60.13%	53.95%	55.69%		
2012	President	D_Obama	61.03%	62.43%	59.08%	81.97%	82.87%	51.14%	54.83%	52.22%	
		R_Romney	38.14%	36.74%	40.13%	17.61%	16.77%	48.38%	44.61%	47.27%	
	US Senate	D_Nelson	65.00%	66.89%	63.71%	83.37%	83.54%	52.83%	56.33%	54.47%	
R_Mack		32.61%	30.61%	34.42%	15.43%	15.43%	45.03%	42.03%	44.15%		



Legend

- County boundary
- Interstate
- US Highway
- Major road
- Sea, lake, or river
- District boundary & number

Scale bar for statewide map
 0 5 10 20 30 40 50 60 Miles

Jacksonville Area

Map showing districts 3, 4, 5, and 6 in the Jacksonville area, including counties Nassau, Duval, and St. Johns.

Tampa Bay Area

Map showing districts 12, 13, 14, 15, and 16 in the Tampa Bay area, including counties Pasco, Hillsborough, Pinellas, and Manatee.

Orlando Area

Map showing districts 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, and 28 in the Orlando area, including counties Seminole, Orange, and Polk.

Florida
Congressional Districts
S000C8004
 * * * * *
 Produced by
 Florida Senate
 Committee on Reapportionment
 * * * * *
 November 10, 2021

Scale bar for insets
 0 5 10 20 Miles



Map produced by professional staff:
 Florida Senate Committee on Reapportionment
 404 S. Monroe St., Tallahassee, FL 32399-1100
 Office: 2000 The Capitol, Phone: (850) 487-5853;
 Website: <https://www.floridareistricting.gov>

Plan S000C8004

Dist.	Deviation		Voting Age Population:		Area (sq.mi.)	Perim. (mi.)	Convex Hull	Polsby-Popper	Reock Ratio	Counties:		Cities:		Political and Geographic Boundaries:					
	Total	%	Black	Hisp.						Whole	Parts	Whole	Parts	City	County	Road	Water	Rail	Non-Pol/Geo
1	0	0.00%	13.55%	6.69%	4,416	329	0.87	0.51	0.54	3	1	15	2	2%	81%	14%	55%	0%	2%
2	0	0.00%	13.32%	6.21%	15,879	884	0.71	0.26	0.28	15	5	55	4	4%	76%	19%	47%	0%	4%
3	0	0.00%	16.08%	10.45%	3,864	308	0.88	0.51	0.70	5	1	28	0	17%	74%	15%	24%	1%	9%
4	0	0.00%	10.79%	9.27%	1,565	340	0.66	0.17	0.32	1	2	6	2	24%	72%	17%	55%	2%	4%
5	0	0.00%	43.73%	9.04%	3,753	635	0.65	0.12	0.12	4	4	16	3	13%	74%	22%	13%	0%	2%
6	0	0.00%	9.69%	11.03%	2,753	333	0.73	0.31	0.33	1	3	20	5	5%	73%	7%	54%	2%	11%
7	0	0.00%	12.17%	23.77%	490	120	0.84	0.42	0.66	1	2	7	5	7%	40%	11%	29%	4%	39%
8	0	0.00%	9.82%	10.41%	2,191	269	0.73	0.38	0.31	1	2	21	0	0%	77%	12%	45%	0%	10%
9	0	0.00%	12.72%	51.89%	1,848	252	0.86	0.36	0.48	1	1	2	2	3%	76%	18%	31%	0%	6%
10	0	0.00%	28.58%	23.29%	445	107	0.87	0.49	0.50	0	1	9	4	17%	65%	24%	21%	5%	5%
11	0	0.00%	8.58%	10.50%	2,546	318	0.77	0.32	0.33	1	3	17	5	11%	64%	20%	40%	1%	10%
12	0	0.00%	6.55%	14.04%	1,764	194	0.90	0.59	0.55	2	1	8	1	3%	90%	10%	44%	0%	2%
13	0	0.00%	10.72%	9.05%	705	123	0.85	0.59	0.53	0	1	17	5	19%	62%	18%	74%	0%	7%
14	-1	0.00%	11.13%	26.93%	422	96	0.86	0.58	0.53	0	2	2	6	15%	27%	42%	20%	10%	7%
15	0	0.00%	21.98%	24.65%	677	109	0.97	0.71	0.59	0	1	2	1	9%	48%	35%	1%	12%	5%
16	0	0.00%	7.23%	13.73%	1,969	202	0.89	0.61	0.60	1	2	7	1	20%	58%	15%	53%	2%	5%
17	0	0.00%	9.02%	16.71%	5,797	382	0.82	0.50	0.47	6	2	11	2	4%	79%	10%	28%	1%	3%
18	0	0.00%	11.88%	14.70%	1,851	245	0.72	0.39	0.38	2	2	13	9	3%	65%	17%	43%	0%	14%
19	0	0.00%	4.41%	15.10%	1,916	242	0.79	0.41	0.33	0	2	7	1	3%	66%	18%	59%	1%	9%
20	0	0.00%	21.29%	22.50%	2,542	338	0.80	0.28	0.53	0	2	9	21	11%	39%	23%	10%	7%	23%
21	0	0.00%	13.79%	22.85%	361	125	0.70	0.29	0.40	0	1	15	9	9%	19%	32%	30%	10%	27%
22	0	0.00%	13.10%	22.43%	274	122	0.69	0.23	0.33	0	2	8	10	16%	33%	21%	36%	13%	22%
23	0	0.00%	18.49%	42.55%	210	71	0.85	0.52	0.58	0	1	3	10	41%	15%	35%	0%	3%	25%
24	0	0.00%	42.14%	37.69%	176	70	0.89	0.45	0.46	0	2	14	9	14%	34%	29%	45%	2%	21%
25	0	0.00%	7.92%	76.89%	3,658	369	0.66	0.34	0.40	1	2	10	4	6%	68%	13%	22%	0%	9%
26	0	0.00%	10.32%	73.35%	6,710	592	0.55	0.24	0.22	1	1	7	2	1%	88%	5%	88%	0%	1%
27	0	0.00%	7.07%	74.18%	280	70	0.95	0.73	0.71	0	1	6	2	10%	18%	34%	60%	0%	6%
28	0	0.00%	14.82%	23.29%	2,342	274	0.87	0.39	0.42	1	2	17	3	3%	87%	13%	26%	0%	6%

Overall numbers of county and city splits:

	In Plan S000C8004	
Number of Counties	67	412
Counties with only one district	47	352
Districts with only one county	6	60
Counties split into more than one district	20	362
Counties with all population in a single district	47	128
Aggregate number of county splits	52	118
Aggregate number of splits with population	52	
Number of Cities		
Cities with only one district		
Cities split into more than one district		
Cities with all population in only one district		
Aggregate number of city splits		
Aggregate number of splits with population		

Split Counties and Cities

Plan S000C8004

Counties included in more than one district					
County	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%
Broward	20	451,192	23.2%	869.6	66.5%
Broward	22	631,512	32.5%	216.6	16.6%
Broward	23	769,221	39.6%	209.6	16.0%
Broward	24	92,450	4.8%	12.5	1.0%
Collier	19	215,578	57.4%	660.8	25.4%
Collier	25	160,174	42.6%	1,944.3	74.6%
Columbia	2	51,337	73.7%	350.3	43.7%
Columbia	5	18,361	26.3%	451.0	56.3%
Duval	4	505,744	50.8%	454.9	49.5%
Duval	5	489,823	49.2%	463.5	50.5%
Hillsborough	14	592,149	40.6%	299.1	22.5%
Hillsborough	15	769,221	52.7%	676.6	50.8%
Hillsborough	16	98,392	6.7%	356.2	26.7%
Indian River	8	145,456	91.0%	499.4	81.0%
Indian River	18	14,332	9.0%	117.5	19.1%
Jefferson	2	4,410	30.4%	400.1	59.5%
Jefferson	5	10,100	69.6%	272.5	40.5%
Lake	6	35,396	9.2%	375.2	32.4%
Lake	11	304,680	79.4%	555.7	48.0%
Lake	28	43,880	11.4%	226.0	19.5%
Lee	17	207,179	27.2%	260.0	17.2%
Lee	19	553,643	72.8%	1,254.9	82.8%
Leon	2	145,318	49.7%	488.5	69.6%
Leon	5	146,880	50.3%	213.3	30.4%
Marion	2	39,930	10.6%	233.6	14.1%
Marion	3	154,737	41.2%	874.2	52.6%
Marion	11	181,241	48.2%	554.9	33.4%
Miami-Dade	24	676,771	25.1%	163.3	6.8%
Miami-Dade	25	569,428	21.1%	524.8	22.0%
Miami-Dade	26	686,347	25.4%	1,420.9	59.5%
Miami-Dade	27	769,221	28.5%	280.3	11.7%
Orange	7	262,969	18.4%	82.2	8.2%
Orange	8	17,153	1.2%	134.4	13.4%
Orange	9	380,565	26.6%	341.6	34.0%
Orange	10	769,221	53.8%	445.3	44.4%
Palm Beach	18	267,232	17.9%	292.6	12.3%
Palm Beach	20	318,029	21.3%	1,672.5	70.2%
Palm Beach	21	769,221	51.6%	360.5	15.1%
Palm Beach	22	137,709	9.2%	57.6	2.4%
Pinellas	12	12,815	1.3%	33.9	3.9%
Pinellas	13	769,221	80.2%	705.3	81.8%
Pinellas	14	177,071	18.5%	123.3	14.3%
Sarasota	16	271,119	62.5%	649.2	66.5%
Sarasota	17	162,887	37.5%	326.4	33.5%
St. Johns	4	173,125	63.3%	384.1	46.8%
St. Johns	6	100,300	36.7%	437.5	53.3%
Sumter	11	129,457	99.8%	474.7	81.9%
Sumter	28	295	0.2%	105.1	18.1%
Volusia	6	518,147	93.6%	1,370.0	95.6%
Volusia	7	35,396	6.4%	62.4	4.4%
Walton	1	47,648	63.3%	821.3	58.3%
Walton	2	27,657	36.7%	588.1	41.7%

Counties included in more than one district					
County	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%

Counties included in more than one district					
County	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%

Counties included in more than one district					
County	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%

Functional Analysis - Summary

Plan S000C8004

Dist	2020 Census		2020 General Election Registered Voters																
	VAP who are:		RV who are:			RV who are:		Black Voters who are:			Hisp. Voters who are:			DEM who are:		REP who are:		NPA/Oth who are:	
	Black	Hisp.	DEM	REP	OTH	Black	Hisp.	DEM	REP	NPA/Oth	DEM	REP	NPA/Oth	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.
5	13.73%	9.04%	54.33%	26.24%	19.43%	43.53%	4.83%	84.10%	2.75%	13.14%	44.56%	21.06%	34.20%	67.37%	3.96%	4.57%	3.87%	29.43%	8.50%
9	12.72%	51.89%	42.29%	22.62%	35.09%	9.13%	46.15%	71.84%	3.92%	24.23%	46.78%	13.61%	39.62%	15.51%	51.05%	1.58%	27.75%	6.30%	52.10%
10	28.59%	23.29%	45.15%	26.06%	28.79%	24.62%	16.03%	77.90%	3.23%	18.84%	45.57%	15.95%	38.45%	42.48%	16.18%	3.05%	9.81%	16.11%	21.41%
20	51.29%	22.50%	62.08%	13.26%	24.66%	49.00%	14.00%	81.58%	2.49%	15.90%	46.61%	16.83%	36.49%	64.39%	10.51%	9.19%	17.78%	31.60%	20.72%
24	22.14%	37.60%	60.14%	12.42%	27.45%	43.89%	26.74%	82.53%	2.43%	15.03%	42.80%	20.02%	37.16%	60.22%	19.03%	8.60%	43.09%	24.03%	36.20%
25	7.92%	75.89%	31.37%	36.55%	32.08%	6.92%	63.04%	79.78%	3.94%	16.18%	29.21%	36.00%	34.78%	17.59%	59.64%	0.75%	63.07%	3.49%	69.43%
26	10.32%	73.37%	33.92%	32.58%	33.51%	8.67%	64.02%	77.59%	3.48%	18.90%	28.78%	35.47%	35.75%	19.84%	54.23%	0.93%	69.60%	4.89%	68.18%
27	7.07%	74.13%	34.57%	33.39%	32.04%	6.14%	62.58%	78.63%	3.68%	17.61%	28.03%	38.96%	33.00%	13.97%	50.91%	0.68%	73.27%	3.38%	64.68%

Functional Analysis - Summary

Plan S000C8004

Dist.	2020 Census		Average Primary Election Turnout						Average General Election Turnout									General Election Performance in Statewide Elections 2012-2020											
	VAP who are:		DEM who are:		REP who are:		Voters who are:			DEM who are:		REP who are:		NPAOth who are:			Black Voters who are:			Hisp. Voters who are:			Avg. Perf.		Wins		Margins		
	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	DEM	REP	NPAOth	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	DEM	REP	NPAOth	DEM	REP	NPAOth	DEM	REP	DEM	REP	DEM	REP	MAX	MIN
5	43.73%	9.04%	66.22%	1.13%	2.82%	1.52%	58.12%	28.64%	13.23%	65.88%	2.59%	3.31%	2.84%	25.96%	6.79%	89.70%	2.22%	8.06%	45.58%	25.76%	28.21%	58.5%	40.1%	14	0	D +32.4%	D +7.1%	D +18.8%	
9	12.72%	51.89%	18.03%	36.34%	0.97%	14.47%	44.15%	28.38%	27.46%	16.95%	44.89%	1.30%	20.25%	6.02%	43.36%	78.69%	3.86%	17.40%	52.97%	15.24%	31.77%	57.9%	40.3%	12	2	D +36.3%	R +0.8%	D +18%	
10	28.58%	23.29%	49.09%	7.68%	1.93%	4.75%	45.84%	31.97%	22.18%	44.93%	12.67%	2.18%	7.01%	14.00%	16.57%	84.30%	2.85%	12.79%	49.39%	19.08%	31.34%	57.5%	40.9%	12	2	D +29.3%	R +1.2%	D +17.2%	
20	51.21%	22.50%	67.07%	3.93%	6.62%	9.08%	67.66%	13.66%	18.68%	65.08%	7.88%	7.52%	13.65%	29.96%	17.23%	86.84%	2.02%	11.05%	50.68%	18.00%	30.82%	79.4%	19.7%	14	0	D +67.9%	D +5.3%	D +59.9%	
24	42.14%	37.69%	67.58%	10.58%	6.83%	47.08%	66.63%	12.09%	21.27%	62.94%	15.59%	7.51%	42.23%	23.99%	34.08%	87.46%	1.89%	10.60%	45.30%	22.78%	31.86%	80.4%	18.8%	14	0	D +68.1%	D +49.5%	D +61.7%	
25	7.92%	74.39%	26.69%	42.77%	0.44%	61.75%	32.90%	41.37%	25.73%	20.75%	53.41%	0.56%	61.41%	3.35%	67.08%	85.91%	2.98%	10.99%	29.08%	42.29%	28.62%	45.0%	53.8%	3	11	R +20.3%	D +2.8%	R +8.6%	
26	10.32%	73.35%	22.58%	36.25%	0.57%	65.42%	35.69%	36.75%	27.56%	21.18%	47.58%	0.77%	66.18%	4.67%	64.29%	82.77%	3.10%	14.10%	28.65%	41.34%	30.00%	50.7%	48.0%	9	5	D +15.7%	R +2.2%	D +3%	
27	7.07%	74.19%	17.87%	36.73%	0.39%	75.66%	35.72%	38.10%	26.18%	15.24%	45.38%	0.52%	72.01%	3.20%	63.12%	83.83%	3.09%	12.95%	26.85%	45.71%	27.44%	50.6%	48.3%	9	5	D +17.4%	R +0.6%	D +2.7%	

Functional Analysis - Returns

		5	9	10	20	24	25	26	27	
Plan S000C8004		BVAP 43.73%	12.72%	28.58%	54.29%	42.14%	7.92%	10.32%	7.07%	
Primary Elections		HVAP 9.04%	51.89%	23.29%	22.50%	37.69%	75.88%	73.35%	74.18%	
2018	Governor (REP)	R_Baldauf	0.70%	0.89%	0.69%	1.34%	1.89%	1.96%	1.82%	1.50%
		R_DeSantis	52.43%	52.06%	52.08%	61.24%	66.63%	65.91%	67.74%	67.68%
		R_Devine	1.13%	2.07%	1.41%	2.06%	3.16%	2.92%	3.33%	3.09%
		R_Langford	1.15%	1.53%	1.63%	1.72%	1.90%	1.41%	1.72%	1.54%
		R_Mercadante	0.43%	1.30%	0.74%	1.50%	2.08%	1.94%	2.05%	2.14%
		R_Nathan	0.72%	1.00%	0.80%	1.52%	2.67%	1.14%	1.42%	1.39%
		R_Putnam	41.63%	38.39%	40.26%	26.47%	16.76%	21.89%	18.17%	18.85%
	R_White	1.64%	2.66%	2.06%	2.62%	3.86%	2.63%	3.53%	3.46%	
	Governor (DEM)	D_Gillum	58.39%	29.37%	45.52%	54.49%	50.41%	33.02%	31.82%	28.95%
		D_Graham	22.26%	29.78%	28.36%	13.17%	11.16%	19.28%	21.15%	22.65%
		D_Greene	5.74%	14.10%	8.69%	10.07%	9.35%	9.67%	10.61%	7.95%
		D_King	1.45%	4.42%	3.73%	0.96%	0.73%	2.38%	2.10%	1.54%
		D_Levine	10.70%	19.37%	12.44%	20.38%	27.51%	32.70%	32.23%	37.17%
		D_Lundmark	0.49%	1.17%	0.43%	0.29%	0.36%	1.37%	0.91%	0.78%
		D_Wetherbee	0.84%	1.70%	0.65%	0.35%	0.30%	1.26%	0.97%	0.68%
	Attorney General (REP)	R_Moody	57.78%	54.13%	55.38%	56.36%	53.06%	52.24%	54.81%	54.80%
		R_White	42.22%	45.85%	44.61%	43.07%	46.57%	47.70%	45.11%	45.20%
	Attorney General (DEM)	D_Shaw	78.66%	60.47%	74.45%	81.47%	82.10%	67.74%	69.57%	74.10%
		D_Torrens	21.31%	39.52%	25.57%	18.48%	17.90%	32.18%	30.42%	25.91%
	Agriculture Commissioner (REP)	R_Caldwell	35.65%	36.39%	34.81%	43.36%	39.63%	42.50%	42.07%	40.18%
R_Grimsley		21.36%	31.75%	31.44%	25.56%	31.18%	29.61%	31.58%	32.69%	
R_McCalister		8.71%	16.31%	15.41%	20.28%	16.84%	12.68%	16.61%	16.76%	
R_Troutman		34.14%	15.47%	18.25%	8.89%	10.94%	15.08%	9.61%	10.37%	
Agriculture Commissioner (DEM)	D_Fried	60.09%	54.87%	55.24%	63.08%	59.01%	52.27%	53.24%	59.89%	
	D_Porter	20.04%	18.96%	17.51%	16.50%	17.38%	20.11%	20.44%	15.12%	
	D_Walker	19.85%	26.15%	27.25%	20.37%	23.62%	27.48%	26.21%	24.88%	
US Senate (REP)	R_De La Fuente	10.22%	10.25%	11.19%	14.55%	15.51%	9.79%	12.28%	12.64%	
	R_Scott	89.70%	89.72%	88.75%	84.78%	83.97%	90.12%	87.65%	87.32%	
2016	US Senate (REP)	R_Beruff	22.32%	17.31%	17.64%	14.27%	8.49%	8.88%	6.42%	5.59%
		R_Rivera	3.73%	3.23%	2.40%	4.63%	3.19%	2.25%	2.93%	1.89%
		R_Rubio	68.00%	71.67%	74.47%	70.05%	80.18%	85.26%	85.70%	88.87%
		R_Young	5.84%	7.69%	5.25%	9.17%	7.31%	3.55%	4.86%	3.46%
	US Senate (DEM)	D_De La Fuente	4.13%	15.25%	3.90%	2.88%	5.45%	19.42%	13.76%	12.17%
D_Grayson		17.53%	45.90%	40.69%	9.81%	10.83%	11.17%	11.15%	11.20%	
D_Keith		15.17%	9.58%	12.67%	14.48%	13.84%	13.81%	15.62%	17.86%	
D_Luster		12.09%	1.26%	2.28%	2.37%	2.64%	2.02%	1.68%	1.54%	
D_Murphy		50.94%	27.88%	40.26%	69.92%	66.94%	53.13%	57.51%	56.90%	
2014	Governor (REP)	R_Adeshina	1.32%	1.74%	1.63%	2.74%	2.96%	1.49%	1.76%	1.80%
		R_Cuevas-Neunder	8.14%	12.32%	9.55%	14.53%	16.13%	10.73%	15.18%	13.26%
		R_Scott	90.46%	85.83%	88.59%	80.91%	80.04%	87.64%	82.94%	84.83%
	Governor (DEM)	D_Crist	74.34%	76.23%	78.97%	83.86%	84.40%	76.83%	78.42%	73.97%
		D_Rich	25.59%	23.71%	21.01%	15.97%	15.57%	22.87%	21.45%	25.89%
	Attorney General (DEM)	D_Sheldon	60.85%	60.81%	49.60%	38.92%	46.72%	58.63%	61.40%	65.56%
D_Thurston		39.16%	39.12%	50.42%	60.98%	53.26%	41.07%	38.45%	34.36%	
2012	US Senate (REP)	R_Mack	57.58%	48.40%	58.28%	64.03%	71.58%	73.49%	73.64%	77.14%
		R_McCalister	18.69%	12.02%	10.83%	13.05%	6.70%	7.94%	7.35%	5.19%
		R_Stuart	5.97%	6.84%	4.85%	7.03%	13.09%	12.52%	13.26%	13.00%
	US Senate (DEM)	R_Weldon	17.46%	32.53%	25.74%	13.16%	7.89%	5.87%	5.66%	4.47%
		D_Burkett	22.04%	19.85%	13.56%	14.17%	14.01%	21.25%	18.39%	14.76%
D_Nelson	77.91%	80.11%	86.31%	85.65%	85.93%	78.60%	81.48%	85.12%		

Functional Analysis - Returns

			5	9	10	20	24	25	26	27	
Plan 5000C8004			BVAP	43.73%	12.72%	28.58%	53.29%	42.14%	7.92%	10.32%	7.07%
General Elections			HVAP	9.04%	51.89%	23.29%	22.50%	37.69%	76.82%	79.30%	74.14%
2020	President	D_Biden	60.23%	58.97%	61.61%	76.73%	74.46%	40.94%	46.43%	49.44%	
		R_Trump	38.62%	40.04%	37.39%	22.66%	25.01%	58.52%	52.99%	50.01%	
2018	Governor	D_Gillum	62.51%	62.25%	62.24%	81.10%	81.60%	46.13%	52.49%	53.18%	
		R_DeSantis	36.60%	36.40%	36.74%	18.30%	17.69%	52.48%	46.31%	45.75%	
	Attorney General	D_Shaw	59.25%	58.93%	58.47%	79.41%	80.18%	44.42%	50.86%	51.99%	
		R_Moody	39.21%	39.07%	39.89%	19.31%	18.26%	53.57%	46.94%	46.10%	
	Chief Financial Officer	D_Ring	60.38%	61.36%	60.29%	80.85%	81.66%	45.77%	51.93%	52.59%	
		R_Patronis	39.62%	38.64%	39.70%	19.13%	18.33%	54.22%	48.07%	47.41%	
Agriculture Commissioner	D_Fried	61.38%	62.76%	62.19%	80.99%	82.16%	46.90%	53.44%	54.63%		
	R_Caldwell	38.63%	37.24%	37.81%	18.99%	17.84%	53.09%	46.56%	45.38%		
US Senate	D_Nelson	62.25%	60.97%	62.06%	80.85%	81.53%	46.43%	53.46%	54.47%		
	R_Scott	37.75%	39.02%	37.94%	19.15%	18.47%	53.56%	46.54%	45.52%		
2016	President	D_Clinton	58.51%	62.85%	60.06%	78.80%	81.14%	52.52%	56.46%	57.42%	
		R_Trump	38.61%	33.71%	36.40%	19.45%	17.18%	45.19%	40.81%	40.04%	
	US Senate	D_Murphy	52.82%	55.80%	54.84%	76.66%	76.07%	42.39%	47.69%	47.78%	
R_Rubio		43.90%	40.13%	41.35%	21.34%	21.84%	55.39%	49.92%	50.17%		
2014	Governor	D_Crist	56.54%	53.29%	54.73%	80.78%	82.30%	43.07%	51.20%	50.00%	
		R_Scott	39.85%	41.58%	40.71%	17.09%	16.11%	54.20%	45.89%	47.55%	
	Attorney General	D_Sheldon	53.20%	49.61%	51.87%	77.11%	79.93%	38.75%	45.82%	46.02%	
		R_Bondi	44.31%	47.53%	45.25%	21.46%	18.65%	58.90%	51.75%	51.96%	
	Chief Financial Officer	D_Rankin	53.56%	49.60%	49.35%	76.50%	79.12%	40.29%	45.88%	43.48%	
		R_Atwater	46.43%	50.39%	50.66%	23.50%	20.88%	59.71%	54.12%	56.52%	
Agriculture Commissioner	D_Hamilton	55.57%	48.36%	49.39%	78.25%	79.87%	39.85%	46.04%	44.30%		
	R_Putnam	44.42%	51.64%	50.60%	21.76%	20.12%	60.13%	53.95%	55.69%		
2012	President	D_Obama	61.03%	62.43%	59.08%	81.97%	82.87%	51.14%	54.83%	52.22%	
		R_Romney	38.14%	36.74%	40.13%	17.61%	16.77%	48.38%	44.61%	47.27%	
	US Senate	D_Nelson	65.00%	66.89%	63.71%	83.37%	83.54%	52.83%	56.33%	54.47%	
R_Mack		32.61%	30.61%	34.42%	15.43%	15.43%	45.03%	42.03%	44.15%		