UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS GALVESTON DIVISION

DICKINSON BAY AREA BRANCH NAACP, et al., <i>Plaintiffs</i> , v. GALVESTON COUNTY, TEXAS, et al., <i>Defendants</i> .	<pre>§ § S S S S S S Civil Action No. 3:22-cv-117- JVB S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S</pre>
TERRY PETTEWAY, et al.,	\$ \$ \$
Plaintiffs,	\$ \$
V.	 § § Civil Action No. 3:22-cv-57-JVB § [Lead Consolidated Case]
GALVESTON COUNTY, TEXAS, et al.,	\$ \$ \$
Defendants.	\$ §
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	\$ \$ 8
Plaintiff,	\$ \$
V.	§ Civil Action No. 3:22-cv-93-JVB §
GALVESTON COUNTY, TEXAS, et al.,	\$ \$ \$
Defendants.	\$ §

PETTEWAY PLAINTIFFS' RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME

Petteway Plaintiffs do not oppose adjusting the deadline for dispositive motions. But the dispositive deadline requested by Defendants—June 2, 2023—would interfere with trial preparation and provide the Court insufficient time to consider the briefing and evidence. Moreover, Defendants do not explain why *Plaintiffs'* outstanding discovery requests-largely centering on the pending motion to compel related to Defendants' privilege assertions—preclude *Defendants* from seeking summary judgment. Defendants are in control of that evidence and those witnesses; if there is something necessary for them to seek summary judgment, they can choose to file such documents or declarations (and should have already produced that evidence to Plaintiffs).¹ Nor is it conceivable that the only discovery request Defendants have outstanding²—the subpoena for documents and testimony from Commissioner Holmes-could somehow resolve the obvious factual disputes on matters unrelated to Commissioner Holmes that ultimately will make this case particularly poorly suited for summary judgment. See also Veasey v. Abbott, 830 F.3d 216, 234 (5th Cir. 2016) (en banc) (warning against "placing too much emphasis on the contemporaneous views of a bill's opponents" in deciding intentional discrimination claims).

Petteway Plaintiffs propose extending the summary judgment deadline to May 12, 2023.

¹ In addition, the deposition of Defendants' expert Dr. Alford has now occurred.

² Plaintiff Dickinson Branch NAACP's deposition has now occurred.

Respectfully submitted this, the 27th day of April, 2023

Sonni Waknin* Bernadette Reyes* UCLA Voting Rights Project 3250 Public Affairs Building Los Angeles, CA 90095 Telephone: 310-400-6019 sonni@uclavrp.org bernadette@uclavrp.org

Chad W. Dunn (Tex. Bar No. 24036507) Brazil & Dunn 4407 Bee Cave Road Building 1, Ste. 111 Austin, TX 78746 (512) 717-9822 chad@brazilanddunn.com

Neil G. Baron Law Office of Neil G. Baron 1010 E Main Street, Ste. A League City, TX 77573 (281) 534-2748 neil@ngbaronlaw.com <u>/s/ Valencia Richardson</u> Mark P. Gaber* Simone Leeper* Valencia Richardson* Alexandra Copper* Campaign Legal Center 1101 14th St. NW, Ste. 400 Washington, DC 20005 (202) 736-2200 mgaber@campaignlegal.org sleeper@campaignlegal.org vrichardson@campaignlegal.org acopper@campaignlegal.org

COUNSEL FOR PETTEWAY PLAINTIFFS

*admitted pro hac vice

Case 3:22-cv-00057 Document 151 Filed on 04/27/23 in TXSD Page 4 of 4

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on April 27, 2023, the foregoing document was filed

electronically (via CM/ECF), and that all counsel of record were served by CM/ECF.

<u>/s/ Valencia Richardson</u> Valencia Richardson