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Plaintiffs Galeo Latino Community Development Fund, Inc., the Georgia
Coalition for the People's Agenda, Inc., and the Georgia State Conference of the NAACP, through their attorneys, file this Notice of Errata to the Declaration of Jacob Canter ("Canter Declaration") in Support of Plaintiffs' Response to Defendants'

Motion for Partial Summary Judgment. See Dkt. No. 152-14. This Notice of Errata is filed to correct two inadvertent filing errors due to technical difficulties that arose during the filing of materials at docket number 154-4 and 154-5.

Docket numbers 154-1 through 154-5 reflect the Expert Report of Dr. Moon Duchin split up into five parts. The Expert Report of Dr. Moon Duchin is Exhibit 2 to the Canter Declaration. See Dkt. 152-14 at © 3 .

At docket number 154-4, Part 2c of Dr. Moon Duchin's Expert Report was inadvertently titled Part 2d. See Dkt. No. 154-4 (bearing the title "Exhibit 2d"). Plaintiffs respectfully request that the Court replace docket number 154-4 with Exhibit A to this Notice of Errata. See Dkt. No. 158-1.

At docket number 154-5, the true and correct version of Part 2d of Dr. Moon Duchin's Expert Report was inadvertently replaced with Part 2c. See Dkt. No. 1545 (reflecting the same document filed at Dkt. No. 154-4). Plaintiffs respectfully request that the Court replace docket number 154-5 with Exhibit B to this Notice of Errata. See Dkt. No. 158-2.

For the Court's convenience, Plaintiffs have also attached to this Notice of Errata as Exhibit C a complete version of the Expert Report of Dr. Moon Duchin that is not spliced into parts. See Dkt. No. 158-3.
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EXHIBIT A

| SD | Primaries out of 4 | Generals out of 8 | Effective? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3 | 0 | N |
| 2 | 4 | 8 | Y |
| 3 | 3 | 0 | N |
| 4 | 3 | 0 | N |
| 5 | 3 | 8 | Y |
| 6 | 0 | 8 | N |
| 7 | 3 | 8 | Y |
| 8 | 4 | 0 | N |
| 9 | 3 | 8 | Y |
| 10 | 4 | 8 | Y |
| 11 | 4 | 0 | N |
| 12 | 4 | 8 | Y |
| 13 | 4 | 0 | N |
| 14 | 0 | 8 | N |
| 15 | 4 | 8 | Y |
| 16 | 3 | 0 | N |
| 17 | 3 | 0 | N |
| 18 | 3 | 0 | N |
| 19 | 4 | 0 | N |
| 20 | 3 | 0 | N |
| 21 | 2 | 0 | N |
| 22 | 4 | 8 | Y |
| 23 | 3 | 0 | N |
| 24 | 3 | 0 | N |
| 25 | 3 | 0 | N |
| 26 | 3 | 8 | Y |
| 27 | 0 | 0 | N |
| 28 | 2 | 0 | N |
| 29 | 3 | 0 | N |
| 30 | 2 | 0 | N |
| 31 | 3 | 0 | N |
| 32 | 3 | 0 | N |
| 33 | 4 | 8 | Y |
| 34 | 4 | 8 | Y |
| 35 | 4 | 8 | Y |
| 36 | 3 | 8 | Y |
| 37 | 3 | 0 | N |
| 38 | 4 | 8 | Y |
| 39 | 3 | 8 | Y |
| 40 | 0 | 8 | N |
| 41 | 3 | 8 | Y |
| 42 | 0 | 8 | N |
| 43 | 4 | 8 | Y |
| 44 | 4 | 8 | Y |
| 45 | 3 | 0 | N |
| 46 | 1 | 0 | N |
| 47 | 3 | 0 | N |
| 48 | 1 | 0 | N |
| 49 | 1 | 0 | N |
| 50 | 1 | 0 | N |
| 51 | 0 | 0 | N |
| 52 | 1 | 0 | N |
| 53 | 1 | 0 | N |
| 54 | 1 | 0 | N |
| 55 | 4 | 8 | Y |
| 56 | 0 | 0 | N |

Table 46: By the standard of requiring that the candidate of choice could win or advance in at least three out of four primaries and win or advance in at least five out of eight generals, the enacted plan has 19 districts that present an effective opportunity.

| HD overall | $\begin{gathered} \text { James18P } \\ 0.4475 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Thornton18P } \\ 0.4387 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Thornton18R } \\ 0.5914 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Robinson18P } \\ 0.6286 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.3468 | 0.2773 | 0.4029 | 0.5806 |
| 2 | 0.3558 | 0.2650 | 0.3670 | 0.5476 |
| 3 | 0.3294 | 0.2937 | 0.3945 | 0.5330 |
| 4 | 0.3601 | 0.2721 | 0.5187 | 0.5229 |
| 5 | 0.3824 | 0.2760 | 0.4076 | 0.5266 |
| 6 | 0.3668 | 0.2496 | 0.3206 | 0.5430 |
| 7 | 0.2157 | 0.2572 | 0.3352 | 0.4173 |
| 8 | 0.2022 | 0.2644 | 0.3595 | 0.4717 |
| 9 | 0.1832 | 0.2701 | 0.3345 | 0.4496 |
| 10 | 0.2252 | 0.3163 | 0.4472 | 0.5031 |
| 11 | 0.2662 | 0.2961 | 0.3401 | 0.4568 |
| 12 | 0.3671 | 0.1692 | 0.3117 | 0.6227 |
| 13 | 0.3179 | 0.3260 | 0.4630 | 0.5670 |
| 14 | 0.3256 | 0.3317 | 0.5040 | 0.5218 |
| 15 | 0.3293 | 0.3518 | 0.4445 | 0.5811 |
| 16 | 0.3558 | 0.3730 | 0.5240 | 0.6086 |
| 17 | 0.4020 | 0.4363 | 0.4991 | 0.6145 |
| 18 | 0.3103 | 0.3091 | 0.5047 | 0.5511 |
| 19 | 0.4618 | 0.4869 | 0.5659 | 0.6279 |
| 20 | 0.2834 | 0.3785 | 0.3855 | 0.5275 |
| 21 | 0.2883 | 0.3326 | 0.3384 | 0.5194 |
| 22 | 0.3529 | 0.4129 | 0.5129 | 0.5635 |
| 23 | 0.2889 | 0.3204 | 0.3621 | 0.5709 |
| 24 | 0.2767 | 0.3541 | 0.4194 | 0.5259 |
| 25 | 0.2764 | 0.2928 | 0.4603 | 0.4945 |
| 26 | 0.2398 | 0.2986 | 0.4209 | 0.4735 |
| 27 | 0.2327 | 0.3044 | 0.2517 | 0.5148 |
| 28 | 0.2492 | 0.3220 | 0.3758 | 0.4683 |
| 29 | 0.3352 | 0.3795 | 0.5442 | 0.5610 |
| 30 | 0.3077 | 0.3530 | 0.4525 | 0.4958 |
| 31 | 0.3087 | 0.3400 | 0.4837 | 0.5963 |
| 32 | 0.3446 | 0.3195 | 0.5192 | 0.6330 |
| 33 | 0.3395 | 0.4244 | 0.6565 | 0.5794 |
| 34 | 0.3583 | 0.4446 | 0.5187 | 0.5655 |
| 35 | 0.3881 | 0.4507 | 0.5930 | 0.5815 |
| 36 | 0.4031 | 0.4559 | 0.5856 | 0.5964 |
| 37 | 0.3663 | 0.4527 | 0.5860 | 0.5523 |
| 38 | 0.5367 | 0.5168 | 0.6730 | 0.6903 |
| 39 | 0.5356 | 0.5345 | 0.7106 | 0.6796 |
| 40 | 0.4201 | 0.4639 | 0.6151 | 0.5695 |
| 41 | 0.5164 | 0.5317 | 0.6492 | 0.6384 |
| 42 | 0.4493 | 0.4890 | 0.6054 | 0.5755 |
| 43 | 0.3315 | 0.4079 | 0.5049 | 0.5117 |
| 44 | 0.3052 | 0.3869 | 0.5337 | 0.5195 |
| 45 | 0.1732 | 0.3021 | 0.3752 | 0.3676 |
| 46 | 0.2382 | 0.3411 | 0.4515 | 0.4440 |
| 47 | 0.3159 | 0.3542 | 0.5339 | 0.5053 |
| 48 | 0.2947 | 0.3582 | 0.4743 | 0.4679 |
| 49 | 0.2675 | 0.3343 | 0.4887 | 0.4863 |
| 50 | 0.3267 | 0.3767 | 0.5004 | 0.5151 |
| 51 | 0.3394 | 0.3852 | 0.4882 | 0.4737 |
| 52 | 0.2679 | 0.3387 | 0.4328 | 0.4053 |
| 53 | 0.2273 | 0.3048 | 0.4342 | 0.3910 |
| 54 | 0.2550 | 0.3444 | 0.4524 | 0.4081 |
| 55 | 0.4218 | 0.4596 | 0.6718 | 0.6275 |
| 56 | 0.4356 | 0.4518 | 0.6229 | 0.6142 |
| 57 | 0.2056 | 0.3076 | 0.3972 | 0.2914 |
| 58 | 0.4452 | 0.4517 | 0.6291 | 0.6105 |
| 59 | 0.4683 | 0.4632 | 0.6531 | 0.6383 |
| 60 | 0.4578 | 0.4647 | 0.6671 | 0.6606 |


| HD overall | $\begin{gathered} \text { James18P } \\ 0.4475 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Thornton18P } \\ 0.4387 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Thornton18R } \\ 0.5914 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Robinson18P } \\ 0.6286 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 61 | 0.5937 | 0.5530 | 0.7215 | 0.7307 |
| 62 | 0.4559 | 0.4616 | 0.6297 | 0.6200 |
| 63 | 0.4227 | 0.4396 | 0.5712 | 0.6002 |
| 64 | 0.4859 | 0.4774 | 0.5232 | 0.6528 |
| 65 | 0.5996 | 0.5377 | 0.7249 | 0.7187 |
| 66 | 0.5615 | 0.5117 | 0.6402 | 0.7097 |
| 67 | 0.5783 | 0.5225 | 0.7261 | 0.7275 |
| 68 | 0.5142 | 0.5104 | 0.6439 | 0.6898 |
| 69 | 0.5196 | 0.5166 | 0.6831 | 0.7079 |
| 70 | 0.4308 | 0.4351 | 0.5046 | 0.6431 |
| 71 | 0.3445 | 0.4125 | 0.5560 | 0.5556 |
| 72 | 0.3181 | 0.3598 | 0.4040 | 0.5030 |
| 73 | 0.3412 | 0.3844 | 0.4659 | 0.5790 |
| 74 | 0.4855 | 0.4752 | 0.6443 | 0.6397 |
| 75 | 0.5667 | 0.4732 | 0.5439 | 0.7273 |
| 76 | 0.5726 | 0.4532 | 0.5774 | 0.7483 |
| 77 | 0.5372 | 0.4834 | 0.6259 | 0.7376 |
| 78 | 0.5592 | 0.4792 | 0.5407 | 0.7231 |
| 79 | 0.5561 | 0.4554 | 0.5713 | 0.7240 |
| 80 | 0.2507 | 0.3075 | 0.3904 | 0.4083 |
| 81 | 0.2273 | 0.3192 | 0.4007 | 0.3411 |
| 82 | 0.1811 | 0.2948 | 0.3296 | 0.2414 |
| 83 | 0.2499 | 0.3328 | 0.4322 | 0.4258 |
| 84 | 0.4411 | 0.4548 | 0.6076 | 0.5958 |
| 85 | 0.4561 | 0.4392 | 0.5883 | 0.6138 |
| 86 | 0.4939 | 0.4612 | 0.6058 | 0.6512 |
| 87 | 0.5020 | 0.4629 | 0.5948 | 0.6599 |
| 88 | 0.4783 | 0.4613 | 0.6055 | 0.6211 |
| 89 | 0.3875 | 0.4030 | 0.5645 | 0.4889 |
| 90 | 0.3812 | 0.3969 | 0.5629 | 0.5003 |
| 91 | 0.5621 | 0.5012 | 0.7033 | 0.7132 |
| 92 | 0.5777 | 0.5069 | 0.6954 | 0.7293 |
| 93 | 0.5503 | 0.5024 | 0.6621 | 0.7124 |
| 94 | 0.5467 | 0.4912 | 0.6849 | 0.6899 |
| 95 | 0.5813 | 0.5091 | 0.7039 | 0.7160 |
| 96 | 0.4407 | 0.4533 | 0.6048 | 0.5762 |
| 97 | 0.3851 | 0.4260 | 0.5636 | 0.5440 |
| 98 | 0.4638 | 0.4516 | 0.6475 | 0.5829 |
| 99 | 0.3827 | 0.4466 | 0.5993 | 0.5637 |
| 100 | 0.3268 | 0.3356 | 0.4947 | 0.5489 |
| 101 | 0.4195 | 0.4367 | 0.5873 | 0.6026 |
| 102 | 0.4902 | 0.4578 | 0.6445 | 0.6531 |
| 103 | 0.3989 | 0.4094 | 0.5857 | 0.5902 |
| 104 | 0.4202 | 0.4445 | 0.5931 | 0.6166 |
| 105 | 0.4694 | 0.4604 | 0.6632 | 0.6422 |
| 106 | 0.4768 | 0.4844 | 0.6458 | 0.6273 |
| 107 | 0.4858 | 0.4463 | 0.6147 | 0.6542 |
| 108 | 0.3738 | 0.4246 | 0.5554 | 0.5502 |
| 109 | 0.4988 | 0.4650 | 0.5979 | 0.6304 |
| 110 | 0.5429 | 0.5042 | 0.6857 | 0.7014 |
| 111 | 0.4343 | 0.4549 | 0.6179 | 0.6180 |
| 112 | 0.3802 | 0.3856 | 0.4628 | 0.6032 |
| 113 | 0.5592 | 0.4986 | 0.6538 | 0.7211 |
| 114 | 0.3566 | 0.3820 | 0.5553 | 0.6116 |
| 115 | 0.5470 | 0.5100 | 0.6995 | 0.7163 |
| 116 | 0.5613 | 0.5113 | 0.6805 | 0.7260 |
| 117 | 0.4806 | 0.4765 | 0.6946 | 0.6856 |
| 118 | 0.4420 | 0.3747 | 0.5819 | 0.6716 |
| 119 | 0.3654 | 0.3998 | 0.4785 | 0.5577 |
| 120 | 0.3310 | 0.3982 | 0.5499 | 0.5099 |


| $\begin{gathered} \text { HD } \\ \text { overall } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { James18P } \\ 0.4475 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Thornton18P } \\ 0.4387 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Thornton18R } \\ 0.5914 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Robinson18P } \\ 0.6286 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 121 | 0.3056 | 0.3610 | 0.4634 | 0.4318 |
| 122 | 0.4470 | 0.4828 | 0.7316 | 0.5336 |
| 123 | 0.4482 | 0.4759 | 0.8210 | 0.6795 |
| 124 | 0.3929 | 0.3945 | 0.5134 | 0.6158 |
| 125 | 0.4979 | 0.4484 | 0.5532 | 0.7290 |
| 126 | 0.5713 | 0.4653 | 0.7136 | 0.8431 |
| 127 | 0.3885 | 0.4146 | 0.5601 | 0.6759 |
| 128 | 0.4836 | 0.3572 | 0.6819 | 0.7292 |
| 129 | 0.4788 | 0.4262 | 0.6829 | 0.7876 |
| 130 | 0.5291 | 0.4322 | 0.6676 | 0.8300 |
| 131 | 0.4561 | 0.4564 | 0.6071 | 0.6988 |
| 132 | 0.5114 | 0.4534 | 0.7072 | 0.8308 |
| 133 | 0.4708 | 0.4428 | 0.7327 | 0.7101 |
| 134 | 0.4537 | 0.3415 | 0.4744 | 0.6571 |
| 135 | 0.4414 | 0.3509 | 0.4942 | 0.6575 |
| 136 | 0.4119 | 0.4498 | 0.5770 | 0.6639 |
| 137 | 0.5831 | 0.4497 | 0.6210 | 0.7196 |
| 138 | 0.4087 | 0.4060 | 0.4642 | 0.6087 |
| 139 | 0.4801 | 0.3999 | 0.4545 | 0.6473 |
| 140 | 0.6020 | 0.4426 | 0.5277 | 0.7298 |
| 141 | 0.6424 | 0.4599 | 0.5801 | 0.7533 |
| 142 | 0.4658 | 0.4625 | 0.6520 | 0.7214 |
| 143 | 0.4642 | 0.4872 | 0.6748 | 0.7412 |
| 144 | 0.4126 | 0.4350 | 0.6166 | 0.6729 |
| 145 | 0.4565 | 0.5158 | 0.6740 | 0.7167 |
| 146 | 0.5166 | 0.5594 | 0.7649 | 0.6930 |
| 147 | 0.5096 | 0.5585 | 0.7068 | 0.6984 |
| 148 | 0.5185 | 0.4879 | 0.6815 | 0.6956 |
| 149 | 0.4570 | 0.3824 | 0.5110 | 0.6894 |
| 150 | 0.5420 | 0.5120 | 0.7376 | 0.7507 |
| 151 | 0.5465 | 0.4851 | 0.6725 | 0.7150 |
| 152 | 0.5542 | 0.4701 | 0.6164 | 0.7292 |
| 153 | 0.6069 | 0.4804 | 0.6392 | 0.7999 |
| 154 | 0.5679 | 0.4636 | 0.6112 | 0.7543 |
| 155 | 0.4790 | 0.4310 | 0.6517 | 0.6845 |
| 156 | 0.5283 | 0.4362 | 0.6620 | 0.7356 |
| 157 | 0.4885 | 0.3890 | 0.6939 | 0.7202 |
| 158 | 0.4889 | 0.3914 | 0.6253 | 0.7098 |
| 159 | 0.4596 | 0.3947 | 0.6056 | 0.6965 |
| 160 | 0.4117 | 0.3911 | 0.5455 | 0.6332 |
| 161 | 0.5543 | 0.5195 | 0.7135 | 0.7036 |
| 162 | 0.6043 | 0.5636 | 0.7874 | 0.7517 |
| 163 | 0.4945 | 0.5148 | 0.7413 | 0.6811 |
| 164 | 0.4995 | 0.5290 | 0.7585 | 0.6963 |
| 165 | 0.5689 | 0.5359 | 0.7661 | 0.7381 |
| 166 | 0.2755 | 0.4103 | 0.6313 | 0.5219 |
| 167 | 0.4840 | 0.4765 | 0.6980 | 0.7241 |
| 168 | 0.5505 | 0.5425 | 0.7834 | 0.7886 |
| 169 | 0.5063 | 0.3686 | 0.5592 | 0.6991 |
| 170 | 0.4510 | 0.4272 | 0.5020 | 0.6678 |
| 171 | 0.5049 | 0.4272 | 0.5864 | 0.7274 |
| 172 | 0.5519 | 0.4134 | 0.5872 | 0.6544 |
| 173 | 0.5511 | 0.4509 | 0.6016 | 0.7408 |
| 174 | 0.5238 | 0.3752 | 0.5566 | 0.6716 |
| 175 | 0.5392 | 0.3988 | 0.5253 | 0.7350 |
| 176 | 0.5464 | 0.4061 | 0.6065 | 0.7292 |
| 177 | 0.5448 | 0.4450 | 0.6370 | 0.7407 |
| 178 | 0.4627 | 0.4045 | 0.6920 | 0.6940 |
| 179 | 0.4151 | 0.4621 | 0.5945 | 0.6310 |
| 180 | 0.4609 | 0.4587 | 0.6255 | 0.6534 |

Table 47: Vote shares for the minority candidate of choice across enacted House districts, in probative primary and primary runoff elections.

| $\begin{gathered} \text { HD } \\ \text { overall } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Clinton16 } \\ 0.4734 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Abrams18 } \\ 0.4930 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Thornton18 } \\ 0.4697 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Biden20 } \\ 0.5013 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Blackman20 } \\ 0.4848 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ossoff21 } \\ 0.5061 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Warnock21 } \\ 0.5104 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Abrams22 } \\ 0.4620 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.1933 | 0.1964 | 0.1938 | 0.2104 | 0.2009 | 0.2160 | 0.2146 | 0.1736 |
| 2 | 0.1696 | 0.1670 | 0.1635 | 0.1901 | 0.1768 | 0.1895 | 0.1876 | 0.1425 |
| 3 | 0.1908 | 0.2018 | 0.1943 | 0.2221 | 0.2099 | 0.2233 | 0.2222 | 0.1816 |
| 4 | 0.3589 | 0.3633 | 0.3440 | 0.3835 | 0.3672 | 0.3806 | 0.3808 | 0.2906 |
| 5 | 0.1716 | 0.1733 | 0.1685 | 0.1855 | 0.1785 | 0.1926 | 0.1950 | 0.1482 |
| 6 | 0.1564 | 0.1457 | 0.1481 | 0.1641 | 0.1586 | 0.1679 | 0.1671 | 0.1177 |
| 7 | 0.1661 | 0.1629 | 0.1575 | 0.1807 | 0.1687 | 0.1815 | 0.1850 | 0.1469 |
| 8 | 0.1659 | 0.1600 | 0.1576 | 0.1819 | 0.1701 | 0.1815 | 0.1840 | 0.1422 |
| 9 | 0.1473 | 0.1523 | 0.1457 | 0.1695 | 0.1522 | 0.1705 | 0.1732 | 0.1391 |
| 10 | 0.1672 | 0.1675 | 0.1588 | 0.1859 | 0.1688 | 0.1864 | 0.1913 | 0.1485 |
| 11 | 0.1461 | 0.1550 | 0.1446 | 0.1868 | 0.1694 | 0.1863 | 0.1912 | 0.1552 |
| 12 | 0.1978 | 0.1895 | 0.1887 | 0.1945 | 0.1906 | 0.2069 | 0.2083 | 0.1607 |
| 13 | 0.3298 | 0.3437 | 0.3215 | 0.3537 | 0.3310 | 0.3571 | 0.3629 | 0.3015 |
| 14 | 0.1708 | 0.1768 | 0.1703 | 0.1916 | 0.1809 | 0.1941 | 0.1984 | 0.1604 |
| 15 | 0.2542 | 0.2749 | 0.2634 | 0.2863 | 0.2749 | 0.2949 | 0.2993 | 0.2417 |
| 16 | 0.2016 | 0.2083 | 0.2047 | 0.2237 | 0.2152 | 0.2305 | 0.2332 | 0.1941 |
| 17 | 0.2784 | 0.3264 | 0.3170 | 0.3580 | 0.3498 | 0.3747 | 0.3780 | 0.3411 |
| 18 | 0.1598 | 0.1479 | 0.1441 | 0.1598 | 0.1563 | 0.1653 | 0.1678 | 0.1314 |
| 19 | 0.3142 | 0.3525 | 0.3443 | 0.3762 | 0.3661 | 0.3887 | 0.3918 | 0.3614 |
| 20 | 0.2608 | 0.2975 | 0.2696 | 0.3349 | 0.3055 | 0.3261 | 0.3332 | 0.2815 |
| 21 | 0.2096 | 0.2398 | 0.2148 | 0.2772 | 0.2455 | 0.2657 | 0.2720 | 0.2304 |
| 22 | 0.3498 | 0.4004 | 0.3760 | 0.4163 | 0.3967 | 0.4206 | 0.4264 | 0.3756 |
| 23 | 0.2017 | 0.2210 | 0.2039 | 0.2563 | 0.2340 | 0.2535 | 0.2591 | 0.2129 |
| 24 | 0.2901 | 0.3324 | 0.2988 | 0.3727 | 0.3386 | 0.3622 | 0.3678 | 0.2989 |
| 25 | 0.3541 | 0.3882 | 0.3448 | 0.4409 | 0.3962 | 0.4224 | 0.4298 | 0.3655 |
| 26 | 0.2422 | 0.2709 | 0.2435 | 0.3235 | 0.2896 | 0.3113 | 0.3189 | 0.2710 |
| 27 | 0.1564 | 0.1633 | 0.1496 | 0.1884 | 0.1667 | 0.1841 | 0.1893 | 0.1452 |
| 28 | 0.1767 | 0.1985 | 0.1815 | 0.2357 | 0.2110 | 0.2273 | 0.2329 | 0.1893 |
| 29 | 0.3920 | 0.4240 | 0.3990 | 0.4239 | 0.4015 | 0.4255 | 0.4307 | 0.3557 |
| 30 | 0.2252 | 0.2501 | 0.2331 | 0.2841 | 0.2603 | 0.2785 | 0.2838 | 0.2300 |
| 31 | 0.2004 | 0.2126 | 0.2029 | 0.2409 | 0.2226 | 0.2442 | 0.2488 | 0.1925 |
| 32 | 0.1592 | 0.1546 | 0.1529 | 0.1702 | 0.1564 | 0.1731 | 0.1750 | 0.1345 |
| 33 | 0.1991 | 0.1743 | 0.1765 | 0.1948 | 0.1799 | 0.1959 | 0.1953 | 0.1486 |
| 34 | 0.3454 | 0.3777 | 0.3462 | 0.4205 | 0.3864 | 0.4055 | 0.4157 | 0.3698 |
| 35 | 0.5063 | 0.5603 | 0.5316 | 0.5726 | 0.5567 | 0.5802 | 0.5855 | 0.5361 |
| 36 | 0.3216 | 0.3596 | 0.3321 | 0.4022 | 0.3696 | 0.3928 | 0.3994 | 0.3632 |
| 37 | 0.5623 | 0.5933 | 0.5531 | 0.6113 | 0.5847 | 0.5981 | 0.6078 | 0.5507 |
| 38 | 0.6765 | 0.7229 | 0.7053 | 0.7243 | 0.7253 | 0.7453 | 0.7473 | 0.7174 |
| 39 | 0.7614 | 0.7930 | 0.7682 | 0.7876 | 0.7846 | 0.7991 | 0.8049 | 0.7703 |
| 40 | 0.6071 | 0.6417 | 0.5949 | 0.6673 | 0.6238 | 0.6387 | 0.6495 | 0.6207 |
| 41 | 0.6887 | 0.7199 | 0.6951 | 0.7105 | 0.7106 | 0.7256 | 0.7296 | 0.6856 |
| 42 | 0.6871 | 0.7282 | 0.6885 | 0.7158 | 0.6889 | 0.7108 | 0.7182 | 0.6714 |
| 43 | 0.5624 | 0.5885 | 0.5483 | 0.6073 | 0.5730 | 0.5827 | 0.5927 | 0.5436 |
| 44 | 0.3820 | 0.4236 | 0.3907 | 0.4598 | 0.4305 | 0.4536 | 0.4613 | 0.4096 |
| 45 | 0.4039 | 0.4203 | 0.3637 | 0.4792 | 0.4134 | 0.4354 | 0.4477 | 0.3997 |
| 46 | 0.3774 | 0.4098 | 0.3682 | 0.4495 | 0.4039 | 0.4254 | 0.4351 | 0.3895 |
| 47 | 0.3868 | 0.4048 | 0.3595 | 0.4440 | 0.3963 | 0.4171 | 0.4276 | 0.3688 |
| 48 | 0.4381 | 0.4625 | 0.4120 | 0.5147 | 0.4624 | 0.4779 | 0.4885 | 0.4344 |
| 49 | 0.4092 | 0.4330 | 0.3806 | 0.4801 | 0.4246 | 0.4420 | 0.4538 | 0.4029 |
| 50 | 0.5185 | 0.5558 | 0.5026 | 0.5939 | 0.5521 | 0.5784 | 0.5861 | 0.5154 |
| 51 | 0.5509 | 0.5728 | 0.5274 | 0.6082 | 0.5683 | 0.5811 | 0.5899 | 0.5407 |
| 52 | 0.5759 | 0.5938 | 0.5291 | 0.6361 | 0.5801 | 0.5957 | 0.6081 | 0.5697 |
| 53 | 0.4972 | 0.4992 | 0.4281 | 0.5478 | 0.4745 | 0.4843 | 0.4998 | 0.4548 |
| 54 | 0.5540 | 0.5641 | 0.4946 | 0.6104 | 0.5455 | 0.5555 | 0.5673 | 0.5443 |
| 55 | 0.8132 | 0.8121 | 0.7562 | 0.8169 | 0.7764 | 0.7909 | 0.8021 | 0.7662 |
| 56 | 0.9113 | 0.9249 | 0.8807 | 0.8971 | 0.8775 | 0.8976 | 0.9038 | 0.8875 |
| 57 | 0.7942 | 0.8025 | 0.7157 | 0.8092 | 0.7539 | 0.7714 | 0.7843 | 0.7610 |
| 58 | 0.9398 | 0.9511 | 0.9154 | 0.9213 | 0.9117 | 0.9269 | 0.9321 | 0.9165 |
| 59 | 0.9503 | 0.9603 | 0.9291 | 0.9337 | 0.9292 | 0.9425 | 0.9466 | 0.9307 |
| 60 | 0.8139 | 0.8069 | 0.7617 | 0.8065 | 0.7758 | 0.7868 | 0.7968 | 0.7698 |


| $\begin{gathered} \text { HD } \\ \text { overall } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Clinton16 } \\ 0.4734 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Abrams18 } \\ 0.4930 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Thornton18 } \\ 0.4697 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Biden20 } \\ 0.5013 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Blackman20 } \\ 0.4848 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ossoff21 } \\ 0.5061 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Warnock21 } \\ 0.5104 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Abrams22 } \\ 0.4620 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 61 | 0.8241 | 0.8575 | 0.8407 | 0.8504 | 0.8538 | 0.8683 | 0.8707 | 0.8555 |
| 62 | 0.9354 | 0.9434 | 0.9127 | 0.9254 | 0.9223 | 0.9341 | 0.9382 | 0.9188 |
| 63 | 0.9197 | 0.9279 | 0.8967 | 0.9085 | 0.9071 | 0.9182 | 0.9243 | 0.9017 |
| 64 | 0.3449 | 0.3899 | 0.3757 | 0.4259 | 0.4177 | 0.4440 | 0.4476 | 0.4247 |
| 65 | 0.6646 | 0.6994 | 0.6807 | 0.6976 | 0.6952 | 0.7127 | 0.7158 | 0.6883 |
| 66 | 0.6077 | 0.6610 | 0.6389 | 0.6899 | 0.6851 | 0.7115 | 0.7159 | 0.6952 |
| 67 | 0.6289 | 0.6633 | 0.6473 | 0.6617 | 0.6560 | 0.6770 | 0.6798 | 0.6488 |
| 68 | 0.5991 | 0.6305 | 0.6067 | 0.6502 | 0.6395 | 0.6468 | 0.6521 | 0.6215 |
| 69 | 0.7034 | 0.7388 | 0.7190 | 0.7409 | 0.7350 | 0.7550 | 0.7586 | 0.7380 |
| 70 | 0.3758 | 0.3878 | 0.3663 | 0.3830 | 0.3655 | 0.3904 | 0.3953 | 0.3484 |
| 71 | 0.3046 | 0.3209 | 0.3107 | 0.3286 | 0.3192 | 0.3466 | 0.3510 | 0.3045 |
| 72 | 0.2982 | 0.2866 | 0.2703 | 0.2858 | 0.2713 | 0.2873 | 0.2928 | 0.2350 |
| 73 | 0.2814 | 0.3012 | 0.2764 | 0.3612 | 0.3306 | 0.3509 | 0.3572 | 0.3125 |
| 74 | 0.3228 | 0.3558 | 0.3379 | 0.3842 | 0.3665 | 0.3878 | 0.3907 | 0.3604 |
| 75 | 0.8667 | 0.8906 | 0.8739 | 0.8644 | 0.8755 | 0.8929 | 0.8952 | 0.8733 |
| 76 | 0.8631 | 0.8796 | 0.8639 | 0.8499 | 0.8607 | 0.8808 | 0.8811 | 0.8610 |
| 77 | 0.9074 | 0.9236 | 0.9083 | 0.8944 | 0.9071 | 0.9221 | 0.9225 | 0.9037 |
| 78 | 0.7907 | 0.8215 | 0.8039 | 0.8163 | 0.8228 | 0.8375 | 0.8394 | 0.8223 |
| 79 | 0.8973 | 0.9123 | 0.8980 | 0.8806 | 0.8897 | 0.9056 | 0.9076 | 0.8831 |
| 80 | 0.5608 | 0.5777 | 0.5197 | 0.6162 | 0.5677 | 0.5827 | 0.5954 | 0.5473 |
| 81 | 0.6692 | 0.6877 | 0.6319 | 0.7157 | 0.6752 | 0.6884 | 0.6986 | 0.6678 |
| 82 | 0.7751 | 0.7927 | 0.7267 | 0.8052 | 0.7682 | 0.7819 | 0.7896 | 0.7828 |
| 83 | 0.6124 | 0.6329 | 0.5664 | 0.6586 | 0.5979 | 0.6178 | 0.6302 | 0.5951 |
| 84 | 0.9388 | 0.9450 | 0.9161 | 0.9332 | 0.9290 | 0.9364 | 0.9400 | 0.9210 |
| 85 | 0.9148 | 0.9267 | 0.9000 | 0.9007 | 0.9017 | 0.9161 | 0.9205 | 0.8964 |
| 86 | 0.9067 | 0.9202 | 0.9000 | 0.8970 | 0.9028 | 0.9143 | 0.9164 | 0.8891 |
| 87 | 0.8855 | 0.8969 | 0.8781 | 0.8808 | 0.8870 | 0.8973 | 0.9008 | 0.8691 |
| 88 | 0.8094 | 0.8265 | 0.8039 | 0.8184 | 0.8179 | 0.8302 | 0.8349 | 0.8024 |
| 89 | 0.9211 | 0.9255 | 0.8819 | 0.9191 | 0.9027 | 0.9116 | 0.9178 | 0.8978 |
| 90 | 0.9421 | 0.9516 | 0.9131 | 0.9405 | 0.9290 | 0.9385 | 0.9436 | 0.9290 |
| 91 | 0.7506 | 0.7869 | 0.7695 | 0.7855 | 0.7884 | 0.8036 | 0.8059 | 0.7915 |
| 92 | 0.6898 | 0.7382 | 0.7204 | 0.7609 | 0.7621 | 0.7773 | 0.7799 | 0.7717 |
| 93 | 0.7088 | 0.7398 | 0.7225 | 0.7465 | 0.7464 | 0.7659 | 0.7673 | 0.7439 |
| 94 | 0.7994 | 0.8186 | 0.8009 | 0.8198 | 0.8178 | 0.8312 | 0.8348 | 0.8076 |
| 95 | 0.7589 | 0.7961 | 0.7794 | 0.7942 | 0.7960 | 0.8103 | 0.8128 | 0.7867 |
| 96 | 0.6513 | 0.6831 | 0.6515 | 0.6687 | 0.6620 | 0.6836 | 0.6874 | 0.6247 |
| 97 | 0.6033 | 0.6323 | 0.5956 | 0.6397 | 0.6211 | 0.6376 | 0.6447 | 0.5854 |
| 98 | 0.7760 | 0.7949 | 0.7669 | 0.7465 | 0.7543 | 0.7825 | 0.7838 | 0.7174 |
| 99 | 0.4465 | 0.4861 | 0.4466 | 0.5278 | 0.4934 | 0.5205 | 0.5277 | 0.4671 |
| 100 | 0.3134 | 0.3485 | 0.3175 | 0.3988 | 0.3652 | 0.3912 | 0.3971 | 0.3392 |
| 101 | 0.4962 | 0.5465 | 0.5164 | 0.5636 | 0.5501 | 0.5769 | 0.5820 | 0.5249 |
| 102 | 0.5983 | 0.6426 | 0.6164 | 0.6569 | 0.6486 | 0.6771 | 0.6822 | 0.6240 |
| 103 | 0.3596 | 0.4033 | 0.3775 | 0.4331 | 0.4076 | 0.4308 | 0.4375 | 0.3809 |
| 104 | 0.2771 | 0.3149 | 0.2929 | 0.3617 | 0.3402 | 0.3650 | 0.3717 | 0.3332 |
| 105 | 0.4671 | 0.5206 | 0.4938 | 0.5442 | 0.5317 | 0.5602 | 0.5643 | 0.5130 |
| 106 | 0.4991 | 0.5508 | 0.5231 | 0.5940 | 0.5767 | 0.6043 | 0.6103 | 0.5715 |
| 107 | 0.6770 | 0.7132 | 0.6840 | 0.6943 | 0.6943 | 0.7215 | 0.7255 | 0.6621 |
| 108 | 0.4720 | 0.5095 | 0.4750 | 0.5523 | 0.5274 | 0.5540 | 0.5613 | 0.5046 |
| 109 | 0.7727 | 0.7966 | 0.7724 | 0.7461 | 0.7521 | 0.7864 | 0.7876 | 0.7234 |
| 110 | 0.5260 | 0.5994 | 0.5794 | 0.6408 | 0.6309 | 0.6597 | 0.6628 | 0.6410 |
| 111 | 0.2454 | 0.2958 | 0.2852 | 0.3471 | 0.3360 | 0.3544 | 0.3570 | 0.3372 |
| 112 | 0.2275 | 0.2296 | 0.2196 | 0.2397 | 0.2282 | 0.2442 | 0.2475 | 0.2099 |
| 113 | 0.6532 | 0.6987 | 0.6850 | 0.6957 | 0.6991 | 0.7251 | 0.7280 | 0.7106 |
| 114 | 0.2932 | 0.2988 | 0.2835 | 0.3142 | 0.2978 | 0.3200 | 0.3230 | 0.2860 |
| 115 | 0.5282 | 0.5709 | 0.5501 | 0.6104 | 0.6051 | 0.6234 | 0.6266 | 0.6147 |
| 116 | 0.6253 | 0.6895 | 0.6709 | 0.7015 | 0.7027 | 0.7221 | 0.7253 | 0.7196 |
| 117 | 0.3607 | 0.4204 | 0.4064 | 0.4769 | 0.4683 | 0.4937 | 0.4975 | 0.4951 |
| 118 | 0.2642 | 0.2664 | 0.2585 | 0.2726 | 0.2618 | 0.2850 | 0.2880 | 0.2507 |
| 119 | 0.2336 | 0.2457 | 0.2336 | 0.2721 | 0.2574 | 0.2797 | 0.2837 | 0.2422 |
| 120 | 0.4324 | 0.4353 | 0.4134 | 0.4490 | 0.4169 | 0.4440 | 0.4503 | 0.3964 |


| HD overall | $\begin{gathered} \text { Clinton16 } \\ 0.4734 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Abrams18 } \\ 0.4930 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Thornton18 } \\ 0.4697 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Biden20 } \\ 0.5013 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Blackman20 } \\ 0.4848 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ossoff21 } \\ 0.5061 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Warnock21 } \\ 0.5104 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Abrams22 } \\ 0.4620 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 121 | 0.4383 | 0.4382 | 0.4077 | 0.4598 | 0.4194 | 0.4425 | 0.4503 | 0.3852 |
| 122 | 0.7829 | 0.7982 | 0.7689 | 0.7877 | 0.7720 | 0.7958 | 0.8010 | 0.7655 |
| 123 | 0.3145 | 0.3023 | 0.3153 | 0.3195 | 0.3085 | 0.3193 | 0.3201 | 0.2736 |
| 124 | 0.3911 | 0.3841 | 0.3675 | 0.3980 | 0.3772 | 0.3936 | 0.3977 | 0.3395 |
| 125 | 0.3124 | 0.3380 | 0.3252 | 0.3750 | 0.3549 | 0.3784 | 0.3799 | 0.3423 |
| 126 | 0.6195 | 0.6212 | 0.6115 | 0.6197 | 0.6170 | 0.6298 | 0.6306 | 0.5894 |
| 127 | 0.3225 | 0.3389 | 0.3158 | 0.3749 | 0.3415 | 0.3649 | 0.3670 | 0.3174 |
| 128 | 0.5105 | 0.4989 | 0.4858 | 0.5025 | 0.4954 | 0.5098 | 0.5121 | 0.4545 |
| 129 | 0.6726 | 0.6733 | 0.6496 | 0.6856 | 0.6669 | 0.6835 | 0.6858 | 0.6342 |
| 130 | 0.6627 | 0.6813 | 0.6665 | 0.6839 | 0.6797 | 0.6947 | 0.6961 | 0.6730 |
| 131 | 0.2932 | 0.3217 | 0.2997 | 0.3670 | 0.3357 | 0.3639 | 0.3641 | 0.3232 |
| 132 | 0.6975 | 0.7065 | 0.6918 | 0.7024 | 0.6986 | 0.7175 | 0.7190 | 0.6724 |
| 133 | 0.4584 | 0.4527 | 0.4383 | 0.4561 | 0.4454 | 0.4705 | 0.4721 | 0.4204 |
| 134 | 0.3675 | 0.3622 | 0.3475 | 0.3672 | 0.3605 | 0.3794 | 0.3828 | 0.3402 |
| 135 | 0.2684 | 0.2653 | 0.2567 | 0.2640 | 0.2550 | 0.2713 | 0.2743 | 0.2254 |
| 136 | 0.3509 | 0.3549 | 0.3395 | 0.3499 | 0.3372 | 0.3571 | 0.3602 | 0.3056 |
| 137 | 0.5805 | 0.5883 | 0.5698 | 0.5897 | 0.5831 | 0.5999 | 0.6011 | 0.5656 |
| 138 | 0.2761 | 0.2729 | 0.2548 | 0.2985 | 0.2726 | 0.2949 | 0.2984 | 0.2546 |
| 139 | 0.3343 | 0.3473 | 0.3308 | 0.3915 | 0.3689 | 0.3872 | 0.3890 | 0.3475 |
| 140 | 0.7512 | 0.7692 | 0.7519 | 0.7471 | 0.7411 | 0.7654 | 0.7690 | 0.7451 |
| 141 | 0.7217 | 0.7419 | 0.7220 | 0.7370 | 0.7310 | 0.7494 | 0.7512 | 0.7280 |
| 142 | 0.6564 | 0.6705 | 0.6484 | 0.6687 | 0.6552 | 0.6724 | 0.6763 | 0.6316 |
| 143 | 0.7177 | 0.7223 | 0.7033 | 0.7099 | 0.7054 | 0.7228 | 0.7259 | 0.6915 |
| 144 | 0.3572 | 0.3620 | 0.3428 | 0.3923 | 0.3715 | 0.3905 | 0.3925 | 0.3457 |
| 145 | 0.4030 | 0.4083 | 0.3992 | 0.4182 | 0.4120 | 0.4290 | 0.4312 | 0.3886 |
| 146 | 0.3306 | 0.3558 | 0.3402 | 0.3840 | 0.3693 | 0.3930 | 0.3953 | 0.3570 |
| 147 | 0.3990 | 0.4414 | 0.4271 | 0.4662 | 0.4544 | 0.4793 | 0.4812 | 0.4429 |
| 148 | 0.3283 | 0.3167 | 0.2980 | 0.3276 | 0.3106 | 0.3286 | 0.3313 | 0.2913 |
| 149 | 0.3423 | 0.3256 | 0.3176 | 0.3348 | 0.3292 | 0.3441 | 0.3469 | 0.2964 |
| 150 | 0.5595 | 0.5496 | 0.5339 | 0.5455 | 0.5386 | 0.5543 | 0.5562 | 0.5107 |
| 151 | 0.4838 | 0.4720 | 0.4577 | 0.4809 | 0.4740 | 0.4877 | 0.4887 | 0.4452 |
| 152 | 0.2738 | 0.2855 | 0.2758 | 0.3017 | 0.2909 | 0.3123 | 0.3129 | 0.2793 |
| 153 | 0.6728 | 0.6798 | 0.6597 | 0.6825 | 0.6741 | 0.6887 | 0.6899 | 0.6593 |
| 154 | 0.5464 | 0.5383 | 0.5280 | 0.5377 | 0.5321 | 0.5504 | 0.5500 | 0.4931 |
| 155 | 0.3457 | 0.3279 | 0.3206 | 0.3489 | 0.3391 | 0.3541 | 0.3561 | 0.3130 |
| 156 | 0.2945 | 0.2829 | 0.2767 | 0.2976 | 0.2881 | 0.3012 | 0.3035 | 0.2486 |
| 157 | 0.2481 | 0.2370 | 0.2320 | 0.2511 | 0.2443 | 0.2572 | 0.2571 | 0.2076 |
| 158 | 0.3531 | 0.3412 | 0.3271 | 0.3492 | 0.3342 | 0.3512 | 0.3518 | 0.3047 |
| 159 | 0.3003 | 0.2928 | 0.2800 | 0.3045 | 0.2930 | 0.3104 | 0.3109 | 0.2651 |
| 160 | 0.3265 | 0.3052 | 0.2884 | 0.3178 | 0.2973 | 0.3121 | 0.3135 | 0.2560 |
| 161 | 0.3246 | 0.3679 | 0.3595 | 0.4068 | 0.3958 | 0.4200 | 0.4201 | 0.3897 |
| 162 | 0.6504 | 0.6870 | 0.6742 | 0.6721 | 0.6678 | 0.6893 | 0.6901 | 0.6576 |
| 163 | 0.7214 | 0.7313 | 0.7059 | 0.7266 | 0.7115 | 0.7291 | 0.7314 | 0.7008 |
| 164 | 0.3635 | 0.4190 | 0.4034 | 0.4286 | 0.4113 | 0.4347 | 0.4347 | 0.4062 |
| 165 | 0.7896 | 0.7899 | 0.7685 | 0.7803 | 0.7735 | 0.7851 | 0.7863 | 0.7540 |
| 166 | 0.3116 | 0.3135 | 0.2834 | 0.3470 | 0.3045 | 0.3300 | 0.3332 | 0.2844 |
| 167 | 0.3045 | 0.3125 | 0.3004 | 0.3268 | 0.3189 | 0.3377 | 0.3379 | 0.3008 |
| 168 | 0.6098 | 0.6350 | 0.6245 | 0.6225 | 0.6212 | 0.6460 | 0.6479 | 0.6024 |
| 169 | 0.2743 | 0.2641 | 0.2464 | 0.2767 | 0.2666 | 0.2806 | 0.2818 | 0.2370 |
| 170 | 0.2733 | 0.2610 | 0.2441 | 0.2846 | 0.2676 | 0.2881 | 0.2895 | 0.2362 |
| 171 | 0.3926 | 0.3819 | 0.3710 | 0.3957 | 0.3904 | 0.3953 | 0.3957 | 0.3469 |
| 172 | 0.2734 | 0.2564 | 0.2462 | 0.2732 | 0.2611 | 0.2760 | 0.2768 | 0.2273 |
| 173 | 0.4058 | 0.4008 | 0.3840 | 0.4191 | 0.4031 | 0.4133 | 0.4130 | 0.3706 |
| 174 | 0.2137 | 0.1984 | 0.1977 | 0.2076 | 0.2026 | 0.2085 | 0.2081 | 0.1994 |
| 175 | 0.3533 | 0.3524 | 0.3397 | 0.3565 | 0.3446 | 0.3541 | 0.3540 | 0.3100 |
| 176 | 0.2848 | 0.2806 | 0.2734 | 0.2866 | 0.2793 | 0.2936 | 0.2944 | 0.2505 |
| 177 | 0.5211 | 0.5375 | 0.5169 | 0.5718 | 0.5553 | 0.5697 | 0.5701 | 0.4892 |
| 178 | 0.1589 | 0.1447 | 0.1453 | 0.1585 | 0.1527 | 0.1624 | 0.1611 | 0.1272 |
| 179 | 0.3945 | 0.3937 | 0.3756 | 0.4203 | 0.4002 | 0.4030 | 0.4039 | 0.3524 |
| 180 | 0.3210 | 0.3373 | 0.3262 | 0.3423 | 0.3286 | 0.3438 | 0.3420 | 0.2955 |

Table 48: Vote shares for the minority candidate of choice across enacted House districts, in probative general and general runoff elections.

| HD | Pri <br> (4) | Gen (8) | Eff? | HD | Pri <br> (4) | Gen (8) | Eff? | HD | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Pri } \\ & \text { (4) } \end{aligned}$ | Gen (8) | Eff? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | N | 61 | 4 | 8 | Y | 121 | 0 | 0 | N |
| 2 | 1 | 0 | N | 62 | 3 | 8 | Y | 122 | 3 | 8 | Y |
| 3 | 1 | 0 | N | 63 | 3 | 8 | Y | 123 | 3 | 0 | N |
| 4 | 2 | 0 | N | 64 | 3 | 0 | N | 124 | 2 | 0 | N |
| 5 | 1 | 0 | N | 65 | 4 | 8 | Y | 125 | 3 | 0 | N |
| 6 | 1 | 0 | N | 66 | 4 | 8 | Y | 126 | 4 | 8 | Y |
| 7 | 0 | 0 | N | 67 | 4 | 8 | Y | 127 | 3 | 0 | N |
| 8 | 0 | 0 | N | 68 | 4 | 8 | Y | 128 | 2 | 4 | N |
| 9 | 0 | 0 | N | 69 | 4 | 8 | Y | 129 | 3 | 8 | Y |
| 10 | 1 | 0 | N | 70 | 3 | 0 | N | 130 | 4 | 8 | Y |
| 11 | 0 | 0 | N | 71 | 3 | 0 | N | 131 | 3 | 0 | N |
| 12 | 1 | 0 | N | 72 | 1 | 0 | N | 132 | 4 | 8 | Y |
| 13 | 1 | 0 | N | 73 | 2 | 0 | N | 133 | 3 | 0 | N |
| 14 | 2 | 0 | N | 74 | 3 | 0 | N | 134 | 1 | 0 | N |
| 15 | 2 | 0 | N | 75 | 4 | 8 | Y | 135 | 1 | 0 | N |
| 16 | 3 | 0 | N | 76 | 4 | 8 | Y | 136 | 3 | 0 | N |
| 17 | 2 | 0 | N | 77 | 4 | 8 | Y | 137 | 4 | 8 | Y |
| 18 | 2 | 0 | N | 78 | 4 | 8 | Y | 138 | 2 | 0 | N |
| 19 | 3 | 0 | N | 79 | 4 | 8 | Y | 139 | 2 | 0 | N |
| 20 | 1 | 0 | N | 80 | 0 | 8 | N | 140 | 4 | 8 | Y |
| 21 | 1 | 0 | N | 81 | 0 | 8 | N | 141 | 4 | 8 | Y |
| 22 | 3 | 0 | N | 82 | 0 | 8 | N | 142 | 3 | 8 | Y |
| 23 | 1 | 0 | N | 83 | 0 | 8 | N | 143 | 3 | 8 | Y |
| 24 | 1 | 0 | N | 84 | 3 | 8 | Y | 144 | 3 | 0 | N |
| 25 | 0 | 0 | N | 85 | 3 | 8 | Y | 145 | 3 | 0 | N |
| 26 | 0 | 0 | N | 86 | 3 | 8 | Y | 146 | 4 | 0 | N |
| 27 | 1 | 0 | N | 87 | 4 | 8 | Y | 147 | 4 | 0 | N |
| 28 | 0 | 0 | N | 88 | 3 | 8 | Y | 148 | 4 | 0 | N |
| 29 | 2 | 0 | N | 89 | 2 | 8 | N | 149 | 2 | 0 | N |
| 30 | 0 | 0 | N | 90 | 2 | 8 | N | 150 | 4 | 8 | Y |
| 31 | 1 | 0 | N | 91 | 4 | 8 | Y | 151 | 4 | 0 | N |
| 32 | 2 | 0 | N | 92 | 4 | 8 | Y | 152 | 4 | 0 | N |
| 33 | 3 | 0 | N | 93 | 4 | 8 | Y | 153 | 4 | 8 | Y |
| 34 | 3 | 0 | N | 94 | 4 | 8 | Y | 154 | 4 | 7 | Y |
| 35 | 3 | 8 | Y | 95 | 4 | 8 | Y | 155 | 3 | 0 | N |
| 36 | 3 | 0 | N | 96 | 3 | 8 | Y | 156 | 4 | 0 | N |
| 37 | 3 | 8 | Y | 97 | 3 | 8 | Y | 157 | 3 | 0 | N |
| 38 | 4 | 8 | Y | 98 | 3 | 8 | Y | 158 | 2 | 0 | N |
| 39 | 4 | 8 | Y | 99 | 3 | 3 | N | 159 | 2 | 0 | N |
| 40 | 3 | 8 | Y | 100 | 1 | 0 | N | 160 | 2 | 0 | N |
| 41 | 4 | 8 | Y | 101 | 3 | 7 | Y | 161 | 4 | 0 | N |
| 42 | 3 | 8 | Y | 102 | 3 | 8 | Y | 162 | 4 | 8 | Y |
| 43 | 3 | 8 | Y | 103 | 3 | 0 | N | 163 | 3 | 8 | Y |
| 44 | 2 | 0 | N | 104 | 3 | 0 | N | 164 | 3 | 0 | N |
| 45 | 0 | 0 | N | 105 | 3 | 6 | Y | 165 | 4 | 8 | Y |
| 46 | 0 | 0 | N | 106 | 3 | 7 | Y | 166 | 3 | 0 | N |
| 47 | 2 | 0 | N | 107 | 3 | 8 | Y | 167 | 3 | 0 | N |
| 48 | 0 | 1 | N | 108 | 3 | 6 | Y | 168 | 4 | 8 | Y |
| 49 | 0 | 0 | N | 109 | 3 | 8 | Y | 169 | 3 | 0 | N |
| 50 | 2 | 8 | N | 110 | 4 | 8 | Y | 170 | 3 | 0 | N |
| 51 | 0 | 8 | N | 111 | 3 | 0 | N | 171 | 4 | 0 | N |
| 52 | 0 | 8 | N | 112 | 1 | 0 | N | 172 | 4 | 0 | N |
| 53 | 0 | 1 | N | 113 | 4 | 8 | Y | 173 | 4 | 0 | N |
| 54 | 0 | 7 | N | 114 | 3 | 0 | N | 174 | 3 | 0 | N |
| 55 | 3 | 8 | Y | 115 | 4 | 8 | Y | 175 | 4 | 0 | N |
| 56 | 3 | 8 | Y | 116 | 4 | 8 | Y | 176 | 4 | 0 | N |
| 57 | 0 | 8 | N | 117 | 3 | 0 | N | 177 | 4 | 7 | Y |
| 58 | 3 | 8 | Y | 118 | 3 | 0 | N | 178 | 3 | 0 | N |
| 59 | 3 | 8 | Y | 119 | 2 | 0 | N | 179 | 3 | 0 | N |
| 60 | 3 | 8 | Y | 120 | 2 | 0 | N | 180 | 3 | 0 | N |

Table 49: Of 180 enacted House districts, 69 are rated as providing an effective opportunity to elect coalition candidates of choice.

|  | CD Alt |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CD | BVAP | BHVAP | Primaries <br> out of 4 | Generals <br> out of 8 |
| 1 | $30.3 \%$ | $37.2 \%$ | 3 | 0 |
| 2 | $47.7 \%$ | $52.4 \%$ | 4 | 8 |
| 3 | $51.2 \%$ | $58.4 \%$ | 4 | 8 |
| 4 | $50.6 \%$ | $58.8 \%$ | 3 | 8 |
| 5 | $50.1 \%$ | $61.5 \%$ | 3 | 8 |
| 6 | $13.7 \%$ | $24.6 \%$ | 0 | 3 |
| 7 | $34.3 \%$ | $56.7 \%$ | 3 | 8 |
| 8 | $27.3 \%$ | $34.2 \%$ | 4 | 0 |
| 9 | $4.6 \%$ | $16.1 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | $17.6 \%$ | $24.5 \%$ | 3 | 0 |
| 11 | $17.6 \%$ | $25.2 \%$ | 2 | 0 |
| 12 | $39.2 \%$ | $43.8 \%$ | 3 | 0 |
| 13 | $52.0 \%$ | $58.8 \%$ | 4 | 8 |
| 14 | $7.6 \%$ | $18.6 \%$ | 1 | 0 |

Table 50: CD Alt effectiveness.

$\left.$|  | SD Alt Eff 1 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SD | BVAP | BHVAP | Primaries |  |
| out of 4 |  |  |  |  | | Generals |
| :---: |
| out of 8 | \right\rvert\,

Table 51: Effectiveness in SD Alt Eff 1 , which includes the Alt 1 Gingles maps.

$\left.$|  | SD Alt Eff 2 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SD | BVAP | BHVAP | Primaries |  |
| out of 4 |  |  |  |  | | Generals |
| :---: |
| out of 8 | \right\rvert\,

Table 52: Effectiveness in SD Alt Eff 2, which includes the Alt 2 Gingles maps.

|  | HD Alt Eff 1 Part 1 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SD | BVAP | BHVAP | Primaries out of 4 | Generals out of 8 |
| 1 | 4.2\% | 6.3\% | 1 | 0 |
| 2 | 3.2\% | 10.8\% | 1 | 0 |
| 3 | 3.4\% | 6.4\% | 1 | 0 |
| 4 | 5.4\% | 49.5\% | 2 | 0 |
| 5 | 4.6\% | 17.2\% | 1 | 0 |
| 6 | 1.5\% | 13.5\% | 1 | 0 |
| 7 | 0.6\% | 6.1\% | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | 1.4\% | 4.1\% | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | 1.6\% | 6.3\% | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | 3.7\% | 13.7\% | 1 | 0 |
| 11 | 1.8\% | 6.0\% | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | 9.7\% | 15.9\% | 1 | 0 |
| 13 | 19.2\% | 30.0\% | 1 | 0 |
| 14 | 6.8\% | 12.7\% | 2 | 0 |
| 15 | 14.2\% | 23.9\% | 2 | 0 |
| 16 | 11.7\% | 20.3\% | 3 | 0 |
| 17 | 23.0\% | 29.9\% | 2 | 0 |
| 18 | 8.0\% | 10.4\% | 2 | 0 |
| 19 | 24.1\% | 30.9\% | 3 | 0 |
| 20 | 9.3\% | 18.5\% | 1 | 0 |
| 21 | 5.1\% | 12.5\% | 1 | 0 |
| 22 | 15.1\% | 26.7\% | 3 | 0 |
| 23 | 6.5\% | 20.7\% | 1 | 0 |
| 24 | 7.0\% | 17.3\% | 1 | 0 |
| 25 | 5.9\% | 11.0\% | 0 | 0 |
| 26 | 4.0\% | 14.8\% | 0 | 0 |
| 27 | 3.7\% | 13.3\% | 1 | 0 |
| 28 | 3.9\% | 15.3\% | 0 | 0 |
| 29 | 13.6\% | 53.3\% | 2 | 0 |
| 30 | 8.1\% | 24.2\% | 0 | 0 |
| 31 | 7.6\% | 26.5\% | 1 | 0 |
| 32 | 8.0\% | 12.9\% | 2 | 0 |
| 33 | 11.2\% | 14.3\% | 3 | 0 |
| 34 | 15.7\% | 23.5\% | 3 | 0 |
| 35 | 28.4\% | 39.6\% | 3 | 8 |
| 36 | 17.0\% | 23.5\% | 3 | 0 |
| 37 | 28.2\% | 46.8\% | 3 | 8 |
| 38 | 54.2\% | 66.8\% | 4 | 8 |
| 39 | 55.3\% | 74.0\% | 4 | 8 |
| 40 | 33.0\% | 38.9\% | 3 | 8 |
| 41 | 39.4\% | 68.0\% | 4 | 8 |
| 42 | 33.7\% | 51.1\% | 3 | 8 |
| 43 | 26.5\% | 40.6\% | 3 | 8 |
| 44 | 12.0\% | 22.5\% | 2 | 0 |
| 45 | 5.3\% | 10.2\% | 0 | 0 |
| 46 | 8.1\% | 15.5\% | 0 | 0 |
| 47 | 10.7\% | 18.1\% | 2 | 0 |
| 48 | 11.8\% | 24.2\% | 0 | 1 |
| 49 | 8.4\% | 15.1\% | 0 | 0 |
| 50 | 12.4\% | 18.8\% | 2 | 8 |
| 51 | 23.7\% | 37.0\% | 0 | 8 |
| 52 | 16.0\% | 23.4\% | 0 | 8 |
| 53 | 14.5\% | 21.9\% | 0 | 1 |
| 54 | 15.5\% | 28.3\% | 0 | 7 |
| 55 | 55.4\% | 60.4\% | 3 | 8 |
| 56 | 45.5\% | 51.3\% | 3 | 8 |
| 57 | 18.1\% | 26.1\% | 0 | 8 |
| 58 | 63.0\% | 68.1\% | 3 | 8 |
| 59 | 70.1\% | 74.5\% | 3 | 8 |
| 60 | 63.9\% | 69.0\% | 3 | 8 |


|  | HD Alt Eff 1 Part 2 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SD | BVAP | BHVAP | Primaries out of 4 | Generals out of 8 |
| 61 | 74.3\% | 81.9\% | 4 | 8 |
| 62 | 72.3\% | 79.1\% | 3 | 8 |
| 63 | 69.3\% | 78.6\% | 3 | 8 |
| 64 | 30.7\% | 38.1\% | 3 | 0 |
| 65 | 62.0\% | 66.5\% | 4 | 8 |
| 66 | 53.4\% | 62.9\% | 4 | 8 |
| 67 | 58.9\% | 66.7\% | 4 | 8 |
| 68 | 55.7\% | 62.0\% | 4 | 8 |
| 69 | 63.6\% | 69.0\% | 4 | 8 |
| 70 | 27.8\% | 35.8\% | 3 | 0 |
| 71 | 19.9\% | 26.1\% | 3 | 0 |
| 72 | 20.9\% | 27.8\% | 1 | 0 |
| 73 | 12.1\% | 19.1\% | 2 | 0 |
| 74 | 25.5\% | 31.1\% | 3 | 0 |
| 75 | 74.4\% | 85.7\% | 4 | 8 |
| 76 | 67.2\% | 80.4\% | 4 | 8 |
| 77 | 76.1\% | 88.3\% | 4 | 8 |
| 78 | 71.6\% | 80.5\% | 4 | 8 |
| 79 | 71.6\% | 87.6\% | 4 | 8 |
| 80 | 14.2\% | 37.3\% | 0 | 8 |
| 81 | 21.8\% | 42.7\% | 0 | 8 |
| 82 | 16.8\% | 23.6\% | 0 | 8 |
| 83 | 15.1\% | 43.6\% | 0 | 8 |
| 84 | 73.7\% | 76.7\% | 3 | 8 |
| 85 | 62.7\% | 68.6\% | 3 | 8 |
| 86 | 75.1\% | 79.4\% | 3 | 8 |
| 87 | 73.1\% | 79.8\% | 4 | 8 |
| 88 | 63.3\% | 73.3\% | 3 | 8 |
| 89 | 62.5\% | 65.9\% | 2 | 8 |
| 90 | 58.5\% | 62.8\% | 2 | 8 |
| 91 | 70.0\% | 75.9\% | 4 | 8 |
| 92 | 68.8\% | 73.5\% | 4 | 8 |
| 93 | 65.4\% | 75.0\% | 4 | 8 |
| 94 | 69.0\% | 76.3\% | 4 | 8 |
| 95 | 67.2\% | 75.1\% | 4 | 8 |
| 96 | 23.0\% | 59.0\% | 3 | 8 |
| 97 | 26.8\% | 46.0\% | 3 | 8 |
| 98 | 23.2\% | 76.0\% | 3 | 8 |
| 99 | 14.7\% | 23.4\% | 3 | 3 |
| 100 | 10.0\% | 20.0\% | 1 | 0 |
| 101 | 24.2\% | 42.4\% | 3 | 7 |
| 102 | 37.6\% | 58.9\% | 3 | 8 |
| 103 | 16.8\% | 33.7\% | 3 | 0 |
| 104 | 17.0\% | 28.1\% | 3 | 0 |
| 105 | 29.0\% | 45.8\% | 3 | 6 |
| 106 | 36.3\% | 47.4\% | 3 | 7 |
| 107 | 29.6\% | 60.7\% | 3 | 8 |
| 108 | 18.4\% | 36.6\% | 3 | 6 |
| 109 | 32.5\% | 68.6\% | 3 | 8 |
| 110 | 47.2\% | 57.7\% | 4 | 8 |
| 111 | 22.3\% | 31.1\% | 3 | 0 |
| 112 | 19.2\% | 22.5\% | 1 | 0 |
| 113 | 59.5\% | 66.2\% | 4 | 8 |
| 114 | 24.7\% | 28.4\% | 3 | 0 |
| 115 | 52.1\% | 59.1\% | 4 | 8 |
| 116 | 58.1\% | 65.4\% | 4 | 8 |
| 117 | 36.6\% | 42.0\% | 3 | 0 |
| 118 | 23.6\% | 27.3\% | 3 | 0 |
| 119 | 13.5\% | 23.9\% | 2 | 0 |
| 120 | 14.3\% | 21.4\% | 2 | 0 |


|  | HD Alt Eff 1 Part 3 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SD | BVAP | BHVAP | Primaries out of 4 | Generals out of 8 |
| 121 | 9.6\% | 15.2\% | 0 | 0 |
| 122 | 28.4\% | 40.1\% | 3 | 8 |
| 123 | 24.3\% | 28.6\% | 3 | 0 |
| 124 | 25.6\% | 31.8\% | 2 | 0 |
| 125 | 23.7\% | 31.4\% | 3 | 0 |
| 126 | 54.5\% | 57.7\% | 4 | 8 |
| 127 | 18.5\% | 23.3\% | 3 | 0 |
| 128 | 50.4\% | 52.1\% | 2 | 4 |
| 129 | 54.9\% | 59.2\% | 3 | 8 |
| 130 | 59.9\% | 63.8\% | 4 | 8 |
| 131 | 17.6\% | 23.5\% | 3 | 0 |
| 132 | 52.3\% | 60.1\% | 4 | 8 |
| 133 | 36.8\% | 38.9\% | 3 | 0 |
| 134 | 33.6\% | 37.3\% | 1 | 0 |
| 135 | 23.8\% | 25.6\% | 1 | 0 |
| 136 | 28.7\% | 32.3\% | 3 | 0 |
| 137 | 52.1\% | 56.6\% | 4 | 8 |
| 138 | 19.3\% | 22.6\% | 2 | 0 |
| 139 | 20.3\% | 26.7\% | 2 | 0 |
| 140 | 57.6\% | 65.6\% | 4 | 8 |
| 141 | 57.5\% | 64.1\% | 4 | 8 |
| 142 | 59.5\% | 63.2\% | 3 | 8 |
| 143 | 60.8\% | 65.5\% | 3 | 8 |
| 144 | 29.3\% | 31.9\% | 3 | 0 |
| 145 | 35.7\% | 41.6\% | 3 | 0 |
| 146 | 27.6\% | 32.3\% | 4 | 0 |
| 147 | 30.1\% | 37.3\% | 4 | 0 |
| 148 | 34.0\% | 37.1\% | 4 | 0 |
| 149 | 32.1\% | 37.8\% | 2 | 0 |
| 150 | 53.6\% | 59.7\% | 4 | 8 |
| 151 | 42.4\% | 49.7\% | 4 | 0 |
| 152 | 26.1\% | 28.4\% | 4 | 0 |
| 153 | 67.9\% | 70.4\% | 4 | 8 |
| 154 | 54.8\% | 56.5\% | 4 | 7 |
| 155 | 35.9\% | 38.1\% | 3 | 0 |
| 156 | 30.3\% | 37.2\% | 4 | 0 |
| 157 | 24.7\% | 33.7\% | 3 | 0 |
| 158 | 31.2\% | 35.7\% | 2 | 0 |
| 159 | 24.5\% | 27.4\% | 2 | 0 |
| 160 | 22.6\% | 27.6\% | 2 | 0 |
| 161 | 27.1\% | 33.9\% | 4 | 0 |
| 162 | 43.7\% | 53.3\% | 4 | 8 |
| 163 | 45.5\% | 52.9\% | 3 | 8 |
| 164 | 23.5\% | 32.0\% | 3 | 0 |
| 165 | 50.3\% | 55.6\% | 4 | 8 |
| 166 | 5.7\% | 9.8\% | 3 | 0 |
| 167 | 22.3\% | 29.7\% | 3 | 0 |
| 168 | 46.3\% | 56.6\% | 4 | 8 |
| 169 | 29.0\% | 36.7\% | 3 | 0 |
| 170 | 24.2\% | 32.9\% | 3 | 0 |
| 171 | 39.6\% | 44.2\% | 4 | 0 |
| 172 | 23.3\% | 36.7\% | 4 | 0 |
| 173 | 36.3\% | 41.7\% | 4 | 0 |
| 174 | 17.4\% | 25.4\% | 3 | 0 |
| 175 | 24.2\% | 29.2\% | 4 | 0 |
| 176 | 22.7\% | 30.9\% | 4 | 0 |
| 177 | 53.9\% | 60.0\% | 4 | 7 |
| 178 | 14.8\% | 19.9\% | 3 | 0 |
| 179 | 27.0\% | 33.4\% | 3 | 0 |
| 180 | 18.2\% | 23.8\% | 3 | 0 |

Table 53: Effectiveness in HD Alt Eff 1, which includes the Alt 1 Gingles maps.

|  | HD Alt Eff 2 Part 1 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HD | BVAP | BHVAP | Primaries out of 4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Generals } \\ & \text { out of } 8 \end{aligned}$ |
| 1 | 4.2\% | 6.3\% | 1 | 0 |
| 2 | 3.2\% | 10.8\% | 1 | 0 |
| 3 | 3.4\% | 6.4\% | 1 | 0 |
| 4 | 5.4\% | 49.5\% | 2 | 0 |
| 5 | 4.6\% | 17.2\% | 1 | 0 |
| 6 | 1.5\% | 13.5\% | 1 | 0 |
| 7 | 0.6\% | 6.1\% | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | 1.4\% | 4.1\% | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | 1.6\% | 6.3\% | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | 3.7\% | 13.7\% | 1 | 0 |
| 11 | 1.8\% | 6.0\% | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | 9.7\% | 15.9\% | 1 | 0 |
| 13 | 19.2\% | 30.0\% | 1 | 0 |
| 14 | 6.8\% | 12.7\% | 2 | 0 |
| 15 | 14.2\% | 23.9\% | 2 | 0 |
| 16 | 11.7\% | 20.3\% | 3 | 0 |
| 17 | 23.0\% | 29.9\% | 2 | 0 |
| 18 | 8.0\% | 10.4\% | 2 | 0 |
| 19 | 24.1\% | 30.9\% | 3 | 0 |
| 20 | 9.3\% | 18.5\% | 1 | 0 |
| 21 | 5.1\% | 12.5\% | 1 | 0 |
| 22 | 15.1\% | 26.7\% | 3 | 0 |
| 23 | 6.5\% | 20.7\% | 1 | 0 |
| 24 | 7.0\% | 17.3\% | 1 | 0 |
| 25 | 5.9\% | 11.0\% | 0 | 0 |
| 26 | 4.0\% | 14.8\% | 0 | 0 |
| 27 | 3.7\% | 13.3\% | 1 | 0 |
| 28 | 3.9\% | 15.3\% | 0 | 0 |
| 29 | 13.6\% | 53.3\% | 2 | 0 |
| 30 | 8.1\% | 24.2\% | 0 | 0 |
| 31 | 7.6\% | 26.5\% | 1 | 0 |
| 32 | 8.0\% | 12.9\% | 2 | 0 |
| 33 | 11.2\% | 14.3\% | 3 | 0 |
| 34 | 15.7\% | 23.5\% | 3 | 0 |
| 35 | 28.4\% | 39.6\% | 3 | 8 |
| 36 | 17.0\% | 23.5\% | 3 | 0 |
| 37 | 28.2\% | 46.8\% | 3 | 8 |
| 38 | 54.2\% | 66.8\% | 4 | 8 |
| 39 | 55.3\% | 74.0\% | 4 | 8 |
| 40 | 33.0\% | 38.9\% | 3 | 8 |
| 41 | 39.4\% | 68.0\% | 4 | 8 |
| 42 | 33.7\% | 51.1\% | 3 | 8 |
| 43 | 26.5\% | 40.6\% | 3 | 8 |
| 44 | 12.0\% | 22.5\% | 2 | 0 |
| 45 | 5.3\% | 10.2\% | 0 | 0 |
| 46 | 8.1\% | 15.5\% | 0 | 0 |
| 47 | 10.7\% | 18.1\% | 2 | 0 |
| 48 | 11.8\% | 24.2\% | 0 | 1 |
| 49 | 8.4\% | 15.1\% | 0 | 0 |
| 50 | 12.4\% | 18.8\% | 2 | 8 |
| 51 | 23.7\% | 37.0\% | 0 | 8 |
| 52 | 16.0\% | 23.4\% | 0 | 8 |
| 53 | 14.5\% | 21.9\% | 0 | 1 |
| 54 | 15.5\% | 28.3\% | 0 | 7 |
| 55 | 55.4\% | 60.4\% | 3 | 8 |
| 56 | 45.5\% | 51.3\% | 3 | 8 |
| 57 | 18.1\% | 26.1\% | 0 | 8 |
| 58 | 63.0\% | 68.1\% | 3 | 8 |
| 59 | 70.1\% | 74.5\% | 3 | 8 |
| 60 | 63.9\% | 69.0\% | 3 | 8 |

## EXHIBIT B

|  | HD Alt Eff 2 Part 2 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HD | BVAP | BHVAP | Primaries out of 4 | Generals out of 8 |
| 61 | 74.3\% | 81.9\% | 4 | 8 |
| 62 | 72.3\% | 79.1\% | 3 | 8 |
| 63 | 69.3\% | 78.6\% | 3 | 8 |
| 64 | 30.7\% | 38.1\% | 3 | 0 |
| 65 | 62.0\% | 66.5\% | 4 | 8 |
| 66 | 53.4\% | 62.9\% | 4 | 8 |
| 67 | 58.9\% | 66.7\% | 4 | 8 |
| 68 | 55.7\% | 62.0\% | 4 | 8 |
| 69 | 63.6\% | 69.0\% | 4 | 8 |
| 70 | 27.8\% | 35.8\% | 3 | 0 |
| 71 | 19.9\% | 26.1\% | 3 | 0 |
| 72 | 20.9\% | 27.8\% | 1 | 0 |
| 73 | 12.1\% | 19.1\% | 2 | 0 |
| 74 | 25.5\% | 31.1\% | 3 | 0 |
| 75 | 74.4\% | 85.7\% | 4 | 8 |
| 76 | 67.2\% | 80.4\% | 4 | 8 |
| 77 | 76.1\% | 88.3\% | 4 | 8 |
| 78 | 71.6\% | 80.5\% | 4 | 8 |
| 79 | 71.6\% | 87.6\% | 4 | 8 |
| 80 | 14.2\% | 37.3\% | 0 | 8 |
| 81 | 21.8\% | 42.7\% | 0 | 8 |
| 82 | 16.8\% | 23.6\% | 0 | 8 |
| 83 | 15.1\% | 43.6\% | 0 | 8 |
| 84 | 73.7\% | 76.7\% | 3 | 8 |
| 85 | 62.7\% | 68.6\% | 3 | 8 |
| 86 | 75.1\% | 79.4\% | 3 | 8 |
| 87 | 73.1\% | 79.8\% | 4 | 8 |
| 88 | 63.3\% | 73.3\% | 3 | 8 |
| 89 | 62.5\% | 65.9\% | 2 | 8 |
| 90 | 58.5\% | 62.8\% | 2 | 8 |
| 91 | 70.0\% | 75.9\% | 4 | 8 |
| 92 | 68.8\% | 73.5\% | 4 | 8 |
| 93 | 65.4\% | 75.0\% | 4 | 8 |
| 94 | 69.0\% | 76.3\% | 4 | 8 |
| 95 | 67.2\% | 75.1\% | 4 | 8 |
| 96 | 23.0\% | 59.0\% | 3 | 8 |
| 97 | 26.8\% | 46.0\% | 3 | 8 |
| 98 | 23.2\% | 76.0\% | 3 | 8 |
| 99 | 14.7\% | 23.4\% | 3 | 3 |
| 100 | 10.0\% | 20.0\% | 1 | 0 |
| 101 | 24.2\% | 42.4\% | 3 | 7 |
| 102 | 37.6\% | 58.9\% | 3 | 8 |
| 103 | 16.8\% | 33.7\% | 3 | 0 |
| 104 | 17.0\% | 28.1\% | 3 | 0 |
| 105 | 29.0\% | 45.8\% | 3 | 6 |
| 106 | 36.3\% | 47.4\% | 3 | 7 |
| 107 | 29.6\% | 60.7\% | 3 | 8 |
| 108 | 18.4\% | 36.6\% | 3 | 6 |
| 109 | 32.5\% | 68.6\% | 3 | 8 |
| 110 | 47.2\% | 57.7\% | 4 | 8 |
| 111 | 22.3\% | 31.1\% | 3 | 0 |
| 112 | 19.2\% | 22.5\% | 1 | 0 |
| 113 | 59.5\% | 66.2\% | 4 | 8 |
| 114 | 24.7\% | 28.4\% | 3 | 0 |
| 115 | 52.1\% | 59.1\% | 4 | 8 |
| 116 | 58.1\% | 65.4\% | 4 | 8 |
| 117 | 36.6\% | 42.0\% | 3 | 0 |
| 118 | 23.6\% | 27.3\% | 3 | 0 |
| 119 | 13.5\% | 23.9\% | 2 | 0 |
| 120 | 14.3\% | 21.4\% | 2 | 0 |


|  | HD Alt Eff 2 Part 3 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HD | BVAP | BHVAP | Primaries | Generals |
|  |  |  | out of 4 | out of 8 |
| 121 | $9.6 \%$ | $15.2 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| 122 | $28.4 \%$ | $40.1 \%$ | 3 | 8 |
| 123 | $24.3 \%$ | $28.6 \%$ | 3 | 0 |
| 124 | $25.6 \%$ | $31.8 \%$ | 2 | 0 |
| 125 | $23.7 \%$ | $31.4 \%$ | 3 | 0 |
| 126 | $54.5 \%$ | $57.7 \%$ | 4 | 8 |
| 127 | $18.5 \%$ | $23.3 \%$ | 3 | 0 |
| 128 | $50.4 \%$ | $52.1 \%$ | 2 | 4 |
| 129 | $54.9 \%$ | $59.2 \%$ | 3 | 8 |
| 130 | $59.9 \%$ | $63.8 \%$ | 4 | 8 |
| 131 | $17.6 \%$ | $23.5 \%$ | 3 | 0 |
| 132 | $52.3 \%$ | $60.1 \%$ | 4 | 8 |
| 133 | $36.8 \%$ | $38.9 \%$ | 3 | 0 |
| 134 | $33.6 \%$ | $37.3 \%$ | 1 | 0 |
| 135 | $23.8 \%$ | $25.6 \%$ | 1 | 0 |
| 136 | $28.7 \%$ | $32.3 \%$ | 3 | 0 |
| 137 | $52.1 \%$ | $56.6 \%$ | 4 | 8 |
| 138 | $19.3 \%$ | $22.6 \%$ | 2 | 0 |
| 139 | $20.3 \%$ | $26.7 \%$ | 2 | 0 |
| 140 | $57.6 \%$ | $65.6 \%$ | 4 | 8 |
| 141 | $57.5 \%$ | $64.1 \%$ | 4 | 8 |
| 142 | $59.5 \%$ | $63.2 \%$ | 3 | 8 |
| 143 | $60.8 \%$ | $65.5 \%$ | 3 | 8 |
| 144 | $29.3 \%$ | $31.9 \%$ | 3 | 0 |
| 145 | $35.7 \%$ | $41.6 \%$ | 3 | 0 |
| 146 | $27.6 \%$ | $32.3 \%$ | 4 | 0 |
| 147 | $30.1 \%$ | $37.3 \%$ | 4 | 0 |
| 148 | $34.0 \%$ | $37.1 \%$ | 4 | 0 |
| 149 | $32.1 \%$ | $37.8 \%$ | 2 | 0 |
| 150 | $53.6 \%$ | $59.7 \%$ | 4 | 0 |
| 151 | $42.4 \%$ | $49.7 \%$ | 4 | 0 |
| 152 | $26.1 \%$ | $28.4 \%$ | 4 | 0 |
| 153 | $67.9 \%$ | $70.4 \%$ | 4 | 0 |
| 154 | $54.8 \%$ | $56.5 \%$ | 4 | 8 |
| 155 | $35.9 \%$ | $38.1 \%$ | 3 | 7 |
| 156 | $30.3 \%$ | $37.2 \%$ | 4 | 0 |
| 157 | $24.7 \%$ | $33.7 \%$ | 3 | 0 |
| 158 | $31.2 \%$ | $35.7 \%$ | 2 | 0 |
| 159 | $24.5 \%$ | $27.4 \%$ | 2 | 0 |
| 160 | $22.6 \%$ | $27.6 \%$ | 2 | 0 |
| 161 | $27.1 \%$ | $33.9 \%$ | 4 | 0 |
| 162 | $43.7 \%$ | $53.3 \%$ | 4 | 0 |
| 163 | $45.5 \%$ | $52.9 \%$ | 3 | 8 |
| 164 | $23.5 \%$ | $32.0 \%$ | 3 | 8 |
| 165 | $50.3 \%$ | $55.6 \%$ | 4 | 0 |
| 166 | $5.7 \%$ | $9.8 \%$ | 3 | 8 |
| 167 | $22.3 \%$ | $29.7 \%$ | 3 | 0 |
| 168 | $46.3 \%$ | $56.6 \%$ | 4 | 0 |
| 169 | $29.0 \%$ | $36.7 \%$ | 3 | 8 |
| 170 | $24.2 \%$ | $32.9 \%$ | 3 | 0 |
| 171 | $39.6 \%$ | $44.2 \%$ | 4 | 0 |
| 172 | $23.3 \%$ | $36.7 \%$ | 4 | 0 |
| 173 | $36.3 \%$ | $41.7 \%$ | 4 | 0 |
| 174 | $17.4 \%$ | $25.4 \%$ | 3 | 0 |
| 175 | $24.2 \%$ | $29.2 \%$ | 4 | 0 |
| 176 | $22.7 \%$ | $30.9 \%$ | 4 | 0 |
| 177 | $53.9 \%$ | $60.0 \%$ | 4 | 0 |
| 178 | $14.8 \%$ | $19.9 \%$ | 3 | 0 |
| 179 | $27.0 \%$ | $33.4 \%$ | 3 | 0 |
| 180 | $18.2 \%$ | $23.8 \%$ | 3 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | 0 |
|  |  | 4 | 0 |  |

Table 54: Effectiveness in HD Alt Eff 2, which includes the Alt 2 Gingles maps.

## C Splits of geographical units

| County | CD | TOTPOP | VAP | BVAP | BHVAP | Biden20 | Abrams18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bibb | 2 | 108371 | 82489 | 0.6349 | 0.6710 | 0.7139 | 0.7250 |
| Bibb | 8 | 48975 | 38413 | 0.3098 | 0.3394 | 0.4596 | 0.4202 |
| Cherokee | 6 | 40881 | 31202 | 0.0304 | 0.0814 | 0.2172 | 0.1862 |
| Cherokee | 11 | 225739 | 171726 | 0.0817 | 0.1902 | 0.3233 | 0.2905 |
| Clayton | 5 | 37919 | 27885 | 0.7280 | 0.8649 | 0.8849 | 0.9200 |
| Clayton | 13 | 259676 | 192693 | 0.7190 | 0.8266 | 0.8548 | 0.8773 |
| Cobb | 6 | 165925 | 125728 | 0.1092 | 0.1848 | 0.4913 | 0.4476 |
| Cobb | 11 | 397281 | 313106 | 0.2654 | 0.3850 | 0.5535 | 0.5309 |
| Cobb | 13 | 125029 | 94104 | 0.4458 | 0.6271 | 0.7316 | 0.7310 |
| Cobb | 14 | 77914 | 58910 | 0.4646 | 0.5644 | 0.6421 | 0.6263 |
| DeKalb | 4 | 601451 | 465661 | 0.5316 | 0.6302 | 0.8171 | 0.8166 |
| DeKalb | 5 | 162931 | 129615 | 0.5145 | 0.5480 | 0.9148 | 0.9203 |
| Douglas | 3 | 42970 | 32601 | 0.2970 | 0.3719 | 0.4220 | 0.3803 |
| Douglas | 13 | 101267 | 75827 | 0.5762 | 0.6647 | 0.7230 | 0.7055 |
| Effingham | 1 | 47208 | 34272 | 0.1276 | 0.1756 | 0.2462 | 0.2167 |
| Effingham | 12 | 17561 | 13023 | 0.1887 | 0.2129 | 0.2608 | 0.2521 |
| Fayette | 3 | 102685 | 78539 | 0.2094 | 0.2720 | 0.4272 | 0.3914 |
| Fayette | 13 | 16509 | 13259 | 0.5492 | 0.6082 | 0.6394 | 0.6271 |
| Fulton | 5 | 564287 | 464015 | 0.4769 | 0.5379 | 0.8077 | 0.8108 |
| Fulton | 6 | 245494 | 190172 | 0.1574 | 0.2568 | 0.5433 | 0.5069 |
| Fulton | 7 | 92558 | 69229 | 0.1175 | 0.1777 | 0.5527 | 0.5060 |
| Fulton | 13 | 164371 | 123766 | 0.8829 | 0.9171 | 0.9291 | 0.9474 |
| Gwinnett | 6 | 34755 | 25061 | 0.1336 | 0.2645 | 0.4320 | 0.3889 |
| Gwinnett | 7 | 672579 | 497705 | 0.3234 | 0.5450 | 0.6487 | 0.6332 |
| Gwinnett | 9 | 249728 | 186718 | 0.2061 | 0.3433 | 0.5045 | 0.4697 |
| Henry | 3 | 23975 | 17964 | 0.4678 | 0.5259 | 0.5731 | 0.5484 |
| Henry | 10 | 118452 | 86869 | 0.4414 | 0.4948 | 0.5093 | 0.4413 |
| Henry | 13 | 98285 | 75140 | 0.5710 | 0.6324 | 0.7013 | 0.6898 |
| Houston | 2 | 48521 | 36233 | 0.4321 | 0.5075 | 0.5511 | 0.5393 |
| Houston | 8 | 115112 | 85885 | 0.2788 | 0.3276 | 0.3996 | 0.3741 |
| Muscogee | 2 | 175155 | 132158 | 0.5262 | 0.5851 | 0.6625 | 0.6625 |
| Muscogee | 3 | 31767 | 24894 | 0.1909 | 0.2578 | 0.3973 | 0.3371 |
| Newton | 4 | 70114 | 52306 | 0.6098 | 0.6644 | 0.7470 | 0.7502 |
| Newton | 10 | 42369 | 32442 | 0.2631 | 0.2960 | 0.3764 | 0.3546 |
| Wilkes | 10 | 1802 | 1491 | 0.3273 | 0.3628 | 0.3556 | 0.3607 |
| Wilkes | 12 | 7763 | 6160 | 0.4193 | 0.4481 | 0.4191 | 0.3810 |

Table 55: All county splits in the enacted Congressional map.

| County | SD | TOTPOP | VAP | BVAP | BHVAP | Biden20 | Abrams18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bibb | 18 | 53182 | 42225 | 0.3079 | 0.3413 | 0.4239 | 0.3967 |
| Bibb | 25 | 15513 | 12080 | 0.4120 | 0.4384 | 0.5678 | 0.5256 |
| Bibb | 26 | 88651 | 66597 | 0.6951 | 0.7309 | 0.7939 | 0.8072 |
| Chatham | 1 | 81408 | 65586 | 0.1486 | 0.2032 | 0.3982 | 0.3743 |
| Chatham | 2 | 190408 | 150843 | 0.4686 | 0.5368 | 0.7304 | 0.7447 |
| Chatham | 4 | 23475 | 18286 | 0.2596 | 0.3331 | 0.4748 | 0.4463 |
| Clarke | 46 | 52016 | 45312 | 0.1485 | 0.2062 | 0.6611 | 0.6499 |
| Clarke | 47 | 76655 | 61518 | 0.2933 | 0.4111 | 0.7355 | 0.7329 |
| Cobb | 6 | 92249 | 75423 | 0.2527 | 0.3229 | 0.5988 | 0.5665 |
| Cobb | 32 | 101467 | 80689 | 0.1946 | 0.2934 | 0.5310 | 0.5013 |
| Cobb | 33 | 192694 | 146415 | 0.4296 | 0.6488 | 0.7124 | 0.7146 |
| Cobb | 37 | 181541 | 138961 | 0.2018 | 0.2812 | 0.4547 | 0.4203 |
| Cobb | 38 | 108305 | 83807 | 0.4264 | 0.5438 | 0.7289 | 0.7235 |
| Cobb | 56 | 89893 | 66553 | 0.0706 | 0.1257 | 0.4685 | 0.4177 |
| DeKalb | 10 | 75906 | 58884 | 0.9500 | 0.9605 | 0.9600 | 0.9783 |
| DeKalb | 40 | 164997 | 127423 | 0.1719 | 0.3807 | 0.6490 | 0.6138 |
| DeKalb | 41 | 183560 | 139591 | 0.6449 | 0.7009 | 0.8404 | 0.8492 |
| DeKalb | 42 | 190940 | 153952 | 0.3078 | 0.3875 | 0.8487 | 0.8451 |
| DeKalb | 43 | 32212 | 24150 | 0.9135 | 0.9384 | 0.9394 | 0.9582 |
| DeKalb | 44 | 51049 | 40820 | 0.7415 | 0.7714 | 0.9490 | 0.9654 |
| DeKalb | 55 | 65718 | 50456 | 0.9248 | 0.9473 | 0.9511 | 0.9698 |
| Douglas | 28 | 25889 | 19664 | 0.2400 | 0.3042 | 0.3485 | 0.3050 |
| Douglas | 30 | 23454 | 17242 | 0.5045 | 0.5920 | 0.6386 | 0.6270 |
| Douglas | 35 | 94894 | 71522 | 0.5587 | 0.6479 | 0.7084 | 0.6871 |
| Fayette | 16 | 87134 | 66132 | 0.1605 | 0.2249 | 0.4142 | 0.3812 |
| Fayette | 34 | 32060 | 25666 | 0.5111 | 0.5670 | 0.6424 | 0.6262 |
| Fulton | 6 | 99152 | 80358 | 0.2261 | 0.3060 | 0.6333 | 0.5887 |
| Fulton | 14 | 192533 | 155340 | 0.1897 | 0.3044 | 0.6012 | 0.5624 |
| Fulton | 21 | 83538 | 62497 | 0.1058 | 0.1749 | 0.4711 | 0.4310 |
| Fulton | 28 | 6963 | 5456 | 0.4646 | 0.5403 | 0.6541 | 0.6506 |
| Fulton | 35 | 97945 | 73153 | 0.8757 | 0.9161 | 0.9293 | 0.9449 |
| Fulton | 36 | 192282 | 161385 | 0.5134 | 0.5749 | 0.8962 | 0.9164 |
| Fulton | 38 | 84850 | 64560 | 0.9472 | 0.9672 | 0.9589 | 0.9831 |
| Fulton | 39 | 191500 | 156022 | 0.6070 | 0.6549 | 0.8816 | 0.8935 |
| Fulton | 48 | 83219 | 61631 | 0.1140 | 0.1697 | 0.5609 | 0.5128 |
| Fulton | 56 | 34728 | 26780 | 0.0764 | 0.1341 | 0.4753 | 0.4280 |
| Gwinnett | 5 | 191921 | 139394 | 0.2994 | 0.7018 | 0.7503 | 0.7914 |
| Gwinnett | 7 | 189709 | 147425 | 0.2144 | 0.3714 | 0.5941 | 0.5728 |
| Gwinnett | 9 | 192915 | 142054 | 0.2953 | 0.4730 | 0.6008 | 0.5667 |
| Gwinnett | 40 | 25547 | 19577 | 0.3258 | 0.5294 | 0.6840 | 0.6640 |
| Gwinnett | 41 | 7463 | 5687 | 0.1662 | 0.2427 | 0.5323 | 0.4821 |
| Gwinnett | 45 | 151475 | 110999 | 0.2039 | 0.3351 | 0.4571 | 0.4167 |
| Gwinnett | 46 | 27298 | 19469 | 0.3273 | 0.4631 | 0.4781 | 0.4201 |
| Gwinnett | 48 | 46297 | 33367 | 0.1244 | 0.2355 | 0.4312 | 0.3849 |
| Gwinnett | 55 | 124437 | 91512 | 0.5135 | 0.6159 | 0.7078 | 0.6833 |
| Hall | 49 | 189355 | 144123 | 0.0796 | 0.2954 | 0.2832 | 0.2646 |
| Hall | 50 | 13781 | 9721 | 0.0637 | 0.5322 | 0.4380 | 0.4661 |
| Houston | 18 | 42875 | 32630 | 0.2983 | 0.3609 | 0.4437 | 0.4176 |
| Houston | 20 | 74275 | 54626 | 0.2606 | 0.3022 | 0.3680 | 0.3405 |
| Houston | 26 | 46483 | 34862 | 0.4485 | 0.5232 | 0.5831 | 0.5711 |
| Muscogee | 15 | 142205 | 107284 | 0.5931 | 0.6521 | 0.7443 | 0.7508 |
| Muscogee | 29 | 64717 | 49768 | 0.2144 | 0.2771 | 0.4287 | 0.3868 |
| Newton | 17 | 45536 | 34660 | 0.3080 | 0.3453 | 0.3845 | 0.3582 |
| Newton | 43 | 66947 | 50088 | 0.5941 | 0.6466 | 0.7456 | 0.7531 |
| Richmond | 22 | 193163 | 150450 | 0.5650 | 0.6105 | 0.6912 | 0.6838 |
| Richmond | 23 | 13444 | 10449 | 0.2795 | 0.3129 | 0.3975 | 0.3659 |

Table 56: Counties with more than 15 points BHVAP differential across Senate districts.

| County | HD | TOTPOP | VAP | BVAP | BHVAP share | Biden20 | Abrams 18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bibb | 142 | 59608 | 44584 | 0.5952 | 0.6249 | 0.6687 | 0.6705 |
| Bibb | 143 | 59469 | 46390 | 0.6079 | 0.6501 | 0.7099 | 0.7223 |
| Bibb | 144 | 33948 | 26547 | 0.3263 | 0.3545 | 0.4642 | 0.4220 |
| Bibb | 145 | 4321 | 3381 | 0.2576 | 0.2828 | 0.3445 | 0.3323 |
| Carroll | 18 | 18789 | 14467 | 0.1147 | 0.1479 | 0.1918 | 0.1808 |
| Carroll | 70 | 2854 | 2259 | 0.0469 | 0.0668 | 0.1414 | 0.1308 |
| Carroll | 71 | 59538 | 44582 | 0.1992 | 0.2572 | 0.3247 | 0.3170 |
| Carroll | 72 | 37967 | 29688 | 0.2419 | 0.3312 | 0.3361 | 0.3285 |
| Chatham | 161 | 28269 | 21359 | 0.3988 | 0.4739 | 0.6095 | 0.6037 |
| Chatham | 162 | 60308 | 46733 | 0.4373 | 0.5246 | 0.6721 | 0.6870 |
| Chatham | 163 | 60123 | 48461 | 0.4549 | 0.5242 | 0.7266 | 0.7313 |
| Chatham | 164 | 38681 | 30732 | 0.2607 | 0.3401 | 0.4644 | 0.4676 |
| Chatham | 165 | 59978 | 48247 | 0.5033 | 0.5506 | 0.7803 | 0.7899 |
| Chatham | 166 | 47932 | 39183 | 0.0481 | 0.0851 | 0.3527 | 0.3205 |
| Clarke | 120 | 30095 | 25090 | 0.1937 | 0.2693 | 0.6432 | 0.6235 |
| Clarke | 121 | 26478 | 22991 | 0.1359 | 0.1979 | 0.7010 | 0.6934 |
| Clarke | 122 | 59632 | 48840 | 0.2842 | 0.3977 | 0.7990 | 0.8078 |
| Clarke | 124 | 12466 | 9909 | 0.2940 | 0.3941 | 0.7018 | 0.6980 |
| Cobb | 22 | 28586 | 22350 | 0.2048 | 0.2980 | 0.5020 | 0.4894 |
| Cobb | 34 | 59875 | 45758 | 0.1567 | 0.2306 | 0.4198 | 0.3770 |
| Cobb | 35 | 59889 | 48312 | 0.2840 | 0.3856 | 0.5726 | 0.5603 |
| Cobb | 36 | 59994 | 44911 | 0.1698 | 0.2300 | 0.4022 | 0.3596 |
| Cobb | 37 | 59176 | 46223 | 0.2818 | 0.4599 | 0.6113 | 0.5933 |
| Cobb | 38 | 59317 | 44839 | 0.5423 | 0.6568 | 0.7243 | 0.7229 |
| Cobb | 39 | 59381 | 44436 | 0.5529 | 0.7293 | 0.7876 | 0.7930 |
| Cobb | 40 | 59044 | 47976 | 0.3298 | 0.3798 | 0.6673 | 0.6417 |
| Cobb | 41 | 60122 | 45271 | 0.3935 | 0.6699 | 0.7105 | 0.7199 |
| Cobb | 42 | 59620 | 48525 | 0.3370 | 0.5014 | 0.7158 | 0.7282 |
| Cobb | 43 | 59464 | 47033 | 0.2653 | 0.3973 | 0.6073 | 0.5885 |
| Cobb | 44 | 38013 | 29631 | 0.1281 | 0.2176 | 0.4855 | 0.4445 |
| Cobb | 45 | 59738 | 44023 | 0.0528 | 0.0988 | 0.4788 | 0.4200 |
| Cobb | 46 | 43930 | 32560 | 0.0782 | 0.1348 | 0.4656 | 0.4206 |
| Coweta | 65 | 13008 | 9714 | 0.1225 | 0.1650 | 0.3213 | 0.2874 |
| Coweta | 67 | 17272 | 13061 | 0.0763 | 0.1352 | 0.2416 | 0.2057 |
| Coweta | 70 | 56267 | 42990 | 0.2904 | 0.3678 | 0.4376 | 0.5036 |
| Coweta | 73 | 31608 | 24269 | 0.1336 | 0.2015 | 0.4070 | 0.3136 |
| Coweta | 136 | 28003 | 21121 | 0.1081 | 0.1469 | 0.2325 | 0.2141 |
| DeKalb | 52 | 28300 | 21991 | 0.1398 | 0.1987 | 0.6358 | 0.5815 |
| DeKalb | 80 | 59461 | 44784 | 0.1418 | 0.3654 | 0.6100 | 0.5681 |
| DeKalb | 81 | 59007 | 46259 | 0.2183 | 0.4191 | 0.7180 | 0.6918 |
| DeKalb | 82 | 59724 | 50238 | 0.1683 | 0.2309 | 0.8035 | 0.7923 |
| DeKalb | 83 | 59416 | 46581 | 0.1512 | 0.4284 | 0.6572 | 0.6316 |
| DeKalb | 84 | 59862 | 47350 | 0.7366 | 0.7561 | 0.9324 | 0.9440 |
| DeKalb | 85 | 59373 | 46308 | 0.6271 | 0.6765 | 0.8981 | 0.9246 |
| DeKalb | 86 | 59205 | 44614 | 0.7505 | 0.7832 | 0.8931 | 0.9160 |
| DeKalb | 87 | 59709 | 45615 | 0.7308 | 0.7866 | 0.8798 | 0.8936 |
| DeKalb | 88 | 47844 | 37310 | 0.7117 | 0.7652 | 0.8359 | 0.8377 |
| DeKalb | 89 | 59866 | 46198 | 0.6254 | 0.6519 | 0.9214 | 0.9284 |
| DeKalb | 90 | 59812 | 48015 | 0.5849 | 0.6205 | 0.9401 | 0.9508 |
| DeKalb | 91 | 19700 | 14941 | 0.9586 | 0.9683 | 0.9581 | 0.9793 |
| DeKalb | 92 | 15607 | 11794 | 0.9309 | 0.9453 | 0.9403 | 0.9581 |
| DeKalb | 93 | 11690 | 8476 | 0.9040 | 0.9412 | 0.9411 | 0.9598 |
| DeKalb | 94 | 31207 | 23817 | 0.9289 | 0.9513 | 0.9523 | 0.9703 |
| DeKalb | 95 | 14599 | 10985 | 0.8971 | 0.9250 | 0.9413 | 0.9607 |
| Dougherty | 151 | 6268 | 4791 | 0.5917 | 0.6022 | 0.6466 | 0.6213 |
| Dougherty | 152 | 6187 | 4906 | 0.4855 | 0.5298 | 0.5372 | 0.5517 |
| Dougherty | 153 | 59299 | 45692 | 0.6795 | 0.7010 | 0.7454 | 0.7566 |
| Dougherty | 154 | 14036 | 10877 | 0.8612 | 0.8694 | 0.8896 | 0.9081 |


| County | HD | TOTPOP | VAP | BVAP | BHVAP share | Biden20 | Abrams18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Douglas | 61 | 30206 | 23160 | 0.5396 | 0.6574 | 0.6995 | 0.6949 |
| Douglas | 64 | 35576 | 26860 | 0.2958 | 0.3662 | 0.4137 | 0.3741 |
| Douglas | 65 | 19408 | 14130 | 0.6572 | 0.7146 | 0.7568 | 0.7413 |
| Douglas | 66 | 59047 | 44278 | 0.5341 | 0.6181 | 0.6899 | 0.6610 |
| Fayette | 68 | 29719 | 22798 | 0.2259 | 0.3098 | 0.4218 | 0.3753 |
| Fayette | 69 | 37303 | 29554 | 0.4700 | 0.5270 | 0.5903 | 0.5574 |
| Fayette | 73 | 28428 | 21467 | 0.1070 | 0.1718 | 0.3793 | 0.3349 |
| Fayette | 74 | 23744 | 17979 | 0.1329 | 0.1724 | 0.3872 | 0.3373 |
| Floyd | 5 | 5099 | 4048 | 0.0336 | 0.0684 | 0.1566 | 0.1349 |
| Floyd | 12 | 34335 | 27071 | 0.0836 | 0.1607 | 0.2351 | 0.2152 |
| Floyd | 13 | 59150 | 45176 | 0.1918 | 0.2979 | 0.3687 | 0.3564 |
| Fulton | 25 | 13280 | 9828 | 0.1043 | 0.1651 | 0.5348 | 0.4723 |
| Fulton | 47 | 55235 | 40829 | 0.1130 | 0.1834 | 0.4647 | 0.4241 |
| Fulton | 48 | 43976 | 33385 | 0.1231 | 0.2615 | 0.5322 | 0.4840 |
| Fulton | 49 | 59153 | 45263 | 0.0842 | 0.1480 | 0.4815 | 0.4342 |
| Fulton | 50 | 59523 | 43940 | 0.1240 | 0.1826 | 0.5939 | 0.5558 |
| Fulton | 51 | 58952 | 47262 | 0.2368 | 0.3623 | 0.6082 | 0.5728 |
| Fulton | 52 | 31511 | 26534 | 0.1765 | 0.2543 | 0.6372 | 0.6074 |
| Fulton | 53 | 59953 | 46944 | 0.1453 | 0.2143 | 0.5485 | 0.4998 |
| Fulton | 54 | 60083 | 50338 | 0.1547 | 0.2766 | 0.6104 | 0.5641 |
| Fulton | 55 | 59971 | 49255 | 0.5538 | 0.5960 | 0.8169 | 0.8121 |
| Fulton | 56 | 58929 | 52757 | 0.4548 | 0.5055 | 0.8971 | 0.9249 |
| Fulton | 57 | 59969 | 52097 | 0.1806 | 0.2543 | 0.8092 | 0.8025 |
| Fulton | 58 | 59057 | 50514 | 0.6304 | 0.6732 | 0.9213 | 0.9511 |
| Fulton | 59 | 59434 | 49179 | 0.7009 | 0.7332 | 0.9337 | 0.9603 |
| Fulton | 60 | 59709 | 45490 | 0.6388 | 0.6820 | 0.8065 | 0.8069 |
| Fulton | 61 | 29096 | 22287 | 0.9541 | 0.9658 | 0.9654 | 0.9789 |
| Fulton | 62 | 59450 | 46426 | 0.7226 | 0.7807 | 0.9254 | 0.9434 |
| Fulton | 63 | 59381 | 45043 | 0.6933 | 0.7761 | 0.9085 | 0.9279 |
| Fulton | 65 | 27048 | 20542 | 0.8293 | 0.8473 | 0.8952 | 0.9088 |
| Fulton | 67 | 41863 | 31238 | 0.8036 | 0.8785 | 0.8985 | 0.9164 |
| Fulton | 68 | 29758 | 22037 | 0.9004 | 0.9274 | 0.9278 | 0.9482 |
| Fulton | 69 | 21379 | 15994 | 0.9415 | 0.9655 | 0.9561 | 0.9811 |
| Grady | 171 | 8115 | 6461 | 0.1696 | 0.2131 | 0.2238 | 0.2074 |
| Grady | 173 | 18121 | 13501 | 0.3394 | 0.4507 | 0.4454 | 0.4338 |
| Gwinnett | 30 | 8620 | 6301 | 0.1584 | 0.2484 | 0.3775 | 0.3234 |
| Gwinnett | 48 | 15027 | 11394 | 0.1026 | 0.1660 | 0.4955 | 0.4395 |
| Gwinnett | 88 | 11845 | 8763 | 0.3005 | 0.5402 | 0.7198 | 0.7597 |
| Gwinnett | 94 | 28004 | 20992 | 0.4197 | 0.5235 | 0.6869 | 0.6571 |
| Gwinnett | 95 | 34221 | 25212 | 0.6639 | 0.7452 | 0.8115 | 0.8122 |
| Gwinnett | 96 | 59515 | 44671 | 0.2300 | 0.5797 | 0.6579 | 0.6661 |
| Gwinnett | 97 | 59072 | 46339 | 0.2677 | 0.4490 | 0.6617 | 0.6608 |
| Gwinnett | 98 | 59998 | 42734 | 0.2325 | 0.7459 | 0.7610 | 0.8075 |
| Gwinnett | 99 | 59850 | 45004 | 0.1471 | 0.2279 | 0.5261 | 0.4833 |
| Gwinnett | 100 | 35204 | 25378 | 0.1307 | 0.2425 | 0.4252 | 0.3789 |
| Gwinnett | 101 | 59938 | 46584 | 0.2419 | 0.4143 | 0.5632 | 0.5431 |
| Gwinnett | 102 | 58959 | 42968 | 0.3762 | 0.5767 | 0.6626 | 0.6503 |
| Gwinnett | 103 | 51691 | 38022 | 0.1879 | 0.3607 | 0.4796 | 0.4471 |
| Gwinnett | 104 | 35117 | 25457 | 0.2096 | 0.3042 | 0.3993 | 0.3442 |
| Gwinnett | 105 | 59344 | 43474 | 0.2905 | 0.4482 | 0.5553 | 0.5328 |
| Gwinnett | 106 | 59112 | 43890 | 0.3627 | 0.4648 | 0.5858 | 0.5390 |
| Gwinnett | 107 | 59702 | 44509 | 0.2963 | 0.5937 | 0.6884 | 0.6965 |
| Gwinnett | 108 | 59577 | 44308 | 0.1835 | 0.3578 | 0.5536 | 0.5107 |
| Gwinnett | 109 | 59630 | 44140 | 0.3251 | 0.6708 | 0.7711 | 0.8246 |
| Gwinnett | 110 | 59951 | 43226 | 0.4719 | 0.5645 | 0.6405 | 0.5965 |
| Gwinnett | 111 | 22685 | 16118 | 0.3307 | 0.4520 | 0.4726 | 0.4142 |
| Hall | 27 | 54508 | 42712 | 0.0386 | 0.1354 | 0.1804 | 0.1550 |
| Hall | 28 | 8108 | 6799 | 0.0284 | 0.1772 | 0.2527 | 0.2270 |
| Hall | 29 | 59200 | 43131 | 0.1359 | 0.5284 | 0.4485 | 0.4704 |
| Hall | 30 | 50646 | 39113 | 0.0685 | 0.2374 | 0.2707 | 0.2393 |
| Hall | 31 | 14349 | 9789 | 0.1036 | 0.6834 | 0.4858 | 0.5209 |
| Hall | 100 | 7819 | 5923 | 0.0653 | 0.1867 | 0.2453 | 0.2134 |
| Hall | 103 | 8506 | 6377 | 0.0486 | 0.1396 | 0.2653 | 0.2319 |


| County | HD | TOTPOP | VAP | BVAP | BHVAP share | Biden20 | Abrams18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Henry | 74 | 18397 | 13441 | 0.4742 | 0.5356 | 0.5834 | 0.5642 |
| Henry | 78 | 3847 | 2965 | 0.6921 | 0.7292 | 0.8470 | 0.8768 |
| Henry | 91 | 35569 | 27415 | 0.5887 | 0.6628 | 0.7223 | 0.7183 |
| Henry | 115 | 60174 | 44807 | 0.5213 | 0.5797 | 0.6153 | 0.5443 |
| Henry | 116 | 55759 | 42471 | 0.5808 | 0.6380 | 0.6848 | 0.6669 |
| Henry | 117 | 54737 | 40246 | 0.3841 | 0.4324 | 0.4416 | 0.3759 |
| Henry | 118 | 12229 | 8628 | 0.1868 | 0.2258 | 0.2874 | 0.2449 |
| Houston | 145 | 28132 | 20686 | 0.5239 | 0.6021 | 0.6151 | 0.6114 |
| Houston | 146 | 60203 | 44589 | 0.2761 | 0.3192 | 0.3840 | 0.3558 |
| Houston | 147 | 59178 | 44902 | 0.3012 | 0.3678 | 0.4662 | 0.4414 |
| Houston | 148 | 16120 | 11941 | 0.2453 | 0.2778 | 0.3271 | 0.3070 |
| Lamar | 134 | 5026 | 3864 | 0.0970 | 0.1198 | 0.1786 | 0.1839 |
| Lamar | 135 | 13474 | 10677 | 0.3411 | 0.3603 | 0.3798 | 0.3906 |
| Lowndes | 174 | 9770 | 7472 | 0.1453 | 0.1935 | 0.2019 | 0.1828 |
| Lowndes | 175 | 43692 | 31957 | 0.2018 | 0.2494 | 0.3784 | 0.4034 |
| Lowndes | 176 | 4797 | 3588 | 0.2717 | 0.3743 | 0.4485 | 0.4632 |
| Lowndes | 177 | 59992 | 46014 | 0.5388 | 0.5936 | 0.5139 | 0.5285 |
| McDuffie | 125 | 4748 | 3805 | 0.1198 | 0.1532 | 0.2199 | 0.1901 |
| McDuffie | 128 | 16884 | 12810 | 0.4660 | 0.4938 | 0.4365 | 0.4312 |
| Muscogee | 137 | 30443 | 22797 | 0.6269 | 0.6746 | 0.6665 | 0.6618 |
| Muscogee | 138 | 12190 | 9628 | 0.1224 | 0.1692 | 0.3389 | 0.2796 |
| Muscogee | 139 | 45976 | 35539 | 0.2128 | 0.2770 | 0.4306 | 0.3842 |
| Muscogee | 140 | 59294 | 44411 | 0.5763 | 0.6468 | 0.7471 | 0.7692 |
| Muscogee | 141 | 59019 | 44677 | 0.5746 | 0.6305 | 0.7368 | 0.7428 |
| Newton | 93 | 15515 | 12080 | 0.5094 | 0.5404 | 0.5824 | 0.5743 |
| Newton | 113 | 60053 | 44538 | 0.5953 | 0.6533 | 0.7534 | 0.7636 |
| Newton | 114 | 36915 | 28130 | 0.2760 | 0.3104 | 0.3491 | 0.3299 |
| Paulding | 16 | 16549 | 11771 | 0.0981 | 0.1406 | 0.2447 | 0.2194 |
| Paulding | 17 | 59120 | 42761 | 0.2302 | 0.2934 | 0.3580 | 0.3264 |
| Paulding | 18 | 10627 | 7838 | 0.1069 | 0.1355 | 0.1902 | 0.1750 |
| Paulding | 19 | 58955 | 44299 | 0.2415 | 0.3025 | 0.3762 | 0.3525 |
| Paulding | 64 | 23410 | 17329 | 0.3249 | 0.3881 | 0.4450 | 0.4147 |
| Peach | 145 | 14093 | 11209 | 0.2211 | 0.2688 | 0.3275 | 0.3039 |
| Peach | 150 | 13888 | 10902 | 0.6643 | 0.7715 | 0.7004 | 0.7216 |
| Richmond | 126 | 25990 | 19714 | 0.6887 | 0.7181 | 0.7709 | 0.7804 |
| Richmond | 127 | 19152 | 15842 | 0.2599 | 0.2945 | 0.4192 | 0.3905 |
| Richmond | 129 | 58829 | 46873 | 0.5487 | 0.5835 | 0.6537 | 0.6344 |
| Richmond | 130 | 59203 | 44019 | 0.5991 | 0.6308 | 0.6388 | 0.6298 |
| Richmond | 132 | 43433 | 34451 | 0.5267 | 0.6146 | 0.7759 | 0.7966 |
| Rockdale | 91 | 4781 | 3817 | 0.4923 | 0.5179 | 0.5997 | 0.5626 |
| Rockdale | 92 | 44666 | 34757 | 0.6054 | 0.6511 | 0.7185 | 0.6871 |
| Rockdale | 93 | 32913 | 24178 | 0.6379 | 0.7670 | 0.8062 | 0.8013 |
| Rockdale | 95 | 11210 | 8751 | 0.4101 | 0.4845 | 0.5276 | 0.4859 |
| Spalding | 74 | 16815 | 13276 | 0.1990 | 0.2531 | 0.3220 | 0.3121 |
| Spalding | 117 | 5393 | 4727 | 0.2128 | 0.2520 | 0.4014 | 0.3618 |
| Spalding | 134 | 45098 | 34120 | 0.4063 | 0.4443 | 0.4206 | 0.4157 |
| Telfair | 149 | 9486 | 7884 | 0.3950 | 0.5747 | 0.3762 | 0.3533 |
| Telfair | 156 | 2991 | 2306 | 0.3001 | 0.3157 | 0.4131 | 0.4024 |
| Thomas | 172 | 4176 | 3246 | 0.1497 | 0.1753 | 0.2050 | 0.2061 |
| Thomas | 173 | 41622 | 31791 | 0.3726 | 0.3977 | 0.4351 | 0.4150 |
| Tift | 169 | 6730 | 5219 | 0.1129 | 0.1590 | 0.1807 | 0.1494 |
| Tift | 170 | 34614 | 26005 | 0.3220 | 0.4365 | 0.3806 | 0.3429 |
| Troup | 72 | 10281 | 7843 | 0.2076 | 0.2372 | 0.2844 | 0.3005 |
| Troup | 136 | 17913 | 13414 | 0.5139 | 0.5540 | 0.5738 | 0.6049 |
| Troup | 137 | 16144 | 12084 | 0.3974 | 0.4346 | 0.3855 | 0.3868 |
| Troup | 138 | 25088 | 19240 | 0.2535 | 0.2783 | 0.3040 | 0.2878 |
| Whitfield | 2 | 27861 | 21447 | 0.0331 | 0.1741 | 0.2209 | 0.1926 |
| Whitfield | 4 | 59070 | 42798 | 0.0538 | 0.4915 | 0.3551 | 0.3367 |
| Whitfield | 6 | 15933 | 12017 | 0.0280 | 0.1597 | 0.2017 | 0.1727 |

Table 57: Counties with more than 15 points BHVAP differential across House districts (table in three parts).


Figure 39: Additional county splits in the enacted Congressional plan with racially distinctive patterns at the boundary lines.


Figure 40: Illustrative precinct splits in the enacted Congressional plan showing racially distinctive patterns at the boundary lines.


Figure 41: Additional county splits in the enacted Senate plan with racially distinctive patterns at the boundary lines.
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Figure 42: An illustrative precinct split in the enacted Senate plan showing a racially distinctive pattern at the boundary lines.
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Figure 43: Illustrative county splits in the enacted House plan with racially distinctive patterns at the boundary lines.
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Figure 44: Illustrative precinct splits in the enacted House plan with racially distinctive patterns at the boundary lines.

I reserve the right to continue to supplement my report in light of additional facts, testimony and/or materials that may come to light. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1746, I declare under penalty of perjury of the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct according to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief.

Executed this 13th day of January, 2023.
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## 1 Background and qualifications

I am a Professor of Mathematics and a Senior Fellow in the Jonathan M. Tisch College of Civic Life at Tufts University. At Tisch College, I am the director and principal investigator of an interdisciplinary research group called the MGGG Redistricting Lab, focused on geometric and computational aspects of redistricting. My areas of research and teaching include the structure of census data, the history of the U.S. Census, the design and implementation of randomized algorithms for generating districting plans, and the analysis of redistricting more broadly. In 2019, I was awarded a major grant from the National Science Foundation to study Network Science of Census Data.

I am compensated at $\$ 400 /$ hour for my work in this case. I have previously written reports and provided testimony by deposition, a hearing, or at trial in North Carolina, Pennsylvania, Wisconsin, Alabama, South Carolina, and Texas 1 A full copy of my CV is attached to this report.

### 1.1 Assignment

I have been asked to examine the Congressional, state Senate, and state House districts enacted in Georgia this year in connection with challenges under the Voting Rights Act of 1965 (VRA) and the U.S. Constitution.

[^0]In particular, I review the maps' conformance with traditional districting principles ( $\$ \boxed{6}$ ), then supply demonstration maps for the "Gingles 1" prong of a VRA challenge. Using a notion of district "effectiveness" based on electoral history (\$5), I show that it is readily possible to draw additional majority-minority districts, while simultaneously increasing the number of effective districts (\$7). These effective districts are shown to be highly likely to provide an opportunity for Black and Latino voters to elect candidates of their choice.

I have also assessed the maps to investigate the possibility of excessively race-conscious line-drawing (\$10), especially noting when traditional districting principles have been undermined in a manner that results in "packing" and "cracking"-the related practices of overconcentrating Black and Latino voters on one hand, or splitting communities and dispersing their voters over multiple districts on the other. I have considered whether or not the design of the districts ultimately leads to discernible dilution of voting opportunity for Black voters in Georgia, or for coalitions of Black and Latino voters, and have found ample evidence to support that conclusion.

All work in this report was completed by me and by research assistants working under my direct supervision.

### 1.2 Materials

Materials consulted in the preparation of this report include the following.

- A major source is Census data, primarily the Decennial Census releases (i.e., the PL 94171). Other data products from the Census Bureau, including the American Community Survey and the TIGER/Line shapefiles, were also used.
- For priorities and criteria, I consulted the "2021-22 Guidelines for the House Legislative and Congressional Reapportionment Committee." These are reprinted in full in the corresponding publication by the Senate Committee on Reapportionment and Redistricting.
- Shapefiles for the enacted plans are available on the state's redistricting website, hosted at legis.ga.gov.
- A collection of precinct shapefiles with historical election data joined to the shapes was provided by counsel, as well as addresses for incumbent representatives. I was also provided with written transcriptions of oral testimony in public hearings in Georgia about redistricting, and with corresponding written communication.


## 2 Summary of findings

- Census data shows that the state of Georgia is rapidly diversifying, and in fact now has a population very nearly evenly split between White people and people of color. At the same time, it has shifted to become what we might call "bright purple," with recent elections repeatedly demonstrating that candidates preferred by Black and Latino voters can be elected by simple majority on a statewide basis.
- At a high level, an examination of recent electoral history shows that the enacted plans at all three levels are conspicuously uncompetitive, which has been fueled by acutely race-conscious moves in the recent redistricting. In particular:
- A Congressional district that had proved to perform for the preferences of Black and Latino voters-CD 6-has been targeted to eliminate electoral opportunity. This was achieved by excising parts of urban counties and adding conservative White counties to the north of the benchmark configuration.
- In a ripple effect from the reconfiguration of CD 6, a dense, urban, largely Black residential segment of Cobb County has been submerged in CD 14.
- On the western edge of Georgia, CD 3 has been drawn to retain its character as a firewall between racially and politically diverse parts of the state in metro Atlanta and the Southwest region. Meanwhile, CD 13 has been kept highly packed, which is cemented in the enacted plan through race-conscious county splitting.
- In the enacted Senate map, numerous districts that had trended into diverse and competitive population configurations were targeted for "dismantling," i.e, were redrawn in a way that splits the population of the benchmark district across numerous new districts. This is especially visible in the reconfiguration of SD 17 and 48, which flouts traditional districting principles and creates districts that lock out opportunity.
- There is strikingly low core retention in the enacted House plan, with roughly three in every five Georgia residents assigned to a new district today relative to the benchmark plan. This dovetails with a pattern of "dismantling" districts in a way that usually eliminates electoral opportunity for Black and Latino voters, using racially imbalanced transfers of population.
- I have introduced a label of district "effectiveness" in \$5: by definition, a district is deemed effective if candidates of choice for Black and Latino voters can frequently win both primary and general elections. To make this concrete, I have used a list of four primary and eight general statewide elections selected as being highly probative for the preferences of Black and Latino Georgians. To be effective, a district must have an electoral history such that the candidate of choice would win in at least $3 / 4$ primary elections and 5/8 general elections from this dataset. I have confirmed that this is well aligned with actual 2022 electoral performance at the Congressional and state legislative level.
- A review of metrics associated with traditional districting principles (and other principles cited in the state's redistricting guidelines) is presented in $\$ 6$. My alternative plans are shown to be highly compact, to respect the integrity of counties and cities, and to be far more cognizant of the integrity of state precincts than the enacted plans.
- I present Gingles 1 alternatives on a regional/district cluster basis in \$7. These plans increase both the number of majority-BHVAP districts and the number of majority-BHCVAP districts, relative to the state, while also securing the "effective" label on the basis of electoral history. The modular design of the legislative alternatives will make it easy to mix and match plans from different clusters.
- If we foreground effectiveness instead of majority demographics, we find that districts can frequently be effective even well under the $50 \%+1$ demographic threshold. This provides helpful examples leading in to a discussion of racial gerrymandering in the following section.
- Counties are often split in a racially sorted way, beyond what the partisan geography would suggest from a race-neutral process. In many cases this secures a high partisan differential as well; in some cases, the racial differential significantly exceeds the partisan gap.
- It is extremely frequent for precinct splits to show major racial disparity. If mapmakers were using cast vote history to track partisan lean, as is frequently done around the country, then these splits of state precincts are especially telling, since the vote history can not provide a partisan basis for the decision. These splits are shown to essentially always align with packing and cracking. Again, my alternative maps show that far less precinct splitting is possible.
- Public input, such as the record of strong pushback against the targeting of CD 6 and the encroachment of CD 14 into Cobb, also explains why the enacted plans are dissonant in terms of shared community interests.


## 3 Demographics of Georgia

### 3.1 Regions, counties, and cities



Figure 1: Choropleth of Black voting age population by state precinct, with the enacted Congressional map overlaid. County lines are shown in gray. The Atlanta metro area has dense Black population, while high proportions of Black residents in smaller cities and rural areas can be found in the swath of the state from Columbus to Augusta, broadly called Georgia's "Black Belt" region.

Georgia has 159 counties, the second highest number in the nation (after Texas with 254). Georgia's counties vary in population from Fulton County, with over a million residents, to Taliaferro County, with just 1559 residents, so that they differ by a factor of over 680x. Twentytwo of the counties are majority-Black, from DeKalb (pop. 764,382) to Taliaferro.

In Georgia, the cities proper are not very populous; even Atlanta has under 500,000 people by the 2020 Census numbers, smaller than the ideal Congressional district population of 765,136. However, the Atlanta metro area (formally the "Atlanta-Sandy Springs-Alpharetta, GA Metropolitan Statistical Area") is the eighth largest in the country, with over six million residents $(6,089,815)$, making up nearly $57 \%$ of Georgia's total population.

### 3.2 Sources of population data

Apportionment and redistricting was the fundamental motivation for the establishment of the U.S. Census. The primary source of ground-truth data for redistricting is the Decennial Census tables in the PL94-171 (also called the redistricting data release). There are many reasons to rely on the 2020 Decennial data: it is the most recent available, it is based on a more extensive enumeration of the population (rather than a survey), it is available on the smallest geographic units (census blocks), it offers a high level of detail in its categories of race and ethnicity, and it includes both total population (TOTPOP) and voting age population (VAP).

An important secondary source of data, also produced by the Census Bureau, is the American Community Survey, or ACS. This has the advantage of being collected every year rather than at ten-year intervals, and it includes an estimate of citizen voting age population (CVAP), but this trades off with a number of well-known caveats. Since it is survey-based, it is known to have wider error bars on small geography: accordingly, the Bureau only releases singleyear estimates at the tract level; 5-year estimates are released at the level of block groups, but this is still not sufficiently detailed to get exact totals on electoral districts. Furthermore, the ACS racial and ethnic categories are significantly simplified relative to the Decennial data, so that for instance it is not possible to tabulate Any-Part Black population with the same set of multiracial categories or even to tabulate Afro-Latino (Black and Hispanic) population. In addition, the use of a 5-year average will mean that the numbers are somewhat out of date, since even the most recent currently available data draws partly from 2016, which is quite a long time ago in a rapidly diversifying state. Finally, the 2020 ACS was so badly compromised by the COVID pandemic that the Bureau has cautioned people to treat the numbers that year as "experimental.'2 2

For these reasons I have chosen to emphasize VAP in discussing the demographics of districts in this report, such as when counting the majority-Black districts in a plan. However, the plaintiffs' claims involve a coalition of Black and Latino voters, and the voting eligibility rate for Latino voters can be significantly lower than other groups, particularly due to a lower rate of citizenship. Therefore litigation involving Latino plaintiffs typically uses a secondary data source to validate that Gingles plans meet the $50 \%+1$ threshold. Below, I will rely on estimated CVAP built from block-level adjusted VAP, where the citizenship rate (CVAP/VAP) for Black, Latino, White, and Other residents is pulled from the 20205 -year ACS on larger geographies, namely census tracts. I judge this to be significantly more accurate than using the 2016-2020 5-year CVAP numbers directly. For one vivid illustration of why this is important, consider that the total voting age population of Georgia is $8,220,274$ in the redistricting data, but only $8,011,265$ in the 2016-2020 5 -year numbers. That is, there is a shortfall of more than 200,000 adults if we pull from the ACS directly.

A full description of racial categories and of the construction of CVAP for this report can be found in Appendix A. In $\S 8$ I will confirm that my alternative plans satisfy the Gingles 1 standard for coalition districts using estimated Black and Hispanic CVAP as well as using VAP.

[^1]
### 3.3 Demographic trends

A snapshot of the demographics of Georgia can be extracted from data products by the Census Bureau, as in Table $1^{3}{ }^{3}$ Below, I will use the abbreviations B, H, BH, W, and POC to denote the share of population (or VAP, etc.) that is Black, Latino, Black and/or Latino, White, and people of color respectively. Detailed definitions of the racial and ethnic groupings can be found in Appendix A.

|  | All | Black alone | Black (APB) | Hispanic | BH Coalition | AfroLatino | White alone | POC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOTPOP | $10,711,908$ | $3,278,119$ | $3,538,146$ | $1,123,457$ | $4,578,941$ | 82,662 | $5,362,156$ | $5,349,752$ |
|  |  | $30.60 \%$ | $33.03 \%$ | $10.49 \%$ | $42.75 \%$ | $0.77 \%$ | $50.06 \%$ | $49.94 \%$ |
| VAP | $8,220,274$ | $2,462,933$ | $2,607,986$ | 742,918 | $3,302,581$ | 48,323 | $4,342,333$ | $3,877,941$ |
|  |  | $29.96 \%$ | $31.73 \%$ | $9.04 \%$ | $40.18 \%$ | $0.59 \%$ | $52.82 \%$ | $47.18 \%$ |
| CVAP | $7,598,787$ | $2,422,569$ | $2,537,328$ | 429,562 | $2,920,522$ | - | $4,285,394$ | $3,313,393$ |
|  |  | $31.88 \%$ | $33.39 \%$ | $5.65 \%$ | $38.43 \%$ | - | $56.40 \%$ | $43.60 \%$ |

Table 1: Demographics overview. The TOTPOP and VAP figures are taken from the 2020 Decennial Census. The CVAP figures use citizenship rates drawn from the most recent 5-year ACS (ending in 2020), applied to decennial VAP.

Georgia's fast growth is entirely due to the expansion in the population of people of color. In fact, the (non-Hispanic) White population of Georgia actually dropped from 2010 to 2020from $5,413,920$ to $5,362,156$-while the state overall grew by over a million people. As a result, the population share of Black and Latino residents expanded from 39.75\% to 42.75\% in the time between the 2010 and the 2020 Census data release, while the White population share dropped markedly from $55.88 \%$ to $50.06 \%$. Thus, to within a tenth of a percent, current redistricting data finds Georgia evenly split between White residents and people of color.

The steady diversification is visible in the citizen voting age population as well, for which we can get a snapshot each year from the American Community Survey (Table 2). 4

|  | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BCVAP | $1,961,750$ | $2,008,587$ | $2,055,423$ | $2,096,295$ | $2,140,693$ | $2,179,729$ | $2,228,551$ | $2,276,776$ | $2,322,275$ | $2,376,110$ |
|  | 0.3029 | 0.3049 | 0.3071 | 0.3089 | 0.3110 | 0.3123 | 0.3155 | 0.3182 | 0.3201 | 0.3230 |
| HCVAP | 188,878 | 210,412 | 230,724 | 245,517 | 263,787 | 282,158 | 290,840 | 306,713 | 324,368 | 344,182 |
|  | 0.0292 | 0.0319 | 0.0345 | 0.0362 | 0.0383 | 0.0404 | 0.0412 | 0.0429 | 0.0447 | 0.0468 |
| BHCVAP | $2,150,628$ | $2,218,999$ | $2,286,147$ | $2,341,812$ | $2,404,480$ | $2,461,887$ | $2,519,391$ | $2,583,489$ | $2,646,643$ | $2,720,292$ |
|  | 0.3321 | 0.3368 | 0.3415 | 0.3451 | 0.3493 | 0.3528 | 0.3567 | 0.3610 | 0.3648 | 0.3698 |
| POC CVAP | $2,239,082$ | $2,299,730$ | $2,358,789$ | $2,415,907$ | $2,477,036$ | $2,538,250$ | $2,603,198$ | $2,671,269$ | $2,738,577$ | $2,811,677$ |
|  | 0.3457 | 0.3491 | 0.3524 | 0.3560 | 0.3599 | 0.3637 | 0.3685 | 0.3733 | 0.3775 | 0.3822 |
| WCVAP | $4,237,007$ | $4,288,602$ | $4,335,200$ | $4,369,477$ | $4,405,843$ | $4,440,410$ | $4,460,606$ | $4,484,704$ | $4,516,116$ | $4,544,881$ |
|  | 0.6543 | 0.6509 | 0.6476 | 0,6440 | 0.6401 | 0.6363 | 0.6315 | 0.6267 | 0.6225 | 0.6178 |
| total CVAP | $6,476,089$ | $6,588,332$ | $6,693,989$ | $6,785,384$ | $6,882,879$ | $6,978,660$ | $7,063,804$ | $7,155,973$ | $7,254,693$ | $7,356,558$ |

Table 2: Georgia has seen significant growth in its citizen adult population, and nearly all of it is from communities of color. This table shows the 1-year ACS figures from 2010 through 2019.

[^2]

Figure 2: Racial dot density plot in the counties of the Atlanta metro area. Dense concentrations of Black population are visible in Cobb, Douglas, Fulton, Clayton, DeKalb, and southern Gwinnett Counties. Gwinnett is the heart of Georgia's Latino population, and following the I-85/I-985 corridor north connects to a substantial Latino community in Hall County.

## 4 Overview of enacted plans for Congress, Senate, and House

### 4.1 Congress

As discussed in the last section, the last decade has seen substantial growth in the Black and Latino population of Georgia and a reduction in White population. At the same time, and in a climate where the racial polarization between White Georgians and voters of color is essentially undisputed, Black and Latino candidates of choice are now routinely competitive in statewide elections, and now can frequently win outright. Despite this, the newly enacted Congressional plan makes major changes to the benchmark and does so in a way that reduces the number of performing districts for Black- and Latino-preferred candidates from 6 out of 14 ( $42.9 \%$ ) to just 5 out of 14 ( $35.7 \%$ ).

In 2018, Democratic candidate Lucy McBath won a surprise victory in CD 6, north of Atlanta, unseating Republican Karen Handel. She then defended her seat in 2020. My study of the Congressional plan enacted in Georgia in 2021 is completely consistent with the scenario that line-drawers targeted McBath's district, specifically by removing Black and Hispanic voters from CD 6 and replacing them with White suburban, exurban, and rural voters in Forsyth and Dawson counties. This displacement ripples across CD 11 and ends up submerging Black urban voters in rural CD 14. This is corroborated by the core retention numbers that show that CD 6 was singled out for major reconfiguration (see \$10).

Correspondingly, the community of interest narratives supplied to the state in a series of public hearings and communications show that coherent and salient local identities were disregarded in the process: rural, mountainous, and industrial interests in the Northwest counties; metro Atlanta's urban counties with large Black populations and clear shared needs for infrastructure, transit, and housing; and largely suburban Forsyth and Dawson. (See \$10.3.)

Strikingly, all fourteen new districts had wider than a ten-point margin between Biden and Trump in the 2020 Presidential voting-there are zero remotely competitive districts. In particular, the completely reconfigured CD 6 is now far out of reach for a Black-preferred candidate; Biden had just $42.5 \%$ of the major-party vote against Trump in the district. This lean held up in actual Congressional voting under the new lines in 2022, where the closest of the fourteen outcomes was Sanford Bishop's margin of 9.95 percentage points over opponent Chris West in CD 2; every other race was a blowout. The overall effect of the Congressional redistricting in Georgia is the instrumentalization of Black and Latino voters to achieve a profoundly uncompetitive plan in which the line-drawers have gone a long way to locking in the outcomes.

In this section I will show images, and in the following section I will present statistics, for the enacted Congressional plan compared to the benchmark plan from ten years prior. I will also consider a map I have labeled Duncan-Kennedy, a draft congressional map released to the public by Lt. Governor Geoff Duncan and Chairman John F. Kennedy on September 27, 2021.


Figure 3: Congressional plans.

### 4.2 State Senate



Figure 4: State Senate plans.
The state Senate plan enacted in Georgia is also remarkable in its lack of competitiveness. Despite Georgia's clear status as a new swing state, only one of the districts (SD 48) would have been within a ten-percentage-point margin (i.e., 55-45 or closer) in the Biden-Trump presidential contest of 2020. And indeed, only two of 56 districts (SD 7 and 14) were within a ten-point margin in the actual legislative voting of 2022. (Note that Georgia state Senators stand for election every two years, as for U.S. House and Georgia's state House.) More than half of the districts-30 out of 56-were uncontested.

Below, I will propose alternative districts with a modular approach, starting by dividing the 56 districts in the enacted plan into six district clusters, shown in Figure 5. In three of the six-Atlanta, Gwinnett, and East Black Belt-I will present alternative "Gingles 1" plans that increase the number of majority-Black and/or the number of majority-coalition districts, while ensuring that new districts are effective at securing electoral opportunity for Black and Latino voters. I will supplement the Gingles plans with regional maps showing improved effectiveness in additional clusters to create plans that span many regions of the state to form SD Alt Eff 1 and SD Alt Eff 2. Finally, I will offer an all-clusters alternative keyed to increased effectiveness alone, called SD Alt Eff 3. (See Table 10.) This is accomplished while maintaining scores for traditional districting principles that are comparable or superior to those of the enacted plan, and while giving great deference to the enacted plan by reconfiguring its own districts in clusters rather than starting from a blank map.


Figure 5: Six "modular" Senate clusters made up of groups of enacted districts. Below, Gingles demonstrative plans will be offered in selected clusters and effectiveness-oriented demonstrative plans will be presented in all six.

## Senate Clusters

- SD Atlanta (14 districts): 6, 10, 16, 28, 30, 31, 33, 34, 35, 36, 38, 39, 42, 44
- SD Gwinnett (16 districts): 5, 7, 9, 14, 17, 27, 40, 41, 43, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 55
- SD Southwest ( 6 districts): 11, 12, 13, 15, 18, 29
- SD East Black Belt (7 districts): 4, 20, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26
- SD Southeast (5 districts): 1, 2, 3, 8, 19
- SD Northwest (8 districts): 21, 32, 37, 51, 52, 53, 54, 56


### 4.3 State House



Figure 6: State House plans.
The state House plan repeats the uncompetitive design found in the other levels of redistricting; only fifteen of the 180 districts were within a ten-point margin for Biden-Trump, and only nine (HD 48, 50, 53, 99, 101, 105, 108, 117, and 151) had 2022 legislative outcomes in that range.Like in the Senate, more than half of the House districts-93 out of 180-were uncontested in 2022.

I have extended the modular approach from state Senate to the House, using seven regions formed by clusters of enacted districts, as in Figure 7. Each can be reconfigured to create
additional majority-coalition districts, and I offer up to two demonstration maps per cluster (Alt 1 and Alt 2) as Gingles 1 demonstratives in $\S 7$. As overviewed in Table 10, the alternative plans can be completed to highly effective alternatives statewide, which I call HD Alt Eff 1 and HD Alt Eff 2; a third all-clusters effective alternative is also offered, called HD Alt Eff 3.


Figure 7: Seven "modular" House clusters made up of groups of enacted districts.

## House Clusters

- HD Atlanta ( 25 districts): $61,64,65,66,67,68,69,71,73,74,75,76,77,78,79,90$, 91, 92, 93, 112, 113, 114, 115, 116, 117
- HD Cobb ( 25 districts): 20, 22, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 62, 63
- HD DeKalb (22 districts): $21,24,25,47,48,49,50,51,52,80,81,82,83,84,85,86,87$, 88, 89, 96, 97, 98
- HD Gwinnett (18 districts): 26, 29, 30, 94, 95, 99, 100, 101, 102, 103, 104, 105, 106, 107, 108, 109, 110, 111
- HD Southwest (18 districts): 137, 140, 141, 146, 147, 148, 150, 151, 152, 153, 154, 169, 170, 171, 172, 173, 175, 176
- HD East Black Belt (18 districts): 33, 118, 123, 124, 125, 126, 127, 128, 129, 130, 131, 132, 133, 142, 143, 144, 145, 149
- HD Southeast (12 districts): 159, 160, 161, 162, 163, 164, 165, 166, 167, 168, 179, 180

Together, these cover 138 of the 180 districts in the Georgia House. All of my demonstrative plans will leave the other 42 House districts unchanged.

## 5 Assessing effective opportunity-to-elect districts

The Gingles demonstration maps shown below in Section 7 are presented to satisfy the Gingles 1 condition for use with a Voting Rights Act challenge. In part, they are designed to show that it is (readily) possible to draw additional districts with a majority of Black and Latino adults in many parts of the state of Georgia, and for each of the three levels of districting plan, even while giving great deference to the Legislative enacted plan by only replacing its districts in modular clusters 5

In addition to demographic composition, I have offered alternative districts that showcase effective electoral opportunity. This shows that the harms to voters can be remedied by better design and, in the context of racial gerrymandering, demonstrates that better performance on traditional districting principles is completely compatible with greater electoral opportunity for Black and Latino voters.

There are many reasons that we should not rely on the $50 \%+1$ line as a predictor of electoral opportunity. Some have argued that the Gingles/Bartlett 50\%+1 requirement requires an element of race-consciousness that is in tension with other aspects of best practices in mapmaking. Additionally, a demographic share alone does not take into account voting eligibility, registration levels, and turnout. It has long been well understood that a majority-minority district is neither necessary nor sufficient to secure electoral opportunity.

Therefore it is critical to use electoral history to gauge whether a district affords a reasonable opportunity for a group to elect a candidate of its choice. I will describe an effectiveness analysis here and will provide demonstration maps emphasizing increased electoral opportunity for Black and Latino voters, without any racial threshold in play, in $\$ 9$.

### 5.1 Identifying probative elections

In the voting rights sphere, it is well understood that certain past elections are more probativethat is, provide better and clearer evidence of polarization patterns and preferences-than others. The peer-reviewed literature is certainly clear that some factors flagging probative contests include the following: all other things being equal, elections are more suitable for an effectiveness analysis when they are more recent, when they have a viable POC candidate on the ballot, and when we can make confident statistical inferences about each group's preference. They are less suitable when they are blowouts or, of course, uncontested.

To this end, I have designated the following eight general elections and four Democratic primary elections (Tables 3) to be especially probative for analyzing effective electoral opportunity for Black and Latino voters in Georgia. All are recent statewide elections (held since 2018), most have a Black candidate on the ballot, and most are quite close on a statewide basis 6

[^3]| Year | Contest | R Candidate | D Candidate | D share |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 2016 | President | Trump-Pence | Clinton-Kaine | .4734 |
| 2018 | Governor | Brian Kemp | Stacey Abrams (B) | .4930 |
| 2018 | Super. Pub. Instruc. | Richard Woods | Otha Thornton (B) | .4697 |
| 2020 | President | Trump-Pence | Biden-Harris (B) | .5013 |
| 2020 | Public Serv. Commiss. | Lauren McDonald | Daniel Blackman (B) | .4848 |
| 2021 | Senate Runoff | David Perdue | Jon Ossoff | .5061 |
| 2021 | Senate Runoff Special | Kelly Loeffler | Raphael Warnock (B) | .5104 |
| 2022 | Governor | Brian Kemp | Stacey Abrams (B) | .4620 |


| Year | Contest | BH-Preferred Candidate | D share (outcome) |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 2018 | Lt. Governor | Triana Arnold James (B) | $.4475(\mathrm{~L})$ |
| 2018 | Super. Primary | Otha Thornton (B) | .4387 (1st of 3) |
| 2018 | Super. Runoff | Otha Thornton (B) | .5914 (W) |
| 2018 | Insurance Commiss. | Janice Laws Robinson (B) | .6286 (W) |

Table 3: Eight general elections and four primaries and primary runoffs are chosen for the score of effectiveness.

### 5.2 Constructing and evaluating a score of electoral alignment

Using the four primary and eight general elections listed here, I will deem a district to be effective if it is electorally aligned with the preferences of Black and Latino voters in at least three out of four primaries and at least five out of eight general elections. This standard ascertains that minority-preferred candidates can be both nominated and elected from the district, and it distinguishes minority preferences from (related, but distinct) Democratic party preferences. This same core idea of measuring district effectiveness-keyed to electoral history, not to demographics of the district-appears frequently in the peer-reviewed literature, for instance in [1].

The enacted plans starkly limit the number of districts that earn the label of effective. Tables 4.6 show that five out of 14 Congressional districts are likely to give Black and Latino voters an effective opportunity to elect candidates of choice.

Similarly, the enacted plans have 19 expected effective districts out of 56 in the Senate, and $68 / 180$ in the House. (For detailed supporting tables, see Appendix B.)

Since elections were conducted under these new districts in 2022, we can review some basic evidence about the success of the classification of "effective" opportunity districts. I have not conducted a racially polarized voting analysis, but we can nonetheless use information about whether each district elected candidates of color as a rough proxy for the preferences of voters of color. Since White and/or Republican candidates can certainly be preferred by voters of color, this is imperfect, but it is at least an indication that can help us assess the labeling mechanism 7 Here is what we find for the enacted plans:

- 5/5 Congressional districts marked effective elected POC Democrats (100\%);
- 0/9 Congressional districts marked ineffective elected POC Democrats (0\%);
- 18/19 Senate districts marked effective elected POC Democrats (94.7\%);
- 1/37 Senate districts marked ineffective elected POC Democrats (2.7\%);
- 58/68 House districts marked effective elected POC Democrats (85.3\%);
- 4/112 House districts marked ineffective elected POC Democrats (3.6\%).

| CD | Primaries <br> out of 4 | Generals <br> out of 8 | Effective? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3 | 0 | N |
| 2 | 4 | 8 | Y |
| 3 | 3 | 0 | N |
| 4 | 3 | 8 | Y |
| 5 | 3 | 8 | Y |
| 6 | 0 | 0 | N |
| 7 | 3 | 8 | Y |
| 8 | 3 | 0 | N |
| 9 | 2 | 0 | N |
| 10 | 3 | 0 | N |
| 11 | 3 | 0 | N |
| 12 | 3 | 0 | N |
| 13 | 4 | 8 | Y |
| 14 | 3 | 0 | N |

Table 4: By the standard of requiring that the candidate of choice should win at least three out of four primaries and at least five out of eight generals, the enacted plan has five districts that present an effective opportunity: CD 2, 4, 5, 7, and 13.

| CD |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| overall | James18P <br> 0.4475 | Thornton18P <br> 0.4387 | Thornton18R <br> 0.5914 | Robinson18P <br> 0.6286 |
| 1 | 0.4992 | 0.4997 | 0.7150 | 0.6967 |
| 2 | 0.5515 | 0.4720 | 0.6379 | 0.7430 |
| 3 | 0.4177 | 0.4185 | 0.5388 | 0.6178 |
| 4 | 0.4566 | 0.4444 | 0.5622 | 0.6034 |
| 5 | 0.3747 | 0.4082 | 0.5611 | 0.5184 |
| 6 | 0.2815 | 0.3458 | 0.4720 | 0.4789 |
| 7 | 0.4489 | 0.4515 | 0.5968 | 0.6082 |
| 8 | 0.4861 | 0.4403 | 0.6273 | 0.6940 |
| 9 | 0.3411 | 0.3811 | 0.5444 | 0.5560 |
| 10 | 0.4112 | 0.4294 | 0.6444 | 0.5898 |
| 11 | 0.3603 | 0.4200 | 0.5276 | 0.5549 |
| 12 | 0.4928 | 0.4196 | 0.6462 | 0.7626 |
| 13 | 0.5594 | 0.5089 | 0.6524 | 0.7190 |
| 14 | 0.4190 | 0.3863 | 0.5049 | 0.6123 |

Table 5: Vote shares for the candidate of choice in probative primary and runoff elections. (Note that the Superintendent primary from 2018 (Thornton18P) is a race with three candidates, so a win is recorded if Thornton has the most votes, even if that does not exceed 50\% of cast votes.)

[^4]| CD | Clinton16 | Abrams18 | Thornton18 | Biden20 <br> overall <br> 0.4734 | 0.4930 | 0.4697 | Blackman20 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.5013 | 0.4848 | Ossoff21 <br> 0.5061 | Warnock21 <br> 0.5104 | Abrams22 <br> 0.4620 |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 0.4149 | 0.4245 | 0.4105 | 0.4322 | 0.4193 | 0.4379 | 0.4386 | 0.3950 |
| 2 | 0.5463 | 0.5508 | 0.5354 | 0.5524 | 0.5445 | 0.5611 | 0.5624 | 0.5188 |
| 3 | 0.3168 | 0.3287 | 0.3119 | 0.3476 | 0.3312 | 0.3524 | 0.3564 | 0.3130 |
| 4 | 0.7692 | 0.7886 | 0.7567 | 0.7917 | 0.7789 | 0.7927 | 0.7982 | 0.7707 |
| 5 | 0.8352 | 0.8418 | 0.7910 | 0.8366 | 0.8080 | 0.8203 | 0.8287 | 0.8072 |
| 6 | 0.3603 | 0.3878 | 0.3498 | 0.4250 | 0.3851 | 0.4068 | 0.4151 | 0.3602 |
| 7 | 0.5727 | 0.6113 | 0.5788 | 0.6307 | 0.6136 | 0.6366 | 0.6421 | 0.5874 |
| 8 | 0.3430 | 0.3427 | 0.3280 | 0.3604 | 0.3473 | 0.3648 | 0.3664 | 0.3185 |
| 9 | 0.2650 | 0.2822 | 0.2668 | 0.3081 | 0.2897 | 0.3084 | 0.3129 | 0.2554 |
| 10 | 0.3510 | 0.3654 | 0.3518 | 0.3814 | 0.3650 | 0.3864 | 0.3903 | 0.3480 |
| 11 | 0.3708 | 0.4014 | 0.3741 | 0.4223 | 0.3972 | 0.4163 | 0.4233 | 0.3696 |
| 12 | 0.4324 | 0.4319 | 0.4174 | 0.4487 | 0.4331 | 0.4511 | 0.4526 | 0.4023 |
| 13 | 0.7790 | 0.8112 | 0.7916 | 0.8048 | 0.8068 | 0.8230 | 0.8261 | 0.8056 |
| 14 | 0.2767 | 0.2961 | 0.2873 | 0.3105 | 0.3015 | 0.3217 | 0.3234 | 0.2778 |

Table 6: Vote shares for the candidate of choice in probative general/runoff elections.

In addition, this method works quite well to distinguish race from party: if we flag districts with $0 / 4$ primary wins and at least $5 / 8$ general wins, these might reasonably be considered likely to elect White-preferred Democrats. There are no such districts in the enacted Congressional map, but the Senate map has three (which elected three White Democrats and one Asian Democrat in November 2022) and the House map has eight (which elected seven White Democrats and one Asian Democrat).

## 6 Metrics for enacted plans

Georgia has 14 Congressional districts, 56 state Senate districts, and 180 state House districts, making the task of redistricting into an extremely complicated balancing act. The list of substantive criteria for assessing districting plans that was published by each chamber of the Legislature reads as follows, in full:
A. GENERAL PRINCIPLES FOR DRAFTING PLANS

1. Each congressional district should be drawn with a total population of plus or minus one person from the ideal district size.
2. Each legislative district of the General Assembly should be drawn to achieve a total population that is substantially equal as practicable, considering the principles listed below.
3. All plans adopted by the Committee will comply with Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965, as amended.
4. All plans adopted by the Committee will comply with the United States and Georgia Constitutions.
5. Districts shall be composed of contiguous geography. Districts that connect on a single point are not contiguous.
6. No multi-member districts shall be drawn on any legislative redistricting plan.
7. The Committee should consider:
a. The boundaries of counties and precincts;
b. Compactness; and
c. Communities of interest.
8. Efforts should be made to avoid the unnecessary pairing of incumbents.
9. The identifying of these criteria is not intended to limit the consideration of any other principles or factors that the Committee deems appropriate.

This is unusually terse for a redistricting framework at the state level, declining to specify more detail, for example, about the operative principles of racial fairness, the definition of communities of interest, or even whether to encourage the use of quantitative metrics of compactness.

All of the plans under consideration are contiguous, and I will systematically discuss the other principles below.

### 6.1 Population balance

All plans are tightly balanced in population terms, using the Census redistricting data.

|  | Maximum <br> positive deviation | Maximum <br> negative deviation | Top-to-bottom <br> deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EnactedCD <br> DuncanKennedy <br> CD Alt | +1 | -1 | 2 |
| EnactedSD | +2 | -1 | 3 |
| SD Alt Eff 1 | +1879 | -1 | 2 |
| SD Alt Eff 2 | +2457 | -1964 | $3843(2.01 \%)$ |
| SD Alt Eff 3 | +2547 | -2598 | $5055(2.64 \%)$ |
| EnactedHD | +3200 | -2490 | $5037(2.63 \%)$ |
| HD Alt Eff 1 | +797 | -3305 | $6505(3.40 \%)$ |
| HD Alt Eff 2 | +1194 | -833 | $1630(2.74 \%)$ |
| HD Alt Eff 3 | +1173 | -1176 | $2370(3.98 \%)$ |
|  |  | -1097 | $2319(3.90 \%)$ |

Table 7: Population deviation in each plan.

### 6.2 Compactness

In redistricting, the notion of compactness is connected to the shapes of the districts, where simple boundaries and regular shapes are traditionally thought to indicate a "natural" division of population, while eccentric boundaries and contorted shapes can signal that some other agenda has predominated.

The two most common compactness metrics are the Polsby-Popper score and the Reock score. These are both contour-based scores that rely on the outline of the district on a map. Polsby-Popper is a ratio formed by comparing the district's area to its perimeter via the formula $4 \pi A / P^{2}$. Reock considers how much of the smallest bounding circle is filled out by the district's area. Recently, mathematicians (such as myself) have argued for the use of discrete compactness metrics that de-emphasize the outline and instead consider how the districts are formed from units of census geography. The simplest discrete metric is called (block) cut edges, found by counting the number of pairs of census blocks that are adjacent to each other in the state, but are assigned to different districts. This assesses the "scissors complexity" of a plan, giving a measure of how many blocks would have to be separated from one another to divide up all the districts.

An advantage of the contour scores is that they are familiar and in wide use. An advantage of discrete scores is that they do not excessively penalize districts for having winding boundaries when those boundaries come from physical geography, like coastlines or rivers.

|  | avg Polsby-Popper <br> (higher is better) | avg Reock <br> (higher is better) | Block cut edges <br> (lower is better) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BenchmarkCD | 0.238 | 0.452 | 5775 |
| EnactedCD | 0.267 | 0.441 | 5075 |
| DuncanKennedy | 0.295 | 0.471 | 4665 |
| CD Alt | 0.287 | 0.452 | 4729 |
| BenchmarkSD | 0.250 | 0.421 | 12,549 |
| EnactedSD | 0.287 | 0.418 | 11,005 |
| SD Alt Eff 1 | 0.287 | 0.427 | 10,897 |
| SD Alt Eff 2 | 0.296 | 0.440 | 10,349 |
| SD Alt Eff 3 | 0.295 | 0.431 | 10,479 |
| BenchmarkHD | 0.244 | 0.382 | 24,001 |
| EnactedHD | 0.278 | 0.391 | 22,014 |
| HD Alt Eff 1 | 0.275 | 0.399 | 21,360 |
| HD Alt Eff 2 | 0.281 | 0.406 | 21,301 |
| HD Alt Eff 3 | 0.279 | 0.403 | 20,917 |

Table 8: Compactness scores for each plan.
Note that compactness scores should only be used to make relative assessments, comparing plans to others in the same state and at the same level of redistricting.

### 6.3 Respect for political boundaries

The most populous Georgia counties by 2020 population are Fulton County (pop. 1,066,710), Gwinnett County (pop. 957,062), Cobb County (pop. 766,149), and DeKalb County (pop. 764,382 ). Both Cobb and DeKalb are within $0.1 \%$ of ideal Congressional district size of 765,136, with Cobb slightly larger and DeKalb slightly smaller ${ }^{8}$

Since there are four times as many Senate as Congressional districts, this also means that Cobb (4.005) and DeKalb (3.996) are ideally suited in population terms to make up four Senate districts; in addition, Gwinnett (5.003) is very nearly five times ideal Senate population. Instead, Cobb touches six Senate districts, DeKalb touches seven, and Gwinnett is split among nine in the enacted Senate plan. This observation spotlights the fact that it is important to consider not only how many counties are split, but into how many pieces, as in Table 9. If a unit is split in two, that adds two to the "pieces" count; likewise, if it is split into three parts, this counts as three "pieces," and so on. Unsplit units do not count toward "pieces." (A forensic look at the nature of the county and precinct splits can be found below in $\$ 10.2$.) In this table, the "muni" units are Census places with functional status A ("Active government providing primary general-purpose functions"). 9 These primarily include cities and towns.

| County | County <br> Splits <br> (out of 159) | Muni <br> Pieces | Muni <br> Splits <br> (out of 538) | Pieces | Precinct <br> Splits <br> (out of 2685) | Precinct <br> Pieces |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BenchmarkCD | 16 | 38 | 67 | 141 | 67 | 134 |
| EnactedCD | 15 | 36 | 64 | 136 | 86 | 172 |
| DuncanKennedy | 15 | 36 | 53 | 114 | 66 | 132 |
| CD Alt | 13 | 30 | 58 | 127 | 47 | 95 |
| BenchmarkSD | 37 | 100 | 114 | 269 | 154 | 309 |
| EnactedSD | 29 | 89 | 109 | 266 | 144 | 289 |
| SD Alt Eff 1 | 33 | 95 | 112 | 275 | 110 | 221 |
| SD Alt Eff 2 | 26 | 78 | 108 | 264 | 97 | 196 |
| SD Alt Eff 3 | 29 | 84 | 108 | 264 | 106 | 213 |
| BenchmarkHD | 72 | 284 | 169 | 506 | 303 | 630 |
| EnactedHD | 69 | 278 | 166 | 494 | 352 | 724 |
| HD Alt Eff 1 | 73 | 276 | 164 | 492 | 279 | 570 |
| HD Alt Eff 2 | 69 | 266 | 168 | 494 | 276 | 567 |
| HD Alt Eff 3 | 69 | 265 | 165 | 478 | 277 | 567 |

Table 9: Number of county, muni, and precinct splits and pieces in each plan.

[^5]
### 6.4 Racial demographics

Though majority-minority districts are not demanded for compliance with the Voting Rights Act, they nonetheless play a significant role in VRA litigation, especially in the Gingles 1 threshold test. For that purpose, plaintiffs must show maps with additional districts that are at least $50 \%+1$ person composed of members of the specified minority group. Typically, when Black residents are the largest minority group, the basis for measurement is BVAP, or voting age population, as tabulated in the Decennial Census data. For a coalition of Black and Latino voters, we additionally use a secondary basis of population, in this case BHCVAP.

Here, I review the plans discussed in this report and enumerate the number of districts that have a majority of voting age population that is Black by VAP, Black and Latino by VAP, or Black and Latino by CVAP. The final column enumerates the number of districts that, according to their recent electoral history in statewide contests, are likely to provide an effective opportunity for Black and Latino voters to nominate and elect candidates of their choosing. Racial and ethnic categories are described in Appendix A, and the concept of measuring district effectiveness is delineated in $\$ 5$.

|  | majority <br> BVAP | majority <br> BHVAP | majority <br> BHCVAP | effective |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BenchmarkCD | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 |
| EnactedCD | 2 | 5 | 4 | 5 |
| Duncan-Kennedy | 3 | 5 | 4 | 5 |
| CD Alt | 4 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| BenchmarkSD | 14 | 17 | 17 | 19 |
| EnactedSD | 14 | 17 | 17 | 19 |
| SD Alt Eff 1 | 17 | 23 | 22 | 23 |
| SD Alt Eff 2 | 15 | 21 | 21 | 23 |
| SD Alt Eff 3 | 8 | 17 | 16 | 28 |
| BenchmarkHD | 46 | 57 | 57 | 62 |
| EnactedHD | 49 | 62 | 60 | 68 |
| HD Alt Eff 1 | 50 | 77 | 74 | 77 |
| HD Alt Eff 2 | 44 | 75 | 71 | 79 |
| HD Alt Eff 3 | 37 | 62 | 54 | 83 |

Table 10: The first three columns report the number of majority-BVAP, majority-BHVAP, and majority-BHCVAP districts, in the plans under discussion in this report. Overall, the state is $31.7 \%$ Black by VAP, $40.18 \%$ Black and Latino by VAP, and $38.43 \%$ Black and Latino by CVAP. The final column reports the number of districts labeled as effective in terms of electoral opportunity for Black and Latino voters.

### 6.5 Incumbency and core retention

Next, we review the handling of incumbency and the more general issue of reassigning voters to new districts in the plans under consideration. Note that members of Congress do not have to establish residency in the district that they represent, while Georgia law does have a district residency requirement for members of the state legislature 10 In this section, I am relying on address data for incumbents that was supplied by counsel and there is certainly a strong possibility that it is not fully up-to-date or accurate.

The enacted Congressional plan double-bunked two pairs of incumbents: Nikema Williams (D) and David Scott (D) in CD 5; Jody Hice (R) and Andrew Clyde (R) in CD 10. However, Hice did not run for Congress in 2022, shifting to an unsuccessful run for Secretary of State, and David Scott already lived in CD 5 in the benchmark plan.

The enacted Senate plan also double-bunked two pairs of incumbents: Tyler Harper (R) and Carden Summers (R) in SD 13; Chuck Hufstetler (R) and Bruce Thompson (R) in SD 52. But Harper ran a successful campaign for Agriculture Commissioner, leaving Summers to win SD 13, while Thompson ran a successful campaign for Labor Commissioner, leaving SD 52 for Hufstetler. This leaves no meaningful pairings in the Senate map.

The shifting of incumbents is also apparent in the state House map. The enacted House plan seemingly double-bunks seventeen pairs of incumbents: nine R/R pairs, six D/D pairs, and two R/D pairs.

However, the apparent HD 10 collision is suspect (likely due to an inaccurate address for Lauren "Bubba" McDonald) because McDonald was reelected in HD 26, which contains no incumbent address from our list. Several seeming collisions are not meaningful because one of the Representatives had already retired or resigned: this includes Micah Gravley (now located in HD 19), Wes Cantrell (HD 21), Tommy Benton (HD 31), Matt Dollar (HD 45), Susan Holmes (HD 118), and Dominic LaRiccia (HD 176). The HD 100 collision is real, and Bonnie Rich lost to David Clark in the Republican primary; the HD 149 collision also ended in a primary showdown.

Among Democratic collisions, we note that Matthew Wilson (placed in HD 52) made an unsuccessful primary run for Insurance Commissioner; William Boddie made an unsuccessful run for Labor Commissioner; and David Dreyer (HD 62) did not run. Mitchell and Hutchinson did face off in a primary in HD 106.

Among the R/D collisions, Mickey Stephens (HD 74) died in office; Timothy Barr (HD 101) ran an unsuccessful primary for CD 10; and Winifred Dukes (HD 154) ran an unsuccessful primary for Agriculture Commissioner.

In all, this means that of 17 apparent collisions of incumbents, only three ended in a contest between incumbents. By far most of the others seem to be explained by retirement, resignation, or a run for another office. ${ }^{11}$

While incumbent pairings were therefore avoided, this is not to say that the new House plan was very favorable to incumbents in other ways. As I will discuss throughout this report, the state's line-drawers clearly placed a low priority on core retention, i.e., on maintaining voters in the same districts as they belonged to in the benchmark plan. The enacted plans for Congress and for state Senate each reassign more then two million residents to new districts relative to the prior assignment of their census block. But the House plan is on another level, with $6,135,234$ people-roughly three out of every five Georgia residents-voting in a different district than before. This unusually high displacement is certainly permissible under the law, but it reveals that the legislature was willing to accept major changes to the map in pursuit of other goals. Below, in $\$ 10.1$, I will present a closer look at which districts were particularly targeted for wholesale reconfiguration.

[^6]
## 7 Gingles demonstration plans

### 7.1 Congressional alternatives

The state's enacted Congressional plan has two majority-BVAP districts (CD 4 and CD 13). Moving to the Black and Latino coalition, three more districts (CD 2, CD 5, and CD 7, by a hair) join these in being majority-BHVAP. However, if we switch the basis of population to CVAP rather than VAP, the number of coalition districts in the state's enacted plan drops to 4 , losing CD 7 .

Here, I have provided an alternative plan with 4/6/6 majority districts (by BVAP, BHVAP, and BHCVAP, respectively). That is, the six coalition-majority districts (CD 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, and 13) are still BH-majority on the basis of CVAP, making this a gain of two districts over the state. The newcomer to the list is CD 3, which runs along Georgia's western border, connecting the metro Atlanta area to Sanford Bishop's district in the southwest. By the notion of electoral effectiveness outlined in $\$ 5$ below, all six of these districts offer an effective opportunity for Black and Latino voters to elect candidates of choice (Table 50).

|  | CD Enacted (Statewide) |  |  |  |  |  | CD Alt 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CD | Black | Hisp | BH | White | Polsby | Reock | Black | Hisp | BH | White | Polsby | Reock |
|  | VAP | VAP | VAP | VAP | Popper |  | VAP | VAP | VAP | VAP | Popper |  |
| 1 | $28.2 \%$ | $6.8 \%$ | $35.0 \%$ | $60.4 \%$ | 0.285 | 0.456 | $30.3 \%$ | $6.9 \%$ | $37.2 \%$ | $58.5 \%$ | 0.312 | 0.633 |
| 2 | $49.3 \%$ | $5.1 \%$ | $54.4 \%$ | $42.7 \%$ | 0.267 | 0.458 | $47.7 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ | $52.4 \%$ | $44.5 \%$ | 0.315 | 0.494 |
| 3 | $23.3 \%$ | $5.3 \%$ | $28.6 \%$ | $66.8 \%$ | 0.275 | 0.461 | $51.2 \%$ | $7.2 \%$ | $58.4 \%$ | $37.4 \%$ | 0.278 | 0.411 |
| 4 | $54.5 \%$ | $10.1 \%$ | $64.6 \%$ | $28.3 \%$ | 0.246 | 0.307 | $50.6 \%$ | $8.2 \%$ | $58.8 \%$ | $33.8 \%$ | 0.295 | 0.481 |
| 5 | $49.6 \%$ | $6.7 \%$ | $56.3 \%$ | $37.9 \%$ | 0.322 | 0.512 | $50.1 \%$ | $11.4 \%$ | $61.5 \%$ | $33.4 \%$ | 0.216 | 0.424 |
| 6 | $9.9 \%$ | $9.1 \%$ | $19.0 \%$ | $66.6 \%$ | 0.198 | 0.424 | $13.7 \%$ | $10.9 \%$ | $24.6 \%$ | $57.1 \%$ | 0.232 | 0.346 |
| 7 | $29.8 \%$ | $21.3 \%$ | $51.1 \%$ | $32.8 \%$ | 0.386 | 0.496 | $34.3 \%$ | $22.4 \%$ | $56.7 \%$ | $29.4 \%$ | 0.351 | 0.518 |
| 8 | $30.0 \%$ | $6.1 \%$ | $36.1 \%$ | $60.5 \%$ | 0.210 | 0.338 | $27.3 \%$ | $6.9 \%$ | $34.2 \%$ | $63.0 \%$ | 0.227 | 0.377 |
| 9 | $10.4 \%$ | $12.9 \%$ | $23.3 \%$ | $68.3 \%$ | 0.253 | 0.380 | $4.6 \%$ | $11.5 \%$ | $16.1 \%$ | $77.9 \%$ | 0.403 | 0.512 |
| 10 | $22.6 \%$ | $6.5 \%$ | $29.1 \%$ | $66.2 \%$ | 0.284 | 0.558 | $17.6 \%$ | $6.9 \%$ | $24.5 \%$ | $69.8 \%$ | 0.335 | 0.576 |
| 11 | $17.9 \%$ | $11.2 \%$ | $29.1 \%$ | $64.0 \%$ | 0.207 | 0.480 | $17.6 \%$ | $7.6 \%$ | $25.2 \%$ | $68.1 \%$ | 0.283 | 0.364 |
| 12 | $36.7 \%$ | $4.9 \%$ | $41.6 \%$ | $54.6 \%$ | 0.278 | 0.502 | $39.2 \%$ | $4.6 \%$ | $43.8 \%$ | $51.9 \%$ | 0.181 | 0.489 |
| 13 | $66.7 \%$ | $10.5 \%$ | $77.2 \%$ | $18.8 \%$ | 0.157 | 0.380 | $52.0 \%$ | $6.8 \%$ | $58.8 \%$ | $37.8 \%$ | 0.276 | 0.510 |
| 14 | $14.3 \%$ | $10.6 \%$ | $24.9 \%$ | $71.3 \%$ | 0.373 | 0.426 | $7.6 \%$ | $11.0 \%$ | $18.6 \%$ | $77.0 \%$ | 0.514 | 0.484 |
| Avg |  |  |  |  | 0.267 | 0.441 |  |  |  |  | 0.301 | 0.473 |

Table 11: VAP statistics and compactness comparison by district for the enacted Congressional plan and an alternative plan. The alternative plan has more majority-minority districts; it is also more compact by all three scores of compactness, including both contour-based scores in the table as well as 4665 rather than 5075 cut edges. The alternative also splits only 13 counties while the enacted plan splits 15. CVAP comparison is shown below in Table 24.

### 7.2 State Senate alternatives

Overall, the enacted state Senate plan creates majority BVAP/BHVAP/BHCVAP majority districts in the numbers $14 / 17 / 17$ out of 56 . By mixing and matching the options I have provided, my modular alternatives can replace that with a new Senate plan with and additional 1-6 majority districts.

The increase is accomplished while maintaining other traditional principles-like compactness and splitting scores-that are generally comparable to or better than those of the state's enacted plan.

Below, I will review the Gingles demonstration alternatives one cluster at a time, showing the enacted plan and alternatives (which sometimes include both an Alt 1 and an Alt 2) for each cluster. The purpose of showing multiple alternatives is to illustrate the kinds of tradeoffs present in all redistricting problems, and to give a sense of the enormous range of possible directions for satisfying the Gingles 1 threshold test.

### 7.2.1 SD Atlanta



Figure 8: SD Atlanta (14 districts).

|  | SD Atlanta Enacted |  |  |  |  |  | SD Alt 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SD | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Black } \\ & \text { VAP } \end{aligned}$ | Hisp VAP | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{BH} \\ & \text { VAP } \end{aligned}$ | White VAP | Polsby Popper | Reock | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Black } \\ & \text { VAP } \end{aligned}$ | Hisp VAP | BH VAP | White VAP | Polsby Popper | Reock |
| 6 | 23.9\% | 8.2\% | 32.1\% | 57.8\% | 0.236 | 0.405 | 50.1\% | 6.1\% | 56.2\% | 39.8\% | 0.169 | 0.246 |
| 10 | 71.5\% | 5.2\% | 76.7\% | 19.6\% | 0.231 | 0.281 | 59.5\% | 11.0\% | 70.5\% | 23.4\% | 0.238 | 0.420 |
| 16 | 22.7\% | 5.0\% | 27.7\% | 66.9\% | 0.314 | 0.368 | 50.2\% | 6.2\% | 56.4\% | 40.9\% | 0.254 | 0.354 |
| 28 | 19.5\% | 6.4\% | 25.9\% | 69.4\% | 0.246 | 0.445 | 50.6\% | 6.8\% | 57.4\% | 39.3\% | 0.335 | 0.489 |
| 30 | 20.9\% | 6.1\% | 27.0\% | 69.4\% | 0.407 | 0.597 | 14.3\% | 5.1\% | 19.4\% | 76.9\% | 0.286 | 0.361 |
| 31 | 20.7\% | 7.4\% | 28.1\% | 68.3\% | 0.379 | 0.366 | 19.7\% | 7.2\% | 26.9\% | 69.4\% | 0.470 | 0.395 |
| 33 | 43.0\% | 22.9\% | 65.9\% | 30.2\% | 0.215 | 0.401 | 50.4\% | 18.1\% | 68.5\% | 27.9\% | 0.381 | 0.528 |
| 34 | 69.5\% | 12.7\% | 82.2\% | 13.4\% | 0.335 | 0.451 | 72.2\% | 11.6\% | 83.8\% | 11.5\% | 0.163 | 0.326 |
| 35 | 71.9\% | 7.5\% | 79.4\% | 18.8\% | 0.263 | 0.472 | 50.9\% | 8.0\% | 58.9\% | 38.2\% | 0.347 | 0.400 |
| 36 | 51.3\% | 7.1\% | 58.4\% | 36.2\% | 0.305 | 0.321 | 50.0\% | 5.7\% | 55.7\% | 38.8\% | 0.339 | 0.452 |
| 38 | 65.3\% | 8.4\% | 73.7\% | 21.9\% | 0.208 | 0.361 | 27.9\% | 15.4\% | 43.3\% | 46.1\% | 0.271 | 0.487 |
| 39 | 60.7\% | 5.6\% | 66.3\% | 27.9\% | 0.128 | 0.166 | 51.2\% | 5.4\% | 56.6\% | 38.6\% | 0.277 | 0.357 |
| 42 | 30.8\% | 8.6\% | 39.4\% | 51.4\% | 0.321 | 0.479 | 35.8\% | 9.6\% | 45.4\% | 43.5\% | 0.112 | 0.289 |
| 44 | 71.3\% | 8.6\% | 79.9\% | 15.3\% | 0.185 | 0.180 | 61.6\% | 3.6\% | 65.2\% | 31.0\% | 0.237 | 0.356 |
| Avg |  |  |  |  | 0.270 | 0.378 |  |  |  |  | 0.277 | 0.390 |

Table 12: SD Atlanta Alt 1 splits 8 counties within the cluster compared to 7 in the enacted plan and has a better discrete compactness score, with 2017 cut edges rather than 2197, to go with comparable Polsby-Popper and superior Reock compactness.

|  | SD Atlanta Enacted |  |  |  |  |  |  | SD Alt 2 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SD | Black | Hisp | BH | White | Polsby | Reock | Black | Hisp | BH | White | Polsby | Reock |
|  | VAP | VAP | VAP | VAP | Popper |  | VAP | VAP | VAP | VAP | Popper |  |
| 6 | $23.9 \%$ | $8.2 \%$ | $32.1 \%$ | $57.8 \%$ | 0.236 | 0.405 | $28.0 \%$ | $14.9 \%$ | $42.9 \%$ | $46.7 \%$ | 0.256 | 0.477 |
| 10 | $71.5 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ | $76.7 \%$ | $19.6 \%$ | 0.231 | 0.281 | $59.7 \%$ | $9.8 \%$ | $69.5 \%$ | $23.3 \%$ | 0.307 | 0.416 |
| 16 | $22.7 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ | $27.7 \%$ | $66.9 \%$ | 0.314 | 0.368 | $48.4 \%$ | $6.1 \%$ | $54.5 \%$ | $42.4 \%$ | 0.258 | 0.366 |
| 28 | $19.5 \%$ | $6.4 \%$ | $25.9 \%$ | $69.4 \%$ | 0.246 | 0.445 | $15.8 \%$ | $6.1 \%$ | $21.9 \%$ | $72.8 \%$ | 0.347 | 0.371 |
| 30 | $20.9 \%$ | $6.1 \%$ | $27.0 \%$ | $69.4 \%$ | 0.407 | 0.597 | $15.7 \%$ | $6.6 \%$ | $22.3 \%$ | $74.2 \%$ | 0.473 | 0.508 |
| 31 | $20.7 \%$ | $7.4 \%$ | $28.1 \%$ | $68.3 \%$ | 0.379 | 0.366 | $25.9 \%$ | $6.7 \%$ | $32.6 \%$ | $63.6 \%$ | 0.591 | 0.636 |
| 33 | $43.0 \%$ | $22.9 \%$ | $65.9 \%$ | $30.2 \%$ | 0.215 | 0.401 | $50.6 \%$ | $18.2 \%$ | $68.8 \%$ | $27.4 \%$ | 0.224 | 0.463 |
| 34 | $69.5 \%$ | $12.7 \%$ | $82.2 \%$ | $13.4 \%$ | 0.335 | 0.451 | $54.4 \%$ | $11.9 \%$ | $66.3 \%$ | $27.9 \%$ | 0.246 | 0.381 |
| 35 | $71.9 \%$ | $7.5 \%$ | $79.4 \%$ | $18.8 \%$ | 0.263 | 0.472 | $60.9 \%$ | $7.5 \%$ | $68.4 \%$ | $29.3 \%$ | 0.206 | 0.490 |
| 36 | $51.3 \%$ | $7.1 \%$ | $58.4 \%$ | $36.2 \%$ | 0.305 | 0.321 | $54.0 \%$ | $6.8 \%$ | $60.8 \%$ | $33.6 \%$ | 0.263 | 0.466 |
| 38 | $65.3 \%$ | $8.4 \%$ | $73.7 \%$ | $21.9 \%$ | 0.208 | 0.361 | $51.0 \%$ | $5.6 \%$ | $56.6 \%$ | $37.6 \%$ | 0.154 | 0.260 |
| 39 | $60.7 \%$ | $5.6 \%$ | $66.3 \%$ | $27.9 \%$ | 0.128 | 0.166 | $86.5 \%$ | $5.5 \%$ | $92.0 \%$ | $7.0 \%$ | 0.118 | 0.271 |
| 42 | $30.8 \%$ | $8.6 \%$ | $39.4 \%$ | $51.4 \%$ | 0.321 | 0.479 | $17.0 \%$ | $10.7 \%$ | $27.7 \%$ | $61.4 \%$ | 0.144 | 0.282 |
| 44 | $71.3 \%$ | $8.6 \%$ | $79.9 \%$ | $15.3 \%$ | 0.185 | 0.180 | $76.3 \%$ | $3.2 \%$ | $79.5 \%$ | $18.7 \%$ | 0.374 | 0.456 |
| Avg |  |  |  |  | 0.270 | 0.378 |  |  |  |  | 0.283 | 0.417 |

Table 13: SD Atlanta Alt 2 splits 6 counties within the cluster and has just 1985 cut edges, better than the enacted plan's 7 and 2197, while also improving on both contour-based compactness scores.

### 7.2.2 SD Gwinnett



Alt 1 4/7/6
Figure 9: SD Gwinnett (16 districts).

|  | SD Gwinnett Enacted |  |  |  |  |  | SD Alt 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SD | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Black } \\ & \text { VAP } \end{aligned}$ | Hisp VAP | $\begin{gathered} \text { BH } \\ \text { VAP } \end{gathered}$ | White VAP | Polsby Popper | Reock | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Black } \\ & \text { VAP } \end{aligned}$ | Hisp VAP | $\begin{gathered} \text { BH } \\ \text { VAP } \end{gathered}$ | White VAP | Polsby Popper | Reock |
| 5 | 29.9\% | 41.7\% | 71.6\% | 15.7\% | 0.207 | 0.166 | 20.3\% | 34.6\% | 54.9\% | 28.0\% | 0.285 | 0.384 |
| 7 | 21.4\% | 16.6\% | 38.0\% | 37.8\% | 0.339 | 0.344 | 17.1\% | 14.3\% | 31.4\% | 45.5\% | 0.278 | 0.401 |
| 9 | 29.5\% | 18.8\% | 48.3\% | 35.8\% | 0.213 | 0.233 | 29.3\% | 27.0\% | 56.3\% | 26.2\% | 0.234 | 0.498 |
| 14 | 19.0\% | 12.1\% | 31.1\% | 57.1\% | 0.242 | 0.273 | 18.1\% | 11.4\% | 29.5\% | 57.6\% | 0.208 | 0.296 |
| 17 | 32.0\% | 5.1\% | 37.1\% | 59.4\% | 0.168 | 0.342 | 51.1\% | 6.6\% | 57.7\% | 35.9\% | 0.113 | 0.188 |
| 27 | 5.0\% | 10.2\% | 15.2\% | 71.5\% | 0.456 | 0.499 | 4.7\% | 10.2\% | 14.9\% | 70.8\% | 0.500 | 0.497 |
| 40 | 19.2\% | 21.6\% | 40.8\% | 46.3\% | 0.345 | 0.508 | 50.1\% | 17.7\% | 67.8\% | 25.1\% | 0.130 | 0.208 |
| 41 | 62.6\% | 6.7\% | 69.3\% | 21.4\% | 0.302 | 0.509 | 57.3\% | 10.0\% | 67.3\% | 23.3\% | 0.149 | 0.279 |
| 43 | 64.3\% | 6.9\% | 71.2\% | 26.5\% | 0.346 | 0.635 | 52.0\% | 7.0\% | 59.0\% | 38.3\% | 0.420 | 0.537 |
| 45 | 18.6\% | 13.1\% | 31.7\% | 55.5\% | 0.305 | 0.350 | 19.8\% | 12.1\% | 31.9\% | 58.8\% | 0.226 | 0.380 |
| 46 | 16.9\% | 7.0\% | 23.9\% | 69.9\% | 0.207 | 0.365 | 16.5\% | 5.0\% | 21.5\% | 73.4\% | 0.416 | 0.514 |
| 47 | 17.4\% | 9.6\% | 27.0\% | 67.5\% | 0.187 | 0.353 | 16.7\% | 8.7\% | 25.4\% | 68.5\% | 0.176 | 0.326 |
| 48 | 9.5\% | 7.0\% | 16.5\% | 52.2\% | 0.342 | 0.348 | 10.1\% | 6.4\% | 16.5\% | 54.8\% | 0.266 | 0.387 |
| 49 | 8.0\% | 21.9\% | 29.9\% | 65.6\% | 0.341 | 0.461 | 8.1\% | 24.6\% | 32.7\% | 62.8\% | 0.382 | 0.573 |
| 50 | 5.6\% | 8.8\% | 14.4\% | 81.5\% | 0.228 | 0.450 | 5.4\% | 6.1\% | 11.5\% | 84.3\% | 0.232 | 0.462 |
| 55 | 66.0\% | 8.7\% | 74.7\% | 20.6\% | 0.271 | 0.333 | 50.0\% | 13.9\% | 63.9\% | 30.0\% | 0.419 | 0.451 |
| Avg |  |  |  |  | 0.281 | 0.386 |  |  |  |  | 0.277 | 0.399 |

Table 14: SD Gwinnett Alt 1 has 9 splits and 2024 cut edges, both better than the enacted plan (10 and 2232). The Polsby-Popper scores are comparable while the alternative plan has a better Reock score.

### 7.2.3 SD East Black Belt



Figure 10: SD East Black Belt (7 districts).

|  | SD East Black Belt Enacted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SH | White | Polsby | Reock | Black | Hisp | BH | White | Polsby | Reock |  |  |  |
| SD | Black | Hisp | BH | VAP | VAP | VAP | Popper |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | VAP | VAP | VAP | VAP | Popper |  | VAP | VAP |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | $23.4 \%$ | $5.5 \%$ | $28.9 \%$ | $66.8 \%$ | 0.265 | 0.471 | $23.5 \%$ | $5.5 \%$ | $29.0 \%$ | $66.7 \%$ | 0.284 | 0.495 |
| 20 | $31.3 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ | $34.8 \%$ | $61.7 \%$ | 0.358 | 0.404 | $34.4 \%$ | $5.1 \%$ | $39.5 \%$ | $56.5 \%$ | 0.231 | 0.498 |
| 22 | $56.5 \%$ | $5.3 \%$ | $61.8 \%$ | $34.4 \%$ | 0.288 | 0.404 | $50.5 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ | $54.3 \%$ | $42.6 \%$ | 0.241 | 0.455 |
| 23 | $35.5 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ | $40.0 \%$ | $56.9 \%$ | 0.164 | 0.365 | $23.0 \%$ | $5.6 \%$ | $28.6 \%$ | $64.6 \%$ | 0.466 | 0.497 |
| 24 | $19.9 \%$ | $4.4 \%$ | $24.3 \%$ | $69.8 \%$ | 0.213 | 0.366 | $25.0 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ | $28.5 \%$ | $69.1 \%$ | 0.083 | 0.229 |
| 25 | $33.5 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ | $37.2 \%$ | $59.9 \%$ | 0.241 | 0.386 | $50.0 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ | $54.0 \%$ | $43.4 \%$ | 0.174 | 0.344 |
| 26 | $57.0 \%$ | $4.2 \%$ | $61.2 \%$ | $36.6 \%$ | 0.203 | 0.469 | $50.1 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ | $53.8 \%$ | $43.4 \%$ | 0.209 | 0.472 |
| Avg |  |  |  |  | 0.247 | 0.409 |  |  |  |  | 0.241 | 0.427 |

Table 15: SD East Black Belt Alt 1 has more cut edges than the state (1301 vs. 1021 from the enacted plan), paired with a comparable Polsby-Popper and a superior Reock score. This alternative plan splits seven counties while the state splits four within the cluster.

|  | SD East Black Belt Enacted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | SD Alt 2 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SD | Black | Hisp | BH | White | Polsby | Reock | Black | Hisp | BH | White | Polsby | Reock |
|  | VAP | VAP | VAP | VAP | Popper | VAP | VAP | VAP | VAP | Popper |  |  |
| 4 | $23.4 \%$ | $5.5 \%$ | $28.9 \%$ | $66.8 \%$ | 0.265 | 0.471 | $23.4 \%$ | $5.5 \%$ | $28.9 \%$ | $66.8 \%$ | 0.265 | 0.471 |
| 20 | $31.3 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ | $34.8 \%$ | $61.7 \%$ | 0.358 | 0.404 | $32.5 \%$ | $4.9 \%$ | $37.4 \%$ | $58.7 \%$ | 0.304 | 0.586 |
| 22 | $56.5 \%$ | $5.3 \%$ | $61.8 \%$ | $34.4 \%$ | 0.288 | 0.404 | $50.4 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ | $53.9 \%$ | $42.9 \%$ | 0.264 | 0.432 |
| 23 | $35.5 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ | $40.0 \%$ | $56.9 \%$ | 0.164 | 0.365 | $47.4 \%$ | $4.1 \%$ | $51.5 \%$ | $45.8 \%$ | 0.231 | 0.441 |
| 24 | $19.9 \%$ | $4.4 \%$ | $24.3 \%$ | $69.8 \%$ | 0.213 | 0.366 | $23.1 \%$ | $5.6 \%$ | $28.7 \%$ | $64.5 \%$ | 0.327 | 0.458 |
| 25 | $33.5 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ | $37.2 \%$ | $59.9 \%$ | 0.241 | 0.386 | $28.2 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ | $32.7 \%$ | $64.3 \%$ | 0.176 | 0.311 |
| 26 | $57.0 \%$ | $4.2 \%$ | $61.2 \%$ | $36.6 \%$ | 0.203 | 0.469 | $51.2 \%$ | $3.1 \%$ | $54.3 \%$ | $43.5 \%$ | 0.205 | 0.331 |
| Avg |  |  |  |  | 0.247 | 0.409 |  |  |  |  | 0.253 | 0.433 |

Table 16: SD East Black Belt Alt 2 has just two county splits, compared to four in the state's plan. With just 1008 cut edges, it also executes a clean sweep of compactness scores relative to the enacted plan.

### 7.3 State House alternatives

In the state House, the enacted plan creates majority districts for BVAP/BHVAP/BHCVAP in the numbers 49/62/60 out of 180. Taken together, my modular alternatives can combine to replace that with a new House plan with up to 77 majority-BHVAP districts and up to 74 majority-BHCVAP districts.

### 7.3.1 HD Atlanta



Enacted 18/18/18


Figure 11: HD Atlanta (25 districts).


Alt 1 20/20/20


Alt 2 19/20/20
Figure 12: HD Atlanta (25 districts).

|  | HD Atlanta Enacted |  |  |  |  |  | HD Alt 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HD | Black | Hisp | BH | White | Polsby | Reock | Black | Hisp | BH | White | Polsby | Reock |
|  | VAP | VAP | VAP | VAP | Popper |  | VAP | VAP | VAP | VAP | Popper |  |
| 61 | $74.3 \%$ | $7.6 \%$ | $81.9 \%$ | $16.8 \%$ | 0.198 | 0.247 | $50.1 \%$ | $10.0 \%$ | $60.1 \%$ | $37.1 \%$ | 0.229 | 0.265 |
| 64 | $30.7 \%$ | $7.4 \%$ | $38.1 \%$ | $57.8 \%$ | 0.361 | 0.365 | $50.9 \%$ | $6.5 \%$ | $57.4 \%$ | $40.0 \%$ | 0.132 | 0.263 |
| 65 | $62.0 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ | $66.5 \%$ | $31.5 \%$ | 0.172 | 0.454 | $81.7 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ | $86.4 \%$ | $12.5 \%$ | 0.222 | 0.350 |
| 66 | $53.4 \%$ | $9.5 \%$ | $62.9 \%$ | $33.9 \%$ | 0.246 | 0.356 | $51.0 \%$ | $9.0 \%$ | $60.0 \%$ | $36.2 \%$ | 0.256 | 0.386 |
| 67 | $58.9 \%$ | $7.8 \%$ | $66.7 \%$ | $30.9 \%$ | 0.122 | 0.357 | $89.9 \%$ | $5.4 \%$ | $95.3 \%$ | $4.4 \%$ | 0.195 | 0.515 |
| 68 | $55.7 \%$ | $6.3 \%$ | $62.0 \%$ | $33.9 \%$ | 0.172 | 0.318 | $13.7 \%$ | $6.6 \%$ | $20.3 \%$ | $71.5 \%$ | 0.310 | 0.518 |
| 69 | $63.6 \%$ | $5.4 \%$ | $69.0 \%$ | $26.9 \%$ | 0.247 | 0.403 | $51.9 \%$ | $8.8 \%$ | $60.7 \%$ | $34.0 \%$ | 0.339 | 0.409 |
| 71 | $19.9 \%$ | $6.2 \%$ | $26.1 \%$ | $69.8 \%$ | 0.352 | 0.441 | $19.9 \%$ | $6.2 \%$ | $26.1 \%$ | $69.8 \%$ | 0.350 | 0.441 |
| 73 | $12.1 \%$ | $7.0 \%$ | $19.1 \%$ | $72.6 \%$ | 0.198 | 0.278 | $11.8 \%$ | $6.4 \%$ | $18.2 \%$ | $75.9 \%$ | 0.335 | 0.417 |
| 74 | $25.5 \%$ | $5.6 \%$ | $31.1 \%$ | $64.4 \%$ | 0.247 | 0.496 | $50.8 \%$ | $6.9 \%$ | $57.7 \%$ | $39.7 \%$ | 0.205 | 0.461 |
| 75 | $74.4 \%$ | $11.3 \%$ | $85.7 \%$ | $11.3 \%$ | 0.285 | 0.420 | $54.2 \%$ | $7.7 \%$ | $61.9 \%$ | $34.1 \%$ | 0.133 | 0.230 |
| 76 | $67.2 \%$ | $13.2 \%$ | $80.4 \%$ | $10.5 \%$ | 0.509 | 0.524 | $61.6 \%$ | $20.0 \%$ | $81.6 \%$ | $11.2 \%$ | 0.460 | 0.409 |
| 77 | $76.1 \%$ | $12.2 \%$ | $88.3 \%$ | $7.6 \%$ | 0.211 | 0.396 | $89.6 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ | $94.6 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ | 0.211 | 0.292 |
| 78 | $71.6 \%$ | $8.9 \%$ | $80.5 \%$ | $15.0 \%$ | 0.194 | 0.210 | $64.2 \%$ | $11.3 \%$ | $75.5 \%$ | $15.4 \%$ | 0.256 | 0.414 |
| 79 | $71.6 \%$ | $16.0 \%$ | $87.6 \%$ | $7.1 \%$ | 0.209 | 0.498 | $73.3 \%$ | $14.6 \%$ | $87.9 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ | 0.370 | 0.444 |
| 90 | $58.5 \%$ | $4.3 \%$ | $62.8 \%$ | $34.0 \%$ | 0.286 | 0.359 | $58.5 \%$ | $4.3 \%$ | $62.8 \%$ | $34.0 \%$ | 0.286 | 0.359 |
| 91 | $70.0 \%$ | $5.9 \%$ | $75.9 \%$ | $22.0 \%$ | 0.202 | 0.447 | $50.3 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ | $55.5 \%$ | $40.7 \%$ | 0.245 | 0.384 |
| 92 | $68.8 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ | $73.5 \%$ | $24.1 \%$ | 0.198 | 0.361 | $87.6 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ | $91.1 \%$ | $8.3 \%$ | 0.260 | 0.543 |
| 93 | $65.4 \%$ | $9.6 \%$ | $75.0 \%$ | $22.9 \%$ | 0.112 | 0.260 | $62.1 \%$ | $10.4 \%$ | $72.5 \%$ | $25.4 \%$ | 0.160 | 0.232 |
| 112 | $19.2 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ | $22.5 \%$ | $73.7 \%$ | 0.522 | 0.619 | $19.2 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ | $22.5 \%$ | $73.7 \%$ | 0.522 | 0.619 |
| 113 | $59.5 \%$ | $6.7 \%$ | $66.2 \%$ | $31.8 \%$ | 0.318 | 0.501 | $51.0 \%$ | $5.1 \%$ | $56.1 \%$ | $41.2 \%$ | 0.338 | 0.425 |
| 114 | $24.7 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ | $28.4 \%$ | $68.8 \%$ | 0.283 | 0.502 | $32.8 \%$ | $4.4 \%$ | $37.2 \%$ | $60.3 \%$ | 0.267 | 0.438 |
| 115 | $52.1 \%$ | $7.0 \%$ | $59.1 \%$ | $36.9 \%$ | 0.226 | 0.436 | $50.2 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | $56.2 \%$ | $38.6 \%$ | 0.193 | 0.282 |
| 116 | $58.1 \%$ | $7.3 \%$ | $65.4 \%$ | $27.2 \%$ | 0.280 | 0.407 | $54.8 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ | $62.8 \%$ | $29.6 \%$ | 0.333 | 0.478 |
| 117 | $36.6 \%$ | $5.4 \%$ | $42.0 \%$ | $54.5 \%$ | 0.275 | 0.408 | $51.0 \%$ | $7.2 \%$ | $58.2 \%$ | $39.0 \%$ | 0.409 | 0.511 |
| Avg |  |  |  |  | 0.257 | 0.402 |  |  |  |  | 0.281 | 0.403 |

Table 17: In HD Atlanta, the enacted plan has 10 county splits and 2221 cut edges. Alt 1 maintains 10 county splits and improves to 1988 cut edges.

|  | HD Atlanta Enacted |  |  |  |  |  | HD Alt 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HD | Black | Hisp | BH | White | Polsby | Reock | Black | Hisp | BH | White | Polsby | Reock |
|  | VAP | VAP | VAP | VAP | Popper |  | VAP | VAP | VAP | VAP | Popper |  |
| 61 | $74.3 \%$ | $7.6 \%$ | $81.9 \%$ | $16.8 \%$ | 0.198 | 0.247 | $47.4 \%$ | $10.1 \%$ | $57.5 \%$ | $39.6 \%$ | 0.290 | 0.276 |
| 64 | $30.7 \%$ | $7.4 \%$ | $38.1 \%$ | $57.8 \%$ | 0.361 | 0.365 | $50.5 \%$ | $6.8 \%$ | $57.3 \%$ | $40.0 \%$ | 0.201 | 0.271 |
| 65 | $62.0 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ | $66.5 \%$ | $31.5 \%$ | 0.172 | 0.454 | $67.6 \%$ | $4.1 \%$ | $71.7 \%$ | $26.6 \%$ | 0.302 | 0.458 |
| 66 | $53.4 \%$ | $9.5 \%$ | $62.9 \%$ | $33.9 \%$ | 0.246 | 0.356 | $51.2 \%$ | $9.1 \%$ | $60.3 \%$ | $36.0 \%$ | 0.336 | 0.407 |
| 67 | $58.9 \%$ | $7.8 \%$ | $66.7 \%$ | $30.9 \%$ | 0.122 | 0.357 | $90.4 \%$ | $5.3 \%$ | $95.7 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ | 0.131 | 0.428 |
| 68 | $55.7 \%$ | $6.3 \%$ | $62.0 \%$ | $33.9 \%$ | 0.172 | 0.318 | $58.2 \%$ | $6.8 \%$ | $65.0 \%$ | $31.0 \%$ | 0.168 | 0.329 |
| 69 | $63.6 \%$ | $5.4 \%$ | $69.0 \%$ | $26.9 \%$ | 0.247 | 0.403 | $54.6 \%$ | $6.3 \%$ | $60.9 \%$ | $34.4 \%$ | 0.310 | 0.538 |
| 71 | $19.9 \%$ | $6.2 \%$ | $26.1 \%$ | $69.8 \%$ | 0.352 | 0.441 | $19.9 \%$ | $6.2 \%$ | $26.1 \%$ | $69.8 \%$ | 0.352 | 0.441 |
| 73 | $12.1 \%$ | $7.0 \%$ | $19.1 \%$ | $72.6 \%$ | 0.198 | 0.278 | $11.9 \%$ | $7.0 \%$ | $18.9 \%$ | $73.6 \%$ | 0.373 | 0.498 |
| 74 | $25.5 \%$ | $5.6 \%$ | $31.1 \%$ | $64.4 \%$ | 0.247 | 0.496 | $12.8 \%$ | $5.7 \%$ | $18.5 \%$ | $75.5 \%$ | 0.192 | 0.320 |
| 75 | $74.4 \%$ | $11.3 \%$ | $85.7 \%$ | $11.3 \%$ | 0.285 | 0.420 | $61.4 \%$ | $12.0 \%$ | $73.4 \%$ | $17.6 \%$ | 0.225 | 0.404 |
| 76 | $67.2 \%$ | $13.2 \%$ | $80.4 \%$ | $10.5 \%$ | 0.509 | 0.524 | $70.4 \%$ | $13.2 \%$ | $83.6 \%$ | $9.6 \%$ | 0.352 | 0.416 |
| 77 | $76.1 \%$ | $12.2 \%$ | $88.3 \%$ | $7.6 \%$ | 0.211 | 0.396 | $77.0 \%$ | $12.6 \%$ | $89.6 \%$ | $7.0 \%$ | 0.491 | 0.510 |
| 78 | $71.6 \%$ | $8.9 \%$ | $80.5 \%$ | $15.0 \%$ | 0.194 | 0.210 | $68.6 \%$ | $8.4 \%$ | $77.0 \%$ | $21.0 \%$ | 0.325 | 0.540 |
| 79 | $71.6 \%$ | $16.0 \%$ | $87.6 \%$ | $7.1 \%$ | 0.209 | 0.498 | $73.1 \%$ | $15.5 \%$ | $88.6 \%$ | $7.5 \%$ | 0.357 | 0.549 |
| 90 | $58.5 \%$ | $4.3 \%$ | $62.8 \%$ | $34.0 \%$ | 0.286 | 0.359 | $58.5 \%$ | $4.3 \%$ | $62.8 \%$ | $34.0 \%$ | 0.286 | 0.359 |
| 91 | $70.0 \%$ | $5.9 \%$ | $75.9 \%$ | $22.0 \%$ | 0.202 | 0.447 | $53.0 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ | $58.2 \%$ | $38.4 \%$ | 0.231 | 0.369 |
| 92 | $68.8 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ | $73.5 \%$ | $24.1 \%$ | 0.198 | 0.361 | $69.6 \%$ | $6.9 \%$ | $76.5 \%$ | $21.3 \%$ | 0.174 | 0.330 |
| 93 | $65.4 \%$ | $9.6 \%$ | $75.0 \%$ | $22.9 \%$ | 0.112 | 0.260 | $85.5 \%$ | $7.2 \%$ | $92.7 \%$ | $7.0 \%$ | 0.201 | 0.329 |
| 112 | $19.2 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ | $22.5 \%$ | $73.7 \%$ | 0.522 | 0.619 | $19.2 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ | $22.5 \%$ | $73.7 \%$ | 0.522 | 0.619 |
| 113 | $59.5 \%$ | $6.7 \%$ | $66.2 \%$ | $31.8 \%$ | 0.318 | 0.501 | $53.9 \%$ | $5.6 \%$ | $59.5 \%$ | $37.9 \%$ | 0.153 | 0.355 |
| 114 | $24.7 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ | $28.4 \%$ | $68.8 \%$ | 0.283 | 0.502 | $24.9 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ | $28.7 \%$ | $68.6 \%$ | 0.235 | 0.487 |
| 115 | $52.1 \%$ | $7.0 \%$ | $59.1 \%$ | $36.9 \%$ | 0.226 | 0.436 | $50.3 \%$ | $6.9 \%$ | $57.2 \%$ | $39.8 \%$ | 0.304 | 0.475 |
| 116 | $58.1 \%$ | $7.3 \%$ | $65.4 \%$ | $27.2 \%$ | 0.280 | 0.407 | $53.2 \%$ | $7.9 \%$ | $61.1 \%$ | $31.0 \%$ | 0.382 | 0.452 |
| 117 | $36.6 \%$ | $5.4 \%$ | $42.0 \%$ | $54.5 \%$ | 0.275 | 0.408 | $50.1 \%$ | $6.5 \%$ | $56.6 \%$ | $38.4 \%$ | 0.155 | 0.323 |
| Avg |  |  |  |  | 0.257 | 0.402 |  |  |  |  | 0.282 | 0.419 |

Table 18: With 9 county splits and 1995 cut edges, Alt 2 dominates the enacted plan.

### 7.3.2 HD Southwest



Figure 13: HD Southwest (18 districts).

|  | HD Southwest Enacted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | HD Alt 1 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HD | Black | Hisp | BH | White | Polsby | Reock | Black | Hisp | BH | White | Polsby | Reock |  |  |  |
|  | VAP | VAP | VAP | VAP | Popper |  | VAP | VAP | VAP | VAP | Popper |  |  |  |  |
| 137 | $52.1 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ | $56.6 \%$ | $40.8 \%$ | 0.165 | 0.328 | $51.7 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ | $55.4 \%$ | $42.0 \%$ | 0.143 | 0.259 |  |  |  |
| 140 | $57.6 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ | $65.6 \%$ | $31.7 \%$ | 0.192 | 0.289 | $57.1 \%$ | $7.9 \%$ | $65.0 \%$ | $32.4 \%$ | 0.197 | 0.257 |  |  |  |
| 141 | $57.5 \%$ | $6.6 \%$ | $64.1 \%$ | $31.8 \%$ | 0.200 | 0.261 | $53.6 \%$ | $6.7 \%$ | $60.3 \%$ | $35.5 \%$ | 0.299 | 0.423 |  |  |  |
| 146 | $27.6 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ | $32.3 \%$ | $61.8 \%$ | 0.195 | 0.257 | $23.3 \%$ | $4.9 \%$ | $28.2 \%$ | $64.4 \%$ | 0.208 | 0.468 |  |  |  |
| 147 | $30.1 \%$ | $7.2 \%$ | $37.3 \%$ | $55.3 \%$ | 0.261 | 0.331 | $31.8 \%$ | $7.2 \%$ | $39.0 \%$ | $55.1 \%$ | 0.220 | 0.341 |  |  |  |
| 148 | $34.0 \%$ | $3.1 \%$ | $37.1 \%$ | $60.4 \%$ | 0.235 | 0.438 | $38.6 \%$ | $3.4 \%$ | $42.0 \%$ | $56.1 \%$ | 0.388 | 0.590 |  |  |  |
| 150 | $53.6 \%$ | $6.1 \%$ | $59.7 \%$ | $38.3 \%$ | 0.275 | 0.439 | $51.2 \%$ | $5.3 \%$ | $56.5 \%$ | $41.5 \%$ | 0.250 | 0.544 |  |  |  |
| 151 | $42.4 \%$ | $7.3 \%$ | $49.7 \%$ | $47.2 \%$ | 0.222 | 0.528 | $51.0 \%$ | $7.5 \%$ | $58.5 \%$ | $38.6 \%$ | 0.275 | 0.424 |  |  |  |
| 152 | $26.1 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ | $28.4 \%$ | $67.9 \%$ | 0.297 | 0.394 | $34.2 \%$ | $3.2 \%$ | $37.4 \%$ | $58.7 \%$ | 0.314 | 0.473 |  |  |  |
| 153 | $67.9 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ | $70.4 \%$ | $27.7 \%$ | 0.297 | 0.298 | $52.9 \%$ | $2.7 \%$ | $55.6 \%$ | $43.0 \%$ | 0.400 | 0.536 |  |  |  |
| 154 | $54.8 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ | $56.5 \%$ | $42.2 \%$ | 0.332 | 0.410 | $50.1 \%$ | $2.1 \%$ | $52.2 \%$ | $45.7 \%$ | 0.175 | 0.261 |  |  |  |
| 169 | $29.0 \%$ | $7.7 \%$ | $36.7 \%$ | $61.0 \%$ | 0.226 | 0.283 | $24.0 \%$ | $9.0 \%$ | $33.0 \%$ | $64.6 \%$ | 0.296 | 0.456 |  |  |  |
| 170 | $24.2 \%$ | $8.7 \%$ | $32.9 \%$ | $64.2 \%$ | 0.342 | 0.531 | $26.8 \%$ | $12.5 \%$ | $39.3 \%$ | $57.9 \%$ | 0.223 | 0.285 |  |  |  |
| 171 | $39.6 \%$ | $4.6 \%$ | $44.2 \%$ | $53.9 \%$ | 0.368 | 0.347 | $51.0 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ | $55.0 \%$ | $43.4 \%$ | 0.249 | 0.275 |  |  |  |
| 172 | $23.3 \%$ | $13.4 \%$ | $36.7 \%$ | $61.0 \%$ | 0.316 | 0.437 | $25.1 \%$ | $9.4 \%$ | $34.5 \%$ | $63.1 \%$ | 0.217 | 0.375 |  |  |  |
| 173 | $36.3 \%$ | $5.4 \%$ | $41.7 \%$ | $55.7 \%$ | 0.378 | 0.564 | $35.4 \%$ | $5.6 \%$ | $41.0 \%$ | $56.4 \%$ | 0.412 | 0.424 |  |  |  |
| 175 | $24.2 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ | $29.2 \%$ | $66.5 \%$ | 0.374 | 0.472 | $21.0 \%$ | $5.7 \%$ | $26.7 \%$ | $68.7 \%$ | 0.143 | 0.273 |  |  |  |
| 176 | $22.7 \%$ | $8.2 \%$ | $30.9 \%$ | $66.2 \%$ | 0.160 | 0.335 | $23.8 \%$ | $6.2 \%$ | $30.0 \%$ | $67.1 \%$ | 0.116 | 0.227 |  |  |  |
| Avg |  |  |  |  | 0.269 | 0.386 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.252 |  |  |  |
| 0.383 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 19: HD Southwest Alt 1 splits 12 counties within the cluster, to the state's 10 split counties. Its 2290 cut edges are more than the state's 2094, though the Reock scores are nearly identical.

### 7.3.3 HD East Black Belt



Figure 14: HD East Black Belt (18 districts).

|  | HD East Black Belt Enacted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | HD Alt 1 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HD | Black | Hisp | BH | White | Polsby | Reock | Black | Hisp | BH | White | Polsby | Reock |  |  |  |
|  | VAP | VAP | VAP | VAP | Popper |  | VAP | VAP | VAP | VAP | Popper |  |  |  |  |
| 33 | $11.2 \%$ | $3.1 \%$ | $14.3 \%$ | $82.3 \%$ | 0.371 | 0.487 | $18.7 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ | $22.5 \%$ | $74.6 \%$ | 0.405 | 0.343 |  |  |  |
| 118 | $23.6 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ | $27.3 \%$ | $69.7 \%$ | 0.223 | 0.350 | $23.2 \%$ | $3.1 \%$ | $26.3 \%$ | $70.6 \%$ | 0.218 | 0.329 |  |  |  |
| 123 | $24.3 \%$ | $4.3 \%$ | $28.6 \%$ | $68.1 \%$ | 0.178 | 0.295 | $13.3 \%$ | $5.8 \%$ | $19.1 \%$ | $76.3 \%$ | 0.281 | 0.357 |  |  |  |
| 124 | $25.6 \%$ | $6.2 \%$ | $31.8 \%$ | $65.0 \%$ | 0.233 | 0.442 | $28.4 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ | $33.1 \%$ | $64.4 \%$ | 0.224 | 0.362 |  |  |  |
| 125 | $23.7 \%$ | $7.7 \%$ | $31.4 \%$ | $63.0 \%$ | 0.173 | 0.409 | $24.1 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ | $32.1 \%$ | $61.5 \%$ | 0.255 | 0.328 |  |  |  |
| 126 | $54.5 \%$ | $3.2 \%$ | $57.7 \%$ | $40.0 \%$ | 0.414 | 0.516 | $52.5 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ | $56.0 \%$ | $41.6 \%$ | 0.322 | 0.534 |  |  |  |
| 127 | $18.5 \%$ | $4.8 \%$ | $23.3 \%$ | $68.1 \%$ | 0.201 | 0.351 | $14.6 \%$ | $4.9 \%$ | $19.5 \%$ | $70.1 \%$ | 0.585 | 0.546 |  |  |  |
| 128 | $50.4 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ | $52.1 \%$ | $46.5 \%$ | 0.319 | 0.601 | $50.1 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ | $51.7 \%$ | $46.7 \%$ | 0.357 | 0.628 |  |  |  |
| 129 | $54.9 \%$ | $4.3 \%$ | $59.2 \%$ | $37.2 \%$ | 0.254 | 0.482 | $51.9 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ | $55.4 \%$ | $40.7 \%$ | 0.108 | 0.314 |  |  |  |
| 130 | $59.9 \%$ | $3.9 \%$ | $63.8 \%$ | $33.7 \%$ | 0.255 | 0.508 | $54.4 \%$ | $4.3 \%$ | $58.7 \%$ | $38.7 \%$ | 0.253 | 0.451 |  |  |  |
| 131 | $17.6 \%$ | $5.9 \%$ | $23.5 \%$ | $68.2 \%$ | 0.283 | 0.377 | $27.1 \%$ | $5.1 \%$ | $32.2 \%$ | $63.3 \%$ | 0.285 | 0.604 |  |  |  |
| 132 | $52.3 \%$ | $7.8 \%$ | $60.1 \%$ | $35.6 \%$ | 0.296 | 0.270 | $53.6 \%$ | $8.2 \%$ | $61.8 \%$ | $33.1 \%$ | 0.293 | 0.243 |  |  |  |
| 133 | $36.8 \%$ | $2.1 \%$ | $38.9 \%$ | $58.4 \%$ | 0.415 | 0.543 | $48.7 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | $50.7 \%$ | $47.2 \%$ | 0.178 | 0.385 |  |  |  |
| 142 | $59.5 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ | $63.2 \%$ | $34.8 \%$ | 0.229 | 0.353 | $50.8 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ | $54.5 \%$ | $42.3 \%$ | 0.539 | 0.605 |  |  |  |
| 143 | $60.8 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ | $65.5 \%$ | $32.3 \%$ | 0.299 | 0.502 | $52.4 \%$ | $6.3 \%$ | $58.7 \%$ | $38.4 \%$ | 0.176 | 0.332 |  |  |  |
| 144 | $29.3 \%$ | $2.6 \%$ | $31.9 \%$ | $63.0 \%$ | 0.325 | 0.510 | $50.4 \%$ | $4.3 \%$ | $54.7 \%$ | $41.3 \%$ | 0.299 | 0.298 |  |  |  |
| 145 | $35.7 \%$ | $5.9 \%$ | $41.6 \%$ | $55.1 \%$ | 0.194 | 0.376 | $23.1 \%$ | $2.8 \%$ | $25.9 \%$ | $71.1 \%$ | 0.204 | 0.422 |  |  |  |
| 149 | $32.1 \%$ | $5.7 \%$ | $37.8 \%$ | $61.0 \%$ | 0.223 | 0.325 | $32.1 \%$ | $5.7 \%$ | $37.8 \%$ | $61.0 \%$ | 0.223 | 0.325 |  |  |  |
| Avg |  |  |  |  | 0.271 | 0.428 |  |  |  |  | 0.289 | 0.411 |  |  |  |

Table 20: The Alt 1 map has 10 split counties within the HD East Black Belt cluster, while the enacted plan has 9 . Its 1775 cut edges improves on the state's 1887, while also being more compact by Polsby-Popper.

|  | HD East Black Belt Enacted |  |  |  |  |  | HD Alt 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HD | Black | Hisp | BH | White | Polsby | Reock | Black | Hisp | BH | White | Polsby | Reock |
|  | VAP | VAP | VAP | VAP | Popper | VAP | VAP | VAP | VAP | Popper |  |  |
| 33 | $11.2 \%$ | $3.1 \%$ | $14.3 \%$ | $82.3 \%$ | 0.371 | 0.487 | $18.3 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ | $21.8 \%$ | $75.2 \%$ | 0.370 | 0.323 |
| 118 | $23.6 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ | $27.3 \%$ | $69.7 \%$ | 0.223 | 0.350 | $27.0 \%$ | $4.1 \%$ | $31.1 \%$ | $65.9 \%$ | 0.229 | 0.342 |
| 123 | $24.3 \%$ | $4.3 \%$ | $28.6 \%$ | $68.1 \%$ | 0.178 | 0.295 | $13.7 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | $19.7 \%$ | $75.8 \%$ | 0.293 | 0.395 |
| 124 | $25.6 \%$ | $6.2 \%$ | $31.8 \%$ | $65.0 \%$ | 0.233 | 0.442 | $25.5 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ | $29.3 \%$ | $68.1 \%$ | 0.234 | 0.381 |
| 125 | $23.7 \%$ | $7.7 \%$ | $31.4 \%$ | $63.0 \%$ | 0.173 | 0.409 | $30.2 \%$ | $6.1 \%$ | $36.3 \%$ | $60.1 \%$ | 0.396 | 0.670 |
| 126 | $54.5 \%$ | $3.2 \%$ | $57.7 \%$ | $40.0 \%$ | 0.414 | 0.516 | $50.7 \%$ | $4.2 \%$ | $54.9 \%$ | $42.3 \%$ | 0.394 | 0.494 |
| 127 | $18.5 \%$ | $4.8 \%$ | $23.3 \%$ | $68.1 \%$ | 0.201 | 0.351 | $17.6 \%$ | $6.2 \%$ | $23.8 \%$ | $67.2 \%$ | 0.267 | 0.264 |
| 128 | $50.4 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ | $52.1 \%$ | $46.5 \%$ | 0.319 | 0.601 | $50.2 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ | $51.7 \%$ | $46.8 \%$ | 0.409 | 0.672 |
| 129 | $54.9 \%$ | $4.3 \%$ | $59.2 \%$ | $37.2 \%$ | 0.254 | 0.482 | $50.4 \%$ | $3.6 \%$ | $54.0 \%$ | $41.8 \%$ | 0.248 | 0.323 |
| 130 | $59.9 \%$ | $3.9 \%$ | $63.8 \%$ | $33.7 \%$ | 0.255 | 0.508 | $57.1 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ | $61.8 \%$ | $35.4 \%$ | 0.231 | 0.325 |
| 131 | $17.6 \%$ | $5.9 \%$ | $23.5 \%$ | $68.2 \%$ | 0.283 | 0.377 | $17.6 \%$ | $5.7 \%$ | $23.3 \%$ | $67.8 \%$ | 0.318 | 0.373 |
| 132 | $52.3 \%$ | $7.8 \%$ | $60.1 \%$ | $35.6 \%$ | 0.296 | 0.270 | $54.4 \%$ | $7.1 \%$ | $61.5 \%$ | $34.1 \%$ | 0.219 | 0.278 |
| 133 | $36.8 \%$ | $2.1 \%$ | $38.9 \%$ | $58.4 \%$ | 0.415 | 0.543 | $46.6 \%$ | $2.1 \%$ | $48.7 \%$ | $49.0 \%$ | 0.296 | 0.438 |
| 142 | $59.5 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ | $63.2 \%$ | $34.8 \%$ | 0.229 | 0.353 | $50.1 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ | $53.9 \%$ | $42.9 \%$ | 0.436 | 0.605 |
| 143 | $60.8 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ | $65.5 \%$ | $32.3 \%$ | 0.299 | 0.502 | $52.9 \%$ | $6.3 \%$ | $59.2 \%$ | $38.0 \%$ | 0.143 | 0.316 |
| 144 | $29.3 \%$ | $2.6 \%$ | $31.9 \%$ | $63.0 \%$ | 0.325 | 0.510 | $51.0 \%$ | $4.2 \%$ | $55.2 \%$ | $40.8 \%$ | 0.226 | 0.243 |
| 145 | $35.7 \%$ | $5.9 \%$ | $41.6 \%$ | $55.1 \%$ | 0.194 | 0.376 | $23.1 \%$ | $2.8 \%$ | $25.9 \%$ | $71.1 \%$ | 0.190 | 0.359 |
| 149 | $32.1 \%$ | $5.7 \%$ | $37.8 \%$ | $61.0 \%$ | 0.223 | 0.325 | $32.1 \%$ | $5.7 \%$ | $37.8 \%$ | $61.0 \%$ | 0.223 | 0.325 |
| Avg |  |  |  |  | 0.271 | 0.428 |  |  |  |  | 0.285 | 0.396 |

Table 21: Alt 2 eliminates one county split relative to the enacted plan and has a sharply improved 1604 cut edges.

### 7.3.4 HD Southeast



Figure 15: HD Southeast (12 districts).

|  | HD Southeast Enacted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HD | Black | Hisp | BH | White | Polsby | Reock | Black | Hisp | BH | White | Polsby | Reock |
|  | VAP | VAP | VAP | VAP | Popper |  | VAP | VAP | VAP | VAP | Popper |  |
| 159 | $24.5 \%$ | $2.9 \%$ | $27.4 \%$ | $69.4 \%$ | 0.219 | 0.345 | $22.2 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ | $25.9 \%$ | $70.5 \%$ | 0.204 | 0.358 |
| 160 | $22.6 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ | $27.6 \%$ | $68.5 \%$ | 0.369 | 0.483 | $26.6 \%$ | $5.1 \%$ | $31.7 \%$ | $64.7 \%$ | 0.242 | 0.373 |
| 161 | $27.1 \%$ | $6.8 \%$ | $33.9 \%$ | $60.2 \%$ | 0.306 | 0.511 | $42.1 \%$ | $8.8 \%$ | $50.9 \%$ | $42.7 \%$ | 0.359 | 0.475 |
| 162 | $43.7 \%$ | $9.6 \%$ | $53.3 \%$ | $40.6 \%$ | 0.211 | 0.366 | $39.9 \%$ | $10.5 \%$ | $50.4 \%$ | $42.6 \%$ | 0.147 | 0.372 |
| 163 | $45.5 \%$ | $7.4 \%$ | $52.9 \%$ | $41.9 \%$ | 0.175 | 0.271 | $44.0 \%$ | $6.9 \%$ | $50.9 \%$ | $43.7 \%$ | 0.244 | 0.335 |
| 164 | $23.5 \%$ | $8.5 \%$ | $32.0 \%$ | $60.6 \%$ | 0.167 | 0.299 | $12.9 \%$ | $5.1 \%$ | $18.0 \%$ | $76.5 \%$ | 0.143 | 0.309 |
| 165 | $50.3 \%$ | $5.3 \%$ | $55.6 \%$ | $39.2 \%$ | 0.162 | 0.230 | $47.3 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ | $52.0 \%$ | $42.9 \%$ | 0.189 | 0.380 |
| 166 | $5.7 \%$ | $4.1 \%$ | $9.8 \%$ | $84.7 \%$ | 0.364 | 0.429 | $7.2 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ | $11.9 \%$ | $82.4 \%$ | 0.245 | 0.459 |
| 167 | $22.3 \%$ | $7.4 \%$ | $29.7 \%$ | $66.0 \%$ | 0.192 | 0.417 | $20.0 \%$ | $6.2 \%$ | $26.2 \%$ | $70.1 \%$ | 0.266 | 0.327 |
| 168 | $46.3 \%$ | $10.3 \%$ | $56.6 \%$ | $39.3 \%$ | 0.258 | 0.243 | $45.9 \%$ | $10.7 \%$ | $56.6 \%$ | $39.2 \%$ | 0.236 | 0.246 |
| 179 | $27.0 \%$ | $6.4 \%$ | $33.4 \%$ | $63.7 \%$ | 0.417 | 0.451 | $32.0 \%$ | $7.5 \%$ | $39.5 \%$ | $56.9 \%$ | 0.433 | 0.539 |
| 180 | $18.2 \%$ | $5.6 \%$ | $23.8 \%$ | $71.2 \%$ | 0.396 | 0.606 | $17.0 \%$ | $5.4 \%$ | $22.4 \%$ | $72.8 \%$ | 0.348 | 0.594 |
| Avg |  |  |  |  | 0.270 | 0.388 |  |  |  |  | 0.255 | 0.397 |

Table 22: HD Southeast Alt 1 has fewer county splits ( 5 vs .6 ) and a better cut edges score (1122 vs. 1245) than the enacted plan.

|  | HD Southeast Enacted |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HD | Black | Hisp | BH | White | Polsby | Reock | Black | Hisp | BH | White | Polsby | Reock |
|  | VAP | VAP | VAP | VAP | Popper |  | VAP | VAP | VAP | VAP | Popper |  |
| 159 | $24.5 \%$ | $2.9 \%$ | $27.4 \%$ | $69.4 \%$ | 0.219 | 0.345 | $22.0 \%$ | $3.6 \%$ | $25.6 \%$ | $70.7 \%$ | 0.192 | 0.356 |
| 160 | $22.6 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ | $27.6 \%$ | $68.5 \%$ | 0.369 | 0.483 | $26.3 \%$ | $5.1 \%$ | $31.4 \%$ | $64.9 \%$ | 0.333 | 0.515 |
| 161 | $27.1 \%$ | $6.8 \%$ | $33.9 \%$ | $60.2 \%$ | 0.306 | 0.511 | $41.6 \%$ | $10.0 \%$ | $51.6 \%$ | $42.2 \%$ | 0.180 | 0.332 |
| 162 | $43.7 \%$ | $9.6 \%$ | $53.3 \%$ | $40.6 \%$ | 0.211 | 0.366 | $43.0 \%$ | $8.5 \%$ | $51.5 \%$ | $42.5 \%$ | 0.191 | 0.341 |
| 163 | $45.5 \%$ | $7.4 \%$ | $52.9 \%$ | $41.9 \%$ | 0.175 | 0.271 | $42.7 \%$ | $7.7 \%$ | $50.4 \%$ | $43.1 \%$ | 0.282 | 0.411 |
| 164 | $23.5 \%$ | $8.5 \%$ | $32.0 \%$ | $60.6 \%$ | 0.167 | 0.299 | $13.4 \%$ | $5.5 \%$ | $18.9 \%$ | $75.6 \%$ | 0.168 | 0.290 |
| 165 | $50.3 \%$ | $5.3 \%$ | $55.6 \%$ | $39.2 \%$ | 0.162 | 0.230 | $45.5 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ | $50.5 \%$ | $44.4 \%$ | 0.229 | 0.501 |
| 166 | $5.7 \%$ | $4.1 \%$ | $9.8 \%$ | $84.7 \%$ | 0.364 | 0.429 | $7.2 \%$ | $4.1 \%$ | $11.3 \%$ | $83.0 \%$ | 0.391 | 0.653 |
| 167 | $22.3 \%$ | $7.4 \%$ | $29.7 \%$ | $66.0 \%$ | 0.192 | 0.417 | $36.5 \%$ | $7.4 \%$ | $43.9 \%$ | $52.5 \%$ | 0.204 | 0.331 |
| 168 | $46.3 \%$ | $10.3 \%$ | $56.6 \%$ | $39.3 \%$ | 0.258 | 0.243 | $40.9 \%$ | $10.8 \%$ | $51.7 \%$ | $44.3 \%$ | 0.327 | 0.555 |
| 179 | $27.0 \%$ | $6.4 \%$ | $33.4 \%$ | $63.7 \%$ | 0.417 | 0.451 | $18.7 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | $24.7 \%$ | $71.6 \%$ | 0.196 | 0.454 |
| 180 | $18.2 \%$ | $5.6 \%$ | $23.8 \%$ | $71.2 \%$ | 0.396 | 0.606 | $18.6 \%$ | $5.7 \%$ | $24.3 \%$ | $70.7 \%$ | 0.346 | 0.577 |
| Avg |  |  |  |  | 0.270 | 0.388 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.253 |

Table 23: Alt 2 also has just 5 county splits, to go with 1263 cut edges.

## 8 Secondary population estimates for coalition districts

Above, in $\$ 3.2$, I described my construction of an estimated citizen voting age population for the state of Georgia. In this section, I confirm that nearly all of the majority-BHVAP districts in my alternative plans are still majority districts by BHCVAP.

|  | CD enacted |  |  | CD Alt |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CD | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { BH } \\ & \text { VAP } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { BH } \\ \text { CVAP } \end{gathered}$ | CD | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { BH } \\ & \text { VAP } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{BH} \\ \text { CVAP } \end{gathered}$ |
| 1 | 34.5\% | 33.4\% | 1 | 36.6\% | 35.6\% |
| 2 | 54.0\% | 53.5\% | 2 | 51.8\% | 51.6\% |
| 3 | 28.3\% | 27.2\% | 3 | 57.7\% | 57.1\% |
| 4 | 63.9\% | 63.3\% | 4 | 58.0\% | 57.7\% |
| 5 | 55.6\% | 55.8\% | 5 | 60.6\% | 59.8\% |
| 6 | 18.7\% | 16.6\% | 6 | 24.0\% | 21.6\% |
| 7 | 50.2\% | 46.6\% | 7 | 55.5\% | 52.4\% |
| 8 | 35.8\% | 34.5\% | 8 | 33.8\% | 32.0\% |
| 9 | 23.0\% | 18.2\% | 9 | 15.9\% | 11.0\% |
| 10 | 28.8\% | 27.2\% | 10 | 24.2\% | 22.5\% |
| 11 | 28.7\% | 25.1\% | 11 | 24.7\% | 22.6\% |
| 12 | 41.2\% | 40.7\% | 12 | 43.2\% | 43.1\% |
| 13 | 76.3\% | 76.0\% | 13 | 57.9\% | 57.0\% |
| 14 | 24.6\% | 20.5\% | 14 | 18.3\% | 13.9\% |

Table 24: The enacted Congressional plan has 5 majority-BHVAP districts, but only four majority districts by BHCVAP. My alternative Congressional plan has 6 majority-BH districts by both either basis of population.

Next, I will present the statistics for the Alt Eff 1 and Alt Eff 2 plans in Senate and House, which use the Alt 1 and Alt 2 Gingles demonstrative plans above and add more modular effectiveness-boosting changes.

|  | SD enacted |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SD | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BH } \\ & \text { VAP } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { BH } \\ \text { CVAP } \end{gathered}$ |
| 1 | 31.9\% | 31.2\% |
| 2 | 53.8\% | 54.0\% |
| 3 | 27.1\% | 24.8\% |
| 4 | 28.6\% | 27.1\% |
| 5 | 70.4\% | 65.7\% |
| 6 | 31.5\% | 30.3\% |
| 7 | 37.2\% | 34.7\% |
| 8 | 36.3\% | 35.4\% |
| 9 | 47.4\% | 44.4\% |
| 10 | 75.7\% | 75.8\% |
| 11 | 38.4\% | 36.2\% |
| 12 | 61.2\% | 60.7\% |
| 13 | 32.8\% | 31.2\% |
| 14 | 30.5\% | 26.8\% |
| 15 | 59.8\% | 59.8\% |
| 16 | 27.5\% | 26.7\% |
| 17 | 36.6\% | 35.4\% |
| 18 | 34.6\% | 33.8\% |
| 19 | 33.7\% | 31.2\% |
| 20 | 34.5\% | 34.2\% |
| 21 | 16.0\% | 13.5\% |
| 22 | 61.2\% | 61.3\% |
| 23 | 39.6\% | 39.0\% |
| 24 | 24.0\% | 23.4\% |
| 25 | 36.8\% | 36.3\% |
| 26 | 60.8\% | 60.6\% |
| 27 | 15.0\% | 11.6\% |
| 28 | 25.6\% | 24.3\% |
| 29 | 31.0\% | 30.8\% |
| 30 | 26.6\% | 24.8\% |
| 31 | 27.7\% | 25.4\% |
| 32 | 24.9\% | 21.8\% |
| 33 | 65.1\% | 61.5\% |
| 34 | 81.2\% | 80.9\% |
| 35 | 78.5\% | 78.3\% |
| 36 | 57.7\% | 57.6\% |
| 37 | 27.5\% | 24.7\% |
| 38 | 72.9\% | 73.3\% |
| 39 | 65.6\% | 67.1\% |
| 40 | 40.2\% | 33.0\% |
| 41 | 68.5\% | 69.1\% |
| 42 | 38.9\% | 37.4\% |
| 43 | 70.5\% | 69.8\% |
| 44 | 79.0\% | 79.3\% |
| 45 | 31.1\% | 28.7\% |
| 46 | 23.6\% | 22.0\% |
| 47 | 26.8\% | 24.0\% |
| 48 | 16.1\% | 16.1\% |
| 49 | 29.6\% | 20.2\% |
| 50 | 14.3\% | 10.5\% |
| 51 | 5.5\% | 3.9\% |
| 52 | 21.1\% | 18.1\% |
| 53 | 8.2\% | 6.7\% |
| 54 | 26.2\% | 16.7\% |
| 55 | 73.6\% | 73.2\% |
| 56 | 15.0\% | 13.2\% |


|  | SD Alt Eff 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SD | $\begin{gathered} \text { BH } \\ \text { VAP } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { BH } \\ \text { CVAP } \end{gathered}$ |
| 1 | 31.8\% | 31.2\% |
| 2 | 53.7\% | 54.0\% |
| 3 | 26.9\% | 24.8\% |
| 4 | 28.6\% | 27.2\% |
| 5 | 53.9\% | 45.2\% |
| 6 | 55.5\% | 55.4\% |
| 7 | 30.6\% | 28.6\% |
| 8 | 36.2\% | 35.4\% |
| 9 | 55.1\% | 51.6\% |
| 10 | 69.4\% | 68.9\% |
| 11 | 38.4\% | 36.2\% |
| 12 | 61.1\% | 60.7\% |
| 13 | 32.8\% | 31.2\% |
| 14 | 28.8\% | 26.0\% |
| 15 | 59.7\% | 59.8\% |
| 16 | 55.6\% | 54.6\% |
| 17 | 56.8\% | 56.4\% |
| 18 | 34.5\% | 33.8\% |
| 19 | 33.6\% | 31.2\% |
| 20 | 39.1\% | 38.4\% |
| 21 | 15.9\% | 13.5\% |
| 22 | 53.6\% | 53.8\% |
| 23 | 28.0\% | 27.7\% |
| 24 | 28.3\% | 27.5\% |
| 25 | 53.5\% | 53.5\% |
| 26 | 53.4\% | 53.5\% |
| 27 | 14.7\% | 11.4\% |
| 28 | 56.7\% | 56.1\% |
| 29 | 31.0\% | 30.8\% |
| 30 | 19.2\% | 17.3\% |
| 31 | 26.4\% | 24.3\% |
| 32 | 24.8\% | 21.8\% |
| 33 | 67.5\% | 65.0\% |
| 34 | 82.6\% | 83.2\% |
| 35 | 58.0\% | 56.8\% |
| 36 | 54.9\% | 55.3\% |
| 37 | 27.4\% | 24.7\% |
| 38 | 42.4\% | 40.2\% |
| 39 | 55.9\% | 56.1\% |
| 40 | 66.6\% | 64.4\% |
| 41 | 66.4\% | 66.3\% |
| 42 | 44.6\% | 44.3\% |
| 43 | 58.2\% | 57.2\% |
| 44 | 64.5\% | 65.2\% |
| 45 | 31.3\% | 28.8\% |
| 46 | 21.2\% | 19.8\% |
| 47 | 25.2\% | 23.0\% |
| 48 | 16.1\% | 15.4\% |
| 49 | 32.4\% | 22.2\% |
| 50 | 11.4\% | 8.9\% |
| 51 | 5.5\% | 3.9\% |
| 52 | 21.1\% | 18.1\% |
| 53 | 8.2\% | 6.7\% |
| 54 | 26.2\% | 16.7\% |
| 55 | 62.6\% | 60.9\% |
| 56 | 14.9\% | 13.2\% |


|  | SD Alt Eff 2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SD | BH | BH |
|  | VAP | CVAP |
| 1 | 31.8\% | 31.2\% |
| 2 | 53.7\% | 54.0\% |
| 3 | 26.9\% | 24.8\% |
| 4 | 28.5\% | 27.1\% |
| 5 | 58.6\% | 52.2\% |
| 6 | 42.0\% | 39.8\% |
| 7 | 46.2\% | 43.2\% |
| 8 | 36.2\% | 35.4\% |
| 9 | 53.1\% | 50.5\% |
| 10 | 68.5\% | 68.5\% |
| 11 | 38.4\% | 36.2\% |
| 12 | 61.1\% | 60.7\% |
| 13 | 32.8\% | 31.2\% |
| 14 | 26.5\% | 24.6\% |
| 15 | 59.7\% | 59.8\% |
| 16 | 53.7\% | 52.7\% |
| 17 | 51.2\% | 50.3\% |
| 18 | 34.5\% | 33.8\% |
| 19 | 33.6\% | 31.2\% |
| 20 | 37.0\% | 36.4\% |
| 21 | 15.9\% | 13.5\% |
| 22 | 53.3\% | 53.5\% |
| 23 | 51.1\% | 51.2\% |
| 24 | 28.1\% | 27.8\% |
| 25 | 32.4\% | 31.4\% |
| 26 | 53.9\% | 53.9\% |
| 27 | 15.0\% | 11.6\% |
| 28 | 21.6\% | 20.3\% |
| 29 | 31.0\% | 30.8\% |
| 30 | 22.0\% | 19.4\% |
| 31 | 32.0\% | 30.3\% |
| 32 | 24.8\% | 21.8\% |
| 33 | 67.7\% | 65.4\% |
| 34 | 65.4\% | 64.4\% |
| 35 | 67.4\% | 66.8\% |
| 36 | 59.9\% | 60.5\% |
| 37 | 27.4\% | 24.7\% |
| 38 | 55.8\% | 56.4\% |
| 39 | 90.9\% | 91.5\% |
| 40 | 44.9\% | 35.6\% |
| 41 | 69.8\% | 70.6\% |
| 42 | 27.0\% | 23.7\% |
| 43 | 61.0\% | 60.3\% |
| 44 | 78.6\% | 79.0\% |
| 45 | 27.2\% | 24.9\% |
| 46 | 21.2\% | 19.5\% |
| 47 | 27.2\% | 24.7\% |
| 48 | 19.3\% | 17.7\% |
| 49 | 30.7\% | 20.6\% |
| 50 | 12.6\% | 10.3\% |
| 51 | 5.5\% | 3.9\% |
| 52 | 21.1\% | 18.1\% |
| 53 | 8.2\% | 6.7\% |
| 54 | 26.2\% | 16.7\% |
| 55 | 64.9\% | 64.7\% |
| 56 | 14.9\% | 13.2\% |

Table 25: The enacted Senate plan has 17 coalition districts, whether by VAP or CVAP. Both alternative plans add numerous districts, finding additional majority districts in several areas of the state.

|  | HD enacted |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HD | BH | BH |
|  | VAP | CVAP |
| 1 | $6.2 \%$ | $5.7 \%$ |
| 2 | $10.6 \%$ | $7.4 \%$ |
| 3 | $6.2 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ |
| 4 | $49.2 \%$ | $34.8 \%$ |
| 5 | $17.0 \%$ | $11.1 \%$ |
| 6 | $13.4 \%$ | $7.8 \%$ |
| 7 | $6.1 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ |
| 8 | $4.1 \%$ | $2.9 \%$ |
| 9 | $6.2 \%$ | $4.9 \%$ |
| 10 | $13.6 \%$ | $9.2 \%$ |
| 11 | $6.0 \%$ | $4.8 \%$ |
| 12 | $15.7 \%$ | $12.6 \%$ |
| 13 | $29.8 \%$ | $25.8 \%$ |
| 14 | $12.6 \%$ | $10.4 \%$ |
| 15 | $23.6 \%$ | $21.3 \%$ |
| 16 | $20.1 \%$ | $16.7 \%$ |
| 17 | $29.4 \%$ | $27.4 \%$ |
| 18 | $10.3 \%$ | $9.4 \%$ |
| 19 | $30.4 \%$ | $28.8 \%$ |
| 20 | $18.1 \%$ | $14.5 \%$ |
| 21 | $12.3 \%$ | $10.0 \%$ |
| 22 | $26.2 \%$ | $22.6 \%$ |
| 23 | $20.5 \%$ | $14.1 \%$ |
| 24 | $17.1 \%$ | $14.1 \%$ |
| 25 | $10.8 \%$ | $11.0 \%$ |
| 26 | $14.6 \%$ | $11.0 \%$ |
| 27 | $13.2 \%$ | $9.5 \%$ |
| 28 | $15.2 \%$ | $10.6 \%$ |
| 29 | $52.9 \%$ | $37.6 \%$ |
| 30 | $24.0 \%$ | $18.9 \%$ |
| 31 | $26.3 \%$ | $19.6 \%$ |
| 32 | $12.7 \%$ | $10.7 \%$ |
| 33 | $14.3 \%$ | $13.4 \%$ |
| 34 | $23.2 \%$ | $20.2 \%$ |
| 35 | $38.7 \%$ | $34.8 \%$ |
| 36 | $23.1 \%$ | $21.6 \%$ |
| 37 | $46.1 \%$ | $41.2 \%$ |
| 38 | $65.9 \%$ | $64.0 \%$ |
| 39 | $73.2 \%$ | $70.6 \%$ |
| 40 | $38.1 \%$ | $38.6 \%$ |
| 41 | $67.2 \%$ | $63.0 \%$ |
| 42 | $50.2 \%$ | $47.9 \%$ |
| 43 | $39.9 \%$ | $38.6 \%$ |
| 44 | $22.1 \%$ | $20.2 \%$ |
| 45 | $9.9 \%$ | $9.1 \%$ |
| 46 | $15.1 \%$ | $14.0 \%$ |
| 47 | $17.8 \%$ | $18.2 \%$ |
| 48 | $23.8 \%$ | $20.0 \%$ |
| 49 | $14.8 \%$ | $13.5 \%$ |
| 50 | $18.3 \%$ | $18.4 \%$ |
| 51 | $36.4 \%$ | $30.0 \%$ |
| 52 | $23.0 \%$ | $24.5 \%$ |
| 53 | $21.5 \%$ | $19.6 \%$ |
| 54 | $27.7 \%$ | $23.8 \%$ |
| 55 | $59.7 \%$ | $60.2 \%$ |
| 56 | $50.7 \%$ | $53.6 \%$ |
| 57 | $25.6 \%$ | $23.8 \%$ |
| 58 | $67.5 \%$ | $67.9 \%$ |
| 59 | $73.8 \%$ | $73.9 \%$ |
| 60 | $68.3 \%$ | $68.1 \%$ |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |


|  | HD Alt Eff 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HD | BH | BH |
|  | VAP | CVAP |
| 1 | $6.2 \%$ | $5.7 \%$ |
| 2 | $10.6 \%$ | $7.4 \%$ |
| 3 | $6.2 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ |
| 4 | $49.2 \%$ | $34.8 \%$ |
| 5 | $17.0 \%$ | $11.1 \%$ |
| 6 | $13.4 \%$ | $7.8 \%$ |
| 7 | $6.1 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ |
| 8 | $4.1 \%$ | $2.9 \%$ |
| 9 | $6.2 \%$ | $4.9 \%$ |
| 10 | $13.6 \%$ | $9.2 \%$ |
| 11 | $6.0 \%$ | $4.8 \%$ |
| 12 | $15.7 \%$ | $12.6 \%$ |
| 13 | $29.8 \%$ | $25.8 \%$ |
| 14 | $12.6 \%$ | $10.4 \%$ |
| 15 | $23.5 \%$ | $21.3 \%$ |
| 16 | $20.0 \%$ | $16.7 \%$ |
| 17 | $29.3 \%$ | $27.4 \%$ |
| 18 | $10.2 \%$ | $9.4 \%$ |
| 19 | $30.2 \%$ | $28.8 \%$ |
| 20 | $14.4 \%$ | $11.7 \%$ |
| 21 | $12.3 \%$ | $10.1 \%$ |
| 22 | $34.4 \%$ | $31.3 \%$ |
| 23 | $20.4 \%$ | $14.1 \%$ |
| 24 | $12.9 \%$ | $10.8 \%$ |
| 25 | $11.5 \%$ | $11.8 \%$ |
| 26 | $14.2 \%$ | $11.6 \%$ |
| 27 | $13.2 \%$ | $9.5 \%$ |
| 28 | $15.2 \%$ | $10.6 \%$ |
| 29 | $54.8 \%$ | $39.4 \%$ |
| 30 | $21.8 \%$ | $16.7 \%$ |
| 31 | $26.2 \%$ | $19.6 \%$ |
| 32 | $12.7 \%$ | $10.7 \%$ |
| 33 | $22.4 \%$ | $21.7 \%$ |
| 34 | $19.5 \%$ | $17.2 \%$ |
| 35 | $31.9 \%$ | $29.3 \%$ |
| 36 | $26.5 \%$ | $24.8 \%$ |
| 37 | $52.9 \%$ | $47.2 \%$ |
| 38 | $51.9 \%$ | $50.3 \%$ |
| 39 | $61.7 \%$ | $58.8 \%$ |
| 40 | $50.7 \%$ | $50.5 \%$ |
| 41 | $52.5 \%$ | $50.3 \%$ |
| 42 | $54.9 \%$ | $50.5 \%$ |
| 43 | $51.0 \%$ | $51.1 \%$ |
| 44 | $27.5 \%$ | $22.5 \%$ |
| 45 | $12.7 \%$ | $11.5 \%$ |
| 46 | $14.0 \%$ | $13.0 \%$ |
| 47 | $23.0 \%$ | $23.9 \%$ |
| 48 | $17.9 \%$ | $16.2 \%$ |
| 49 | $11.3 \%$ | $10.1 \%$ |
| 50 | $19.2 \%$ | $19.3 \%$ |
| 51 | $43.3 \%$ | $36.2 \%$ |
| 52 | $19.5 \%$ | $19.2 \%$ |
| 53 | $26.3 \%$ | $22.5 \%$ |
| 54 | $23.0 \%$ | $20.8 \%$ |
| 55 | $56.0 \%$ | $58.6 \%$ |
| 56 | $50.7 \%$ | $52.4 \%$ |
| 57 | $25.2 \%$ | $23.8 \%$ |
| 58 | $57.2 \%$ | $57.6 \%$ |
| 59 | $93.5 \%$ | $93.5 \%$ |
| 60 | $64.5 \%$ | $64.6 \%$ |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |


|  | HD Alt Eff 2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HD | BH | BH |
|  | VAP | CVAP |
| 1 | $6.2 \%$ | $5.7 \%$ |
| 2 | $10.6 \%$ | $7.4 \%$ |
| 3 | $6.2 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ |
| 4 | $49.2 \%$ | $34.8 \%$ |
| 5 | $17.0 \%$ | $11.1 \%$ |
| 6 | $13.4 \%$ | $7.8 \%$ |
| 7 | $6.1 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ |
| 8 | $4.1 \%$ | $2.9 \%$ |
| 9 | $6.2 \%$ | $4.9 \%$ |
| 10 | $13.6 \%$ | $9.2 \%$ |
| 11 | $6.0 \%$ | $4.8 \%$ |
| 12 | $15.7 \%$ | $12.6 \%$ |
| 13 | $29.8 \%$ | $25.8 \%$ |
| 14 | $12.6 \%$ | $10.4 \%$ |
| 15 | $23.5 \%$ | $21.3 \%$ |
| 16 | $20.0 \%$ | $16.7 \%$ |
| 17 | $29.3 \%$ | $27.4 \%$ |
| 18 | $10.2 \%$ | $9.4 \%$ |
| 19 | $30.2 \%$ | $28.8 \%$ |
| 20 | $15.3 \%$ | $11.6 \%$ |
| 21 | $12.3 \%$ | $10.1 \%$ |
| 22 | $36.0 \%$ | $32.4 \%$ |
| 23 | $20.4 \%$ | $14.1 \%$ |
| 24 | $14.8 \%$ | $12.6 \%$ |
| 25 | $10.6 \%$ | $10.6 \%$ |
| 26 | $14.1 \%$ | $11.6 \%$ |
| 27 | $13.2 \%$ | $9.5 \%$ |
| 28 | $15.2 \%$ | $10.6 \%$ |
| 29 | $52.8 \%$ | $37.6 \%$ |
| 30 | $22.4 \%$ | $17.0 \%$ |
| 31 | $26.2 \%$ | $19.6 \%$ |
| 32 | $12.7 \%$ | $10.7 \%$ |
| 33 | $21.7 \%$ | $21.1 \%$ |
| 34 | $16.7 \%$ | $14.9 \%$ |
| 35 | $34.1 \%$ | $30.8 \%$ |
| 36 | $23.3 \%$ | $19.5 \%$ |
| 37 | $56.2 \%$ | $50.6 \%$ |
| 38 | $53.4 \%$ | $51.3 \%$ |
| 39 | $60.7 \%$ | $58.3 \%$ |
| 40 | $51.0 \%$ | $500.8 \%$ |
| 41 | $52.6 \%$ | $50.6 \%$ |
| 42 | $54.6 \%$ | $50.3 \%$ |
| 43 | $51.7 \%$ | $50.7 \%$ |
| 44 | $25.1 \%$ | $24.5 \%$ |
| 45 | $10.5 \%$ | $10.0 \%$ |
| 46 | $13.8 \%$ | $13.2 \%$ |
| 47 | $22.9 \%$ | $23.6 \%$ |
| 48 | $18.9 \%$ | $16.8 \%$ |
| 49 | $11.3 \%$ | $10.1 \%$ |
| 50 | $18.4 \%$ | $18.2 \%$ |
| 51 | $40.6 \%$ | $34.0 \%$ |
| 52 | $20.7 \%$ | $21.0 \%$ |
| 53 | $27.8 \%$ | $23.5 \%$ |
| 54 | $20.6 \%$ | $18.5 \%$ |
| 55 | $95.7 \%$ | $95.9 \%$ |
| 56 | $50.5 \%$ | $52.6 \%$ |
| 57 | $26.1 \%$ | $25.0 \%$ |
| 58 | $52.6 \%$ | $54.3 \%$ |
| 59 | $64.4 \%$ | $64.8 \%$ |
| 60 | $55.7 \%$ | $55.7 \%$ |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |


|  | HD enacted |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HD | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BH } \\ & \text { VAP } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { BH } \\ \text { CVAP } \end{gathered}$ |
| 61 | 81.0\% | 80.4\% |
| 62 | 78.2\% | 78.3\% |
| 63 | 77.8\% | 77.3\% |
| 64 | 37.6\% | 36.2\% |
| 65 | 65.7\% | 65.8\% |
| 66 | 62.0\% | 60.6\% |
| 67 | 66.1\% | 65.3\% |
| 68 | 61.4\% | 61.5\% |
| 69 | 68.2\% | 68.2\% |
| 70 | 35.4\% | 33.4\% |
| 71 | 25.8\% | 23.6\% |
| 72 | 27.4\% | 24.9\% |
| 73 | 18.8\% | 17.9\% |
| 74 | 30.6\% | 29.2\% |
| 75 | 84.5\% | 84.9\% |
| 76 | 79.6\% | 80.9\% |
| 77 | 87.3\% | 87.4\% |
| 78 | 79.4\% | 79.2\% |
| 79 | 86.5\% | 86.7\% |
| 80 | 36.6\% | 28.0\% |
| 81 | 42.1\% | 34.5\% |
| 82 | 23.2\% | 22.2\% |
| 83 | 43.0\% | 28.0\% |
| 84 | 75.7\% | 76.6\% |
| 85 | 67.9\% | 71.9\% |
| 86 | 78.5\% | 80.9\% |
| 87 | 78.8\% | 79.0\% |
| 88 | 72.5\% | 73.5\% |
| 89 | 65.3\% | 65.6\% |
| 90 | 62.2\% | 62.2\% |
| 91 | 75.0\% | 74.7\% |
| 92 | 72.7\% | 72.4\% |
| 93 | 74.1\% | 73.2\% |
| 94 | 75.3\% | 75.8\% |
| 95 | 74.0\% | 73.5\% |
| 96 | 58.1\% | 52.9\% |
| 97 | 45.0\% | 42.0\% |
| 98 | 74.8\% | 68.4\% |
| 99 | 22.9\% | 23.0\% |
| 100 | 19.6\% | 18.1\% |
| 101 | 41.6\% | 39.4\% |
| 102 | 57.8\% | 53.8\% |
| 103 | 33.0\% | 29.2\% |
| 104 | 27.8\% | 25.3\% |
| 105 | 44.9\% | 42.5\% |
| 106 | 46.7\% | 45.3\% |
| 107 | 59.6\% | 55.6\% |
| 108 | 35.9\% | 30.2\% |
| 109 | 67.4\% | 64.6\% |
| 110 | 56.7\% | 55.0\% |
| 111 | 30.6\% | 28.2\% |
| 112 | 22.3\% | 21.9\% |
| 113 | 65.5\% | 64.6\% |
| 114 | 28.1\% | 26.8\% |
| 115 | 58.2\% | 57.0\% |
| 116 | 64.4\% | 64.2\% |
| 117 | 41.5\% | 40.7\% |
| 118 | 27.1\% | 26.0\% |
| 119 | 23.6\% | 21.0\% |
| 120 | 21.2\% | 19.3\% |


|  | HD Alt Eff 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HD | BH | BH |
| HD | VAP | CVAP |
| 61 | 59.3\% | 57.1\% |
| 62 | 88.0\% | 88.6\% |
| 63 | 65.4\% | 64.8\% |
| 64 | 56.6\% | 55.9\% |
| 65 | 85.5\% | 86.8\% |
| 66 | 58.9\% | 58.1\% |
| 67 | 94.2\% | 94.5\% |
| 68 | 19.9\% | 19.2\% |
| 69 | 59.7\% | 58.8\% |
| 70 | 35.3\% | 33.4\% |
| 71 | 25.7\% | 23.6\% |
| 72 | 27.4\% | 24.9\% |
| 73 | 17.9\% | 17.0\% |
| 74 | 56.7\% | 55.1\% |
| 75 | 60.9\% | 60.2\% |
| 76 | 80.5\% | 80.4\% |
| 77 | 93.4\% | 94.0\% |
| 78 | 74.3\% | 75.6\% |
| 79 | 86.6\% | 87.1\% |
| 80 | 60.6\% | 50.4\% |
| 81 | 51.6\% | 40.1\% |
| 82 | 16.9\% | 15.9\% |
| 83 | 22.6\% | 21.7\% |
| 84 | 80.0\% | 80.5\% |
| 85 | 58.2\% | 60.3\% |
| 86 | 94.3\% | 94.4\% |
| 87 | 63.3\% | 64.8\% |
| 88 | 68.1\% | 67.6\% |
| 89 | 68.8\% | 69.6\% |
| 90 | 62.0\% | 62.2\% |
| 91 | 54.9\% | 54.1\% |
| 92 | 90.1\% | 90.5\% |
| 93 | 71.4\% | 70.4\% |
| 94 | 85.0\% | 85.2\% |
| 95 | 56.4\% | 55.6\% |
| 96 | 52.2\% | 50.1\% |
| 97 | 58.5\% | 50.7\% |
| 98 | 68.8\% | 63.7\% |
| 99 | 24.5\% | 24.6\% |
| 100 | 20.5\% | 18.6\% |
| 101 | 37.4\% | 35.3\% |
| 102 | 54.7\% | 52.1\% |
| 103 | 30.0\% | 26.3\% |
| 104 | 26.7\% | 24.2\% |
| 105 | 52.8\% | 50.2\% |
| 106 | 57.5\% | 53.1\% |
| 107 | 54.4\% | 50.2\% |
| 108 | 53.5\% | 51.3\% |
| 109 | 56.0\% | 51.2\% |
| 110 | 52.6\% | 50.9\% |
| 111 | 31.2\% | 29.5\% |
| 112 | 22.3\% | 21.9\% |
| 113 | 55.3\% | 54.3\% |
| 114 | 36.7\% | 35.4\% |
| 115 | 55.2\% | 54.9\% |
| 116 | 61.8\% | 61.6\% |
| 117 | 57.2\% | 56.6\% |
| 118 | 26.1\% | 25.2\% |
| 119 | 23.5\% | 21.0\% |
| 120 | 21.1\% | 19.3\% |


|  | HD Alt Eff 2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HD | BH | BH |
| HD | VAP | CVAP |
| 61 | 56.7\% | 54.2\% |
| 62 | 87.5\% | 88.1\% |
| 63 | 70.8\% | 70.5\% |
| 64 | 56.5\% | 55.8\% |
| 65 | 70.9\% | 71.4\% |
| 66 | 59.2\% | 58.2\% |
| 67 | 94.6\% | 95.0\% |
| 68 | 64.3\% | 64.4\% |
| 69 | 59.9\% | 59.6\% |
| 70 | 35.3\% | 33.4\% |
| 71 | 25.7\% | 23.6\% |
| 72 | 27.4\% | 24.9\% |
| 73 | 18.6\% | 17.6\% |
| 74 | 18.1\% | 17.0\% |
| 75 | 72.3\% | 73.0\% |
| 76 | 82.6\% | 83.5\% |
| 77 | 88.2\% | 88.6\% |
| 78 | 75.6\% | 75.0\% |
| 79 | 87.2\% | 87.6\% |
| 80 | 58.5\% | 50.1\% |
| 81 | 51.1\% | 36.6\% |
| 82 | 18.4\% | 17.6\% |
| 83 | 25.4\% | 23.5\% |
| 84 | 78.2\% | 79.2\% |
| 85 | 71.3\% | 75.0\% |
| 86 | 64.5\% | 65.9\% |
| 87 | 92.8\% | 93.2\% |
| 88 | 59.8\% | 57.8\% |
| 89 | 67.7\% | 68.8\% |
| 90 | 62.0\% | 62.2\% |
| 91 | 57.4\% | 56.7\% |
| 92 | 75.4\% | 74.9\% |
| 93 | 91.6\% | 92.0\% |
| 94 | 84.8\% | 85.0\% |
| 95 | 58.0\% | 57.3\% |
| 96 | 54.0\% | 50.0\% |
| 97 | 53.5\% | 47.3\% |
| 98 | 68.8\% | 63.7\% |
| 99 | 26.3\% | 26.2\% |
| 100 | 27.9\% | 26.4\% |
| 101 | 54.7\% | 50.4\% |
| 102 | 53.0\% | 50.6\% |
| 103 | 24.4\% | 19.5\% |
| 104 | 30.3\% | 28.2\% |
| 105 | 42.3\% | 41.4\% |
| 106 | 51.8\% | 50.7\% |
| 107 | 54.3\% | 50.4\% |
| 108 | 56.2\% | 50.4\% |
| 109 | 55.1\% | 50.4\% |
| 110 | 51.8\% | 50.4\% |
| 111 | 22.9\% | 20.4\% |
| 112 | 22.3\% | 21.9\% |
| 113 | 58.7\% | 58.1\% |
| 114 | 28.3\% | 27.0\% |
| 115 | 56.1\% | 55.6\% |
| 116 | 60.0\% | 59.8\% |
| 117 | 55.6\% | 55.2\% |
| 118 | 30.9\% | 29.9\% |
| 119 | 23.5\% | 21.0\% |
| 120 | 21.1\% | 19.3\% |


|  | HD enacted |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HD | BH | BH |
| HD | VAP | CVAP |
| 121 | 15.0\% | 13.8\% |
| 122 | 39.9\% | 36.6\% |
| 123 | 28.4\% | 27.9\% |
| 124 | 31.6\% | 29.3\% |
| 125 | 30.6\% | 29.6\% |
| 126 | 57.2\% | 57.2\% |
| 127 | 22.9\% | 22.1\% |
| 128 | 51.9\% | 51.9\% |
| 129 | 58.5\% | 58.9\% |
| 130 | 63.2\% | 63.1\% |
| 131 | 23.0\% | 23.1\% |
| 132 | 59.5\% | 59.5\% |
| 133 | 38.7\% | 38.7\% |
| 134 | 37.1\% | 36.5\% |
| 135 | 25.4\% | 24.9\% |
| 136 | 32.2\% | 32.0\% |
| 137 | 55.9\% | 56.1\% |
| 138 | 22.4\% | 21.9\% |
| 139 | 26.2\% | 25.8\% |
| 140 | 64.8\% | 64.9\% |
| 141 | 63.1\% | 63.6\% |
| 142 | 62.6\% | 62.4\% |
| 143 | 65.1\% | 65.0\% |
| 144 | 31.7\% | 31.6\% |
| 145 | 41.2\% | 40.3\% |
| 146 | 32.0\% | 32.0\% |
| 147 | 36.9\% | 36.1\% |
| 148 | 36.9\% | 36.3\% |
| 149 | 37.1\% | 34.2\% |
| 150 | 59.5\% | 58.7\% |
| 151 | 49.4\% | 47.5\% |
| 152 | 28.3\% | 27.9\% |
| 153 | 70.2\% | 70.2\% |
| 154 | 56.2\% | 56.1\% |
| 155 | 37.9\% | 37.8\% |
| 156 | 37.0\% | 35.1\% |
| 157 | 33.4\% | 30.9\% |
| 158 | 35.5\% | 34.3\% |
| 159 | 27.2\% | 26.8\% |
| 160 | 27.3\% | 25.4\% |
| 161 | 33.4\% | 32.2\% |
| 162 | 52.6\% | 52.6\% |
| 163 | 52.5\% | 52.5\% |
| 164 | 31.4\% | 30.4\% |
| 165 | 55.2\% | 55.7\% |
| 166 | 9.6\% | 8.4\% |
| 167 | 29.2\% | 28.2\% |
| 168 | 55.2\% | 55.3\% |
| 169 | 36.5\% | 34.9\% |
| 170 | 32.7\% | 30.2\% |
| 171 | 44.0\% | 42.8\% |
| 172 | 36.6\% | 32.3\% |
| 173 | 41.4\% | 39.6\% |
| 174 | 25.2\% | 21.3\% |
| 175 | 29.0\% | 28.5\% |
| 176 | 30.7\% | 28.2\% |
| 177 | 59.4\% | 59.4\% |
| 178 | 19.7\% | 18.2\% |
| 179 | 33.1\% | 30.8\% |
| 180 | 23.5\% | 22.1\% |


|  | HD Alt Eff 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HD | BH | BH |
| HD | VAP | CVAP |
| 121 | 14.9\% | 13.8\% |
| 122 | 39.8\% | 36.6\% |
| 123 | 19.0\% | 17.0\% |
| 124 | 32.9\% | 31.6\% |
| 125 | 31.2\% | 29.9\% |
| 126 | 55.5\% | 55.6\% |
| 127 | 19.1\% | 19.2\% |
| 128 | 51.5\% | 51.6\% |
| 129 | 54.7\% | 55.2\% |
| 130 | 58.0\% | 58.0\% |
| 131 | 31.5\% | 31.5\% |
| 132 | 60.8\% | 61.1\% |
| 133 | 50.4\% | 50.5\% |
| 134 | 37.0\% | 36.5\% |
| 135 | 25.4\% | 24.9\% |
| 136 | 32.1\% | 32.0\% |
| 137 | 54.9\% | 55.1\% |
| 138 | 22.4\% | 21.9\% |
| 139 | 26.1\% | 25.8\% |
| 140 | 64.0\% | 64.5\% |
| 141 | 59.1\% | 59.4\% |
| 142 | 53.9\% | 53.9\% |
| 143 | 58.2\% | 57.6\% |
| 144 | 54.2\% | 54.4\% |
| 145 | 25.6\% | 25.2\% |
| 146 | 27.8\% | 27.5\% |
| 147 | 38.4\% | 37.8\% |
| 148 | 41.7\% | 41.1\% |
| 149 | 37.0\% | 34.2\% |
| 150 | 56.2\% | 55.6\% |
| 151 | 58.0\% | 56.9\% |
| 152 | 37.1\% | 36.6\% |
| 153 | 55.3\% | 54.9\% |
| 154 | 51.9\% | 51.7\% |
| 155 | 37.8\% | 37.8\% |
| 156 | 36.9\% | 35.1\% |
| 157 | 33.4\% | 30.9\% |
| 158 | 35.4\% | 34.3\% |
| 159 | 25.6\% | 24.9\% |
| 160 | 31.2\% | 29.6\% |
| 161 | 50.1\% | 50.0\% |
| 162 | 49.7\% | 49.6\% |
| 163 | 50.3\% | 50.1\% |
| 164 | 17.6\% | 16.8\% |
| 165 | 51.5\% | 52.5\% |
| 166 | 11.6\% | 10.5\% |
| 167 | 25.6\% | 25.1\% |
| 168 | 55.0\% | 55.2\% |
| 169 | 32.9\% | 30.3\% |
| 170 | 39.1\% | 35.7\% |
| 171 | 54.8\% | 54.1\% |
| 172 | 34.3\% | 31.4\% |
| 173 | 40.7\% | 38.8\% |
| 174 | 24.7\% | 21.3\% |
| 175 | 26.3\% | 25.8\% |
| 176 | 29.8\% | 28.3\% |
| 177 | 59.4\% | 59.4\% |
| 178 | 19.7\% | 18.2\% |
| 179 | 39.0\% | 36.8\% |
| 180 | 22.0\% | 20.6\% |


|  | HD Alt Eff 2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HD | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BH } \\ & \text { VAP } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { BH } \\ \text { CVAP } \end{gathered}$ |
| 121 | 14.9\% | 13.8\% |
| 122 | 39.8\% | 36.6\% |
| 123 | 19.5\% | 17.6\% |
| 124 | 29.1\% | 27.9\% |
| 125 | 35.6\% | 35.0\% |
| 126 | 54.4\% | 54.4\% |
| 127 | 23.2\% | 22.5\% |
| 128 | 51.5\% | 51.6\% |
| 129 | 53.2\% | 53.7\% |
| 130 | 61.1\% | 61.0\% |
| 131 | 22.7\% | 22.7\% |
| 132 | 60.6\% | 61.1\% |
| 133 | 48.4\% | 48.4\% |
| 134 | 37.0\% | 36.5\% |
| 135 | 25.4\% | 24.9\% |
| 136 | 32.1\% | 32.0\% |
| 137 | 51.4\% | 51.5\% |
| 138 | 22.4\% | 21.9\% |
| 139 | 26.1\% | 25.8\% |
| 140 | 70.8\% | 71.4\% |
| 141 | 55.0\% | 55.3\% |
| 142 | 53.3\% | 53.4\% |
| 143 | 58.6\% | 58.0\% |
| 144 | 54.7\% | 54.9\% |
| 145 | 25.7\% | 25.2\% |
| 146 | 29.4\% | 29.2\% |
| 147 | 37.2\% | 36.5\% |
| 148 | 43.9\% | 43.2\% |
| 149 | 37.0\% | 34.2\% |
| 150 | 56.9\% | 56.3\% |
| 151 | 52.6\% | 51.2\% |
| 152 | 36.2\% | 35.7\% |
| 153 | 63.9\% | 63.9\% |
| 154 | 64.1\% | 63.7\% |
| 155 | 37.8\% | 37.8\% |
| 156 | 36.9\% | 35.1\% |
| 157 | 33.4\% | 30.9\% |
| 158 | 35.4\% | 34.3\% |
| 159 | 25.3\% | 24.6\% |
| 160 | 30.9\% | 29.3\% |
| 161 | 50.9\% | 50.0\% |
| 162 | 50.8\% | 50.6\% |
| 163 | 49.8\% | 50.5\% |
| 164 | 18.4\% | 17.7\% |
| 165 | 49.9\% | 50.7\% |
| 166 | 11.2\% | 10.0\% |
| 167 | 43.1\% | 42.5\% |
| 168 | 50.2\% | 50.1\% |
| 169 | 35.6\% | 34.2\% |
| 170 | 35.2\% | 33.4\% |
| 171 | 40.1\% | 37.7\% |
| 172 | 39.0\% | 35.8\% |
| 173 | 34.4\% | 33.1\% |
| 174 | 24.7\% | 21.3\% |
| 175 | 22.5\% | 21.7\% |
| 176 | 32.2\% | 29.6\% |
| 177 | 59.4\% | 59.4\% |
| 178 | 19.7\% | 18.2\% |
| 179 | 24.4\% | 22.3\% |
| 180 | 23.9\% | 22.5\% |

Table 26: Overall, the enacted House plan has 62 majority-BHVAP districts, dropping to 60 majority districts by BHCVAP. Both Gingles 1 demonstrative alternatives add to the count significantly.

## 9 Effectiveness-oriented demonstration plans

In 87 above, I presented a number of alternative plans as Gingles 1 demonstrative maps. Each of these plans increases the number of majority districts for the coalition of Black and Latino Georgians, while simultaneously ensuring that traditional districting principles are highly respected and that the new majority districts are likely to provide effective opportunity-to-elect.

In this section, I will offer an additional set of alternative plans-one new example per legislative cluster-that illustrate that my notion of effectiveness is capable of identifying opportunity districts short of the Gingles 1 demographic threshold of $50 \%+1$. Indeed, the existence of crossover support for Black and Latino candidates of choice by Asian-American, White, and other voters is a certainty. The ease of finding alternative plans that draw on broader voting coalitions will bolster the racial gerrymandering discussion below in $\$ 10$. That is, in the enacted plans, the state has not just avoided majority districts but has even conspicuously limited the number of districts providing effective opportunity-to-elect well below the level that is easily attainable from a race-neutral mapping process.

### 9.1 Congressional effectiveness

As a matter of mapmaking, it is extremely easy to improve on the very limited number of effective districts-just five-in the state's enacted plan (see Table 44. To do this involves relieving the packing and cracking from the enacted plan.


Figure 16: The benchmark plan (top left), the enacted plan (top right), and the DuncanKennedy plan (bottom right) all exhibit a pronounced pattern of packing and cracking relative to the alternative Congressional plan presented here (CD Alt, bottom left).

### 9.2 State Senate alternatives

The "Alt Eff 3" plans shown here are another set of effective alternatives; these cover the entire state, working modularly in the clusters from Atlanta, Gwinnett, Southwest, East Black Belt, Southeast, and Northwest Georgia.


Figure 17: SD Atlanta alternative effective plan.

|  | SD Atlanta Enacted |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SD | BVAP | BHVAP | Primaries <br> out of 4 | Generals <br> out of 8 |
| 6 | $23.9 \%$ | $32.1 \%$ | 0 | 8 |
| 10 | $71.5 \%$ | $76.7 \%$ | 4 | 8 |
| 16 | $22.7 \%$ | $27.7 \%$ | 3 | 0 |
| 28 | $19.5 \%$ | $25.9 \%$ | 2 | 0 |
| 30 | $20.9 \%$ | $27.0 \%$ | 2 | 0 |
| 31 | $20.7 \%$ | $28.1 \%$ | 3 | 0 |
| 33 | $43.0 \%$ | $65.9 \%$ | 4 | 8 |
| 34 | $69.5 \%$ | $82.2 \%$ | 4 | 8 |
| 35 | $71.9 \%$ | $79.4 \%$ | 4 | 8 |
| 36 | $51.3 \%$ | $58.4 \%$ | 3 | 8 |
| 38 | $65.3 \%$ | $73.7 \%$ | 4 | 8 |
| 39 | $60.7 \%$ | $66.3 \%$ | 3 | 8 |
| 42 | $30.8 \%$ | $39.4 \%$ | 0 | 8 |
| 44 | $71.3 \%$ | $79.9 \%$ | 4 | 8 |


|  | SD Atlanta Alt Eff 3 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SD | BVAP | BHVAP | Primaries <br> out of 4 | Generals <br> out of 8 |
| 6 | $43.8 \%$ | $50.3 \%$ | 3 | 8 |
| 10 | $60.7 \%$ | $70.3 \%$ | 4 | 8 |
| 16 | $47.5 \%$ | $53.4 \%$ | 4 | 8 |
| 28 | $51.9 \%$ | $57.5 \%$ | 4 | 8 |
| 30 | $17.3 \%$ | $24.2 \%$ | 1 | 0 |
| 31 | $21.6 \%$ | $27.6 \%$ | 3 | 0 |
| 33 | $30.3 \%$ | $50.2 \%$ | 3 | 8 |
| 34 | $76.8 \%$ | $88.7 \%$ | 4 | 8 |
| 35 | $42.8 \%$ | $51.4 \%$ | 4 | 8 |
| 36 | $60.1 \%$ | $66.4 \%$ | 3 | 8 |
| 38 | $46.3 \%$ | $59.2 \%$ | 3 | 8 |
| 39 | $49.7 \%$ | $55.6 \%$ | 3 | 8 |
| 42 | $17.2 \%$ | $27.3 \%$ | 0 | 8 |
| 44 | $76.9 \%$ | $80.1 \%$ | 3 | 8 |

Table 27: SD Atlanta (14 districts).


Figure 18: SD Gwinnett alternative effective plan.

|  | SD Gwinnett Enacted |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SD | BVAP | BHVAP | Primaries <br> out of 4 | Generals <br> out of 8 |
| 5 | $29.9 \%$ | $71.6 \%$ | 3 | 8 |
| 7 | $21.4 \%$ | $38.0 \%$ | 3 | 8 |
| 9 | $29.5 \%$ | $48.3 \%$ | 3 | 8 |
| 14 | $19.0 \%$ | $31.1 \%$ | 0 | 8 |
| 17 | $32.0 \%$ | $37.1 \%$ | 3 | 0 |
| 27 | $5.0 \%$ | $15.2 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| 40 | $19.2 \%$ | $40.8 \%$ | 0 | 8 |
| 41 | $62.6 \%$ | $69.3 \%$ | 3 | 8 |
| 43 | $64.3 \%$ | $71.2 \%$ | 4 | 8 |
| 45 | $18.6 \%$ | $31.7 \%$ | 3 | 0 |
| 46 | $16.9 \%$ | $23.9 \%$ | 1 | 0 |
| 47 | $17.4 \%$ | $27.0 \%$ | 3 | 0 |
| 48 | $9.5 \%$ | $16.5 \%$ | 1 | 0 |
| 49 | $8.0 \%$ | $29.9 \%$ | 1 | 0 |
| 50 | $5.6 \%$ | $14.4 \%$ | 1 | 0 |
| 55 | $66.0 \%$ | $74.7 \%$ | 4 | 8 |


|  | SD Gwinnett Alt Eff 3 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SD | BVAP | BHVAP | Primaries <br> out of 4 | Generals <br> out of 8 |
| 5 | $25.2 \%$ | $61.5 \%$ | 3 | 8 |
| 7 | $20.2 \%$ | $46.4 \%$ | 3 | 8 |
| 9 | $32.1 \%$ | $49.2 \%$ | 3 | 6 |
| 14 | $19.0 \%$ | $31.1 \%$ | 0 | 8 |
| 17 | $46.9 \%$ | $52.7 \%$ | 4 | 7 |
| 27 | $4.7 \%$ | $14.9 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| 40 | $25.6 \%$ | $39.1 \%$ | 0 | 8 |
| 41 | $84.8 \%$ | $89.6 \%$ | 4 | 8 |
| 43 | $45.4 \%$ | $51.8 \%$ | 4 | 7 |
| 45 | $22.4 \%$ | $42.0 \%$ | 3 | 5 |
| 46 | $12.0 \%$ | $19.4 \%$ | 1 | 0 |
| 47 | $18.8 \%$ | $27.5 \%$ | 2 | 7 |
| 48 | $9.9 \%$ | $16.3 \%$ | 2 | 0 |
| 49 | $8.2 \%$ | $32.8 \%$ | 1 | 0 |
| 50 | $5.3 \%$ | $11.3 \%$ | 1 | 0 |
| 55 | $44.0 \%$ | $54.8 \%$ | 4 | 8 |

Table 28: SD Gwinnett (16 districts).


Figure 19: SD Southwest alternative effective plan.

|  | SD Southwest Enacted |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SD | BVAP | BHVAP | Primaries <br> out of 4 | Generals <br> out of 8 |
| 11 | $31.0 \%$ | $38.6 \%$ | 4 | 0 |
| 12 | $58.0 \%$ | $61.5 \%$ | 4 | 8 |
| 13 | $27.0 \%$ | $33.0 \%$ | 4 | 0 |
| 15 | $54.0 \%$ | $60.6 \%$ | 4 | 8 |
| 18 | $30.4 \%$ | $34.9 \%$ | 3 | 0 |
| 29 | $26.9 \%$ | $31.4 \%$ | 3 | 0 |


|  | SD Alt Eff 3 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SD | BVAP | BHVAP | Primaries <br> out of 4 | Generals <br> out of 8 |
| 11 | $44.0 \%$ | $50.9 \%$ | 4 | 6 |
| 12 | $50.1 \%$ | $53.4 \%$ | 4 | 7 |
| 13 | $25.6 \%$ | $34.7 \%$ | 4 | 0 |
| 15 | $50.4 \%$ | $54.7 \%$ | 4 | 8 |
| 18 | $30.4 \%$ | $34.9 \%$ | 3 | 0 |
| 29 | $27.3 \%$ | $31.9 \%$ | 3 | 0 |

Table 29: SD Southwest (6 districts).


Figure 20: SD East Black Belt alternative effective plan.

|  | SD East Black Belt Enacted |  |  |  |  | SD East Black Belt Alt Eff 3 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SD | BVAP | BHVAP | Primaries out of 4 | Generals out of 8 | SD | BVAP | BHVAP | Primaries out of 4 | Generals out of 8 |
| 4 | 23.4\% | 28.9\% | 3 | 0 | 4 | 23.4\% | 28.9\% | 3 | 0 |
| 20 | 31.3\% | 34.8\% | 3 | 0 | 20 | 32.0\% | 35.3\% | 3 | 0 |
| 22 | 56.5\% | 61.8\% | 4 | 8 | 22 | 39.1\% | 46.1\% | 4 | 8 |
| 23 | 35.5\% | 40.0\% | 3 | 0 | 23 | 46.1\% | 49.6\% | 3 | 7 |
| 24 | 19.9\% | 24.3\% | 3 | 0 | 24 | 26.5\% | 30.3\% | 3 | 0 |
| 25 | 33.5\% | 37.2\% | 3 | 0 | 25 | 45.7\% | 49.6\% | 3 | 8 |
| 26 | 57.0\% | 61.2\% | 3 | 8 | 26 | 44.0\% | 48.2\% | 3 | 5 |

Table 30: SD East Black Belt (7 districts).


Figure 21: SD Southeast alternative effective plan.

|  | SD Southeast Enacted |  |  |  |  | SD Southeast Alt Eff 3 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SD | BVAP | BHVAP | Primaries out of 4 | Generals out of 8 | SD | BVAP | BHVAP | Primaries out of 4 | Generals out of 8 |
| 1 | 25.1\% | 32.6\% | 3 | 0 | 1 | 34.8\% | 43.7\% | 4 | 6 |
| 2 | 46.9\% | 54.4\% | 4 | 8 | 2 | 37.4\% | 43.6\% | 3 | 8 |
| 3 | 21.2\% | 27.4\% | 3 | 0 | 3 | 19.1\% | 24.3\% | 3 | 0 |
| 8 | 30.4\% | 36.6\% | 4 | 0 | 8 | 32.5\% | 39.7\% | 4 | 0 |
| 19 | 25.7\% | 34.1\% | 4 | 0 | 19 | 25.5\% | 33.8\% | 4 | 0 |

Table 31: SD Southeast (5 districts).


Figure 22: SD Northwest alternative plan that increases effectiveness by creating a competitive SD 32 that is well aligned with Black and Latino preferences in primary elections.

|  | SD Northwest Enacted |  |  |  |  | SD Northwest Alt Eff 3 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SD | BVAP | BHVAP | Primaries out of 4 | Generals out of 8 | SD | BVAP | BHVAP | Primaries out of 4 | Generals out of 8 |
| 21 | 7.5\% | 16.3\% | 2 | 0 | 21 | 6.5\% | 16.5\% | 1 | 0 |
| 32 | 14.9\% | 25.4\% | 3 | 0 | 32 | 21.0\% | 31.2\% | 3 | 3 |
| 37 | 19.3\% | 28.0\% | 3 | 0 | 37 | 13.1\% | 22.1\% | 3 | 0 |
| 51 | 1.2\% | 5.5\% | 0 | 0 | 51 | 1.2\% | 5.5\% | 0 | 0 |
| 52 | 13.0\% | 21.2\% | 1 | 0 | 52 | 13.3\% | 22.0\% | 1 | 0 |
| 53 | 5.1\% | 8.3\% | 1 | 0 | 53 | 4.6\% | 7.5\% | 1 | 0 |
| 54 | 3.8\% | 26.4\% | 1 | 0 | 54 | 3.8\% | 26.6\% | 1 | 0 |
| 56 | 7.6\% | 15.3\% | 0 | 0 | 56 | 8.3\% | 14.6\% | 0 | 0 |

Table 32: SD Northwest (8 districts).

### 9.3 State House alternatives

The "Alt Eff" (alternative effective) districts in the House cover all of the regional clusters listed above: Atlanta, Cobb, DeKalb, Gwinnett, Southwest, East Black Belt, and Southeast Georgia.


Figure 23: HD Atlanta Alt Eff 3 plan.

|  | HD Atlanta Enacted |  |  |  |  | HD Atlanta Alt Eff 3 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HD | BVAP | BHVAP | Primaries out of 4 | Generals out of 8 | HD | BVAP | BHVAP | Primaries out of 4 | Generals out of 8 |
| 61 | 74.3\% | 81.9\% | 4 | 8 | 61 | 64.9\% | 74.5\% | 4 | 8 |
| 64 | 30.7\% | 38.1\% | 3 | 0 | 64 | 43.7\% | 52.4\% | 4 | 7 |
| 65 | 62.0\% | 66.5\% | 4 | 8 | 65 | 87.0\% | 90.2\% | 4 | 8 |
| 66 | 53.4\% | 62.9\% | 4 | 8 | 66 | 40.5\% | 48.1\% | 4 | 5 |
| 67 | 58.9\% | 66.7\% | 4 | 8 | 67 | 89.1\% | 94.7\% | 4 | 8 |
| 68 | 55.7\% | 62.0\% | 4 | 8 | 68 | 36.7\% | 44.4\% | 3 | 5 |
| 69 | 63.6\% | 69.0\% | 4 | 8 | 69 | 33.6\% | 40.3\% | 3 | 6 |
| 71 | 19.9\% | 26.1\% | 3 | 0 | 71 | 19.9\% | 26.1\% | 3 | 0 |
| 73 | 12.1\% | 19.1\% | 2 | 0 | 73 | 11.5\% | 17.9\% | 2 | 0 |
| 74 | 25.5\% | 31.1\% | 3 | 0 | 74 | 48.5\% | 54.7\% | 4 | 8 |
| 75 | 74.4\% | 85.7\% | 4 | 8 | 75 | 78.7\% | 90.0\% | 4 | 8 |
| 76 | 67.2\% | 80.4\% | 4 | 8 | 76 | 59.5\% | 76.4\% | 4 | 8 |
| 77 | 76.1\% | 88.3\% | 4 | 8 | 77 | 66.1\% | 80.0\% | 4 | 8 |
| 78 | 71.6\% | 80.5\% | 4 | 8 | 78 | 70.6\% | 79.9\% | 4 | 8 |
| 79 | 71.6\% | 87.6\% | 4 | 8 | 79 | 80.7\% | 91.3\% | 4 | 8 |
| 90 | 58.5\% | 62.8\% | 2 | 8 | 90 | 58.5\% | 62.8\% | 2 | 8 |
| 91 | 70.0\% | 75.9\% | 4 | 8 | 91 | 43.2\% | 48.3\% | 4 | 6 |
| 92 | 68.8\% | 73.5\% | 4 | 8 | 92 | 64.4\% | 71.2\% | 4 | 8 |
| 93 | 65.4\% | 75.0\% | 4 | 8 | 93 | 85.1\% | 92.0\% | 4 | 8 |
| 112 | 19.2\% | 22.5\% | 1 | 0 | 112 | 19.2\% | 22.5\% | 1 | 0 |
| 113 | 59.5\% | 66.2\% | 4 | 8 | 113 | 61.1\% | 66.9\% | 4 | 8 |
| 114 | 24.7\% | 28.4\% | 3 | 0 | 114 | 26.0\% | 30.0\% | 3 | 0 |
| 115 | 52.1\% | 59.1\% | 4 | 8 | 115 | 47.3\% | 53.9\% | 4 | 5 |
| 116 | 58.1\% | 65.4\% | 4 | 8 | 116 | 57.3\% | 65.3\% | 4 | 8 |
| 117 | 36.6\% | 42.0\% | 3 | 0 | 117 | 39.6\% | 45.8\% | 4 | 5 |

Table 33: HD Atlanta (25 districts).


Figure 24: HD Cobb Alt Eff 3 plan.

|  | HD Cobb Enacted |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HD | BVAP | BHVAP | Primaries <br> out of 4 | Generals <br> out of 8 |
| 20 | $9.3 \%$ | $18.5 \%$ | 1 | 0 |
| 22 | $15.1 \%$ | $26.7 \%$ | 3 | 0 |
| 34 | $15.7 \%$ | $23.5 \%$ | 3 | 0 |
| 35 | $28.4 \%$ | $39.6 \%$ | 3 | 8 |
| 36 | $17.0 \%$ | $23.5 \%$ | 3 | 0 |
| 37 | $28.2 \%$ | $46.8 \%$ | 3 | 8 |
| 38 | $54.2 \%$ | $66.8 \%$ | 4 | 8 |
| 39 | $55.3 \%$ | $74.0 \%$ | 4 | 8 |
| 40 | $33.0 \%$ | $38.9 \%$ | 3 | 8 |
| 41 | $39.4 \%$ | $68.0 \%$ | 4 | 8 |
| 42 | $33.7 \%$ | $51.1 \%$ | 3 | 8 |
| 43 | $26.5 \%$ | $40.6 \%$ | 3 | 8 |
| 44 | $12.0 \%$ | $22.5 \%$ | 2 | 0 |
| 45 | $5.3 \%$ | $10.2 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| 46 | $8.1 \%$ | $15.5 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| 53 | $14.5 \%$ | $21.9 \%$ | 0 | 1 |
| 54 | $15.5 \%$ | $28.3 \%$ | 0 | 7 |
| 55 | $55.4 \%$ | $60.4 \%$ | 3 | 8 |
| 56 | $45.5 \%$ | $51.3 \%$ | 3 | 8 |
| 57 | $18.1 \%$ | $26.1 \%$ | 0 | 8 |
| 58 | $63.0 \%$ | $68.1 \%$ | 3 | 8 |
| 59 | $70.1 \%$ | $74.5 \%$ | 3 | 8 |
| 60 | $63.9 \%$ | $69.0 \%$ | 3 | 8 |
| 62 | $72.3 \%$ | $79.1 \%$ | 3 | 8 |
| 63 | $69.3 \%$ | $78.6 \%$ | 3 | 8 |


|  | HD Cobb Alt Eff 3 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HD | BVAP | BHVAP | Primaries <br> out of 4 | Generals <br> out of 8 |
| 20 | $6.9 \%$ | $14.5 \%$ | 1 | 0 |
| 22 | $22.9 \%$ | $34.3 \%$ | 3 | 5 |
| 34 | $15.5 \%$ | $24.2 \%$ | 3 | 0 |
| 35 | $31.2 \%$ | $44.9 \%$ | 3 | 8 |
| 36 | $38.9 \%$ | $50.9 \%$ | 3 | 8 |
| 37 | $33.7 \%$ | $51.8 \%$ | 3 | 8 |
| 38 | $41.9 \%$ | $51.6 \%$ | 3 | 8 |
| 39 | $45.5 \%$ | $56.6 \%$ | 3 | 8 |
| 40 | $39.9 \%$ | $53.3 \%$ | 3 | 8 |
| 41 | $32.3 \%$ | $52.3 \%$ | 3 | 8 |
| 42 | $28.4 \%$ | $51.1 \%$ | 3 | 8 |
| 43 | $16.2 \%$ | $25.9 \%$ | 3 | 5 |
| 44 | $11.2 \%$ | $24.7 \%$ | 1 | 0 |
| 45 | $5.0 \%$ | $9.8 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| 46 | $9.2 \%$ | $16.6 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| 53 | $17.5 \%$ | $32.1 \%$ | 0 | 7 |
| 54 | $12.4 \%$ | $17.5 \%$ | 0 | 1 |
| 55 | $50.6 \%$ | $56.1 \%$ | 3 | 8 |
| 56 | $44.2 \%$ | $51.0 \%$ | 3 | 8 |
| 57 | $18.9 \%$ | $27.1 \%$ | 0 | 8 |
| 58 | $93.1 \%$ | $95.3 \%$ | 4 | 8 |
| 59 | $51.2 \%$ | $56.1 \%$ | 3 | 8 |
| 60 | $57.0 \%$ | $63.1 \%$ | 3 | 8 |
| 62 | $81.5 \%$ | $88.7 \%$ | 3 | 8 |
| 63 | $61.6 \%$ | $70.8 \%$ | 3 | 8 |

Table 34: HD Cobb (25 districts).


Figure 25: HD DeKalb Alt Eff 3 plan.

|  | HD DeKalb Enacted |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HD | BVAP | BHVAP | Primaries <br> out of 4 | Generals <br> out of 8 |
| 21 | $5.1 \%$ | $12.5 \%$ | 1 | 0 |
| 24 | $7.0 \%$ | $17.3 \%$ | 1 | 0 |
| 25 | $5.9 \%$ | $11.0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| 47 | $10.7 \%$ | $18.1 \%$ | 2 | 0 |
| 48 | $11.8 \%$ | $24.2 \%$ | 0 | 1 |
| 49 | $8.4 \%$ | $15.1 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| 50 | $12.4 \%$ | $18.8 \%$ | 2 | 8 |
| 51 | $23.7 \%$ | $37.0 \%$ | 0 | 8 |
| 52 | $16.0 \%$ | $23.4 \%$ | 0 | 8 |
| 80 | $14.2 \%$ | $37.3 \%$ | 0 | 8 |
| 81 | $21.8 \%$ | $42.7 \%$ | 0 | 8 |
| 82 | $16.8 \%$ | $23.6 \%$ | 0 | 8 |
| 83 | $15.1 \%$ | $43.6 \%$ | 0 | 8 |
| 84 | $73.7 \%$ | $76.7 \%$ | 3 | 8 |
| 85 | $62.7 \%$ | $68.6 \%$ | 3 | 8 |
| 86 | $75.1 \%$ | $79.4 \%$ | 3 | 8 |
| 87 | $73.1 \%$ | $79.8 \%$ | 4 | 8 |
| 88 | $63.3 \%$ | $73.3 \%$ | 3 | 8 |
| 89 | $62.5 \%$ | $65.9 \%$ | 2 | 8 |
| 96 | $23.0 \%$ | $59.0 \%$ | 3 | 8 |
| 97 | $26.8 \%$ | $46.0 \%$ | 3 | 8 |
| 98 | $23.2 \%$ | $76.0 \%$ | 3 | 8 |


|  | HD DeKalb Alt Eff 3 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HD | BVAP | BHVAP | Primaries <br> out of 4 | Generals <br> out of 8 |
| 21 | $5.1 \%$ | $12.4 \%$ | 1 | 0 |
| 24 | $7.0 \%$ | $17.3 \%$ | 1 | 0 |
| 25 | $5.9 \%$ | $10.7 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| 47 | $15.7 \%$ | $31.4 \%$ | 3 | 5 |
| 48 | $20.8 \%$ | $32.2 \%$ | 3 | 8 |
| 49 | $5.8 \%$ | $11.0 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| 50 | $12.6 \%$ | $19.7 \%$ | 2 | 7 |
| 51 | $16.1 \%$ | $24.4 \%$ | 0 | 6 |
| 52 | $10.9 \%$ | $16.4 \%$ | 0 | 7 |
| 80 | $27.2 \%$ | $60.1 \%$ | 3 | 8 |
| 81 | $16.0 \%$ | $49.2 \%$ | 0 | 8 |
| 82 | $16.9 \%$ | $23.2 \%$ | 0 | 8 |
| 83 | $15.0 \%$ | $36.5 \%$ | 0 | 8 |
| 84 | $62.6 \%$ | $67.7 \%$ | 3 | 8 |
| 85 | $54.8 \%$ | $59.4 \%$ | 3 | 8 |
| 86 | $90.8 \%$ | $94.5 \%$ | 4 | 8 |
| 87 | $60.6 \%$ | $68.7 \%$ | 3 | 8 |
| 88 | $45.9 \%$ | $59.3 \%$ | 3 | 8 |
| 89 | $94.7 \%$ | $97.0 \%$ | 4 | 8 |
| 96 | $20.5 \%$ | $50.2 \%$ | 3 | 8 |
| 97 | $19.0 \%$ | $32.8 \%$ | 3 | 8 |
| 98 | $24.4 \%$ | $71.2 \%$ | 3 | 8 |

Table 35: HD DeKalb (22 districts).


Figure 26: HD Gwinnett Alt Eff 3 plan.

|  | HD Gwinnett Enacted |  |  |  |  | HD Gwinnett Alt Eff 3 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HD | BVAP | BHVAP | Primaries out of 4 | Generals out of 8 | HD | BVAP | BHVAP | Primaries out of 4 | Generals out of 8 |
| 26 | 4.0\% | 14.8\% | 0 | 0 | 26 | 4.1\% | 14.8\% | 0 | 0 |
| 29 | 13.6\% | 53.3\% | 2 | 0 | 29 | 13.6\% | 53.3\% | 2 | 0 |
| 30 | 8.1\% | 24.2\% | 0 | 0 | 30 | 6.6\% | 22.7\% | 0 | 0 |
| 94 | 69.0\% | 76.3\% | 4 | 8 | 94 | 79.8\% | 84.3\% | 4 | 8 |
| 95 | 67.2\% | 75.1\% | 4 | 8 | 95 | 59.7\% | 71.1\% | 4 | 8 |
| 99 | 14.7\% | 23.4\% | 3 | 3 | 99 | 16.9\% | 27.3\% | 3 | 5 |
| 100 | 10.0\% | 20.0\% | 1 | 0 | 100 | 10.1\% | 21.3\% | 2 | 0 |
| 101 | 24.2\% | 42.4\% | 3 | 7 | 101 | 24.4\% | 41.9\% | 3 | 7 |
| 102 | 37.6\% | 58.9\% | 3 | 8 | 102 | 40.2\% | 53.3\% | 4 | 7 |
| 103 | 16.8\% | 33.7\% | 3 | 0 | 103 | 19.5\% | 35.8\% | 3 | 3 |
| 104 | 17.0\% | 28.1\% | 3 | 0 | 104 | 18.9\% | 29.3\% | 3 | 0 |
| 105 | 29.0\% | 45.8\% | 3 | 6 | 105 | 33.2\% | 53.2\% | 3 | 8 |
| 106 | 36.3\% | 47.4\% | 3 | 7 | 106 | 25.4\% | 40.4\% | 3 | 6 |
| 107 | 29.6\% | 60.7\% | 3 | 8 | 107 | 30.2\% | 55.7\% | 3 | 8 |
| 108 | 18.4\% | 36.6\% | 3 | 6 | 108 | 19.8\% | 39.6\% | 3 | 6 |
| 109 | 32.5\% | 68.6\% | 3 | 8 | 109 | 33.5\% | 72.2\% | 4 | 8 |
| 110 | 47.2\% | 57.7\% | 4 | 8 | 110 | 47.5\% | 58.8\% | 4 | 8 |
| 111 | 22.3\% | 31.1\% | 3 | 0 | 111 | 14.1\% | 23.0\% | 3 | 0 |

Table 36: HD Gwinnett (18 districts).


Figure 27: HD Southwest Alt Eff 3 plan.

|  | HD Southwest Enacted |  |  |  |  | HD Southwest Alt Eff 3 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HD | BVAP | BHVAP | Primaries out of 4 | Generals out of 8 | HD | BVAP | BHVAP | Primaries out of 4 | Generals out of 8 |
| 137 | 52.1\% | 56.6\% | 4 | 8 | 137 | 55.2\% | 58.4\% | 4 | 8 |
| 140 | 57.6\% | 65.6\% | 4 | 8 | 140 | 59.3\% | 66.9\% | 4 | 8 |
| 141 | 57.5\% | 64.1\% | 4 | 8 | 141 | 49.2\% | 56.1\% | 4 | 8 |
| 146 | 27.6\% | 32.3\% | 4 | 0 | 146 | 23.9\% | 29.4\% | 4 | 0 |
| 147 | 30.1\% | 37.3\% | 4 | 0 | 147 | 31.2\% | 38.0\% | 4 | 0 |
| 148 | 34.0\% | 37.1\% | 4 | 0 | 148 | 39.2\% | 42.4\% | 4 | 0 |
| 150 | 53.6\% | 59.7\% | 4 | 8 | 150 | 55.0\% | 60.9\% | 4 | 8 |
| 151 | 42.4\% | 49.7\% | 4 | 0 | 151 | 45.7\% | 54.0\% | 4 | 7 |
| 152 | 26.1\% | 28.4\% | 4 | 0 | 152 | 28.3\% | 30.7\% | 4 | 0 |
| 153 | 67.9\% | 70.4\% | 4 | 8 | 153 | 60.3\% | 62.8\% | 4 | 8 |
| 154 | 54.8\% | 56.5\% | 4 | 7 | 154 | 50.7\% | 52.9\% | 4 | 6 |
| 169 | 29.0\% | 36.7\% | 3 | 0 | 169 | 27.2\% | 37.2\% | 3 | 0 |
| 170 | 24.2\% | 32.9\% | 3 | 0 | 170 | 27.7\% | 36.6\% | 2 | 0 |
| 171 | 39.6\% | 44.2\% | 4 | 0 | 171 | 47.5\% | 51.8\% | 4 | 0 |
| 172 | 23.3\% | 36.7\% | 4 | 0 | 172 | 23.2\% | 36.2\% | 4 | 0 |
| 173 | 36.3\% | 41.7\% | 4 | 0 | 173 | 34.5\% | 39.9\% | 4 | 0 |
| 175 | 24.2\% | 29.2\% | 4 | 0 | 175 | 24.1\% | 29.5\% | 4 | 0 |
| 176 | 22.7\% | 30.9\% | 4 | 0 | 176 | 20.3\% | 25.7\% | 4 | 0 |

Table 37: HD Southwest (18 districts).


Figure 28: HD East Black Belt Alt Eff 3 plan.

|  | HD East Black Belt Enacted |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HD | BVAP | BHVAP | Primaries <br> out of 4 | Generals <br> out of 8 |
| 33 | $11.2 \%$ | $14.3 \%$ | 3 | 0 |
| 118 | $23.6 \%$ | $27.3 \%$ | 3 | 0 |
| 123 | $24.3 \%$ | $28.6 \%$ | 3 | 0 |
| 124 | $25.6 \%$ | $31.8 \%$ | 2 | 0 |
| 125 | $23.7 \%$ | $31.4 \%$ | 3 | 0 |
| 126 | $54.5 \%$ | $57.7 \%$ | 4 | 8 |
| 127 | $18.5 \%$ | $23.3 \%$ | 3 | 0 |
| 128 | $50.4 \%$ | $52.1 \%$ | 2 | 4 |
| 129 | $54.9 \%$ | $59.2 \%$ | 3 | 8 |
| 130 | $59.9 \%$ | $63.8 \%$ | 4 | 8 |
| 131 | $17.6 \%$ | $23.5 \%$ | 3 | 0 |
| 132 | $52.3 \%$ | $60.1 \%$ | 4 | 8 |
| 133 | $36.8 \%$ | $38.9 \%$ | 3 | 0 |
| 142 | $59.5 \%$ | $63.2 \%$ | 3 | 8 |
| 143 | $60.8 \%$ | $65.5 \%$ | 3 | 8 |
| 144 | $29.3 \%$ | $31.9 \%$ | 3 | 0 |
| 145 | $35.7 \%$ | $41.6 \%$ | 3 | 0 |
| 149 | $32.1 \%$ | $37.8 \%$ | 2 | 0 |


|  | HD East Black Belt Alt Eff 3 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HD | BVAP | BHVAP | Primaries <br> out of 4 | Generals <br> out of 8 |
| 33 | $9.3 \%$ | $13.8 \%$ | 3 | 0 |
| 118 | $22.8 \%$ | $26.2 \%$ | 3 | 0 |
| 123 | $25.5 \%$ | $28.5 \%$ | 3 | 0 |
| 124 | $25.3 \%$ | $31.7 \%$ | 2 | 0 |
| 125 | $30.7 \%$ | $36.6 \%$ | 3 | 0 |
| 126 | $41.0 \%$ | $47.5 \%$ | 4 | 8 |
| 127 | $17.2 \%$ | $23.4 \%$ | 3 | 0 |
| 128 | $51.9 \%$ | $53.4 \%$ | 2 | 7 |
| 129 | $38.2 \%$ | $43.1 \%$ | 3 | 5 |
| 130 | $60.6 \%$ | $63.9 \%$ | 4 | 8 |
| 131 | $18.0 \%$ | $24.0 \%$ | 3 | 0 |
| 132 | $74.7 \%$ | $79.5 \%$ | 4 | 8 |
| 133 | $45.4 \%$ | $47.6 \%$ | 3 | 8 |
| 142 | $42.1 \%$ | $45.1 \%$ | 3 | 6 |
| 143 | $54.8 \%$ | $58.7 \%$ | 3 | 8 |
| 144 | $26.0 \%$ | $29.3 \%$ | 3 | 0 |
| 145 | $55.1 \%$ | $62.0 \%$ | 4 | 8 |
| 149 | $32.1 \%$ | $37.8 \%$ | 2 | 0 |

Table 38: HD East Black Belt (18 districts).


Figure 29: HD Southeast Alt Eff 3 plan.

|  | HD Southeast Enacted |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HD | BVAP | BHVAP | Primaries <br> out of 4 | Generals <br> out of 8 |
| 159 | $24.5 \%$ | $27.4 \%$ | 2 | 0 |
| 160 | $22.6 \%$ | $27.6 \%$ | 2 | 0 |
| 161 | $27.1 \%$ | $33.9 \%$ | 4 | 0 |
| 162 | $43.7 \%$ | $53.3 \%$ | 4 | 8 |
| 163 | $45.5 \%$ | $52.9 \%$ | 3 | 8 |
| 164 | $23.5 \%$ | $32.0 \%$ | 3 | 0 |
| 165 | $50.3 \%$ | $55.6 \%$ | 4 | 8 |
| 166 | $5.7 \%$ | $9.8 \%$ | 3 | 0 |
| 167 | $22.3 \%$ | $29.7 \%$ | 3 | 0 |
| 168 | $46.3 \%$ | $56.6 \%$ | 4 | 8 |
| 179 | $27.0 \%$ | $33.4 \%$ | 3 | 0 |
| 180 | $18.2 \%$ | $23.8 \%$ | 3 | 0 |


|  | HD Southeast Alt Eff 3 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HD | BVAP | BHVAP | Primaries <br> out of 4 | Generals <br> out of 8 |
| 159 | $22.3 \%$ | $25.8 \%$ | 3 | 0 |
| 160 | $26.4 \%$ | $31.5 \%$ | 1 | 0 |
| 161 | $34.1 \%$ | $42.7 \%$ | 4 | 6 |
| 162 | $38.9 \%$ | $47.3 \%$ | 4 | 8 |
| 163 | $50.0 \%$ | $59.4 \%$ | 4 | 8 |
| 164 | $13.6 \%$ | $19.2 \%$ | 3 | 0 |
| 165 | $27.1 \%$ | $32.2 \%$ | 3 | 5 |
| 166 | $29.9 \%$ | $33.7 \%$ | 3 | 8 |
| 167 | $18.7 \%$ | $24.5 \%$ | 3 | 0 |
| 168 | $45.9 \%$ | $56.6 \%$ | 4 | 8 |
| 179 | $31.8 \%$ | $39.4 \%$ | 4 | 0 |
| 180 | $18.2 \%$ | $23.8 \%$ | 3 | 0 |

Table 39: HD Southeast (12 districts).

## 10 Racial gerrymandering

### 10.1 Retention, displacement, and district disruption

In this section, I will examine the core retention, or conversely, the population displacement, of the districts in the enacted plan-that is, how much of the population retains the same district assignment before and after the redistricting? I will pay particular attention to the tendency to use racially imbalanced transfers of population in rebalancing the districts, and to the impact on the districts' effectiveness for electing Black and Latino candidates of choice.

### 10.1.1 Congress

In Congress, the ideal district population is 765,136 . Of the fourteen districts, twelve are at least reasonably similar to their benchmark configuration, i.e., at least $2 / 3$ of their population had been assigned to the same district before redistricting. The two with more than one-inthree new voters are districts 6 and 7 .

District 6 was nearly at ideal size before the redistricting, having 771,431 residents enumerated in the Census-less than seven thousand off from the target size. However, it was subjected to major reconfiguration, with at least 40,000 people from the benchmark district reassigned to each of districts $4,5,7$, and 11 , while at least 40,000 different people were drawn in from each of districts 7, 9, and 11. In all, this represents reassignment of several hundred thousand people.



Figure 30: These before-and-after plots show benchmark configurations in gray, while new district placement is in light green. We can see that CD 14 made a new incursion into Cobb County while shedding rural Haralson and part of Pickens County. Meanwhile, CD 6 went sharply the other way, withdrawing from its metro Atlanta coverage and picking up rural counties to the north. Compare to Figure 31 .

These swaps transfer more urban, more Black and Hispanic neighborhoods out of CD 6, while bringing in Whiter suburban areas. For instance, the largest reassignment out of the district goes from CD 6 to CD 4, and the largest reassignment into the district goes from CD 7 to CD 6-each of those moves roughly 200,000 Georgians to a new district, which is a massive shift. But the CD 6 to CD 4 transfer is $37.5 \%$ Black or Latino Georgians; by contrast, the CD 7 to CD 6 transfer is $16.1 \%$ Black or Latino. Since CD 6 was a performing district for the coalition of Black and Latino voters before its transformation, and none of the transfers improves representational prospects in non-performing districts, this transition looks to be plainly dilutive of voting power.

Meanwhile, the changes to CD 14 are smaller in terms of land area but are distinctive in terms of density and racial composition. CD 14 has expanded into Cobb to include two majority-Black cities-Powder Springs and Austell. Besides the further fracturing of Cobb County, Figure 31 makes it clear that the movement of those areas of Cobb into the district can't be justified in terms of compactness or respect for urban/rural communities of interest. (See $\$ 10.3$ for references to the public record of community testimony.)


Figure 31: This dot density plot makes it clear-through thicker arrangement of dots, with green dots predominating-that dense African-American neighborhoods in Cobb were brought in at the southern tip of CD 14. These voters were therefore submerged among more numerous, dissimilar communities from CD 14. Meanwhile, the changes to district 6 added suburban/exurban/rural areas-seen with the sparsity at the north of CD 6 in the the dot density plot-unlike the bulk of the district.

This incursion of CD 14 into Cobb is emphatically not required by adherence to traditional districting principles. For one vivid illustration of that, consider the comparison between the Duncan-Kennedy draft map and the map that was ultimately enacted. The benchmark plan from ten years ago had split Pickens County and included Haralson County in its construction of CD 14. Duncan-Kennedy retains Haralson, keeps Pickens whole in CD 9, and splits (lowdensity, mostly White) Bartow County to achieve population balance. Thus the shift in the final enacted plan-submerging a dense, majority-Black segment of Cobb in CD 14-was not necessary to balance population while keeping Pickens intact.

### 10.1.2 State Senate

When we move to smaller and more numerous districts in the Senate (ideal population 191,284), we might reasonably expect somewhat less core retention as line-drawers balance the traditional principles. However, the disruption in some cases is more than we would expect if retention were a highly prioritized goal. In the Senate, SD 7 and SD 14 have zero overlap with their previous population in the Benchmark configuration, and four other districts-SD 6, 32, 48, and 56-have less than half of their population retained.

New SD 14 is largely composed of benchmark SD 56, which was represented by Republican John Albers. The previous SD 56, which had become competitive over time (with four Republican victories and four Democratic victories across the elections in our probative dataset), was completely moved off of itself, to a new position that gave Biden only $43.7 \%$ support. Thus Albers could stay in the district numbered 56, facing largely new but very Republican-leaning voters, and win easily. This was achieved by racially imbalanced shifts: $56 \rightarrow 14$ has $35.5 \%$ BHVAP (substantial but still failing to secure electoral alignment in SD 14 with Black and Latino candidates of choice), while each group moved into SD 56 has under 19\% BHVAP.

Another consequential district disruption occurred in benchmark district 48, which was represented by Democrat Michelle Au. Roughly two-thirds of the previous population of SD 48 was reassigned into SD 7 (see Figure 32 for geographical displacement). But the 7th district was already Democratic-controlled and was now facing the candidacy of progressive Nabilah Islam, who had been endorsed by civil rights groups including GALEO. The new SD 48 was built to be highly ineffective for Black and Latino preferences (aligned in only one of four primaries and zero of eight general elections from our probative dataset). Rather than run in the new district, Au switched to a run for the lower chamber, ultimately winning HD 50 in 2022. This district makeover was carried out with highly racially imbalanced transfers of population. Of more than 130,000 people moved from SD 48 to SD $7,37.8 \%$ are Black and Latino, while the retained population has only $17.8 \%$ BHVAP share; and no territory reassigned into the district has BHVAP share exceeding 23.5\%.


SD 17 shift
SD 48 shift
Figure 32: These before-and-after plots show benchmark configurations in gray, while new district placement is in light green. The new configurations are clearly not made to improve compactness, and they increase the number of county traversals.

SD 17 also underwent a makeover: the district had become mildly overpopulated but was changed much more than needed, retaining only about half of its residents. (See, again, Figure 32.) Meanwhile, the district was transformed from effective ( $4 / 4$ primaries, $5 / 8$ generals) to ineffective ( $3 / 4$ primaries, $0 / 8$ generals). Outgoing population was roughly half Black and Latino ( $17 \rightarrow 10$ has $52.6 \%$ BHVAP, $17 \rightarrow 25$ has $49.0 \%$, and $17 \rightarrow 43$ has $51.3 \%$ ) while the significant incoming reassignments have much lower shares ( $25 \rightarrow 17$ has $20.9 \%$ and $46 \rightarrow 17$ has $23.8 \%$ ). Notably, none of the districts that received population from SD 17 thereby became effective.

### 10.1.3 State House

At the House level, the ideal district size of just 59,511 necessitates substantial shifts to the districts, but once again the state's enacted map is highly disruptive, well beyond what is required. Fully 57 districts out of 180 were moved to positions completely disjoint from their benchmark locations. Furthermore, a startling 32 districts were not only moved or relabeled but effectively dismantled, with fewer than 30,000 prior residents assigned to any single district, so that no candidate can have the usual benefits of incumbency in terms of familiarity to their voters.

One notable category within these "dismantled" districts is those for which the ten-year demographic shifts had made the benchmark districts amenable to political swings, so that candidates from each major party would have won 2-6 out of 8 general contests in the dataset of probative elections. This includes seven districts: HD 35, 44, 48, 49, 52, 104, and 109. Zero of these remain in this "swingy" category after redrawing. Yet five are rebuilt to be ineffective for Black and Latino voters, while only two are made effective. Those that are rebuilt to be ineffective are subjected to racially imbalanced population transfers.

| Benchmark HD | Outward | Inward |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 44 | .425 (to HD 35) | .226 (from HD 20) |
| 48 | .464 (to HD 51) | .201 (from HD 49) |
| 49 | .227 (to HD 47) | .127 (from HD 48) |
| 52 | .436 (to HD 54) | .245 (from HD 79) |
| 104 | .715 (to HD 102) | .363 (from HD 103) |

Table 40: This table records the BHVAP share of the largest district-to-district reassignment for the five "dismantled" House districts that were formerly swingy, now made ineffective. Compare Figure 33.


Figure 33: Each of these "dismantled" House districts from the metro Atlanta area (Table 40) was moved in such a way that the previous residents are scattered across multiple districts in the new plan. These districts had become politically swingy in the time since the last Census but are now rebuilt to be likely out of reach for Black and Latino voters' candidates of choice. The images make it clear that the shifts are not explained by traditional districting principles like compactness or respect for county lines. They is not explained by respect for municipal boundaries, as the new locations split small and midsized cities.

### 10.2 Splitting of geographical units

### 10.2.1 Congress

Most counties that are split in the enacted plan show marked racial disparity across the pieces. For instance, Cobb County is split across four districts, with CD 13 and 14 receiving parts of Cobb that are collectively over $60 \%$ Black and Latino by voting age population, while CD 6 contains a part of Cobb that is about $18.5 \%$ BHVAP-consistent with a packing and cracking strategy. Fayette, Fulton, Douglas, Newton, Gwinnett, Muscogee, and Bibb are likewise all split in a way that puts pieces into different districts with at least 20 percentage points disparity in BHVAP across the split.


Figure 34: Minutely race-conscious decisions are evident along the boundary of CD 2 and CD 8 in Bibb County.

| County | District | BVAP | BHVAP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cherokee | CD 6 | . 0304 | . 0814 |
|  | CD 11 | . 0817 | . 1902 |
| Clayton | CD 5 | . 7280 | . 8649 |
|  | CD 13 | . 7190 | . 8266 |
| Cobb | CD 6 | . 1092 | . 1848 |
|  | CD 11 | . 2654 | . 3850 |
|  | CD 13 | . 4458 | . 6271 |
|  | CD 14 | . 4646 | . 5644 |
| Douglas | CD 3 | . 2970 | . 3719 |
|  | CD 13 | . 5762 | . 6647 |
| Fayette | CD 3 | . 2094 | . 2720 |
|  | CD 13 | . 5762 | . 6647 |
| Fulton | CD 5 | . 4769 | . 5379 |
|  | CD 6 | . 1574 | . 2568 |
|  | CD 7 | . 1175 | . 1777 |
|  | CD 13 | . 8829 | . 9171 |
| Gwinnett | CD 6 | . 1336 | 2645 |
|  | CD 7 | . 3234 | . 5450 |
|  | CD 9 | . 2061 | . 3433 |
| Henry | CD 3 | . 4678 | . 5259 |
|  | CD 10 | . 4414 | . 4948 |
|  | CD 13 | . 5710 | . 6324 |
| Muscogee | CD 2 | . 5262 | . 5851 |
|  | CD 3 | . 1909 | . 2578 |

Table 41: All county splits involving CD 3, 6, 13, and 14. With the exception of the Clayton split, which is unremarkable in demographic terms, each of these is consistent with an overall pattern of cracking in CD 3 and CD 6, packing in CD 13, and submerging a small and diverse urban community in CD 14. See Appendix for a complete list of county splits.


Figure 35: In Newton County, CD 4 and CD 10 are divided by a line that is consistent with packing the former district and cracking the latter.

For the purposes of investigating racial gerrymandering, the splits to state precincts can be especially revealing: these are the units at which cast votes are reported, so finer divisions are usually made in view of demographics but not voting behavior-that is, these highlight the predominance of race over even partisan concerns. 12

Several pairs of bordering districts show significant demographic disparity across precinct splits in the Congressional plan, especially on the border of CD 4 and CD 10 (in Newton County, as in Figure (35), and on the border of CD 6 and CD 11 (in Cobb and Cherokee counties).

In particular, each precinct split with a sizeable demographic gap on the CD 6/11 border is consistent with the overall theme that CD 6 was targeted to reduce electoral opportunity for Black and Latino voters-and for Black voters, in particular.

| State precinct | District | BVAP | BHVAP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MARIETTA 5A | CD 6 | .1975 | .4938 |
|  | CD 11 | .4232 | .5803 |
| MARIETTA 6A | CD 6 | .1391 | .6607 |
|  | CD 11 | .4738 | .5464 |
| SEWELL MILL 03 | CD 6 | .2225 | .3042 |
|  | CD 11 | .4064 | .5548 |

Table 42: Three examples of split precincts on the CD 6 / CD 11 border that show significant racial disparity, consistent with an effort to diminish the electoral effectiveness of CD 6 for Black voters. (Note that CD 6 receives a higher share of BHVAP in Marietta 6A, but a far lower share of BVAP.)

Though the disparity in numbers is suggestive, the previous splits are geographically unremarkable. By contrast, several precinct splits on the CD 4 / CD 10 border stand out both in demographic and geographic terms.

| State precinct | District | BVAP | BHVAP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALCOVY | CD 4 | .4010 | .4499 |
|  | CD 10 | .0512 | .0620 |
| CITY POND | CD 4 | .5912 | .6554 |
|  | CD 10 | .3923 | .4192 |
| OXFORD | CD 4 | .6444 | .6932 |
|  | CD 10 | .0929 | .1213 |
| DOWNS | CD 4 | .6429 | .7024 |
|  | CD 10 | .4429 | .4930 |

Table 43: Four examples of split precincts on the CD 4 / CD 10 border, all consistent with packing of CD 4 and cracking of CD 10.

[^7]

Figure 36: Split precincts on the CD 4 / CD 10 border.

### 10.2.2 State Senate

Similarly, numerous counties are split into unnecessarily many pieces in the Senate plan. Fourteen counties have at least a 20-point disparity in the BHVAP across the splits: Fulton (10 pieces), Gwinnett (9 pieces), DeKalb (7 pieces), Cobb (6 pieces), Bibb, Chatham, Douglas, and Houston (3 pieces each), and Newton, Clarke, Hall, Muscogee, Fayette, and Richmond (2 pieces each). Thirteen state precincts are split with a significant racial disparity between the pieces placed in different districts.


Figure 37: This figure shows the separation of Bibb County in a way that packs SD 26.


Figure 38: The pieces of Chatham County look to be clearly racially sorted into Senate districts in a way that ensures that Black and Latino voters can only have effective influence in one of the constituent districts. Indeed, SD 2 is an effective district, while SD 1 and SD 4 are not.

### 10.2.3 State House

In the enacted House plan, thirty counties are fractured in a racially sorted way. Besides the large counties that take the brunt of the splitting-Fulton ( 22 pieces), Gwinnett ( 21 piecees), DeKalb (17 pieces), Cobb (14 pieces)-there are also Chatham, Henry, Muscogee, Richmond, Hall, Paulding, Houston, Bibb, Coweta, Douglas, Fayette, Lowndes, Newton, Whitfield, Floyd, Rockdale, Carroll, Dougherty, Troup, Thomas, Tift, Peach, Gradie, McDuffie, Lamar, and Telfair, each with 2-7 pieces.

A striking number of state precincts-47 of them-are split with a heavy racial disparity across the division. In the case of dividing up state precincts, legislators can't use cast votes to choose a splitting optimized for partisan performance, so racially distinctive precinct splits provide particularly strong evidence that race has predominated over other principles in the creation of the map.

### 10.3 Community narratives

There was voluminous public input into the record when it comes to the communities of interest around the state and the impacts of redistricting decisions on their access to effective representation.

At the highest level, County identity and Urban versus Rural interests were the most frequent themes of the testimony, with thousands of mentions in the record. Geographically delimited regions that received frequent mention included the Mountain region in the Northwest and the Black Belt across the state's middle. Less specific geographic terms like Lake and River recur as well. University (or College) and specifically HBCU get plentiful mentions, and Language (in the sense of language accessibility) is a frequent concern.

Other frequent keywords recur in patterns that largely disaggregate by urban/suburban/rural focus. Here is a sample of terms that occur ten or more times and fall largely along lines of that classification.

- Urban: Rent/Renters, Affordable, Housing, Utilities (esp. Water)
- Urban: Poverty, Healthcare, Safety
- Urban: MARTA, Transit
- Suburban/Exurban: Corridor, Car
- Suburban/Exurban: Family, Diversity, Immigrant
- Suburban/Exurban: Park, Church, Restaurant
- Rural: Agriculture, Poultry/Chicken, Onion (incl. Vidalia, Onion Belt)
- Rural: Manufacturing, Carpet, Flooring, Industry
- Rural: Hospital, Internet, Elderly

These community testimonials are helpful for clarifying the issues around the changes to CD 6 and CD 14 that have received considerable attention above. New areas brought in to CD 6 on its north side (all of Forsyth and Dawson counties and half of Cherokee) cite interests frequently cited in suburban areas, blending to rural. By contrast, CD 6 shed population from Fulton and the northern tip of DeKalb County.

- Forsyth, Cherokee, Dawson: road infrastructure, Lake Lanier, Army Corps of Engineers, immigration (esp. Asian) and language, rural identity
- Fulton, DeKalb: public transportation, MARTA, safety net, COVID disparities, food insecurity

As we have seen, the shift in CD 14 is arguably a ripple effect from the targeting of CD 6, and residents of the new district are likewise vocal, with a sharp split between the narrative elements in the core of CD 14 and in its new protrusion into Cobb.

- Northwest counties: mountain, rural, flooring, agriculture, manufacturing
- Western Cobb: urban, metro Atlanta, housing, living wage

These community testimonies make it clear that the changes to CD 6 and CD 14 lack justification by community-of-interest reasoning, in addition to the shortfalls in other traditional districting principles detailed above.
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## A Race, ethnicity, and citizenship

In this report, I have used the abbreviation BVAP to denote the share of voting age population that is Black alone or in combination, sometimes called "Any Part Black" (or APB). I have similarly used BHVAP for the share of VAP that is Black and/or Latino, which corresponds to the coalition of Black and Hispanic voters (sometimes called the "BH Coalition") identified in the Georgia NAACP complaint. WVAP refers to non-Hispanic single-race White population, and POCVAP is the broader designation for people of color, i.e., the complement of WVAP.

To be precise, I construct use two data columns directly from the Table P4 of the 2020 Decennial PL 94-171 block-level summary files and construct two more data columns as combinations. Hispanic voting age population ("HVAP") and non-Hispanic single-race White voting age population ("WVAP") are directly found in the P4. The combination columns are non-Hispanic (Any Part) Black VAP ("BVAP") and Other VAP, i.e., VAP not covered by any of these other categories ("OVAP"). By construction, these columns are exhaustive and non-overlapping: they sum to total VAP on each geographic unit.

- HVAP: P4_002N
- WVAP: P4_005N
- BVAP: P4_006N, P4_013N, P4_018N, P4_019N, P4_020N, P4_021N, P4_029N, P4_030N, P4_031N, P4_032N, P4_039N, P4_040N, P4_041N, P4_042N, P4_043N, P4_044N, P4_050N, P4_051N, P4_052N, P4_053N, P4_054N, P4_055N, P4_060N, P4_061N, P4_062N, P4_063N, P4_066N, P4_067N, P4_068N, P4_069N, P4_071N, P4_073N
- OVAP: P4_007N, P4_008N, P4_009N, P4_010N, P4_014N, P4_015N, P4_016N, P4_017N, P4_022N, P4_023N, P4_024N, P4_025N, P4_026N, P4_027N, P4_033N, P4_034N, P4_035N, P4_036N, P4_037N, P4_038N, P4_045N, P4_046N, P4_047N, P4_048N, P4_056N, P4_057N, P4_058N, P4_059N, P4_064N, P4_070N

To provide the best available estimate of 2020 citizen voting age population (CVAP) at the Census block level, I am using a method based combining 2020 Decennial block-level data and 2016-2020 American Community Survey (ACS) tract-level data. Any use of CVAP with blockbased districting plans will require some process of estimation and disaggregation, since no ACS data product is released at that fine of a geographical resolution.

To estimate CVAP within each census block, I have applied a fractional ratio to each of these VAP columns using the citizenship rate pulled from the ACS data on the tract containing that block. Because the ACS race and ethnicity categories are different from the PL, computing this ratio requires the use of slightly different categories. All of this is done at the tract level.

- Black citizenship ratios are computed by dividing Black-alone VAP from Table B01001B by Black-alone CVAP from Table B05003B.
- Hispanic citizenship ratios are computed by dividing Hispanic VAP from Table B03002 by Black-alone CVAP from Table B05003I.
- White citizenship ratios are computed by dividing non-Hispanic White-alone VAP obtained from Table B01001H by non-Hispanic White-alone CVAP from Table B05003H.
- Citizenship ratios for the remaining ("Other") population are computed by dividing VAP from Tables B01001C (American Indian and Alaska Native alone), B01001D (Asian alone), B01001E (Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone), B01001F (some other race alone), and B01001G (two or more races) by CVAP from Tables B05003C (American Indian and Alaska Native alone), B05003D (Asian alone), B05003E (Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone), B05003F (some other race alone), and B05003G (two or more races).


## B Electoral alignment in enacted legislative districts

| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { SD } \\ \text { overall } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { James18P } \\ 0.4475 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Thornton18P } \\ 0.4387 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Thornton18R } \\ 0.5914 \end{gathered}$ | Robinson18P 0.6286 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.4433 | 0.4957 | 0.7139 | 0.6752 |
| 2 | 0.5568 | 0.5374 | 0.7615 | 0.7245 |
| 3 | 0.4584 | 0.4566 | 0.6166 | 0.6647 |
| 4 | 0.4623 | 0.4170 | 0.6421 | 0.6800 |
| 5 | 0.4936 | 0.4604 | 0.6270 | 0.6329 |
| 6 | 0.2972 | 0.3624 | 0.4717 | 0.4602 |
| 7 | 0.3938 | 0.4327 | 0.5822 | 0.5709 |
| 8 | 0.5279 | 0.4223 | 0.6146 | 0.7182 |
| 9 | 0.4538 | 0.4486 | 0.6139 | 0.6232 |
| 10 | 0.5598 | 0.5108 | 0.6838 | 0.7221 |
| 11 | 0.5288 | 0.4219 | 0.5478 | 0.7098 |
| 12 | 0.5799 | 0.4771 | 0.6412 | 0.7634 |
| 13 | 0.5179 | 0.4354 | 0.6145 | 0.6956 |
| 14 | 0.3038 | 0.3703 | 0.4698 | 0.4570 |
| 15 | 0.5986 | 0.4502 | 0.5850 | 0.7338 |
| 16 | 0.4067 | 0.3965 | 0.5079 | 0.6065 |
| 17 | 0.4657 | 0.4581 | 0.6708 | 0.6715 |
| 18 | 0.4640 | 0.4891 | 0.6682 | 0.6932 |
| 19 | 0.5054 | 0.3997 | 0.6575 | 0.7214 |
| 20 | 0.4927 | 0.4921 | 0.6914 | 0.7050 |
| 21 | 0.2963 | 0.3435 | 0.5124 | 0.5157 |
| 22 | 0.5166 | 0.4377 | 0.6833 | 0.8227 |
| 23 | 0.4968 | 0.4249 | 0.6008 | 0.7456 |
| 24 | 0.4130 | 0.4463 | 0.7078 | 0.6693 |
| 25 | 0.4637 | 0.4260 | 0.6856 | 0.6932 |
| 26 | 0.4774 | 0.4439 | 0.6412 | 0.7312 |
| 27 | 0.2496 | 0.3162 | 0.4106 | 0.4904 |
| 28 | 0.4009 | 0.4143 | 0.4920 | 0.6198 |
| 29 | 0.4688 | 0.4364 | 0.5429 | 0.6639 |
| 30 | 0.3894 | 0.4034 | 0.4942 | 0.5762 |
| 31 | 0.4240 | 0.4460 | 0.5191 | 0.6237 |
| 32 | 0.3194 | 0.3952 | 0.5222 | 0.5230 |
| 33 | 0.5027 | 0.5156 | 0.6489 | 0.6470 |
| 34 | 0.5442 | 0.4912 | 0.6096 | 0.7214 |
| 35 | 0.6049 | 0.5417 | 0.7203 | 0.7344 |
| 36 | 0.3695 | 0.4134 | 0.5483 | 0.5050 |
| 37 | 0.3844 | 0.4495 | 0.5609 | 0.5796 |
| 38 | 0.5098 | 0.5168 | 0.7062 | 0.6948 |
| 39 | 0.4440 | 0.4444 | 0.6169 | 0.6187 |
| 40 | 0.2682 | 0.3327 | 0.4241 | 0.4099 |
| 41 | 0.4428 | 0.4385 | 0.5589 | 0.5968 |
| 42 | 0.2535 | 0.3351 | 0.4253 | 0.3403 |
| 43 | 0.5653 | 0.5018 | 0.6758 | 0.7202 |
| 44 | 0.5251 | 0.4527 | 0.5758 | 0.6902 |
| 45 | 0.4180 | 0.4387 | 0.6042 | 0.6031 |
| 46 | 0.3485 | 0.3946 | 0.5390 | 0.4958 |
| 47 | 0.3936 | 0.4419 | 0.6317 | 0.5378 |
| 48 | 0.3193 | 0.3488 | 0.5000 | 0.5144 |
| 49 | 0.2888 | 0.3402 | 0.4099 | 0.5269 |
| 50 | 0.2810 | 0.3220 | 0.4726 | 0.5497 |
| 51 | 0.2086 | 0.2667 | 0.3339 | 0.4437 |
| 52 | 0.3299 | 0.3271 | 0.4704 | 0.5792 |
| 53 | 0.3509 | 0.2385 | 0.3498 | 0.5729 |
| 54 | 0.3703 | 0.2679 | 0.3982 | 0.5208 |
| 55 | 0.5590 | 0.5016 | 0.6908 | 0.6938 |
| 56 | 0.2273 | 0.3277 | 0.4283 | 0.4432 |

Table 44: Vote shares for the minority candidate of choice across enacted Senate districts, in probative primary and primary runoff elections.

| SD overall | $\begin{gathered} \text { Clinton16 } \\ 0.4734 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Abrams18 } \\ 0.4930 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Thornton18 } \\ 0.4697 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Biden20 } \\ 0.5013 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Blackman20 } \\ 0.4848 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ossoff21 } \\ 0.5061 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Warnock21 } \\ 0.5104 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Abrams22 } \\ 0.4620 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.3977 | 0.4165 | 0.3963 | 0.4339 | 0.4099 | 0.4311 | 0.4331 | 0.3858 |
| 2 | 0.7278 | 0.7447 | 0.7248 | 0.7304 | 0.7221 | 0.7420 | 0.7434 | 0.7147 |
| 3 | 0.3229 | 0.3285 | 0.3163 | 0.3399 | 0.3273 | 0.3382 | 0.3379 | 0.2963 |
| 4 | 0.3117 | 0.3132 | 0.2988 | 0.3342 | 0.3181 | 0.3377 | 0.3379 | 0.2911 |
| 5 | 0.7486 | 0.7767 | 0.7503 | 0.7347 | 0.7395 | 0.7698 | 0.7727 | 0.7034 |
| 6 | 0.5632 | 0.5785 | 0.5153 | 0.6174 | 0.5559 | 0.5662 | 0.5799 | 0.5438 |
| 7 | 0.5212 | 0.5621 | 0.5250 | 0.5855 | 0.5618 | 0.5848 | 0.5909 | 0.5308 |
| 8 | 0.3339 | 0.3362 | 0.3253 | 0.3520 | 0.3407 | 0.3507 | 0.3507 | 0.3009 |
| 9 | 0.5277 | 0.5723 | 0.5426 | 0.6035 | 0.5873 | 0.6158 | 0.6215 | 0.5702 |
| 10 | 0.7684 | 0.8024 | 0.7852 | 0.7981 | 0.8013 | 0.8195 | 0.8220 | 0.8060 |
| 11 | 0.3484 | 0.3360 | 0.3236 | 0.3526 | 0.3418 | 0.3512 | 0.3511 | 0.3039 |
| 12 | 0.5805 | 0.5771 | 0.5618 | 0.5816 | 0.5746 | 0.5894 | 0.5903 | 0.5448 |
| 13 | 0.2836 | 0.2791 | 0.2623 | 0.2964 | 0.2821 | 0.3023 | 0.3036 | 0.2581 |
| 14 | 0.5421 | 0.5624 | 0.5077 | 0.6012 | 0.5528 | 0.5666 | 0.5763 | 0.5314 |
| 15 | 0.6650 | 0.6714 | 0.6544 | 0.6680 | 0.6621 | 0.6801 | 0.6822 | 0.6461 |
| 16 | 0.3199 | 0.3332 | 0.3126 | 0.3586 | 0.3371 | 0.3568 | 0.3615 | 0.3225 |
| 17 | 0.3337 | 0.3650 | 0.3507 | 0.3978 | 0.3870 | 0.4080 | 0.4110 | 0.3883 |
| 18 | 0.3656 | 0.3743 | 0.3608 | 0.3893 | 0.3766 | 0.3965 | 0.3990 | 0.3559 |
| 19 | 0.2458 | 0.2345 | 0.2314 | 0.2516 | 0.2459 | 0.2568 | 0.2574 | 0.2109 |
| 20 | 0.3251 | 0.3238 | 0.3122 | 0.3437 | 0.3311 | 0.3499 | 0.3523 | 0.3094 |
| 21 | 0.2865 | 0.3041 | 0.2721 | 0.3369 | 0.3009 | 0.3235 | 0.3316 | 0.2773 |
| 22 | 0.6911 | 0.7080 | 0.6884 | 0.7123 | 0.7013 | 0.7168 | 0.7189 | 0.6855 |
| 23 | 0.4069 | 0.4078 | 0.3962 | 0.4254 | 0.4125 | 0.4307 | 0.4322 | 0.3864 |
| 24 | 0.3010 | 0.2990 | 0.2907 | 0.3274 | 0.3034 | 0.3240 | 0.3249 | 0.2740 |
| 25 | 0.3816 | 0.3938 | 0.3806 | 0.4089 | 0.3982 | 0.4205 | 0.4234 | 0.3818 |
| 26 | 0.6410 | 0.6479 | 0.6326 | 0.6434 | 0.6399 | 0.6560 | 0.6585 | 0.6157 |
| 27 | 0.2306 | 0.2612 | 0.2360 | 0.3076 | 0.2768 | 0.2975 | 0.3039 | 0.2511 |
| 28 | 0.2846 | 0.2997 | 0.2817 | 0.3250 | 0.3060 | 0.3286 | 0.3331 | 0.2939 |
| 29 | 0.3501 | 0.3549 | 0.3378 | 0.3749 | 0.3569 | 0.3773 | 0.3798 | 0.3372 |
| 30 | 0.2961 | 0.3061 | 0.2948 | 0.3150 | 0.3076 | 0.3274 | 0.3314 | 0.2807 |
| 31 | 0.2768 | 0.3101 | 0.3029 | 0.3328 | 0.3244 | 0.3459 | 0.3490 | 0.3132 |
| 32 | 0.3634 | 0.4061 | 0.3744 | 0.4355 | 0.4082 | 0.4287 | 0.4363 | 0.3836 |
| 33 | 0.6767 | 0.7146 | 0.6898 | 0.7124 | 0.7092 | 0.7252 | 0.7293 | 0.6895 |
| 34 | 0.8201 | 0.8472 | 0.8304 | 0.8271 | 0.8331 | 0.8498 | 0.8518 | 0.8280 |
| 35 | 0.7785 | 0.8159 | 0.7983 | 0.8186 | 0.8210 | 0.8382 | 0.8411 | 0.8255 |
| 36 | 0.9069 | 0.9164 | 0.8686 | 0.8962 | 0.8771 | 0.8925 | 0.8996 | 0.8846 |
| 37 | 0.3742 | 0.4120 | 0.3838 | 0.4453 | 0.4177 | 0.4387 | 0.4462 | 0.4002 |
| 38 | 0.8220 | 0.8415 | 0.8121 | 0.8282 | 0.8156 | 0.8320 | 0.8379 | 0.8082 |
| 39 | 0.8862 | 0.8936 | 0.8506 | 0.8816 | 0.8621 | 0.8753 | 0.8824 | 0.8574 |
| 40 | 0.5980 | 0.6152 | 0.5592 | 0.6483 | 0.5997 | 0.6141 | 0.6255 | 0.5808 |
| 41 | 0.8169 | 0.8319 | 0.8047 | 0.8254 | 0.8228 | 0.8350 | 0.8393 | 0.8062 |
| 42 | 0.8317 | 0.8430 | 0.7839 | 0.8482 | 0.8179 | 0.8295 | 0.8377 | 0.8234 |
| 43 | 0.6835 | 0.7249 | 0.7088 | 0.7349 | 0.7364 | 0.7558 | 0.7580 | 0.7420 |
| 44 | 0.8673 | 0.8878 | 0.8682 | 0.8702 | 0.8751 | 0.8906 | 0.8928 | 0.8748 |
| 45 | 0.3367 | 0.3775 | 0.3525 | 0.4139 | 0.3932 | 0.4170 | 0.4229 | 0.3773 |
| 46 | 0.3751 | 0.3889 | 0.3666 | 0.4078 | 0.3816 | 0.4034 | 0.4088 | 0.3555 |
| 47 | 0.3959 | 0.4052 | 0.3904 | 0.4072 | 0.3912 | 0.4156 | 0.4199 | 0.3668 |
| 48 | 0.4010 | 0.4363 | 0.3920 | 0.4836 | 0.4411 | 0.4685 | 0.4762 | 0.4131 |
| 49 | 0.2335 | 0.2530 | 0.2350 | 0.2763 | 0.2523 | 0.2718 | 0.2773 | 0.2211 |
| 50 | 0.1716 | 0.1672 | 0.1626 | 0.1855 | 0.1710 | 0.1867 | 0.1898 | 0.1443 |
| 51 | 0.1568 | 0.1558 | 0.1503 | 0.1751 | 0.1617 | 0.1759 | 0.1790 | 0.1420 |
| 52 | 0.2450 | 0.2550 | 0.2437 | 0.2659 | 0.2519 | 0.2723 | 0.2767 | 0.2241 |
| 53 | 0.1837 | 0.1858 | 0.1826 | 0.2012 | 0.1916 | 0.2054 | 0.2045 | 0.1628 |
| 54 | 0.2193 | 0.2168 | 0.2098 | 0.2346 | 0.2247 | 0.2371 | 0.2374 | 0.1745 |
| 55 | 0.7579 | 0.7925 | 0.7743 | 0.7945 | 0.7936 | 0.8113 | 0.8143 | 0.7873 |
| 56 | 0.3639 | 0.3944 | 0.3503 | 0.4373 | 0.3894 | 0.4108 | 0.4210 | 0.3738 |

Table 45: Vote shares for the minority candidate of choice across enacted Senate districts, in probative general and general runoff elections.

| SD | Primaries out of 4 | Generals out of 8 | Effective? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3 | 0 | N |
| 2 | 4 | 8 | Y |
| 3 | 3 | 0 | N |
| 4 | 3 | 0 | N |
| 5 | 3 | 8 | Y |
| 6 | 0 | 8 | N |
| 7 | 3 | 8 | Y |
| 8 | 4 | 0 | N |
| 9 | 3 | 8 | Y |
| 10 | 4 | 8 | Y |
| 11 | 4 | 0 | N |
| 12 | 4 | 8 | Y |
| 13 | 4 | 0 | N |
| 14 | 0 | 8 | N |
| 15 | 4 | 8 | Y |
| 16 | 3 | 0 | N |
| 17 | 3 | 0 | N |
| 18 | 3 | 0 | N |
| 19 | 4 | 0 | N |
| 20 | 3 | 0 | N |
| 21 | 2 | 0 | N |
| 22 | 4 | 8 | Y |
| 23 | 3 | 0 | N |
| 24 | 3 | 0 | N |
| 25 | 3 | 0 | N |
| 26 | 3 | 8 | Y |
| 27 | 0 | 0 | N |
| 28 | 2 | 0 | N |
| 29 | 3 | 0 | N |
| 30 | 2 | 0 | N |
| 31 | 3 | 0 | N |
| 32 | 3 | 0 | N |
| 33 | 4 | 8 | Y |
| 34 | 4 | 8 | Y |
| 35 | 4 | 8 | Y |
| 36 | 3 | 8 | Y |
| 37 | 3 | 0 | N |
| 38 | 4 | 8 | Y |
| 39 | 3 | 8 | Y |
| 40 | 0 | 8 | N |
| 41 | 3 | 8 | Y |
| 42 | 0 | 8 | N |
| 43 | 4 | 8 | Y |
| 44 | 4 | 8 | Y |
| 45 | 3 | 0 | N |
| 46 | 1 | 0 | N |
| 47 | 3 | 0 | N |
| 48 | 1 | 0 | N |
| 49 | 1 | 0 | N |
| 50 | 1 | 0 | N |
| 51 | 0 | 0 | N |
| 52 | 1 | 0 | N |
| 53 | 1 | 0 | N |
| 54 | 1 | 0 | N |
| 55 | 4 | 8 | Y |
| 56 | 0 | 0 | N |

Table 46: By the standard of requiring that the candidate of choice could win or advance in at least three out of four primaries and win or advance in at least five out of eight generals, the enacted plan has 19 districts that present an effective opportunity.

| $\begin{gathered} \text { HD } \\ \text { overall } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { James18P } \\ 0.4475 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Thornton18P } \\ 0.4387 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Thornton18R } \\ 0.5914 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Robinson18P } \\ 0.6286 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.3468 | 0.2773 | 0.4029 | 0.5806 |
| 2 | 0.3558 | 0.2650 | 0.3670 | 0.5476 |
| 3 | 0.3294 | 0.2937 | 0.3945 | 0.5330 |
| 4 | 0.3601 | 0.2721 | 0.5187 | 0.5229 |
| 5 | 0.3824 | 0.2760 | 0.4076 | 0.5266 |
| 6 | 0.3668 | 0.2496 | 0.3206 | 0.5430 |
| 7 | 0.2157 | 0.2572 | 0.3352 | 0.4173 |
| 8 | 0.2022 | 0.2644 | 0.3595 | 0.4717 |
| 9 | 0.1832 | 0.2701 | 0.3345 | 0.4496 |
| 10 | 0.2252 | 0.3163 | 0.4472 | 0.5031 |
| 11 | 0.2662 | 0.2961 | 0.3401 | 0.4568 |
| 12 | 0.3671 | 0.1692 | 0.3117 | 0.6227 |
| 13 | 0.3179 | 0.3260 | 0.4630 | 0.5670 |
| 14 | 0.3256 | 0.3317 | 0.5040 | 0.5218 |
| 15 | 0.3293 | 0.3518 | 0.4445 | 0.5811 |
| 16 | 0.3558 | 0.3730 | 0.5240 | 0.6086 |
| 17 | 0.4020 | 0.4363 | 0.4991 | 0.6145 |
| 18 | 0.3103 | 0.3091 | 0.5047 | 0.5511 |
| 19 | 0.4618 | 0.4869 | 0.5659 | 0.6279 |
| 20 | 0.2834 | 0.3785 | 0.3855 | 0.5275 |
| 21 | 0.2883 | 0.3326 | 0.3384 | 0.5194 |
| 22 | 0.3529 | 0.4129 | 0.5129 | 0.5635 |
| 23 | 0.2889 | 0.3204 | 0.3621 | 0.5709 |
| 24 | 0.2767 | 0.3541 | 0.4194 | 0.5259 |
| 25 | 0.2764 | 0.2928 | 0.4603 | 0.4945 |
| 26 | 0.2398 | 0.2986 | 0.4209 | 0.4735 |
| 27 | 0.2327 | 0.3044 | 0.2517 | 0.5148 |
| 28 | 0.2492 | 0.3220 | 0.3758 | 0.4683 |
| 29 | 0.3352 | 0.3795 | 0.5442 | 0.5610 |
| 30 | 0.3077 | 0.3530 | 0.4525 | 0.4958 |
| 31 | 0.3087 | 0.3400 | 0.4837 | 0.5963 |
| 32 | 0.3446 | 0.3195 | 0.5192 | 0.6330 |
| 33 | 0.3395 | 0.4244 | 0.6565 | 0.5794 |
| 34 | 0.3583 | 0.4446 | 0.5187 | 0.5655 |
| 35 | 0.3881 | 0.4507 | 0.5930 | 0.5815 |
| 36 | 0.4031 | 0.4559 | 0.5856 | 0.5964 |
| 37 | 0.3663 | 0.4527 | 0.5860 | 0.5523 |
| 38 | 0.5367 | 0.5168 | 0.6730 | 0.6903 |
| 39 | 0.5356 | 0.5345 | 0.7106 | 0.6796 |
| 40 | 0.4201 | 0.4639 | 0.6151 | 0.5695 |
| 41 | 0.5164 | 0.5317 | 0.6492 | 0.6384 |
| 42 | 0.4493 | 0.4890 | 0.6054 | 0.5755 |
| 43 | 0.3315 | 0.4079 | 0.5049 | 0.5117 |
| 44 | 0.3052 | 0.3869 | 0.5337 | 0.5195 |
| 45 | 0.1732 | 0.3021 | 0.3752 | 0.3676 |
| 46 | 0.2382 | 0.3411 | 0.4515 | 0.4440 |
| 47 | 0.3159 | 0.3542 | 0.5339 | 0.5053 |
| 48 | 0.2947 | 0.3582 | 0.4743 | 0.4679 |
| 49 | 0.2675 | 0.3343 | 0.4887 | 0.4863 |
| 50 | 0.3267 | 0.3767 | 0.5004 | 0.5151 |
| 51 | 0.3394 | 0.3852 | 0.4882 | 0.4737 |
| 52 | 0.2679 | 0.3387 | 0.4328 | 0.4053 |
| 53 | 0.2273 | 0.3048 | 0.4342 | 0.3910 |
| 54 | 0.2550 | 0.3444 | 0.4524 | 0.4081 |
| 55 | 0.4218 | 0.4596 | 0.6718 | 0.6275 |
| 56 | 0.4356 | 0.4518 | 0.6229 | 0.6142 |
| 57 | 0.2056 | 0.3076 | 0.3972 | 0.2914 |
| 58 | 0.4452 | 0.4517 | 0.6291 | 0.6105 |
| 59 | 0.4683 | 0.4632 | 0.6531 | 0.6383 |
| 60 | 0.4578 | 0.4647 | 0.6671 | 0.6606 |


| $\begin{gathered} \text { HD } \\ \text { overall } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { James18P } \\ 0.4475 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Thornton18P } \\ 0.4387 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Thornton18R } \\ 0.5914 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Robinson18P } \\ 0.6286 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 61 | 0.5937 | 0.5530 | 0.7215 | 0.7307 |
| 62 | 0.4559 | 0.4616 | 0.6297 | 0.6200 |
| 63 | 0.4227 | 0.4396 | 0.5712 | 0.6002 |
| 64 | 0.4859 | 0.4774 | 0.5232 | 0.6528 |
| 65 | 0.5996 | 0.5377 | 0.7249 | 0.7187 |
| 66 | 0.5615 | 0.5117 | 0.6402 | 0.7097 |
| 67 | 0.5783 | 0.5225 | 0.7261 | 0.7275 |
| 68 | 0.5142 | 0.5104 | 0.6439 | 0.6898 |
| 69 | 0.5196 | 0.5166 | 0.6831 | 0.7079 |
| 70 | 0.4308 | 0.4351 | 0.5046 | 0.6431 |
| 71 | 0.3445 | 0.4125 | 0.5560 | 0.5556 |
| 72 | 0.3181 | 0.3598 | 0.4040 | 0.5030 |
| 73 | 0.3412 | 0.3844 | 0.4659 | 0.5790 |
| 74 | 0.4855 | 0.4752 | 0.6443 | 0.6397 |
| 75 | 0.5667 | 0.4732 | 0.5439 | 0.7273 |
| 76 | 0.5726 | 0.4532 | 0.5774 | 0.7483 |
| 77 | 0.5372 | 0.4834 | 0.6259 | 0.7376 |
| 78 | 0.5592 | 0.4792 | 0.5407 | 0.7231 |
| 79 | 0.5561 | 0.4554 | 0.5713 | 0.7240 |
| 80 | 0.2507 | 0.3075 | 0.3904 | 0.4083 |
| 81 | 0.2273 | 0.3192 | 0.4007 | 0.3411 |
| 82 | 0.1811 | 0.2948 | 0.3296 | 0.2414 |
| 83 | 0.2499 | 0.3328 | 0.4322 | 0.4258 |
| 84 | 0.4411 | 0.4548 | 0.6076 | 0.5958 |
| 85 | 0.4561 | 0.4392 | 0.5883 | 0.6138 |
| 86 | 0.4939 | 0.4612 | 0.6058 | 0.6512 |
| 87 | 0.5020 | 0.4629 | 0.5948 | 0.6599 |
| 88 | 0.4783 | 0.4613 | 0.6055 | 0.6211 |
| 89 | 0.3875 | 0.4030 | 0.5645 | 0.4889 |
| 90 | 0.3812 | 0.3969 | 0.5629 | 0.5003 |
| 91 | 0.5621 | 0.5012 | 0.7033 | 0.7132 |
| 92 | 0.5777 | 0.5069 | 0.6954 | 0.7293 |
| 93 | 0.5503 | 0.5024 | 0.6621 | 0.7124 |
| 94 | 0.5467 | 0.4912 | 0.6849 | 0.6899 |
| 95 | 0.5813 | 0.5091 | 0.7039 | 0.7160 |
| 96 | 0.4407 | 0.4533 | 0.6048 | 0.5762 |
| 97 | 0.3851 | 0.4260 | 0.5636 | 0.5440 |
| 98 | 0.4638 | 0.4516 | 0.6475 | 0.5829 |
| 99 | 0.3827 | 0.4466 | 0.5993 | 0.5637 |
| 100 | 0.3268 | 0.3356 | 0.4947 | 0.5489 |
| 101 | 0.4195 | 0.4367 | 0.5873 | 0.6026 |
| 102 | 0.4902 | 0.4578 | 0.6445 | 0.6531 |
| 103 | 0.3989 | 0.4094 | 0.5857 | 0.5902 |
| 104 | 0.4202 | 0.4445 | 0.5931 | 0.6166 |
| 105 | 0.4694 | 0.4604 | 0.6632 | 0.6422 |
| 106 | 0.4768 | 0.4844 | 0.6458 | 0.6273 |
| 107 | 0.4858 | 0.4463 | 0.6147 | 0.6542 |
| 108 | 0.3738 | 0.4246 | 0.5554 | 0.5502 |
| 109 | 0.4988 | 0.4650 | 0.5979 | 0.6304 |
| 110 | 0.5429 | 0.5042 | 0.6857 | 0.7014 |
| 111 | 0.4343 | 0.4549 | 0.6179 | 0.6180 |
| 112 | 0.3802 | 0.3856 | 0.4628 | 0.6032 |
| 113 | 0.5592 | 0.4986 | 0.6538 | 0.7211 |
| 114 | 0.3566 | 0.3820 | 0.5553 | 0.6116 |
| 115 | 0.5470 | 0.5100 | 0.6995 | 0.7163 |
| 116 | 0.5613 | 0.5113 | 0.6805 | 0.7260 |
| 117 | 0.4806 | 0.4765 | 0.6946 | 0.6856 |
| 118 | 0.4420 | 0.3747 | 0.5819 | 0.6716 |
| 119 | 0.3654 | 0.3998 | 0.4785 | 0.5577 |
| 120 | 0.3310 | 0.3982 | 0.5499 | 0.5099 |


| $\begin{gathered} \text { HD } \\ \text { overall } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { James18P } \\ 0.4475 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Thornton18P } \\ 0.4387 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Thornton18R } \\ 0.5914 \end{gathered}$ | Robinson18P 0.6286 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 121 | 0.3056 | 0.3610 | 0.4634 | 0.4318 |
| 122 | 0.4470 | 0.4828 | 0.7316 | 0.5336 |
| 123 | 0.4482 | 0.4759 | 0.8210 | 0.6795 |
| 124 | 0.3929 | 0.3945 | 0.5134 | 0.6158 |
| 125 | 0.4979 | 0.4484 | 0.5532 | 0.7290 |
| 126 | 0.5713 | 0.4653 | 0.7136 | 0.8431 |
| 127 | 0.3885 | 0.4146 | 0.5601 | 0.6759 |
| 128 | 0.4836 | 0.3572 | 0.6819 | 0.7292 |
| 129 | 0.4788 | 0.4262 | 0.6829 | 0.7876 |
| 130 | 0.5291 | 0.4322 | 0.6676 | 0.8300 |
| 131 | 0.4561 | 0.4564 | 0.6071 | 0.6988 |
| 132 | 0.5114 | 0.4534 | 0.7072 | 0.8308 |
| 133 | 0.4708 | 0.4428 | 0.7327 | 0.7101 |
| 134 | 0.4537 | 0.3415 | 0.4744 | 0.6571 |
| 135 | 0.4414 | 0.3509 | 0.4942 | 0.6575 |
| 136 | 0.4119 | 0.4498 | 0.5770 | 0.6639 |
| 137 | 0.5831 | 0.4497 | 0.6210 | 0.7196 |
| 138 | 0.4087 | 0.4060 | 0.4642 | 0.6087 |
| 139 | 0.4801 | 0.3999 | 0.4545 | 0.6473 |
| 140 | 0.6020 | 0.4426 | 0.5277 | 0.7298 |
| 141 | 0.6424 | 0.4599 | 0.5801 | 0.7533 |
| 142 | 0.4658 | 0.4625 | 0.6520 | 0.7214 |
| 143 | 0.4642 | 0.4872 | 0.6748 | 0.7412 |
| 144 | 0.4126 | 0.4350 | 0.6166 | 0.6729 |
| 145 | 0.4565 | 0.5158 | 0.6740 | 0.7167 |
| 146 | 0.5166 | 0.5594 | 0.7649 | 0.6930 |
| 147 | 0.5096 | 0.5585 | 0.7068 | 0.6984 |
| 148 | 0.5185 | 0.4879 | 0.6815 | 0.6956 |
| 149 | 0.4570 | 0.3824 | 0.5110 | 0.6894 |
| 150 | 0.5420 | 0.5120 | 0.7376 | 0.7507 |
| 151 | 0.5465 | 0.4851 | 0.6725 | 0.7150 |
| 152 | 0.5542 | 0.4701 | 0.6164 | 0.7292 |
| 153 | 0.6069 | 0.4804 | 0.6392 | 0.7999 |
| 154 | 0.5679 | 0.4636 | 0.6112 | 0.7543 |
| 155 | 0.4790 | 0.4310 | 0.6517 | 0.6845 |
| 156 | 0.5283 | 0.4362 | 0.6620 | 0.7356 |
| 157 | 0.4885 | 0.3890 | 0.6939 | 0.7202 |
| 158 | 0.4889 | 0.3914 | 0.6253 | 0.7098 |
| 159 | 0.4596 | 0.3947 | 0.6056 | 0.6965 |
| 160 | 0.4117 | 0.3911 | 0.5455 | 0.6332 |
| 161 | 0.5543 | 0.5195 | 0.7135 | 0.7036 |
| 162 | 0.6043 | 0.5636 | 0.7874 | 0.7517 |
| 163 | 0.4945 | 0.5148 | 0.7413 | 0.6811 |
| 164 | 0.4995 | 0.5290 | 0.7585 | 0.6963 |
| 165 | 0.5689 | 0.5359 | 0.7661 | 0.7381 |
| 166 | 0.2755 | 0.4103 | 0.6313 | 0.5219 |
| 167 | 0.4840 | 0.4765 | 0.6980 | 0.7241 |
| 168 | 0.5505 | 0.5425 | 0.7834 | 0.7886 |
| 169 | 0.5063 | 0.3686 | 0.5592 | 0.6991 |
| 170 | 0.4510 | 0.4272 | 0.5020 | 0.6678 |
| 171 | 0.5049 | 0.4272 | 0.5864 | 0.7274 |
| 172 | 0.5519 | 0.4134 | 0.5872 | 0.6544 |
| 173 | 0.5511 | 0.4509 | 0.6016 | 0.7408 |
| 174 | 0.5238 | 0.3752 | 0.5566 | 0.6716 |
| 175 | 0.5392 | 0.3988 | 0.5253 | 0.7350 |
| 176 | 0.5464 | 0.4061 | 0.6065 | 0.7292 |
| 177 | 0.5448 | 0.4450 | 0.6370 | 0.7407 |
| 178 | 0.4627 | 0.4045 | 0.6920 | 0.6940 |
| 179 | 0.4151 | 0.4621 | 0.5945 | 0.6310 |
| 180 | 0.4609 | 0.4587 | 0.6255 | 0.6534 |

Table 47: Vote shares for the minority candidate of choice across enacted House districts, in probative primary and primary runoff elections.

| $\begin{gathered} \text { HD } \\ \text { overall } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Clinton16 } \\ 0.4734 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Abrams18 } \\ 0.4930 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Thornton18 } \\ 0.4697 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Biden20 } \\ 0.5013 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Blackman20 } \\ 0.4848 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ossoff21 } \\ 0.5061 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Warnock21 } \\ 0.5104 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Abrams22 } \\ 0.4620 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.1933 | 0.1964 | 0.1938 | 0.2104 | 0.2009 | 0.2160 | 0.2146 | 0.1736 |
| 2 | 0.1696 | 0.1670 | 0.1635 | 0.1901 | 0.1768 | 0.1895 | 0.1876 | 0.1425 |
| 3 | 0.1908 | 0.2018 | 0.1943 | 0.2221 | 0.2099 | 0.2233 | 0.2222 | 0.1816 |
| 4 | 0.3589 | 0.3633 | 0.3440 | 0.3835 | 0.3672 | 0.3806 | 0.3808 | 0.2906 |
| 5 | 0.1716 | 0.1733 | 0.1685 | 0.1855 | 0.1785 | 0.1926 | 0.1950 | 0.1482 |
| 6 | 0.1564 | 0.1457 | 0.1481 | 0.1641 | 0.1586 | 0.1679 | 0.1671 | 0.1177 |
| 7 | 0.1661 | 0.1629 | 0.1575 | 0.1807 | 0.1687 | 0.1815 | 0.1850 | 0.1469 |
| 8 | 0.1659 | 0.1600 | 0.1576 | 0.1819 | 0.1701 | 0.1815 | 0.1840 | 0.1422 |
| 9 | 0.1473 | 0.1523 | 0.1457 | 0.1695 | 0.1522 | 0.1705 | 0.1732 | 0.1391 |
| 10 | 0.1672 | 0.1675 | 0.1588 | 0.1859 | 0.1688 | 0.1864 | 0.1913 | 0.1485 |
| 11 | 0.1461 | 0.1550 | 0.1446 | 0.1868 | 0.1694 | 0.1863 | 0.1912 | 0.1552 |
| 12 | 0.1978 | 0.1895 | 0.1887 | 0.1945 | 0.1906 | 0.2069 | 0.2083 | 0.1607 |
| 13 | 0.3298 | 0.3437 | 0.3215 | 0.3537 | 0.3310 | 0.3571 | 0.3629 | 0.3015 |
| 14 | 0.1708 | 0.1768 | 0.1703 | 0.1916 | 0.1809 | 0.1941 | 0.1984 | 0.1604 |
| 15 | 0.2542 | 0.2749 | 0.2634 | 0.2863 | 0.2749 | 0.2949 | 0.2993 | 0.2417 |
| 16 | 0.2016 | 0.2083 | 0.2047 | 0.2237 | 0.2152 | 0.2305 | 0.2332 | 0.1941 |
| 17 | 0.2784 | 0.3264 | 0.3170 | 0.3580 | 0.3498 | 0.3747 | 0.3780 | 0.3411 |
| 18 | 0.1598 | 0.1479 | 0.1441 | 0.1598 | 0.1563 | 0.1653 | 0.1678 | 0.1314 |
| 19 | 0.3142 | 0.3525 | 0.3443 | 0.3762 | 0.3661 | 0.3887 | 0.3918 | 0.3614 |
| 20 | 0.2608 | 0.2975 | 0.2696 | 0.3349 | 0.3055 | 0.3261 | 0.3332 | 0.2815 |
| 21 | 0.2096 | 0.2398 | 0.2148 | 0.2772 | 0.2455 | 0.2657 | 0.2720 | 0.2304 |
| 22 | 0.3498 | 0.4004 | 0.3760 | 0.4163 | 0.3967 | 0.4206 | 0.4264 | 0.3756 |
| 23 | 0.2017 | 0.2210 | 0.2039 | 0.2563 | 0.2340 | 0.2535 | 0.2591 | 0.2129 |
| 24 | 0.2901 | 0.3324 | 0.2988 | 0.3727 | 0.3386 | 0.3622 | 0.3678 | 0.2989 |
| 25 | 0.3541 | 0.3882 | 0.3448 | 0.4409 | 0.3962 | 0.4224 | 0.4298 | 0.3655 |
| 26 | 0.2422 | 0.2709 | 0.2435 | 0.3235 | 0.2896 | 0.3113 | 0.3189 | 0.2710 |
| 27 | 0.1564 | 0.1633 | 0.1496 | 0.1884 | 0.1667 | 0.1841 | 0.1893 | 0.1452 |
| 28 | 0.1767 | 0.1985 | 0.1815 | 0.2357 | 0.2110 | 0.2273 | 0.2329 | 0.1893 |
| 29 | 0.3920 | 0.4240 | 0.3990 | 0.4239 | 0.4015 | 0.4255 | 0.4307 | 0.3557 |
| 30 | 0.2252 | 0.2501 | 0.2331 | 0.2841 | 0.2603 | 0.2785 | 0.2838 | 0.2300 |
| 31 | 0.2004 | 0.2126 | 0.2029 | 0.2409 | 0.2226 | 0.2442 | 0.2488 | 0.1925 |
| 32 | 0.1592 | 0.1546 | 0.1529 | 0.1702 | 0.1564 | 0.1731 | 0.1750 | 0.1345 |
| 33 | 0.1991 | 0.1743 | 0.1765 | 0.1948 | 0.1799 | 0.1959 | 0.1953 | 0.1486 |
| 34 | 0.3454 | 0.3777 | 0.3462 | 0.4205 | 0.3864 | 0.4055 | 0.4157 | 0.3698 |
| 35 | 0.5063 | 0.5603 | 0.5316 | 0.5726 | 0.5567 | 0.5802 | 0.5855 | 0.5361 |
| 36 | 0.3216 | 0.3596 | 0.3321 | 0.4022 | 0.3696 | 0.3928 | 0.3994 | 0.3632 |
| 37 | 0.5623 | 0.5933 | 0.5531 | 0.6113 | 0.5847 | 0.5981 | 0.6078 | 0.5507 |
| 38 | 0.6765 | 0.7229 | 0.7053 | 0.7243 | 0.7253 | 0.7453 | 0.7473 | 0.7174 |
| 39 | 0.7614 | 0.7930 | 0.7682 | 0.7876 | 0.7846 | 0.7991 | 0.8049 | 0.7703 |
| 40 | 0.6071 | 0.6417 | 0.5949 | 0.6673 | 0.6238 | 0.6387 | 0.6495 | 0.6207 |
| 41 | 0.6887 | 0.7199 | 0.6951 | 0.7105 | 0.7106 | 0.7256 | 0.7296 | 0.6856 |
| 42 | 0.6871 | 0.7282 | 0.6885 | 0.7158 | 0.6889 | 0.7108 | 0.7182 | 0.6714 |
| 43 | 0.5624 | 0.5885 | 0.5483 | 0.6073 | 0.5730 | 0.5827 | 0.5927 | 0.5436 |
| 44 | 0.3820 | 0.4236 | 0.3907 | 0.4598 | 0.4305 | 0.4536 | 0.4613 | 0.4096 |
| 45 | 0.4039 | 0.4203 | 0.3637 | 0.4792 | 0.4134 | 0.4354 | 0.4477 | 0.3997 |
| 46 | 0.3774 | 0.4098 | 0.3682 | 0.4495 | 0.4039 | 0.4254 | 0.4351 | 0.3895 |
| 47 | 0.3868 | 0.4048 | 0.3595 | 0.4440 | 0.3963 | 0.4171 | 0.4276 | 0.3688 |
| 48 | 0.4381 | 0.4625 | 0.4120 | 0.5147 | 0.4624 | 0.4779 | 0.4885 | 0.4344 |
| 49 | 0.4092 | 0.4330 | 0.3806 | 0.4801 | 0.4246 | 0.4420 | 0.4538 | 0.4029 |
| 50 | 0.5185 | 0.5558 | 0.5026 | 0.5939 | 0.5521 | 0.5784 | 0.5861 | 0.5154 |
| 51 | 0.5509 | 0.5728 | 0.5274 | 0.6082 | 0.5683 | 0.5811 | 0.5899 | 0.5407 |
| 52 | 0.5759 | 0.5938 | 0.5291 | 0.6361 | 0.5801 | 0.5957 | 0.6081 | 0.5697 |
| 53 | 0.4972 | 0.4992 | 0.4281 | 0.5478 | 0.4745 | 0.4843 | 0.4998 | 0.4548 |
| 54 | 0.5540 | 0.5641 | 0.4946 | 0.6104 | 0.5455 | 0.5555 | 0.5673 | 0.5443 |
| 55 | 0.8132 | 0.8121 | 0.7562 | 0.8169 | 0.7764 | 0.7909 | 0.8021 | 0.7662 |
| 56 | 0.9113 | 0.9249 | 0.8807 | 0.8971 | 0.8775 | 0.8976 | 0.9038 | 0.8875 |
| 57 | 0.7942 | 0.8025 | 0.7157 | 0.8092 | 0.7539 | 0.7714 | 0.7843 | 0.7610 |
| 58 | 0.9398 | 0.9511 | 0.9154 | 0.9213 | 0.9117 | 0.9269 | 0.9321 | 0.9165 |
| 59 | 0.9503 | 0.9603 | 0.9291 | 0.9337 | 0.9292 | 0.9425 | 0.9466 | 0.9307 |
| 60 | 0.8139 | 0.8069 | 0.7617 | 0.8065 | 0.7758 | 0.7868 | 0.7968 | 0.7698 |


| $\begin{gathered} \text { HD } \\ \text { overall } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Clinton16 } \\ 0.4734 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Abrams18 } \\ 0.4930 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Thornton18 } \\ 0.4697 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Biden20 } \\ 0.5013 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Blackman20 } \\ 0.4848 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ossoff21 } \\ 0.5061 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Warnock21 } \\ 0.5104 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Abrams22 } \\ 0.4620 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 61 | 0.8241 | 0.8575 | 0.8407 | 0.8504 | 0.8538 | 0.8683 | 0.8707 | 0.8555 |
| 62 | 0.9354 | 0.9434 | 0.9127 | 0.9254 | 0.9223 | 0.9341 | 0.9382 | 0.9188 |
| 63 | 0.9197 | 0.9279 | 0.8967 | 0.9085 | 0.9071 | 0.9182 | 0.9243 | 0.9017 |
| 64 | 0.3449 | 0.3899 | 0.3757 | 0.4259 | 0.4177 | 0.4440 | 0.4476 | 0.4247 |
| 65 | 0.6646 | 0.6994 | 0.6807 | 0.6976 | 0.6952 | 0.7127 | 0.7158 | 0.6883 |
| 66 | 0.6077 | 0.6610 | 0.6389 | 0.6899 | 0.6851 | 0.7115 | 0.7159 | 0.6952 |
| 67 | 0.6289 | 0.6633 | 0.6473 | 0.6617 | 0.6560 | 0.6770 | 0.6798 | 0.6488 |
| 68 | 0.5991 | 0.6305 | 0.6067 | 0.6502 | 0.6395 | 0.6468 | 0.6521 | 0.6215 |
| 69 | 0.7034 | 0.7388 | 0.7190 | 0.7409 | 0.7350 | 0.7550 | 0.7586 | 0.7380 |
| 70 | 0.3758 | 0.3878 | 0.3663 | 0.3830 | 0.3655 | 0.3904 | 0.3953 | 0.3484 |
| 71 | 0.3046 | 0.3209 | 0.3107 | 0.3286 | 0.3192 | 0.3466 | 0.3510 | 0.3045 |
| 72 | 0.2982 | 0.2866 | 0.2703 | 0.2858 | 0.2713 | 0.2873 | 0.2928 | 0.2350 |
| 73 | 0.2814 | 0.3012 | 0.2764 | 0.3612 | 0.3306 | 0.3509 | 0.3572 | 0.3125 |
| 74 | 0.3228 | 0.3558 | 0.3379 | 0.3842 | 0.3665 | 0.3878 | 0.3907 | 0.3604 |
| 75 | 0.8667 | 0.8906 | 0.8739 | 0.8644 | 0.8755 | 0.8929 | 0.8952 | 0.8733 |
| 76 | 0.8631 | 0.8796 | 0.8639 | 0.8499 | 0.8607 | 0.8808 | 0.8811 | 0.8610 |
| 77 | 0.9074 | 0.9236 | 0.9083 | 0.8944 | 0.9071 | 0.9221 | 0.9225 | 0.9037 |
| 78 | 0.7907 | 0.8215 | 0.8039 | 0.8163 | 0.8228 | 0.8375 | 0.8394 | 0.8223 |
| 79 | 0.8973 | 0.9123 | 0.8980 | 0.8806 | 0.8897 | 0.9056 | 0.9076 | 0.8831 |
| 80 | 0.5608 | 0.5777 | 0.5197 | 0.6162 | 0.5677 | 0.5827 | 0.5954 | 0.5473 |
| 81 | 0.6692 | 0.6877 | 0.6319 | 0.7157 | 0.6752 | 0.6884 | 0.6986 | 0.6678 |
| 82 | 0.7751 | 0.7927 | 0.7267 | 0.8052 | 0.7682 | 0.7819 | 0.7896 | 0.7828 |
| 83 | 0.6124 | 0.6329 | 0.5664 | 0.6586 | 0.5979 | 0.6178 | 0.6302 | 0.5951 |
| 84 | 0.9388 | 0.9450 | 0.9161 | 0.9332 | 0.9290 | 0.9364 | 0.9400 | 0.9210 |
| 85 | 0.9148 | 0.9267 | 0.9000 | 0.9007 | 0.9017 | 0.9161 | 0.9205 | 0.8964 |
| 86 | 0.9067 | 0.9202 | 0.9000 | 0.8970 | 0.9028 | 0.9143 | 0.9164 | 0.8891 |
| 87 | 0.8855 | 0.8969 | 0.8781 | 0.8808 | 0.8870 | 0.8973 | 0.9008 | 0.8691 |
| 88 | 0.8094 | 0.8265 | 0.8039 | 0.8184 | 0.8179 | 0.8302 | 0.8349 | 0.8024 |
| 89 | 0.9211 | 0.9255 | 0.8819 | 0.9191 | 0.9027 | 0.9116 | 0.9178 | 0.8978 |
| 90 | 0.9421 | 0.9516 | 0.9131 | 0.9405 | 0.9290 | 0.9385 | 0.9436 | 0.9290 |
| 91 | 0.7506 | 0.7869 | 0.7695 | 0.7855 | 0.7884 | 0.8036 | 0.8059 | 0.7915 |
| 92 | 0.6898 | 0.7382 | 0.7204 | 0.7609 | 0.7621 | 0.7773 | 0.7799 | 0.7717 |
| 93 | 0.7088 | 0.7398 | 0.7225 | 0.7465 | 0.7464 | 0.7659 | 0.7673 | 0.7439 |
| 94 | 0.7994 | 0.8186 | 0.8009 | 0.8198 | 0.8178 | 0.8312 | 0.8348 | 0.8076 |
| 95 | 0.7589 | 0.7961 | 0.7794 | 0.7942 | 0.7960 | 0.8103 | 0.8128 | 0.7867 |
| 96 | 0.6513 | 0.6831 | 0.6515 | 0.6687 | 0.6620 | 0.6836 | 0.6874 | 0.6247 |
| 97 | 0.6033 | 0.6323 | 0.5956 | 0.6397 | 0.6211 | 0.6376 | 0.6447 | 0.5854 |
| 98 | 0.7760 | 0.7949 | 0.7669 | 0.7465 | 0.7543 | 0.7825 | 0.7838 | 0.7174 |
| 99 | 0.4465 | 0.4861 | 0.4466 | 0.5278 | 0.4934 | 0.5205 | 0.5277 | 0.4671 |
| 100 | 0.3134 | 0.3485 | 0.3175 | 0.3988 | 0.3652 | 0.3912 | 0.3971 | 0.3392 |
| 101 | 0.4962 | 0.5465 | 0.5164 | 0.5636 | 0.5501 | 0.5769 | 0.5820 | 0.5249 |
| 102 | 0.5983 | 0.6426 | 0.6164 | 0.6569 | 0.6486 | 0.6771 | 0.6822 | 0.6240 |
| 103 | 0.3596 | 0.4033 | 0.3775 | 0.4331 | 0.4076 | 0.4308 | 0.4375 | 0.3809 |
| 104 | 0.2771 | 0.3149 | 0.2929 | 0.3617 | 0.3402 | 0.3650 | 0.3717 | 0.3332 |
| 105 | 0.4671 | 0.5206 | 0.4938 | 0.5442 | 0.5317 | 0.5602 | 0.5643 | 0.5130 |
| 106 | 0.4991 | 0.5508 | 0.5231 | 0.5940 | 0.5767 | 0.6043 | 0.6103 | 0.5715 |
| 107 | 0.6770 | 0.7132 | 0.6840 | 0.6943 | 0.6943 | 0.7215 | 0.7255 | 0.6621 |
| 108 | 0.4720 | 0.5095 | 0.4750 | 0.5523 | 0.5274 | 0.5540 | 0.5613 | 0.5046 |
| 109 | 0.7727 | 0.7966 | 0.7724 | 0.7461 | 0.7521 | 0.7864 | 0.7876 | 0.7234 |
| 110 | 0.5260 | 0.5994 | 0.5794 | 0.6408 | 0.6309 | 0.6597 | 0.6628 | 0.6410 |
| 111 | 0.2454 | 0.2958 | 0.2852 | 0.3471 | 0.3360 | 0.3544 | 0.3570 | 0.3372 |
| 112 | 0.2275 | 0.2296 | 0.2196 | 0.2397 | 0.2282 | 0.2442 | 0.2475 | 0.2099 |
| 113 | 0.6532 | 0.6987 | 0.6850 | 0.6957 | 0.6991 | 0.7251 | 0.7280 | 0.7106 |
| 114 | 0.2932 | 0.2988 | 0.2835 | 0.3142 | 0.2978 | 0.3200 | 0.3230 | 0.2860 |
| 115 | 0.5282 | 0.5709 | 0.5501 | 0.6104 | 0.6051 | 0.6234 | 0.6266 | 0.6147 |
| 116 | 0.6253 | 0.6895 | 0.6709 | 0.7015 | 0.7027 | 0.7221 | 0.7253 | 0.7196 |
| 117 | 0.3607 | 0.4204 | 0.4064 | 0.4769 | 0.4683 | 0.4937 | 0.4975 | 0.4951 |
| 118 | 0.2642 | 0.2664 | 0.2585 | 0.2726 | 0.2618 | 0.2850 | 0.2880 | 0.2507 |
| 119 | 0.2336 | 0.2457 | 0.2336 | 0.2721 | 0.2574 | 0.2797 | 0.2837 | 0.2422 |
| 120 | 0.4324 | 0.4353 | 0.4134 | 0.4490 | 0.4169 | 0.4440 | 0.4503 | 0.3964 |


| $\begin{gathered} \text { HD } \\ \text { overall } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Clinton16 } \\ 0.4734 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Abrams18 } \\ 0.4930 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Thornton18 } \\ 0.4697 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Biden20 } \\ 0.5013 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Blackman20 } \\ 0.4848 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ossoff21 } \\ 0.5061 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Warnock21 } \\ 0.5104 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Abrams22 } \\ 0.4620 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 121 | 0.4383 | 0.4382 | 0.4077 | 0.4598 | 0.4194 | 0.4425 | 0.4503 | 0.3852 |
| 122 | 0.7829 | 0.7982 | 0.7689 | 0.7877 | 0.7720 | 0.7958 | 0.8010 | 0.7655 |
| 123 | 0.3145 | 0.3023 | 0.3153 | 0.3195 | 0.3085 | 0.3193 | 0.3201 | 0.2736 |
| 124 | 0.3911 | 0.3841 | 0.3675 | 0.3980 | 0.3772 | 0.3936 | 0.3977 | 0.3395 |
| 125 | 0.3124 | 0.3380 | 0.3252 | 0.3750 | 0.3549 | 0.3784 | 0.3799 | 0.3423 |
| 126 | 0.6195 | 0.6212 | 0.6115 | 0.6197 | 0.6170 | 0.6298 | 0.6306 | 0.5894 |
| 127 | 0.3225 | 0.3389 | 0.3158 | 0.3749 | 0.3415 | 0.3649 | 0.3670 | 0.3174 |
| 128 | 0.5105 | 0.4989 | 0.4858 | 0.5025 | 0.4954 | 0.5098 | 0.5121 | 0.4545 |
| 129 | 0.6726 | 0.6733 | 0.6496 | 0.6856 | 0.6669 | 0.6835 | 0.6858 | 0.6342 |
| 130 | 0.6627 | 0.6813 | 0.6665 | 0.6839 | 0.6797 | 0.6947 | 0.6961 | 0.6730 |
| 131 | 0.2932 | 0.3217 | 0.2997 | 0.3670 | 0.3357 | 0.3639 | 0.3641 | 0.3232 |
| 132 | 0.6975 | 0.7065 | 0.6918 | 0.7024 | 0.6986 | 0.7175 | 0.7190 | 0.6724 |
| 133 | 0.4584 | 0.4527 | 0.4383 | 0.4561 | 0.4454 | 0.4705 | 0.4721 | 0.4204 |
| 134 | 0.3675 | 0.3622 | 0.3475 | 0.3672 | 0.3605 | 0.3794 | 0.3828 | 0.3402 |
| 135 | 0.2684 | 0.2653 | 0.2567 | 0.2640 | 0.2550 | 0.2713 | 0.2743 | 0.2254 |
| 136 | 0.3509 | 0.3549 | 0.3395 | 0.3499 | 0.3372 | 0.3571 | 0.3602 | 0.3056 |
| 137 | 0.5805 | 0.5883 | 0.5698 | 0.5897 | 0.5831 | 0.5999 | 0.6011 | 0.5656 |
| 138 | 0.2761 | 0.2729 | 0.2548 | 0.2985 | 0.2726 | 0.2949 | 0.2984 | 0.2546 |
| 139 | 0.3343 | 0.3473 | 0.3308 | 0.3915 | 0.3689 | 0.3872 | 0.3890 | 0.3475 |
| 140 | 0.7512 | 0.7692 | 0.7519 | 0.7471 | 0.7411 | 0.7654 | 0.7690 | 0.7451 |
| 141 | 0.7217 | 0.7419 | 0.7220 | 0.7370 | 0.7310 | 0.7494 | 0.7512 | 0.7280 |
| 142 | 0.6564 | 0.6705 | 0.6484 | 0.6687 | 0.6552 | 0.6724 | 0.6763 | 0.6316 |
| 143 | 0.7177 | 0.7223 | 0.7033 | 0.7099 | 0.7054 | 0.7228 | 0.7259 | 0.6915 |
| 144 | 0.3572 | 0.3620 | 0.3428 | 0.3923 | 0.3715 | 0.3905 | 0.3925 | 0.3457 |
| 145 | 0.4030 | 0.4083 | 0.3992 | 0.4182 | 0.4120 | 0.4290 | 0.4312 | 0.3886 |
| 146 | 0.3306 | 0.3558 | 0.3402 | 0.3840 | 0.3693 | 0.3930 | 0.3953 | 0.3570 |
| 147 | 0.3990 | 0.4414 | 0.4271 | 0.4662 | 0.4544 | 0.4793 | 0.4812 | 0.4429 |
| 148 | 0.3283 | 0.3167 | 0.2980 | 0.3276 | 0.3106 | 0.3286 | 0.3313 | 0.2913 |
| 149 | 0.3423 | 0.3256 | 0.3176 | 0.3348 | 0.3292 | 0.3441 | 0.3469 | 0.2964 |
| 150 | 0.5595 | 0.5496 | 0.5339 | 0.5455 | 0.5386 | 0.5543 | 0.5562 | 0.5107 |
| 151 | 0.4838 | 0.4720 | 0.4577 | 0.4809 | 0.4740 | 0.4877 | 0.4887 | 0.4452 |
| 152 | 0.2738 | 0.2855 | 0.2758 | 0.3017 | 0.2909 | 0.3123 | 0.3129 | 0.2793 |
| 153 | 0.6728 | 0.6798 | 0.6597 | 0.6825 | 0.6741 | 0.6887 | 0.6899 | 0.6593 |
| 154 | 0.5464 | 0.5383 | 0.5280 | 0.5377 | 0.5321 | 0.5504 | 0.5500 | 0.4931 |
| 155 | 0.3457 | 0.3279 | 0.3206 | 0.3489 | 0.3391 | 0.3541 | 0.3561 | 0.3130 |
| 156 | 0.2945 | 0.2829 | 0.2767 | 0.2976 | 0.2881 | 0.3012 | 0.3035 | 0.2486 |
| 157 | 0.2481 | 0.2370 | 0.2320 | 0.2511 | 0.2443 | 0.2572 | 0.2571 | 0.2076 |
| 158 | 0.3531 | 0.3412 | 0.3271 | 0.3492 | 0.3342 | 0.3512 | 0.3518 | 0.3047 |
| 159 | 0.3003 | 0.2928 | 0.2800 | 0.3045 | 0.2930 | 0.3104 | 0.3109 | 0.2651 |
| 160 | 0.3265 | 0.3052 | 0.2884 | 0.3178 | 0.2973 | 0.3121 | 0.3135 | 0.2560 |
| 161 | 0.3246 | 0.3679 | 0.3595 | 0.4068 | 0.3958 | 0.4200 | 0.4201 | 0.3897 |
| 162 | 0.6504 | 0.6870 | 0.6742 | 0.6721 | 0.6678 | 0.6893 | 0.6901 | 0.6576 |
| 163 | 0.7214 | 0.7313 | 0.7059 | 0.7266 | 0.7115 | 0.7291 | 0.7314 | 0.7008 |
| 164 | 0.3635 | 0.4190 | 0.4034 | 0.4286 | 0.4113 | 0.4347 | 0.4347 | 0.4062 |
| 165 | 0.7896 | 0.7899 | 0.7685 | 0.7803 | 0.7735 | 0.7851 | 0.7863 | 0.7540 |
| 166 | 0.3116 | 0.3135 | 0.2834 | 0.3470 | 0.3045 | 0.3300 | 0.3332 | 0.2844 |
| 167 | 0.3045 | 0.3125 | 0.3004 | 0.3268 | 0.3189 | 0.3377 | 0.3379 | 0.3008 |
| 168 | 0.6098 | 0.6350 | 0.6245 | 0.6225 | 0.6212 | 0.6460 | 0.6479 | 0.6024 |
| 169 | 0.2743 | 0.2641 | 0.2464 | 0.2767 | 0.2666 | 0.2806 | 0.2818 | 0.2370 |
| 170 | 0.2733 | 0.2610 | 0.2441 | 0.2846 | 0.2676 | 0.2881 | 0.2895 | 0.2362 |
| 171 | 0.3926 | 0.3819 | 0.3710 | 0.3957 | 0.3904 | 0.3953 | 0.3957 | 0.3469 |
| 172 | 0.2734 | 0.2564 | 0.2462 | 0.2732 | 0.2611 | 0.2760 | 0.2768 | 0.2273 |
| 173 | 0.4058 | 0.4008 | 0.3840 | 0.4191 | 0.4031 | 0.4133 | 0.4130 | 0.3706 |
| 174 | 0.2137 | 0.1984 | 0.1977 | 0.2076 | 0.2026 | 0.2085 | 0.2081 | 0.1994 |
| 175 | 0.3533 | 0.3524 | 0.3397 | 0.3565 | 0.3446 | 0.3541 | 0.3540 | 0.3100 |
| 176 | 0.2848 | 0.2806 | 0.2734 | 0.2866 | 0.2793 | 0.2936 | 0.2944 | 0.2505 |
| 177 | 0.5211 | 0.5375 | 0.5169 | 0.5718 | 0.5553 | 0.5697 | 0.5701 | 0.4892 |
| 178 | 0.1589 | 0.1447 | 0.1453 | 0.1585 | 0.1527 | 0.1624 | 0.1611 | 0.1272 |
| 179 | 0.3945 | 0.3937 | 0.3756 | 0.4203 | 0.4002 | 0.4030 | 0.4039 | 0.3524 |
| 180 | 0.3210 | 0.3373 | 0.3262 | 0.3423 | 0.3286 | 0.3438 | 0.3420 | 0.2955 |

Table 48: Vote shares for the minority candidate of choice across enacted House districts, in probative general and general runoff elections.

| HD | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pri } \\ & (4) \end{aligned}$ | Gen (8) | Eff? | HD | Pri <br> (4) | Gen (8) | Eff? | HD | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Pri } \\ & \text { (4) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Gen (8) | Eff? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | N | 61 | 4 | 8 | Y | 121 | 0 | 0 | N |
| 2 | 1 | 0 | N | 62 | 3 | 8 | Y | 122 | 3 | 8 | Y |
| 3 | 1 | 0 | N | 63 | 3 | 8 | Y | 123 | 3 | 0 | N |
| 4 | 2 | 0 | N | 64 | 3 | 0 | N | 124 | 2 | 0 | N |
| 5 | 1 | 0 | N | 65 | 4 | 8 | Y | 125 | 3 | 0 | N |
| 6 | 1 | 0 | N | 66 | 4 | 8 | Y | 126 | 4 | 8 | Y |
| 7 | 0 | 0 | N | 67 | 4 | 8 | Y | 127 | 3 | 0 | N |
| 8 | 0 | 0 | N | 68 | 4 | 8 | Y | 128 | 2 | 4 | N |
| 9 | 0 | 0 | N | 69 | 4 | 8 | Y | 129 | 3 | 8 | Y |
| 10 | 1 | 0 | N | 70 | 3 | 0 | N | 130 | 4 | 8 | Y |
| 11 | 0 | 0 | N | 71 | 3 | 0 | N | 131 | 3 | 0 | N |
| 12 | 1 | 0 | N | 72 | 1 | 0 | N | 132 | 4 | 8 | Y |
| 13 | 1 | 0 | N | 73 | 2 | 0 | N | 133 | 3 | 0 | N |
| 14 | 2 | 0 | N | 74 | 3 | 0 | N | 134 | 1 | 0 | N |
| 15 | 2 | 0 | N | 75 | 4 | 8 | Y | 135 | 1 | 0 | N |
| 16 | 3 | 0 | N | 76 | 4 | 8 | Y | 136 | 3 | 0 | N |
| 17 | 2 | 0 | N | 77 | 4 | 8 | Y | 137 | 4 | 8 | Y |
| 18 | 2 | 0 | N | 78 | 4 | 8 | Y | 138 | 2 | 0 | N |
| 19 | 3 | 0 | N | 79 | 4 | 8 | Y | 139 | 2 | 0 | N |
| 20 | 1 | 0 | N | 80 | 0 | 8 | N | 140 | 4 | 8 | Y |
| 21 | 1 | 0 | N | 81 | 0 | 8 | N | 141 | 4 | 8 | Y |
| 22 | 3 | 0 | N | 82 | 0 | 8 | N | 142 | 3 | 8 | Y |
| 23 | 1 | 0 | N | 83 | 0 | 8 | N | 143 | 3 | 8 | Y |
| 24 | 1 | 0 | N | 84 | 3 | 8 | Y | 144 | 3 | 0 | N |
| 25 | 0 | 0 | N | 85 | 3 | 8 | Y | 145 | 3 | 0 | N |
| 26 | 0 | 0 | N | 86 | 3 | 8 | Y | 146 | 4 | 0 | N |
| 27 | 1 | 0 | N | 87 | 4 | 8 | Y | 147 | 4 | 0 | N |
| 28 | 0 | 0 | N | 88 | 3 | 8 | Y | 148 | 4 | 0 | N |
| 29 | 2 | 0 | N | 89 | 2 | 8 | N | 149 | 2 | 0 | N |
| 30 | 0 | 0 | N | 90 | 2 | 8 | N | 150 | 4 | 8 | Y |
| 31 | 1 | 0 | N | 91 | 4 | 8 | Y | 151 | 4 | 0 | N |
| 32 | 2 | 0 | N | 92 | 4 | 8 | Y | 152 | 4 | 0 | N |
| 33 | 3 | 0 | N | 93 | 4 | 8 | Y | 153 | 4 | 8 | Y |
| 34 | 3 | 0 | N | 94 | 4 | 8 | Y | 154 | 4 | 7 | Y |
| 35 | 3 | 8 | Y | 95 | 4 | 8 | Y | 155 | 3 | 0 | N |
| 36 | 3 | 0 | N | 96 | 3 | 8 | Y | 156 | 4 | 0 | N |
| 37 | 3 | 8 | Y | 97 | 3 | 8 | Y | 157 | 3 | 0 | N |
| 38 | 4 | 8 | Y | 98 | 3 | 8 | Y | 158 | 2 | 0 | N |
| 39 | 4 | 8 | Y | 99 | 3 | 3 | N | 159 | 2 | 0 | N |
| 40 | 3 | 8 | Y | 100 | 1 | 0 | N | 160 | 2 | 0 | N |
| 41 | 4 | 8 | Y | 101 | 3 | 7 | Y | 161 | 4 | 0 | N |
| 42 | 3 | 8 | Y | 102 | 3 | 8 | Y | 162 | 4 | 8 | Y |
| 43 | 3 | 8 | Y | 103 | 3 | 0 | N | 163 | 3 | 8 | Y |
| 44 | 2 | 0 | N | 104 | 3 | 0 | N | 164 | 3 | 0 | N |
| 45 | 0 | 0 | N | 105 | 3 | 6 | Y | 165 | 4 | 8 | Y |
| 46 | 0 | 0 | N | 106 | 3 | 7 | Y | 166 | 3 | 0 | N |
| 47 | 2 | 0 | N | 107 | 3 | 8 | Y | 167 | 3 | 0 | N |
| 48 | 0 | 1 | N | 108 | 3 | 6 | Y | 168 | 4 | 8 | Y |
| 49 | 0 | 0 | N | 109 | 3 | 8 | Y | 169 | 3 | 0 | N |
| 50 | 2 | 8 | N | 110 | 4 | 8 | Y | 170 | 3 | 0 | N |
| 51 | 0 | 8 | N | 111 | 3 | 0 | N | 171 | 4 | 0 | N |
| 52 | 0 | 8 | N | 112 | 1 | 0 | N | 172 | 4 | 0 | N |
| 53 | 0 | 1 | N | 113 | 4 | 8 | Y | 173 | 4 | 0 | N |
| 54 | 0 | 7 | N | 114 | 3 | 0 | N | 174 | 3 | 0 | N |
| 55 | 3 | 8 | Y | 115 | 4 | 8 | Y | 175 | 4 | 0 | N |
| 56 | 3 | 8 | Y | 116 | 4 | 8 | Y | 176 | 4 | 0 | N |
| 57 | 0 | 8 | N | 117 | 3 | 0 | N | 177 | 4 | 7 | Y |
| 58 | 3 | 8 | Y | 118 | 3 | 0 | N | 178 | 3 | 0 | N |
| 59 | 3 | 8 | Y | 119 | 2 | 0 | N | 179 | 3 | 0 | N |
| 60 | 3 | 8 | Y | 120 | 2 | 0 | N | 180 | 3 | 0 | N |

Table 49: Of 180 enacted House districts, 69 are rated as providing an effective opportunity to elect coalition candidates of choice.

|  | CD Alt |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CD | BVAP | BHVAP | Primaries <br> out of 4 | Generals <br> out of 8 |
| 1 | $30.3 \%$ | $37.2 \%$ | 3 | 0 |
| 2 | $47.7 \%$ | $52.4 \%$ | 4 | 8 |
| 3 | $51.2 \%$ | $58.4 \%$ | 4 | 8 |
| 4 | $50.6 \%$ | $58.8 \%$ | 3 | 8 |
| 5 | $50.1 \%$ | $61.5 \%$ | 3 | 8 |
| 6 | $13.7 \%$ | $24.6 \%$ | 0 | 3 |
| 7 | $34.3 \%$ | $56.7 \%$ | 3 | 8 |
| 8 | $27.3 \%$ | $34.2 \%$ | 4 | 0 |
| 9 | $4.6 \%$ | $16.1 \%$ | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | $17.6 \%$ | $24.5 \%$ | 3 | 0 |
| 11 | $17.6 \%$ | $25.2 \%$ | 2 | 0 |
| 12 | $39.2 \%$ | $43.8 \%$ | 3 | 0 |
| 13 | $52.0 \%$ | $58.8 \%$ | 4 | 8 |
| 14 | $7.6 \%$ | $18.6 \%$ | 1 | 0 |

Table 50: CD Alt effectiveness.

|  | SD Alt Eff 1 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SD | BVAP | BHVAP | Primaries out of 4 | Generals out of 8 |
| 1 | 25.1\% | 32.6\% | 3 | 0 |
| 2 | 46.9\% | 54.4\% | 4 | 8 |
| 3 | 21.2\% | 27.4\% | 3 | 0 |
| 4 | 23.5\% | 29.0\% | 3 | 0 |
| 5 | 20.3\% | 54.9\% | 3 | 8 |
| 6 | 50.1\% | 56.2\% | 3 | 8 |
| 7 | 17.1\% | 31.4\% | 3 | 3 |
| 8 | 30.4\% | 36.6\% | 4 | 0 |
| 9 | 29.3\% | 56.3\% | 3 | 8 |
| 10 | 59.5\% | 70.5\% | 4 | 8 |
| 11 | 31.0\% | 38.6\% | 4 | 0 |
| 12 | 58.0\% | 61.5\% | 4 | 8 |
| 13 | 27.0\% | 33.0\% | 4 | 0 |
| 14 | 18.1\% | 29.5\% | 0 | 8 |
| 15 | 54.0\% | 60.6\% | 4 | 8 |
| 16 | 50.2\% | 56.4\% | 4 | 8 |
| 17 | 51.1\% | 57.7\% | 4 | 8 |
| 18 | 30.4\% | 34.9\% | 3 | 0 |
| 19 | 25.7\% | 34.1\% | 4 | 0 |
| 20 | 34.4\% | 39.5\% | 3 | 0 |
| 21 | 7.5\% | 16.3\% | 2 | 0 |
| 22 | 50.5\% | 54.3\% | 4 | 8 |
| 23 | 23.0\% | 28.6\% | 3 | 0 |
| 24 | 25.0\% | 28.5\% | 3 | 0 |
| 25 | 50.0\% | 54.0\% | 3 | 8 |
| 26 | 50.1\% | 53.8\% | 4 | 8 |
| 27 | 4.7\% | 14.9\% | 0 | 0 |
| 28 | 50.6\% | 57.4\% | 4 | 8 |
| 29 | 26.9\% | 31.4\% | 3 | 0 |
| 30 | 14.3\% | 19.4\% | 1 | 0 |
| 31 | 19.7\% | 26.9\% | 3 | 0 |
| 32 | 14.9\% | 25.4\% | 3 | 0 |
| 33 | 50.4\% | 68.5\% | 4 | 8 |
| 34 | 72.2\% | 83.8\% | 4 | 8 |
| 35 | 50.9\% | 58.9\% | 4 | 8 |
| 36 | 50.0\% | 55.7\% | 1 | 8 |
| 37 | 19.3\% | 28.0\% | 3 | 0 |
| 38 | 27.9\% | 43.3\% | 3 | 8 |
| 39 | 51.2\% | 56.6\% | 4 | 8 |
| 40 | 50.1\% | 67.8\% | 3 | 8 |
| 41 | 57.3\% | 67.3\% | 3 | 8 |
| 42 | 35.8\% | 45.4\% | 0 | 8 |
| 43 | 52.0\% | 59.0\% | 4 | 8 |
| 44 | 61.6\% | 65.2\% | 3 | 8 |
| 45 | 19.8\% | 31.9\% | 3 | 0 |
| 46 | 16.5\% | 21.5\% | 2 | 0 |
| 47 | 16.7\% | 25.4\% | 3 | 0 |
| 48 | 10.1\% | 16.5\% | 0 | 1 |
| 49 | 8.1\% | 32.7\% | 1 | 0 |
| 50 | 5.4\% | 11.5\% | 1 | 0 |
| 51 | 1.2\% | 5.5\% | 0 | 0 |
| 52 | 13.0\% | 21.2\% | 1 | 0 |
| 53 | 5.1\% | 8.3\% | 1 | 0 |
| 54 | 3.8\% | 26.4\% | 1 | 0 |
| 55 | 50.0\% | 63.9\% | 4 | 8 |
| 56 | 7.6\% | 15.3\% | 0 | 0 |

Table 51: Effectiveness in SD Alt Eff 1 , which includes the Alt 1 Gingles maps.

$\left.$|  | SD Alt Eff 2 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SD | BVAP | BHVAP | Primaries |  |
| out of 4 |  |  |  |  |$\quad$| Generals |
| :---: |
| out of 8 | \right\rvert\,

Table 52: Effectiveness in SD Alt Eff 2, which includes the Alt 2 Gingles maps.

|  | HD Alt Eff 1 Part 1 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SD | BVAP | BHVAP | Primaries out of 4 | Generals out of 8 |
| 1 | 4.2\% | 6.3\% | 1 | 0 |
| 2 | 3.2\% | 10.8\% | 1 | 0 |
| 3 | 3.4\% | 6.4\% | 1 | 0 |
| 4 | 5.4\% | 49.5\% | 2 | 0 |
| 5 | 4.6\% | 17.2\% | 1 | 0 |
| 6 | 1.5\% | 13.5\% | 1 | 0 |
| 7 | 0.6\% | 6.1\% | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | 1.4\% | 4.1\% | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | 1.6\% | 6.3\% | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | 3.7\% | 13.7\% | 1 | 0 |
| 11 | 1.8\% | 6.0\% | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | 9.7\% | 15.9\% | 1 | 0 |
| 13 | 19.2\% | 30.0\% | 1 | 0 |
| 14 | 6.8\% | 12.7\% | 2 | 0 |
| 15 | 14.2\% | 23.9\% | 2 | 0 |
| 16 | 11.7\% | 20.3\% | 3 | 0 |
| 17 | 23.0\% | 29.9\% | 2 | 0 |
| 18 | 8.0\% | 10.4\% | 2 | 0 |
| 19 | 24.1\% | 30.9\% | 3 | 0 |
| 20 | 9.3\% | 18.5\% | 1 | 0 |
| 21 | 5.1\% | 12.5\% | 1 | 0 |
| 22 | 15.1\% | 26.7\% | 3 | 0 |
| 23 | 6.5\% | 20.7\% | 1 | 0 |
| 24 | 7.0\% | 17.3\% | 1 | 0 |
| 25 | 5.9\% | 11.0\% | 0 | 0 |
| 26 | 4.0\% | 14.8\% | 0 | 0 |
| 27 | 3.7\% | 13.3\% | 1 | 0 |
| 28 | 3.9\% | 15.3\% | 0 | 0 |
| 29 | 13.6\% | 53.3\% | 2 | 0 |
| 30 | 8.1\% | 24.2\% | 0 | 0 |
| 31 | 7.6\% | 26.5\% | 1 | 0 |
| 32 | 8.0\% | 12.9\% | 2 | 0 |
| 33 | 11.2\% | 14.3\% | 3 | 0 |
| 34 | 15.7\% | 23.5\% | 3 | 0 |
| 35 | 28.4\% | 39.6\% | 3 | 8 |
| 36 | 17.0\% | 23.5\% | 3 | 0 |
| 37 | 28.2\% | 46.8\% | 3 | 8 |
| 38 | 54.2\% | 66.8\% | 4 | 8 |
| 39 | 55.3\% | 74.0\% | 4 | 8 |
| 40 | 33.0\% | 38.9\% | 3 | 8 |
| 41 | 39.4\% | 68.0\% | 4 | 8 |
| 42 | 33.7\% | 51.1\% | 3 | 8 |
| 43 | 26.5\% | 40.6\% | 3 | 8 |
| 44 | 12.0\% | 22.5\% | 2 | 0 |
| 45 | 5.3\% | 10.2\% | 0 | 0 |
| 46 | 8.1\% | 15.5\% | 0 | 0 |
| 47 | 10.7\% | 18.1\% | 2 | 0 |
| 48 | 11.8\% | 24.2\% | 0 | 1 |
| 49 | 8.4\% | 15.1\% | 0 | 0 |
| 50 | 12.4\% | 18.8\% | 2 | 8 |
| 51 | 23.7\% | 37.0\% | 0 | 8 |
| 52 | 16.0\% | 23.4\% | 0 | 8 |
| 53 | 14.5\% | 21.9\% | 0 | 1 |
| 54 | 15.5\% | 28.3\% | 0 | 7 |
| 55 | 55.4\% | 60.4\% | 3 | 8 |
| 56 | 45.5\% | 51.3\% | 3 | 8 |
| 57 | 18.1\% | 26.1\% | 0 | 8 |
| 58 | 63.0\% | 68.1\% | 3 | 8 |
| 59 | 70.1\% | 74.5\% | 3 | 8 |
| 60 | 63.9\% | 69.0\% | 3 | 8 |


|  | HD Alt Eff 1 Part 2 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SD | BVAP | BHVAP | Primaries out of 4 | Generals out of 8 |
| 61 | 74.3\% | 81.9\% | 4 | 8 |
| 62 | 72.3\% | 79.1\% | 3 | 8 |
| 63 | 69.3\% | 78.6\% | 3 | 8 |
| 64 | 30.7\% | 38.1\% | 3 | 0 |
| 65 | 62.0\% | 66.5\% | 4 | 8 |
| 66 | 53.4\% | 62.9\% | 4 | 8 |
| 67 | 58.9\% | 66.7\% | 4 | 8 |
| 68 | 55.7\% | 62.0\% | 4 | 8 |
| 69 | 63.6\% | 69.0\% | 4 | 8 |
| 70 | 27.8\% | 35.8\% | 3 | 0 |
| 71 | 19.9\% | 26.1\% | 3 | 0 |
| 72 | 20.9\% | 27.8\% | 1 | 0 |
| 73 | 12.1\% | 19.1\% | 2 | 0 |
| 74 | 25.5\% | 31.1\% | 3 | 0 |
| 75 | 74.4\% | 85.7\% | 4 | 8 |
| 76 | 67.2\% | 80.4\% | 4 | 8 |
| 77 | 76.1\% | 88.3\% | 4 | 8 |
| 78 | 71.6\% | 80.5\% | 4 | 8 |
| 79 | 71.6\% | 87.6\% | 4 | 8 |
| 80 | 14.2\% | 37.3\% | 0 | 8 |
| 81 | 21.8\% | 42.7\% | 0 | 8 |
| 82 | 16.8\% | 23.6\% | 0 | 8 |
| 83 | 15.1\% | 43.6\% | 0 | 8 |
| 84 | 73.7\% | 76.7\% | 3 | 8 |
| 85 | 62.7\% | 68.6\% | 3 | 8 |
| 86 | 75.1\% | 79.4\% | 3 | 8 |
| 87 | 73.1\% | 79.8\% | 4 | 8 |
| 88 | 63.3\% | 73.3\% | 3 | 8 |
| 89 | 62.5\% | 65.9\% | 2 | 8 |
| 90 | 58.5\% | 62.8\% | 2 | 8 |
| 91 | 70.0\% | 75.9\% | 4 | 8 |
| 92 | 68.8\% | 73.5\% | 4 | 8 |
| 93 | 65.4\% | 75.0\% | 4 | 8 |
| 94 | 69.0\% | 76.3\% | 4 | 8 |
| 95 | 67.2\% | 75.1\% | 4 | 8 |
| 96 | 23.0\% | 59.0\% | 3 | 8 |
| 97 | 26.8\% | 46.0\% | 3 | 8 |
| 98 | 23.2\% | 76.0\% | 3 | 8 |
| 99 | 14.7\% | 23.4\% | 3 | 3 |
| 100 | 10.0\% | 20.0\% | 1 | 0 |
| 101 | 24.2\% | 42.4\% | 3 | 7 |
| 102 | 37.6\% | 58.9\% | 3 | 8 |
| 103 | 16.8\% | 33.7\% | 3 | 0 |
| 104 | 17.0\% | 28.1\% | 3 | 0 |
| 105 | 29.0\% | 45.8\% | 3 | 6 |
| 106 | 36.3\% | 47.4\% | 3 | 7 |
| 107 | 29.6\% | 60.7\% | 3 | 8 |
| 108 | 18.4\% | 36.6\% | 3 | 6 |
| 109 | 32.5\% | 68.6\% | 3 | 8 |
| 110 | 47.2\% | 57.7\% | 4 | 8 |
| 111 | 22.3\% | 31.1\% | 3 | 0 |
| 112 | 19.2\% | 22.5\% | 1 | 0 |
| 113 | 59.5\% | 66.2\% | 4 | 8 |
| 114 | 24.7\% | 28.4\% | 3 | 0 |
| 115 | 52.1\% | 59.1\% | 4 | 8 |
| 116 | 58.1\% | 65.4\% | 4 | 8 |
| 117 | 36.6\% | 42.0\% | 3 | 0 |
| 118 | 23.6\% | 27.3\% | 3 | 0 |
| 119 | 13.5\% | 23.9\% | 2 | 0 |
| 120 | 14.3\% | 21.4\% | 2 | 0 |


|  | HD Alt Eff 1 Part 3 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SD | BVAP | BHVAP | Primaries out of 4 | Generals out of 8 |
| 121 | 9.6\% | 15.2\% | 0 | 0 |
| 122 | 28.4\% | 40.1\% | 3 | 8 |
| 123 | 24.3\% | 28.6\% | 3 | 0 |
| 124 | 25.6\% | 31.8\% | 2 | 0 |
| 125 | 23.7\% | 31.4\% | 3 | 0 |
| 126 | 54.5\% | 57.7\% | 4 | 8 |
| 127 | 18.5\% | 23.3\% | 3 | 0 |
| 128 | 50.4\% | 52.1\% | 2 | 4 |
| 129 | 54.9\% | 59.2\% | 3 | 8 |
| 130 | 59.9\% | 63.8\% | 4 | 8 |
| 131 | 17.6\% | 23.5\% | 3 | 0 |
| 132 | 52.3\% | 60.1\% | 4 | 8 |
| 133 | 36.8\% | 38.9\% | 3 | 0 |
| 134 | 33.6\% | 37.3\% | 1 | 0 |
| 135 | 23.8\% | 25.6\% | 1 | 0 |
| 136 | 28.7\% | 32.3\% | 3 | 0 |
| 137 | 52.1\% | 56.6\% | 4 | 8 |
| 138 | 19.3\% | 22.6\% | 2 | 0 |
| 139 | 20.3\% | 26.7\% | 2 | 0 |
| 140 | 57.6\% | 65.6\% | 4 | 8 |
| 141 | 57.5\% | 64.1\% | 4 | 8 |
| 142 | 59.5\% | 63.2\% | 3 | 8 |
| 143 | 60.8\% | 65.5\% | 3 | 8 |
| 144 | 29.3\% | 31.9\% | 3 | 0 |
| 145 | 35.7\% | 41.6\% | 3 | 0 |
| 146 | 27.6\% | 32.3\% | 4 | 0 |
| 147 | 30.1\% | 37.3\% | 4 | 0 |
| 148 | 34.0\% | 37.1\% | 4 | 0 |
| 149 | 32.1\% | 37.8\% | 2 | 0 |
| 150 | 53.6\% | 59.7\% | 4 | 8 |
| 151 | 42.4\% | 49.7\% | 4 | 0 |
| 152 | 26.1\% | 28.4\% | 4 | 0 |
| 153 | 67.9\% | 70.4\% | 4 | 8 |
| 154 | 54.8\% | 56.5\% | 4 | 7 |
| 155 | 35.9\% | 38.1\% | 3 | 0 |
| 156 | 30.3\% | 37.2\% | 4 | 0 |
| 157 | 24.7\% | 33.7\% | 3 | 0 |
| 158 | 31.2\% | 35.7\% | 2 | 0 |
| 159 | 24.5\% | 27.4\% | 2 | 0 |
| 160 | 22.6\% | 27.6\% | 2 | 0 |
| 161 | 27.1\% | 33.9\% | 4 | 0 |
| 162 | 43.7\% | 53.3\% | 4 | 8 |
| 163 | 45.5\% | 52.9\% | 3 | 8 |
| 164 | 23.5\% | 32.0\% | 3 | 0 |
| 165 | 50.3\% | 55.6\% | 4 | 8 |
| 166 | 5.7\% | 9.8\% | 3 | 0 |
| 167 | 22.3\% | 29.7\% | 3 | 0 |
| 168 | 46.3\% | 56.6\% | 4 | 8 |
| 169 | 29.0\% | 36.7\% | 3 | 0 |
| 170 | 24.2\% | 32.9\% | 3 | 0 |
| 171 | 39.6\% | 44.2\% | 4 | 0 |
| 172 | 23.3\% | 36.7\% | 4 | 0 |
| 173 | 36.3\% | 41.7\% | 4 | 0 |
| 174 | 17.4\% | 25.4\% | 3 | 0 |
| 175 | 24.2\% | 29.2\% | 4 | 0 |
| 176 | 22.7\% | 30.9\% | 4 | 0 |
| 177 | 53.9\% | 60.0\% | 4 | 7 |
| 178 | 14.8\% | 19.9\% | 3 | 0 |
| 179 | 27.0\% | 33.4\% | 3 | 0 |
| 180 | 18.2\% | 23.8\% | 3 | 0 |

Table 53: Effectiveness in HD Alt Eff 1, which includes the Alt 1 Gingles maps.

|  | HD Alt Eff 2 Part 1 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HD | BVAP | BHVAP | Primaries out of 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Generals } \\ \text { out of } 8 \end{gathered}$ |
| 1 | 4.2\% | 6.3\% | 1 | 0 |
| 2 | 3.2\% | 10.8\% | 1 | 0 |
| 3 | 3.4\% | 6.4\% | 1 | 0 |
| 4 | 5.4\% | 49.5\% | 2 | 0 |
| 5 | 4.6\% | 17.2\% | 1 | 0 |
| 6 | 1.5\% | 13.5\% | 1 | 0 |
| 7 | 0.6\% | 6.1\% | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | 1.4\% | 4.1\% | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | 1.6\% | 6.3\% | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | 3.7\% | 13.7\% | 1 | 0 |
| 11 | 1.8\% | 6.0\% | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | 9.7\% | 15.9\% | 1 | 0 |
| 13 | 19.2\% | 30.0\% | 1 | 0 |
| 14 | 6.8\% | 12.7\% | 2 | 0 |
| 15 | 14.2\% | 23.9\% | 2 | 0 |
| 16 | 11.7\% | 20.3\% | 3 | 0 |
| 17 | 23.0\% | 29.9\% | 2 | 0 |
| 18 | 8.0\% | 10.4\% | 2 | 0 |
| 19 | 24.1\% | 30.9\% | 3 | 0 |
| 20 | 9.3\% | 18.5\% | 1 | 0 |
| 21 | 5.1\% | 12.5\% | 1 | 0 |
| 22 | 15.1\% | 26.7\% | 3 | 0 |
| 23 | 6.5\% | 20.7\% | 1 | 0 |
| 24 | 7.0\% | 17.3\% | 1 | 0 |
| 25 | 5.9\% | 11.0\% | 0 | 0 |
| 26 | 4.0\% | 14.8\% | 0 | 0 |
| 27 | 3.7\% | 13.3\% | 1 | 0 |
| 28 | 3.9\% | 15.3\% | 0 | 0 |
| 29 | 13.6\% | 53.3\% | 2 | 0 |
| 30 | 8.1\% | 24.2\% | 0 | 0 |
| 31 | 7.6\% | 26.5\% | 1 | 0 |
| 32 | 8.0\% | 12.9\% | 2 | 0 |
| 33 | 11.2\% | 14.3\% | 3 | 0 |
| 34 | 15.7\% | 23.5\% | 3 | 0 |
| 35 | 28.4\% | 39.6\% | 3 | 8 |
| 36 | 17.0\% | 23.5\% | 3 | 0 |
| 37 | 28.2\% | 46.8\% | 3 | 8 |
| 38 | 54.2\% | 66.8\% | 4 | 8 |
| 39 | 55.3\% | 74.0\% | 4 | 8 |
| 40 | 33.0\% | 38.9\% | 3 | 8 |
| 41 | 39.4\% | 68.0\% | 4 | 8 |
| 42 | 33.7\% | 51.1\% | 3 | 8 |
| 43 | 26.5\% | 40.6\% | 3 | 8 |
| 44 | 12.0\% | 22.5\% | 2 | 0 |
| 45 | 5.3\% | 10.2\% | 0 | 0 |
| 46 | 8.1\% | 15.5\% | 0 | 0 |
| 47 | 10.7\% | 18.1\% | 2 | 0 |
| 48 | 11.8\% | 24.2\% | 0 | 1 |
| 49 | 8.4\% | 15.1\% | 0 | 0 |
| 50 | 12.4\% | 18.8\% | 2 | 8 |
| 51 | 23.7\% | 37.0\% | 0 | 8 |
| 52 | 16.0\% | 23.4\% | 0 | 8 |
| 53 | 14.5\% | 21.9\% | 0 | 1 |
| 54 | 15.5\% | 28.3\% | 0 | 7 |
| 55 | 55.4\% | 60.4\% | 3 | 8 |
| 56 | 45.5\% | 51.3\% | 3 | 8 |
| 57 | 18.1\% | 26.1\% | 0 | 8 |
| 58 | 63.0\% | 68.1\% | 3 | 8 |
| 59 | 70.1\% | 74.5\% | 3 | 8 |
| 60 | 63.9\% | 69.0\% | 3 | 8 |


|  | HD Alt Eff 2 Part 2 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HD | BVAP | BHVAP | Primaries out of 4 | Generals out of 8 |
| 61 | 74.3\% | 81.9\% | 4 | 8 |
| 62 | 72.3\% | 79.1\% | 3 | 8 |
| 63 | 69.3\% | 78.6\% | 3 | 8 |
| 64 | 30.7\% | 38.1\% | 3 | 0 |
| 65 | 62.0\% | 66.5\% | 4 | 8 |
| 66 | 53.4\% | 62.9\% | 4 | 8 |
| 67 | 58.9\% | 66.7\% | 4 | 8 |
| 68 | 55.7\% | 62.0\% | 4 | 8 |
| 69 | 63.6\% | 69.0\% | 4 | 8 |
| 70 | 27.8\% | 35.8\% | 3 | 0 |
| 71 | 19.9\% | 26.1\% | 3 | 0 |
| 72 | 20.9\% | 27.8\% | 1 | 0 |
| 73 | 12.1\% | 19.1\% | 2 | 0 |
| 74 | 25.5\% | 31.1\% | 3 | 0 |
| 75 | 74.4\% | 85.7\% | 4 | 8 |
| 76 | 67.2\% | 80.4\% | 4 | 8 |
| 77 | 76.1\% | 88.3\% | 4 | 8 |
| 78 | 71.6\% | 80.5\% | 4 | 8 |
| 79 | 71.6\% | 87.6\% | 4 | 8 |
| 80 | 14.2\% | 37.3\% | 0 | 8 |
| 81 | 21.8\% | 42.7\% | 0 | 8 |
| 82 | 16.8\% | 23.6\% | 0 | 8 |
| 83 | 15.1\% | 43.6\% | 0 | 8 |
| 84 | 73.7\% | 76.7\% | 3 | 8 |
| 85 | 62.7\% | 68.6\% | 3 | 8 |
| 86 | 75.1\% | 79.4\% | 3 | 8 |
| 87 | 73.1\% | 79.8\% | 4 | 8 |
| 88 | 63.3\% | 73.3\% | 3 | 8 |
| 89 | 62.5\% | 65.9\% | 2 | 8 |
| 90 | 58.5\% | 62.8\% | 2 | 8 |
| 91 | 70.0\% | 75.9\% | 4 | 8 |
| 92 | 68.8\% | 73.5\% | 4 | 8 |
| 93 | 65.4\% | 75.0\% | 4 | 8 |
| 94 | 69.0\% | 76.3\% | 4 | 8 |
| 95 | 67.2\% | 75.1\% | 4 | 8 |
| 96 | 23.0\% | 59.0\% | 3 | 8 |
| 97 | 26.8\% | 46.0\% | 3 | 8 |
| 98 | 23.2\% | 76.0\% | 3 | 8 |
| 99 | 14.7\% | 23.4\% | 3 | 3 |
| 100 | 10.0\% | 20.0\% | 1 | 0 |
| 101 | 24.2\% | 42.4\% | 3 | 7 |
| 102 | 37.6\% | 58.9\% | 3 | 8 |
| 103 | 16.8\% | 33.7\% | 3 | 0 |
| 104 | 17.0\% | 28.1\% | 3 | 0 |
| 105 | 29.0\% | 45.8\% | 3 | 6 |
| 106 | 36.3\% | 47.4\% | 3 | 7 |
| 107 | 29.6\% | 60.7\% | 3 | 8 |
| 108 | 18.4\% | 36.6\% | 3 | 6 |
| 109 | 32.5\% | 68.6\% | 3 | 8 |
| 110 | 47.2\% | 57.7\% | 4 | 8 |
| 111 | 22.3\% | 31.1\% | 3 | 0 |
| 112 | 19.2\% | 22.5\% | 1 | 0 |
| 113 | 59.5\% | 66.2\% | 4 | 8 |
| 114 | 24.7\% | 28.4\% | 3 | 0 |
| 115 | 52.1\% | 59.1\% | 4 | 8 |
| 116 | 58.1\% | 65.4\% | 4 | 8 |
| 117 | 36.6\% | 42.0\% | 3 | 0 |
| 118 | 23.6\% | 27.3\% | 3 | 0 |
| 119 | 13.5\% | 23.9\% | 2 | 0 |
| 120 | 14.3\% | 21.4\% | 2 | 0 |


|  | HD Alt Eff 2 Part 3 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HD | BVAP | BHVAP | Primaries out of 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Generals } \\ \text { out of } 8 \end{gathered}$ |
| 121 | 9.6\% | 15.2\% | 0 | 0 |
| 122 | 28.4\% | 40.1\% | 3 | 8 |
| 123 | 24.3\% | 28.6\% | 3 | 0 |
| 124 | 25.6\% | 31.8\% | 2 | 0 |
| 125 | 23.7\% | 31.4\% | 3 | 0 |
| 126 | 54.5\% | 57.7\% | 4 | 8 |
| 127 | 18.5\% | 23.3\% | 3 | 0 |
| 128 | 50.4\% | 52.1\% | 2 | 4 |
| 129 | 54.9\% | 59.2\% | 3 | 8 |
| 130 | 59.9\% | 63.8\% | 4 | 8 |
| 131 | 17.6\% | 23.5\% | 3 | 0 |
| 132 | 52.3\% | 60.1\% | 4 | 8 |
| 133 | 36.8\% | 38.9\% | 3 | 0 |
| 134 | 33.6\% | 37.3\% | 1 | 0 |
| 135 | 23.8\% | 25.6\% | 1 | 0 |
| 136 | 28.7\% | 32.3\% | 3 | 0 |
| 137 | 52.1\% | 56.6\% | 4 | 8 |
| 138 | 19.3\% | 22.6\% | 2 | 0 |
| 139 | 20.3\% | 26.7\% | 2 | 0 |
| 140 | 57.6\% | 65.6\% | 4 | 8 |
| 141 | 57.5\% | 64.1\% | 4 | 8 |
| 142 | 59.5\% | 63.2\% | 3 | 8 |
| 143 | 60.8\% | 65.5\% | 3 | 8 |
| 144 | 29.3\% | 31.9\% | 3 | 0 |
| 145 | 35.7\% | 41.6\% | 3 | 0 |
| 146 | 27.6\% | 32.3\% | 4 | 0 |
| 147 | 30.1\% | 37.3\% | 4 | 0 |
| 148 | 34.0\% | 37.1\% | 4 | 0 |
| 149 | 32.1\% | 37.8\% | 2 | 0 |
| 150 | 53.6\% | 59.7\% | 4 | 8 |
| 151 | 42.4\% | 49.7\% | 4 | 0 |
| 152 | 26.1\% | 28.4\% | 4 | 0 |
| 153 | 67.9\% | 70.4\% | 4 | 8 |
| 154 | 54.8\% | 56.5\% | 4 | 7 |
| 155 | 35.9\% | 38.1\% | 3 | 0 |
| 156 | 30.3\% | 37.2\% | 4 | 0 |
| 157 | 24.7\% | 33.7\% | 3 | 0 |
| 158 | 31.2\% | 35.7\% | 2 | 0 |
| 159 | 24.5\% | 27.4\% | 2 | 0 |
| 160 | 22.6\% | 27.6\% | 2 | 0 |
| 161 | 27.1\% | 33.9\% | 4 | 0 |
| 162 | 43.7\% | 53.3\% | 4 | 8 |
| 163 | 45.5\% | 52.9\% | 3 | 8 |
| 164 | 23.5\% | 32.0\% | 3 | 0 |
| 165 | 50.3\% | 55.6\% | 4 | 8 |
| 166 | 5.7\% | 9.8\% | 3 | 0 |
| 167 | 22.3\% | 29.7\% | 3 | 0 |
| 168 | 46.3\% | 56.6\% | 4 | 8 |
| 169 | 29.0\% | 36.7\% | 3 | 0 |
| 170 | 24.2\% | 32.9\% | 3 | 0 |
| 171 | 39.6\% | 44.2\% | 4 | 0 |
| 172 | 23.3\% | 36.7\% | 4 | 0 |
| 173 | 36.3\% | 41.7\% | 4 | 0 |
| 174 | 17.4\% | 25.4\% | 3 | 0 |
| 175 | 24.2\% | 29.2\% | 4 | 0 |
| 176 | 22.7\% | 30.9\% | 4 | 0 |
| 177 | 53.9\% | 60.0\% | 4 | 7 |
| 178 | 14.8\% | 19.9\% | 3 | 0 |
| 179 | 27.0\% | 33.4\% | 3 | 0 |
| 180 | 18.2\% | 23.8\% | 3 | 0 |

Table 54: Effectiveness in HD Alt Eff 2, which includes the Alt 2 Gingles maps.

## C Splits of geographical units

| County | CD | TOTPOP | VAP | BVAP | BHVAP | Biden20 | Abrams18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bibb | 2 | 108371 | 82489 | 0.6349 | 0.6710 | 0.7139 | 0.7250 |
| Bibb | 8 | 48975 | 38413 | 0.3098 | 0.3394 | 0.4596 | 0.4202 |
| Cherokee | 6 | 40881 | 31202 | 0.0304 | 0.0814 | 0.2172 | 0.1862 |
| Cherokee | 11 | 225739 | 171726 | 0.0817 | 0.1902 | 0.3233 | 0.2905 |
| Clayton | 5 | 37919 | 27885 | 0.7280 | 0.8649 | 0.8849 | 0.9200 |
| Clayton | 13 | 259676 | 192693 | 0.7190 | 0.8266 | 0.8548 | 0.8773 |
| Cobb | 6 | 165925 | 125728 | 0.1092 | 0.1848 | 0.4913 | 0.4476 |
| Cobb | 11 | 397281 | 313106 | 0.2654 | 0.3850 | 0.5535 | 0.5309 |
| Cobb | 13 | 125029 | 94104 | 0.4458 | 0.6271 | 0.7316 | 0.7310 |
| Cobb | 14 | 77914 | 58910 | 0.4646 | 0.5644 | 0.6421 | 0.6263 |
| DeKalb | 4 | 601451 | 465661 | 0.5316 | 0.6302 | 0.8171 | 0.8166 |
| DeKalb | 5 | 162931 | 129615 | 0.5145 | 0.5480 | 0.9148 | 0.9203 |
| Douglas | 3 | 42970 | 32601 | 0.2970 | 0.3719 | 0.4220 | 0.3803 |
| Douglas | 13 | 101267 | 75827 | 0.5762 | 0.6647 | 0.7230 | 0.7055 |
| Effingham | 1 | 47208 | 34272 | 0.1276 | 0.1756 | 0.2462 | 0.2167 |
| Effingham | 12 | 17561 | 13023 | 0.1887 | 0.2129 | 0.2608 | 0.2521 |
| Fayette | 3 | 102685 | 78539 | 0.2094 | 0.2720 | 0.4272 | 0.3914 |
| Fayette | 13 | 16509 | 13259 | 0.5492 | 0.6082 | 0.6394 | 0.6271 |
| Fulton | 5 | 564287 | 464015 | 0.4769 | 0.5379 | 0.8077 | 0.8108 |
| Fulton | 6 | 245494 | 190172 | 0.1574 | 0.2568 | 0.5433 | 0.5069 |
| Fulton | 7 | 92558 | 69229 | 0.1175 | 0.1777 | 0.5527 | 0.5060 |
| Fulton | 13 | 164371 | 123766 | 0.8829 | 0.9171 | 0.9291 | 0.9474 |
| Gwinnett | 6 | 34755 | 25061 | 0.1336 | 0.2645 | 0.4320 | 0.3889 |
| Gwinnett | 7 | 672579 | 497705 | 0.3234 | 0.5450 | 0.6487 | 0.6332 |
| Gwinnett | 9 | 249728 | 186718 | 0.2061 | 0.3433 | 0.5045 | 0.4697 |
| Henry | 3 | 23975 | 17964 | 0.4678 | 0.5259 | 0.5731 | 0.5484 |
| Henry | 10 | 118452 | 86869 | 0.4414 | 0.4948 | 0.5093 | 0.4413 |
| Henry | 13 | 98285 | 75140 | 0.5710 | 0.6324 | 0.7013 | 0.6898 |
| Houston | 2 | 48521 | 36233 | 0.4321 | 0.5075 | 0.5511 | 0.5393 |
| Houston | 8 | 115112 | 85885 | 0.2788 | 0.3276 | 0.3996 | 0.3741 |
| Muscogee | 2 | 175155 | 132158 | 0.5262 | 0.5851 | 0.6625 | 0.6625 |
| Muscogee | 3 | 31767 | 24894 | 0.1909 | 0.2578 | 0.3973 | 0.3371 |
| Newton | 4 | 70114 | 52306 | 0.6098 | 0.6644 | 0.7470 | 0.7502 |
| Newton | 10 | 42369 | 32442 | 0.2631 | 0.2960 | 0.3764 | 0.3546 |
| Wilkes | 10 | 1802 | 1491 | 0.3273 | 0.3628 | 0.3556 | 0.3607 |
| Wilkes | 12 | 7763 | 6160 | 0.4193 | 0.4481 | 0.4191 | 0.3810 |

Table 55: All county splits in the enacted Congressional map.

| County | SD | TOTPOP | VAP | BVAP | BHVAP | Biden20 | Abrams18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bibb | 18 | 53182 | 42225 | 0.3079 | 0.3413 | 0.4239 | 0.3967 |
| Bibb | 25 | 15513 | 12080 | 0.4120 | 0.4384 | 0.5678 | 0.5256 |
| Bibb | 26 | 88651 | 66597 | 0.6951 | 0.7309 | 0.7939 | 0.8072 |
| Chatham | 1 | 81408 | 65586 | 0.1486 | 0.2032 | 0.3982 | 0.3743 |
| Chatham | 2 | 190408 | 150843 | 0.4686 | 0.5368 | 0.7304 | 0.7447 |
| Chatham | 4 | 23475 | 18286 | 0.2596 | 0.3331 | 0.4748 | 0.4463 |
| Clarke | 46 | 52016 | 45312 | 0.1485 | 0.2062 | 0.6611 | 0.6499 |
| Clarke | 47 | 76655 | 61518 | 0.2933 | 0.4111 | 0.7355 | 0.7329 |
| Cobb | 6 | 92249 | 75423 | 0.2527 | 0.3229 | 0.5988 | 0.5665 |
| Cobb | 32 | 101467 | 80689 | 0.1946 | 0.2934 | 0.5310 | 0.5013 |
| Cobb | 33 | 192694 | 146415 | 0.4296 | 0.6488 | 0.7124 | 0.7146 |
| Cobb | 37 | 181541 | 138961 | 0.2018 | 0.2812 | 0.4547 | 0.4203 |
| Cobb | 38 | 108305 | 83807 | 0.4264 | 0.5438 | 0.7289 | 0.7235 |
| Cobb | 56 | 89893 | 66553 | 0.0706 | 0.1257 | 0.4685 | 0.4177 |
| DeKalb | 10 | 75906 | 58884 | 0.9500 | 0.9605 | 0.9600 | 0.9783 |
| DeKalb | 40 | 164997 | 127423 | 0.1719 | 0.3807 | 0.6490 | 0.6138 |
| DeKalb | 41 | 183560 | 139591 | 0.6449 | 0.7009 | 0.8404 | 0.8492 |
| DeKalb | 42 | 190940 | 153952 | 0.3078 | 0.3875 | 0.8487 | 0.8451 |
| DeKalb | 43 | 32212 | 24150 | 0.9135 | 0.9384 | 0.9394 | 0.9582 |
| DeKalb | 44 | 51049 | 40820 | 0.7415 | 0.7714 | 0.9490 | 0.9654 |
| DeKalb | 55 | 65718 | 50456 | 0.9248 | 0.9473 | 0.9511 | 0.9698 |
| Douglas | 28 | 25889 | 19664 | 0.2400 | 0.3042 | 0.3485 | 0.3050 |
| Douglas | 30 | 23454 | 17242 | 0.5045 | 0.5920 | 0.6386 | 0.6270 |
| Douglas | 35 | 94894 | 71522 | 0.5587 | 0.6479 | 0.7084 | 0.6871 |
| Fayette | 16 | 87134 | 66132 | 0.1605 | 0.2249 | 0.4142 | 0.3812 |
| Fayette | 34 | 32060 | 25666 | 0.5111 | 0.5670 | 0.6424 | 0.6262 |
| Fulton | 6 | 99152 | 80358 | 0.2261 | 0.3060 | 0.6333 | 0.5887 |
| Fulton | 14 | 192533 | 155340 | 0.1897 | 0.3044 | 0.6012 | 0.5624 |
| Fulton | 21 | 83538 | 62497 | 0.1058 | 0.1749 | 0.4711 | 0.4310 |
| Fulton | 28 | 6963 | 5456 | 0.4646 | 0.5403 | 0.6541 | 0.6506 |
| Fulton | 35 | 97945 | 73153 | 0.8757 | 0.9161 | 0.9293 | 0.9449 |
| Fulton | 36 | 192282 | 161385 | 0.5134 | 0.5749 | 0.8962 | 0.9164 |
| Fulton | 38 | 84850 | 64560 | 0.9472 | 0.9672 | 0.9589 | 0.9831 |
| Fulton | 39 | 191500 | 156022 | 0.6070 | 0.6549 | 0.8816 | 0.8935 |
| Fulton | 48 | 83219 | 61631 | 0.1140 | 0.1697 | 0.5609 | 0.5128 |
| Fulton | 56 | 34728 | 26780 | 0.0764 | 0.1341 | 0.4753 | 0.4280 |
| Gwinnett | 5 | 191921 | 139394 | 0.2994 | 0.7018 | 0.7503 | 0.7914 |
| Gwinnett | 7 | 189709 | 147425 | 0.2144 | 0.3714 | 0.5941 | 0.5728 |
| Gwinnett | 9 | 192915 | 142054 | 0.2953 | 0.4730 | 0.6008 | 0.5667 |
| Gwinnett | 40 | 25547 | 19577 | 0.3258 | 0.5294 | 0.6840 | 0.6640 |
| Gwinnett | 41 | 7463 | 5687 | 0.1662 | 0.2427 | 0.5323 | 0.4821 |
| Gwinnett | 45 | 151475 | 110999 | 0.2039 | 0.3351 | 0.4571 | 0.4167 |
| Gwinnett | 46 | 27298 | 19469 | 0.3273 | 0.4631 | 0.4781 | 0.4201 |
| Gwinnett | 48 | 46297 | 33367 | 0.1244 | 0.2355 | 0.4312 | 0.3849 |
| Gwinnett | 55 | 124437 | 91512 | 0.5135 | 0.6159 | 0.7078 | 0.6833 |
| Hall | 49 | 189355 | 144123 | 0.0796 | 0.2954 | 0.2832 | 0.2646 |
| Hall | 50 | 13781 | 9721 | 0.0637 | 0.5322 | 0.4380 | 0.4661 |
| Houston | 18 | 42875 | 32630 | 0.2983 | 0.3609 | 0.4437 | 0.4176 |
| Houston | 20 | 74275 | 54626 | 0.2606 | 0.3022 | 0.3680 | 0.3405 |
| Houston | 26 | 46483 | 34862 | 0.4485 | 0.5232 | 0.5831 | 0.5711 |
| Muscogee | 15 | 142205 | 107284 | 0.5931 | 0.6521 | 0.7443 | 0.7508 |
| Muscogee | 29 | 64717 | 49768 | 0.2144 | 0.2771 | 0.4287 | 0.3868 |
| Newton | 17 | 45536 | 34660 | 0.3080 | 0.3453 | 0.3845 | 0.3582 |
| Newton | 43 | 66947 | 50088 | 0.5941 | 0.6466 | 0.7456 | 0.7531 |
| Richmond | 22 | 193163 | 150450 | 0.5650 | 0.6105 | 0.6912 | 0.6838 |
| Richmond | 23 | 13444 | 10449 | 0.2795 | 0.3129 | 0.3975 | 0.3659 |

Table 56: Counties with more than 15 points BHVAP differential across Senate districts.

| County | HD | TOTPOP | VAP | BVAP | BHVAP share | Biden20 | Abrams18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bibb | 142 | 59608 | 44584 | 0.5952 | 0.6249 | 0.6687 | 0.6705 |
| Bibb | 143 | 59469 | 46390 | 0.6079 | 0.6501 | 0.7099 | 0.7223 |
| Bibb | 144 | 33948 | 26547 | 0.3263 | 0.3545 | 0.4642 | 0.4220 |
| Bibb | 145 | 4321 | 3381 | 0.2576 | 0.2828 | 0.3445 | 0.3323 |
| Carroll | 18 | 18789 | 14467 | 0.1147 | 0.1479 | 0.1918 | 0.1808 |
| Carroll | 70 | 2854 | 2259 | 0.0469 | 0.0668 | 0.1414 | 0.1308 |
| Carroll | 71 | 59538 | 44582 | 0.1992 | 0.2572 | 0.3247 | 0.3170 |
| Carroll | 72 | 37967 | 29688 | 0.2419 | 0.3312 | 0.3361 | 0.3285 |
| Chatham | 161 | 28269 | 21359 | 0.3988 | 0.4739 | 0.6095 | 0.6037 |
| Chatham | 162 | 60308 | 46733 | 0.4373 | 0.5246 | 0.6721 | 0.6870 |
| Chatham | 163 | 60123 | 48461 | 0.4549 | 0.5242 | 0.7266 | 0.7313 |
| Chatham | 164 | 38681 | 30732 | 0.2607 | 0.3401 | 0.4644 | 0.4676 |
| Chatham | 165 | 59978 | 48247 | 0.5033 | 0.5506 | 0.7803 | 0.7899 |
| Chatham | 166 | 47932 | 39183 | 0.0481 | 0.0851 | 0.3527 | 0.3205 |
| Clarke | 120 | 30095 | 25090 | 0.1937 | 0.2693 | 0.6432 | 0.6235 |
| Clarke | 121 | 26478 | 22991 | 0.1359 | 0.1979 | 0.7010 | 0.6934 |
| Clarke | 122 | 59632 | 48840 | 0.2842 | 0.3977 | 0.7990 | 0.8078 |
| Clarke | 124 | 12466 | 9909 | 0.2940 | 0.3941 | 0.7018 | 0.6980 |
| Cobb | 22 | 28586 | 22350 | 0.2048 | 0.2980 | 0.5020 | 0.4894 |
| Cobb | 34 | 59875 | 45758 | 0.1567 | 0.2306 | 0.4198 | 0.3770 |
| Cobb | 35 | 59889 | 48312 | 0.2840 | 0.3856 | 0.5726 | 0.5603 |
| Cobb | 36 | 59994 | 44911 | 0.1698 | 0.2300 | 0.4022 | 0.3596 |
| Cobb | 37 | 59176 | 46223 | 0.2818 | 0.4599 | 0.6113 | 0.5933 |
| Cobb | 38 | 59317 | 44839 | 0.5423 | 0.6568 | 0.7243 | 0.7229 |
| Cobb | 39 | 59381 | 44436 | 0.5529 | 0.7293 | 0.7876 | 0.7930 |
| Cobb | 40 | 59044 | 47976 | 0.3298 | 0.3798 | 0.6673 | 0.6417 |
| Cobb | 41 | 60122 | 45271 | 0.3935 | 0.6699 | 0.7105 | 0.7199 |
| Cobb | 42 | 59620 | 48525 | 0.3370 | 0.5014 | 0.7158 | 0.7282 |
| Cobb | 43 | 59464 | 47033 | 0.2653 | 0.3973 | 0.6073 | 0.5885 |
| Cobb | 44 | 38013 | 29631 | 0.1281 | 0.2176 | 0.4855 | 0.4445 |
| Cobb | 45 | 59738 | 44023 | 0.0528 | 0.0988 | 0.4788 | 0.4200 |
| Cobb | 46 | 43930 | 32560 | 0.0782 | 0.1348 | 0.4656 | 0.4206 |
| Coweta | 65 | 13008 | 9714 | 0.1225 | 0.1650 | 0.3213 | 0.2874 |
| Coweta | 67 | 17272 | 13061 | 0.0763 | 0.1352 | 0.2416 | 0.2057 |
| Coweta | 70 | 56267 | 42990 | 0.2904 | 0.3678 | 0.4376 | 0.5036 |
| Coweta | 73 | 31608 | 24269 | 0.1336 | 0.2015 | 0.4070 | 0.3136 |
| Coweta | 136 | 28003 | 21121 | 0.1081 | 0.1469 | 0.2325 | 0.2141 |
| DeKalb | 52 | 28300 | 21991 | 0.1398 | 0.1987 | 0.6358 | 0.5815 |
| DeKalb | 80 | 59461 | 44784 | 0.1418 | 0.3654 | 0.6100 | 0.5681 |
| DeKalb | 81 | 59007 | 46259 | 0.2183 | 0.4191 | 0.7180 | 0.6918 |
| DeKalb | 82 | 59724 | 50238 | 0.1683 | 0.2309 | 0.8035 | 0.7923 |
| DeKalb | 83 | 59416 | 46581 | 0.1512 | 0.4284 | 0.6572 | 0.6316 |
| DeKalb | 84 | 59862 | 47350 | 0.7366 | 0.7561 | 0.9324 | 0.9440 |
| DeKalb | 85 | 59373 | 46308 | 0.6271 | 0.6765 | 0.8981 | 0.9246 |
| DeKalb | 86 | 59205 | 44614 | 0.7505 | 0.7832 | 0.8931 | 0.9160 |
| DeKalb | 87 | 59709 | 45615 | 0.7308 | 0.7866 | 0.8798 | 0.8936 |
| DeKalb | 88 | 47844 | 37310 | 0.7117 | 0.7652 | 0.8359 | 0.8377 |
| DeKalb | 89 | 59866 | 46198 | 0.6254 | 0.6519 | 0.9214 | 0.9284 |
| DeKalb | 90 | 59812 | 48015 | 0.5849 | 0.6205 | 0.9401 | 0.9508 |
| DeKalb | 91 | 19700 | 14941 | 0.9586 | 0.9683 | 0.9581 | 0.9793 |
| DeKalb | 92 | 15607 | 11794 | 0.9309 | 0.9453 | 0.9403 | 0.9581 |
| DeKalb | 93 | 11690 | 8476 | 0.9040 | 0.9412 | 0.9411 | 0.9598 |
| DeKalb | 94 | 31207 | 23817 | 0.9289 | 0.9513 | 0.9523 | 0.9703 |
| DeKalb | 95 | 14599 | 10985 | 0.8971 | 0.9250 | 0.9413 | 0.9607 |
| Dougherty | 151 | 6268 | 4791 | 0.5917 | 0.6022 | 0.6466 | 0.6213 |
| Dougherty | 152 | 6187 | 4906 | 0.4855 | 0.5298 | 0.5372 | 0.5517 |
| Dougherty | 153 | 59299 | 45692 | 0.6795 | 0.7010 | 0.7454 | 0.7566 |
| Dougherty | 154 | 14036 | 10877 | 0.8612 | 0.8694 | 0.8896 | 0.9081 |


| County | HD | TOTPOP | VAP | BVAP | BHVAP share | Biden20 | Abrams 18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Douglas | 61 | 30206 | 23160 | 0.5396 | 0.6574 | 0.6995 | 0.6949 |
| Douglas | 64 | 35576 | 26860 | 0.2958 | 0.3662 | 0.4137 | 0.3741 |
| Douglas | 65 | 19408 | 14130 | 0.6572 | 0.7146 | 0.7568 | 0.7413 |
| Douglas | 66 | 59047 | 44278 | 0.5341 | 0.6181 | 0.6899 | 0.6610 |
| Fayette | 68 | 29719 | 22798 | 0.2259 | 0.3098 | 0.4218 | 0.3753 |
| Fayette | 69 | 37303 | 29554 | 0.4700 | 0.5270 | 0.5903 | 0.5574 |
| Fayette | 73 | 28428 | 21467 | 0.1070 | 0.1718 | 0.3793 | 0.3349 |
| Fayette | 74 | 23744 | 17979 | 0.1329 | 0.1724 | 0.3872 | 0.3373 |
| Floyd | 5 | 5099 | 4048 | 0.0336 | 0.0684 | 0.1566 | 0.1349 |
| Floyd | 12 | 34335 | 27071 | 0.0836 | 0.1607 | 0.2351 | 0.2152 |
| Floyd | 13 | 59150 | 45176 | 0.1918 | 0.2979 | 0.3687 | 0.3564 |
| Fulton | 25 | 13280 | 9828 | 0.1043 | 0.1651 | 0.5348 | 0.4723 |
| Fulton | 47 | 55235 | 40829 | 0.1130 | 0.1834 | 0.4647 | 0.4241 |
| Fulton | 48 | 43976 | 33385 | 0.1231 | 0.2615 | 0.5322 | 0.4840 |
| Fulton | 49 | 59153 | 45263 | 0.0842 | 0.1480 | 0.4815 | 0.4342 |
| Fulton | 50 | 59523 | 43940 | 0.1240 | 0.1826 | 0.5939 | 0.5558 |
| Fulton | 51 | 58952 | 47262 | 0.2368 | 0.3623 | 0.6082 | 0.5728 |
| Fulton | 52 | 31511 | 26534 | 0.1765 | 0.2543 | 0.6372 | 0.6074 |
| Fulton | 53 | 59953 | 46944 | 0.1453 | 0.2143 | 0.5485 | 0.4998 |
| Fulton | 54 | 60083 | 50338 | 0.1547 | 0.2766 | 0.6104 | 0.5641 |
| Fulton | 55 | 59971 | 49255 | 0.5538 | 0.5960 | 0.8169 | 0.8121 |
| Fulton | 56 | 58929 | 52757 | 0.4548 | 0.5055 | 0.8971 | 0.9249 |
| Fulton | 57 | 59969 | 52097 | 0.1806 | 0.2543 | 0.8092 | 0.8025 |
| Fulton | 58 | 59057 | 50514 | 0.6304 | 0.6732 | 0.9213 | 0.9511 |
| Fulton | 59 | 59434 | 49179 | 0.7009 | 0.7332 | 0.9337 | 0.9603 |
| Fulton | 60 | 59709 | 45490 | 0.6388 | 0.6820 | 0.8065 | 0.8069 |
| Fulton | 61 | 29096 | 22287 | 0.9541 | 0.9658 | 0.9654 | 0.9789 |
| Fulton | 62 | 59450 | 46426 | 0.7226 | 0.7807 | 0.9254 | 0.9434 |
| Fulton | 63 | 59381 | 45043 | 0.6933 | 0.7761 | 0.9085 | 0.9279 |
| Fulton | 65 | 27048 | 20542 | 0.8293 | 0.8473 | 0.8952 | 0.9088 |
| Fulton | 67 | 41863 | 31238 | 0.8036 | 0.8785 | 0.8985 | 0.9164 |
| Fulton | 68 | 29758 | 22037 | 0.9004 | 0.9274 | 0.9278 | 0.9482 |
| Fulton | 69 | 21379 | 15994 | 0.9415 | 0.9655 | 0.9561 | 0.9811 |
| Grady | 171 | 8115 | 6461 | 0.1696 | 0.2131 | 0.2238 | 0.2074 |
| Grady | 173 | 18121 | 13501 | 0.3394 | 0.4507 | 0.4454 | 0.4338 |
| Gwinnett | 30 | 8620 | 6301 | 0.1584 | 0.2484 | 0.3775 | 0.3234 |
| Gwinnett | 48 | 15027 | 11394 | 0.1026 | 0.1660 | 0.4955 | 0.4395 |
| Gwinnett | 88 | 11845 | 8763 | 0.3005 | 0.5402 | 0.7198 | 0.7597 |
| Gwinnett | 94 | 28004 | 20992 | 0.4197 | 0.5235 | 0.6869 | 0.6571 |
| Gwinnett | 95 | 34221 | 25212 | 0.6639 | 0.7452 | 0.8115 | 0.8122 |
| Gwinnett | 96 | 59515 | 44671 | 0.2300 | 0.5797 | 0.6579 | 0.6661 |
| Gwinnett | 97 | 59072 | 46339 | 0.2677 | 0.4490 | 0.6617 | 0.6608 |
| Gwinnett | 98 | 59998 | 42734 | 0.2325 | 0.7459 | 0.7610 | 0.8075 |
| Gwinnett | 99 | 59850 | 45004 | 0.1471 | 0.2279 | 0.5261 | 0.4833 |
| Gwinnett | 100 | 35204 | 25378 | 0.1307 | 0.2425 | 0.4252 | 0.3789 |
| Gwinnett | 101 | 59938 | 46584 | 0.2419 | 0.4143 | 0.5632 | 0.5431 |
| Gwinnett | 102 | 58959 | 42968 | 0.3762 | 0.5767 | 0.6626 | 0.6503 |
| Gwinnett | 103 | 51691 | 38022 | 0.1879 | 0.3607 | 0.4796 | 0.4471 |
| Gwinnett | 104 | 35117 | 25457 | 0.2096 | 0.3042 | 0.3993 | 0.3442 |
| Gwinnett | 105 | 59344 | 43474 | 0.2905 | 0.4482 | 0.5553 | 0.5328 |
| Gwinnett | 106 | 59112 | 43890 | 0.3627 | 0.4648 | 0.5858 | 0.5390 |
| Gwinnett | 107 | 59702 | 44509 | 0.2963 | 0.5937 | 0.6884 | 0.6965 |
| Gwinnett | 108 | 59577 | 44308 | 0.1835 | 0.3578 | 0.5536 | 0.5107 |
| Gwinnett | 109 | 59630 | 44140 | 0.3251 | 0.6708 | 0.7711 | 0.8246 |
| Gwinnett | 110 | 59951 | 43226 | 0.4719 | 0.5645 | 0.6405 | 0.5965 |
| Gwinnett | 111 | 22685 | 16118 | 0.3307 | 0.4520 | 0.4726 | 0.4142 |
| Hall | 27 | 54508 | 42712 | 0.0386 | 0.1354 | 0.1804 | 0.1550 |
| Hall | 28 | 8108 | 6799 | 0.0284 | 0.1772 | 0.2527 | 0.2270 |
| Hall | 29 | 59200 | 43131 | 0.1359 | 0.5284 | 0.4485 | 0.4704 |
| Hall | 30 | 50646 | 39113 | 0.0685 | 0.2374 | 0.2707 | 0.2393 |
| Hall | 31 | 14349 | 9789 | 0.1036 | 0.6834 | 0.4858 | 0.5209 |
| Hall | 100 | 7819 | 5923 | 0.0653 | 0.1867 | 0.2453 | 0.2134 |
| Hall | 103 | 8506 | 6377 | 0.0486 | 0.1396 | 0.2653 | 0.2319 |


| County | HD | TOTPOP | VAP | BVAP | BHVAP share | Biden20 | Abrams18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Henry | 74 | 18397 | 13441 | 0.4742 | 0.5356 | 0.5834 | 0.5642 |
| Henry | 78 | 3847 | 2965 | 0.6921 | 0.7292 | 0.8470 | 0.8768 |
| Henry | 91 | 35569 | 27415 | 0.5887 | 0.6628 | 0.7223 | 0.7183 |
| Henry | 115 | 60174 | 44807 | 0.5213 | 0.5797 | 0.6153 | 0.5443 |
| Henry | 116 | 55759 | 42471 | 0.5808 | 0.6380 | 0.6848 | 0.6669 |
| Henry | 117 | 54737 | 40246 | 0.3841 | 0.4324 | 0.4416 | 0.3759 |
| Henry | 118 | 12229 | 8628 | 0.1868 | 0.2258 | 0.2874 | 0.2449 |
| Houston | 145 | 28132 | 20686 | 0.5239 | 0.6021 | 0.6151 | 0.6114 |
| Houston | 146 | 60203 | 44589 | 0.2761 | 0.3192 | 0.3840 | 0.3558 |
| Houston | 147 | 59178 | 44902 | 0.3012 | 0.3678 | 0.4662 | 0.4414 |
| Houston | 148 | 16120 | 11941 | 0.2453 | 0.2778 | 0.3271 | 0.3070 |
| Lamar | 134 | 5026 | 3864 | 0.0970 | 0.1198 | 0.1786 | 0.1839 |
| Lamar | 135 | 13474 | 10677 | 0.3411 | 0.3603 | 0.3798 | 0.3906 |
| Lowndes | 174 | 9770 | 7472 | 0.1453 | 0.1935 | 0.2019 | 0.1828 |
| Lowndes | 175 | 43692 | 31957 | 0.2018 | 0.2494 | 0.3784 | 0.4034 |
| Lowndes | 176 | 4797 | 3588 | 0.2717 | 0.3743 | 0.4485 | 0.4632 |
| Lowndes | 177 | 59992 | 46014 | 0.5388 | 0.5936 | 0.5139 | 0.5285 |
| McDuffie | 125 | 4748 | 3805 | 0.1198 | 0.1532 | 0.2199 | 0.1901 |
| McDuffie | 128 | 16884 | 12810 | 0.4660 | 0.4938 | 0.4365 | 0.4312 |
| Muscogee | 137 | 30443 | 22797 | 0.6269 | 0.6746 | 0.6665 | 0.6618 |
| Muscogee | 138 | 12190 | 9628 | 0.1224 | 0.1692 | 0.3389 | 0.2796 |
| Muscogee | 139 | 45976 | 35539 | 0.2128 | 0.2770 | 0.4306 | 0.3842 |
| Muscogee | 140 | 59294 | 44411 | 0.5763 | 0.6468 | 0.7471 | 0.7692 |
| Muscogee | 141 | 59019 | 44677 | 0.5746 | 0.6305 | 0.7368 | 0.7428 |
| Newton | 93 | 15515 | 12080 | 0.5094 | 0.5404 | 0.5824 | 0.5743 |
| Newton | 113 | 60053 | 44538 | 0.5953 | 0.6533 | 0.7534 | 0.7636 |
| Newton | 114 | 36915 | 28130 | 0.2760 | 0.3104 | 0.3491 | 0.3299 |
| Paulding | 16 | 16549 | 11771 | 0.0981 | 0.1406 | 0.2447 | 0.2194 |
| Paulding | 17 | 59120 | 42761 | 0.2302 | 0.2934 | 0.3580 | 0.3264 |
| Paulding | 18 | 10627 | 7838 | 0.1069 | 0.1355 | 0.1902 | 0.1750 |
| Paulding | 19 | 58955 | 44299 | 0.2415 | 0.3025 | 0.3762 | 0.3525 |
| Paulding | 64 | 23410 | 17329 | 0.3249 | 0.3881 | 0.4450 | 0.4147 |
| Peach | 145 | 14093 | 11209 | 0.2211 | 0.2688 | 0.3275 | 0.3039 |
| Peach | 150 | 13888 | 10902 | 0.6643 | 0.7715 | 0.7004 | 0.7216 |
| Richmond | 126 | 25990 | 19714 | 0.6887 | 0.7181 | 0.7709 | 0.7804 |
| Richmond | 127 | 19152 | 15842 | 0.2599 | 0.2945 | 0.4192 | 0.3905 |
| Richmond | 129 | 58829 | 46873 | 0.5487 | 0.5835 | 0.6537 | 0.6344 |
| Richmond | 130 | 59203 | 44019 | 0.5991 | 0.6308 | 0.6388 | 0.6298 |
| Richmond | 132 | 43433 | 34451 | 0.5267 | 0.6146 | 0.7759 | 0.7966 |
| Rockdale | 91 | 4781 | 3817 | 0.4923 | 0.5179 | 0.5997 | 0.5626 |
| Rockdale | 92 | 44666 | 34757 | 0.6054 | 0.6511 | 0.7185 | 0.6871 |
| Rockdale | 93 | 32913 | 24178 | 0.6379 | 0.7670 | 0.8062 | 0.8013 |
| Rockdale | 95 | 11210 | 8751 | 0.4101 | 0.4845 | 0.5276 | 0.4859 |
| Spalding | 74 | 16815 | 13276 | 0.1990 | 0.2531 | 0.3220 | 0.3121 |
| Spalding | 117 | 5393 | 4727 | 0.2128 | 0.2520 | 0.4014 | 0.3618 |
| Spalding | 134 | 45098 | 34120 | 0.4063 | 0.4443 | 0.4206 | 0.4157 |
| Telfair | 149 | 9486 | 7884 | 0.3950 | 0.5747 | 0.3762 | 0.3533 |
| Telfair | 156 | 2991 | 2306 | 0.3001 | 0.3157 | 0.4131 | 0.4024 |
| Thomas | 172 | 4176 | 3246 | 0.1497 | 0.1753 | 0.2050 | 0.2061 |
| Thomas | 173 | 41622 | 31791 | 0.3726 | 0.3977 | 0.4351 | 0.4150 |
| Tift | 169 | 6730 | 5219 | 0.1129 | 0.1590 | 0.1807 | 0.1494 |
| Tift | 170 | 34614 | 26005 | 0.3220 | 0.4365 | 0.3806 | 0.3429 |
| Troup | 72 | 10281 | 7843 | 0.2076 | 0.2372 | 0.2844 | 0.3005 |
| Troup | 136 | 17913 | 13414 | 0.5139 | 0.5540 | 0.5738 | 0.6049 |
| Troup | 137 | 16144 | 12084 | 0.3974 | 0.4346 | 0.3855 | 0.3868 |
| Troup | 138 | 25088 | 19240 | 0.2535 | 0.2783 | 0.3040 | 0.2878 |
| Whitfield | 2 | 27861 | 21447 | 0.0331 | 0.1741 | 0.2209 | 0.1926 |
| Whitfield | 4 | 59070 | 42798 | 0.0538 | 0.4915 | 0.3551 | 0.3367 |
| Whitfield | 6 | 15933 | 12017 | 0.0280 | 0.1597 | 0.2017 | 0.1727 |

Table 57: Counties with more than 15 points BHVAP differential across House districts (table in three parts).
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Figure 39: Additional county splits in the enacted Congressional plan with racially distinctive patterns at the boundary lines.


Figure 40: Illustrative precinct splits in the enacted Congressional plan showing racially distinctive patterns at the boundary lines.


Figure 41: Additional county splits in the enacted Senate plan with racially distinctive patterns at the boundary lines.


PINCKNEYVILLE W
Figure 42: An illustrative precinct split in the enacted Senate plan showing a racially distinctive pattern at the boundary lines.
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Figure 43: Illustrative county splits in the enacted House plan with racially distinctive patterns at the boundary lines.
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Figure 44: Illustrative precinct splits in the enacted House plan with racially distinctive patterns at the boundary lines.

I reserve the right to continue to supplement my report in light of additional facts, testimony and/or materials that may come to light. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1746, I declare under penalty of perjury of the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct according to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief.

Executed this 13th day of January, 2023.



[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ NC League of Conservation Voters, et al. v. Hall, et al. No. 21-cvs-500085 (Wake Cnty. Sup. Ct. 2021); Carter v. Chapman, No. 7 MM 2022, 2022 WL 702894 (Pa. Mar. 9, 2022); Johnson v. Wis. Elections Comm'n, No. 2021AP1450OA, 2022 WL 621082 (Wis. Mar. 3, 2022); Milligan, et al. v. Merrill, et al., Case No. 2:21-cv-01530-AMM and Thomas, et al. v. Merrill, et al., Case No. 2:21-cv-01531-AMM (N.D. Ala. 2021); SC NAACP et al. v. Alexander, et al., Case No. 3-21-cv-03302-MBS-TJH-RMG (D.S.C.) (three-judge ct.); TX NAACP et al. v. Abbott, Case No. 1:21-CV-00943-RP-JES-JVB.

[^1]:    2 "The Census Bureau will not release its standard 2020 ACS 1-year supplemental estimates because of the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on data collection. Experimental estimates, developed from 2020 ACS 1-year data[,] are available on the ACS Experimental Data page. They will not be available on data.census.gov or the Application Programming Interface (API)." From www. census.gov/data/developers/data-sets/ACS-supplemental-data/2020.html. accessed January 4, 2023.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ As noted in the last section, the American Community Survey (ACS) is based on an annual survey, often presented in 5 -year rolling averages, where not all of the same racial and ethnic categories from the PL94-171 are available. Since the methodology, categories, and time periods are different between the ACS and the Decennial data, there is no contradiction in observing WCVAP>WVAP, for instance.
    ${ }^{4}$ As described above, the 2020 ACS was not recommended for standard use on a 1 -year basis, which is why it is excluded from Table 2]

[^3]:    ${ }^{5}$ It is my understanding that the VRA, as clarified in Bartlett $v$. Strickland, requires a demonstration of additional districts that are have at least $50 \%+1$ minority population. The usual standard uses VAP, or voting age population, when Black voters are the main minority group in a challenge; sometimes, CVAP, or citizen voting age population, is used when the principal group of plaintiffs has a large share of immigrants, as for Latino or Asian plaintiffs. In this case, the claims are for a coalition of Black and Latino voters, and I have used both VAP and CVAP, as explained in $\$ 3.2$
    ${ }^{\circ}$ Even Robinson's primary election, which was won with nearly $63 \%$ of the statewide vote, shows substantial districtlevel variation. By contrast, in the Democratic primary for Governor in 2018, Abrams won with $76.4 \%$ and with little regional variation, making it a less informative contest, which explains why it is not included.

[^4]:    ${ }^{7}$ Indeed, Nan Orrock of SD 36, the only White Democrat in the Senate to be elected from a district marked effective, is an Associate Member of the Georgia Black Legislative Caucus, suggesting with high likelihood that she is the Black candidate of choice.

[^5]:    ${ }^{8}$ This means that only three Georgia counties are larger than the ideal population of a Congressional district. Twelve Georgia counties are larger than ideal Senate size, and thirty-nine Georgia counties, from Fulton down to Effingham (pop. 64,769 ) are larger than ideal House size.
    ${ }^{9}$ https://www.census.gov/library/reference/code-lists/functional-status-codes.html

[^6]:    ${ }^{10}$ See law.georgia.gov/opinions/2001-3-0
    ${ }^{11}$ With the caveat that these numbers may not be highly meaningful without considering who planned to run again, and that they may not be wholly accurate, here are the numbers of districts with more than one incumbent address for the alternative plans. Benchmark CD - 1, SD - 0, HD - 5; Duncan-Kennedy - 3; CD Alt - 3; SD Alt Eff 1 - 11; SD Alt Eff 2-8; SD Alt Eff 3-9; HD Alt Eff 1 - 35; HD Alt Eff 2 - 31; HD Alt Eff 3 - 31.

[^7]:    ${ }^{12}$ Of course, it is possible to incorporate registered voter data at the block level or to purchase commercial products with partisan modeling, but official state mappers frequently claim not to use this more fine-grained data.

