

Exhibit B

2022 FIRST EXTRAORDINARY SESSION

HB1 by Representative Clay Schexnayder

REAPPORTIONMENT/CONGRESS: Provides relative to the districts for members of the United States Congress (Item #3)

Current Status (as of 1/31/2024 3:50 pm): Sent to the Secretary of State - Act 5

| <u>Date</u> | <u>Chamber</u> | <u>Page</u> | <u>Journal Action</u> |
|-------------|----------------|-------------|---|
| 03/31 | H | | Effective date: See Act. |
| 03/31 | H | | Becomes Act No. 5. |
| 03/31 | H | | Taken by the Clerk of the House and presented to the Secretary of State in accordance with the Rules of the House. |
| 03/30 | H | | Notice Senate voted to override the Governor's veto |
| 03/30 | S | 3 | By a vote of 27 yeas and 11 nays, the Senate voted to override the Governor's veto. |
| 03/30 | S | 3 | Reconsidered. |
| 03/30 | S | 2 | Veto message from the Governor received and read. |
| 03/30 | S | 1 | Notice House voted to override the Governor's veto. |
| 03/30 | H | 3 | Veto message received and read. Rules suspended. By a vote of 72 yeas and 31 nays, having received two-thirds vote of elected members, veto overridden. |
| 03/30 | H | 3 | Reconsidered. |
| 03/30 | H | 3 | Rules suspended. |
| 03/30 | H | 3 | Read by title, reconsidered, returned to the calendar, under the rules. |
| 03/10 | H | | Vetoed by the Governor. |
| 02/21 | H | | Sent to the Governor for executive approval. |
| 02/18 | S | 7 | Signed by the President of the Senate. |
| 02/18 | H | 13 | Enrolled and signed by the Speaker of the House. |
| 02/18 | H | 11 | Read by title, roll called, yeas 62, nays 27, Senate amendments concurred in. |
| 02/18 | H | 11 | Rules suspended. |
| 02/18 | H | 11 | Received from the Senate with amendments. |
| 02/18 | S | 1 | Senate floor amendments read and adopted. Read by title, passed by a vote of 27 yeas and 10 nays, and ordered returned to the House. Motion to reconsider tabled. |
| 02/18 | S | 1 | Rules suspended. Called from the Calendar. |
| 02/17 | S | 5 | Read by title and returned to the Calendar, subject to call. |
| 02/17 | S | 5 | Called from the Calendar. |
| 02/17 | S | 2 | Read by title and returned to the Calendar, subject to call. |
| 02/15 | S | 3 | Reported without Legislative Bureau amendments. Read by title and passed to third reading and final passage. |
| 02/15 | S | 2 | Reported favorably. Rules suspended. Read by title and referred to the Legislative Bureau. |
| 02/14 | S | 1 | Received in the Senate; read by title Rules suspended. Read second time by title and referred to the Committee on Senate and Governmental Affairs. |
| 02/10 | H | 9 | Read third time by title, amended, roll called on final passage, yeas 70, nays 33. Finally passed, title adopted, ordered to the Senate. |
| 02/10 | H | 3 | Called from the calendar. |
| 02/09 | H | | Scheduled for floor debate on 02/10/2022. |

Journal

| <u>Date</u> | <u>Chamber</u> | <u>Page</u> | <u>Action</u> |
|-------------|----------------|-------------|--|
| 02/09 | H | 2 | Notice given. |
| 02/09 | H | 2 | Read by title, returned to the calendar. |
| 02/08 | H | | Scheduled for floor debate on 02/09/2022. |
| 02/08 | H | 3 | Notice given. |
| 02/08 | H | 3 | Read by title, returned to the calendar. |
| 02/06 | H | | Scheduled for floor debate on 02/08/2022. |
| 02/06 | H | 2 | Read by title, ordered engrossed, passed to 3rd reading. |
| 02/04 | H | 2 | Reported favorably (13-5). |
| 02/02 | H | 1 | Read by title, under the rules, referred to the Committee on House and Governmental Affairs. |
| 02/01 | H | 5 | Read by title. Lies over under the rules. |

Authors:

Clay Schexnayder

Beau Beaulieu

Daryl Deshotel

Les Farnum

Valarie Hodges

Dodie Horton

Tanner Magee

John Stefanski

Available Documents:**Text**

| | |
|-----------------|---|
| HB1 Act 5 | https://www.legis.la.gov/Legis/ViewDocument.aspx?d=1267128 |
| HB1 Enrolled | https://www.legis.la.gov/Legis/ViewDocument.aspx?d=1248568 |
| HB1 Reengrossed | https://www.legis.la.gov/Legis/ViewDocument.aspx?d=1247164 |
| HB1 Engrossed | https://www.legis.la.gov/Legis/ViewDocument.aspx?d=1245838 |
| HB1 Original | https://www.legis.la.gov/Legis/ViewDocument.aspx?d=1244898 |

Amendments

| | |
|--|---|
| Senate Floor Amendment, #174, Hewitt, Adopted | https://www.legis.la.gov/Legis/ViewDocument.aspx?d=1248358 |
| Senate Committee Amendment, #153, S&G, Draft | https://www.legis.la.gov/Legis/ViewDocument.aspx?d=1247602 |
| House Floor Amendment, #99, Marcelle, Rejected | https://www.legis.la.gov/Legis/ViewDocument.aspx?d=1247152 |
| House Floor Amendment, #80, Schexnayder, Adopted | https://www.legis.la.gov/Legis/ViewDocument.aspx?d=1246959 |
| House Floor Amendment, #88, Gaines, Rejected | https://www.legis.la.gov/Legis/ViewDocument.aspx?d=1246825 |
| House Floor Amendment, #66, Amedee, Withdrawn | https://www.legis.la.gov/Legis/ViewDocument.aspx?d=1246060 |

Digests

| | |
|---------------------------|---|
| Resume Digest for HB1 | https://www.legis.la.gov/Legis/ViewDocument.aspx?d=1291946 |
| Digest of HB1 Reengrossed | https://www.legis.la.gov/Legis/ViewDocument.aspx?d=1247178 |
| Digest of HB1 Engrossed | https://www.legis.la.gov/Legis/ViewDocument.aspx?d=1245814 |
| Digest of HB1 Original | https://www.legis.la.gov/Legis/ViewDocument.aspx?d=1244900 |

Votes

| | |
|---|---|
| Senate Vote on HB 1, Override Veto (#3) | https://www.legis.la.gov/Legis/ViewDocument.aspx?d=1263950 |
|---|---|

House Vote on HB 1, PASS BILL SUBSEQUENT TO VETO (#4) <https://www.legis.la.gov/Legis/ViewDocument.aspx?d=1263924>

House Vote on HB 1, CONCUR IN SENATE AMENDMENTS (#53) <https://www.legis.la.gov/Legis/ViewDocument.aspx?d=1248634>

Senate Vote on HB 1, FINAL PASSAGE (#47) <https://www.legis.la.gov/Legis/ViewDocument.aspx?d=1248522>

Senate Vote on HB 1, AMENDMENT # 174 BY HEWITT, HB 1 BY MR. SPEAKER (#46) <https://www.legis.la.gov/Legis/ViewDocument.aspx?d=1248505>

House Vote on HB 1, FINAL PASSAGE (#26) <https://www.legis.la.gov/Legis/ViewDocument.aspx?d=1247159>

House Vote on HB 1, AMENDMENT # 88 BY GAINES, MOTION TO ADOPT (#25) <https://www.legis.la.gov/Legis/ViewDocument.aspx?d=1247155>

House Vote on HB 1, AMENDMENT # 70 BY JENKINS, MOTION TO ADOPT (#24) <https://www.legis.la.gov/Legis/ViewDocument.aspx?d=1247153>

Other

HB1 Veto Message <https://www.legis.la.gov/Legis/ViewDocument.aspx?d=1258719>

Exhibit C

JRULE 21

Joint Rule No. 21. Redistricting criteria

A. To promote the development of constitutionally and legally acceptable redistricting plans, the Legislature of Louisiana adopts the criteria contained in this Joint Rule, declaring the same to constitute minimally acceptable criteria for consideration of redistricting plans in the manner specified in this Joint Rule.

B. Each redistricting plan submitted for consideration shall comply with the Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment and the Fifteenth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution; Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965, as amended; and all other applicable federal and state laws.

C. Each redistricting plan submitted for consideration shall provide that each district within the plan is composed of contiguous geography.

D. In addition to the criteria specified in Paragraphs B, C, G, H, I, and J of this Joint Rule, the minimally acceptable criteria for consideration of a redistricting plan for the House of Representatives, Senate, Public Service Commission, and Board of Elementary and Secondary Education shall be as follows:

(1) The plan shall provide for single-member districts.

(2) The plan shall provide for districts that are substantially equal in population. Therefore, under no circumstances shall any plan be considered if the plan has an absolute deviation of population which exceeds plus or minus five percent of the ideal district population.

(3) The plan shall be a whole plan which assigns all of the geography of the state.

(4) Due consideration shall be given to traditional district alignments to the extent practicable.

E. In addition to the criteria specified in Paragraphs B, C, G, H, I, and J of this Joint Rule, the minimally acceptable criteria for consideration of a redistricting plan for Congress shall be as follows:

(1) The plan shall provide for single-member districts.

(2) The plan shall provide that each congressional district shall have a population as nearly equal to the ideal district population as practicable.

(3) The plan shall be a whole plan which assigns all of the geography of the state.

F. In addition to the criteria specified in Paragraphs B, C, G, H, I, and J of this Joint Rule, the minimally acceptable criteria for consideration of a redistricting plan for the Supreme Court shall be that the plan shall be a whole plan which assigns all of the geography of the state.

G.(1) To the extent practicable, each district within a redistricting plan submitted for consideration shall contain whole election precincts as those are represented as Voting Districts (VTDs) in the most recent Census Redistricting TIGER/Line Shapefiles for the State of Louisiana which corresponds to the P.L. 94-171 data released by the United States Bureau of the Census for the decade in which the redistricting is to occur. However, if the redistricting plan is submitted after the year in which the legislature is required by Article III, Section 6, of the Constitution of Louisiana to reapportion, then to the extent practicable, the redistricting plan submitted for consideration shall contain whole election precincts as those are represented as VTDs as validated through the data verification program of the House and Senate in the most recent Shapefiles made available on the website of the legislature.

(2) If a VTD must be divided, it shall be divided into as few districts as practicable using a visible census tabulation boundary or boundaries.

H. All redistricting plans shall respect the established boundaries of parishes, municipalities, and other political subdivisions and natural geography of this state to the extent practicable. However, this criterion is subordinate to and shall not be used to undermine the maintenance of communities of interest within the same district to the extent practicable.

I. The most recent P.L. 94-171 data released by the United States Bureau of the Census, as validated through the data verification program of the House and Senate, shall be the population data used to establish and for evaluation of proposed redistricting plans.

J. Each redistricting plan submitted to the legislature by the public for consideration shall be submitted electronically in a comma-delimited block equivalency file.

HCR 90, 2021 R.S., eff. June 11, 2021.

Exhibit D

LOUISIANA REDISTRICTING

MEETINGS, DOCS & VIDEO ARCHIVES 

| Committee | Date, Time, Location | Agenda, Video | Documents |
|---|---------------------------|---|---|
| 2024  | | | |
| House & Governmental | Jan 15, 10:00 AM, HCR-5 |   | Docs and Comments  |
| House & Governmental | Jan 16, 10:00 AM, HCR-5 |   | Docs and Comments  |
| House & Governmental | Jan 17, 10:00 AM, HCR-5 |   | Docs and Comments  |
| House & Governmental | Jan 18, 10:00 AM, HCR-5 |    | Docs and Comments  |
| 2022  | | | |
| House & Governmental | Jun 17, 10:00 AM, HCR-5 |   | |
| Senate & Governmental | Jun 17, 1:00 PM, Hainkel |   | |
| Senate & Governmental | Jun 16, 09:00 AM, Hainkel |   | |
| House & Governmental | Feb 16, 09:30 AM, HCR-5 |   | |
| Senate & Governmental | Feb 15, 9:30 AM, Hainkel |   | |
| House & Governmental | Feb 15, 10:00 AM, HCR-5 |   | Docs and Comments  |
| Senate & Governmental | Feb 14, 9:30 AM, Hainkel |   | |
| House & Governmental | Feb 14, 10:00 AM, HCR-5 |   | |
| House & Governmental | Feb 11, 9:00 AM, HCR-5 |   | Docs and Comments  |
| House & Governmental | Feb 10, 9:30 AM, HCR-5 |   | Docs and Comments  |
| Senate & Governmental | Feb 9, 2:30 PM, Hainkel |   | |
| House & Governmental | Feb 9, 9:30 AM, HCR-5 |   | Docs and Comments  |
| Senate & Governmental | Feb 8, 2:30 PM, Hainkel |   | |

House & Governmental

Feb 8, 9:30 AM, HCR-5

[PDF](#)[Play](#)

Senate & Governmental

Feb 7, 2:30 PM, Hainkel

[PDF](#)[Play](#)

House & Governmental

Feb 7, 9:30 AM, HCR-5

[PDF](#)[Play](#)[Docs and Comments](#)

Senate & Governmental

Feb 4, 2:30 PM, Hainkel

[PDF](#)[Play](#)

House & Governmental

Feb 4, 9:30 AM, HCR-5

[PDF](#)[Play](#)[Docs and Comments](#)

Senate & Governmental

Feb 3, 2:30 PM, Hainkel

[PDF](#)[Play](#)

Senate & Governmental

Feb 2, 2:30 PM, Hainkel

[PDF](#)[Play](#)

Joint Gov Affairs

Jan 20, 11:00 AM, HCR-5

[PDF](#)[Play](#)[Docs and Comments](#)

Joint Gov Affairs

Jan 11, 05:30 PM, Thibodaux, LA

[PDF](#)[Play](#)[PowerPoint](#)[Jt Governmental Affairs-Bayou Region](#)[Docs and Comments](#)

Joint Gov Affairs

Jan 5, 05:30 PM, New Orleans, LA

[PDF](#)[Play](#)[PowerPoint](#)[Jt Governmental Affairs-New Orleans Metro Region](#)[Docs and Comments](#)

2021

Joint Gov Affairs

Dec 15, 05:30 PM, Lake Charles, LA

[PDF](#)[Play](#)[PowerPoint](#)[Jt Governmental Affairs-Southwest Region](#)[Docs and Comments](#)

Joint Gov Affairs

Nov 30, 05:30 PM, Covington, LA

[PDF](#)[Play](#)[PowerPoint](#)[Jt Governmental Affairs-Northshore Region](#)[Docs and Comments](#)

Joint Gov Affairs

Nov 16, 05:30 PM, Baton Rouge, LA

[PDF](#)[Play](#)[PowerPoint](#)[Jt Governmental Affairs-Baton Rouge, LA](#)[Docs and Comments](#)

Joint Gov Affairs

Nov 09, 05:30 PM, Alexandria, LA

[PDF](#)[Play](#)[PowerPoint](#)[Jt Governmental Affairs-Alexandria, LA](#)[Docs and Comments](#)

Joint Gov Affairs

Oct 26, 05:30 PM, Lafayette, LA

[PDF](#)[Play](#)[PowerPoint](#)[Jt Governmental Affairs-Lafayette, LA](#)[Docs and Comments](#)

Joint Gov Affairs

Oct 21, 05:30 PM, Shreveport, LA

[PDF](#)[Play](#)[PowerPoint](#)[Jt Governmental Affairs-NW Region-Shreveport, LA](#)

[Docs and Comments](#)

Joint Gov Affairs

Louisiana | Redistricting

250

Oct 20, 05:30 PM, Monroe,
LA

P

[PowerPoint](#)[Jt Governmental Affairs-NE
Region-Monroe, LA](#)[Docs and Comments](#)

Joint Gov Affairs

Sep 17, 11:00 AM, HCR-5

[PowerPoint](#)[Docs and Comments](#)

Senate & Governmental

Jun 02, 9:30 AM, Room F



House & Gov

May 26, 9:00 AM, HCR-3



2019

House & Gov

May 21, 9:00 AM, HCR-4

[State population trends
2018 \(Tim Slack\)](#)[Redistricting Law 101 BR](#)

BOX 44294 BATON
ROUGE, LA 70804

CONFIDENTIAL

 YOUR
FEEDBACK

Exhibit E

HLS 221ES-2

ORIGINAL

2022 First Extraordinary Session

HOUSE BILL NO. 1

BY REPRESENTATIVES SCHEXNAYDER, MAGEE, AND STEFANSKI

REAPPORTIONMENT/CONGRESS: Provides relative to the districts for members of the United States Congress (Item #3)

1 AN ACT

2 To enact R.S. 18:1276 and to repeal R.S. 18:1276.1, relative to congressional districts; to
3 provide for the redistricting of Louisiana's congressional districts; to provide with
4 respect to positions and offices, other than congressional, which are based upon
5 congressional districts; to provide for the effectiveness; and to provide for related
6 matters.

7 Be it enacted by the Legislature of Louisiana:

8 Section 1. R.S. 18:1276 is hereby enacted to read as follows:

9 §1276. Congressional districts

10 Louisiana shall be divided into six congressional districts, and the qualified
11 electors of each district shall elect one representative to the United States House of
12 Representatives. The districts shall be composed as follows:

HLS 221ES-2

ORIGINAL

HB NO. 1

1 12-K, 13-KA, 14-K, 16-K, 17-K, 18-K, 19-K, 20-K, 25-K, 27-K, 28-K, 34-K, 35-K,
2 and 1-L of Jefferson Parish; Precincts 3-3, 3-4, 3-5, 3-6, 4-1, 4-2, 4-3, 4-4, 4-5, 4-6,
3 7-4, 8-1, 9-1, 9-2, 10-1, 10-2, 10-3, 10-4, 10-5, 10-6, 10-7, 10-8, 10-9, 10-10, 10-11,
4 10-12, 10-13, 10-14, 10-15, 10-16, 11-1, 11-2, and 11-5 of Lafourche Parish;
5 Precincts 3-20, 4-7, 4-8, 4-9, 4-11, 4-14, 4-15, 4-17, 4-17A, 4-18, 4-20, 4-21, 4-22,
6 4-23, 5-15, 5-16, 5-17, 5-18, 14-2, 14-3, 14-4, 14-5, 14-6, 14-7, 14-8, 14-9, 14-10,
7 14-11, 14-12, 14-13A, 14-14, 14-15, 14-16, 14-17, 14-18A, 14-19, 14-20, 14-21,
8 16-1, 16-1A, 16-2, 16-3, 17-1, 17-2, 17-17, 17-18, 17-18A, 17-19, and 17-20 of
9 Orleans Parish; Plaquemines Parish; St. Bernard Parish; St. Tammany Parish;
10 Precincts 70, 70A, 71, 72, 72A, 73, 74, 120, 122, 122A, 122B, 124, 124A, 139, 143,
11 143A, 145, 147, 149, 149A, and 151 of Tangipahoa Parish; and Precincts 11, 15, 20,
12 21, 23, 25, 27, 29, 31, 32, 34, 35, 36, 38, 41, 43, 46, 48, 49, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58,
13 59, 60, 61, 63, 69, 72, 84, 85, 88, 89, 110, and 111 of Terrebonne Parish.

14 (2) District 2 is composed of Precincts 30, 36, 37, 39, 42, 44, 45, 47, 48, 50,
15 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 57, and 65 of Ascension Parish; Precincts 1-1, 1-2, 2-2, 4-3, 5-5,
16 6-1, 6-2, 6-3, and 7-1 of Assumption Parish; Precincts 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, 1-5, 1-6, 1-10,
17 1-11, 1-13, 1-14, 1-15, 1-16, 1-17, 1-18, 1-19, 1-21, 1-22, 1-23, 1-24, 1-25, 1-26,
18 1-27, 1-28, 1-29, 1-30, 1-31, 1-32, 1-36, 1-50, 1-51, 1-58, 1-61, 1-62, 1-63, 1-67,
19 1-77, 1-84, 1-85, 1-86, 1-91, 1-92, 1-93, 1-94, 1-95, 1-100, 1-101, 1-104, 2-1, 2-9,
20 2-11, 2-13, 2-16, 2-20, 2-22, 2-23, 2-24, and 2-30 of East Baton Rouge Parish;
21 Precincts 1, 3, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13C, 14, 14A, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, and
22 23 of Iberville Parish; Precincts 57, 104, 108, 115, 116, 131, 133, 150, 151, 152, 153,
23 154, 155, 156, 157A, 157B, 170, 171, 172, 173, 174, 175, 176, 177, 178, 179A,
24 179B, 180, 181, 182, 183, 184, 185A, 185B, 187, 188, 189, 190, 191, 193A, 193B,
25 194A, 194B, 195, 196, 197A, 197B, 200, 201, 202, 204, 205, 210, 211, 212, 213A,
26 213B, 213C, 214A, 214B, 215, 216A, 216B, 216C, 217, 225, 226, 227, 228, 229,
27 230, 231, 232A, 232B, 234, 235, 236, 237, 238A, 238B, 1-G, 2-G, 3-G, 4-G, 5-G,
28 6-G, 7-G, 8-G, 9-G, 10-G, 11-G, 12-G, 13-G, 13-KB, 15-K, 21-K, 22-K, 23-K, 24-K,
29 26-K, 29-K, 30-K, 31-K, 33-K, 1-W, 2-W, 3-W, 4-W, 5-W, 6-W, and 7-W of

HLS 221ES-2

ORIGINAL

HB NO. 1

1 Jefferson Parish; Precincts 1-1, 1-2, 1-5, 1-6, 2-1, 2-2, 2-4, 2-6, 2-7, 3-1, 3-8, 3-9,
2 3-12, 3-14, 3-15, 3-18, 3-19, 4-2, 4-3, 4-5, 4-6, 5-1, 5-2, 5-3, 5-5, 5-7, 5-8, 5-9, 5-10,
3 5-11, 5-12, 5-13, 6-1, 6-2, 6-4, 6-6, 6-7, 6-8, 6-9, 7-1, 7-2, 7-4, 7-5, 7-6, 7-7, 7-8,
4 7-9A, 7-10, 7-11, 7-12, 7-13, 7-14, 7-15, 7-16, 7-17, 7-18, 7-19, 7-20, 7-21, 7-23,
5 7-24, 7-25, 7-25A, 7-26, 7-27, 7-27B, 7-28, 7-28A, 7-29, 7-30, 7-32, 7-33, 7-35,
6 7-37, 7-37A, 7-40, 7-41, 7-42, 8-1, 8-2, 8-4, 8-6, 8-7, 8-8, 8-9, 8-12, 8-13, 8-14,
7 8-15, 8-19, 8-20, 8-21, 8-22, 8-23, 8-24, 8-25, 8-26, 8-27, 8-28, 8-30, 9-1, 9-3, 9-4,
8 9-5, 9-6, 9-7, 9-8, 9-9, 9-10, 9-11, 9-12, 9-13, 9-14, 9-15, 9-16, 9-17, 9-19, 9-21,
9 9-23, 9-25, 9-26, 9-28, 9-28C, 9-29, 9-30, 9-30A, 9-31, 9-31A, 9-31B, 9-31D, 9-32,
10 9-33, 9-34A, 9-35, 9-35A, 9-36, 9-36B, 9-37, 9-38, 9-38A, 9-39, 9-39B, 9-40,
11 9-40A, 9-40C, 9-41, 9-41A, 9-41B, 9-41C, 9-41D, 9-42, 9-42C, 9-43A, 9-43B,
12 9-43C, 9-43E, 9-43F, 9-43G, 9-43H, 9-43I, 9-43J, 9-43K, 9-43L, 9-43M, 9-43N,
13 9-44, 9-44A, 9-44B, 9-44D, 9-44E, 9-44F, 9-44G, 9-44I, 9-44J, 9-44L, 9-44M,
14 9-44N, 9-44O, 9-44P, 9-44Q, 9-45, 9-45A, 10-3, 10-6, 10-7, 10-8, 10-9, 10-11,
15 10-12, 10-13, 10-14, 11-2, 11-3, 11-4, 11-5, 11-8, 11-9, 11-10, 11-11, 11-12, 11-13,
16 11-14, 11-17, 12-1, 12-2, 12-3, 12-4, 12-5, 12-6, 12-7, 12-8, 12-9, 12-10, 12-11,
17 12-12, 12-13, 12-14, 12-16, 12-17, 12-19, 13-1, 13-2, 13-3, 13-4, 13-5, 13-6, 13-7,
18 13-8, 13-9, 13-10, 13-11, 13-12, 13-13, 13-14, 13-15, 13-16, 14-1, 14-23, 14-24A,
19 14-25, 14-26, 15-1, 15-2, 15-3, 15-5, 15-6, 15-8, 15-9, 15-10, 15-11, 15-12, 15-12A,
20 15-13, 15-13A, 15-13B, 15-14, 15-14A, 15-14B, 15-14C, 15-14D, 15-14E, 15-14F,
21 15-14G, 15-15, 15-15A, 15-15B, 15-16, 15-17, 15-17A, 15-17B, 15-18, 15-18A,
22 15-18B, 15-18C, 15-18D, 15-18E, 15-18F, 15-19, 15-19A, 15-19B, 15-19C, 16-4,
23 16-5, 16-6, 16-7, 16-8, 16-9, 17-3, 17-4, 17-5, 17-6, 17-7, 17-8, 17-9, 17-10, 17-11,
24 17-12, 17-13, 17-13A, 17-14, 17-15, and 17-16 of Orleans Parish; Precincts 1-1, 1-2,
25 1-3, 1-5, 2-1, 2-2, 2-3, 2-4, 2-5, 4-1, 4-2, 4-3, 4-4, 5-1, 5-3, 5-4, 6-6, 6-7, 6-8, 7-1,
26 7-2, 7-3, and 7-4 of St. Charles Parish; St. James Parish; Precincts 1-1, 1-2, 1-3, 1-4,
27 1-5, 2-1, 2-2, 2-3, 2-4, 3-1, 3-2, 3-4, 4-1, 4-2, 4-3, 4-14, 5-1, 5-8, 6-1, 6-3, 6-4, and
28 7-7 of St. John the Baptist Parish; and Precincts 1A, 1B, 1C, 2B, 6, 7B, 8, 10A, 10B,
29 11A, 11B, 13A, 13B, 14, and 15 of West Baton Rouge Parish.

HLS 221ES-2

ORIGINAL

HB NO. 1

1 (3) District 3 is composed of Acadia Parish; Calcasieu Parish; Cameron
2 Parish; Iberia Parish; Jefferson Davis Parish; Lafayette Parish; Precincts 3, 4, 5, 6,
3 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, and
4 29 of St. Martin Parish; Precincts 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16,
5 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40,
6 42, 43, and 44 of St. Mary Parish; and Vermilion Parish.

7 (4) District 4 is composed of Allen Parish; Beauregard Parish; Bienville
8 Parish; Bossier Parish; Caddo Parish; Claiborne Parish; De Soto Parish; Evangeline
9 Parish; Natchitoches Parish; Precincts C1, C2, C3, C4, C5, C6, C7, C8, C9, C10,
10 C11-A, C11-B, C13, C14, C15, C17, C18, C19, C20, C21, C22, C23, C24, C25, C26,
11 C27, C28, C30, C31, C32, C33, C34, C35, C36, C37-A, C37-B, C38-A, C38-B, C39,
12 C40, C41, C42, N1, N2, N3, N4, N5, N6, N7, N8, N9, N10, N11, N12, N13-A,
13 N13-B, N14-A, N14-B, N15, N18-A, N19, N20, N21, N22, S1, S2, S4, S5, S6A,
14 S6B, S7, S8, S9, S10, S11, S13, S14, S15, S17, S18, S19, S20, S21, S22, S23, S24,
15 S25, S26, S27, S28, and S29 of Rapides Parish; Red River Parish; Sabine Parish;
16 Vernon Parish; and Webster Parish.

17 (5) District 5 is composed of Avoyelles Parish; Caldwell Parish; Catahoula
18 Parish; Concordia Parish; East Carroll Parish; East Feliciana Parish; Franklin Parish;
19 Grant Parish; Jackson Parish; La Salle Parish; Lincoln Parish; Madison Parish;
20 Morehouse Parish; Ouachita Parish; Pointe Coupee Parish; Precincts N16, N17,
21 N18-B, N23, N24, N25, N26, N27, N28, N29, and S16 of Rapides Parish; Richland
22 Parish; St. Helena Parish; St. Landry Parish; Precincts 1, 2, 6, 11, 15, 16, 17, 18, 26,
23 27, 28, 33, 40, 40A, 41, 42, 42A, 43, 44, 45, 45A, 46, 47, 48, 49, 101, 102, 104,
24 104A, 105, 106, 106A, 107, 108, 109, 110, 111A, 112, 114, 115B, 116, 117, 118,
25 119, 120A, 120B, 121, 121A, 123, 125, 127, 127A, 129, 129A, 133, 133A, 137,
26 137A, 137B, 137C, 137D, 141, and 141A of Tangipahoa Parish; Tensas Parish;
27 Union Parish; Washington Parish; West Carroll Parish; West Feliciana Parish; and
28 Winn Parish.

HLS 221ES-2

ORIGINAL

HB NO. 1

1 (6) District 6 is composed of Precincts 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13,
2 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 40, 41, 43, 58,
3 61, 62, 63, 64, 66, 71, 72, 73, 76, 77, and 78 of Ascension Parish; Precincts 2-1, 2-3,
4 2-4, 2-5, 3-1, 3-2, 4-1, 4-2, 5-1, 5-2, 5-3, 7-2, 7-3, 8-1, and 9-1 of Assumption Parish;
5 Precincts 1-1, 1-7, 1-8, 1-9, 1-12, 1-20, 1-33, 1-34, 1-35, 1-37, 1-38, 1-39, 1-40,
6 1-41, 1-42, 1-43, 1-44, 1-45, 1-46, 1-47, 1-48, 1-49, 1-52, 1-53, 1-54, 1-55, 1-56,
7 1-57, 1-59, 1-60, 1-64, 1-65, 1-66, 1-68, 1-69, 1-70, 1-71, 1-72, 1-73, 1-74, 1-75,
8 1-76, 1-78, 1-79, 1-80, 1-81, 1-82, 1-83, 1-87, 1-88, 1-89, 1-90, 1-97, 1-98, 1-99,
9 1-102, 1-103, 1-105, 1-107, 2-2, 2-3, 2-4, 2-5, 2-6, 2-7, 2-8, 2-10, 2-12, 2-14, 2-15,
10 2-17, 2-18, 2-19, 2-21, 2-25, 2-26, 2-27, 2-28, 2-29, 2-31, 2-32, 2-33, 2-34, 2-35,
11 2-36, 2-37, 3-1, 3-2, 3-3, 3-4, 3-5, 3-6, 3-7, 3-8, 3-9, 3-10, 3-11, 3-12, 3-13, 3-14,
12 3-15, 3-16, 3-17, 3-18, 3-19, 3-20, 3-21, 3-22, 3-23, 3-24, 3-25, 3-26, 3-27, 3-28,
13 3-29, 3-30, 3-31, 3-32, 3-33, 3-34, 3-35, 3-36, 3-37, 3-38, 3-39, 3-40, 3-41, 3-43,
14 3-44, 3-45, 3-46, 3-47, 3-48, 3-49, 3-50, 3-51, 3-52, 3-53, 3-54, 3-55, 3-56, 3-57,
15 3-58, 3-59, 3-60, 3-61, 3-62, 3-63, 3-64, 3-65, 3-66, 3-67, 3-68, 3-69, 3-70, 3-71, and
16 3-72 of East Baton Rouge Parish; Precincts 4, 5, 13, 15B, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 31,
17 and 32 of Iberville Parish; Precincts 1-1, 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, 1-5, 2-1, 2-1A, 2-2, 2-3, 2-3A,
18 2-4, 2-5, 2-6, 2-7, 2-8, 2-9, 2-10, 2-11, 2-12, 2-13, 2-14, 3-1, 3-2, 5-1, 5-1A, 5-1B,
19 5-2, 6-1, 6-2, 6-3, 6-4, 6-5, 7-1, 7-2, 7-3, 11-3, and 11-4 of Lafourche Parish;
20 Livingston Parish; Precincts 1-6, 2-6, 3-1, 3-2, 3-3, 5-5, 6-1, 6-2, and 6-4 of St.
21 Charles Parish; Precincts 4-13, 5-4, 5-7, 7-2, 7-3, and 7-5 of St. John the Baptist
22 Parish; Precincts 1 and 2 of St. Martin Parish; Precincts 24, 41, and 45 of St. Mary
23 Parish; Precincts 1, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14, 16, 17, 18, 19, 45, 51, 64, 65, 67, 68,
24 71, 73, 74, 76, 82, 83, 86, 87, and 90 of Terrebonne Parish; and Precincts 2A, 3, 4,
25 5, 7A, 9, 12, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, and 22 of West Baton Rouge Parish.

26 Section 2. R.S. 18:1276.1 is hereby repealed in its entirety.

27 Section 3.(A) The precincts referenced in this Act are those precincts identified as
28 Voting Districts (VTDs) in the 2020 Census Redistricting TIGER/Line Shapefiles for the
29 State of Louisiana as validated through the data verification program of the Louisiana House

HLS 221ES-2

ORIGINAL

HB NO. 1

1 of Representatives and the Louisiana Senate and available on the legislature's website on the
2 effective date of this Section.

3 (B) When a precinct referenced in this Act has been subdivided by action of the
4 parish governing authority on a nongeographic basis or subdivided by action of the parish
5 governing authority on a geographic basis in accordance with the provisions of R.S.
6 18:532.1, the enumeration in this Act of the general precinct designation shall include all
7 nongeographic and all geographic subdivisions thereof, however such subdivisions may be
8 designated.

9 (C) The territorial limits of the districts as provided in this Act shall continue in
10 effect until changed by law regardless of any subsequent change made to the precincts by
11 the parish governing authority.

12 Section 4. The provisions of this Act shall not reduce the term of office of any
13 person holding any position or office on the effective date of this Section for which the
14 appointment or election is based upon a congressional district as composed pursuant to R.S.
15 18:1276.1. Any position or office that is filled by appointment or election based on a
16 congressional district and that is to be filled after January 3, 2023, shall be appointed or
17 elected from a district as it is described in Section 1 of this Act.

18 Section 5.(A) Solely for the purposes of qualifying for election and the election of
19 representatives to the United States Congress at the regularly scheduled election for
20 representatives to the congress in 2022, the provisions of Section 1 of this Act shall become
21 effective upon signature of this Act by the governor or, if not signed by the governor, upon
22 expiration of the time for bills to become law without signature by the governor, as provided
23 in Article III, Section 18 of the Constitution of Louisiana. If this Act is vetoed by the
24 governor and subsequently approved by the legislature, the provisions of Section 1 of this
25 Act shall become effective on the day following such approval for the purposes established
26 in this Subsection.

27 (B) For subsequent elections of representatives to the United States Congress and
28 for all other purposes, the provisions of Section 1 of this Act shall become effective at noon
29 on January 3, 2023.

HLS 221ES-2

ORIGINAL

HB NO. 1

1 (C) The provisions of Section 2 of this Act shall become effective at noon on
2 January 3, 2023.

3 (D) The provisions of this Section and Sections 3 and 4 of this Act shall become
4 effective upon signature of this Act by the governor or, if not signed by the governor, upon
5 expiration of the time for bills to become law without signature by the governor, as provided
6 in Article III, Section 18 of the Constitution of Louisiana. If this Act is vetoed by the
7 governor and subsequently approved by the legislature, the provisions of this Section and
8 Sections 3 and 4 of this Act shall become effective on the day following such approval.

DIGEST

The digest printed below was prepared by House Legislative Services. It constitutes no part of the legislative instrument. The keyword, one-liner, abstract, and digest do not constitute part of the law or proof or indicia of legislative intent. [R.S. 1:13(B) and 24:177(E)]

HB 1 Original

2022 First Extraordinary Session

Schexnayder

Abstract: Provides for the redistricting of the state's congressional districts and provides for the composition of each of the six congressional districts. Effective for election purposes only for the regular congressional elections in 2022 and for all other purposes at noon on Jan. 3, 2023.

Statistical summaries of proposed law, including district variances from the ideal population of 776,292 and the range of those variances, as well as maps illustrating proposed district boundaries accompany this digest. (*Attached to the bill version on the internet.*)

Present U.S. Constitution (14th Amendment) provides that representatives in congress shall be apportioned among the several states according to their respective numbers, counting the whole number of persons in each state. The U.S. Supreme Court has held that the population of congressional districts in the same state must be as nearly equal in population as practicable.

Proposed law redraws district boundaries for the six congressional districts, effective upon signature of governor or lapse of time for gubernatorial action for purposes of the 2022 election.

Proposed law retains present districts until noon on Jan. 3, 2023, at which time present law is repealed and proposed districts are effective for all other purposes.

Proposed law specifies that precincts referenced in district descriptions are those precincts identified as Voting Districts (VTDs) in the 2020 Census Redistricting TIGER/Line Shapefiles for the state of La. as validated through the data verification program of the La. legislature. Also specifies that if any such precinct has been subdivided by action of the parish governing authority on a nongeographic basis or subdivided by action of the parish governing authority on a geographic basis in accordance with present law, the enumeration of the general precinct designation shall include all nongeographic and all geographic subdivisions thereof. Further provides that the territorial limits of the districts as enacted shall continue in effect until changed by law regardless of any subsequent change made to the precincts by the parish governing authority.

HLS 221ES-2

ORIGINAL
HB NO. 1

Proposed law specifies that proposed law does not reduce the term of office of any person holding any position or office on the effective date of proposed law for which the appointment or election is based upon a congressional district as composed pursuant to present law. Specifies that any position or office filled after Jan. 3, 2023, for which the appointment or election is based on a congressional district shall be appointed or elected from a district as it is described in proposed law.

Population data in the summaries accompanying this digest are derived from 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171), Summary File for Louisiana. Population data, statistical information, and maps are supplied for purposes of information and analysis and comprise no part of proposed law.

Effective for election purposes only for the regular congressional elections in 2022; effective for all other purposes at noon on Jan. 3, 2023.

(Adds R.S. 18:1276; Repeals R.S. 18:1276.1)

Plan Statistics

Plan: HLS 221ES-2 (Schexnayder) Original

| Districts: | # of Members | Actual Population | Ideal Population | Absolute Deviation | Relative Deviation |
|---------------------|--------------|-------------------|------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| District 1 | 1 | 776,288 | 776,292 | -4 | -0.001% |
| District 2 | 1 | 776,293 | 776,292 | 1 | 0.000% |
| District 3 | 1 | 776,275 | 776,292 | -17 | -0.002% |
| District 4 | 1 | 776,321 | 776,292 | 29 | 0.004% |
| District 5 | 1 | 776,275 | 776,292 | -17 | -0.002% |
| District 6 | 1 | 776,305 | 776,292 | 13 | 0.002% |
| Grand Total: | 6 | 4,657,757 | 4,657,752 | | |

| | | | |
|------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|-----------|
| Ideal Population Per Member: | 776292 | Ideal - Actual: | -5 |
| Number of Districts for Plan Type: | 6 | Remainder: | 5 |
| Range of District Populations: | 776,275 to 776,321 | Unassigned Population: | 0 |
| Absolute Mean Deviation: | 8 | | |
| Absolute Range: | -17 to 29 | | |
| Absolute Overall Range: | 46 | | |
| Relative Mean Deviation: | 0.00% | | |
| Relative Range: | 0.00% to 0.00% | | |
| Relative Overall Range: | 0.00% | | |

Total Population

Plan: HLS 221ES-2 (Schexnayder) Original

| | Total Population | Total White | Total Black | Total Asian | Total American Indian | Total Other | Total Hispanic | VAP Total | VAP White | VAP Black | VAP Asian | VAP American Indian | VAP Other | VAP Hispanic Total |
|-------------|------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-----------------------|-------------|----------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------------------|-----------|--------------------|
| District 1 | 776,288 | 537,983 | 116,701 | 24,434 | 22,736 | 74,434 | 93,082 | 601,549 | 432,516 | 81,775 | 17,986 | 16,150 | 53,122 | 65,531 |
| | 100.000% | 69.302% | 15.033% | 3.148% | 2.929% | 9.588% | 11.991% | 100.000% | 71.900% | 13.594% | 2.990% | 2.685% | 8.831% | 10.894% |
| District 2 | 776,293 | 218,067 | 473,978 | 23,727 | 8,188 | 52,333 | 67,702 | 600,015 | 184,800 | 352,563 | 18,931 | 6,367 | 37,354 | 47,363 |
| | 100.000% | 28.091% | 61.057% | 3.056% | 1.055% | 6.741% | 8.721% | 100.000% | 30.799% | 58.759% | 3.155% | 1.061% | 6.226% | 7.894% |
| District 3 | 776,275 | 508,115 | 205,820 | 16,256 | 11,306 | 34,778 | 41,065 | 586,488 | 398,253 | 144,434 | 11,650 | 8,287 | 23,864 | 27,487 |
| | 100.000% | 65.456% | 26.514% | 2.094% | 1.456% | 4.480% | 5.290% | 100.000% | 67.905% | 24.627% | 1.986% | 1.413% | 4.069% | 4.687% |
| District 4 | 776,321 | 438,493 | 276,844 | 12,936 | 18,995 | 29,053 | 36,371 | 591,382 | 348,175 | 199,057 | 9,393 | 14,241 | 20,516 | 24,950 |
| | 100.000% | 56.483% | 35.661% | 1.666% | 2.447% | 3.742% | 4.685% | 100.000% | 58.875% | 33.660% | 1.588% | 2.408% | 3.469% | 4.219% |
| District 5 | 776,275 | 459,595 | 273,524 | 7,843 | 11,916 | 23,397 | 28,238 | 597,284 | 367,334 | 197,336 | 6,102 | 9,057 | 17,455 | 20,613 |
| | 100.000% | 59.205% | 35.235% | 1.010% | 1.535% | 3.014% | 3.638% | 100.000% | 61.501% | 33.039% | 1.022% | 1.516% | 2.922% | 3.451% |
| District 6 | 776,305 | 495,399 | 196,252 | 22,092 | 13,919 | 48,643 | 56,091 | 593,830 | 393,433 | 140,604 | 16,354 | 10,138 | 33,301 | 37,718 |
| | 100.000% | 63.815% | 25.280% | 2.846% | 1.793% | 6.266% | 7.225% | 100.000% | 66.253% | 23.677% | 2.754% | 1.707% | 5.608% | 6.352% |
| Grand Total | 4,657,757 | 2,657,652 | 1,543,119 | 107,288 | 87,060 | 262,638 | 322,549 | 3,570,548 | 2,124,511 | 1,115,769 | 80,416 | 64,240 | 185,612 | 223,662 |
| | 100.000% | 57.059% | 33.130% | 2.303% | 1.869% | 5.639% | 6.925% | 100.000% | 59.501% | 31.249% | 2.252% | 1.799% | 5.198% | 6.264% |

Voter Registration

Plan: HLS 221ES-2 (Schexnayder) Original

| | Reg Total Dec 2021 | Reg White Dec 2021 | Reg Black Dec 2021 | Reg Other Dec 2021 | Reg Dem Total Dec 2021 | Reg Rep Total Dec 2021 | Reg Other Total Dec 2021 |
|-------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| District 1 | 489,126 | 388,604 | 56,870 | 43,652 | 137,925 | 203,404 | 147,797 |
| | 81.311% | 79.449% | 11.627% | 8.924% | 28.198% | 41.585% | 30.217% |
| District 2 | 495,171 | 151,791 | 304,309 | 39,071 | 313,201 | 58,654 | 123,316 |
| | 82.526% | 30.654% | 61.455% | 7.890% | 63.251% | 11.845% | 24.904% |
| District 3 | 479,827 | 344,683 | 114,946 | 20,198 | 168,883 | 180,513 | 130,431 |
| | 81.814% | 71.835% | 23.956% | 4.209% | 35.197% | 37.620% | 27.183% |
| District 4 | 470,683 | 290,476 | 158,433 | 21,774 | 184,700 | 167,337 | 118,646 |
| | 79.590% | 61.714% | 33.660% | 4.626% | 39.241% | 35.552% | 25.207% |
| District 5 | 484,754 | 309,664 | 162,222 | 12,868 | 197,517 | 172,071 | 115,166 |
| | 81.160% | 63.881% | 33.465% | 2.655% | 40.746% | 35.497% | 23.758% |
| District 6 | 474,785 | 342,771 | 105,968 | 26,046 | 158,778 | 186,801 | 129,206 |
| | 79.953% | 72.195% | 22.319% | 5.486% | 33.442% | 39.344% | 27.214% |
| Grand Total | 2,894,346 | 1,827,989 | 902,748 | 163,609 | 1,161,004 | 968,780 | 764,562 |

Splits

Plan: HLS 221ES-2 (Schexnayder) Original

| | Total Population | Total White | Total Black | Total Asian | American Indian | Total Other | VAP Total | VAP White | VAP Black | VAP Asian | VAP American Indian | VAP Other | Reg Total Dec 2021 | Reg White Dec 2021 | Reg Black Dec 2021 | Reg Other Dec 2021 |
|-----------------------|------------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|---------------------|---------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| District 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| *Jefferson | 240,887 | 155,928 | 31,112 | 11,891 | 4,421 | 37,535 | 192,684 | 130,249 | 22,749 | 8,966 | 3,340 | 27,380 | 148,329 | 116,351 | 12,947 | 19,031 |
| *Lafourche | 50,164 | 38,752 | 4,617 | 576 | 3,219 | 3,000 | 38,052 | 30,550 | 2,984 | 425 | 2,127 | 1,966 | 29,354 | 25,495 | 2,151 | 1,708 |
| *Orleans | 52,319 | 41,517 | 4,426 | 2,099 | 616 | 3,661 | 43,221 | 34,590 | 3,737 | 1,622 | 487 | 2,785 | 35,637 | 30,059 | 1,884 | 3,694 |
| Plaquemines | 23,515 | 14,287 | 5,428 | 1,317 | 697 | 1,786 | 17,334 | 10,856 | 3,857 | 925 | 500 | 1,196 | 13,908 | 9,513 | 3,134 | 1,261 |
| St. Bernard | 43,764 | 24,497 | 12,309 | 1,381 | 947 | 4,630 | 31,775 | 18,992 | 7,944 | 982 | 688 | 3,169 | 25,653 | 18,233 | 5,497 | 1,923 |
| St. Tammany | 264,570 | 196,641 | 38,643 | 5,774 | 5,660 | 17,852 | 202,228 | 154,621 | 26,761 | 4,075 | 4,161 | 12,610 | 178,779 | 145,724 | 21,142 | 11,913 |
| *Tangipahoa | 39,695 | 28,967 | 7,279 | 473 | 968 | 2,008 | 29,975 | 22,597 | 4,969 | 311 | 699 | 1,399 | 23,462 | 19,013 | 3,462 | 987 |
| *Terrebonne | 61,374 | 37,394 | 12,887 | 923 | 6,208 | 3,962 | 46,280 | 30,061 | 8,774 | 680 | 4,148 | 2,617 | 34,004 | 24,216 | 6,653 | 3,135 |
| District 1 | 776,288 | 537,983 | 116,701 | 24,434 | 22,736 | 74,434 | 601,549 | 432,516 | 81,775 | 17,986 | 16,150 | 53,122 | 489,126 | 388,604 | 56,870 | 43,652 |
| | 100.000% | 69.302% | 15.033% | 3.148% | 2.929% | 9.588% | 100.000% | 71.900% | 13.594% | 2.990% | 2.685% | 8.831% | 81.311% | 79.449% | 11.627% | 8.924% |
| District 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| *Ascension | 20,892 | 5,625 | 13,842 | 140 | 170 | 1,115 | 15,426 | 4,672 | 9,766 | 104 | 113 | 771 | 13,180 | 3,868 | 8,794 | 518 |
| *Assumption | 6,710 | 2,870 | 3,622 | 23 | 44 | 151 | 5,270 | 2,334 | 2,764 | 15 | 33 | 124 | 4,756 | 1,997 | 2,702 | 57 |
| *East Baton Rouge | 94,325 | 5,624 | 85,793 | 483 | 369 | 2,056 | 70,960 | 5,094 | 63,632 | 382 | 289 | 1,563 | 58,983 | 2,990 | 54,254 | 1,739 |
| *Iberville | 21,073 | 8,453 | 11,316 | 173 | 147 | 984 | 16,631 | 7,182 | 8,363 | 125 | 114 | 847 | 13,630 | 5,355 | 7,955 | 320 |
| *Jefferson | 199,894 | 65,007 | 95,105 | 11,133 | 3,265 | 25,384 | 151,970 | 53,886 | 69,426 | 8,726 | 2,495 | 17,437 | 114,772 | 43,265 | 56,629 | 14,878 |
| *Orleans | 331,678 | 84,945 | 214,543 | 10,757 | 3,050 | 18,383 | 262,975 | 75,662 | 162,331 | 8,898 | 2,461 | 13,623 | 220,607 | 61,927 | 139,641 | 19,039 |
| *St. Charles | 34,943 | 21,021 | 11,091 | 501 | 610 | 1,720 | 26,288 | 16,352 | 7,957 | 307 | 457 | 1,215 | 23,249 | 15,046 | 7,109 | 1,094 |
| St. James | 20,192 | 9,973 | 9,762 | 60 | 82 | 315 | 15,505 | 7,883 | 7,297 | 31 | 64 | 230 | 14,966 | 7,254 | 7,501 | 211 |
| *St. John the Baptist | 32,678 | 8,833 | 21,557 | 244 | 303 | 1,741 | 24,826 | 7,363 | 15,831 | 183 | 235 | 1,214 | 22,433 | 6,282 | 15,109 | 1,042 |
| *West Baton Rouge | 13,908 | 5,716 | 7,347 | 213 | 148 | 484 | 10,164 | 4,372 | 5,196 | 160 | 106 | 330 | 8,595 | 3,807 | 4,615 | 173 |
| District 2 | 776,293 | 218,067 | 473,978 | 23,727 | 8,188 | 52,333 | 600,015 | 184,800 | 352,563 | 18,931 | 6,367 | 37,354 | 495,171 | 151,791 | 304,309 | 39,071 |
| | 100.000% | 28.091% | 61.057% | 3.056% | 1.055% | 6.741% | 100.000% | 30.799% | 58.759% | 3.155% | 1.061% | 6.226% | 82.526% | 30.654% | 61.455% | 7.890% |
| District 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Acadia | 57,576 | 44,480 | 10,864 | 238 | 573 | 1,421 | 42,943 | 34,071 | 7,383 | 173 | 400 | 916 | 37,678 | 30,555 | 6,407 | 716 |
| Calcasieu | 216,785 | 139,772 | 59,386 | 4,702 | 3,536 | 9,389 | 163,166 | 108,789 | 41,898 | 3,359 | 2,604 | 6,516 | 120,511 | 85,659 | 29,513 | 5,339 |
| Cameron | 5,617 | 5,232 | 125 | 30 | 75 | 155 | 4,358 | 4,100 | 79 | 23 | 47 | 109 | 4,789 | 4,610 | 88 | 91 |
| Iberia | 69,929 | 39,206 | 24,556 | 2,123 | 794 | 3,250 | 52,791 | 31,295 | 17,069 | 1,562 | 581 | 2,284 | 44,526 | 28,287 | 14,352 | 1,887 |
| Jefferson Davis | 32,250 | 25,066 | 5,837 | 183 | 472 | 692 | 24,039 | 19,121 | 4,006 | 111 | 325 | 476 | 20,013 | 16,350 | 3,202 | 461 |
| Lafayette | 241,753 | 153,363 | 65,136 | 6,454 | 3,210 | 13,590 | 183,875 | 121,608 | 45,917 | 4,664 | 2,387 | 9,299 | 153,493 | 108,645 | 36,481 | 8,367 |
| *St. Martin | 50,399 | 31,974 | 15,908 | 590 | 505 | 1,422 | 38,250 | 25,187 | 11,282 | 402 | 383 | 996 | 34,127 | 22,955 | 10,380 | 792 |
| *St. Mary | 44,607 | 24,545 | 15,198 | 489 | 1,518 | 2,857 | 34,054 | 19,719 | 11,013 | 319 | 1,072 | 1,931 | 27,921 | 17,117 | 9,529 | 1,275 |
| Vermilion | 57,359 | 44,477 | 8,810 | 1,447 | 623 | 2,002 | 43,012 | 34,363 | 5,787 | 1,037 | 488 | 1,337 | 36,769 | 30,505 | 4,994 | 1,270 |
| District 3 | 776,275 | 508,115 | 205,820 | 16,256 | 11,306 | 34,778 | 586,488 | 398,253 | 144,434 | 11,650 | 8,287 | 23,864 | 479,827 | 344,683 | 114,946 | 20,198 |
| | 100.000% | 65.456% | 26.514% | 2.094% | 1.456% | 4.480% | 100.000% | 67.905% | 24.627% | 1.986% | 1.413% | 4.069% | 81.814% | 71.835% | 23.956% | 4.209% |

Splits


Plan: HLS 221ES-2 (Schexnayder) Original


| | Total Population | Total White | Total Black | Total Asian | American Indian | Total Other | VAP Total | VAP White | VAP Black | VAP Asian | VAP American Indian | VAP Other | Reg Total Dec 2021 | Reg White Dec 2021 | Reg Black Dec 2021 | Reg Other Dec 2021 |
|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|---------------------|---------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| District 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Allen | 22,750 | 16,327 | 4,490 | 246 | 947 | 740 | 17,510 | 12,751 | 3,275 | 182 | 646 | 656 | 12,201 | 9,478 | 2,217 | 506 |
| Beauregard | 36,549 | 29,529 | 4,649 | 402 | 1,052 | 917 | 27,489 | 22,304 | 3,495 | 269 | 773 | 648 | 22,294 | 18,771 | 2,369 | 1,154 |
| Bienville | 12,981 | 6,950 | 5,600 | 57 | 207 | 167 | 10,073 | 5,486 | 4,284 | 30 | 162 | 111 | 8,847 | 4,843 | 3,917 | 87 |
| Bossier | 128,746 | 81,052 | 32,551 | 3,492 | 3,273 | 8,378 | 95,876 | 62,931 | 22,440 | 2,448 | 2,477 | 5,580 | 69,743 | 50,861 | 14,838 | 4,044 |
| Caddo | 237,848 | 103,457 | 119,304 | 4,034 | 3,840 | 7,213 | 182,407 | 85,059 | 86,359 | 3,008 | 2,958 | 5,023 | 151,296 | 73,113 | 71,249 | 6,934 |
| Claiborne | 14,170 | 7,263 | 6,360 | 88 | 185 | 274 | 11,507 | 6,258 | 4,824 | 55 | 140 | 230 | 8,598 | 4,632 | 3,820 | 146 |
| De Soto | 26,812 | 15,284 | 9,973 | 117 | 740 | 698 | 20,440 | 11,909 | 7,425 | 86 | 557 | 463 | 18,713 | 11,330 | 6,810 | 573 |
| Evangeline | 32,350 | 21,354 | 9,235 | 241 | 280 | 1,240 | 24,408 | 16,460 | 6,483 | 187 | 217 | 1,061 | 20,553 | 14,566 | 5,643 | 344 |
| Natchitoches | 37,515 | 19,361 | 15,725 | 255 | 861 | 1,313 | 29,349 | 16,010 | 11,415 | 198 | 683 | 1,043 | 23,107 | 12,850 | 9,224 | 1,033 |
| *Rapides | 111,108 | 60,863 | 41,700 | 2,235 | 2,429 | 3,881 | 84,531 | 48,706 | 29,641 | 1,633 | 1,824 | 2,727 | 68,356 | 41,759 | 23,394 | 3,203 |
| Red River | 7,620 | 4,195 | 3,106 | 25 | 171 | 123 | 5,714 | 3,338 | 2,164 | 3 | 116 | 93 | 5,631 | 3,130 | 2,418 | 83 |
| Sabine | 22,155 | 15,036 | 3,861 | 94 | 2,723 | 441 | 17,064 | 12,054 | 2,655 | 66 | 1,970 | 319 | 14,547 | 11,023 | 2,184 | 1,340 |
| Vernon | 48,750 | 35,087 | 7,611 | 1,442 | 1,600 | 3,010 | 36,261 | 26,765 | 5,133 | 1,074 | 1,160 | 2,129 | 24,060 | 19,182 | 3,011 | 1,867 |
| Webster | 36,967 | 22,735 | 12,679 | 208 | 687 | 658 | 28,753 | 18,144 | 9,464 | 154 | 558 | 433 | 22,737 | 14,938 | 7,339 | 460 |
| District 4 | 776,321 | 438,493 | 276,844 | 12,936 | 18,995 | 29,053 | 591,382 | 348,175 | 199,057 | 9,393 | 14,241 | 20,516 | 470,683 | 290,476 | 158,433 | 21,774 |
| | 100.000% | 56.483% | 35.661% | 1.666% | 2.447% | 3.742% | 100.000% | 58.875% | 33.660% | 1.588% | 2.408% | 3.469% | 79.590% | 61.714% | 33.660% | 4.626% |
| District 5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Avoyelles | 39,693 | 25,625 | 11,678 | 434 | 767 | 1,189 | 30,578 | 20,269 | 8,311 | 379 | 570 | 1,049 | 23,426 | 16,534 | 6,294 | 598 |
| Caldwell | 9,645 | 7,646 | 1,632 | 51 | 150 | 166 | 7,478 | 5,969 | 1,224 | 46 | 116 | 123 | 6,031 | 5,124 | 818 | 89 |
| Catahoula | 8,906 | 5,776 | 2,395 | 46 | 119 | 570 | 6,951 | 4,557 | 1,736 | 33 | 87 | 538 | 6,467 | 4,639 | 1,770 | 58 |
| Concordia | 18,687 | 10,275 | 7,725 | 122 | 233 | 332 | 14,217 | 8,108 | 5,613 | 100 | 167 | 229 | 11,964 | 7,222 | 4,540 | 202 |
| East Carroll | 7,459 | 2,054 | 5,272 | 29 | 43 | 61 | 5,901 | 1,773 | 4,043 | 19 | 27 | 39 | 4,709 | 1,306 | 3,359 | 44 |
| East Feliciana | 19,539 | 11,516 | 7,341 | 91 | 262 | 329 | 16,183 | 9,740 | 5,918 | 61 | 198 | 266 | 13,600 | 7,959 | 5,186 | 455 |
| Franklin | 19,774 | 12,492 | 6,802 | 70 | 205 | 205 | 15,028 | 9,901 | 4,779 | 44 | 153 | 151 | 13,159 | 9,015 | 4,034 | 110 |
| Grant | 22,169 | 17,709 | 3,335 | 133 | 644 | 348 | 17,527 | 13,964 | 2,717 | 97 | 507 | 242 | 12,688 | 11,174 | 1,176 | 338 |
| Jackson | 15,031 | 9,967 | 4,166 | 175 | 255 | 468 | 11,783 | 7,967 | 3,125 | 140 | 174 | 377 | 9,449 | 6,647 | 2,610 | 192 |
| La Salle | 14,791 | 11,348 | 1,422 | 283 | 372 | 1,366 | 11,563 | 8,636 | 1,065 | 264 | 271 | 1,327 | 8,792 | 7,978 | 637 | 177 |
| Lincoln | 48,396 | 26,034 | 19,364 | 892 | 662 | 1,444 | 38,655 | 21,306 | 15,119 | 744 | 526 | 960 | 25,649 | 15,672 | 9,016 | 961 |
| Madison | 10,017 | 3,475 | 6,363 | 20 | 59 | 100 | 7,435 | 2,906 | 4,391 | 9 | 48 | 81 | 7,278 | 2,494 | 4,674 | 110 |
| Morehouse | 25,629 | 12,281 | 12,484 | 160 | 370 | 334 | 20,062 | 10,095 | 9,300 | 117 | 279 | 271 | 16,922 | 8,505 | 8,131 | 286 |
| Ouachita | 160,368 | 88,545 | 61,217 | 2,788 | 2,661 | 5,157 | 120,200 | 69,974 | 42,290 | 2,118 | 2,059 | 3,759 | 99,752 | 60,515 | 35,658 | 3,579 |
| Pointe Coupee | 20,758 | 12,395 | 7,504 | 107 | 159 | 593 | 16,250 | 10,108 | 5,502 | 91 | 119 | 430 | 14,675 | 9,320 | 5,121 | 234 |
| *Rapides | 18,915 | 16,647 | 892 | 193 | 673 | 510 | 14,261 | 12,667 | 564 | 153 | 510 | 367 | 11,820 | 11,073 | 381 | 366 |
| Richland | 20,043 | 11,785 | 7,603 | 83 | 258 | 314 | 15,383 | 9,338 | 5,546 | 66 | 203 | 230 | 13,662 | 8,470 | 4,961 | 231 |
| St. Helena | 10,920 | 4,527 | 6,031 | 39 | 134 | 189 | 8,463 | 3,805 | 4,371 | 28 | 109 | 150 | 8,321 | 3,628 | 4,565 | 128 |


Splits


Plan: HLS 221ES-2 (Schexnayder) Original


| | Total Population | Total White | Total Black | Total Asian | American Indian | Total Other | VAP Total | VAP White | VAP Black | VAP Asian | VAP American Indian | VAP Other | Reg Total Dec 2021 | Reg White Dec 2021 | Reg Black Dec 2021 | Reg Other Dec 2021 |
|-----------------------|------------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|---------------------|---------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| District 5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| St. Landry | 82,540 | 43,611 | 35,836 | 499 | 636 | 1,958 | 61,811 | 34,209 | 25,497 | 353 | 451 | 1,301 | 54,482 | 30,093 | 23,005 | 1,384 |
| *Tangipahoa | 93,462 | 52,369 | 34,600 | 1,001 | 1,486 | 4,006 | 71,516 | 42,608 | 24,248 | 789 | 1,128 | 2,743 | 52,794 | 33,899 | 17,063 | 1,832 |
| Tensas | 4,147 | 1,744 | 2,312 | 23 | 26 | 42 | 3,235 | 1,446 | 1,728 | 12 | 23 | 26 | 3,455 | 1,503 | 1,917 | 35 |
| Union | 21,107 | 14,460 | 5,224 | 62 | 338 | 1,023 | 16,632 | 11,807 | 3,861 | 39 | 254 | 671 | 15,221 | 11,066 | 3,692 | 463 |
| Washington | 45,463 | 29,943 | 13,434 | 216 | 736 | 1,134 | 34,951 | 23,743 | 9,732 | 154 | 561 | 761 | 27,587 | 18,835 | 8,102 | 650 |
| West Carroll | 9,751 | 7,894 | 1,425 | 27 | 180 | 225 | 7,532 | 6,223 | 1,010 | 20 | 136 | 143 | 7,038 | 5,913 | 1,040 | 85 |
| West Feliciana | 15,310 | 10,883 | 3,740 | 89 | 225 | 373 | 12,783 | 9,283 | 2,951 | 56 | 174 | 319 | 7,407 | 5,092 | 2,180 | 135 |
| Winn | 13,755 | 8,594 | 3,727 | 210 | 263 | 961 | 10,906 | 6,932 | 2,695 | 170 | 207 | 902 | 8,406 | 5,988 | 2,292 | 126 |
| District 5 | 776,275 | 459,595 | 273,524 | 7,843 | 11,916 | 23,397 | 597,284 | 367,334 | 197,336 | 6,102 | 9,057 | 17,455 | 484,754 | 309,664 | 162,222 | 12,868 |
| | 100.000% | 59.205% | 35.235% | 1.010% | 1.535% | 3.014% | 100.000% | 61.501% | 33.039% | 1.022% | 1.516% | 2.922% | 81.160% | 63.881% | 33.465% | 2.655% |
| District 6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| *Ascension | 105,608 | 75,516 | 18,374 | 2,160 | 1,834 | 7,724 | 76,531 | 56,464 | 12,373 | 1,410 | 1,277 | 5,007 | 66,737 | 52,932 | 10,020 | 3,785 |
| *Assumption | 14,329 | 10,852 | 2,598 | 73 | 214 | 592 | 11,346 | 8,811 | 1,943 | 42 | 164 | 386 | 9,683 | 7,703 | 1,808 | 172 |
| *East Baton Rouge | 362,456 | 190,445 | 127,605 | 15,942 | 4,358 | 24,106 | 284,652 | 158,787 | 93,158 | 12,217 | 3,458 | 17,032 | 220,281 | 135,242 | 70,421 | 14,618 |
| *Iberville | 9,168 | 6,380 | 2,414 | 29 | 127 | 218 | 7,455 | 5,280 | 1,869 | 24 | 107 | 175 | 6,832 | 4,777 | 1,942 | 113 |
| *Lafourche | 47,393 | 32,958 | 11,238 | 449 | 1,005 | 1,743 | 36,567 | 26,288 | 8,093 | 313 | 650 | 1,223 | 28,924 | 22,972 | 5,013 | 939 |
| Livingston | 142,282 | 116,855 | 12,658 | 1,697 | 3,111 | 7,961 | 105,141 | 88,432 | 8,136 | 1,099 | 2,311 | 5,163 | 84,568 | 76,062 | 5,425 | 3,081 |
| *St. Charles | 17,606 | 12,529 | 2,837 | 336 | 315 | 1,589 | 13,253 | 9,802 | 1,933 | 222 | 210 | 1,086 | 11,736 | 9,263 | 1,688 | 785 |
| *St. John the Baptist | 9,799 | 5,044 | 3,639 | 159 | 162 | 795 | 7,677 | 4,259 | 2,606 | 140 | 115 | 557 | 6,480 | 3,937 | 2,125 | 418 |
| *St. Martin | 1,368 | 1,285 | 13 | 7 | 34 | 29 | 1,154 | 1,091 | 11 | 5 | 30 | 17 | 993 | 979 | 1 | 13 |
| *St. Mary | 4,799 | 2,404 | 793 | 346 | 152 | 1,104 | 3,467 | 1,875 | 507 | 274 | 101 | 710 | 2,289 | 1,595 | 362 | 332 |
| *Terrebonne | 48,206 | 32,540 | 10,260 | 820 | 2,429 | 2,157 | 36,225 | 25,570 | 7,022 | 559 | 1,602 | 1,472 | 27,716 | 21,179 | 4,913 | 1,624 |
| *West Baton Rouge | 13,291 | 8,591 | 3,823 | 74 | 178 | 625 | 10,362 | 6,774 | 2,953 | 49 | 113 | 473 | 8,546 | 6,130 | 2,250 | 166 |
| District 6 | 776,305 | 495,399 | 196,252 | 22,092 | 13,919 | 48,643 | 593,830 | 393,433 | 140,604 | 16,354 | 10,138 | 33,301 | 474,785 | 342,771 | 105,968 | 26,046 |
| | 100.000% | 63.815% | 25.280% | 2.846% | 1.793% | 6.266% | 100.000% | 66.253% | 23.677% | 2.754% | 1.707% | 5.608% | 79.953% | 72.195% | 22.319% | 5.486% |














Exhibit F

Districts: 2020 Pop & VAP and 2022 VoteReg
District 1

Plan: Congress: Act 5 1ES 2022 (HB1)

Page 1 of 1

| | Total Population | Total White | Total Black | Total Asian | Total Other | Total Hispanic | VAP Total | VAP White | VAP Black | VAP Hispanic Total | Reg Total Dec 2022 | Reg White Dec 2022 | Reg Black Dec 2022 | Reg Other Dec 2022 | Reg Dem Total Dec 2022 | Reg Rep Total Dec 2022 | Reg Other Total Dec 2022 |
|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| District 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Jefferson | 245,132 | 158,171 | 32,307 | 12,015 | 38,152 | 47,716 | 196,104 | 132,171 | 23,683 | 34,196 | 148,882 | 116,026 | 13,309 | 19,547 | 41,150 | 62,051 | 45,681 |
| | 100.000% | 64.525% | 13.179% | 4.901% | 15.564% | 19.465% | 100.000% | 67.398% | 12.077% | 17.438% | 75.920% | 77.932% | 8.939% | 13.129% | 27.639% | 41.678% | 30.683% |
| Lafourche | 43,701 | 35,524 | 1,903 | 534 | 2,779 | 3,353 | 33,330 | 28,074 | 1,095 | 2,127 | 23,802 | 21,879 | 523 | 1,400 | 6,723 | 10,432 | 6,647 |
| | 100.000% | 81.289% | 4.355% | 1.222% | 6.359% | 7.673% | 100.000% | 84.230% | 3.285% | 6.382% | 71.413% | 91.921% | 2.197% | 5.882% | 28.246% | 43.828% | 27.926% |
| Orleans | 48,050 | 38,141 | 3,973 | 1,929 | 3,438 | 5,984 | 39,613 | 31,740 | 3,348 | 5,070 | 32,369 | 27,334 | 1,595 | 3,440 | 12,653 | 9,482 | 10,234 |
| | 100.000% | 79.378% | 8.268% | 4.015% | 7.155% | 12.454% | 100.000% | 80.125% | 8.452% | 12.799% | 81.713% | 84.445% | 4.928% | 10.627% | 39.090% | 29.293% | 31.617% |
| Plaquemines | 23,515 | 14,287 | 5,428 | 1,317 | 1,786 | 2,236 | 17,334 | 10,856 | 3,857 | 1,377 | 13,819 | 9,441 | 3,114 | 1,264 | 4,963 | 5,100 | 3,756 |
| | 100.000% | 60.757% | 23.083% | 5.601% | 7.595% | 9.509% | 100.000% | 62.628% | 22.251% | 7.944% | 79.722% | 68.319% | 22.534% | 9.147% | 35.914% | 36.906% | 27.180% |
| St. Bernard | 43,764 | 24,497 | 12,309 | 1,381 | 4,630 | 6,010 | 31,775 | 18,992 | 7,944 | 4,028 | 25,676 | 18,118 | 5,578 | 1,980 | 10,098 | 6,790 | 8,788 |
| | 100.000% | 55.975% | 28.126% | 3.156% | 10.579% | 13.733% | 100.000% | 59.770% | 25.001% | 12.677% | 80.806% | 70.564% | 21.725% | 7.711% | 39.329% | 26.445% | 34.227% |
| St. Tammany | 264,570 | 196,641 | 38,643 | 5,774 | 17,852 | 20,844 | 202,228 | 154,621 | 26,761 | 14,310 | 178,514 | 144,930 | 21,507 | 12,077 | 39,783 | 84,330 | 54,401 |
| | 100.000% | 74.325% | 14.606% | 2.182% | 6.748% | 7.878% | 100.000% | 76.459% | 13.233% | 7.076% | 88.274% | 81.187% | 12.048% | 6.765% | 22.286% | 47.240% | 30.474% |
| Tangipahoa | 39,681 | 29,260 | 7,152 | 457 | 1,874 | 2,202 | 30,157 | 22,966 | 4,838 | 1,493 | 22,913 | 18,600 | 3,339 | 974 | 5,748 | 10,263 | 6,902 |
| | 100.000% | 73.738% | 18.024% | 1.152% | 4.723% | 5.549% | 100.000% | 76.155% | 16.043% | 4.951% | 75.979% | 81.177% | 14.573% | 4.251% | 25.086% | 44.791% | 30.123% |
| Terrebonne | 67,855 | 41,957 | 14,123 | 1,008 | 4,221 | 5,084 | 51,018 | 33,541 | 9,579 | 3,210 | 35,396 | 25,979 | 6,304 | 3,113 | 10,271 | 14,510 | 10,615 |
| | 100.000% | 61.833% | 20.813% | 1.486% | 6.221% | 7.492% | 100.000% | 65.743% | 18.776% | 6.292% | 69.379% | 73.395% | 17.810% | 8.795% | 29.017% | 40.993% | 29.989% |
| District 1 | 776,268 | 538,478 | 115,838 | 24,415 | 74,732 | 93,429 | 601,559 | 432,961 | 81,105 | 65,811 | 481,371 | 382,307 | 55,269 | 43,795 | 131,389 | 202,958 | 147,024 |
| | 100.000% | 69.368% | 14.922% | 3.145% | 9.627% | 12.036% | 100.000% | 71.973% | 13.482% | 10.940% | 80.021% | 79.420% | 11.482% | 9.098% | 27.295% | 42.162% | 30.543% |

Districts: 2020 Pop & VAP and 2022 VoteReg
District 2

Plan: Congress: Act 5 1ES 2022 (HB1)

Page 1 of 1

| | Total Population | Total White | Total Black | Total Asian | Total Other | Total Hispanic | VAP Total | VAP White | VAP Black | VAP Hispanic Total | Reg Total Dec 2022 | Reg White Dec 2022 | Reg Black Dec 2022 | Reg Other Dec 2022 | Reg Dem Total Dec 2022 | Reg Rep Total Dec 2022 | Reg Other Total Dec 2022 |
|----------------------|------------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| District 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ascension | 20,892 | 5,625 | 13,842 | 140 | 1,115 | 1,416 | 15,426 | 4,672 | 9,766 | 949 | 12,963 | 3,856 | 8,595 | 512 | 8,256 | 1,905 | 2,802 |
| | 100.000% | 26.924% | 66.255% | 0.670% | 5.337% | 6.778% | 100.000% | 30.287% | 63.309% | 6.152% | 84.033% | 29.746% | 66.304% | 3.950% | 63.689% | 14.696% | 21.615% |
| Assumption | 6,710 | 2,870 | 3,622 | 23 | 151 | 201 | 5,270 | 2,334 | 2,764 | 151 | 4,733 | 2,040 | 2,632 | 61 | 3,039 | 898 | 796 |
| | 100.000% | 42.772% | 53.979% | 0.343% | 2.250% | 2.996% | 100.000% | 44.288% | 52.448% | 2.865% | 89.810% | 43.102% | 55.610% | 1.289% | 64.209% | 18.973% | 16.818% |
| East Baton Rouge | 94,325 | 5,624 | 85,793 | 483 | 2,056 | 2,758 | 70,960 | 5,094 | 63,632 | 2,008 | 57,704 | 2,842 | 53,147 | 1,715 | 43,145 | 1,852 | 12,707 |
| | 100.000% | 5.962% | 90.955% | 0.512% | 2.180% | 2.924% | 100.000% | 7.179% | 89.673% | 2.830% | 81.319% | 4.925% | 92.103% | 2.972% | 74.770% | 3.209% | 22.021% |
| Iberville | 21,073 | 8,453 | 11,316 | 173 | 984 | 1,127 | 16,631 | 7,182 | 8,363 | 959 | 13,598 | 5,356 | 7,919 | 323 | 8,447 | 2,475 | 2,676 |
| | 100.000% | 40.113% | 53.699% | 0.821% | 4.669% | 5.348% | 100.000% | 43.184% | 50.286% | 5.766% | 81.763% | 39.388% | 58.237% | 2.375% | 62.119% | 18.201% | 19.679% |
| Jefferson | 195,649 | 62,764 | 93,910 | 11,009 | 24,767 | 31,341 | 148,550 | 51,964 | 68,492 | 21,288 | 110,154 | 40,395 | 55,029 | 14,730 | 57,055 | 19,825 | 33,274 |
| | 100.000% | 32.080% | 47.999% | 5.627% | 12.659% | 16.019% | 100.000% | 34.981% | 46.107% | 14.331% | 74.153% | 36.671% | 49.956% | 13.372% | 51.796% | 17.998% | 30.207% |
| Orleans | 335,947 | 88,321 | 214,996 | 10,927 | 18,606 | 25,033 | 266,583 | 78,512 | 162,720 | 18,086 | 221,102 | 64,074 | 137,549 | 19,479 | 150,110 | 15,026 | 55,966 |
| | 100.000% | 26.290% | 63.997% | 3.253% | 5.538% | 7.451% | 100.000% | 29.451% | 61.039% | 6.784% | 82.939% | 28.979% | 62.211% | 8.810% | 67.892% | 6.796% | 25.312% |
| St. Charles | 34,943 | 21,021 | 11,091 | 501 | 1,720 | 2,224 | 26,288 | 16,352 | 7,957 | 1,465 | 22,744 | 14,752 | 6,889 | 1,103 | 8,840 | 7,982 | 5,922 |
| | 100.000% | 60.158% | 31.740% | 1.434% | 4.922% | 6.365% | 100.000% | 62.203% | 30.269% | 5.573% | 86.519% | 64.861% | 30.289% | 4.850% | 38.867% | 35.095% | 26.038% |
| St. James | 20,192 | 9,973 | 9,762 | 60 | 315 | 343 | 15,505 | 7,883 | 7,297 | 237 | 14,732 | 7,182 | 7,335 | 215 | 9,053 | 3,226 | 2,453 |
| | 100.000% | 49.391% | 48.346% | 0.297% | 1.560% | 1.699% | 100.000% | 50.842% | 47.062% | 1.529% | 95.015% | 48.751% | 49.790% | 1.459% | 61.451% | 21.898% | 16.651% |
| St. John the Baptist | 32,678 | 8,833 | 21,557 | 244 | 1,741 | 2,254 | 24,826 | 7,363 | 15,831 | 1,527 | 21,637 | 5,951 | 14,654 | 1,032 | 14,055 | 2,742 | 4,840 |
| | 100.000% | 27.030% | 65.968% | 0.747% | 5.328% | 6.898% | 100.000% | 29.658% | 63.768% | 6.151% | 87.155% | 27.504% | 67.727% | 4.770% | 64.958% | 12.673% | 22.369% |
| West Baton Rouge | 13,908 | 5,716 | 7,347 | 213 | 484 | 531 | 10,164 | 4,372 | 5,196 | 371 | 8,429 | 3,681 | 4,566 | 180 | 4,579 | 1,880 | 1,968 |
| | 100.000% | 41.099% | 52.826% | 1.531% | 3.480% | 3.818% | 100.000% | 43.015% | 51.122% | 3.650% | 82.930% | 43.671% | 54.170% | 2.135% | 54.324% | 22.304% | 23.348% |
| District 2 | 776,317 | 219,200 | 473,236 | 23,773 | 51,939 | 67,228 | 600,203 | 185,728 | 352,018 | 47,041 | 487,796 | 150,129 | 298,315 | 39,350 | 306,579 | 57,811 | 123,404 |
| | 100.000% | 28.236% | 60.959% | 3.062% | 6.690% | 8.660% | 100.000% | 30.944% | 58.650% | 7.838% | 81.272% | 30.777% | 61.156% | 8.067% | 62.850% | 11.851% | 25.298% |

Districts: 2020 Pop & VAP and 2022 VoteReg
District 3

Plan: Congress: Act 5 1ES 2022 (HB1)

Page 1 of 1

| | Total Population | Total White | Total Black | Total Asian | Total Other | Total Hispanic | VAP Total | VAP White | VAP Black | VAP Hispanic Total | Reg Total Dec 2022 | Reg White Dec 2022 | Reg Black Dec 2022 | Reg Other Dec 2022 | Reg Dem Total Dec 2022 | Reg Rep Total Dec 2022 | Reg Other Total Dec 2022 |
|-------------------|------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| District 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Acadia | 57,576 | 44,480 | 10,864 | 238 | 1,421 | 1,641 | 42,943 | 34,071 | 7,383 | 1,026 | 37,412 | 30,357 | 6,331 | 724 | 12,899 | 15,577 | 8,936 |
| | 100.000% | 77.254% | 18.869% | 0.413% | 2.468% | 2.850% | 100.000% | 79.340% | 17.193% | 2.389% | 87.120% | 81.142% | 16.922% | 1.935% | 34.478% | 41.636% | 23.885% |
| Calcasieu | 216,785 | 139,772 | 59,386 | 4,702 | 9,389 | 11,384 | 163,166 | 108,789 | 41,898 | 7,570 | 115,402 | 82,202 | 28,046 | 5,154 | 39,399 | 42,947 | 33,056 |
| | 100.000% | 64.475% | 27.394% | 2.169% | 4.331% | 5.251% | 100.000% | 66.674% | 25.678% | 4.639% | 70.727% | 71.231% | 24.303% | 4.466% | 34.141% | 37.215% | 28.644% |
| Cameron | 5,617 | 5,232 | 125 | 30 | 155 | 197 | 4,358 | 4,100 | 79 | 130 | 4,552 | 4,381 | 88 | 83 | 1,261 | 2,086 | 1,205 |
| | 100.000% | 93.146% | 2.225% | 0.534% | 2.759% | 3.507% | 100.000% | 94.080% | 1.813% | 2.983% | 104.452% | 96.243% | 1.933% | 1.823% | 27.702% | 45.826% | 26.472% |
| Iberia | 69,929 | 39,206 | 24,556 | 2,123 | 3,250 | 3,897 | 52,791 | 31,295 | 17,069 | 2,657 | 43,079 | 27,436 | 13,808 | 1,835 | 16,246 | 15,578 | 11,255 |
| | 100.000% | 56.065% | 35.116% | 3.036% | 4.648% | 5.573% | 100.000% | 59.281% | 32.333% | 5.033% | 81.603% | 63.688% | 32.053% | 4.260% | 37.712% | 36.161% | 26.126% |
| Jefferson Davis | 32,250 | 25,066 | 5,837 | 183 | 692 | 734 | 24,039 | 19,121 | 4,006 | 489 | 19,954 | 16,339 | 3,129 | 486 | 6,535 | 7,879 | 5,540 |
| | 100.000% | 77.724% | 18.099% | 0.567% | 2.146% | 2.276% | 100.000% | 79.542% | 16.665% | 2.034% | 83.007% | 81.883% | 15.681% | 2.436% | 32.750% | 39.486% | 27.764% |
| Lafayette | 241,753 | 153,363 | 65,136 | 6,454 | 13,590 | 15,983 | 183,875 | 121,608 | 45,917 | 10,829 | 153,648 | 108,799 | 36,314 | 8,535 | 47,429 | 62,030 | 44,189 |
| | 100.000% | 63.438% | 26.943% | 2.670% | 5.621% | 6.611% | 100.000% | 66.136% | 24.972% | 5.889% | 83.561% | 70.811% | 23.635% | 5.555% | 30.869% | 40.371% | 28.760% |
| St. Martin | 50,399 | 31,974 | 15,908 | 590 | 1,422 | 1,635 | 38,250 | 25,187 | 11,282 | 1,113 | 33,480 | 22,593 | 10,096 | 791 | 13,570 | 11,841 | 8,069 |
| | 100.000% | 63.442% | 31.564% | 1.171% | 2.821% | 3.244% | 100.000% | 65.848% | 29.495% | 2.910% | 87.529% | 67.482% | 30.155% | 2.363% | 40.532% | 35.367% | 24.101% |
| St. Mary | 44,607 | 24,545 | 15,198 | 489 | 2,857 | 3,298 | 34,054 | 19,719 | 11,013 | 2,177 | 27,177 | 16,650 | 9,260 | 1,267 | 10,663 | 9,039 | 7,475 |
| | 100.000% | 55.025% | 34.071% | 1.096% | 6.405% | 7.393% | 100.000% | 57.905% | 32.340% | 6.393% | 79.806% | 61.265% | 34.073% | 4.662% | 39.235% | 33.260% | 27.505% |
| Vermilion | 57,359 | 44,477 | 8,810 | 1,447 | 2,002 | 2,296 | 43,012 | 34,363 | 5,787 | 1,496 | 36,713 | 30,463 | 4,962 | 1,288 | 12,196 | 14,642 | 9,875 |
| | 100.000% | 77.541% | 15.359% | 2.523% | 3.490% | 4.003% | 100.000% | 79.892% | 13.454% | 3.478% | 85.355% | 82.976% | 13.516% | 3.508% | 33.220% | 39.882% | 26.898% |
| District 3 | 776,275 | 508,115 | 205,820 | 16,256 | 34,778 | 41,065 | 586,488 | 398,253 | 144,434 | 27,487 | 471,417 | 339,220 | 112,034 | 20,163 | 160,198 | 181,619 | 129,600 |
| | 100.000% | 65.456% | 26.514% | 2.094% | 4.480% | 5.290% | 100.000% | 67.905% | 24.627% | 4.687% | 80.380% | 71.958% | 23.765% | 4.277% | 33.982% | 38.526% | 27.492% |

Districts: 2020 Pop & VAP and 2022 VoteReg
District 4

Plan: Congress: Act 5 1ES 2022 (HB1)

Page 1 of 1

| | Total Population | Total White | Total Black | Total Asian | Total Other | Total Hispanic | VAP Total | VAP White | VAP Black | VAP Hispanic Total | Reg Total Dec 2022 | Reg White Dec 2022 | Reg Black Dec 2022 | Reg Other Dec 2022 | Reg Dem Total Dec 2022 | Reg Rep Total Dec 2022 | Reg Other Total Dec 2022 |
|-------------------|------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| District 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Allen | 22,750 | 16,327 | 4,490 | 246 | 740 | 1,893 | 17,510 | 12,751 | 3,275 | 1,755 | 11,972 | 9,324 | 2,134 | 514 | 4,465 | 4,729 | 2,778 |
| 100.000% | 71.767% | 19.736% | 1.081% | 3.253% | 8.321% | 100.000% | 72.821% | 18.704% | 10.023% | 68.372% | 77.882% | 17.825% | 4.293% | 37.295% | 39.501% | 23.204% | |
| Beauregard | 36,549 | 29,529 | 4,649 | 402 | 917 | 1,271 | 27,489 | 22,304 | 3,495 | 828 | 22,335 | 18,828 | 2,329 | 1,178 | 5,058 | 10,588 | 6,689 |
| 100.000% | 80.793% | 12.720% | 1.100% | 2.509% | 3.478% | 100.000% | 81.138% | 12.714% | 3.012% | 81.251% | 84.298% | 10.428% | 5.274% | 22.646% | 47.405% | 29.949% | |
| Bienville | 12,981 | 6,950 | 5,600 | 57 | 167 | 211 | 10,073 | 5,486 | 4,284 | 141 | 8,698 | 4,704 | 3,894 | 100 | 4,164 | 2,864 | 1,670 |
| 100.000% | 53.540% | 43.140% | 0.439% | 1.286% | 1.625% | 100.000% | 54.462% | 42.530% | 1.400% | 86.350% | 54.081% | 44.769% | 1.150% | 47.873% | 32.927% | 19.200% | |
| Bossier | 128,746 | 81,052 | 32,551 | 3,492 | 8,378 | 10,237 | 95,876 | 62,931 | 22,440 | 6,619 | 68,849 | 50,216 | 14,562 | 4,071 | 17,592 | 32,265 | 18,992 |
| 100.000% | 62.955% | 25.283% | 2.712% | 6.507% | 7.951% | 100.000% | 65.638% | 23.405% | 6.904% | 71.810% | 72.936% | 21.151% | 5.913% | 25.552% | 46.863% | 27.585% | |
| Caddo | 237,848 | 103,457 | 119,304 | 4,034 | 7,213 | 8,381 | 182,407 | 85,059 | 86,359 | 5,618 | 146,718 | 70,934 | 68,928 | 6,856 | 68,248 | 41,532 | 36,938 |
| 100.000% | 43.497% | 50.160% | 1.696% | 3.033% | 3.524% | 100.000% | 46.631% | 47.344% | 3.080% | 80.434% | 48.347% | 46.980% | 4.673% | 46.516% | 28.307% | 25.176% | |
| Claiborne | 14,170 | 7,263 | 6,360 | 88 | 274 | 479 | 11,507 | 6,258 | 4,824 | 403 | 8,508 | 4,617 | 3,738 | 153 | 3,588 | 2,953 | 1,967 |
| 100.000% | 51.256% | 44.884% | 0.621% | 1.934% | 3.380% | 100.000% | 54.384% | 41.922% | 3.502% | 73.938% | 54.267% | 43.935% | 1.798% | 42.172% | 34.709% | 23.119% | |
| De Soto | 26,812 | 15,284 | 9,973 | 117 | 698 | 762 | 20,440 | 11,909 | 7,425 | 495 | 18,708 | 11,304 | 6,813 | 591 | 7,511 | 6,954 | 4,243 |
| 100.000% | 57.004% | 37.196% | 0.436% | 2.603% | 2.842% | 100.000% | 58.263% | 36.326% | 2.422% | 91.526% | 60.423% | 36.418% | 3.159% | 40.149% | 37.171% | 22.680% | |
| Evangeline | 32,350 | 21,354 | 9,235 | 241 | 1,240 | 1,336 | 24,408 | 16,460 | 6,483 | 1,111 | 20,777 | 14,516 | 5,898 | 363 | 9,266 | 7,239 | 4,272 |
| 100.000% | 66.009% | 28.547% | 0.745% | 3.833% | 4.130% | 100.000% | 67.437% | 26.561% | 4.552% | 85.124% | 69.866% | 28.387% | 1.747% | 44.597% | 34.841% | 20.561% | |
| Grant | 7,473 | 5,598 | 1,563 | 28 | 110 | 108 | 5,801 | 4,451 | 1,133 | 61 | 4,843 | 3,810 | 910 | 123 | 1,574 | 1,986 | 1,283 |
| 100.000% | 74.910% | 20.915% | 0.375% | 1.472% | 1.445% | 100.000% | 76.728% | 19.531% | 1.052% | 83.486% | 78.670% | 18.790% | 2.540% | 32.501% | 41.008% | 26.492% | |
| Natchitoches | 37,515 | 19,361 | 15,725 | 255 | 1,313 | 1,490 | 29,349 | 16,010 | 11,415 | 1,140 | 22,471 | 12,535 | 8,944 | 992 | 9,795 | 6,950 | 5,726 |
| 100.000% | 51.609% | 41.917% | 0.680% | 3.500% | 3.972% | 100.000% | 54.550% | 38.894% | 3.884% | 76.565% | 55.783% | 39.802% | 4.415% | 43.590% | 30.929% | 25.482% | |
| Red River | 7,620 | 4,195 | 3,106 | 25 | 123 | 188 | 5,714 | 3,338 | 2,164 | 113 | 5,565 | 3,101 | 2,383 | 81 | 2,715 | 1,790 | 1,060 |
| 100.000% | 55.052% | 40.761% | 0.328% | 1.614% | 2.467% | 100.000% | 58.418% | 37.872% | 1.978% | 97.392% | 55.723% | 42.821% | 1.456% | 48.787% | 32.165% | 19.048% | |
| Sabine | 22,155 | 15,036 | 3,861 | 94 | 441 | 710 | 17,064 | 12,054 | 2,655 | 502 | 13,846 | 10,503 | 1,967 | 1,376 | 4,206 | 6,374 | 3,266 |
| 100.000% | 67.867% | 17.427% | 0.424% | 1.991% | 3.205% | 100.000% | 70.640% | 15.559% | 2.942% | 81.142% | 75.856% | 14.206% | 9.938% | 30.377% | 46.035% | 23.588% | |
| St. Landry | 82,540 | 43,611 | 35,836 | 499 | 1,958 | 2,178 | 61,811 | 34,209 | 25,497 | 1,374 | 53,717 | 29,660 | 22,675 | 1,382 | 26,485 | 15,158 | 12,074 |
| 100.000% | 52.836% | 43.417% | 0.605% | 2.372% | 2.639% | 100.000% | 55.345% | 41.250% | 2.223% | 86.905% | 55.215% | 42.212% | 2.573% | 49.305% | 28.218% | 22.477% | |
| Union | 21,107 | 14,460 | 5,224 | 62 | 1,023 | 1,135 | 16,632 | 11,807 | 3,861 | 709 | 15,032 | 10,970 | 3,599 | 463 | 4,836 | 6,790 | 3,406 |
| 100.000% | 68.508% | 24.750% | 0.294% | 4.847% | 5.377% | 100.000% | 70.990% | 23.214% | 4.263% | 90.380% | 72.978% | 23.942% | 3.080% | 32.171% | 45.170% | 22.658% | |
| Vernon | 48,750 | 35,087 | 7,611 | 1,442 | 3,010 | 4,175 | 36,261 | 26,765 | 5,133 | 2,740 | 23,573 | 18,866 | 2,883 | 1,824 | 5,925 | 10,528 | 7,120 |
| 100.000% | 71.973% | 15.612% | 2.958% | 6.174% | 8.564% | 100.000% | 73.812% | 14.156% | 7.556% | 65.009% | 80.032% | 12.230% | 7.738% | 25.135% | 44.661% | 30.204% | |
| Webster | 36,967 | 22,735 | 12,679 | 208 | 658 | 688 | 28,753 | 18,144 | 9,464 | 434 | 22,344 | 14,698 | 7,188 | 458 | 8,397 | 8,550 | 5,397 |
| 100.000% | 61.501% | 34.298% | 0.563% | 1.780% | 1.861% | 100.000% | 63.103% | 32.915% | 1.509% | 77.710% | 65.781% | 32.170% | 2.050% | 37.581% | 38.265% | 24.154% | |
| District 4 | 776,333 | 441,299 | 277,767 | 11,290 | 28,263 | 35,242 | 591,095 | 349,936 | 199,907 | 24,043 | 467,956 | 288,586 | 158,845 | 20,525 | 183,825 | 167,250 | 116,881 |
| 100.000% | 56.844% | 35.779% | 1.454% | 3.641% | 4.540% | 100.000% | 59.201% | 33.820% | 4.068% | 79.168% | 61.669% | 33.944% | 4.386% | 39.283% | 35.741% | 24.977% | |

Districts: 2020 Pop & VAP and 2022 VoteReg
District 5

Plan: Congress: Act 5 1ES 2022 (HB1)

Page 1 of 2

| | Total Population | Total White | Total Black | Total Asian | Total Other | Total Hispanic | VAP Total | VAP White | VAP Black | VAP Hispanic Total | Reg Total Dec 2022 | Reg White Dec 2022 | Reg Black Dec 2022 | Reg Other Dec 2022 | Reg Dem Total Dec 2022 | Reg Rep Total Dec 2022 | Reg Other Total Dec 2022 |
|-------------------|------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| District 5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Avoyelles | 39,693 | 25,625 | 11,678 | 434 | 1,189 | 1,485 | 30,578 | 20,269 | 8,311 | 1,257 | 22,741 | 16,019 | 6,130 | 592 | 10,257 | 7,122 | 5,362 |
| 100.000% | 64.558% | 29.421% | 1.093% | 2.995% | 3.741% | 100.000% | 66.286% | 27.180% | 4.111% | 74.370% | 70.441% | 26.956% | 2.603% | 45.104% | 31.318% | 23.579% | |
| Caldwell | 9,645 | 7,646 | 1,632 | 51 | 166 | 221 | 7,478 | 5,969 | 1,224 | 163 | 5,899 | 5,024 | 784 | 91 | 1,680 | 2,822 | 1,397 |
| 100.000% | 79.274% | 16.921% | 0.529% | 1.721% | 2.291% | 100.000% | 79.821% | 16.368% | 2.180% | 78.885% | 85.167% | 13.290% | 1.543% | 28.479% | 47.839% | 23.682% | |
| Catahoula | 8,906 | 5,776 | 2,395 | 46 | 570 | 614 | 6,951 | 4,557 | 1,736 | 558 | 6,332 | 4,523 | 1,749 | 60 | 2,533 | 2,433 | 1,366 |
| 100.000% | 64.855% | 26.892% | 0.517% | 6.400% | 6.894% | 100.000% | 65.559% | 24.975% | 8.028% | 91.095% | 71.431% | 27.622% | 0.948% | 40.003% | 38.424% | 21.573% | |
| Concordia | 18,687 | 10,275 | 7,725 | 122 | 332 | 459 | 14,217 | 8,108 | 5,613 | 310 | 11,766 | 7,097 | 4,475 | 194 | 5,195 | 3,989 | 2,582 |
| 100.000% | 54.985% | 41.339% | 0.653% | 1.777% | 2.456% | 100.000% | 57.030% | 39.481% | 2.180% | 82.760% | 60.318% | 38.033% | 1.649% | 44.153% | 33.903% | 21.945% | |
| East Carroll | 7,459 | 2,054 | 5,272 | 29 | 61 | 115 | 5,901 | 1,773 | 4,043 | 80 | 4,688 | 1,277 | 3,367 | 44 | 3,057 | 769 | 862 |
| 100.000% | 27.537% | 70.680% | 0.389% | 0.818% | 1.542% | 100.000% | 30.046% | 68.514% | 1.356% | 79.444% | 27.240% | 71.822% | 0.939% | 65.209% | 16.404% | 18.387% | |
| East Feliciana | 19,539 | 11,516 | 7,341 | 91 | 329 | 391 | 16,183 | 9,740 | 5,918 | 317 | 13,457 | 7,868 | 5,142 | 447 | 5,888 | 4,573 | 2,996 |
| 100.000% | 58.939% | 37.571% | 0.466% | 1.684% | 2.001% | 100.000% | 60.187% | 36.569% | 1.959% | 83.155% | 58.468% | 38.211% | 3.322% | 43.754% | 33.982% | 22.264% | |
| Franklin | 19,774 | 12,492 | 6,802 | 70 | 205 | 276 | 15,028 | 9,901 | 4,779 | 183 | 12,973 | 8,846 | 4,017 | 110 | 4,887 | 5,421 | 2,665 |
| 100.000% | 63.174% | 34.399% | 0.354% | 1.037% | 1.396% | 100.000% | 65.884% | 31.801% | 1.218% | 86.326% | 68.188% | 30.964% | 0.848% | 37.671% | 41.787% | 20.543% | |
| Grant | 14,696 | 12,111 | 1,772 | 105 | 238 | 1,225 | 11,726 | 9,513 | 1,584 | 1,118 | 7,740 | 7,267 | 249 | 224 | 1,463 | 4,100 | 2,177 |
| 100.000% | 82.410% | 12.058% | 0.714% | 1.619% | 8.336% | 100.000% | 81.127% | 13.508% | 9.534% | 66.007% | 93.889% | 3.217% | 2.894% | 18.902% | 52.972% | 28.127% | |
| Jackson | 15,031 | 9,967 | 4,166 | 175 | 468 | 468 | 11,783 | 7,967 | 3,125 | 372 | 9,436 | 6,621 | 2,620 | 195 | 3,384 | 4,029 | 2,023 |
| 100.000% | 66.310% | 27.716% | 1.164% | 3.114% | 3.114% | 100.000% | 67.614% | 26.521% | 3.157% | 80.081% | 70.167% | 27.766% | 2.067% | 35.863% | 42.698% | 21.439% | |
| La Salle | 14,791 | 11,348 | 1,422 | 283 | 1,366 | 1,402 | 11,563 | 8,636 | 1,065 | 1,325 | 8,630 | 7,849 | 615 | 166 | 1,948 | 4,764 | 1,918 |
| 100.000% | 76.722% | 9.614% | 1.913% | 9.235% | 9.479% | 100.000% | 74.687% | 9.210% | 11.459% | 74.635% | 90.950% | 7.126% | 1.924% | 22.572% | 55.203% | 22.225% | |
| Lincoln | 48,396 | 26,034 | 19,364 | 892 | 1,444 | 1,754 | 38,655 | 21,306 | 15,119 | 1,187 | 25,135 | 15,495 | 8,694 | 946 | 9,117 | 9,546 | 6,472 |
| 100.000% | 53.794% | 40.012% | 1.843% | 2.984% | 3.624% | 100.000% | 55.118% | 39.113% | 3.071% | 65.024% | 61.647% | 34.589% | 3.764% | 36.272% | 37.979% | 25.749% | |
| Madison | 10,017 | 3,475 | 6,363 | 20 | 100 | 204 | 7,435 | 2,906 | 4,391 | 149 | 7,182 | 2,430 | 4,642 | 110 | 4,082 | 1,503 | 1,597 |
| 100.000% | 34.691% | 63.522% | 0.200% | 0.998% | 2.037% | 100.000% | 39.085% | 59.059% | 2.004% | 96.597% | 33.835% | 64.634% | 1.532% | 56.837% | 20.927% | 22.236% | |
| Morehouse | 25,629 | 12,281 | 12,484 | 160 | 334 | 381 | 20,062 | 10,095 | 9,300 | 292 | 16,498 | 8,252 | 7,965 | 281 | 7,650 | 5,186 | 3,662 |
| 100.000% | 47.918% | 48.710% | 0.624% | 1.303% | 1.487% | 100.000% | 50.319% | 46.356% | 1.455% | 82.235% | 50.018% | 48.279% | 1.703% | 46.369% | 31.434% | 22.197% | |
| Ouachita | 160,368 | 88,545 | 61,217 | 2,788 | 5,157 | 5,658 | 120,200 | 69,974 | 42,290 | 3,946 | 98,322 | 59,819 | 34,942 | 3,561 | 35,841 | 37,473 | 25,008 |
| 100.000% | 55.214% | 38.173% | 1.739% | 3.216% | 3.528% | 100.000% | 58.215% | 35.183% | 3.283% | 81.799% | 60.840% | 35.538% | 3.622% | 36.453% | 38.113% | 25.435% | |
| Pointe Coupee | 20,758 | 12,395 | 7,504 | 107 | 593 | 625 | 16,250 | 10,108 | 5,502 | 429 | 14,576 | 9,274 | 5,068 | 234 | 7,267 | 4,112 | 3,197 |
| 100.000% | 59.712% | 36.150% | 0.515% | 2.857% | 3.011% | 100.000% | 62.203% | 33.858% | 2.640% | 89.698% | 63.625% | 34.769% | 1.605% | 49.856% | 28.211% | 21.933% | |
| Rapides | 130,023 | 77,510 | 42,592 | 2,428 | 4,391 | 5,090 | 98,792 | 61,373 | 30,205 | 3,442 | 78,303 | 51,757 | 23,005 | 3,541 | 28,048 | 29,662 | 20,593 |
| 100.000% | 59.613% | 32.757% | 1.867% | 3.377% | 3.915% | 100.000% | 62.123% | 30.574% | 3.484% | 79.260% | 66.098% | 29.379% | 4.522% | 35.820% | 37.881% | 26.299% | |
| Richland | 20,043 | 11,785 | 7,603 | 83 | 314 | 400 | 15,383 | 9,338 | 5,546 | 293 | 13,597 | 8,420 | 4,935 | 242 | 5,083 | 5,301 | 3,213 |
| 100.000% | 58.799% | 37.933% | 0.414% | 1.567% | 1.996% | 100.000% | 60.703% | 36.053% | 1.905% | 88.390% | 61.925% | 36.295% | 1.780% | 37.383% | 38.987% | 23.630% | |

Districts: 2020 Pop & VAP and 2022 VoteReg
District 5

Plan: Congress: Act 5 1ES 2022 (HB1)

Page 2 of 2

| | Total Population | Total White | Total Black | Total Asian | Total Other | Total Hispanic | VAP Total | VAP White | VAP Black | VAP Hispanic Total | Reg Total Dec 2022 | Reg White Dec 2022 | Reg Black Dec 2022 | Reg Other Dec 2022 | Reg Dem Total Dec 2022 | Reg Rep Total Dec 2022 | Reg Other Total Dec 2022 |
|-------------------|------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| District 5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| St. Helena | 10,920 | 4,527 | 6,031 | 39 | 189 | 216 | 8,463 | 3,805 | 4,371 | 149 | 8,285 | 3,614 | 4,534 | 137 | 4,976 | 1,867 | 1,442 |
| | 100.000% | 41.456% | 55.229% | 0.357% | 1.731% | 1.978% | 100.000% | 44.960% | 51.648% | 1.761% | 97.897% | 43.621% | 54.725% | 1.654% | 60.060% | 22.535% | 17.405% |
| Tangipahoa | 93,476 | 52,076 | 34,727 | 1,017 | 4,140 | 5,040 | 71,334 | 42,239 | 24,379 | 3,347 | 50,815 | 32,778 | 16,203 | 1,834 | 19,650 | 17,410 | 13,755 |
| | 100.000% | 55.711% | 37.151% | 1.088% | 4.429% | 5.392% | 100.000% | 59.213% | 34.176% | 4.692% | 71.235% | 64.505% | 31.886% | 3.609% | 38.670% | 34.262% | 27.069% |
| Tensas | 4,147 | 1,744 | 2,312 | 23 | 42 | 67 | 3,235 | 1,446 | 1,728 | 46 | 3,434 | 1,492 | 1,906 | 36 | 2,049 | 841 | 544 |
| | 100.000% | 42.054% | 55.751% | 0.555% | 1.013% | 1.616% | 100.000% | 44.699% | 53.416% | 1.422% | 106.151% | 43.448% | 55.504% | 1.048% | 59.668% | 24.490% | 15.842% |
| Washington | 45,463 | 29,943 | 13,434 | 216 | 1,134 | 1,410 | 34,951 | 23,743 | 9,732 | 901 | 27,615 | 18,838 | 8,104 | 673 | 11,078 | 9,737 | 6,800 |
| | 100.000% | 65.862% | 29.549% | 0.475% | 2.494% | 3.101% | 100.000% | 67.932% | 27.845% | 2.578% | 79.011% | 68.217% | 29.346% | 2.437% | 40.116% | 35.260% | 24.624% |
| West Carroll | 9,751 | 7,894 | 1,425 | 27 | 225 | 325 | 7,532 | 6,223 | 1,010 | 192 | 6,968 | 5,848 | 1,030 | 90 | 1,891 | 3,408 | 1,669 |
| | 100.000% | 80.956% | 14.614% | 0.277% | 2.307% | 3.333% | 100.000% | 82.621% | 13.409% | 2.549% | 92.512% | 83.927% | 14.782% | 1.292% | 27.138% | 48.909% | 23.952% |
| West Feliciana | 15,310 | 10,883 | 3,740 | 89 | 373 | 651 | 12,783 | 9,283 | 2,951 | 572 | 7,408 | 5,101 | 2,168 | 139 | 2,797 | 2,903 | 1,708 |
| | 100.000% | 71.084% | 24.428% | 0.581% | 2.436% | 4.252% | 100.000% | 72.620% | 23.085% | 4.475% | 57.952% | 68.858% | 29.266% | 1.876% | 37.756% | 39.187% | 23.056% |
| Winn | 13,755 | 8,594 | 3,727 | 210 | 961 | 1,023 | 10,906 | 6,932 | 2,695 | 941 | 8,260 | 5,892 | 2,239 | 129 | 2,993 | 3,071 | 2,196 |
| | 100.000% | 62.479% | 27.096% | 1.527% | 6.987% | 7.437% | 100.000% | 63.561% | 24.711% | 8.628% | 75.738% | 71.332% | 27.107% | 1.562% | 36.235% | 37.179% | 26.586% |
| District 5 | 776,277 | 456,496 | 272,728 | 9,505 | 24,321 | 29,500 | 597,389 | 365,204 | 196,617 | 21,569 | 470,060 | 301,401 | 154,583 | 14,076 | 182,814 | 172,042 | 115,204 |
| | 100.000% | 58.806% | 35.133% | 1.224% | 3.133% | 3.800% | 100.000% | 61.133% | 32.913% | 3.611% | 78.686% | 64.120% | 32.886% | 2.995% | 38.892% | 36.600% | 24.508% |

Districts: 2020 Pop & VAP and 2022 VoteReg
District 6

Plan: Congress: Act 5 1ES 2022 (HB1)

Page 1 of 1

| | Total Population | Total White | Total Black | Total Asian | Total Other | Total Hispanic | VAP Total | VAP White | VAP Black | VAP Hispanic Total | Reg Total Dec 2022 | Reg White Dec 2022 | Reg Black Dec 2022 | Reg Other Dec 2022 | Reg Dem Total Dec 2022 | Reg Rep Total Dec 2022 | Reg Other Total Dec 2022 |
|----------------------|------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| District 6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ascension | 105,608 | 75,516 | 18,374 | 2,160 | 7,724 | 8,967 | 76,531 | 56,464 | 12,373 | 5,712 | 66,838 | 52,717 | 10,292 | 3,829 | 17,853 | 29,559 | 19,426 |
| | 100.000% | 71.506% | 17.398% | 2.045% | 7.314% | 8.491% | 100.000% | 73.779% | 16.167% | 7.464% | 87.335% | 78.873% | 15.398% | 5.729% | 26.711% | 44.225% | 29.064% |
| Assumption | 14,329 | 10,852 | 2,598 | 73 | 592 | 713 | 11,346 | 8,811 | 1,943 | 480 | 9,211 | 7,336 | 1,715 | 160 | 4,110 | 3,052 | 2,049 |
| | 100.000% | 75.735% | 18.131% | 0.509% | 4.131% | 4.976% | 100.000% | 77.657% | 17.125% | 4.231% | 81.183% | 79.644% | 18.619% | 1.737% | 44.621% | 33.134% | 22.245% |
| East Baton Rouge | 362,456 | 190,445 | 127,605 | 15,942 | 24,106 | 27,793 | 284,652 | 158,787 | 93,158 | 19,531 | 218,847 | 133,533 | 70,393 | 14,921 | 85,903 | 74,254 | 58,690 |
| | 100.000% | 52.543% | 35.206% | 4.398% | 6.651% | 7.668% | 100.000% | 55.783% | 32.727% | 6.861% | 76.882% | 61.017% | 32.165% | 6.818% | 39.253% | 33.930% | 26.818% |
| Iberville | 9,168 | 6,380 | 2,414 | 29 | 218 | 291 | 7,455 | 5,280 | 1,869 | 228 | 6,825 | 4,775 | 1,938 | 112 | 3,457 | 1,955 | 1,413 |
| | 100.000% | 69.590% | 26.331% | 0.316% | 2.378% | 3.174% | 100.000% | 70.825% | 25.070% | 3.058% | 91.549% | 69.963% | 28.396% | 1.641% | 50.652% | 28.645% | 20.703% |
| Lafourche | 53,856 | 36,186 | 13,952 | 491 | 1,964 | 2,319 | 41,289 | 28,764 | 9,982 | 1,616 | 31,215 | 24,206 | 5,919 | 1,090 | 10,148 | 12,591 | 8,476 |
| | 100.000% | 67.190% | 25.906% | 0.912% | 3.647% | 4.306% | 100.000% | 69.665% | 24.176% | 3.914% | 75.601% | 77.546% | 18.962% | 3.492% | 32.510% | 40.336% | 27.154% |
| Livingston | 142,282 | 116,855 | 12,658 | 1,697 | 7,961 | 8,791 | 105,141 | 88,432 | 8,136 | 5,390 | 83,614 | 74,917 | 5,601 | 3,096 | 16,700 | 42,605 | 24,309 |
| | 100.000% | 82.129% | 8.896% | 1.193% | 5.595% | 6.179% | 100.000% | 84.108% | 7.738% | 5.126% | 79.526% | 89.599% | 6.699% | 3.703% | 19.973% | 50.954% | 29.073% |
| St. Charles | 17,606 | 12,529 | 2,837 | 336 | 1,589 | 1,917 | 13,253 | 9,802 | 1,933 | 1,272 | 11,551 | 9,133 | 1,619 | 799 | 3,135 | 5,107 | 3,309 |
| | 100.000% | 71.163% | 16.114% | 1.908% | 9.025% | 10.888% | 100.000% | 73.961% | 14.585% | 9.598% | 87.158% | 79.067% | 14.016% | 6.917% | 27.141% | 44.213% | 28.647% |
| St. John the Baptist | 9,799 | 5,044 | 3,639 | 159 | 795 | 1,037 | 7,677 | 4,259 | 2,606 | 683 | 6,281 | 3,738 | 2,125 | 418 | 2,762 | 1,812 | 1,707 |
| | 100.000% | 51.475% | 37.136% | 1.623% | 8.113% | 10.583% | 100.000% | 55.477% | 33.946% | 8.897% | 81.816% | 59.513% | 33.832% | 6.655% | 43.974% | 28.849% | 27.177% |
| St. Martin | 1,368 | 1,285 | 13 | 7 | 29 | 44 | 1,154 | 1,091 | 11 | 31 | 921 | 908 | 1 | 12 | 238 | 454 | 229 |
| | 100.000% | 93.933% | 0.950% | 0.512% | 2.120% | 3.216% | 100.000% | 94.541% | 0.953% | 2.686% | 79.809% | 98.588% | 0.109% | 1.303% | 25.841% | 49.294% | 24.864% |
| St. Mary | 4,799 | 2,404 | 793 | 346 | 1,104 | 1,226 | 3,467 | 1,875 | 507 | 777 | 2,224 | 1,531 | 364 | 329 | 602 | 820 | 802 |
| | 100.000% | 50.094% | 16.524% | 7.210% | 23.005% | 25.547% | 100.000% | 54.081% | 14.624% | 22.411% | 64.148% | 68.840% | 16.367% | 14.793% | 27.068% | 36.871% | 36.061% |
| Terrebonne | 41,725 | 27,977 | 9,024 | 735 | 1,898 | 2,274 | 31,487 | 22,090 | 6,217 | 1,491 | 23,035 | 17,425 | 4,207 | 1,403 | 6,302 | 10,009 | 6,724 |
| | 100.000% | 67.051% | 21.627% | 1.762% | 4.549% | 5.450% | 100.000% | 70.156% | 19.745% | 4.735% | 73.157% | 75.646% | 18.264% | 6.091% | 27.358% | 43.451% | 29.190% |
| West Baton Rouge | 13,291 | 8,591 | 3,823 | 74 | 625 | 713 | 10,362 | 6,774 | 2,953 | 500 | 8,353 | 6,003 | 2,186 | 166 | 3,301 | 2,993 | 2,061 |
| | 100.000% | 64.638% | 28.764% | 0.557% | 4.702% | 5.365% | 100.000% | 65.373% | 28.498% | 4.825% | 80.612% | 71.866% | 26.170% | 1.987% | 39.519% | 35.831% | 24.674% |
| District 6 | 776,287 | 494,064 | 197,730 | 22,049 | 48,605 | 56,085 | 593,814 | 392,429 | 141,688 | 37,711 | 468,915 | 336,222 | 106,360 | 26,335 | 154,511 | 185,211 | 129,195 |
| | 100.000% | 63.645% | 25.471% | 2.840% | 6.261% | 7.225% | 100.000% | 66.086% | 23.861% | 6.351% | 78.967% | 71.702% | 22.682% | 5.616% | 32.951% | 39.498% | 27.552% |

Exhibit G

HISPANIC OR LATINO, AND NOT HISPANIC OR LATINO BY RACE FOR THE POPULATION 18 YEARS AND OVER



Note: This is a modified view of the original table produced by the U.S. Census Bureau. This download or printed version may have missing information from the original table.

| Label | Louisiana |
|---|-----------|
| ▼ Total: | 3,570,548 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 223,662 |
| ▼ Not Hispanic or Latino: | 3,346,886 |
| ▼ Population of one race: | 3,248,981 |
| White alone | 2,082,110 |
| Black or African American alone | 1,066,511 |
| American Indian and Alaska Native alone | 19,531 |
| Asian alone | 67,983 |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone | 1,322 |
| Some Other Race alone | 11,524 |
| ▼ Population of two or more races: | 97,905 |
| ▼ Population of two races: | 91,451 |
| White; Black or African American | 18,172 |
| White; American Indian and Alaska Native | 34,949 |
| White; Asian | 8,985 |
| White; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander | 730 |
| White; Some Other Race | 16,982 |
| Black or African American; American Indian and Alaska Native | 4,858 |
| Black or African American; Asian | 1,215 |
| Black or African American; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander | 226 |
| Black or African American; Some Other Race | 4,426 |
| American Indian and Alaska Native; Asian | 174 |
| American Indian and Alaska Native; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander | 42 |
| American Indian and Alaska Native; Some Other Race | 149 |
| Asian; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander | 351 |
| Asian; Some Other Race | 161 |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander; Some Other Race | 31 |
| ▼ Population of three races: | 5,646 |
| White; Black or African American; American Indian and Alaska Native | 2,752 |

Table Notes

HISPANIC OR LATINO, AND NOT HISPANIC OR LATINO BY RACE FOR THE POPULATION 18 YEARS AND OVER

Survey/Program: Decennial Census

Universe: Total population 18 years and over

Year: 2020

Table ID: P11

Note: For information on data collection, confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, subject definitions, and guidance on using the data, visit the 2020 Census 118th Congressional District Summary File (CD118) Technical Documentation webpage.

To protect respondent confidentiality, data have undergone disclosure avoidance methods which add "statistical noise" - small, random additions or subtractions - to the data so that no one can reliably link the published data to a specific person or household. The Census Bureau encourages data users to aggregate small populations and geographies to improve accuracy and diminish implausible results.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2020 Census 118th Congressional District Summary File (CD118)

Exhibit H

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA

PRESS ROBINSON., *et al.*

Plaintiffs,

v.

KYLE ARDOIN, IN HIS OFFICIAL
CAPACITY AS LOUISIANA
SECRETARY OF STATE, *et al*

Defendant and Intervenor-
Defendants,

AND

EDWARD GALMON, SR., *et al.*

Plaintiffs,

v.

KYLE ARDOIN, IN HIS OFFICIAL
CAPACITY AS LOUISIANA
SECRETARY OF STATE, *et al.*

Defendant and Intervenor-
Defendants,

Case No.: 3:22-cv-00211-SDD-SDJ

(c/w)

Case No.: 3:22-cv-00214-SDD-SDJ

**INTERVENOR-DEFENDANT THE STATE OF LOUISIANA'S COMBINED
OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS' MOTIONS FOR PRELIMINARY
INJUNCTION**

Intervenor-Defendant the State of Louisiana, by and through Jeff Landry, the Attorney general of Louisiana (the “State”), files this Combined Response in Opposition to Plaintiffs’ Motions for Preliminary Injunction.¹

¹ The State will refer to Plaintiffs in the following ways: if one set of Plaintiffs only, then “Galmon” or “Robinson” Plaintiffs; together it will be “Plaintiffs.” Any reference to the pre-consolidation dockets will reference the specific case name with the corresponding ECF number.

INTRODUCTION

The legislative process is a machine with many moving parts. The passage of a law is not something that happens in a few weeks. Needless to say, there is give and take from both sides of the aisle as a bill passes through various committees, both legislative chambers, and the executive branch. This elaborate political process is how the Louisiana State Legislature passed HB1, the bill that determined the boundaries for Louisiana's six congressional districts. However, despite new elections being just around the corner, Plaintiffs ask this Court to override the months-long deliberative legislative process and require that new congressional boundaries be drawn. Instead of months of bicameral hearings and careful deliberation by the elected representatives of the people, Plaintiffs want this matter to be decided by a single judge in a matter of weeks.

A rushed preliminary injunction process should not replace the deliberative legislative process. That is especially true here where the facts will show just how tenuous Plaintiffs' factual and legal arguments are. This case should play out in the same deliberative and careful process as the passage of a bill—both sides should have adequate time to prepare and be heard, and witnesses and experts should be questioned after both sides have had adequate time to prepare. If the Court rushes through a new congressional map via a preliminary injunction the primary losers will be the people of Louisiana. After all, laws are established by the will of the people. This Court should deny Plaintiffs' Motions for Preliminary Injunction and allow the legal process to play out in due course.

ARGUMENT

To obtain a preliminary injunction, Plaintiffs must show: (1) a substantial likelihood of success on the merits, (2) a substantial threat that Plaintiffs will suffer irreparable injury in the absence of an injunction, (3) that Plaintiffs' threatened injury outweighs the threatened harm to the defendant, and (4) that granting the preliminary injunction is not against the public interest. *PCI Transp. Inc. v. Fort Worth & W.R.R. Co.*, 418 F.3d 535, 545 (5th Cir. 2005). The Fifth Circuit and the Supreme Court have “cautioned repeatedly that a preliminary injunction is an extraordinary remedy which should not be granted unless the party seeking it has ‘clearly carried the burden of persuasion’ on all four requirements.” *Id.* (quoting *Lake Charles Diesel, Inc. v. General Motors Corp.*, 328 F.3d 192, 195 (5th Cir. 2003)); *Nken v. Holder*, 556 U.S. 418, 428 (2009) (calling an injunction an “extraordinary remedy.” (quoting *Weinberger v. Romero-Barcelo*, 456 U.S. 305, 312 (1982))). Plaintiffs have failed to carry their burden of meeting “all four requirements” for a preliminary injunction here. *Id.*

Further, it must be noted that “the purpose of [a preliminary injunction] is *not* to conclusively determine the rights of the parties.” *Trump v. Int'l Refugee Assistance Project*, 137 S. Ct. 2080, 2087 (2017). What’s more, “mandatory injunctive relief, which goes well beyond simply maintaining the status quo *pendente lite*, is particularly disfavored, and should not be issued unless the facts and the law *clearly* favor the moving party.” *Martinez v. Mathews*, 544 F.2d 1233, 1243 (5th Cir. 1976); *see also Miami Beach Fed. Sav. & Loan Assoc. v. Callander*, 256 F.2d 410, 415 (5th

Cir. 1958) (“A mandatory injunction, especially at the preliminary stage of proceedings, should not be granted except in rare instances in which the facts and law are clearly in favor of the moving party.”); *Justin Industries, Inc. v. Choctaw Secur., L.P.*, 920 F.2d 262, 268 (5th Cir. 1990) (per curiam) (The party “seeking a mandatory injunction . . . bears the burden of showing *clear entitlement* to the relief under the facts and the law.” (emphasis added)).

I. Plaintiffs Are Unlikely Succeed on the Merits of their Voting Rights Act Claims.

Louisiana is vested with the authority, under the Elections Clause, to determine the “Times, Places and Manner of holding Elections for . . . Representatives.” U.S. Const. art. I, § 4, cl. 1. To that end, “reapportionment is primarily a matter for legislative consideration and determination.” *White v. Weiser*, 412 U.S. 783, 794 (1973). In order to be successful on the merits of their Voting Rights Act claims, Plaintiffs must establish that the “political process leading to the nomination or election in” Louisiana is “not equally open to participation by members” of a minority group “on account of race.” 52 U.S.C. § 10301(a) and (b). To that end, under the current understanding of claims under Section 2, Plaintiffs must meet the standard announced by *Thornburg v. Gingles* and its progeny.² 478 U.S. 30 (1986). The U.S. Supreme Court has signaled, however, that it will be reviewing vote dilution claims under Section 2 and the *Gingles* standard in the coming term in. See

² In the next term, the Supreme Court will hear a case on vote dilution claims under the Voting Rights Act. *Merrill, et al. v. Milligan, et al.*, No. 21-1086 (Mar. 21, 2022) (granting motion to amend the question presented to “Whether the State of Alabama’s 2021 redistricting plan for its seven seats in the United States House of Representatives violated section 2 of the Voting Rights Act, 52 U. S. C. §10301.”).

Merrill v. Milligan, 142 S. Ct. 879 (Feb. 7, 2022) (granting stay of a find of vote dilution under Section 2 and treating stay motion as a jurisdictional statement); *Merrill, et al. v. Milligan, et al.*, No. 21-1086 (2022) (consolidated with *Merrill, et al. v. Caster, et al.*, No. 21-1087 (2022)).

Assuming for now that *Gingles* controls, it requires that each of the following three preconditions to be met for any claim of vote dilution in districting to succeed: (1) “the minority group must be able to demonstrate that it is sufficiently large and geographically compact to constitute a majority in a single-member district”; (2) “the minority group must be able to show that it is politically cohesive”; and (3) “the minority must be able to demonstrate that the white majority votes sufficiently as a bloc to enable it . . . usually to defeat the minority’s preferred candidate.” *Gingles*, 478 U.S. at 50-51. Failure to establish all three of the *Gingles* preconditions dooms a claim under Section 2. *Clark v. Calhoun County*, 21 F.3d 92, 94 (5th Cir. 1994). Once each of the three preconditions are met, Plaintiffs must then show, “under the totality of the circumstances,” they do not possess the same opportunities to participate in the political process and elect representatives of their choice” as set forth in the so-called senate factors that accompanied the passage of Section 2. *League of United Latin Am. Citizens, Council No. 4434 v. Clements*, 999 F.2d 831, 849 (5th Cir. 1993) (hereinafter *LULAC, Council*); *see also id.* at 849 n.22 (listing the senate factors).

Plaintiffs here cannot meet at least two of the three preconditions, or, at the very least, they are not “substantially likely” to succeed on the merits of their claims

as to the first and third *Gingles* preconditions. As such, the Court should not grant a preliminary injunction.

A. No sufficiently numerous and geographically compact second majority-minority district can be drawn in Louisiana.

In order to prevail on their argument that a second majority-Black congressional district is required under Section 2 of the VRA, under the first *Gingles* precondition, Plaintiffs must show that it is possible to “creat[e] more than the existing number of reasonably compact districts with a sufficiently large minority population to elect candidates of its choice.” *LULAC v. Perry*, 548 U.S. 399, 430 (2006) (plurality opinion). Under *Bartlett v. Strickland*, the districts must contain a majority of minority citizens of voting age population. 556 U.S. 1, 19-20 (2009). Here, despite Plaintiffs’ emphatic statements to the contrary, Plaintiffs do not meet the required burden under a reasonable understanding of census race categories.

Through statistical manipulation, Plaintiffs’ experts claim their illustrative plans showing two majority-minority congressional districts with Black voting age populations over (“BVAP”) 50%, appear to have met the + 50% BVAP burden. In these illustrative plans, their proposed districts are over 50% BVAP by a razor’s edge. *Robinson* Plaintiffs’ expert BVAP percentages are as follows: 50.16%, 50.04%, 50.65%, 50.04%, 50.16%, and 51.63%. ECF No. 43 at 24-48. *Galmon* Plaintiffs’ expert BVAP percentages are 50.96% and 52.05%. ECF No. 41-2 at 23. Plaintiffs’ experts

state that they used “Any Part Black” to define the term “Black”. ECF No. 43 at 6; and ECF No. 41-2 at 11.³

Why would Plaintiffs’ experts use “Any Part Black” when forming their illustrative maps as opposed to “DOJ Black”? The answer is simple: if they used the “DOJ Black” then the BVAP numbers do not rise above 50%, which is required to justify the creation of two majority-minority congressional districts. For example, when looking at the three Cooper illustrative maps and using “DOJ Black” as the racial metric, the BVAP percentages are as follows: 48.41%, 49.22%, 48.92%, 49.25%, 48.41%, and 50.81%. Expert Report of Thomas Bryan (attached hereto as “Exhibit A”) at 19-21. The only “DOJ Black” BVAP number above 50% was in CD5 in “Illustrative 3” at 50.81% where the “DOJ Black” BVAP in CD2 was at 48.41%—well below any required metric and proving that drawing two legally sufficient “DOJ Black” BVAP districts is not possible. *Id.* The *Galmon’s* illustrative map possesses the same insufficiencies as *Robinson’s* “Illustrative 3” map with “DOJ Black” percentages at 49.39% and 51.25%—again, showing that you cannot create two legally sufficient BVAP congressional districts. *Id.* at 19.⁴

³ “Any Part Black” is a broader census category that includes anyone that is “Black”, as well as “Black” combined with any other race. “DOJ Black” is a narrower the category that includes those who are “Black” and those who are “Black and White”. *See Pope v. Cty. of Albany*, No., 2014 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 10023, at *7-8 n.3 (N.D.N.Y. 2014). As Tom Bryan notes in his report, “any part” Black may include a person who had one Black grandparent. Or this may include a citizen who is Black and Hispanic and whose family might have immigrated from Haiti, and whose family may speak French at home. *See Ex. A at ¶¶ 21-26.*

⁴ While using “Any Part Black” to define “Black”, Plaintiffs fail to use the analogous racially expansive category to define “White”. Therefore, if someone were to identify as Black and Hispanic, they would be included in Plaintiffs’ “Black” number, but if someone were to identify as White and Hispanic, they would not be included in Plaintiffs’ “White” number. *See* ECF No. 41-2 at 29.

To get to even those bare minimum totals, Plaintiffs had to ignore any conception of communities of interest. “All four plans are based on the presumption that African American Louisiana residents all share the same interest because of their race, regardless of where they geographically reside.” Expert Report of Michael Hefner at 14 (attached hereto as “Exhibit C”). While the enacted HB1 plan generally keeps communities of interest intact, “the Plaintiffs’ plans do not.” Ex. C at 22. “The fact that so many communities of interest were either divided among the Congressional districts or paired with unlikely and dissimilar larger cities begs the question of whether the distribution of African Americans are truly compact enough to create a second majority-minority Congressional district.” *Id.*

Though not lawyers, Plaintiffs’ experts cite to a dicta footnote in *Georgia v. Ashcroft*, 539 U.S. 461 (2003), as justification for their use of “Any Part Black” as opposed to “DOJ Black”. *See* ECF No. 41-2 at 11; ECF No. 43 at 6. However, a proper understanding of context surrounding *Georgia v. Ashcroft* will show that Plaintiffs’ non-lawyer experts’ opinions are misguided. In 2003, when *Georgia v. Ashcroft* was decided, the Secretary of State for Georgia did not have a race category that corresponded with “DOJ Black” when classifying race for the purposes of map drawing. *See Georgia*, 539 U.S. at 473 n.1. As such, when drawing proposed maps, Georgia was permitted to use “Any Part Black” because it corresponded better with the racial definitions in Georgia’s voter data. *Id.* The fact the United States Supreme Court felt it needed to add a footnote to explain why it was allowing the use of “Any Part Black” as opposed to “DOJ Black” only shows how big of an exception this was.

With Louisiana, the *Georgia v. Ashcroft* exception is not applicable because Louisiana, when voluntarily providing race information, only allows voters to register as White, Black, Asian, Hispanic, American Indian, or Other.⁵ See La. R.S. 18:104(B) (providing race information is optional). Long story short: because Georgia used racial categories that were similar to “Any Part Black” when drawing the maps at issue in *Georgia v. Ashcroft*, it made sense to use a similar racial metric when comparing proposed maps—however, this distinction does not create a reason to stray from “DOJ Black” in Louisiana. The dicta footnote in *Georgia v. Ashcroft* does not call for a *one size fits all* approach, but allows for the use of racial classifications that correspond most directly with the racial data linked to voter files in a particular state.

Often, courts have examined the question of whether a map drawer should use “DOJ Black” or “Any Part Black” contain +50% BVAP under either measure, meaning it was unnecessary for the court to make a legal determination to that regard. See *Pope v. Cty. of Albany*, 687 F.3d 565, 577 n.11 (2d Cir. 2012) (“Because plaintiffs satisfy the first *Gingles* factor for DOJ Non-Hispanic Blacks, we need not here consider whether the relevant minority group might more appropriately be identified as “Any Part Black,” for which the minority VAP percentages are even higher.”). However, here, the specific mix of census responses used to meet the *Bartlett* numerosity test matters because Plaintiffs are struggling to draw a second district that meets the numerosity requirements under either measure, and certainly under

⁵ See Application to Register to Vote, available at <https://www.sos.la.gov/ElectionsAndVoting/PublishedDocuments/ApplicationToRegisterToVote.pdf> (last visited April 29, 2022).

“DOJ Black” numbers. As a result, this Court must resolve the difficult question of “who counts as black” for the purposes of Section 2 analysis. Where this court draws the demographic lines or definitions is a crucial step in determining whether Plaintiffs have any case at all—let alone one that would allow them to prevail at the preliminary injunction stage.

Additionally, as we are currently at the preliminary injunction stage, Plaintiffs must show that there is a “substantial likelihood of success on the merits” of their claims. *Speaks v. Kruse*, 445 F.3d 396, 399-400 (5th Cir. 2006). The fact that Plaintiffs’ only arguable path to victory in this matter comes from the statistical manipulation of racial data shows the absurdity of this exercise. This Court should not permit a rushed analysis and map drawing process to trump the detailed legislative process that led to the enactment of the challenged maps. After all, legislative enactments are presumed to be in good faith. *Abbott v. Perez*, 138 S. Ct. 2305, 2325 (2018).

Finally, while Plaintiffs do not directly make the claim that they are entitled to a proportional number of Black candidates elected in numbers equal to their population, both Plaintiffs, in their complaints and in their preliminary injunction motions, highlight the discrepancy in the number of elected Black candidates in proportion to the Black population in Louisiana. See, e.g., *Robinson*, ECF No. 1 at ¶ 1; see *Galmon*, ECF No. 1, at ¶ 2; see ECF No. 41-1 at 4; see ECF No. 42-1 at 2-3. However, it is well established that when a plaintiff brings a claim under Section 2, there is “nothing in [Section 2 that] establishes a right to have members of a protected

class elected in numbers equal to their proportion in the population.” 52 U.S.C. § 10301(b); *Thornburg v. Gingles*, 478 U.S. 30, 43 (1986) (“[I]n evaluating an alleged violation, § 2(b) cautions that ‘nothing in [§ 2] establishes a right to have members of a protected class elected in numbers equal to their proportion in the population.’”). As such, Plaintiffs’ excessive reliance on these facts is misguided.

B. The minority population in Louisiana is not compact.

In their motions for preliminary injunction, both sets of Plaintiffs only bring claims under Section 2 of the VRA. ECF No. 41 at 2; ECF No. 42 at 2. In addition to showing that the allegedly injured racial group is “sufficiently large,” Plaintiffs must also show that the minority group is “geographically compact.” *Thornburg v. Gingles*, 478 U.S. 30, 50-51 (1986). A compactness analysis under Section 2 is different than that of an equal protection claim. *League of United Latin Am. Citizens v. Perry*, 548 U.S. 399, 433 (2006) (hereinafter *LULAC v. Perry*). “In the equal protection context, compactness focuses on the contours of district lines to determine whether race was the predominant factor in drawing those lines.” *Id.* (citing *Miller v. Johnson*, 515 U.S. 900, 916-917 (1995)). However, “[u]nder § 2, by contrast, the injury is vote dilution, so the compactness inquiry embraces different considerations. ‘The first *Gingles* condition refers to the compactness of the minority population, not to the compactness of the contested district.’” *Id.* (citing *Bush v. Vera*, 517 U.S. 952, 997 (1996) (Kennedy, J., concurring); *Abrams v. Johnson*, 521 U.S. 74, 111 (1997) (Breyer, J., dissenting)).

“While no precise rule has emerged governing § 2 compactness, the inquiry should take into account traditional districting principles such as maintaining

communities of interest and traditional boundaries.” *Id.* (cleaned up). For example, a district that “reaches out to grab small and apparently isolated minority communities” is not reasonably compact. *Id.* (quoting *Vera*, 517 U.S. at 979). “[T]here is no basis to believe a district that combines two far-flung segments of a racial group with disparate interests provides the opportunity that § 2 requires or that the first *Gingles* condition contemplates.” *Id.* Plaintiffs’ plans do just that. Ex. C at 14, 22-23.

Here, Plaintiffs districts are not compact as they do exactly what the Supreme Court prohibited in *LULAC v. Perry*—combining “far-flung segments of a racial group” in hopes to create a second majority minority district. 548 U.S. at 433. Louisiana’s spatial analytics expert, Dr. Murray, specifically shows just how non-compact Blacks are in Plaintiffs’ illustrative maps. Below is the milage chart created by Dr. Murray that shows the distance between the center of the Black populations in communities across Louisiana:

| | Alexandria | Baton Rouge | New Orleans | Lafayette | Monroe | Shreveport |
|-------------|------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|--------|------------|
| Alexandria | 0 | 98 | 169 | 77 | 86 | 112 |
| Baton Rouge | 98 | 0 | 72 | 56 | 152 | 209 |
| New Orleans | 169 | 72 | 0 | 119 | 211 | 279 |
| Lafayette | 77 | 56 | 119 | 0 | 157 | 186 |
| Monroe | 86 | 152 | 211 | 157 | 0 | 99 |
| Shreveport | 112 | 209 | 279 | 186 | 99 | 0 |

Every map proposed by Plaintiffs combines Monroe’s Black population with the Black population of Baton Rouge and Lafayette—despite the populations being 152 and 157 miles apart, respectively. Expert Report of Dr. Alan Murray (attached hereto as “Exhibit B”) at 24. To combine Black communities from far-flung parts of Louisiana in the same district is to discount the different experiences and make-up of those communities—such as countries of origin and primary languages spoken. See

Ex. C at 7-23. And, in so doing, “do a disservice” to these diverse minority populations “by failing to account for the differences between people of the same race.” *LULAC v. Perry*, 548 U.S. at 434. For this reason, along with many others, Plaintiffs’ arguments must fail.

C. Plaintiffs’ proposed exemplar maps show that no constitutional second majority-minority congressional district is possible in Louisiana.

“A federal judge cannot command what the Constitution condemns.” *Thomas v. Bryant*, 938 F. 3d 134, 184 (5th Cir. 2019) (Willet, J. dissenting). The Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment’s “central mandate is racial neutrality in governmental decisionmaking,” including “a State’s drawing of congressional districts.” *Miller v. Johnson*, 515 U.S. 900, 904-05 (1995). This is true even when the purported purpose of the racial gerrymander is in seeking to comply with the dictates of the Voting Rights Act. “Racial gerrymandering, even for remedial purposes, may balkanize us into competing racial factions; it threatens to carry us further from the goal of a political system in which race no longer matters—a goal that the Fourteenth and Fifteenth Amendments embody, and to which the Nation continues to aspire.” *Shaw v. Reno*, 509 U.S. 630, 657 (1993) (cleaned up). To put it even more simply, “[t]he way to stop discrimination on the basis of race is to stop discriminating on the basis of race.” *C.f. Parents Involved in Cmty. Sch. v. Seattle Sch. Dist. No. 1*, 551 U.S. 701 (2007). Because Plaintiffs’ exemplar maps are racial gerrymanders of the type that would make the authors of the infamous *Gomillion v. Lightfoot* plan blush, their motion for preliminary injunction should be denied.

Compare *Gomillion v. Lightfoot*, 364 U.S. 339, 348 app. 1 (1960) with *E.g.*, Ex. A at 82-101 (showing how Plaintiffs' maps carefully included as much urban Black voting age population in their districts as possible while avoiding urban majority white populations).

Initially, it is acknowledged that the Supreme Court has long “assumed” that the Voting Rights Act is “a compelling interest” sufficient to satisfy strict scrutiny. *Cooper v. Harris*, 137 S. Ct. 1455, 1469 (2017). That “assumption” cannot give Plaintiffs and the courts license to seek out every Black majority census block it can find in order to cobble together a *bare* majority for *Gingles* purposes. The relevant test for a racial gerrymander is that there first must be proof “that ‘race was the predominant factor motivating the legislature’s decision to place a significant number of voters within or without a particular district⁶ [and then] */s/second*, if racial considerations predominated over others, the design of the district must withstand strict scrutiny.”⁷ *Cooper*, 137 S. Ct. at 1463-64.

Here, Plaintiffs’ illustrative maps go block by block through towns and cities as diverse as Monroe, Lafayette, and Baton Rouge, attempting to pick out only those census blocks over 50% population and excluding to the extent possible blocks of less than 50% Black population. *E.g.*, Ex. A at ¶¶ 40-44 (analyzing the splits of Lafayette in the illustrative plans and showing how race was distributed unequally among the

⁶ Proof of predominance is found by demonstrating that traditional districting factors were subordinated to “racial considerations.” *Cooper*, 137 S. Ct. at 1463-64.

⁷ The test for racial gerrymandering claims in *Cooper* presumes that plaintiffs are seeking to prove the government acted with racial motivations. However, the test is just as valuable in determining plaintiffs’ motives for drawing a racial gerrymander for illustrative purposes.

splits). This is the exact type of evidence of racial intent that dooms legislative action. *Bethune-Hill v. Va. State Bd. Of Elections*, 137 S. Ct. 788, 799 (2017) (noting that a finding of racial predominance is usually accompanied by a showing the traditional redistricting criteria were subordinated to race based considerations). This Court cannot condone this overt use of race simply because it is under the guise of a mere “illustrative map.” More to the point, if it is impossible for Plaintiffs to demonstrate that a second majority-minority district can be drawn without impermissibly resorting to mere race as a factor, as Plaintiffs did here, then Plaintiffs have not carried their burden “of showing *clear entitlement* to the relief under the facts and the law.” *Justin Industries, Inc. v. Choctaw Secur., L.P.*, 920 F.2d 262, 268 (5th Cir. 1990) (per curiam) (emphasis added).

The Fifth Circuit’s holding in *Clark v. Calhoun County* does not necessitate a different result. In *Clark* the Fifth Circuit found after a trial on the merits that the Supreme Court’s holding in *Miller v. Johnson* does not limit the scope of the first *Gingles* precondition. *Clark v. Calhoun County*, 88 F.3d 1393, 1406 (5th Cir. 1996). The posture of this case is demonstrably different as this case is in the preliminary injunction stage of the proceedings. The issue with Plaintiffs’ proposed illustrative maps is that they cannot demonstrate to the Court that a remedy is even possible, let alone make the required showing of a clear entitlement to relief. Put another way, if the only relief that can be afforded Plaintiffs is itself unconstitutional, there can be no relief at all. Therefore, Plaintiffs’ request for a preliminary injunction should be denied.

be in the public interest to disallow a robust defense of a law where “the good faith of the legislature is presumed.” *Abbott v. Perez*, 138 S. Ct. 2305, 2324 (2018). A motion prior to the State filing its response was impossible as both the counsel and the experts necessarily had to devote all their attention to responding to the preliminary injunction motions. As will be fully detailed in the future motion, the following are just some of the issues that are prejudicial to the Defendants because of the current schedule: (1) Defendants’ experts had insufficient time to fully analyze and respond to Plaintiffs’ experts; (2) there was insufficient time to retrieve and review documents and other factual information residing within the State’s agencies; and (3) certain fact witnesses have had limited availability. The State looks forward to providing evidence as to why a new schedule should issue,¹² but for now it ought to be sufficient to say that a rushed proceeding does nothing but harm the public.

CONCLUSION

For the aforementioned reasons, the Court should deny Plaintiffs’ motion for preliminary injunction.

Dated: April 29, 2022,

Respectfully Submitted,

Jeff Landry
Louisiana Attorney General

/s/ Angelique Duhon Freel
Elizabeth B. Murrill (LSBA No. 20685)
Shae McPhee (LSBA No. 38565)
Morgan Brungard (CO Bar No. 50265)**
Angelique Duhon Freel (LSBA No. 28561)
Carey Tom Jones (LSBA No. 07474)

Jason B. Torchinsky (DC 976033)*
Phillip M. Gordon (DC 1531277)*
Dallin B. Holt (VSB 97330)*
Holtzman Vogel Baran
Torchinsky & Josefiak, PLLC

¹² “[A] party arguing that time limits are unfair must show prejudice.” *Laster v. District of Columbia*, 499 F. Supp. 2d 93, 100-01 (D.D.C. 2006).

15405 John Marshall Highway
Haymarket, VA 20169
(540) 341-8808 phone
(540) 341-8809 fax
jtorchinsky@holtzmanvogel.com
pgordon@holtzmanvogel.com
dholt@holtzmanvogel.com

Jeffery M. Wale (LSBA No. 36070)
Office of the Attorney General
Louisiana Department of Justice
1885 N. Third St.
Baton Rouge, LA 70804
(225) 326-6000 phone
(225) 326-6098 fax
murrille@ag.louisiana.gov
freela@ag.louisiana.gov
walej@ag.louisiana.gov
jonescar@ag.louisiana.gov
mcphees@ag.louisiana.gov
brungardm@ag.louisiana.gov

*admitted *pro hac vice*

** admission *pro hac vice* forthcoming

Counsel for Intervenor-Defendant the State of Louisiana

Exhibit I

302
JEFF LANDRY

(/)

OFFICE of the GOVERNOR

Governor Jeff Landry Assumes Office

January 08, 2024

Baton Rouge, La-Today, Governor Jeff Landry took action to maintain education standards, he issued a court required call for a redistricting special session, and he formally established the office of the First-Lady

Today's Executive Order (/assets/ExecutiveOrders/2024/JML-Executive-Order-01.pdf), the Veto of Graduation Appeals Process, will ensure that students are adequately prepared for postsecondary education and the workforce by meeting minimum standards of proficiency in core subjects. (EO attached)

"Ensuring our children have a quality education is a top priority. Today's Executive Order will maintain our education standards," said Jeff Landry.

Today's required call for a special session will redistrict the congressional districts of Louisiana along with the districts of the Louisiana Supreme Court, and make other election related changes. (Call attached)

"The courts have mandated that the state of Louisiana redraw our congressional districts. Redistricting is a state legislative function. That is why today, I followed the court order and made the call to convene the legislature of Louisiana into a special session on redistricting," said Jeff Landry.

Today's Executive Order (/assets/ExecutiveOrders/2024/JML-Executive-Order-02.pdf)to fund the office of the First Lady mirrors the actions of former Governor John Bel Edwards. It will establish and formerly recognize her office as part of the Office of the Governor. (EO attached)

"Our First-Lady, Sharon Landry, will be an incredible ambassador to Louisiana. I am proud to fund this important office, and I look forward to seeing the work she will do for folks across the state," said Jeff Landry.

Governor Jeff Landry signs documents on his first day in office

###

**CONNECT with
the GOVERNOR**

[EMAIL the
GOVERNOR](#)

[REQUEST of
the GOVERNOR](#)

[APPLY to
SERVE](#)

Exhibit J



EXECUTIVE DEPARTMENT
OFFICE OF THE GOVERNOR
PROCLAMATION NUMBER 01 JML 2024

***CALL AND CONVENE THE LEGISLATURE OF LOUISIANA
INTO EXTRAORDINARY SESSION***

By virtue of the authority vested in me by Louisiana Constitution Article III, Section 2(B), I, Jeff Landry, Governor of the State of Louisiana, HEREBY CALL AND CONVENE THE LEGISLATURE OF LOUISIANA INTO EXTRAORDINARY SESSION to convene at the State Capital, in the city of Baton Rouge, Louisiana, during eight calendar days, beginning at 4:00 o'clock p.m. on the 15th day of January, 2024, and ending no later than 6:00 o'clock p.m. on the 23rd day of January 2024. The power to legislate at this session shall be limited, under penalty of nullity, to the consideration of the following enumerated objects.

- ITEM 1:** To legislate relative to the redistricting of the Congressional districts of Louisiana;
- ITEM 2:** To legislate relative to amendments to the election code needed for implementation of the redistricting of the Congressional districts of Louisiana;
- ITEM 3:** To legislate relative to the redistricting and elections of the Supreme Court;
- ITEM 4:** To legislate relative to amendments to the Constitution relative to the Supreme Court:
a) composition;
b) number of justices;
c) number of districts;
d) method of electing justices to the Supreme Court; and
e) method of selecting the chief justice;
- ITEM 5:** To legislate relative to amendments to the election code needed for implementation of the redistricting of the Supreme Court;
- ITEM 6:** To legislate to provide funding, including the use of excess state general fund dollars, for the implementation of changes made to the Supreme Court;
- ITEM 7:** To legislate relative to the creation of a party primary system for elections;
- ITEM 8:** To legislate relative to campaign finance laws;
- ITEM 9:** To legislate relative to campaign qualifying fees for Presidential and Congressional elections;
- ITEM 10:** To legislate relative to amendments to the election code needed for the implementation of elections;

- ITEM 11:** To legislate to provide funding, including the use of excess state general fund dollars, for the implementation of the party primary system for elections and corresponding changes to the election laws;
- ITEM 12:** To legislate relative to amendments to the Constitution relative to the implementation of elections;
- ITEM 13:** To legislate relative to calling a special statewide election for the purposes of allowing all voters, registered and qualified, to vote on the Constitutional amendments; and
- ITEM 14:** To legislate to provide funding, including the use of excess state general fund dollars, for purposes of calling and holding a special election on the Constitutional amendments.



IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have set my hand officially and caused to be affixed the Great Seal of Louisiana in the City of Baton Rouge, on this 8th day of January, 2024.



Jeff Landry
GOVERNOR OF LOUISIANA

ATTEST BY THE
SECRETARY OF STATE



Nancy Landry
SECRETARY OF STATE

Exhibit K

307
JEFF LANDRY

(1)

OFFICE of the GOVERNOR

Governor Jeff Landry Opens First Special Session on Court Ordered Redistricting

January 16, 2024

Baton Rouge, La - Today, Governor Jeff Landry opened his first special session, which will address the court order to redistrict the congressional districts of Louisiana along with the districts of the Louisiana Supreme Court, and it will make other election-related changes.

Remarks as prepared:

Mr. Speaker, Mr. President, Members of the House and Senate,

Thank you for your cordial welcome.

May I begin by recognizing on this day Dr. Martin Luther King, JR. whose moral fortitude, and spiritual inspiration allowed millions to live the American Dream.

I would like to begin with one of my favorites of his many quotes: "The ultimate measure of a man is not where he stands in moments of comfort and convenience, but where he stands at times of challenge and controversy."

Our state's DNA, is directly connected to the diverse and varied relationships we all share with one another. Diverse relationships between our friends and acquaintances, Our neighbors, old classmates, co-workers, caregivers, teammates, colleagues, our family, and EACH OTHER right here in this room. For our culture is built on these relationships.

We are here today because we have inherited issues that others have laid at our feet. Let us accept this task. Let us do the work incumbent upon us so we can move on to solving MUCH larger problems.

Now I am aware Huey Long was shot over redistricting, I am hopeful and confident we can dispose of this matter without you disposing of me.

For various reasons known and unknown, spoken and unspoken, CLOSURE of this re-districting problem has evaded us. It is time to stop averting the issue and confront it head on. We are here today because the Federal Courts have ordered us to perform our job. Our job - which is not finished. A job that our own laws direct us to complete. AND a job that our individual oaths promised we would perform.

To that end, I ask you to join me in adopting the re-districting maps proposed. These maps will satisfy the Court...and ensure that the congressional districts of our State -- are made right here in the Legislature and not by some heavy-handed member of the Federal Judiciary.

We do not need a federal judge to do for us what the people of Louisiana have elected YOU to do. You are the voice of the people. It is time to use that voice.

The people sent us here to solve problems, not exasperate them. To heal divisions, not widen them. To be fair and reasonable. The people expect us to operate government efficiently, and to act in compliance with the laws of our nation and the instruction of our Courts - even when we disagree with them. And let me say this: I know of the hard work some of our Legislators have endured -- trying their very best to get this right.

As Attorney General -- I did everything I could to dispose of this litigation. I defended the re-districting plan adopted by this body as the will of the people. I sought a stay at the 5th Circuit. We successfully stayed the case at the U.S. Supreme Court for more than a year, allowing our 2022 elections to proceed. Last October, we filed a writ of mandamus, which was granted by the 5th Circuit -- giving the people of Louisiana yet another chance to take care of our own business. But when the 5th Circuit panel ruled against us in November, I filed for an en banc hearing, which was denied.

We have exhausted ALL legal remedies, and we have labored with this issue for far - too - long.

I recognize the difficulty of getting 144 people to agree on anything, and I sincerely commend you for the work you have done so far. But now, once and for all, let's put this to bed. Let's make the Adjustments necessary, heed the instructions of the Court, take the pen out of the hand of non-elected Judges and place it in your hand – the hand of the people. It's that simple.

Help me make this a reality... in this special session for this special purpose on this special day.

This redistricting challenge goes further than just our congressional maps. While one Federal Judge has a pen in her hand eager to draw our Congressional maps, another threatens to pick up a pen and redraw OUR Supreme Court.

In your 2021 Regular Session, you passed Senate Resolution 248, asking our State Supreme Court to provide this legislature with recommendations for redistricting their districts. A wide majority of the Court (OVER 2/3) have responded.

Justice McCallum, Justice Genovese, Justice Crain, Justice Hughes, and Justice Griffin have conscientiously, unselfishly, and courageously stepped forward and presented us with a map that re-draws our Supreme Court districts in a manner that will comply with the Voting Rights Act, - and alleviate costly litigation.

You can fulfill your responsibility -- and honorably meet your obligation to re-district our High Court -- so the people of Louisiana will have a fair, democratic, and equally representative judiciary. The litigation involving our Supreme Court districts -- has been pending for some time. There are cases in all 3 federal districts in this state.

As Attorney General we worked to defend the state and to have those cases dismissed. I know first-hand, this matter is in-defensible.

Our Supreme Court districts have been re-districted by the Legislature only **ONE TIME** in the last 103 years. The result -- is districts that are grossly unbalanced -- with two districts twice as large as another one.

Last year, I negotiated a scheduling order with the plaintiffs allowing the Legislature the chance to willingly handle our own affairs, rather than unwillingly have it done by another non-elected Federal Judge.

I want to publicly commend the 5 Justices for their willingness to set aside any regard for their own careers, and the power they hold. They epitomize statesmanship, honor, integrity, and the very embodiment of fairness. They are a reflection of our people's goodness, decency, and just-ness. Every single person in our great state can look up to these 5 Justices with pride, with reverence, and with a reborn confidence in the judicial system that these great men and women administer for us each and every day.

Just as we would respect, honor, and comply with any other decision reached by such a majority of our High Court; I ask that you do so now by adopting the Court's re-districting map, and allowing the first seat to be filled this Fall.

Every voting aged citizen in Louisiana may or may not join the political party of his or her choosing. It is a choice. It is a freedom. If you do choose to join a political party, it is only fair and right that you have the ability to select your Party's candidates for office, without the interference of another party and without the distraction and the interference of a convoluted, complicated, and extended ballot to wade through and decipher.

As I travel this state, I have listened carefully to those who seek a more focused electoral process...where they may participate in the nomination of THEIR party's chosen candidate. And I believe it is an issue that our Legislature should consider. We have included the proposal for a closed party primary system for your consideration for these very reasons.

It is about fairness. It is about simplicity. It is about clarity.

We have tested this system before in this state, and it works. The U.S. House Majority Leader is in his seat as a result of being first elected to Congress under a party primary system. Our State Treasurer was elected to Congress under this tried and tested system. I was elected to Congress under a party primary system. President Joe Biden was elected in Louisiana's Presidential Primary, and President Trump, and our other Presidential nominees put forward by this state -- were chosen in a party primary system which allows the major parties to pick their candidates. It is fair and it is common sense. And, for our independent or no party voters who by their own choice decide not to join a political party - their voice is heard and counted...

...Counted on a simpler, shorter, clearer November election ballot containing generally one Democrat, one Republican, and ballot qualified independent candidates.

Some things make Louisiana very unique: our food, our music, and our culture. These are a source of pride. However, our jungle of election system is the only one of its kind in the country.

It is relic of the past – which has left us dead last.

Our fellow southern states are succeeding – because of their primary process. A process which results in a stronger, more unified team of elected leaders. It is time to re-write our story and move to a similar system we have already tried, tested and still use in Presidential primaries today. As we work on other electoral reforms with these redistricting maps, now is the time to also deal with this common-sense change.

Today, as we honor Dr. Martin Luther King, JR. I do not believe that it is mere irony that finds us here today. On this consecrated day, we seek to amplify the voice of the few... We seek to broaden the opportunity for participation in the governance of our people.

The courage, the wisdom, and the relentless pursuit of fairness in our electoral process by Dr. King, is profoundly moving. His words in 1968 are wholly appropriate 56 years later at this very hour: "...the arc of the moral universe is long, but it bends toward justice..."

For Dr. King's was an uphill journey into the head winds of hate, His was a march into battle, while ours is a walk-in-the-park. His? -- Was persecution for speaking his truth, while ours is a comfortable dialogue. His was a mighty shove, while yours is the mere push of a button.

God bless Louisiana God bless each of you and God bless the people we represent.

###

CONNECT with the GOVERNOR

[EMAIL the
GOVERNOR](#)

[REQUEST of
the GOVERNOR](#)

[APPLY to
SERVE](#)



(<https://twitter.com/LAGovJeffLand>) (<https://www.facebook.com/GovJeff>) (<https://www.instagram.com/govjef>)

Contact the Governor (/page/contact) | Employee Webmail (<https://webmail.la.gov/>) | Report Possible Fraud or Abuse (<https://www.lia.la.gov/hotline/>) | Public Records Request (/page/public-records-request) | Privacy Policy (/page/privacy-policy)

Exhibit L

2024 FIRST EXTRAORDINARY SESSION

SB8 by Senator Glen Womack

CONGRESS: *Provides for redistricting of Louisiana congressional districts. (Item #1)(See Act) (EN INCREASE GF EX See Note)*

Current Status (as of 1/31/2024 3:20 pm): Signed by the Governor - Act 2

| <u>Date</u> | <u>Chamber</u> | <u>Page</u> | <u>Action</u> |
|-------------|----------------|-------------|--|
| 01/22 | S | | Effective date: See Act. |
| 01/22 | S | | Signed by the Governor. Becomes Act No. 2. |
| 01/19 | S | 6 | Sent to the Governor by the Secretary of the Senate. |
| 01/19 | H | | Signed by the Speaker of the House. |
| 01/19 | S | 6 | Enrolled. Signed by the President of the Senate. |
| 01/19 | S | 4 | Rules suspended. Amendments proposed by the House read and concurred in by a vote of 27 yeas and 11 nays. |
| 01/19 | S | 3 | Received from the House with amendments. |
| 01/19 | H | | Read third time by title, amended, roll called on final passage, yeas 86, nays 16. Finally passed, ordered to the Senate. |
| 01/18 | H | | Scheduled for floor debate on 01/19/2024. |
| 01/18 | H | 3 | Read by title, amended, passed to 3rd reading. |
| 01/18 | H | 3 | Reported without Legislative Bureau amendments. |
| 01/18 | H | 1 | Rules suspended. |
| 01/18 | H | 1 | Reported with amendments (14-1). Referred to the Legislative Bureau. |
| 01/17 | H | 7 | Received in the House from the Senate, rules suspended, read by title, referred to the Committee on House and Governmental Affairs. |
| 01/17 | S | 2 | Rules suspended. Read by title, passed by a vote of 27 yeas and 11 nays, and sent to the House. Motion to reconsider tabled. |
| 01/16 | S | 3 | Rules suspended. Reported with amendments. Rules suspended. Read by title; Committee amendments read and adopted. Ordered engrossed and passed to third reading and final passage. |
| 01/15 | S | 5 | Introduced in the Senate; read by title. Rules suspended. Read second time and referred to the Committee on Senate and Governmental Affairs. |

Authors:

Glen Womack
 Marcus Bryant
 Wilford Carter , Sr.
 Tehmi Chassion
 Kyle M. Green , Jr.
 Mandie Landry
 Ed Larvadain III
 Pat Moore
 Larry Selders
 Joy Walters

Authors:

Rashid Young

Alonzo Knox

Available Documents:

Text

SB8 Act 2 <https://legis.la.gov/legis/ViewDocument.aspx?d=1341081>
SB8 Enrolled <https://legis.la.gov/legis/ViewDocument.aspx?d=1340797>
SB8 Engrossed <https://legis.la.gov/legis/ViewDocument.aspx?d=1340284>
SB8 Original <https://legis.la.gov/legis/ViewDocument.aspx?d=1340141>

Amendments

House Floor Amendment, #83, Beaulieu, Adopted <https://legis.la.gov/legis/ViewDocument.aspx?d=1340695>
House Committee Amendment, #74, H&G, Adopted <https://legis.la.gov/legis/ViewDocument.aspx?d=1340645>
House Committee Amendment, #68, H&G, Draft <https://legis.la.gov/legis/ViewDocument.aspx?d=1340501>
House Committee Amendment, #70, H&G, Draft <https://legis.la.gov/legis/ViewDocument.aspx?d=1340478>
Senate Committee Amendment, #48, S&G, Adopted <https://legis.la.gov/legis/ViewDocument.aspx?d=1340274>
Senate Committee Amendment, #38, S&G, Draft <https://legis.la.gov/legis/ViewDocument.aspx?d=1340218>
Senate Committee Amendment, #34, S&G, Draft <https://legis.la.gov/legis/ViewDocument.aspx?d=1340190>
Senate Committee Amendment, #31, S&G, Draft <https://legis.la.gov/legis/ViewDocument.aspx?d=1340187>

Digests

Summary of House Amendments to SB8 <https://legis.la.gov/legis/ViewDocument.aspx?d=1340757>
House Committee Redigest of SB8 <https://legis.la.gov/legis/ViewDocument.aspx?d=1340646>
Digest of SB8 Engrossed <https://legis.la.gov/legis/ViewDocument.aspx?d=1340304>
Digest of SB8 Original <https://legis.la.gov/legis/ViewDocument.aspx?d=1340142>

Notes

Fiscal Note - SB8 Enrolled <https://legis.la.gov/legis/ViewDocument.aspx?d=1340837>
Fiscal Note - SB8 Engrossed With House Floor Amendments <https://legis.la.gov/legis/ViewDocument.aspx?d=1340767>
Fiscal Note - SB8 Engrossed With House Cmte Amendments <https://legis.la.gov/legis/ViewDocument.aspx?d=1340657>
Fiscal Note - SB8 Engrossed <https://legis.la.gov/legis/ViewDocument.aspx?d=1340336>
Fiscal Note - SB8 Original <https://legis.la.gov/legis/ViewDocument.aspx?d=1340185>

Votes

Senate Vote on SB 8, CONCUR (#20) <https://legis.la.gov/legis/ViewDocument.aspx?d=1340794>
House Vote on SB 8, FINAL PASSAGE (#21) <https://legis.la.gov/legis/ViewDocument.aspx?d=1340770>
House Vote on SB 8, AMENDMENT # 83 BY BEAULLIEU, MOTION TO ADOPT (#20) <https://legis.la.gov/legis/ViewDocument.aspx?d=1340769>
Senate Vote on SB 8, FINAL PASSAGE (#9) <https://legis.la.gov/legis/ViewDocument.aspx?d=1340426>

Exhibit M

SLS 241ES-18

ORIGINAL

2024 First Extraordinary Session

SENATE BILL NO. 8

BY SENATOR WOMACK

CONGRESS. Provides for redistricting of Louisiana congressional districts. (Item #1)(See Act)

1 AN ACT

To enact R.S. 18:1276.1 and to repeal R.S. 18:1276, relative to congressional districts; to provide for the redistricting of Louisiana's congressional districts; to provide with respect to positions and offices, other than congressional, which are based upon congressional districts; to provide for the effectiveness; and to provide for related matters.

7 Be it enacted by the Legislature of Louisiana:

8 Section 1. R.S. 18:1276.1 is hereby enacted to read as follows:

§1276.1. Congressional districts

10 Louisiana shall be divided into six congressional districts, and the
11 qualified electors of each district shall elect one representative to the United
12 States House of Representatives. The districts shall be composed as follows:

13 **(1) District 1 is composed of Precincts 13, 14, 15, 18, 21, 22, 25, 26, 27, 33,**
14 **34, 35, 41, 43 and 69 of Ascension Parish; Precincts 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11,**
15 **12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34,**
16 **35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62,**
17 **63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85,**

SLS 241ES-18

ORIGINAL
SB NO. 8

1 86, 87, 88, 89, 90, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, 100, 101, 102, 103, 105, 106,
2 117, 118, 119, 120, 121, 122, 123, 124, 125A, 125B, 126, 127, 128, 129, 130, 132,
3 134, 136, 192, 198, 199, 246, 247, 248, 1-GI, 1-H, 2-H, 3-H, 4-H, 5-H, 6-H, 7-H,
4 8-H, 9-H, 1-K, 2-K, 3-K, 4-K, 5-K, 6-KA, 6-KB, 7-KA, 7-KB, 8-K, 9-K, 10-K,
5 11-K, 12-K, 13-KA, 14-K, 16-K, 17-K, 18-K, 19-K, 20-K, 25-K, 27-K, 28-K,
6 29-K, 34-K, 35-K and 1-L of Jefferson Parish; Precincts 3-3, 3-6, 4-1, 4-2, 4-3,
7 4-4, 4-5, 4-6, 7-4, 8-1, 9-1, 9-2, 10-1, 10-2, 10-3, 10-4, 10-6, 10-8, 10-9, 10-10,
8 10-11, 10-12, 10-13, 10-14, 10-15, 10-16, 11-1, 11-2, 11-3 and 11-5 of Lafourche
9 Parish; Precincts 13A, 13B, 14, 15, 16, 17, 22, 31, 32 and 38 of Livingston
10 Parish; Precincts 4-7, 4-8, 4-9, 4-11, 4-14, 4-15, 4-17, 4-17A, 4-18, 4-20, 4-21,
11 4-22, 4-23, 5-12, 5-13, 5-15, 5-16, 5-17, 5-18, 6-9, 7-41, 7-42, 9-45, 9-45A, 11-4,
12 11-5, 11-8, 11-9, 11-10, 11-11, 12-5, 12-6, 12-7, 12-9, 12-10, 13-5, 13-7, 13-8, 14-1,
13 14-2, 14-3, 14-4, 14-5, 14-6, 14-7, 14-8, 14-9, 14-10, 14-11, 14-13A, 14-14, 14-15,
14 14-16, 14-17, 14-18A, 14-20, 14-21, 16-1, 16-1A, 17-1, 17-17, 17-18, 17-18A, 17-19
15 and 17-20 of Orleans Parish; Plaquemines Parish; Precincts 32, 33, 34, 41, 42A,
16 43, 44, 45, 46, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54 and 55 of St. Bernard Parish; Precincts 1-6, 2-6,
17 3-1, 3-2, 3-3, 5-5, 6-1, 6-2, 6-3, 6-4, 6-6 and 6-8 of St. Charles Parish; St.
18 Tammany Parish and Precincts 44, 49, 70, 70A, 71, 72, 72A, 73, 74, 120B, 122A,
19 122B, 122C, 124, 137, 137A, 137B, 137C, 137D, 139, 141, 141A, 143, 143A, 145,
20 147, 149, 149A and 151 of Tangipahoa Parish.

21 (2) District 2 is composed of Precincts 6, 7, 9, 11, 17, 20, 23, 24, 28, 30, 31,
22 32, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 42, 44, 45, 47, 48, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 57, 58, 62, 63, 65, 66,
23 68, 71, 72, 73, 77 and 78 of Ascension Parish; Assumption Parish; Iberville
24 Parish; Precincts 57, 104, 108, 115, 116, 131, 133, 138, 150, 151, 152, 153, 154,
25 155, 156, 157A, 157B, 170, 171, 172, 173, 174, 175, 176, 177, 178, 179A, 179B,
26 180, 181, 182, 183, 184, 185A, 185B, 187, 188, 189, 190, 191, 193A, 193B, 194A,
27 194B, 195, 196, 197A, 197B, 200, 201, 202, 203, 204, 205, 210, 211, 212, 213A,
28 213B, 213C, 214A, 214B, 215, 216A, 216B, 216C, 217, 225, 226, 227, 228, 229,
29 230, 231, 232A, 232B, 234, 235, 236, 237, 238A, 238B, 1-G, 2-G, 3-G, 4-G, 5-G,

SLS 241ES-18

ORIGINAL
SB NO. 8

1 6-G, 7-G, 8-G, 9-G, 10-G, 11-G, 12-G, 13-G, 13-KB, 15-K, 21-K, 22-K, 23-K,
2 24-K, 26-K, 30-K, 31-K, 33-K, 1-W, 2-W, 3-W, 4-W, 5-W, 6-W and 7-W of
3 Jefferson Parish; Precincts 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, 1-5, 1-6, 2-1, 2-1A, 2-3, 2-5, 2-7, 2-9,
4 2-10, 2-11, 2-16, 5-1, 5-1A and 5-3 of Lafourche Parish; Precincts 1-1, 1-2, 1-5,
5 1-6, 2-1, 2-2, 2-4, 2-6, 2-7, 3-1, 3-8, 3-9, 3-12, 3-14, 3-15, 3-18, 3-19, 3-20, 4-2, 4-3,
6 4-6, 5-1, 5-2, 5-3, 5-5, 5-7, 5-8, 5-9, 5-10, 5-11, 6-1, 6-2, 6-4, 6-6, 6-7, 6-8, 7-1, 7-2,
7 7-4, 7-5, 7-6, 7-7, 7-8, 7-9A, 7-10, 7-11, 7-12, 7-13, 7-14, 7-15, 7-16, 7-17, 7-18,
8 7-19, 7-20, 7-21, 7-23, 7-24, 7-25, 7-25A, 7-26, 7-27, 7-27B, 7-28, 7-28A, 7-29,
9 7-30, 7-32, 7-33, 7-35, 7-37, 7-37A, 7-40, 8-1, 8-2, 8-4, 8-6, 8-7, 8-8, 8-9, 8-12,
10 8-13, 8-14, 8-15, 8-19, 8-20, 8-21, 8-22, 8-23, 8-24, 8-25, 8-26, 8-27, 8-28, 8-30, 9-1,
11 9-3, 9-4, 9-5, 9-6, 9-7, 9-8, 9-9, 9-10, 9-11, 9-12, 9-13, 9-14, 9-15, 9-16, 9-17, 9-19,
12 9-21, 9-23, 9-25, 9-26, 9-28, 9-28C, 9-29, 9-30, 9-30A, 9-31, 9-31A, 9-31B, 9-31D,
13 9-32, 9-33, 9-34A, 9-35, 9-35A, 9-36, 9-36B, 9-37, 9-38, 9-38A, 9-39, 9-39B, 9-40,
14 9-40A, 9-40C, 9-41, 9-41A, 9-41B, 9-41C, 9-41D, 9-42, 9-42C, 9-43A, 9-43B,
15 9-43C, 9-43E, 9-43F, 9-43G, 9-43H, 9-43I, 9-43J, 9-43K, 9-43L, 9-43M, 9-43N,
16 9-44, 9-44A, 9-44B, 9-44D, 9-44E, 9-44F, 9-44G, 9-44I, 9-44J, 9-44L, 9-44M,
17 9-44N, 9-44O, 9-44P, 9-44Q, 10-3, 10-6, 10-7, 10-8, 10-9, 10-11, 10-12, 10-13,
18 10-14, 11-2, 11-3, 11-12, 11-13, 11-14, 11-17, 12-1, 12-2, 12-3, 12-4, 12-11, 12-12,
19 12-13, 12-14, 12-16, 12-17, 12-19, 13-1, 13-2, 13-3, 13-4, 13-6, 13-9, 13-10, 13-11,
20 13-12, 13-13, 13-14, 13-15, 13-16, 14-12, 14-19, 14-23, 14-24A, 14-25, 14-26, 15-1,
21 15-2, 15-3, 15-5, 15-6, 15-8, 15-9, 15-10, 15-11, 15-12, 15-12A, 15-13, 15-13A,
22 15-13B, 15-14, 15-14A, 15-14B, 15-14C, 15-14D, 15-14E, 15-14F, 15-14G, 15-15,
23 15-15A, 15-15B, 15-16, 15-17, 15-17A, 15-17B, 15-18, 15-18A, 15-18B, 15-18C,
24 15-18D, 15-18E, 15-18F, 15-19, 15-19A, 15-19B, 15-19C, 16-2, 16-3, 16-4, 16-5,
25 16-6, 16-7, 16-8, 16-9, 17-2, 17-3, 17-4, 17-5, 17-6, 17-7, 17-8, 17-9, 17-10, 17-11,
26 17-12, 17-13, 17-13A, 17-14, 17-15 and 17-16 of Orleans Parish; Precincts 10, 11,
27 12, 13, 14, 15, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 30, 31, 40 and 42 of St. Bernard Parish;
28 Precincts 1-1, 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, 1-5, 2-1, 2-3, 2-4, 2-5, 4-1, 4-2, 4-3, 4-4, 4-5, 5-1, 5-3,
29 5-4, 7-1, 7-2, 7-3, 7-4, 7-5 and 7-6 of St. Charles Parish; St. James Parish and St.

SLS 241ES-18

ORIGINAL
SB NO. 8

1 John the Baptist Parish.

2 (3) District 3 is composed of Acadia Parish; Precincts 167, 260, 261, 262,
3 300, 301, 302, 303, 304, 305, 306, 307, 308, 309E, 309W, 310, 311, 312, 313E,
4 313W, 314, 315E, 315W, 316E, 316W, 317, 318, 319N, 319S, 320E, 320W, 321,
5 322, 323, 324, 325, 326, 327, 328, 329, 330, 331, 332N, 332S, 333, 334, 335, 336,
6 337, 338, 339, 340, 360, 361, 362, 363, 364, 368, 369, 370, 372, 405, 440, 441, 463,
7 464, 467, 800, 801, 860S, 861E and 861W of Calcasieu Parish; Cameron Parish;
8 Iberia Parish; Jefferson Davis Parish; Precincts 1, 3, 8, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31,
9 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 65, 66, 67, 69, 70,
10 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 89, 90, 91, 92, 93,
11 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, 100, 101, 102, 103, 104, 105, 106, 107, 108, 109, 110, 111,
12 114, 115, 116, 117, 118, 119, 120, 121, 123, 124, 125, 126, 127, 128, 130, 131, 133,
13 134, 135 and 136 of Lafayette Parish; Precincts 1-1, 2-2, 2-6, 2-8, 2-12, 2-13,
14 2-14, 2-15, 3-1, 3-2, 3-4, 3-5, 3-7, 5-2, 6-1, 6-2, 6-3, 6-4, 6-5, 7-1, 7-2, 7-3 and 11-4
15 of Lafourche Parish; St. Martin Parish; St. Mary Parish; Terrebonne Parish
16 and Vermilion Parish.

17 (4) District 4 is composed of Allen Parish; Beauregard Parish; Bienville
18 Parish; Bossier Parish; Precincts 1-1, 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, 1-5, 1-6, 1-7, 1-8, 1-9, 1-10,
19 1-11, 1-12, 1-13, 1-14, 2-1, 2-2, 2-4, 2-7, 3-1, 3-8, 4-1, 4-2, 4-3, 4-4, 4-5, 4-6, 4-7,
20 4-8, 4-9, 4-10, 5-10, 6-1, 7-1, 8-1, 8-2, 8-3, 8-4, 8-5, 8-6, 8-7, 8-8, 8-9, 9-1, 9-2, 9-3,
21 9-5, 9-7, 9-8, 9-12, 9-13, 10-2, 10-8, 11-1, 11-2, 11-3, 11-4, 11-5, 11-6, 11-7, 11-8,
22 11-9, 11-10, 12-1, 12-3, 12-7 and 12-8 of Caddo Parish; Precincts 160E, 160W,
23 161, 162E, 162W, 163, 164, 165, 166E, 166W, 365, 366, 367, 371N, 371S, 400,
24 401, 402, 403, 404, 406, 407, 408, 460E, 460W, 461, 465, 466E, 466W, 468, 469,
25 560, 561, 562, 600, 601, 602, 603, 660, 661, 662, 663, 664, 700, 701, 702, 703, 760,
26 761, 762 and 860N of Calcasieu Parish; Claiborne Parish; Precincts 4, 10, 11,
27 11B, 11C, 16, 16A, 16B, 16C, 22, 22A, 23, 28, 30, 30A, 31A, 34, 34A, 34B, 35,
28 35A, 35B, 37, 37C, 44, 46, 46A, 46B, 48, 49, 49A, 51, 53 and 55 of De Soto
29 Parish; Evangeline Parish; Grant Parish; Jackson Parish; Lincoln Parish;

SLS 241ES-18

ORIGINAL
SB NO. 8

1 Precincts 25, 32, 36, 38, 41, 42, 43, 44, 44A, 45, 49, 51, 51A, 53, 55, 57 and 58 of
2 Ouachita Parish; Precincts C22, C23, C27, C30, C31, C32, C33, C34, C35, C36,
3 C37-A, C37-B, C41, C42, S7, S8, S9, S10, S11, S13, S14, S15, S16, S17, S18, S19,
4 S20, S21, S22, S23, S24, S25, S26, S27, S28 and S29 of Rapides Parish; Red
5 River Parish; Sabine Parish; Union Parish; Vernon Parish; Webster Parish and
6 Winn Parish.

7 (5) District 5 is composed of Precincts 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 8, 10, 12, 16, 19, 61, 64
8 and 76 of Ascension Parish; Caldwell Parish; Catahoula Parish; Concordia
9 Parish; Precincts 1-12, 1-34, 1-41, 1-42, 1-43, 1-44, 1-46, 1-47, 1-49, 1-56, 1-69,
10 1-74, 1-75, 1-76, 1-79, 1-80, 1-99, 1-105, 1-107, 2-6, 2-7, 2-8, 2-33, 3-1, 3-2, 3-3,
11 3-4, 3-5, 3-6, 3-7, 3-9, 3-13, 3-14, 3-15, 3-16, 3-17, 3-18, 3-21, 3-22, 3-23, 3-25,
12 3-26, 3-29, 3-30, 3-31, 3-33, 3-34, 3-35, 3-36, 3-37, 3-38, 3-39, 3-40, 3-41, 3-43,
13 3-45, 3-46, 3-47, 3-48, 3-49, 3-51, 3-53, 3-58, 3-60, 3-61, 3-62, 3-64, 3-65, 3-66,
14 3-67, 3-68, 3-71, 3-73 and 3-74 of East Baton Rouge Parish; East Carroll Parish;
15 East Feliciana Parish; Franklin Parish; La Salle Parish; Precincts 1, 1A, 1B, 1C,
16 1D, 2, 2A, 3, 3A, 3B, 4, 4A, 4B, 5, 5A, 5B, 5D, 6, 6A, 6B, 7, 7A, 7B, 7C, 7D, 8A,
17 8B, 9, 10, 11, 11A, 12, 18, 18A, 19, 19A, 20, 21, 21A, 21B, 23, 23A, 23B, 23C, 24,
18 24B, 24C, 24D, 25, 26, 26A, 26B, 26C, 27, 28, 29, 30, 33, 34, 35, 35A, 36, 36A, 39,
19 39A, 39B, 40, 40A, 41 and 43 of Livingston Parish; Madison Parish; Morehouse
20 Parish; Precincts 1, 1A, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 9A, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18,
21 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 26, 27, 28, 30, 31, 33, 34, 35, 37, 39, 40, 46, 47, 48, 50, 52,
22 52A, 54, 56, 56A, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 65A, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74,
23 75, 76, 77, 78 and 79 of Ouachita Parish; Richland Parish; St. Helena Parish;
24 Precincts 2, 6, 11, 15, 16, 17, 28, 33, 40A, 41, 42, 43, 45A, 45B, 46, 47, 101, 102,
25 104, 105, 106, 106A, 107, 108, 109, 110, 111A, 112, 114, 115B, 116, 117, 118,
26 118A, 119, 120, 120A, 121, 121A, 123, 125, 127, 129A, 133 and 133A of
27 Tangipahoa Parish; Tensas Parish; Washington Parish; West Carroll Parish
28 and West Feliciana Parish.

29 (6) District 6 is composed of Avoyelles Parish; Precincts 2-3, 2-5, 2-6, 2-8,

SLS 241ES-18

ORIGINAL
SB NO. 8

1 2-9, 2-10, 2-11, 2-12, 3-2, 3-3, 3-4, 3-5, 3-6, 3-7, 3-9, 5-1, 5-2, 5-3, 5-4, 5-5, 5-6, 5-7,
2 5-8, 5-9, 5-11, 6-2, 6-3, 6-4, 6-5, 6-6, 6-7, 6-8, 6-9, 6-10, 7-2, 7-3, 7-4, 7-5, 7-6, 7-7,
3 7-8, 7-9, 7-10, 9-4, 9-6, 9-9, 9-10, 9-11, 10-1, 10-3, 10-4, 10-5, 10-6, 10-7, 10-9,
4 12-2, 12-4, 12-5, 12-6, 12-9, 12-10 and 12-11 of Caddo Parish; Precincts 1, 5, 5A,
5 6, 6A, 6B, 9, 21, 26, 26A, 31, 32, 33, 33A, 38, 38A, 42, 56, 59, 60, 60A, 63 and 63A
6 of De Soto Parish; Precincts 1-1, 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, 1-5, 1-6, 1-7, 1-8, 1-9, 1-10, 1-11,
7 1-13, 1-14, 1-15, 1-16, 1-17, 1-18, 1-19, 1-20, 1-21, 1-22, 1-23, 1-24, 1-25, 1-26,
8 1-27, 1-28, 1-29, 1-30, 1-31, 1-32, 1-33, 1-35, 1-36, 1-37, 1-38, 1-39, 1-40, 1-45,
9 1-48, 1-50, 1-51, 1-52, 1-53, 1-54, 1-55, 1-57, 1-58, 1-59, 1-60, 1-61, 1-62, 1-63,
10 1-64, 1-65, 1-66, 1-67, 1-68, 1-70, 1-71, 1-72, 1-73, 1-77, 1-78, 1-81, 1-82, 1-83,
11 1-84, 1-85, 1-86, 1-87, 1-88, 1-89, 1-90, 1-91, 1-92, 1-93, 1-94, 1-95, 1-96, 1-97,
12 1-98, 1-100, 1-101, 1-102, 1-103, 1-104, 2-1, 2-2, 2-3, 2-4, 2-5, 2-9, 2-10, 2-11, 2-12,
13 2-13, 2-14, 2-15, 2-16, 2-17, 2-18, 2-19, 2-20, 2-21, 2-22, 2-23, 2-24, 2-25, 2-26,
14 2-27, 2-28, 2-29, 2-30, 2-31, 2-32, 2-34, 2-35, 2-36, 2-37, 2-38, 3-8, 3-10, 3-11, 3-12,
15 3-19, 3-20, 3-24, 3-27, 3-28, 3-32, 3-42, 3-44, 3-50, 3-52, 3-54, 3-55, 3-56, 3-57,
16 3-59, 3-63, 3-69, 3-70, 3-72, 3-75 and 3-76 of East Baton Rouge Parish; Precincts
17 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 50, 51, 52, 53,
18 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 63, 64, 68, 112, 113, 122 and 129 of Lafayette
19 Parish; Natchitoches Parish; Pointe Coupee Parish; Precincts C1, C2, C3, C4,
20 C5, C6, C7, C8, C9, C10, C11-A, C11-B, C13, C14, C15, C17, C18, C19, C20,
21 C21, C24, C25, C26, C28, C38-A, C38-B, C39, C40, N1, N2, N3, N4, N5, N6, N7,
22 N8, N9, N10, N11, N12, N13-A, N13-B, N14-A, N14-B, N15, N16, N17, N18-A,
23 N18-B, N19, N20, N21, N22, N23, N24, N25, N26, N27, N28, N29, S1, S2, S4, S5,
24 S6A and S6B of Rapides Parish; St. Landry Parish and West Baton Rouge
25 Parish.

26 Section 2. R.S. 18:1276 is hereby repealed.

27 Section 3.(A) The precincts referenced in this Act are those contained in the file
28 named "2024 Precinct Shapefiles (1-10-2024)" available on the website of the Legislature
29 of Louisiana on the effective date of this Section. The 2024 Precinct Shapefiles are based

SLS 241ES-18

ORIGINAL
SB NO. 8

1 upon those Voting Districts (VTDs) contained in the 2020 Census Redistricting TIGER/Line
2 Shapefiles for the State of Louisiana as those files have been modified and validated through
3 the data verification program of the Louisiana House of Representatives and the Louisiana
4 Senate to represent precinct changes submitted through January 10, 2024, to the Legislature
5 of Louisiana by parish governing authorities pursuant to the provisions of R.S. 18:532 and
6 532.1.

7 (B) When a precinct referenced in this Act has been subdivided by action of the
8 parish governing authority on a nongeographic basis or subdivided by action of the parish
9 governing authority on a geographic basis in accordance with the provisions of R.S.
10 18:532.1, the enumeration in this Act of the general precinct designation shall include all
11 nongeographic and all geographic subdivisions thereof, however such subdivisions may be
12 designated.

13 (C) The territorial limits of the districts as provided in this Act shall continue in
14 effect until changed by law regardless of any subsequent change made to the precincts by
15 the parish governing authority.

16 Section 4. The provisions of this Act shall not reduce the term of office of any person
17 holding any position or office on the effective date of this Section for which the appointment
18 or election is based upon a congressional district as composed pursuant to R.S. 18:1276. Any
19 position or office that is filled by appointment or election based upon a congressional district
20 and that is to be filled after January 3, 2025, shall be appointed or elected from a district as
21 it is described in Section 1 of this Act.

22 Section 5.(A) Solely for the purposes of qualifying for election and the conduct of
23 the election of representatives to the United States Congress at the regularly scheduled
24 election for representatives to the congress in 2024, the provisions of Section 1 of this Act
25 shall become effective upon signature of this Act by the governor or, if not signed by the
26 governor, upon expiration of the time for bills to become law without signature by the
27 governor, as provided in Article III, Section 18 of the Constitution of Louisiana. If this Act
28 is vetoed by the governor and subsequently approved by the legislature, the provisions of
29 Section 1 of this Act shall become effective on the day following such approval for the

SLS 241ES-18

ORIGINAL
SB NO. 8

1 purposes established in this Subsection.

2 (B) For subsequent elections of representatives to the United States Congress and for
3 all other purposes, the provisions of Section 1 of this Act shall become effective at noon on
4 January 3, 2025.

5 (C) The provisions of Section 2 of this Act shall become effective at noon on January
6 3, 2025.

7 (D) The provisions of this Section and Sections 3 and 4 of this Act shall become
8 effective upon signature of this Act by the governor or, if not signed by the governor, upon
9 expiration of the time for bills to become law without signature by the governor, as provided
10 in Article III, Section 18 of the Constitution of Louisiana. If this Act is vetoed by the
11 governor and subsequently approved by the legislature, the provisions of this Section and
12 Sections 3 and 4 of this Act shall become effective on the day following such approval.

The original instrument and the following digest, which constitutes no part
of the legislative instrument, were prepared by J. W. Wiley.

DIGEST

SB 8 Original

2024 First Extraordinary Session

Womack

Present U.S. Constitution (14th Amendment) provides that representatives in congress shall be apportioned among the several states according to their respective numbers, counting the whole number of persons in each state. The U.S. Supreme Court has held that the population of congressional districts in the same state must be as nearly equal in population as practicable.

Present law provides for six congressional districts based upon the 2020 federal decennial census.

Proposed law redraws district boundaries for the congressional districts based upon the 2020 federal decennial census.

Proposed law provides that the new districts become effective upon signature of governor or lapse of time for gubernatorial action for election purposes only for the regular congressional elections in 2024. Retains present law districts based upon the 2020 census until noon on January 3, 2025, at which time present law is repealed and the new districts based upon the 2020 census, as established by proposed law, become effective for all other purposes.

Proposed law specifies that precincts referenced in district descriptions are those precincts identified as Voting Districts (VTDs) contained in the file named "2024 Precinct Shapefiles (1-10-2024)" available on the La. Legislature's website. Specifies that the 2024 Precinct Shapefiles are based upon those Voting Districts (VTDs) contained in the 2020 Census Redistricting TIGER/Line Shapefiles for the State of Louisiana as those files have been modified and validated through the data verification program of the La. legislature. Also specifies that if any such precinct has been subdivided by action of the parish governing authority on a nongeographic basis or subdivided by action of the parish governing authority

SLS 241ES-18

ORIGINAL
SB NO. 8

on a geographic basis in accordance with present law, the enumeration of the general precinct designation shall include all nongeographic and all geographic subdivisions thereof. Further provides that the territorial limits of the districts as enacted shall continue in effect until changed by law regardless of any subsequent change made to the precincts by the parish governing authority.

Proposed law specifies that proposed law does not reduce the term of office of any person holding any position or office on the effective date of proposed law for which the appointment or election is based upon a congressional district as composed pursuant to present law. Specifies that any position or office filled after Jan. 1, 2025, for which the appointment or election is based upon a congressional district shall be appointed or elected from a district as it is described in proposed law.

Population data in the summaries accompanying this digest are derived from 2020 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171), Summary File for Louisiana. Population data, statistical information, and maps are supplied for purposes of information and analysis and comprise no part of proposed law.

Effective upon signature of governor or lapse of time for gubernatorial action for election purposes only for the regular congressional elections in 2024; effective for all other purposes at noon on January 3, 2025.

(Adds R.S. 18:1276.1; repeals R.S. 18:1276)

Plan Statistics

Plan: SLS 241ES-18 (Womack)

| Districts: | # of Members | Actual Population | Ideal Population | Absolute Deviation | Relative Deviation |
|------------------------------------|--------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|
| District 1 | 1 | 776,327 | 776,292 | 35 | 0.005% |
| District 2 | 1 | 776,316 | 776,292 | 24 | 0.003% |
| District 3 | 1 | 776,287 | 776,292 | -5 | -0.001% |
| District 4 | 1 | 776,314 | 776,292 | 22 | 0.003% |
| District 5 | 1 | 776,259 | 776,292 | -33 | -0.004% |
| District 6 | 1 | 776,254 | 776,292 | -38 | -0.005% |
| Grand Total: | 6 | 4,657,757 | 4,657,752 | | |
| Ideal Population Per Member: | 776292 | | | Ideal - Actual: | -5 |
| Number of Districts for Plan Type: | 6 | | | Remainder: | 5 |
| Range of District Populations: | 776,254 | to | 776,327 | Unassigned Population: | 0 |
| Absolute Mean Deviation: | 12 | | | | |
| Absolute Range: | -38 | to | 35 | | |
| Absolute Overall Range: | 73 | | | | |
| Relative Mean Deviation: | 0.00% | | | | |
| Relative Range: | 0.00% | to | 0.00% | | |
| Relative Overall Range: | 0.00% | | | | |

Total Population

Plan: SLS 241ES-18 (Womack)

| | Total Population | Total White | Total Black | Total Asian | American Indian | Total Other | Total Hispanic | VAP Total | VAP White | VAP Black | VAP Asian | VAP American Indian | VAP Other | VAP Hispanic Total |
|-------------|------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-----------------|-------------|----------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------------------|-----------|--------------------|
| District 1 | 776,327 | 553,751 | 108,188 | 23,991 | 17,494 | 72,903 | 90,968 | 603,907 | 444,675 | 76,646 | 17,622 | 12,740 | 52,224 | 64,365 |
| | 100.000% | 71.330% | 13.936% | 3.090% | 2.253% | 9.391% | 11.718% | 100.000% | 73.633% | 12.692% | 2.918% | 2.110% | 8.648% | 10.658% |
| District 2 | 776,316 | 271,367 | 412,387 | 24,960 | 9,683 | 57,919 | 74,305 | 598,204 | 225,203 | 305,124 | 19,711 | 7,377 | 40,789 | 51,406 |
| | 100.000% | 34.956% | 53.121% | 3.215% | 1.247% | 7.461% | 9.571% | 100.000% | 37.647% | 51.007% | 3.295% | 1.233% | 6.819% | 8.593% |
| District 3 | 776,287 | 514,019 | 189,998 | 16,980 | 18,502 | 36,788 | 43,292 | 588,557 | 405,242 | 132,825 | 12,215 | 12,990 | 25,285 | 29,021 |
| | 100.000% | 66.215% | 24.475% | 2.187% | 2.383% | 4.739% | 5.577% | 100.000% | 68.853% | 22.568% | 2.075% | 2.207% | 4.296% | 4.931% |
| District 4 | 776,314 | 539,009 | 172,278 | 13,380 | 20,382 | 31,265 | 39,551 | 594,570 | 423,134 | 124,461 | 9,728 | 15,227 | 22,020 | 27,338 |
| | 100.000% | 69.432% | 22.192% | 1.724% | 2.625% | 4.027% | 5.095% | 100.000% | 71.166% | 20.933% | 1.636% | 2.561% | 3.704% | 4.598% |
| District 5 | 776,259 | 492,074 | 224,328 | 15,038 | 12,092 | 32,727 | 38,399 | 596,882 | 392,528 | 160,490 | 11,285 | 9,179 | 23,400 | 26,693 |
| | 100.000% | 63.390% | 28.899% | 1.937% | 1.558% | 4.216% | 4.947% | 100.000% | 65.763% | 26.888% | 1.891% | 1.538% | 3.920% | 4.472% |
| District 6 | 776,254 | 287,432 | 435,940 | 12,939 | 8,907 | 31,036 | 36,034 | 588,428 | 233,729 | 316,223 | 9,855 | 6,727 | 21,894 | 24,839 |
| | 100.000% | 37.028% | 56.159% | 1.667% | 1.147% | 3.998% | 4.642% | 100.000% | 39.721% | 53.740% | 1.675% | 1.143% | 3.721% | 4.221% |
| Grand Total | 4,657,757 | 2,657,652 | 1,543,119 | 107,288 | 87,060 | 262,638 | 322,549 | 3,570,548 | 2,124,511 | 1,115,769 | 80,416 | 64,240 | 185,612 | 223,662 |
| | 100.000% | 57.059% | 33.130% | 2.303% | 1.869% | 5.639% | 6.925% | 100.000% | 59.501% | 31.249% | 2.252% | 1.799% | 5.198% | 6.264% |

Voter Registration

Plan: SLS 241ES-18 (Womack)

| | Reg Total Dec 2023 | Reg White Dec 2023 | Reg Black Dec 2023 | Reg Other Dec 2023 | Reg Dem Total Dec 2023 | Reg Rep Total Dec 2023 | Reg Other Total Dec 2023 |
|-------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| District 1 | 479,186 | 385,098 | 51,969 | 42,119 | 127,253 | 205,251 | 146,682 |
| | 79.348% | 80.365% | 10.845% | 8.790% | 26.556% | 42.833% | 30.611% |
| District 2 | 466,623 | 181,215 | 245,721 | 39,687 | 267,146 | 76,552 | 122,925 |
| | 78.004% | 38.835% | 52.659% | 8.505% | 57.251% | 16.406% | 26.344% |
| District 3 | 452,113 | 336,261 | 94,266 | 21,586 | 142,481 | 185,022 | 124,610 |
| | 76.817% | 74.375% | 20.850% | 4.774% | 31.514% | 40.924% | 27.562% |
| District 4 | 441,028 | 335,655 | 85,837 | 19,536 | 126,254 | 198,958 | 115,816 |
| | 74.176% | 76.107% | 19.463% | 4.430% | 28.627% | 45.112% | 26.260% |
| District 5 | 455,822 | 317,221 | 120,765 | 17,836 | 152,554 | 186,002 | 117,266 |
| | 76.367% | 69.593% | 26.494% | 3.913% | 33.468% | 40.806% | 25.726% |
| District 6 | 447,515 | 184,996 | 243,271 | 19,248 | 236,818 | 99,841 | 110,856 |
| | 76.053% | 41.339% | 54.360% | 4.301% | 52.918% | 22.310% | 24.771% |
| Grand Total | 2,742,287 | 1,740,446 | 841,829 | 160,012 | 1,052,506 | 951,626 | 738,155 |

Splits

Plan: SLS 241ES-18 (Womack)

| | Total Population | Total White | Total Black | Total Asian | American Indian | Total Other | VAP Total | VAP White | VAP Black | VAP Asian | VAP American Indian | VAP Other | Reg Total Dec 2023 | Reg White Dec 2023 | Reg Black Dec 2023 | Reg Other Dec 2023 |
|----------------------|------------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|---------------------|---------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| District 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| *Ascension | 27,718 | 23,228 | 2,058 | 201 | 522 | 1,709 | 20,611 | 17,693 | 1,304 | 121 | 368 | 1,125 | 17,243 | 15,672 | 954 | 617 |
| *Jefferson | 240,081 | 155,518 | 30,822 | 11,880 | 4,356 | 37,505 | 192,148 | 129,999 | 22,555 | 8,951 | 3,295 | 27,348 | 144,399 | 112,491 | 12,528 | 19,380 |
| *Lafourche | 47,193 | 37,212 | 3,189 | 577 | 3,242 | 2,973 | 35,543 | 29,123 | 1,939 | 413 | 2,140 | 1,928 | 25,117 | 22,442 | 1,115 | 1,560 |
| *Livingston | 13,310 | 11,276 | 1,138 | 84 | 259 | 553 | 10,369 | 8,949 | 804 | 46 | 207 | 363 | 8,639 | 7,732 | 668 | 239 |
| *Orleans | 64,493 | 50,312 | 6,498 | 2,503 | 749 | 4,431 | 53,843 | 42,329 | 5,556 | 1,950 | 609 | 3,399 | 41,535 | 34,071 | 3,239 | 4,225 |
| Plaquemines | 23,515 | 14,287 | 5,428 | 1,317 | 697 | 1,786 | 17,334 | 10,856 | 3,857 | 925 | 500 | 1,196 | 13,143 | 8,996 | 2,934 | 1,213 |
| *St. Bernard | 20,543 | 11,907 | 5,780 | 617 | 436 | 1,803 | 14,871 | 8,992 | 3,854 | 424 | 327 | 1,274 | 12,975 | 8,866 | 3,231 | 878 |
| *St. Charles | 19,887 | 13,870 | 3,607 | 347 | 356 | 1,707 | 14,990 | 10,865 | 2,485 | 229 | 241 | 1,170 | 12,791 | 9,837 | 2,063 | 891 |
| St. Tammany | 264,570 | 196,641 | 38,643 | 5,774 | 5,660 | 17,852 | 202,228 | 154,621 | 26,761 | 4,075 | 4,161 | 12,610 | 174,307 | 141,262 | 21,129 | 11,916 |
| *Tangipahoa | 55,017 | 39,500 | 11,025 | 691 | 1,217 | 2,584 | 41,970 | 31,248 | 7,531 | 488 | 892 | 1,811 | 29,037 | 23,729 | 4,108 | 1,200 |
| District 1 | 776,327 | 553,751 | 108,188 | 23,991 | 17,494 | 72,903 | 603,907 | 444,675 | 76,646 | 17,622 | 12,740 | 52,224 | 479,186 | 385,098 | 51,969 | 42,119 |
| | 100.000% | 71.330% | 13.936% | 3.090% | 2.253% | 9.391% | 100.000% | 73.633% | 12.692% | 2.918% | 2.110% | 8.648% | 79.348% | 80.365% | 10.845% | 8.790% |
| District 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| *Ascension | 67,009 | 34,447 | 25,291 | 1,260 | 985 | 5,026 | 48,560 | 26,086 | 17,639 | 850 | 679 | 3,306 | 41,549 | 23,859 | 15,251 | 2,439 |
| Assumption | 21,039 | 13,722 | 6,220 | 96 | 258 | 743 | 16,616 | 11,145 | 4,707 | 57 | 197 | 510 | 13,323 | 8,977 | 4,131 | 215 |
| Iberville | 30,241 | 14,833 | 13,730 | 202 | 274 | 1,202 | 24,086 | 12,462 | 10,232 | 149 | 221 | 1,022 | 19,906 | 9,999 | 9,484 | 423 |
| *Jefferson | 200,700 | 65,417 | 95,395 | 11,144 | 3,330 | 25,414 | 152,506 | 54,136 | 69,620 | 8,741 | 2,540 | 17,469 | 109,034 | 40,445 | 53,674 | 14,915 |
| *Lafourche | 19,271 | 10,678 | 7,472 | 188 | 292 | 641 | 14,620 | 8,657 | 5,185 | 132 | 200 | 446 | 10,440 | 6,675 | 3,412 | 353 |
| *Orleans | 319,504 | 76,150 | 212,471 | 10,353 | 2,917 | 17,613 | 252,353 | 67,923 | 160,512 | 8,570 | 2,339 | 13,009 | 196,855 | 52,054 | 127,351 | 17,450 |
| *St. Bernard | 23,221 | 12,590 | 6,529 | 764 | 511 | 2,827 | 16,904 | 10,000 | 4,090 | 558 | 361 | 1,895 | 12,710 | 9,178 | 2,362 | 1,170 |
| *St. Charles | 32,662 | 19,680 | 10,321 | 490 | 569 | 1,602 | 24,551 | 15,289 | 7,405 | 300 | 426 | 1,131 | 20,791 | 13,574 | 6,207 | 1,010 |
| St. James | 20,192 | 9,973 | 9,762 | 60 | 82 | 315 | 15,505 | 7,883 | 7,297 | 31 | 64 | 230 | 14,531 | 7,116 | 7,196 | 219 |
| St. John the Baptist | 42,477 | 13,877 | 25,196 | 403 | 465 | 2,536 | 32,503 | 11,622 | 18,437 | 323 | 350 | 1,771 | 27,484 | 9,338 | 16,653 | 1,493 |
| District 2 | 776,316 | 271,367 | 412,387 | 24,960 | 9,683 | 57,919 | 598,204 | 225,203 | 305,124 | 19,711 | 7,377 | 40,789 | 466,623 | 181,215 | 245,721 | 39,687 |
| | 100.000% | 34.956% | 53.121% | 3.215% | 1.247% | 7.461% | 100.000% | 37.647% | 51.007% | 3.295% | 1.233% | 6.819% | 78.004% | 38.835% | 52.659% | 8.505% |
| District 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Acadia | 57,576 | 44,480 | 10,864 | 238 | 573 | 1,421 | 42,943 | 34,071 | 7,383 | 173 | 400 | 916 | 36,151 | 29,438 | 5,995 | 718 |
| *Calcasieu | 131,299 | 69,747 | 50,290 | 3,564 | 1,764 | 5,934 | 99,893 | 55,812 | 35,987 | 2,563 | 1,347 | 4,184 | 65,841 | 39,808 | 22,822 | 3,211 |
| Cameron | 5,617 | 5,232 | 125 | 30 | 75 | 155 | 4,358 | 4,100 | 79 | 23 | 47 | 109 | 4,072 | 3,936 | 61 | 75 |
| Iberia | 69,929 | 39,206 | 24,556 | 2,123 | 794 | 3,250 | 52,791 | 31,295 | 17,069 | 1,562 | 581 | 2,284 | 42,188 | 26,848 | 13,441 | 1,899 |
| Jefferson Davis | 32,250 | 25,066 | 5,837 | 183 | 472 | 692 | 24,039 | 19,121 | 4,006 | 111 | 325 | 476 | 18,733 | 15,509 | 2,784 | 440 |
| *Lafayette | 180,411 | 131,849 | 29,263 | 5,960 | 2,665 | 10,674 | 137,635 | 103,919 | 19,952 | 4,314 | 2,029 | 7,421 | 111,925 | 91,759 | 13,498 | 6,668 |
| *Lafourche | 31,093 | 23,820 | 5,194 | 260 | 690 | 1,129 | 24,456 | 19,058 | 3,953 | 193 | 437 | 815 | 18,681 | 16,364 | 1,750 | 567 |
| St. Martin | 51,767 | 33,259 | 15,921 | 597 | 539 | 1,451 | 39,404 | 26,278 | 11,293 | 407 | 413 | 1,013 | 33,997 | 23,306 | 9,880 | 811 |
| St. Mary | 49,406 | 26,949 | 15,991 | 835 | 1,670 | 3,961 | 37,521 | 21,594 | 11,520 | 593 | 1,173 | 2,641 | 29,204 | 17,999 | 9,570 | 1,635 |

Splits


Plan: SLS 241ES-18 (Womack)


| | Total Population | Total White | Total Black | Total Asian | American Indian | Total Other | VAP Total | VAP White | VAP Black | VAP Asian | VAP American Indian | VAP Other | Reg Total Dec 2023 | Reg White Dec 2023 | Reg Black Dec 2023 | Reg Other Dec 2023 |
|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|---------------------|---------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| District 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Terrebonne | 109,580 | 69,934 | 23,147 | 1,743 | 8,637 | 6,119 | 82,505 | 55,631 | 15,796 | 1,239 | 5,750 | 4,089 | 55,810 | 41,601 | 9,910 | 4,299 |
| Vermilion | 57,359 | 44,477 | 8,810 | 1,447 | 623 | 2,002 | 43,012 | 34,363 | 5,787 | 1,037 | 488 | 1,337 | 35,511 | 29,693 | 4,555 | 1,263 |
| District 3 | 776,287 | 514,019 | 189,998 | 16,980 | 18,502 | 36,788 | 588,557 | 405,242 | 132,825 | 12,215 | 12,990 | 25,285 | 452,113 | 336,261 | 94,266 | 21,586 |
| | 100.000% | 66.215% | 24.475% | 2.187% | 2.383% | 4.739% | 100.000% | 68.853% | 22.568% | 2.075% | 2.207% | 4.296% | 76.817% | 74.375% | 20.850% | 4.774% |
| District 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Allen | 22,750 | 16,327 | 4,490 | 246 | 947 | 740 | 17,510 | 12,751 | 3,275 | 182 | 646 | 656 | 11,079 | 8,704 | 1,920 | 455 |
| Beauregard | 36,549 | 29,529 | 4,649 | 402 | 1,052 | 917 | 27,489 | 22,304 | 3,495 | 269 | 773 | 648 | 22,071 | 18,639 | 2,264 | 1,168 |
| Bienville | 12,981 | 6,950 | 5,600 | 57 | 207 | 167 | 10,073 | 5,486 | 4,284 | 30 | 162 | 111 | 8,336 | 4,509 | 3,728 | 99 |
| Bossier | 128,746 | 81,052 | 32,551 | 3,492 | 3,273 | 8,378 | 95,876 | 62,931 | 22,440 | 2,448 | 2,477 | 5,580 | 65,726 | 48,229 | 13,555 | 3,942 |
| *Caddo | 114,165 | 79,332 | 25,268 | 2,803 | 2,688 | 4,074 | 90,157 | 64,820 | 18,302 | 2,076 | 2,067 | 2,892 | 67,861 | 51,103 | 13,239 | 3,519 |
| *Calcasieu | 85,486 | 70,025 | 9,096 | 1,138 | 1,772 | 3,455 | 63,273 | 52,977 | 5,911 | 796 | 1,257 | 2,332 | 45,978 | 40,556 | 3,671 | 1,751 |
| Claiborne | 14,170 | 7,263 | 6,360 | 88 | 185 | 274 | 11,507 | 6,258 | 4,824 | 55 | 140 | 230 | 8,390 | 4,557 | 3,677 | 156 |
| *De Soto | 16,131 | 11,671 | 3,364 | 60 | 535 | 501 | 12,226 | 8,945 | 2,534 | 41 | 400 | 306 | 11,099 | 8,487 | 2,256 | 356 |
| Evangeline | 32,350 | 21,354 | 9,235 | 241 | 280 | 1,240 | 24,408 | 16,460 | 6,483 | 187 | 217 | 1,061 | 20,388 | 14,274 | 5,744 | 370 |
| Grant | 22,169 | 17,709 | 3,335 | 133 | 644 | 348 | 17,527 | 13,964 | 2,717 | 97 | 507 | 242 | 12,226 | 10,764 | 1,120 | 342 |
| Jackson | 15,031 | 9,967 | 4,166 | 175 | 255 | 468 | 11,783 | 7,967 | 3,125 | 140 | 174 | 377 | 9,375 | 6,570 | 2,610 | 195 |
| Lincoln | 48,396 | 26,034 | 19,364 | 892 | 662 | 1,444 | 38,655 | 21,306 | 15,119 | 744 | 526 | 960 | 24,408 | 15,139 | 8,357 | 912 |
| *Ouachita | 35,274 | 30,867 | 2,018 | 422 | 947 | 1,020 | 26,555 | 23,493 | 1,293 | 286 | 749 | 734 | 23,182 | 21,489 | 961 | 732 |
| *Rapides | 41,762 | 30,822 | 6,574 | 1,190 | 1,153 | 2,023 | 32,201 | 24,432 | 4,687 | 871 | 867 | 1,344 | 25,132 | 20,354 | 3,398 | 1,380 |
| Red River | 7,620 | 4,195 | 3,106 | 25 | 171 | 123 | 5,714 | 3,338 | 2,164 | 3 | 116 | 93 | 5,475 | 3,034 | 2,358 | 83 |
| Sabine | 22,155 | 15,036 | 3,861 | 94 | 2,723 | 441 | 17,064 | 12,054 | 2,655 | 66 | 1,970 | 319 | 13,570 | 10,287 | 1,912 | 1,371 |
| Union | 21,107 | 14,460 | 5,224 | 62 | 338 | 1,023 | 16,632 | 11,807 | 3,861 | 39 | 254 | 671 | 14,802 | 10,847 | 3,497 | 458 |
| Vernon | 48,750 | 35,087 | 7,611 | 1,442 | 1,600 | 3,010 | 36,261 | 26,765 | 5,133 | 1,074 | 1,160 | 2,129 | 22,409 | 18,129 | 2,608 | 1,672 |
| Webster | 36,967 | 22,735 | 12,679 | 208 | 687 | 658 | 28,753 | 18,144 | 9,464 | 154 | 558 | 433 | 21,259 | 14,068 | 6,744 | 447 |
| Winn | 13,755 | 8,594 | 3,727 | 210 | 263 | 961 | 10,906 | 6,932 | 2,695 | 170 | 207 | 902 | 8,262 | 5,916 | 2,218 | 128 |
| District 4 | 776,314 | 539,009 | 172,278 | 13,380 | 20,382 | 31,265 | 594,570 | 423,134 | 124,461 | 9,728 | 15,227 | 22,020 | 441,028 | 335,655 | 85,837 | 19,536 |
| | 100.000% | 69.432% | 22.192% | 1.724% | 2.625% | 4.027% | 100.000% | 71.166% | 20.933% | 1.636% | 2.561% | 3.704% | 74.176% | 76.107% | 19.463% | 4.430% |
| District 5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| *Ascension | 31,773 | 23,466 | 4,867 | 839 | 497 | 2,104 | 22,786 | 17,357 | 3,196 | 543 | 343 | 1,347 | 19,854 | 16,011 | 2,623 | 1,220 |
| Caldwell | 9,645 | 7,646 | 1,632 | 51 | 150 | 166 | 7,478 | 5,969 | 1,224 | 46 | 116 | 123 | 5,813 | 4,959 | 762 | 92 |
| Catahoula | 8,906 | 5,776 | 2,395 | 46 | 119 | 570 | 6,951 | 4,557 | 1,736 | 33 | 87 | 538 | 6,113 | 4,363 | 1,695 | 55 |
| Concordia | 18,687 | 10,275 | 7,725 | 122 | 233 | 332 | 14,217 | 8,108 | 5,613 | 100 | 167 | 229 | 11,419 | 6,816 | 4,418 | 185 |
| *East Baton Rouge | 172,199 | 119,876 | 31,907 | 8,088 | 2,420 | 9,908 | 138,993 | 99,727 | 23,872 | 6,216 | 1,935 | 7,243 | 104,631 | 81,782 | 15,706 | 7,143 |
| East Carroll | 7,459 | 2,054 | 5,272 | 29 | 43 | 61 | 5,901 | 1,773 | 4,043 | 19 | 27 | 39 | 4,564 | 1,218 | 3,305 | 41 |
| East Feliciana | 19,539 | 11,516 | 7,341 | 91 | 262 | 329 | 16,183 | 9,740 | 5,918 | 61 | 198 | 266 | 13,327 | 7,805 | 5,075 | 447 |


Splits


Plan: SLS 241ES-18 (Womack)

| | Total Population | Total White | Total Black | Total Asian | American Indian | Total Other | VAP Total | VAP White | VAP Black | VAP Asian | VAP American Indian | VAP Other | Reg Total Dec 2023 | Reg White Dec 2023 | Reg Black Dec 2023 | Reg Other Dec 2023 |
|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|---------------------|---------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| District 5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Franklin | 19,774 | 12,492 | 6,802 | 70 | 205 | 205 | 15,028 | 9,901 | 4,779 | 44 | 153 | 151 | 12,350 | 8,524 | 3,718 | 108 |
| La Salle | 14,791 | 11,348 | 1,422 | 283 | 372 | 1,366 | 11,563 | 8,636 | 1,065 | 264 | 271 | 1,327 | 8,380 | 7,633 | 583 | 164 |
| *Livingston | 128,972 | 105,579 | 11,520 | 1,613 | 2,852 | 7,408 | 94,772 | 79,483 | 7,332 | 1,053 | 2,104 | 4,800 | 73,766 | 65,923 | 4,974 | 2,869 |
| Madison | 10,017 | 3,475 | 6,363 | 20 | 59 | 100 | 7,435 | 2,906 | 4,391 | 9 | 48 | 81 | 7,068 | 2,439 | 4,518 | 111 |
| Morehouse | 25,629 | 12,281 | 12,484 | 160 | 370 | 334 | 20,062 | 10,095 | 9,300 | 117 | 279 | 271 | 15,440 | 7,806 | 7,377 | 257 |
| *Ouachita | 125,094 | 57,678 | 59,199 | 2,366 | 1,714 | 4,137 | 93,645 | 46,481 | 40,997 | 1,832 | 1,310 | 3,025 | 72,448 | 36,660 | 33,060 | 2,728 |
| Richland | 20,043 | 11,785 | 7,603 | 83 | 258 | 314 | 15,383 | 9,338 | 5,546 | 66 | 203 | 230 | 13,141 | 8,144 | 4,753 | 244 |
| St. Helena | 10,920 | 4,527 | 6,031 | 39 | 134 | 189 | 8,463 | 3,805 | 4,371 | 28 | 109 | 150 | 8,260 | 3,626 | 4,492 | 142 |
| *Tangipahoa | 78,140 | 41,836 | 30,854 | 783 | 1,237 | 3,430 | 59,521 | 33,957 | 21,686 | 612 | 935 | 2,331 | 34,249 | 22,443 | 10,704 | 1,102 |
| Tensas | 4,147 | 1,744 | 2,312 | 23 | 26 | 42 | 3,235 | 1,446 | 1,728 | 12 | 23 | 26 | 3,485 | 1,510 | 1,937 | 38 |
| Washington | 45,463 | 29,943 | 13,434 | 216 | 736 | 1,134 | 34,951 | 23,743 | 9,732 | 154 | 561 | 761 | 27,151 | 18,603 | 7,892 | 656 |
| West Carroll | 9,751 | 7,894 | 1,425 | 27 | 180 | 225 | 7,532 | 6,223 | 1,010 | 20 | 136 | 143 | 6,871 | 5,770 | 1,013 | 88 |
| West Feliciana | 15,310 | 10,883 | 3,740 | 89 | 225 | 373 | 12,783 | 9,283 | 2,951 | 56 | 174 | 319 | 7,492 | 5,186 | 2,160 | 146 |
| District 5 | 776,259 | 492,074 | 224,328 | 15,038 | 12,092 | 32,727 | 596,882 | 392,528 | 160,490 | 11,285 | 9,179 | 23,400 | 455,822 | 317,221 | 120,765 | 17,836 |
| | 100.000% | 63.390% | 28.899% | 1.937% | 1.558% | 4.216% | 100.000% | 65.763% | 26.888% | 1.891% | 1.538% | 3.920% | 76.367% | 69.593% | 26.494% | 3.913% |
| District 6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Avoyelles | 39,693 | 25,625 | 11,678 | 434 | 767 | 1,189 | 30,578 | 20,269 | 8,311 | 379 | 570 | 1,049 | 21,438 | 15,242 | 5,622 | 574 |
| *Caddo | 123,683 | 24,125 | 94,036 | 1,231 | 1,152 | 3,139 | 92,250 | 20,239 | 68,057 | 932 | 891 | 2,131 | 64,081 | 13,278 | 48,232 | 2,571 |
| *De Soto | 10,681 | 3,613 | 6,609 | 57 | 205 | 197 | 8,214 | 2,964 | 4,891 | 45 | 157 | 157 | 6,788 | 2,518 | 4,061 | 209 |
| *East Baton Rouge | 284,582 | 76,193 | 181,491 | 8,337 | 2,307 | 16,254 | 216,619 | 64,154 | 132,918 | 6,383 | 1,812 | 11,352 | 164,206 | 50,963 | 103,796 | 9,447 |
| *Lafayette | 61,342 | 21,514 | 35,873 | 494 | 545 | 2,916 | 46,240 | 17,689 | 25,965 | 350 | 358 | 1,878 | 36,884 | 14,039 | 21,247 | 1,598 |
| Natchitoches | 37,515 | 19,361 | 15,725 | 255 | 861 | 1,313 | 29,349 | 16,010 | 11,415 | 198 | 683 | 1,043 | 20,675 | 11,761 | 8,016 | 898 |
| Pointe Coupee | 20,758 | 12,395 | 7,504 | 107 | 159 | 593 | 16,250 | 10,108 | 5,502 | 91 | 119 | 430 | 14,107 | 9,040 | 4,837 | 230 |
| *Rapides | 88,261 | 46,688 | 36,018 | 1,238 | 1,949 | 2,368 | 66,591 | 36,941 | 25,518 | 915 | 1,467 | 1,750 | 50,154 | 29,602 | 18,561 | 1,991 |
| St. Landry | 82,540 | 43,611 | 35,836 | 499 | 636 | 1,958 | 61,811 | 34,209 | 25,497 | 353 | 451 | 1,301 | 52,429 | 28,933 | 22,135 | 1,361 |
| West Baton Rouge | 27,199 | 14,307 | 11,170 | 287 | 326 | 1,109 | 20,526 | 11,146 | 8,149 | 209 | 219 | 803 | 16,753 | 9,620 | 6,764 | 369 |
| District 6 | 776,254 | 287,432 | 435,940 | 12,939 | 8,907 | 31,036 | 588,428 | 233,729 | 316,223 | 9,855 | 6,727 | 21,894 | 447,515 | 184,996 | 243,271 | 19,248 |
| | 100.000% | 37.028% | 56.159% | 1.667% | 1.147% | 3.998% | 100.000% | 39.721% | 53.740% | 1.675% | 1.143% | 3.721% | 76.053% | 41.339% | 54.360% | 4.301% |














Congress - Livingston / Tangipahoa




















Exhibit N

2024 First Extraordinary Session

ACT NO. 2

ENROLLED

SENATE BILL NO. 8

BY SENATOR WOMACK AND REPRESENTATIVES BRYANT, WILFORD CARTER,
CHASSION, GREEN, MANDIE LANDRY, LARVADAIN, MOORE,
SELDERS, WALTERS, YOUNG AND KNOX

1

AN ACT

2

To enact R.S. 18:1276.1 and to repeal R.S. 18:1276, relative to congressional districts; to provide for the redistricting of Louisiana's congressional districts; to provide with respect to positions and offices, other than congressional, which are based upon congressional districts; to provide for the effectiveness; and to provide for related matters.

6

Be it enacted by the Legislature of Louisiana:

7

Section 1. R.S. 18:1276.1 is hereby enacted to read as follows:

8

§1276.1. Congressional districts

9

Louisiana shall be divided into six congressional districts, and the qualified electors of each district shall elect one representative to the United States House of Representatives. The districts shall be composed as follows:

10

(1) District 1 is composed of Precincts 13, 14, 15, 18, 21, 22, 25, 26, 27, 33, 34, 35, 41, 43 and 69 of Ascension Parish; Precincts 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 89, 90, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, 100, 101, 102, 103, 105, 106, 117, 118, 119, 120, 121, 122, 123, 124, 125A, 125B, 126, 127, 128, 129, 130, 132, 134, 136, 192, 198, 199, 246, 247, 248, 1-GI, 1-H, 2-H, 3-H, 4-H, 5-H, 6-H, 7-H, 8-H, 9-H, 1-K, 2-K, 3-K, 4-K, 5-K, 6-KA, 6-KB, 7-KA, 7-KB, 8-K, 9-K, 10-K, 11-K, 12-K, 13-KA, 14-K, 16-K, 17-K, 18-K, 19-K, 20-K, 25-K, 27-K, 28-K, 29-K, 34-K, 35-K and 1-L of Jefferson Parish; Precincts 3-3, 3-6, 4-1, 4-2, 4-3, 4-4, 4-5, 4-6, 7-4, 8-1, 9-1, 9-2, 10-1, 10-2, 10-3, 10-4, 10-6, 10-8, 10-9, 10-10,

SB NO. 8

ENROLLED

1 10-11, 10-12, 10-13, 10-14, 10-15, 10-16, 11-1, 11-2, 11-3 and 11-5 of Lafourche
2 Parish; Precincts 13A, 13B, 14, 15, 16, 17, 22, 31, 32 and 38 of Livingston
3 Parish; Precincts 4-7, 4-8, 4-9, 4-11, 4-14, 4-15, 4-17, 4-17A, 4-18, 4-20, 4-21,
4 4-22, 4-23, 5-12, 5-13, 5-15, 5-16, 5-17, 5-18, 6-9, 7-41, 7-42, 9-45, 9-45A, 11-4,
5 11-5, 11-8, 11-9, 11-10, 11-11, 12-5, 12-6, 12-7, 12-9, 12-10, 13-5, 13-7, 13-8, 14-1,
6 14-2, 14-3, 14-4, 14-5, 14-6, 14-7, 14-8, 14-9, 14-10, 14-11, 14-13A, 14-14, 14-15,
7 14-16, 14-17, 14-18A, 14-20, 14-21, 16-1, 16-1A, 17-1, 17-17, 17-18, 17-18A, 17-19
8 and 17-20 of Orleans Parish; Plaquemines Parish; Precincts 32, 33, 34, 41, 42A,
9 43, 44, 45, 46, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54 and 55 of St. Bernard Parish; Precincts 1-6, 2-6,
10 3-1, 3-2, 3-3, 5-5, 6-1, 6-2, 6-3, 6-4, 6-6 and 6-8 of St. Charles Parish; St.
11 Tammany Parish and Precincts 44, 49, 70, 70A, 71, 72, 72A, 73, 74, 120B, 122A,
12 122B, 122C, 124, 137, 137A, 137B, 137C, 137D, 139, 141, 141A, 143, 143A, 145,
13 147, 149, 149A and 151 of Tangipahoa Parish.

14 (2) District 2 is composed of Precincts 6, 7, 9, 11, 17, 20, 23, 24, 28, 30, 31,
15 32, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 42, 44, 45, 47, 48, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 57, 58, 62, 63, 65, 66,
16 68, 71, 72, 73, 77 and 78 of Ascension Parish; Assumption Parish; Iberville
17 Parish; Precincts 57, 104, 108, 115, 116, 131, 133, 138, 150, 151, 152, 153, 154,
18 155, 156, 157A, 157B, 170, 171, 172, 173, 174, 175, 176, 177, 178, 179A, 179B,
19 180, 181, 182, 183, 184, 185A, 185B, 187, 188, 189, 190, 191, 193A, 193B, 194A,
20 194B, 195, 196, 197A, 197B, 200, 201, 202, 203, 204, 205, 210, 211, 212, 213A,
21 213B, 213C, 214A, 214B, 215, 216A, 216B, 216C, 217, 225, 226, 227, 228, 229,
22 230, 231, 232A, 232B, 234, 235, 236, 237, 238A, 238B, 1-G, 2-G, 3-G, 4-G, 5-G,
23 6-G, 7-G, 8-G, 9-G, 10-G, 11-G, 12-G, 13-G, 13-KB, 15-K, 21-K, 22-K, 23-K,
24 24-K, 26-K, 30-K, 31-K, 33-K, 1-W, 2-W, 3-W, 4-W, 5-W, 6-W and 7-W of
25 Jefferson Parish; Precincts 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, 1-5, 1-6, 2-1, 2-1A, 2-3, 2-5, 2-7, 2-9,
26 2-10, 2-11, 2-16, 5-1, 5-1A and 5-3 of Lafourche Parish; Precincts 1-1, 1-2, 1-5,
27 1-6, 2-1, 2-2, 2-4, 2-6, 2-7, 3-1, 3-8, 3-9, 3-12, 3-14, 3-15, 3-18, 3-19, 3-20, 4-2, 4-3,
28 4-6, 5-1, 5-2, 5-3, 5-5, 5-7, 5-8, 5-9, 5-10, 5-11, 6-1, 6-2, 6-4, 6-6, 6-7, 6-8, 7-1, 7-2,
29 7-4, 7-5, 7-6, 7-7, 7-8, 7-9A, 7-10, 7-11, 7-12, 7-13, 7-14, 7-15, 7-16, 7-17, 7-18,
30 7-19, 7-20, 7-21, 7-23, 7-24, 7-25, 7-25A, 7-26, 7-27, 7-27B, 7-28, 7-28A, 7-29,

SB NO. 8

ENROLLED

1 7-30, 7-32, 7-33, 7-35, 7-37, 7-37A, 7-40, 8-1, 8-2, 8-4, 8-6, 8-7, 8-8, 8-9, 8-12,
2 8-13, 8-14, 8-15, 8-19, 8-20, 8-21, 8-22, 8-23, 8-24, 8-25, 8-26, 8-27, 8-28, 8-30, 9-1,
3 9-3, 9-4, 9-5, 9-6, 9-7, 9-8, 9-9, 9-10, 9-11, 9-12, 9-13, 9-14, 9-15, 9-16, 9-17, 9-19,
4 9-21, 9-23, 9-25, 9-26, 9-28, 9-28C, 9-29, 9-30A, 9-31, 9-31A, 9-31B, 9-31D,
5 9-32, 9-33, 9-34A, 9-35, 9-35A, 9-36, 9-36B, 9-37, 9-38, 9-38A, 9-39, 9-39B, 9-40,
6 9-40A, 9-40C, 9-41, 9-41A, 9-41B, 9-41C, 9-41D, 9-42, 9-42C, 9-43A, 9-43B,
7 9-43C, 9-43E, 9-43F, 9-43G, 9-43H, 9-43I, 9-43J, 9-43K, 9-43L, 9-43M, 9-43N,
8 9-44, 9-44A, 9-44B, 9-44D, 9-44E, 9-44F, 9-44G, 9-44I, 9-44J, 9-44L, 9-44M,
9 9-44N, 9-44O, 9-44P, 9-44Q, 10-3, 10-6, 10-7, 10-8, 10-9, 10-11, 10-12, 10-13,
10 10-14, 11-2, 11-3, 11-12, 11-13, 11-14, 11-17, 12-1, 12-2, 12-3, 12-4, 12-11, 12-12,
11 12-13, 12-14, 12-16, 12-17, 12-19, 13-1, 13-2, 13-3, 13-4, 13-6, 13-9, 13-10, 13-11,
12 13-12, 13-13, 13-14, 13-15, 13-16, 14-12, 14-19, 14-23, 14-24A, 14-25, 14-26, 15-1,
13 15-2, 15-3, 15-5, 15-6, 15-8, 15-9, 15-10, 15-11, 15-12, 15-12A, 15-13, 15-13A,
14 15-13B, 15-14, 15-14A, 15-14B, 15-14C, 15-14D, 15-14E, 15-14F, 15-14G, 15-15,
15 15-15A, 15-15B, 15-16, 15-17, 15-17A, 15-17B, 15-18, 15-18A, 15-18B, 15-18C,
16 15-18D, 15-18E, 15-18F, 15-19, 15-19A, 15-19B, 15-19C, 16-2, 16-3, 16-4, 16-5,
17 16-6, 16-7, 16-8, 16-9, 17-2, 17-3, 17-4, 17-5, 17-6, 17-7, 17-8, 17-9, 17-10, 17-11,
18 17-12, 17-13, 17-13A, 17-14, 17-15 and 17-16 of Orleans Parish; Precincts 10, 11,
19 12, 13, 14, 15, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 30, 31, 40 and 42 of St. Bernard Parish;
20 Precincts 1-1, 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, 1-5, 2-1, 2-3, 2-4, 2-5, 4-1, 4-2, 4-3, 4-4, 4-5, 5-1, 5-3,
21 5-4, 7-1, 7-2, 7-3, 7-4, 7-5 and 7-6 of St. Charles Parish; St. James Parish and St.
22 John the Baptist Parish.

23 (3) District 3 is composed of Acadia Parish; Precincts 167, 260, 261, 262,
24 300, 301, 302, 303, 304, 305, 306, 307, 308, 309E, 309W, 310, 311, 312, 313E,
25 313W, 314, 315E, 315W, 316E, 316W, 317, 318, 319N, 319S, 320E, 320W, 321,
26 322, 323, 324, 325, 326, 327, 328, 329, 330, 331, 332N, 332S, 333, 334, 335, 336,
27 337, 338, 339, 340, 360, 361, 362, 363, 364, 368, 369, 370, 372, 405, 440, 441, 463,
28 464, 467, 800, 801, 860S, 861E and 861W of Calcasieu Parish; Cameron Parish;
29 Iberia Parish; Jefferson Davis Parish; Precincts 1, 3, 8, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31,
30 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 65, 66, 67, 69, 70,

SB NO. 8

ENROLLED

1 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 89, 90, 91, 92, 93,
2 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, 100, 101, 102, 103, 104, 105, 106, 107, 108, 109, 110, 111,
3 114, 115, 116, 117, 118, 119, 120, 121, 123, 124, 125, 126, 127, 128, 130, 131, 133,
4 134, 135 and 136 of Lafayette Parish; Precincts 1-1, 2-2, 2-6, 2-8, 2-12, 2-13,
5 2-14, 2-15, 3-1, 3-2, 3-4, 3-5, 3-7, 5-2, 6-1, 6-2, 6-3, 6-4, 6-5, 7-1, 7-2, 7-3 and 11-4
6 of Lafourche Parish; St. Martin Parish; St. Mary Parish; Terrebonne Parish
7 and Vermilion Parish.

8 (4) District 4 is composed of Allen Parish; Beauregard Parish; Bienville
9 Parish; Bossier Parish; Precincts 1-1, 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, 1-5, 1-6, 1-7, 1-8, 1-9, 1-10,
10 1-11, 1-12, 1-13, 1-14, 2-1, 2-2, 2-4, 2-7, 3-1, 3-8, 4-1, 4-2, 4-3, 4-4, 4-5, 4-6, 4-7,
11 4-8, 4-9, 4-10, 5-10, 6-1, 7-1, 8-1, 8-2, 8-3, 8-4, 8-5, 8-6, 8-7, 8-8, 8-9, 9-1, 9-2, 9-3,
12 9-4, 9-5, 9-6, 9-7, 9-8, 9-9, 9-10, 9-11, 9-12, 9-13, 10-2, 11-1, 11-2, 11-3, 11-6, 11-7,
13 11-9, 11-10, 12-1, 12-3, 12-7, 12-8 and 12-9 of Caddo Parish; Precincts 160E,
14 160W, 161, 162E, 162W, 163, 164, 165, 166E, 166W, 365, 366, 367, 371N, 371S,
15 400, 401, 402, 403, 404, 406, 407, 408, 460E, 460W, 461, 465, 466E, 466W, 468,
16 469, 560, 561, 562, 600, 601, 602, 603, 660, 661, 662, 663, 664, 700, 701, 702, 703,
17 760, 761, 762 and 860N of Calcasieu Parish; Claiborne Parish; Precincts 10, 11,
18 11B, 11C, 16, 16A, 16B, 16C, 23, 28, 30A, 31A, 34, 34A, 34B, 35, 35A, 35B, 37,
19 37C, 46, 46A, 48, 49, 49A and 51 of De Soto Parish; Evangeline Parish; Grant
20 Parish; Jackson Parish; Lincoln Parish; Precincts 1, 1A, 2, 4, 25, 32, 33, 38, 41,
21 43, 44, 44A, 45, 49, 50, 51, 51A, 53, 55, 57, 58, 61, 64, 71, 75, 76 and 77 of
22 Ouachita Parish; Precincts C22, C23, C35, C37-A, C37-B, C41, S7, S8, S9, S10,
23 S11, S13, S14, S21, S22, S23, S24, S25, S26, S27, S28 and S29 of Rapides Parish;
24 Red River Parish; Sabine Parish; Union Parish; Vernon Parish; Webster Parish
25 and Winn Parish.

26 (5) District 5 is composed of Precincts 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 8, 10, 12, 16, 19, 61, 64
27 and 76 of Ascension Parish; Precincts 1-1, 1-2, 1-3, 1-3A, 2-1, 2-1A, 2-2, 2-2A,
28 2-2B, 2-2C, 2-2D, 2-2F, 2-3A, 2-4, 2-4A, 2-5, 2-5E, 2-7, 2-8, 3-1B, 4-1, 4-2, 5-1,
29 5-1A, 5-1B, 6-1A, 6-2, 6-2A, 7-3B and 9-4B of Avoyelles Parish; Caldwell Parish;
30 Catahoula Parish; Concordia Parish; Precincts 1-12, 1-34, 1-41, 1-42, 1-43, 1-44,

SB NO. 8

ENROLLED

1 1-46, 1-47, 1-49, 1-56, 1-69, 1-74, 1-75, 1-76, 1-79, 1-80, 1-99, 1-105, 1-107, 2-6,
2 2-7, 2-8, 2-33, 3-1, 3-2, 3-3, 3-4, 3-5, 3-6, 3-7, 3-9, 3-13, 3-14, 3-15, 3-16, 3-17,
3 3-18, 3-21, 3-22, 3-23, 3-25, 3-26, 3-29, 3-30, 3-31, 3-33, 3-34, 3-35, 3-36, 3-37,
4 3-38, 3-39, 3-40, 3-41, 3-43, 3-45, 3-46, 3-47, 3-48, 3-49, 3-51, 3-53, 3-58, 3-60,
5 3-61, 3-62, 3-64, 3-65, 3-66, 3-67, 3-68, 3-71, 3-73 and 3-74 of East Baton Rouge
6 Parish; East Carroll Parish; East Feliciana Parish; Franklin Parish; La Salle
7 Parish; Precincts 1, 1A, 1B, 1C, 1D, 2, 2A, 3, 3A, 3B, 4, 4A, 4B, 5, 5A, 5B, 5D, 6,
8 6A, 6B, 7, 7A, 7B, 7C, 7D, 8A, 8B, 9, 10, 11, 11A, 12, 18, 18A, 19, 19A, 20, 21,
9 21A, 21B, 23, 23A, 23B, 23C, 24, 24B, 24C, 24D, 25, 26, 26A, 26B, 26C, 27, 28,
10 29, 30, 33, 34, 35, 35A, 36, 36A, 39, 39A, 39B, 40, 40A, 41 and 43 of Livingston
11 Parish; Madison Parish; Morehouse Parish; Precincts 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 9A, 10, 11,
12 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 26, 27, 28, 30, 31, 34, 35, 36, 37, 39,
13 40, 42, 46, 47, 48, 52, 52A, 54, 56, 56A, 59, 60, 62, 63, 65, 65A, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70,
14 72, 73, 74, 78 and 79 of Ouachita Parish; Richland Parish; St. Helena Parish;
15 Precincts 2, 6, 11, 15, 16, 17, 28, 33, 40A, 41, 42, 43, 45A, 45B, 46, 47, 101, 102,
16 104, 105, 106, 106A, 107, 108, 109, 110, 111A, 112, 114, 115B, 116, 117, 118,
17 118A, 119, 120, 120A, 121, 121A, 123, 125, 127, 129A, 133 and 133A of
18 Tangipahoa Parish; Tensas Parish; Washington Parish; West Carroll Parish
19 and West Feliciana Parish.

20 (6) District 6 is composed of Precincts 3-1, 3-3, 4-2A, 4-2B, 6-1B, 7-1, 7-3,
21 8-1, 8-2A, 8-2B, 8-3, 8-3A, 9-1A, 9-2, 9-2A, 9-3, 9-4, 9-5B, 10-2, 10-2A, 10-2B,
22 10-3A, 10-3B, 10-4, 11-1 and 11-2A of Avoyelles Parish; Precincts 2-3, 2-5, 2-6,
23 2-8, 2-9, 2-10, 2-11, 2-12, 3-2, 3-3, 3-4, 3-5, 3-6, 3-7, 3-9, 5-1, 5-2, 5-3, 5-4, 5-5, 5-6,
24 5-7, 5-8, 5-9, 5-11, 6-2, 6-3, 6-4, 6-5, 6-6, 6-7, 6-8, 6-9, 6-10, 7-2, 7-3, 7-4, 7-5, 7-6,
25 7-7, 7-8, 7-9, 7-10, 10-1, 10-3, 10-4, 10-5, 10-6, 10-7, 10-8, 10-9, 11-4, 11-5, 11-8,
26 12-2, 12-4, 12-5, 12-6, 12-10 and 12-11 of Caddo Parish; Precincts 1, 4, 5, 5A, 6,
27 6A, 6B, 9, 21, 22, 22A, 26, 26A, 30, 31, 32, 33, 33A, 38, 38A, 42, 44, 46B, 53, 55,
28 56, 59, 60, 60A, 63 and 63A of De Soto Parish; Precincts 1-1, 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, 1-5,
29 1-6, 1-7, 1-8, 1-9, 1-10, 1-11, 1-13, 1-14, 1-15, 1-16, 1-17, 1-18, 1-19, 1-20, 1-21,
30 1-22, 1-23, 1-24, 1-25, 1-26, 1-27, 1-28, 1-29, 1-30, 1-31, 1-32, 1-33, 1-35, 1-36,

SB NO. 8

ENROLLED

1 1-37, 1-38, 1-39, 1-40, 1-45, 1-48, 1-50, 1-51, 1-52, 1-53, 1-54, 1-55, 1-57, 1-58,
2 1-59, 1-60, 1-61, 1-62, 1-63, 1-64, 1-65, 1-66, 1-67, 1-68, 1-70, 1-71, 1-72, 1-73,
3 1-77, 1-78, 1-81, 1-82, 1-83, 1-84, 1-85, 1-86, 1-87, 1-88, 1-89, 1-90, 1-91, 1-92,
4 1-93, 1-94, 1-95, 1-96, 1-97, 1-98, 1-100, 1-101, 1-102, 1-103, 1-104, 2-1, 2-2, 2-3,
5 2-4, 2-5, 2-9, 2-10, 2-11, 2-12, 2-13, 2-14, 2-15, 2-16, 2-17, 2-18, 2-19, 2-20, 2-21,
6 2-22, 2-23, 2-24, 2-25, 2-26, 2-27, 2-28, 2-29, 2-30, 2-31, 2-32, 2-34, 2-35, 2-36,
7 2-37, 2-38, 3-8, 3-10, 3-11, 3-12, 3-19, 3-20, 3-24, 3-27, 3-28, 3-32, 3-42, 3-44, 3-50,
8 3-52, 3-54, 3-55, 3-56, 3-57, 3-59, 3-63, 3-69, 3-70, 3-72, 3-75 and 3-76 of East
9 Baton Rouge Parish; Precincts 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19,
10 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 63, 64, 68, 112, 113,
11 122 and 129 of Lafayette Parish; Natchitoches Parish; Pointe Coupee Parish;
12 Precincts C1, C2, C3, C4, C5, C6, C7, C8, C9, C10, C11-A, C11-B, C13, C14,
13 C15, C17, C18, C19, C20, C21, C24, C25, C26, C27, C28, C30, C31, C32, C33,
14 C34, C36, C38-A, C38-B, C39, C40, C42, N1, N2, N3, N4, N5, N6, N7, N8, N9,
15 N10, N11, N12, N13-A, N13-B, N14-A, N14-B, N15, N16, N17, N18-A, N18-B,
16 N19, N20, N21, N22, N23, N24, N25, N26, N27, N28, N29, S1, S2, S4, S5, S6A,
17 S6B, S15, S16, S17, S18, S19 and S20 of Rapides Parish; St. Landry Parish and
18 West Baton Rouge Parish.

19 Section 2. R.S. 18:1276 is hereby repealed.

20 Section 3.(A) The precincts referenced in this Act are those contained in the file
21 named "2024 Precinct Shapefiles (1-10-2024)" available on the website of the Legislature
22 of Louisiana on the effective date of this Section. The 2024 Precinct Shapefiles are based
23 upon those Voting Districts (VTDs) contained in the 2020 Census Redistricting TIGER/Line
24 Shapefiles for the State of Louisiana as those files have been modified and validated through
25 the data verification program of the Louisiana House of Representatives and the Louisiana
26 Senate to represent precinct changes submitted through January 10, 2024, to the Legislature
27 of Louisiana by parish governing authorities pursuant to the provisions of R.S. 18:532 and
28 532.1.

29 (B) When a precinct referenced in this Act has been subdivided by action of the
30 parish governing authority on a nongeographic basis or subdivided by action of the parish

SB NO. 8

ENROLLED

1 governing authority on a geographic basis in accordance with the provisions of R.S.
2 18:532.1, the enumeration in this Act of the general precinct designation shall include all
3 nongeographic and all geographic subdivisions thereof, however such subdivisions may be
4 designated.

5 (C) The territorial limits of the districts as provided in this Act shall continue in
6 effect until changed by law regardless of any subsequent change made to the precincts by
7 the parish governing authority.

8 Section 4. The provisions of this Act shall not reduce the term of office of any person
9 holding any position or office on the effective date of this Section for which the appointment
10 or election is based upon a congressional district as composed pursuant to R.S. 18:1276. Any
11 position or office that is filled by appointment or election based upon a congressional district
12 and that is to be filled after January 3, 2025, shall be appointed or elected from a district as
13 it is described in Section 1 of this Act.

14 Section 5.(A) Solely for the purposes of qualifying for election and the conduct of
15 the election of representatives to the United States Congress at the regularly scheduled
16 election for representatives to the congress in 2024, the provisions of Section 1 of this Act
17 shall become effective upon signature of this Act by the governor or, if not signed by the
18 governor, upon expiration of the time for bills to become law without signature by the
19 governor, as provided in Article III, Section 18 of the Constitution of Louisiana. If this Act
20 is vetoed by the governor and subsequently approved by the legislature, the provisions of
21 Section 1 of this Act shall become effective on the day following such approval for the
22 purposes established in this Subsection.

23 (B) For subsequent elections of representatives to the United States Congress and for
24 all other purposes, the provisions of Section 1 of this Act shall become effective at noon on
25 January 3, 2025.

26 (C) The provisions of Section 2 of this Act shall become effective at noon on January
27 3, 2025.

28 (D) The provisions of this Section and Sections 3 and 4 of this Act shall become
29 effective upon signature of this Act by the governor or, if not signed by the governor, upon
30 expiration of the time for bills to become law without signature by the governor, as provided

SB NO. 8

ENROLLED

1 in Article III, Section 18 of the Constitution of Louisiana. If this Act is vetoed by the
2 governor and subsequently approved by the legislature, the provisions of this Section and
3 Sections 3 and 4 of this Act shall become effective on the day following such approval.

PRESIDENT OF THE SENATE

SPEAKER OF THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

GOVERNOR OF THE STATE OF LOUISIANA

APPROVED: _____

Exhibit O

Gov. Jeff Landry's First Special Session Concludes | Office of Governor Jeff Landry

JEFF LANDRY

(1)

OFFICE of the GOVERNOR**Gov. Jeff Landry's First Special Session Concludes**

January 19, 2024

Baton Rouge, LA - Today, Governor Jeff Landry marked the close of the special legislative session on court-ordered congressional redistricting.

Watch Governor Landry's remarks here (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UX7pHGiH9po>)

"Today is an exciting day! The outcome of this special session is a win for the people of Louisiana. We started the process of necessary structural change to our election system, allowing for a cleaner and simpler final ballot, and we took the pen out of the hand of a non-elected judge and placed it in the hands of the people. I applaud those legislators who worked hard to pass these bills, and I look forward to moving on to our top priority—the upcoming crime special session," said Governor Jeff Landry.

#

CONNECT with the GOVERNOR

[EMAIL the
GOVERNOR](#)
[REQUEST of
the GOVERNOR](#)
[APPLY to
SERVE](#)


(<https://twitter.com/LAGovJeffLandry>) (<https://www.facebook.com/GovJeffLandry>) (<https://www.instagram.com/govjefflandry>)

Exhibit P

Act 2 (SB2) 1st ES (2024) - Congressional Districts




Exhibit Q

District Summary with Parishes

Plan: Act 2 of the 2024 1st E.S. (Congress SB8)

Page: 1 of 3

| | Total Population | Total White | Total Black | Total Asian | Total Other | Total Hispanic | VAP Total | VAP White | VAP Black | VAP Hispanic Total | Reg Total Dec 2023 | Reg White Dec 2023 | Reg Black Dec 2023 | Reg Other Dec 2023 | Reg Dem Total Dec 2023 | Reg Rep Total Dec 2023 | Reg Other Total Dec 2023 |
|----------------------|------------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| District 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| *Ascension | 27,718 | 23,228 | 2,058 | 201 | 1,709 | 1,982 | 20,611 | 17,693 | 1,304 | 1,270 | 17,243 | 15,672 | 954 | 617 | 4,242 | 7,837 | 5,164 |
| *Jefferson | 240,081 | 155,518 | 30,822 | 11,880 | 37,505 | 46,887 | 192,148 | 129,999 | 22,555 | 33,607 | 144,399 | 112,491 | 12,528 | 19,380 | 38,705 | 60,529 | 45,165 |
| *Lafourche | 47,193 | 37,212 | 3,189 | 577 | 2,973 | 3,612 | 35,543 | 29,123 | 1,939 | 2,282 | 25,117 | 22,442 | 1,115 | 1,560 | 6,774 | 11,140 | 7,203 |
| *Livingston | 13,310 | 11,276 | 1,138 | 84 | 553 | 543 | 10,369 | 8,949 | 804 | 336 | 8,639 | 7,732 | 668 | 239 | 2,097 | 4,133 | 2,409 |
| *Orleans | 64,493 | 50,312 | 6,498 | 2,503 | 4,431 | 7,264 | 53,843 | 42,329 | 5,556 | 6,060 | 41,535 | 34,071 | 3,239 | 4,225 | 16,969 | 11,220 | 13,346 |
| Plaquemines | 23,515 | 14,287 | 5,428 | 1,317 | 1,786 | 2,236 | 17,334 | 10,856 | 3,857 | 1,377 | 13,143 | 8,996 | 2,934 | 1,213 | 4,601 | 4,964 | 3,578 |
| *St. Bernard | 20,543 | 11,907 | 5,780 | 617 | 1,803 | 2,466 | 14,871 | 8,992 | 3,854 | 1,697 | 12,975 | 8,866 | 3,231 | 878 | 5,210 | 3,529 | 4,236 |
| *St. Charles | 19,887 | 13,870 | 3,607 | 347 | 1,707 | 2,063 | 14,990 | 10,865 | 2,485 | 1,367 | 12,791 | 9,837 | 2,063 | 891 | 3,618 | 5,495 | 3,678 |
| St. Tammany | 264,570 | 196,641 | 38,643 | 5,774 | 17,852 | 20,844 | 202,228 | 154,621 | 26,761 | 14,310 | 174,307 | 141,262 | 21,129 | 11,916 | 38,066 | 82,670 | 53,571 |
| *Tangipahoa | 55,017 | 39,500 | 11,025 | 691 | 2,584 | 3,071 | 41,970 | 31,248 | 7,531 | 2,059 | 29,037 | 23,729 | 4,108 | 1,200 | 6,971 | 13,734 | 8,332 |
| District 1 | 776,327 | 553,751 | 108,188 | 23,991 | 72,903 | 90,968 | 603,907 | 444,675 | 76,646 | 64,365 | 479,186 | 385,098 | 51,969 | 42,119 | 127,253 | 205,251 | 146,682 |
| | 100.000% | 71.330% | 13.936% | 3.090% | 9.391% | 11.718% | 100.000% | 73.633% | 12.692% | 10.658% | 79.348% | 80.365% | 10.845% | 8.790% | 26.556% | 42.833% | 30.611% |
| District 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| *Ascension | 67,009 | 34,447 | 25,291 | 1,260 | 5,026 | 5,992 | 48,560 | 26,086 | 17,639 | 3,904 | 41,549 | 23,859 | 15,251 | 2,439 | 16,516 | 14,009 | 11,024 |
| Assumption | 21,039 | 13,722 | 6,220 | 96 | 743 | 914 | 16,616 | 11,145 | 4,707 | 631 | 13,323 | 8,977 | 4,131 | 215 | 6,706 | 3,918 | 2,699 |
| Iberville | 30,241 | 14,833 | 13,730 | 202 | 1,202 | 1,418 | 24,086 | 12,462 | 10,232 | 1,187 | 19,906 | 9,999 | 9,484 | 423 | 11,341 | 4,476 | 4,089 |
| *Jefferson | 200,700 | 65,417 | 95,395 | 11,144 | 25,414 | 32,170 | 152,506 | 54,136 | 69,620 | 21,877 | 109,034 | 40,445 | 53,674 | 14,915 | 55,583 | 20,032 | 33,419 |
| *Lafourche | 19,271 | 10,678 | 7,472 | 188 | 641 | 802 | 14,620 | 8,657 | 5,185 | 563 | 10,440 | 6,675 | 3,412 | 353 | 3,994 | 3,422 | 3,024 |
| *Orleans | 319,504 | 76,150 | 212,471 | 10,353 | 17,613 | 23,753 | 252,353 | 67,923 | 160,512 | 17,096 | 196,855 | 52,054 | 127,351 | 17,450 | 135,375 | 11,960 | 49,520 |
| *St. Bernard | 23,221 | 12,590 | 6,529 | 764 | 2,827 | 3,544 | 16,904 | 10,000 | 4,090 | 2,331 | 12,710 | 9,178 | 2,362 | 1,170 | 4,604 | 3,450 | 4,656 |
| *St. Charles | 32,662 | 19,680 | 10,321 | 490 | 1,602 | 2,078 | 24,551 | 15,289 | 7,405 | 1,370 | 20,791 | 13,574 | 6,207 | 1,010 | 7,844 | 7,494 | 5,453 |
| St. James | 20,192 | 9,973 | 9,762 | 60 | 315 | 343 | 15,505 | 7,883 | 7,297 | 237 | 14,531 | 7,116 | 7,196 | 219 | 8,732 | 3,364 | 2,435 |
| St. John the Baptist | 42,477 | 13,877 | 25,196 | 403 | 2,536 | 3,291 | 32,503 | 11,622 | 18,437 | 2,210 | 27,484 | 9,338 | 16,653 | 1,493 | 16,451 | 4,427 | 6,606 |
| District 2 | 776,316 | 271,367 | 412,387 | 24,960 | 57,919 | 74,305 | 598,204 | 225,203 | 305,124 | 51,406 | 466,623 | 181,215 | 245,721 | 39,687 | 267,146 | 76,552 | 122,925 |
| | 100.000% | 34.956% | 53.121% | 3.215% | 7.461% | 9.571% | 100.000% | 37.647% | 51.007% | 8.593% | 78.004% | 38.835% | 52.659% | 8.505% | 57.251% | 16.406% | 26.344% |
| District 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Acadia | 57,576 | 44,480 | 10,864 | 238 | 1,421 | 1,641 | 42,943 | 34,071 | 7,383 | 1,026 | 36,151 | 29,438 | 5,995 | 718 | 11,965 | 15,645 | 8,541 |
| *Calcasieu | 131,299 | 69,747 | 50,290 | 3,564 | 5,934 | 7,180 | 99,893 | 55,812 | 35,987 | 4,860 | 65,841 | 39,808 | 22,822 | 3,211 | 26,412 | 20,997 | 18,432 |
| Cameron | 5,617 | 5,232 | 125 | 30 | 155 | 197 | 4,358 | 4,100 | 79 | 130 | 4,072 | 3,936 | 61 | 75 | 1,044 | 2,009 | 1,019 |
| Iberia | 69,929 | 39,206 | 24,556 | 2,123 | 3,250 | 3,897 | 52,791 | 31,295 | 17,069 | 2,657 | 42,188 | 26,848 | 13,441 | 1,899 | 15,505 | 15,542 | 11,141 |
| Jefferson Davis | 32,250 | 25,066 | 5,837 | 183 | 692 | 734 | 24,039 | 19,121 | 4,006 | 489 | 18,733 | 15,509 | 2,784 | 440 | 5,818 | 7,766 | 5,149 |
| *Lafayette | 180,411 | 131,849 | 29,263 | 5,960 | 10,674 | 12,528 | 137,635 | 103,919 | 19,952 | 8,666 | 111,925 | 91,759 | 13,498 | 6,668 | 25,819 | 53,386 | 32,720 |
| *Lafourche | 31,093 | 23,820 | 5,194 | 260 | 1,129 | 1,258 | 24,456 | 19,058 | 3,953 | 898 | 18,681 | 16,364 | 1,750 | 567 | 5,251 | 8,542 | 4,888 |
| St. Martin | 51,767 | 33,259 | 15,921 | 597 | 1,451 | 1,679 | 39,404 | 26,278 | 11,293 | 1,144 | 33,997 | 23,306 | 9,880 | 811 | 13,258 | 12,431 | 8,308 |
| St. Mary | 49,406 | 26,949 | 15,991 | 835 | 3,961 | 4,524 | 37,521 | 21,594 | 11,520 | 2,954 | 29,204 | 17,999 | 9,570 | 1,635 | 10,956 | 9,904 | 8,344 |

District Summary with Parishes

Plan: Act 2 of the 2024 1st E.S. (Congress SB8)

Page: 2 of 3

| | Total Population | Total White | Total Black | Total Asian | Total Other | Total Hispanic | VAP Total | VAP White | VAP Black | VAP Hispanic Total | Reg Total Dec 2023 | Reg White Dec 2023 | Reg Black Dec 2023 | Reg Other Dec 2023 | Reg Dem Total Dec 2023 | Reg Rep Total Dec 2023 | Reg Other Total Dec 2023 |
|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| District 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Terrebonne | 109,580 | 69,934 | 23,147 | 1,743 | 6,119 | 7,358 | 82,505 | 55,631 | 15,796 | 4,701 | 55,810 | 41,601 | 9,910 | 4,299 | 15,299 | 24,064 | 16,447 |
| Vermilion | 57,359 | 44,477 | 8,810 | 1,447 | 2,002 | 2,296 | 43,012 | 34,363 | 5,787 | 1,496 | 35,511 | 29,693 | 4,555 | 1,263 | 11,154 | 14,736 | 9,621 |
| District 3 | 776,287 | 514,019 | 189,998 | 16,980 | 36,788 | 43,292 | 588,557 | 405,242 | 132,825 | 29,021 | 452,113 | 336,261 | 94,266 | 21,586 | 142,481 | 185,022 | 124,610 |
| | 100.000% | 66.215% | 24.475% | 2.187% | 4.739% | 5.577% | 100.000% | 68.853% | 22.568% | 4.931% | 76.817% | 74.375% | 20.850% | 4.774% | 31.514% | 40.924% | 27.562% |
| District 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Allen | 22,750 | 16,327 | 4,490 | 246 | 740 | 1,893 | 17,510 | 12,751 | 3,275 | 1,755 | 11,079 | 8,704 | 1,920 | 455 | 3,978 | 4,586 | 2,515 |
| Beauregard | 36,549 | 29,529 | 4,649 | 402 | 917 | 1,271 | 27,489 | 22,304 | 3,495 | 828 | 22,071 | 18,639 | 2,264 | 1,168 | 4,725 | 10,715 | 6,631 |
| Bienville | 12,981 | 6,950 | 5,600 | 57 | 167 | 211 | 10,073 | 5,486 | 4,284 | 141 | 8,336 | 4,509 | 3,728 | 99 | 3,947 | 2,751 | 1,638 |
| Bossier | 128,746 | 81,052 | 32,551 | 3,492 | 8,378 | 10,237 | 95,876 | 62,931 | 22,440 | 6,619 | 65,726 | 48,229 | 13,555 | 3,942 | 16,152 | 31,254 | 18,320 |
| *Caddo | 115,441 | 81,078 | 24,210 | 3,063 | 4,410 | 4,919 | 90,776 | 65,789 | 17,575 | 3,298 | 69,121 | 52,696 | 12,684 | 3,741 | 19,302 | 31,373 | 18,446 |
| *Calcasieu | 85,486 | 70,025 | 9,096 | 1,138 | 3,455 | 4,204 | 63,273 | 52,977 | 5,911 | 2,710 | 45,978 | 40,556 | 3,671 | 1,751 | 10,475 | 21,830 | 13,673 |
| Claiborne | 14,170 | 7,263 | 6,360 | 88 | 274 | 479 | 11,507 | 6,258 | 4,824 | 403 | 8,390 | 4,557 | 3,677 | 156 | 3,468 | 2,953 | 1,969 |
| *De Soto | 11,787 | 8,939 | 2,074 | 35 | 362 | 358 | 8,971 | 6,910 | 1,554 | 223 | 8,699 | 6,940 | 1,476 | 283 | 2,151 | 4,459 | 2,089 |
| Evangeline | 32,350 | 21,354 | 9,235 | 241 | 1,240 | 1,336 | 24,408 | 16,460 | 6,483 | 1,111 | 20,388 | 14,274 | 5,744 | 370 | 8,778 | 7,364 | 4,246 |
| Grant | 22,169 | 17,709 | 3,335 | 133 | 348 | 1,333 | 17,527 | 13,964 | 2,717 | 1,179 | 12,226 | 10,764 | 1,120 | 342 | 2,824 | 6,036 | 3,366 |
| Jackson | 15,031 | 9,967 | 4,166 | 175 | 468 | 468 | 11,783 | 7,967 | 3,125 | 372 | 9,375 | 6,570 | 2,610 | 195 | 3,302 | 4,022 | 2,051 |
| Lincoln | 48,396 | 26,034 | 19,364 | 892 | 1,444 | 1,754 | 38,655 | 21,306 | 15,119 | 1,187 | 24,408 | 15,139 | 8,357 | 912 | 8,622 | 9,457 | 6,329 |
| *Ouachita | 55,373 | 45,898 | 5,641 | 1,121 | 1,488 | 1,529 | 41,613 | 34,950 | 3,864 | 999 | 36,532 | 32,374 | 2,853 | 1,305 | 6,129 | 21,181 | 9,222 |
| *Rapides | 24,719 | 19,507 | 2,233 | 699 | 1,451 | 1,719 | 18,855 | 15,256 | 1,530 | 1,084 | 15,222 | 13,127 | 1,240 | 855 | 3,564 | 7,730 | 3,928 |
| Red River | 7,620 | 4,195 | 3,106 | 25 | 123 | 188 | 5,714 | 3,338 | 2,164 | 113 | 5,475 | 3,034 | 2,358 | 83 | 2,624 | 1,789 | 1,062 |
| Sabine | 22,155 | 15,036 | 3,861 | 94 | 441 | 710 | 17,064 | 12,054 | 2,655 | 502 | 13,570 | 10,287 | 1,912 | 1,371 | 3,894 | 6,441 | 3,235 |
| Union | 21,107 | 14,460 | 5,224 | 62 | 1,023 | 1,135 | 16,632 | 11,807 | 3,861 | 709 | 14,802 | 10,847 | 3,497 | 458 | 4,643 | 6,759 | 3,400 |
| Vernon | 48,750 | 35,087 | 7,611 | 1,442 | 3,010 | 4,175 | 36,261 | 26,765 | 5,133 | 2,740 | 22,409 | 18,129 | 2,608 | 1,672 | 5,398 | 10,320 | 6,691 |
| Webster | 36,967 | 22,735 | 12,679 | 208 | 658 | 688 | 28,753 | 18,144 | 9,464 | 434 | 21,259 | 14,068 | 6,744 | 447 | 7,781 | 8,369 | 5,109 |
| Winn | 13,755 | 8,594 | 3,727 | 210 | 961 | 1,023 | 10,906 | 6,932 | 2,695 | 941 | 8,262 | 5,916 | 2,218 | 128 | 2,865 | 3,175 | 2,222 |
| District 4 | 776,302 | 541,739 | 169,212 | 13,823 | 31,358 | 39,630 | 593,646 | 424,349 | 122,168 | 27,348 | 443,328 | 339,359 | 84,236 | 19,733 | 124,622 | 202,564 | 116,142 |
| | 100.000% | 69.785% | 21.797% | 1.781% | 4.039% | 5.105% | 100.000% | 71.482% | 20.579% | 4.607% | 74.679% | 76.548% | 19.001% | 4.451% | 28.111% | 45.692% | 26.198% |
| District 5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| *Ascension | 31,773 | 23,466 | 4,867 | 839 | 2,104 | 2,409 | 22,786 | 17,357 | 3,196 | 1,487 | 19,854 | 16,011 | 2,623 | 1,220 | 4,371 | 9,557 | 5,926 |
| *Avoyelles | 20,125 | 14,889 | 4,417 | 132 | 290 | 313 | 15,393 | 11,696 | 3,076 | 225 | 11,431 | 8,976 | 2,117 | 338 | 4,518 | 4,148 | 2,765 |
| Caldwell | 9,645 | 7,646 | 1,632 | 51 | 166 | 221 | 7,478 | 5,969 | 1,224 | 163 | 5,813 | 4,959 | 762 | 92 | 1,567 | 2,846 | 1,400 |
| Catahoula | 8,906 | 5,776 | 2,395 | 46 | 570 | 614 | 6,951 | 4,557 | 1,736 | 558 | 6,113 | 4,363 | 1,695 | 55 | 2,411 | 2,397 | 1,305 |
| Concordia | 18,687 | 10,275 | 7,725 | 122 | 332 | 459 | 14,217 | 8,108 | 5,613 | 310 | 11,419 | 6,816 | 4,418 | 185 | 5,014 | 3,907 | 2,498 |
| *East Baton Rouge | 172,199 | 119,876 | 31,907 | 8,088 | 9,908 | 11,764 | 138,993 | 99,727 | 23,872 | 8,540 | 104,631 | 81,782 | 15,706 | 7,143 | 28,256 | 47,203 | 29,172 |
| East Carroll | 7,459 | 2,054 | 5,272 | 29 | 61 | 115 | 5,901 | 1,773 | 4,043 | 80 | 4,564 | 1,218 | 3,305 | 41 | 2,963 | 746 | 855 |

District Summary with Parishes

Plan: Act 2 of the 2024 1st E.S. (Congress SB8)

Page: 3 of 3

| | Total Population | Total White | Total Black | Total Asian | Total Other | Total Hispanic | VAP Total | VAP White | VAP Black | VAP Hispanic Total | Reg Total Dec 2023 | Reg White Dec 2023 | Reg Black Dec 2023 | Reg Other Dec 2023 | Reg Dem Total Dec 2023 | Reg Rep Total Dec 2023 | Reg Other Total Dec 2023 |
|--------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| District 5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| East Feliciana | 19,539 | 11,516 | 7,341 | 91 | 329 | 391 | 16,183 | 9,740 | 5,918 | 317 | 13,327 | 7,805 | 5,075 | 447 | 5,718 | 4,587 | 3,022 |
| Franklin | 19,774 | 12,492 | 6,802 | 70 | 205 | 276 | 15,028 | 9,901 | 4,779 | 183 | 12,350 | 8,524 | 3,718 | 108 | 4,501 | 5,318 | 2,531 |
| La Salle | 14,791 | 11,348 | 1,422 | 283 | 1,366 | 1,402 | 11,563 | 8,636 | 1,065 | 1,325 | 8,380 | 7,633 | 583 | 164 | 1,788 | 4,768 | 1,824 |
| *Livingston | 128,972 | 105,579 | 11,520 | 1,613 | 7,408 | 8,248 | 94,772 | 79,483 | 7,332 | 5,054 | 73,766 | 65,923 | 4,974 | 2,869 | 13,787 | 38,460 | 21,519 |
| Madison | 10,017 | 3,475 | 6,363 | 20 | 100 | 204 | 7,435 | 2,906 | 4,391 | 149 | 7,068 | 2,439 | 4,518 | 111 | 3,942 | 1,523 | 1,603 |
| Morehouse | 25,629 | 12,281 | 12,484 | 160 | 334 | 381 | 20,062 | 10,095 | 9,300 | 292 | 15,440 | 7,806 | 7,377 | 257 | 7,040 | 4,963 | 3,437 |
| *Ouachita | 104,995 | 42,647 | 55,576 | 1,667 | 3,669 | 4,129 | 78,587 | 35,024 | 38,426 | 2,947 | 59,098 | 25,775 | 31,168 | 2,155 | 28,272 | 15,621 | 15,205 |
| Richland | 20,043 | 11,785 | 7,603 | 83 | 314 | 400 | 15,383 | 9,338 | 5,546 | 293 | 13,141 | 8,144 | 4,753 | 244 | 4,806 | 5,216 | 3,119 |
| St. Helena | 10,920 | 4,527 | 6,031 | 39 | 189 | 216 | 8,463 | 3,805 | 4,371 | 149 | 8,260 | 3,626 | 4,492 | 142 | 4,845 | 1,934 | 1,481 |
| *Tangipahoa | 78,140 | 41,836 | 30,854 | 783 | 3,430 | 4,171 | 59,521 | 33,957 | 21,686 | 2,781 | 34,249 | 22,443 | 10,704 | 1,102 | 13,455 | 12,383 | 8,411 |
| Tensas | 4,147 | 1,744 | 2,312 | 23 | 42 | 67 | 3,235 | 1,446 | 1,728 | 46 | 3,485 | 1,510 | 1,937 | 38 | 2,051 | 868 | 566 |
| Washington | 45,463 | 29,943 | 13,434 | 216 | 1,134 | 1,410 | 34,951 | 23,743 | 9,732 | 901 | 27,151 | 18,603 | 7,892 | 656 | 10,457 | 9,868 | 6,826 |
| West Carroll | 9,751 | 7,894 | 1,425 | 27 | 225 | 325 | 7,532 | 6,223 | 1,010 | 192 | 6,871 | 5,770 | 1,013 | 88 | 1,785 | 3,400 | 1,686 |
| West Feliciana | 15,310 | 10,883 | 3,740 | 89 | 373 | 651 | 12,783 | 9,283 | 2,951 | 572 | 7,492 | 5,186 | 2,160 | 146 | 2,743 | 2,994 | 1,755 |
| District 5 | 776,285 | 491,932 | 225,122 | 14,471 | 32,549 | 38,166 | 597,217 | 392,767 | 160,995 | 26,564 | 453,903 | 315,312 | 120,990 | 17,601 | 154,290 | 182,707 | 116,906 |
| | 100.000% | 63.370% | 29.000% | 1.864% | 4.193% | 4.916% | 100.000% | 65.766% | 26.958% | 4.448% | 76.003% | 69.467% | 26.655% | 3.878% | 33.992% | 40.252% | 25.756% |
| District 6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| *Avoyelles | 19,568 | 10,736 | 7,261 | 302 | 899 | 1,172 | 15,185 | 8,573 | 5,235 | 1,032 | 10,007 | 6,266 | 3,505 | 236 | 4,761 | 2,978 | 2,268 |
| *Caddo | 122,407 | 22,379 | 95,094 | 971 | 2,803 | 3,462 | 91,631 | 19,270 | 68,784 | 2,320 | 62,821 | 11,685 | 48,787 | 2,349 | 41,391 | 6,853 | 14,577 |
| *De Soto | 15,025 | 6,345 | 7,899 | 82 | 336 | 404 | 11,469 | 4,999 | 5,871 | 272 | 9,188 | 4,065 | 4,841 | 282 | 4,761 | 2,442 | 1,985 |
| *East Baton Rouge | 284,582 | 76,193 | 181,491 | 8,337 | 16,254 | 18,787 | 216,619 | 64,154 | 132,918 | 12,999 | 164,206 | 50,963 | 103,796 | 9,447 | 95,366 | 27,201 | 41,639 |
| *Lafayette | 61,342 | 21,514 | 35,873 | 494 | 2,916 | 3,455 | 46,240 | 17,689 | 25,965 | 2,163 | 36,884 | 14,039 | 21,247 | 1,598 | 18,876 | 7,821 | 10,187 |
| Natchitoches | 37,515 | 19,361 | 15,725 | 255 | 1,313 | 1,490 | 29,349 | 16,010 | 11,415 | 1,140 | 20,675 | 11,761 | 8,016 | 898 | 8,810 | 6,698 | 5,167 |
| Pointe Coupee | 20,758 | 12,395 | 7,504 | 107 | 593 | 625 | 16,250 | 10,108 | 5,502 | 429 | 14,107 | 9,040 | 4,837 | 230 | 6,811 | 4,198 | 3,098 |
| *Rapides | 105,304 | 58,003 | 40,359 | 1,729 | 2,940 | 3,371 | 79,937 | 46,117 | 28,675 | 2,358 | 60,064 | 36,829 | 20,719 | 2,516 | 22,711 | 21,347 | 16,006 |
| St. Landry | 82,540 | 43,611 | 35,836 | 499 | 1,958 | 2,178 | 61,811 | 34,209 | 25,497 | 1,374 | 52,429 | 28,933 | 22,135 | 1,361 | 25,477 | 15,081 | 11,871 |
| West Baton Rouge | 27,199 | 14,307 | 11,170 | 287 | 1,109 | 1,244 | 20,526 | 11,146 | 8,149 | 871 | 16,753 | 9,620 | 6,764 | 369 | 7,750 | 4,911 | 4,092 |
| District 6 | 776,240 | 284,844 | 438,212 | 13,063 | 31,121 | 36,188 | 589,017 | 232,275 | 318,011 | 24,958 | 447,134 | 183,201 | 244,647 | 19,286 | 236,714 | 99,530 | 110,890 |
| | 100.000% | 36.695% | 56.453% | 1.683% | 4.009% | 4.662% | 100.000% | 39.434% | 53.990% | 4.237% | 75.912% | 40.972% | 54.714% | 4.313% | 52.940% | 22.260% | 24.800% |
| Grand Total | 4,657,757 | 2,657,652 | 1,543,119 | 107,288 | 262,638 | 322,549 | 3,570,548 | 2,124,511 | 1,115,769 | 223,662 | 2,742,287 | 1,740,446 | 841,829 | 160,012 | 1,052,506 | 951,626 | 738,155 |
| | 100.000% | 57.059% | 33.130% | 2.303% | 5.639% | 6.925% | 100.000% | 59.501% | 31.249% | 6.264% | 76.803% | 63.467% | 30.698% | 5.835% | 38.381% | 34.702% | 26.917% |

Exhibit R

Act 5 (HB1) 1st ES (2022) - Congressional Districts

Case 3:24-cv-00122-DC1-CES-RRS Document 17-20 Filed 02/07/24 Page 2 of 2 PageID #: 359




Exhibit S

Act 2 - 1st ES (2024) - Congressional Districts - Ascension

Case 3:24-cv-00122-DCJ-CES-RRS Document 17-21 Filed 02/07/24 Page 2 of 2 PageID #: 361

Precincts as of 01-10-2024




Exhibit T

Act 2 - 1st ES (2024) - Congressional Districts - Avoyelles

Case 3:24-cv-00122-DCJ-CES-RRS Document 17-22 Filed 02/07/24 Page 2 of 2 PageID #: 363

Precincts as of 01-10-2024




Exhibit U

Act 2 - 1st ES (2024) - Congressional Districts - Caddo

Case 3:24-cv-00122-DCJ-CES-RRS Document 17-23 Filed 02/07/24 Page 2 of 2 PageID #: 365

Precincts as of 01-10-2024




Exhibit V

Act 2 - 1st ES (2024) - Congressional Districts - Calcasieu

Case 3:24-cv-00122-DCJ-CES-RRS Document 17-24 Filed 02/07/24 Page 2 of 2 PageID #: 367

Precincts as of 01-10-2024




Exhibit W

Act 2 - 1st ES (2024) - Congressional Districts - DeSoto

Case 3:24-cv-00122-DCJ-CES-RRS Document 17-25 Filed 02/07/24 Page 2 of 2 PageID #: 369

Precincts as of 01-10-2024




Exhibit X

Act 2 - 1st ES (2024) - Congressional Districts - East Baton Rouge

Case 2:24-cv-00122-DCJ-CES-RRS Document 17-26 Filed 02/07/24 Page 2 of 2 PageID #: 371

Precincts as of 01-10-2024




Exhibit Y

Act 2 - 1st ES (2024) - Congressional Districts - Lafayette

Case 3:24-cv-00122-DCJ-CES-RRS Document 17-27 Filed 02/07/24 Page 2 of 2 PageID #: 373

Precincts as of 01-10-2024




Exhibit Z

Act 2 - 1st ES (2024) - Congressional Districts - Orleans

Case 3:24-cv-00122-DCJ-CES-RRS Document 17-28 Filed 02/07/24 Page 2 of 2 PageID #: 375

Precincts as of 01-10-2024

