

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

STATE OF NEW YORK, *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

v.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF
COMMERCE, *et al.*,

Defendants.

No. 1:18-cv-2921 (JMF)

NEW YORK IMMIGRATION
COALITION, *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

v.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF
COMMERCE, *et al.*,

Defendants.

No. 1:18-cv-5025 (JMF)

JOINT MOTION FOR ENTRY OF FED. R. EVID. 502(D) ORDER

The parties respectfully move the Court, pursuant to Federal Rule of Evidence 502(d), to enter the attached order governing the inadvertent production of documents that may be privileged or protected. In support of this motion, the parties state as follows:

1. The parties have exchanged discovery requests that seek documents or other information that may be privileged or protected from disclosure.

2. To guard against the risk of inadvertent waiver of any applicable privileges or protections, the parties have conferred and agreed on a procedure to assert such claims after production pursuant to Federal Rule of Evidence 502(d).
3. Accordingly, the parties respectfully request that the Court enter the attached proposed order.

Dated: September 4, 2018

Respectfully submitted,

CHAD A. READLER
Acting Assistant Attorney General

BRETT A. SHUMATE
Deputy Assistant Attorney General

JOHN R. GRIFFITHS
Director, Federal Programs Branch

CARLOTTA P. WELLS
JOSHUA E. GARDNER
Assistant Branch Directors

/s/Carol Federighi
GARRETT COYLE
STEPHEN EHRLICH
CAROL FEDERIGHI
MARTIN TOMLINSON
Trial Attorneys
United States Department of Justice
Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch
20 Massachusetts Ave., N.W.
Washington, DC 20530
Tel.: (202) 514-1903
[Email: carol.federighi@usdoj.gov](mailto:carol.federighi@usdoj.gov)

Counsel for Defendants

BARBARA D. UNDERWOOD
Attorney General of the State of New York

By: /s/ Matthew Colangelo
Matthew Colangelo (MC-1746)
Executive Deputy Attorney General
Elena Goldstein (EG-8586), *Senior Trial Counsel*
Ajay Saini (AS-7014), *Assistant Attorney General*
Office of the New York State Attorney General
28 Liberty Street
New York, NY 10005
Phone: (212) 416-6057
Matthew.Colangelo@ag.ny.gov

Attorneys for *State of New York* Plaintiffs, 18-CV-2921

ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER LLP
AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION

Dale Ho
American Civil Liberties Union Foundation
125 Broad St.
New York, NY 10004
(212) 549-2693
dho@aclu.org

By: /s/ John A. Freedman
Andrew Bauer
Arnold & Porter Kaye Scholer LLP
250 West 55th Street
New York, NY 10019-9710
(212) 836-7669
Andrew.Bauer@arnoldporter.com

Sarah Brannon^{***}
American Civil Liberties Union Foundation
915 15th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20005-2313
202-675-2337
sbrannon@aclu.org

John A. Freedman
Arnold & Porter Kaye Scholer LLP
601 Massachusetts Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20001-3743
(202) 942-5000
John.Freedman@arnoldporter.com

Perry M. Grossman
New York Civil Liberties Union Foundation
125 Broad St.
New York, NY 10004
(212) 607-3300 601
pgrossman@nyclu.org

Attorneys for *NYIC* Plaintiffs, 18-CV-5025

^{***} Not admitted in the District of Columbia; practice limited pursuant to D.C. App. R. 49(c)(3).

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

STATE OF NEW YORK, *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

v.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF
COMMERCE, *et al.*,

Defendants.

No. 1:18-cv-2921 (JMF)

NEW YORK IMMIGRATION
COALITION, *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

v.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF
COMMERCE, *et al.*,

Defendants.

No. 1:18-cv-5025 (JMF)

[PROPOSED] FED. R. EVID. 502(D) ORDER

Upon consideration of the parties' joint motion pursuant to Federal Rule of Evidence 502(d) for entry of an order governing the inadvertent production of documents that may be privileged or protected, it is hereby **ORDERED** as follows:

I. NO WAIVER BY DISCLOSURE

1. The production of a document, or part of a document, shall not constitute a waiver of any privilege or protection as to any portion of that document, or as to any undisclosed privileged or protected communications or information concerning the same subject matter, in this or in any

other proceeding. This Order applies to the attorney-client privilege, work-product protections, and all other protections afforded by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(b) and governmental privileges. Nothing in this Order shall constitute an admission that any document disclosed in this litigation is subject to any of the foregoing privileges or protections, or that any party is entitled to raise or assert such privileges. Additionally, nothing in this Order shall prohibit parties from withholding from production any document covered by any applicable privilege or other protection.

2. This Order shall displace the provisions of Fed. R. Evid. 502(b)(1) and (2). That is, the disclosure of privileged or protected information, as described above, in this litigation shall not constitute a subject-matter waiver of the privilege or protection in this or any other federal or state proceeding, regardless of the standard of care or specific steps taken to prevent disclosure. However, nothing in this Order shall limit a party's right to conduct a pre-production review of documents as it deems appropriate.

II. DEFINITIONS

1. "Document," as used herein, includes all items listed in Fed. R. Civ. P. 34(a)(1)(A) and (B).

2. "Documents Produced," as used herein, includes all documents made available for review or produced in any manner during this litigation.

3. "Party," as used herein, shall be construed to encompass both parties and non-parties that produce documents pursuant to a subpoena issued under Fed. R. Civ. P. 45, including the U.S. Department of Justice.

III. PROCEDURES

The procedures applicable to a claim of privilege with respect to a document produced after August 28, 2018 and the resolution thereof shall be as follows:

1. Nothing in this Order is intended to shift the burden to identify privileged and protected documents from the producing party to the receiving party.

2. If the producing party determines that a document produced, or part thereof, is subject to a privilege or privileges, the producing party shall promptly give the receiving party notice of the claim of privilege (“privilege notice”).

3. The privilege notice must contain information sufficient to identify the document including, if applicable, a Bates number as well as an identification of the privilege asserted and its basis.

4. If the producing party promptly sends the receiving party a privilege notice, the receiving party shall follow the procedures set forth in Rule 26(b)(5)(B).

SO ORDERED.

Dated: _____
New York, New York

JESSE M. FURMAN
United States District Judge