Cary

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

RICHARD VIETH AND NORMA JEAN VIETH,

Plaintiffs, 3 1 CV - 01-2439

Civil Action No.

THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA: MARK S. SCHWEIKER, in his official capacity as Governor of Pennsylvania; KIM PIZZINGRILLI, in her official capacity as Secretary of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania; RICHARD FILLING, in his official capacity as Commissioner of the Bureau of Commissions, Elections, and Legislation of the Pennsylvania Department of State; ROBERT C. JUBELIRER, in his official capacity as Lieutenant Governor of Pennsylvania and President of the Pennsylvania Senate; MATTHEW J. RYAN, in his official capacity as Speaker of the Pennsylvania House of Representatives,

FILED SCRANTON

DEC 2 1 2001

PER//1 DEPUTY CLERK

Defendants.

COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

1. The plaintiffs – Richard Vieth and Norma Jean Vieth – have brought this action to enforce voting rights guaranteed to them by the United States

Constitution and federal law. As registered voters in the Commonwealth of

Pennsylvania, the plaintiffs have exercised, and wish to continue exercising, their right to vote for their preferred candidates for United States Representative from

Pennsylvania's Sixteenth Congressional District, both in general elections and in

Democratic Party primary elections. As recently released census data

demonstrate, however, population shifts during the last decade have now diluted plaintiffs' voting strength and rendered Pennsylvania's congressional districting plan unconstitutional under the rule of "one person, one vote."

PARTIES

- 2. Plaintiff Richard Vieth resides at 632 Laurel Lane, Lancaster, Pennsylvania, 17601. Plaintiff Norma Jean Vieth resides at 632 Laurel Lane, Lancaster, Pennsylvania, 17601.
- 3. Defendants are the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and officials thereof who have duties and responsibilities under the laws of the Commonwealth to redraw congressional districts in Pennsylvania following the release of population data from each federal decennial census and then to conduct elections

in those districts. Defendant Mark S. Schweiker is the Governor of Pennsylvania. Defendant Kim Pizzingrilli is the Secretary of the Commonwealth and oversees Pennsylvania's electoral process. Defendant Richard Filling is the Commissioner of the Bureau of Commissions, Elections, and Legislation of the Pennsylvania Department of State, which is charged with administering functions related to Pennsylvania's electoral process. Defendant Robert C. Jubelirer is the Lieutenant Governor of Pennsylvania and President of the Pennsylvania Senate. Defendant Matthew J. Ryan is the Speaker of the Pennsylvania House of Representatives. All defendants are sued in their official capacities.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

4. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1343(a)(3), 1343(a)(4), 1357, 2201, 2202, and 2284. Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).

BASIS OF CLAIMS

5. Section 2 of Article I of the United States Constitution, as amended by Section 2 of the Fourteenth Amendment, provides, in part, that "[t]he House of Representatives shall be composed of Members chosen every second Year by the People of the several States" and that "Representatives shall be apportioned

among the several States according to their respective numbers, counting the whole number of persons in each State " The Equal Protection Clause of Section 1 of the Fourteenth Amendment prohibits any State from "deny[ing] to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws." Those provisions create a constitutional guarantee of "one person, one vote" — requiring a State's congressional districts to achieve population equality as nearly as is practicable.

- 6. Under Section 2a of Title 2 of the United States Code, the President of the United States is required, every ten years, to transmit to Congress a statement showing the number of persons in each State (as ascertained under the federal decennial census of population) and the number of Representatives to which each State is therefore entitled.
- 7. On December 28, 2000, the Secretary of Commerce reported to the President of the United States the tabulation of population for each of the fifty States, including the defendant Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, as determined in the 2000 decennial census. Those population figures show that Pennsylvania is now entitled to only nineteen (19) Representatives in Congress a decrease of two Representatives since the 1990 census. The census data also show that Pennsylvania's resident population as of April 1, 2000, was 12,281,054 persons,

or slightly more than 646,371 persons for each of the nineteen congressional districts.

- 8. In March 1992, the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania ordered into effect a congressional districting plan establishing Pennsylvania's twenty-one (21) current congressional districts. According to the 1990 census, the populations of those districts ranged between 565,760 and 565,817 a total deviation of 57 persons, or less than 0.011% of the ideal population of a district.
- 9. In Census Bureau Public Law 94-171, the United States Bureau of the Census issued population data covering every county and municipality in Pennsylvania. Those figures show that population shifts during the last decade have generated substantial inequality among Pennsylvania's twenty-one congressional districts, whose populations now range from a low of 515,560 for the First Congressional District to a high of 647,575 for the Sixteenth Congressional District. Thus, the total deviation is now 132,015 persons more than 2,000 times the deviation that existed a decade ago.
- 10. According to the latest population data from the United States Bureau of the Census and the Pennsylvania State Data Center, the Sixteenth Congressional District, which contains fast-growing parts of Chester and Lancaster Counties, has significantly increased its population during the last

decade and now contains substantially more than one-nineteenth (1/19) of Pennsylvania's total population. Hence, the Sixteenth District is overpopulated relative to congressional districts elsewhere in the Commonwealth and elsewhere in the Nation.

- 11. The existing malapportionment of congressional districts in Pennsylvania dilutes the voting strength of plaintiffs in the overpopulated Sixteenth Congressional District, as the weight or value of each plaintiff's vote is less than that of any voter residing in an underpopulated congressional district.
- malapportioned congressional districts, the Pennsylvania General Assembly was unable to reach a timely agreement on a new congressional districting map.

 Although the Census Bureau had released the new reapportionment data in December 1990, legislative stalemate continued into the winter of 1992, when Pennsylvania citizens filed lawsuits seeking to vindicate their voting rights under federal and state law. Even with active judicial intervention, however, deadlines for filing nominating petitions and for delivering absentee ballots had to be reset, and the timing of the 1992 election cycle was disrupted. For the 2002 elections, early guidance from this Court will help prevent any similar delays of the February 19, 2002 opening of the nomination petition circulation period and thus could

spare the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and its citizens considerable confusion and expense. Moreover, this Court's intervention may be necessary to protect each plaintiff's federal and state rights to cast an undiluted vote for Congress.

a colonia de carrella com differente, son en la prima carrellata a como de 1900, carrella

CLAIM I

- 13. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference Paragraphs 1 through 12.
- 14. The facts herein alleged constitute a denial or abridgement of the plaintiffs' right to vote for their Representative to the United States Congress, in violation of Section 2 of Article I of the United States Constitution, as amended by Section 2 of the Fourteenth Amendment.

CLAIM II

- 15. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference Paragraphs 1 through 14.
- 16. The facts herein alleged constitute a denial to the plaintiffs of the equal protection of the laws as guaranteed to them by the Equal Protection Clause of Section 1 of the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution.

CLAIM III

17. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference Paragraphs 1 through 16.

18. The facts herein alleged constitute an abridgment of the privileges and immunities of citizenship guaranteed to the plaintiffs by the Privileges or Immunities Clause of Section 1 of the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution.

CLAIM IV

- 19. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference Paragraphs 1 through 18.
- 20. The facts herein alleged constitute a denial or abridgement of the plaintiffs' right to vote as guaranteed to them by Section 1 of the Fifteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution.

CLAIM V

- 21. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference Paragraphs 1 through 20.
- 22. The facts herein alleged constitute a deprivation of plaintiffs' rights under Section 1983 of Title 42 of the United States Code.

CLAIM VI

23. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference Paragraphs 1 through 22.

24. The facts herein alleged constitute a violation of Section 2c of Title 2 of the United States Code because the number of congressional districts established by the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania in 1992 no longer equals the number of Representatives to which the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania is entitled under federal law.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, plaintiffs respectfully pray that this Court:

- A. Assume jurisdiction of this matter and convene as a three-judge District Court pursuant to Section 2284 of Title 28 of the United States Code;
- B. Enter a declaratory judgment that the congressional districting plan that the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania ordered into effect in 1992 violates plaintiffs' rights under the aforesaid provisions of the United States Constitution and federal law;
- C. Enjoin permanently the defendants, their officers, agents, employees, attorneys, successors in office, and all persons in active concert or participation with them, from conducting primary or general elections using the 1992

congressional districting plan or any other congressional districting plan that violates the United States Constitution or federal law;

- D. Set a reasonable deadline of February 1, 2002, pursuant to the principles of federalism and comity set forth in *Growe v. Emison*, 507 U.S. 25, 32-37 (1993), for state authorities to enact or adopt a new plan partitioning the territory of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania into nineteen (19) substantially equipopulous congressional districts, and thus allow the 2002 election cycle to proceed on schedule with the February 19, 2002 beginning of the circulation of nomination petitions, as mandated in 25 P.S. § 2868;
- E. If the state authorities fail to enact or adopt a new, legally valid congressional redistricting plan by that deadline, impose by Court order a new congressional redistricting plan that meets the requirements of the United States Constitution and federal and state law;
- F. Grant plaintiffs their reasonable attorneys' fees, litigation expenses, and court costs; and

G. Grant plaintiffs any other relief that the Court finds appropriate and equitable.

Respectfully submitted,

1) me Wash

Daniel T. Brier, Esquire Donna A. Walsh, Esquire

MYERS, BRIER & KELLY, L.L.P. Suite 200, 425 Spruce Street Scranton, PA 18503 (570) 342-6100

Paul M. Smith
Thomas J. Perrelli
Daniel Mach
Brian P. Hauck
JENNER & BLOCK
601 Thirteenth Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20005
(202) 639-6000

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Richard Vieth and Norma Jean Vieth

Dated: December 21, 2001

SJS 44 (Rev. 3/99)

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

AMOUN

APPLYING IFP

JUDGE

MAG. JUDGE

RECEIPT #

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

use of the Clerk of Court f	or the purpose of initiati	ng the civil docket sheet.	(SEE INSTRUCTIONS	ON THE REVERSE OF 1	THE FORM.)		
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Richard Vieth and N 632 Laurel Lane Lancaster, PA 17601	orma Jean Vieth	Transis (je urbekus vi the 資税)					
	of First Listed Plaintiff <u>La</u> CEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIF		County of Residence of First Listed (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED.				
(c) Attorney's (Firm Nar Daniel T. Brier, Esqu Donna A. Walsh, Esc Myers, Brier & Kelly 425 Spruce Street, St Scranton, PA 18503	quire , LLP	e Number)	Attorneys (If Kr	-01-2	439		
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X"	in One Box Only)		PRINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plainti		
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	X 3 Federal Question (U.S. Govern	nent Not a Party)	(For Diversity Cases Only) Citizen of This State	DEF 1 1 Incorporated or of Business In	and One Box for Defendant) DEF Principal Place		
□ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citiz in Item III)	zenship of Parties	Citizen of Another State □		d Principal Place ☐ 5 ☐ 5 n Another State		
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 🗆 3 Foreign Nation			
IV. NATURE OF SUI		One Box Only) ORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES		
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	Slander □ 330 Federal Employers' Liability □ 340 Marine □ 345 Marine Product	PERSONAL INJURY 362 Personal Injury— Med. Malpractice 365 Personal Injury— Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability	☐ 610 Agriculture ☐ 620 Other Food & Drug ☐ 625 Drug Related Seizure ☐ 670 Other Food & Drug ☐ 625 Drug Related Seizure ☐ 630 Liquor Laws ☐ 640 R.R. & Truck ☐ 650 Airline Regs. ☐ 660 Occupational ☐ Safety/Health ☐ 690 Other ☐ LABOR ☐ 710 Fair Labor Standards Act ☐ 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 ☐ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS ☐ 820 Copyrights ☐ 830 Patent ☐ 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY ☐ 861 HIA (1395ff) ☐ 862 Black Lung (923) ☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	□ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc. □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 810 Selective Service □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange □ 875 Customer Challenge □ 12 USC 3410 □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 892 Economic Stabilization Ac □ 893 Environmental Matters		
REALPROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIONS	☐ 730 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting	☐ 864 SSID Title XVI	☐ 894 Energy Allocation Act☐ 895 Freedom of		
□ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	X 441 Voting ☐ 442 Employment ☐ 443 Housing/ Accommodations ☐ 444 Welfare ☐ 440 Other Civil Rights	☐ 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence Habeas Corpus: ☐ 530 General ☐ 535 Death Penalty ☐ 540 Mandamus & Other ☐ 550 Civil Rights ☐ 555 Prison Condition	& Disclosure Act 740 Railway Labor Act 790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	FEDERAL TAX SUITS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	Information Act 900 Appeal of Fee Determinate Found Access to Justice 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes 890 Other Statutory Actions		
V. ORIGIN X 1 Original		·		erred from r district ry)			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION Plaintiffs seek a declaratory judgm permanently enjoining the Defendation	Do not cite jurisdiction ent that the Commonwealth of	nal statutes unless diversity.) Pennsylvania's congressional di					
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS	S IS A CLASS ACTION P. 23	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint: ☐ Yes X No		
VIII. RELATED CAS IF ANY	(See	JUDG E		DOCKET NUMBER			
DATE 12-21-01 SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD DAY ALIAM							

SJS 44 (Rev. 3/99)

AMOUN

RECEIPT#

APPLYING IFP

JUDGE

MAG. JUDGE

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Richard Vieth and N 632 Laurel Lane Lancaster, PA 17601			DEFENDAI The Commonw Richard Filling,	NTS ealth of Pennsylvania, Mark S. Robert C. Jubelirer, Matthew	. Schweiker, Kim Pizzingrilli, J. Ryan	
	of First Listed Plaintiff <u>La</u>		County of Resid	County of Residence of First Listed		
(E)	KCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIF	F CASES)		(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED.		
(c) Attorney's (Firm Na Daniel T. Brier, Esq Donna A. Walsh, Es Myers, Brier & Kell 425 Spruce Street, S Scranton, PA 18503	quire y, LLP	e Number)	Attorneys (If K	nown 1 - 2	439	
II. BASIS OF JURISI	DICTION (Place an "X"	"in One Box Only)	(For Diversity Cases Only)		(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintif and One Box for Defendant)	
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	X 3 Federal Question (U.S. Govern	ment Not a Party)	•	DEF	DEF Principal Place 4 4 4	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citize in Item III)	zenship of Parties	Citizen of Another State E	-	nd Principal Place □ 5 □ 5 n Another State	
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	1 3	□ 6 □ 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUI		One Box Only) ORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of □ Jistigwieilicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle 360 Other Personal Injury CIVIL RIGHTS 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 444 Welfare 440 Other Civil Rights	PERSONAL INJURY 362 Personal Injury— Med. Malpractice 365 Personal Injury— Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage 70 Notions to Vacate Sentence Habeas Corpus: 530 General 535 Death Penalty 540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition	☐ 610 Agriculture ☐ 620 Other Food & Drug ☐ 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC ☐ 630 Liquor Laws ☐ 640 R.R. & Truck ☐ 650 Airline Regs. ☐ 660 Occupational Safety/Health ☐ 690 Other LABOR ☐ 710 Fair Labor Standards Act ☐ 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations ☐ 730 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting & Disclosure Act ☐ 740 Railway Labor Act ☐ 790 Other Labor Litigation ☐ 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS	400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc. 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 810 Selective Service 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 891 Agricultural Acts 892 Economic Stabilization Act 893 Environmental Matters 894 Energy Allocation Act 895 Freedom of Information Act 900 Appeal of Fee Determination 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes 890 Other Statutory Actions	
X 1 Original 2 R		X ONLY) Remanded from	_ anothe	ferred from er district fy)		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI Plaintiffs seek a declaratory judgm	ON (Cite the U.S. Civil State Do not cite jurisdiction that the Commonwealth of	tute under which you are filing a nal statutes unless diversity.) Pennsylvania's congressional di	and write brief statement of cause stricting plan violates their rights		on and federal law and an Order	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	☐ CHECK IF THI UNDER F.R.C.	S IS A CLASS ACTION P. 23	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint: ☐ Yes X No	
VIII. RELATED CAS IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDG E		DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE J - J - 0 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		SIGNATURE OF ATTORN	VEY OF RECORD DMA	Allale		