

The Supreme Court of Ohio

CASE ANNOUNCEMENTS

March 18, 2022

[Cite as *03/18/2022 Case Announcements #3, 2022-Ohio-871.*]

MOTION AND PROCEDURAL RULINGS

2021-1428. Adams v. DeWine.

On complaint invoking this court's original jurisdiction pursuant to Article XIX, Section 3 of the Ohio Constitution. Petitioners' motion to enforce court's order denied as procedurally improper. This court entered final judgment in this case on January 14, 2022, and did not retain jurisdiction to review any plan passed or adopted under Article XIX, Section 3(A) or 3(B) of the Ohio Constitution. Petitioners may not, through a motion to enforce, challenge the validity of the congressional redistricting plan adopted on March 2, 2022.

Petitioners' motion for leave to file amended complaint denied. The motion seeks to add new claims that arose after this court's final judgment on January 14, 2022.

Nothing in this order shall be construed as precluding the filing of a new original action challenging the validity of the March 2, 2022 plan under Article XIX, Section 3(A) of the Ohio Constitution.

Kennedy, Fischer, and DeWine, JJ., concur in the dismissal and would deny as moot the motion for leave to file amended complaint.

2021-1449. League of Women Voters of Ohio v. Ohio Redistricting Comm.

On complaint invoking this court's original jurisdiction pursuant to Article XIX, Section 3 of the Ohio Constitution. Petitioners' motion to enforce this court's January 14, 2022 order denied as procedurally improper. This court entered final judgment in this case on January 14, 2022, and did not retain jurisdiction to review any plan passed or adopted under Article XIX, Section 3(A) or 3(B) of the Ohio Constitution. Petitioners may not, through a motion to enforce, challenge the validity of the congressional redistricting plan adopted on March 2, 2022.

Petitioners' motion for leave to file second amended complaint denied. The motion seeks to add new claims that arose after this court's final judgment on January 14, 2022.

Nothing in this order shall be construed as precluding the filing of a new original action challenging the validity of the March 2, 2022 plan under Article XIX, Section 3(A) of the Ohio Constitution.

Kennedy, Fischer, and DeWine, JJ., concur in the dismissal and would deny as moot the motion for leave to file second amended complaint.