1 2 3 The Honorable Robert S. Lasnik The Honorable David G. Estudillo 4 The Honorable Lawrence Van Dyke 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE 10 BENANCIO GARCIA III, 11 Plaintiffs. 12 NO. 3:22-cv-5152-RSL-DGE-LJCV 13 PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSE TO STEVEN HOBBS, in his official capacity DEFENDANT STATE OF 14 as Secretary of State of Washington, and WASHINGTON'S MOTION FOR the STATE OF WASHINGTON. INQUIRY CONCERNING 15 POTENTIAL CONFLICTS OF Defendants, INTEREST 16 17 Plaintiff Garcia opposes this Motion for Inquiry Concerning Potential Conflict of Interest 18 ("Inquiry Motion"), (Garcia Dkt. # 29)¹, because there is no procedural basis allowing it, it is 19 brought in a court that has no jurisdiction to hear it, and the motion has no factual basis to support 20 21 it. Instead, the Motion is essentially a thinly-veiled attempt to sneak in through the back door 22 that which the Court would not allow in through the front: namely, a prohibition on undersigned 23 24 ¹ Because Palmer v. Hobbs, No. 3:22-cv-5035-RSL, and Garcia v. Hobbs, No. 3:22-cv-05152-RSL-25 DGE-LJCV have been consolidated for purposes of discovery and trial, this Response cites to both dockets. Citations to the instant docket appear as "Garcia Dkt. #___," whereas cites to the Palmer docket appear as 26 "Palmer Dkt. # ."

1

counsel representing clients who defend a Voting Rights Act ("VRA") claim while simultaneously representing another client who pursues a Fourteenth Amendment claim against the same map. The *Palmer* Court has already rejected that argument. (*See Palmer* Dkt. # 69 at 9–10.)

Defendant State of Washington's ("State's") Inquiry Motion is as lacking in merit as it is in procedural grounding. To quote the Bard, this Motion is "full of sound and fury, signifying nothing." William Shakespeare, Macbeth act 5, sc. 5, l. 26–27. This is evident from the Motion's own terms, as the Motion seeks not relief, but for the Court to "inquire" as to instant counsel's communications and relationship with their clients. The attorney-client ethics rules were intended as a shield for clients, but here, the State attempts to use them as a sword to sever an attorney-client relationship, despite the fact that the clients do not seek such severance. This Court should roundly reject the State's gamesmanship and deny the Motion.

Responding to a motion devoid of a procedural grounding is tricky business. However, the *Palmer* Intervenor-Defendants ("*Palmer* Intervenors") and the *Garcia* Plaintiff ("Mr. Garcia") are confident that the Court will see the State's Inquiry Motion for what it is: over-the-top gamesmanship designed to disqualify and smear counsel on the eve of dispositive motion briefing deadlines. Such a tactic is designed not to serve the sanctity of the Court, nor to ensure the adequacy of representation, nor to adhere to the duty about which the State opines. Rather, the purpose of the State's frivolous Inquiry Motion is to disadvantage the *Palmer* Intervenors and Mr. Garcia—with dispositive motion due dates for both cases just days away—in hopes that

24

25

26

it makes the State's job easier.² For the reasons explained below, the Court should deny the State's Motion and take whatever steps the Court deems necessary regarding its actions.³

I. There is No Procedural Basis for the State's Inquiry Motion.

Even if this Court has jurisdiction and the State has standing, there is no procedure upon which the State may base an Inquiry Motion related to *potential conflicts* in a *civil* matter. Indeed, undersigned counsel is not aware of a single case where the government has made an inquiry motion in a civil matter for potential attorney conflicts.

Notably, inquiry motions are generally reserved for criminal matters. *See, e.g., United States v. Razo-Quiroz*, No. 1:19-cr-00015-DAD-SAB, 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 32410, at *33 (E.D. Cal. Feb. 27, 2019) (allowing the filing of an inquiry motion regarding potential conflicts *in a criminal matter* but denying a concurrent disqualification motion because any potential

² The State is undoubtedly in a tactical catch-22 between the *Garcia* and *Palmer* lawsuits. As discovery has made clear, the Commission considered race when drawing the Legislative Map because some members believed (without a strong basis) that doing so was necessary to comply with the VRA. So, if the State (with undersigned counsel's help) succeeds in defending against a Section 2 claim in *Palmer*—by showing that no majority-minority district is required in LD 15—then the State has doomed its case in *Garcia*, where the State must show (in opposition to undersigned counsel) that the boundaries of LD 15 were drawn without consideration of race. The easiest solution for the State? Benefit from undersigned counsel's already-provided help in *Palmer*, and attempt to get undersigned counsel removed from both cases before their presence becomes a problem for the State in *Garcia*. In short, the State must find a more meritorious method to defend the *Palmer* and *Garcia* suits.

³ While a motion as frivolous as this does not warrant the time or effort of a separate motion for sanctions, Palmer Intervenor-Defendants and Mr. Garcia note that the Court may issue such sanctions against the State and/or its lead counsel Mr. Hughes should it so decide. See, e.g., Perez v. Jie, No. C13-877-RSL, 2014 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 45001, at *7 (W.D. Wash. Mar. 31, 2014) ("Under its inherent power, a court may sanction an attorney or party who has acted . . . in bad faith..." (citing Chambers v. NASCO, Inc., 501 U.S. 32, 45-46 (1991))); Ortego v. Lummi Island Scenic Estates Cmty. Club, Inc., No. C14-1840-RSL, 2017 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 50645, at *9 (W.D. Wash. Apr. 3, 2017) ("One facet of a federal court's inherent power is that it may assess attorney's fees when a party has 'acted in bad faith, vexatiously, wantonly, or for oppressive reasons." (citing F.D. Rich Co., Inc. v. U.S. for Use of Indus. Lumber Co., Inc., 417 U.S. 116, 129 (1974))); accord LCR 11 ("An attorney or party who . . . presents to the court unnecessary motions . . . or who otherwise so multiplies or obstructs the proceedings in a case may, in addition to or in lieu of the sanctions and penalties provided elsewhere in these rules, be required by the court to satisfy personally such excess costs and may be subject to such other sanctions as the court may deem appropriate."). Indeed, "[w]here disqualification motions are meritless and brought solely for tactical reasons, the Ninth Circuit has upheld the award of costs and attorney's fees as a sanction under Section 1927." United States Fire Ins. Co. v. Icicle Seafoods, 523 F. Supp. 3d 1262, 1270 (W.D. Wash. 2021) (citing Optyl Eyewear Fashion Int'l Corp. v. Style Companies, Ltd., 760 F.2d 1045, 1050 (9th Cir. 1985)).

conflicts had been waived); *United States v. Medina*, No. CR 06-00144-JSW, 2007 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 21156, at *1–2 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 26, 2007) (same); *United States v. Wegers*, No. CR05-0231C, 2005 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 30856, at *14–15 (W.D. Wash. Nov. 10, 2005) (same). If this were a criminal matter, the State could perhaps point to Fed. R. Crim. P. 44(c) as the basis for its Motion. *See Wegers*, 2005 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 30856, at *3. However, this is a civil matter, which does not carry the same implications because there is no constitutional right to representation in a civil suit as there is with a criminal prosecution. *See United States v. W. Titanium, Inc.*, No. 08-cr-4229-JLS, 2010 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 79508, at *38 (S.D. Cal. Aug. 6, 2010) ("However, once alerted to even a potential conflict of interest, the Court has an independent duty to ensure that *criminal defendants* receive a trial that is fair and does not contravene the Sixth Amendment." (emphasis added)). This is perhaps why the State is unable to cite a single procedural rule to serve as the basis for this *civil* Motion. (*See generally Garcia* Dkt. # 29.)

Thus, the State's filing of an inquiry motion about a potential conflict in a civil matter is an enigma. Indeed, in civil cases, inquiry motions are usually made to inquire about a docket or some aspect of a case's status. *See, e.g., Klucka v. Ostrovsky*, No. 2:15-cv-1062-JCM (VCF), 2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 91260, at *1 (D. Nev. July 7, 2015) ("Plaintiff's instant motion for inquiry does not ask for relief. Plaintiff merely asks for clarification from the court regarding the status of his case."); *Gray v. Ryan*, No. CV-17-00963-PHX-GMS, 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 195846, at *1 n.1 (D. Ariz. Nov. 12, 2019) (denying a petitioner's "Motion for Inquiry of the General Docket," whereby the "Petitioner request[ed] the status of his Third Amended Petition"); *Newton v. Nevada*, No. 2:16-cv-01824-KJD-GWF, 2017 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 25521, at

*1 n.1 (D. Nev. Feb. 21, 2017) (denying "Plaintiff's inquiry [motion] about his financial certificates as moot"); *Silver v. Wolfson*, No. 2:18-cv-01106-JAD-CWH, 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 70121, at *1 (D. Nev. Apr. 4, 2019) ("Presently before the court is plaintiff's motion for inquiry . . . [on] the status of his *in forma pauperis application*, the court's screening order, and his motion for permission for electronic case filing."); *Hill v. Harper*, No. 2:20-cv-01655-KJD-DJA, 2021 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 230779, at *6 (D. Nev. Dec. 2, 2021) (denying a plaintiff's "motion of inquiry" that "appear[ed] to ask the Court . . . to send him a copy of Defendant's response to Plaintiff's [] motion"); *Patterson v. California*, No. 2:12-cv-2475-KJM-EFB P, 2014 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 28349, at *42 (E.D. Cal. Mar. 4, 2014) ("[P]laintiff also filed a motion styled as a 'motion of inquiry' in which Patterson requests the status of his petition."). However, instead of using this motion as a docket-monitoring mechanism, the State's counsel attempts to use it for an advantage in litigation. *See infra* Part III.

What's more, even when an inquiry motion on purported conflicts of interest is filed in a *criminal* matter, it is generally construed as a motion to disqualify. *See United States v. Rasco*, No. CR408-100, 2009 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 65246, at *7 (S.D. Ga. July 29, 2009) ("Conveniently, courts have been faced with motions to disqualify mistakenly labeled as motions for inquiry before. Generally, the motions are construed as motions to disqualify."); *see also United States v. Hanania*, 989 F. Supp. 1187, 1192 (M.D. Fla. 1997) (construing a "Notice of Conflict of Interest" and "Amended Notice of Conflict" as "a Motion to Disqualify Defendant's Counsel," which the court denied); *United States v. Collins*, No. 1:08-cr-47, 2008 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 39848, at *1 (N.D. Ohio Apr. 21, 2008) (treating a notice "of a potential conflict involving Defendant's counsel" as a motion to disqualify and denying it) (unpublished); *United States v. Evanson*, No.

2:05-cr-805-TC, 2006 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 76244, at *1 (D. Utah Oct. 18, 2006) (construing motion for inquiry as motion to disqualify). And, in this Circuit, such an inquiry motion is usually filed with a corresponding motion to disqualify. *See, e.g.*, *Razo-Quiroz*, 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 32410, at *33; *Medina*, 2007 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 21156, at *1–2; *Wegers*, 2005 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 30856, at *14–15.

Here, however, the State has not moved to disqualify counsel. Thus, to construe its Inquiry Motion as a motion to disqualify would be inappropriate. *See Rasco*, 2009 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 65246, at *6 ("[T]he Court is not aware of any law allowing the Government to move the Court for *sua sponte* action in a case where the disqualification is for the benefit of the Government, and the Government has provided none."). Crucially, here, the State contends that it "does not take a position on whether disqualification is necessary or appropriate here." (*Garcia* Dkt. # 30 at 2.) Simply put, the Court should not construe the motion as asking for a remedy that the state has not requested.

II. The Court Lacks Jurisdiction to Hear the State's Controversy-less Motion.

As an initial matter, this Motion is procedurally defective as the State has not moved for disqualification. Instead, the State invites this Court to appoint itself the arbiter of the attorney-client relationship between undersigned counsel and his clients. Contrary to the State's errant belief, federal courts are not roving tribunals, musing over imagined ethical dilemmas or issuing advisory opinions about what would happen if those hypotheticals were reality.

Article III of the U.S. Constitution limits the jurisdiction of federal courts to hear "Cases" and "Controversies." *See TransUnion LLC v. Ramirez*, 141 S. Ct. 2190, 2203 (2021); *Lujan v. Defenders of Wildlife*, 504 U.S. 555, 559 (1992), thereby "confin[ing] the federal courts to a

properly judicial role," *Spokeo, Inc. v. Robins*, 578 U.S. 330, 338 (2016). To demonstrate standing, the party invoking federal jurisdiction must prove that (1) it suffered an injury in fact, (2) the opposing party caused that injury, and (3) a favorable decision would be likely to redress that injury. *Lujan*, 504 U.S. at 560–61.

However, "neither the United States Supreme Court nor the Ninth Circuit has addressed the particular question of whether the standing doctrine bars a nonclient party from moving to disqualify the opposing party's counsel on the grounds of a conflict of interest." *Icicle Seafoods*, 523 F. Supp. 3d at 1269 (quoting *FMC Techs., Inc. v. Edwards*, 420 F. Supp. 2d 1153, 1156 (W.D. Wash. 2006)) "[C]ourts in [the Western District of Washington] have found that the nonclient 'must establish a personal stake in the motion to disqualify sufficient to satisfy the irreducible constitutional minimum of Article III." *Id.* (citation omitted). The State lacks such a personal stake here for two reasons.

First, the State has *not moved for disqualification*. (*See Garcia* Dkt. # 30 at 2.) The entire inquiry centers around whether the opposing party has standing to *move to disqualify* opposing counsel. *Icicle Seafoods*, 523 F. Supp. 3d at 1269. However, the State *has not filed a disqualification motion*. Instead, it has moved for the Court to *inquire* about whether a *potential* conflict exists. Thus, the "merely 'speculative" nature of the State's motion demonstrates that standing is lacking here. *See Lujan*, 504 U.S. at 561 (citation omitted). For all its bluster, the State's Inquiry Motion is actually a request that this Court issue an advisory opinion, which the Court is constitutionally prohibited from doing. *See Flast v. Cohen*, 392 U.S. 83, 95 (1968).

Second, the State has not been injured because no one has been injured. There is no unwaivable (or un-waived) conflict here. *See infra* Part III; *see also Icicle Seafoods*, 523 F. Supp.

3d at 1269 n.3 (finding that "the record does not support a finding of conflict of interest" and that the movant "fail[ed] to meet standing requirements in the first instance"). Undersigned counsel's clients have not filed an ethics complaint, raised an issue with this court, or expressed displeasure with the underlying goals of the *Palmer* or *Garcia* litigation. In short, there is no issue other than the one the State has conjured in its imagination.

In sum, the State has not been injured, and its motion presents no opportunity for redressability but, instead, seeks an impermissible advisory opinion. Consequently, the State lacks standing for the instant motion, and the Court should deny the State's Motion for lack of jurisdiction. *See Flast*, 392 U.S. at 95 ("[N]o justiciable controversy is presented . . . when the parties are asking for an advisory opinion . . . and when there is no standing to maintain the action." (internal citations omitted)).

III. Even if the Court has Jurisdiction, and the State's Motion had a Procedural Basis, the Inquiry Motion is Wholly Without Merit.

As an initial matter, the State attempts a burden-bait-and-switch here. Although the State has not moved for disqualification and avers that it takes no position on the appropriateness of disqualification, (*Garcia* Dkt. # 30 at 2), it later contends that undersigned counsel here bears the burden of demonstrating that disqualification is inappropriate, (*id.* at 8) (citing *Icicle Seafoods, Inc.*, 523 F. Supp. 3d at 1268–69). Put differently, the State suggests that undersigned counsel must disprove a motion that the State has not made. This contradictory suggestion alone is sufficient to deny the State's Motion, as it really seeks nothing but an advisory opinion.

Moreover, even if the State had moved for disqualification, the very case it cites regarding the burden of proof indicates that the burden likely does not rest with the law firm whose disqualification is sought. *See id.* at 1269 n.3 (indicating that the recent decision of the

Washington State Supreme Court in *Plein v. USAA Casualty Insurance Company*, 195 Wash. 2d 677, 687 (2020), raises concern "that federal district courts [have] misinterpreted Comment 6 to ABA Model rule 1.9 in concluding that the burden rests with the firm whose disqualification is sought" but declining to rule on whether the same is true of Rule 1.7 because there was no conflict and the movant lacked standing). Indeed, such an interpretation would accord with other jurisdictions where "[t]he party moving to disqualify counsel bears the burden of proving the grounds for disqualification." *In re BellSouth Corp.*, 334 F.3d 941, 961 (11th Cir. 2003) (collecting cases from the Second, Fifth, and Eighth Circuits). Thus, if the burden rests with the State, its speculative motion has come nowhere near meeting its burden because it does not contend that there is an *actual* conflict, only a *potential* one. (*See generally Garcia* Dkt. # 30.)

Regardless, even if the burden rests with the non-movants here, they can easily meet it should the Court order any inquiry. First, this Court already rejected the State's argument that undersigned Counsel's defense of a VRA claim against Washington's Legislative Map conflicts it from simultaneously pursuing a Fourteen Amendment claim against the same map. (*Palmer Dkt. # 69 at 9–10.*) As the Court previously ruled when *Palmer Intervenors filed their Motion to Intervene, "the Court does not perceive an insurmountable conflict between the claims set forth in <i>Garcia* . . . and intervenors' opposition to plaintiffs' Section 2 claim." (*Id.* at 9.) Nothing of substance has changed since that ruling other than the depths to which the State will descend to prevent undersigned counsel from performing his duty to his clients.

⁴ As the Motion before the Court is simply one to decide if the Court should convene an inquiry, it would be premature and inappropriate for *Palmer* Intervenors and Mr. Garcia to present evidence opposing an inquiry or motion for disqualification *that has not yet been filed*.

Indeed, in an attempt to breathe new life into this argument, the State misconstrues the litigants' goals and testimony. The *Palmer* Intervenors believe that the map should not be redrawn because it does not dilute the votes of Hispanics in LD 15; but if it must be redrawn, then it should be redrawn in a race-blind manner as not to be discriminatory. *Garcia* Plaintiff believes that the map must be redrawn—without consideration of race—because race predominated in the original drawing of LD 15. Both parties agree fundamentally that a race-blind map is appropriate, which is what the Constitution demands in this and all instances. Neither Ismael Campos, Alex Ybarra, Jose Trevino, or Benancio Garcia are attorneys or experts in the nuances of redistricting law, nor is that required of them. Cherry-picked and misleading questions and answers⁶ provided in a deposition by a non-attorney regarding the intricacies of redistricting law should be given little—if any—weight. How can anyone expect a lay party to

⁵ For example, the State contends that Mr. Trevino testified in his deposition that he did not believe the map to be a racial gerrymander, (*Garcia* Dkt. # 30 at 9), but the terms "racial gerrymander" or "gerrymander" were never used in Mr. Trevino's deposition, (*see generally Palmer* Dkt. # 127–2), and the citation the State provides does not suggest otherwise. The State similarly misleads when it contends that Mr. Ybarra does not want the Legislative Map lines to change, (*Garcia* Dkt. # 30 at 4), as Mr. Ybarra's deposition testimony was clearly more equivocal than the absolute position presented by the State. (*See Palmer* Dkt. # 127-1 at 30.) Likewise, the State portrays Mr. Garcia's goals in a more absolute light than Mr. Garcia himself has done. (Exhibit A at 42:16–43:21.)

⁶ For example, Plaintiffs' counsel asked Mr. Garcia if he knew that Mr. Stokesbary, in his capacity as a state legislator, "voted in favor of the plan that you are challenging." (Exhibit A at 65:23–24.) As a lawyer attempting to practice redistricting law in Washington, counsel knows (or should know) that such a question is misleading at best. Under the Washington Constitution, the Commission passes the redistricting plan, and the state legislature may *amend*—not approve—that plan. Wash. Const. Art. II, § 43(6). Notably, the legislature's amendment authority is limited, as it "may not include more than two percent of the population of any legislative or congressional district." Wash. Rev. Code Ann. § 44.05.100. Thus, as a matter of law, the Washington Legislature does not vote to *approve* the Commission's map but, instead, votes to *amend* it and only in a limited capacity. Consequently, misleadingly framing the question as counsel being opposed to his client's legal perspective—and then asking how the client feels—is designed only to drive a wedge between counsel and client, and the State now attempts (unsuccessfully) to drive that wedge further here.

⁷ Indeed, Mr. Garcia himself admitted that he does not even have a "lay understanding" of redistricting law. (Exhibit A at 24:19–25:1.) And Garcia, Campos, and Ybarra have admitted to getting the *Garcia* and *Palmer* cases confused. (*See* Exhibit A 20:6–10; *Palmer* Dkt. # 127–1 at 14; Exhibit B at 45:10–47:7.) Moreover, if a lay client's lack of legal understanding about a case should serve as a basis for disqualifying counsel, it is notable that the State has not made a similar motion regarding *Palmer* Plaintiffs, who also lack a strong legal understanding of their case. (*See, e.g.*, Exhibit C at 14–15.)

understand the nuances of redistricting jurisprudence when such issues are regularly misconstrued and debated in the highest courts of the land? *See*, *e.g.*, *Merrill v. Milligan*, No. 21-1086, 142 S. Ct. 879 (U.S., Feb. 7, 2022) (Section 2 redistricting case presently pending in the United States Supreme Court); *Covington v. North Carolina*, 316 F.R.D. 117, 166 (M.D.N.C. 2016) (citing *Miller v. Johnson*, 515 U.S. 900, 921 (1995), aff'd *North Carolina v. Covington*, 137 S. Ct. 2211 (2017) (discussing the effect of a redistricting map that was drawn predominantly based on race, when the map drawers operated under the mistaken belief that the VRA required a majority-minority district).

Second, the State makes similar misrepresentation about the *Palmer* Intervenors and Mr. Garcia's knowledge about the litigation funding. *Palmer* Intervenors and Mr. Garcia were advised of, and consented to, the existence of the third-party payor in their respective litigations. This financial arrangement has not adversely affected anyone's representation, and undersigned counsel has at all times adequately represented their clients. At no point in either litigation have any of undersigned counsel's clients claimed otherwise.

Third, as it relates to Mr. Garcia, a motion for inquiry based on an ongoing deposition is premature at best. Mr. Garcia's deposition is set to continue at a future date. (*See Palmer Dkt.* # 153.) Premising a motion on testimony that has yet to conclude is flawed *ab initio*. The State's action to the contrary has wasted the time and resources of the Court and the parties.

⁸ For example, the State contends that Mr. Campos does not know who is paying for this litigation. (*Garcia* Dkt. # 30 at 10.) However, when asked, "[H]as anyone told you who is paying for the attorneys in this case," Mr. Campos replied, "Not that I recall. *If they did, I didn't pay attention because it wasn't coming out of my pocket.* So yeah, I guess it doesn't -- Yeah, I don't know." (Exhibit B at 84:25–85:15) (emphasis added). Mr. Garcia also testified that he knew that a third party was paying for this suit and that—although he didn't know the name of the entity during the deposition—he would discuss it later with his lawyer. (Exhibit A at 103:6–24)

1 In sum, to the extent the State brings up purported new information, it is—at best—a misconstruction of the facts. To the extent it raises previously rejected arguments, they should again be rejected. Undersigned counsel has more than met its burden—assuming arguendo that 4 it is even their burden to bear—and the State cannot rebut it. Therefore, the Court should deny this motion. IV. **Conclusion.** 8 For the forgoing reasons, the Court should dismiss the State's Inquiry Motion as improvidently filed and take whatever other steps the Court deems necessary regarding the 10 State's conduct. DATED this 27th day of February, 2023. 12 13 <u>/s/ Andrew R. Stokesbary</u> Andrew R. Stokesbary, WSBA #46097 14 CHALMERS, ADAMS, BACKER & KAUFMAN, LLC 1003 Main Street, Suite 5 Sumner, WA 98390 16 T: (206) 486-0795 17 dstokesbary@chalmersadams.com 18 20 Counsel for Intervenor-Defendants 23 24 26

2

3

5

6

7

9

11

15

19

21

22

25

1 **DECLARATION OF SERVICE** 2 I hereby certify that on this day I electronically filed the foregoing document with the Clerk of the Court of the United States District Court for the Western District of Washington 3 through the Court's CM/ECF System, which will serve a copy of this document upon all counsel of record. 4 5 DATED this 27th day of February, 2023. 6 Respectfully submitted, 7 /s/ Andrew R. Stokesbary 8 Andrew R. Stokesbary, WSBA #46097 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE The undersigned hereby certify that this Response contains 4,028 words and complies with LCR 7(e)(6). Respectfully submitted, /s/ Andrew R. Stokesbary
Andrew R. Stokesbary, WSBA #46097

EXHIBIT A

Deposition of Benancio Garcia III

Garcia III v. Hobbs, et ano. / Palmer v. Hobbs, et al.

February 3, 2023



206.287.9066 | 800.846.6989

1325 Fourth Avenue, Suite 1840, Seattle, Washington 98101 <u>www.buellrealtime.com</u>

email: info@buellrealtime.com



Benancio Garcia III

Page	Page
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE	1 A P P E A R A N C E S (Continuing) 2 FOR PLAINTIFFS IN CASE NO. 3:22-cv-5035: 3 SONNI WAKNIN
BENANCIO GARCIA III,)	UCLA Voting Rights Project 4 3250 Public Affairs Building
Plaintiff,)	Los Angeles, CA 90095 5 310.400.6019
) v.) No. 3:22-cv-5152-RSL	Sonni@uclavrp.org
STEVEN HOBBS, in his official)	6 7 FOR DEFENDANTS IN CASE NOS. 3:22-cv-5152-RSL and
capacity as Secretary of State) of Washington, and STATE OF) WASHINGTON,	3:22-cv-5035:
) Defendants.)	ANDREW HUGHES 9 ERICA R. FRANKLIN
)	Assistant Attorney General Complex Litigation Division
and)	800 Fifth Avenue
SUSAN SOTO PALMER, et al.,)	11 Suite 2000 Seattle, WA 98104
Plaintiffs,)	12 206.464.7744
v.) No. 3:22-cv-5035	andrew.hughes@atg.wa.gov 13 erica.franklin@atg.wa.gov
SECRETARY OF STATE STEVEN)	13 enca.nankineag.wa.gov
HOBBS, in his official) capacity as Secretary of State)	* * * * *
of Washington, et al.)	16
Defendants.)	17 18
VIDEOCONFERENCE DEPOSITION UPON ORAL EXAMINATION OF	19
BENANCIO GARCIA III	20 21
Tacoma, Washington	22 23
(All participants appeared via videoconference.) DATE TAKEN: FEBRUARY 3, 2023 REPORTED BY: CINDY M. KOCH, RPR, CRR, CCR #2357	24 25
Page	e 2 Page
APPEARANCES	2 Page 1 DEPOSITION OF BENANCIO GARCIA III
	DEPOSITION OF BENANCIO GARCIA III EXAMINATION INDEX
A P P E A R A N C E S FOR PLAINTIFF IN CASE NO. 3:22-cv-5152-RSL and INTERVENOR DEFENDANTS IN CASE NO 3:22-cv-5035: ANDREW R. STOKESBARY	1 DEPOSITION OF BENANCIO GARCIA III 2 EXAMINATION INDEX 3 EXAMINATION BY: PAGE
A P P E A R A N C E S FOR PLAINTIFF IN CASE NO. 3:22-cv-5152-RSL and INTERVENOR DEFENDANTS IN CASE NO 3:22-cv-5035: ANDREW R. STOKESBARY Chalmers, Adams, Backer & Kaufman, LLC 1003 1/2 Main Street	1 DEPOSITION OF BENANCIO GARCIA III 2 EXAMINATION INDEX 3 EXAMINATION BY: PAGE 4 Mr. Hughes 5
A P P E A R A N C E S FOR PLAINTIFF IN CASE NO. 3:22-cv-5152-RSL and INTERVENOR DEFENDANTS IN CASE NO 3:22-cv-5035: ANDREW R. STOKESBARY Chalmers, Adams, Backer & Kaufman, LLC 1003 1/2 Main Street Suite 5 Sumner, WA 98390-1444	1 DEPOSITION OF BENANCIO GARCIA III 2 EXAMINATION INDEX 3 EXAMINATION BY: PAGE 4 Mr. Hughes 5 5 Mr. Gaber 50
A P P E A R A N C E S FOR PLAINTIFF IN CASE NO. 3:22-cv-5152-RSL and INTERVENOR DEFENDANTS IN CASE NO 3:22-cv-5035: ANDREW R. STOKESBARY Chalmers, Adams, Backer & Kaufman, LLC 1003 1/2 Main Street Suite 5	DEPOSITION OF BENANCIO GARCIA III EXAMINATION INDEX EXAMINATION BY: PAGE Mr. Hughes 5 Mr. Gaber 50 Mr. Hughes 105
A P P E A R A N C E S FOR PLAINTIFF IN CASE NO. 3:22-cv-5152-RSL and INTERVENOR DEFENDANTS IN CASE NO 3:22-cv-5035: ANDREW R. STOKESBARY Chalmers, Adams, Backer & Kaufman, LLC 1003 1/2 Main Street Suite 5 Sumner, WA 98390-1444 206.207.3920 dstokesbary@chalmersadams.com FOR PLAINTIFFS IN CASE NO. 3:22-cv-5035:	DEPOSITION OF BENANCIO GARCIA III EXAMINATION INDEX EXAMINATION BY: PAGE Mr. Hughes 5 Mr. Gaber 50 Mr. Hughes 105 Mr. Hughes 105 Mr. Stokesbary 106
A P P E A R A N C E S FOR PLAINTIFF IN CASE NO. 3:22-cv-5152-RSL and INTERVENOR DEFENDANTS IN CASE NO 3:22-cv-5035: ANDREW R. STOKESBARY Chalmers, Adams, Backer & Kaufman, LLC 1003 1/2 Main Street Suite 5 Sumner, WA 98390-1444 206.207.3920 dstokesbary@chalmersadams.com FOR PLAINTIFFS IN CASE NO. 3:22-cv-5035: MARK P. GABER ASEEM MULJI	DEPOSITION OF BENANCIO GARCIA III EXAMINATION INDEX EXAMINATION BY: PAGE Mr. Hughes 5 Mr. Gaber 50 Mr. Hughes 105 Mr. Hughes 105 Mr. Stokesbary 106
A P P E A R A N C E S FOR PLAINTIFF IN CASE NO. 3:22-cv-5152-RSL and INTERVENOR DEFENDANTS IN CASE NO 3:22-cv-5035: ANDREW R. STOKESBARY Chalmers, Adams, Backer & Kaufman, LLC 1003 1/2 Main Street Suite 5 Sumner, WA 98390-1444 206.207.3920 dstokesbary@chalmersadams.com FOR PLAINTIFFS IN CASE NO. 3:22-cv-5035: MARK P. GABER ASEEM MULJI BEN PHILLIPS Campaign Legal Center	DEPOSITION OF BENANCIO GARCIA III EXAMINATION INDEX EXAMINATION BY: PAGE Mr. Hughes 5 Mr. Gaber 50 Mr. Hughes 105 Mr. Hughes 105 Mr. Stokesbary 106
A P P E A R A N C E S FOR PLAINTIFF IN CASE NO. 3:22-cv-5152-RSL and INTERVENOR DEFENDANTS IN CASE NO 3:22-cv-5035: ANDREW R. STOKESBARY Chalmers, Adams, Backer & Kaufman, LLC 1003 1/2 Main Street Suite 5 Sumner, WA 98390-1444 206.207.3920 dstokesbary@chalmersadams.com FOR PLAINTIFFS IN CASE NO. 3:22-cv-5035: MARK P. GABER ASEEM MULJI BEN PHILLIPS Campaign Legal Center 1101 14th Street NW Suite 400	DEPOSITION OF BENANCIO GARCIA III EXAMINATION INDEX EXAMINATION BY: PAGE Mr. Hughes 5 Mr. Gaber 50 Mr. Hughes 105 Mr. Hughes 105 Mr. Stokesbary 106 EXHIBIT INDEX
A P P E A R A N C E S FOR PLAINTIFF IN CASE NO. 3:22-cv-5152-RSL and INTERVENOR DEFENDANTS IN CASE NO 3:22-cv-5035: ANDREW R. STOKESBARY Chalmers, Adams, Backer & Kaufman, LLC 1003 1/2 Main Street Suite 5 Sumner, WA 98390-1444 206.207.3920 dstokesbary@chalmersadams.com FOR PLAINTIFFS IN CASE NO. 3:22-cv-5035: MARK P. GABER ASEEM MULJI BEN PHILLIPS Campaign Legal Center 1101 14th Street NW Suite 400 Washington, DC 20005 202.736.2200	1 DEPOSITION OF BENANCIO GARCIA III 2 EXAMINATION INDEX 3 EXAMINATION BY: PAGE 4 Mr. Hughes 5 5 Mr. Gaber 50 6 Mr. Hughes 105 7 Mr. Stokesbary 106 8 9 EXHIBIT INDEX 10 EXHIBITS FOR IDENTIFICATION PAGE 11 (No exhibits marked for identification.)
A P P E A R A N C E S FOR PLAINTIFF IN CASE NO. 3:22-cv-5152-RSL and INTERVENOR DEFENDANTS IN CASE NO 3:22-cv-5035: ANDREW R. STOKESBARY Chalmers, Adams, Backer & Kaufman, LLC 1003 1/2 Main Street Suite 5 Sumner, WA 98390-1444 206.207.3920 dstokesbary@chalmersadams.com FOR PLAINTIFFS IN CASE NO. 3:22-cv-5035: MARK P. GABER ASEEM MULJI BEN PHILLIPS Campaign Legal Center 1101 14th Street NW Suite 400 Washington, DC 20005	1 DEPOSITION OF BENANCIO GARCIA III 2 EXAMINATION INDEX 3 EXAMINATION BY: PAGE 4 Mr. Hughes 5 5 Mr. Gaber 50 6 Mr. Hughes 105 7 Mr. Stokesbary 106 8 9 EXHIBIT INDEX 10 EXHIBITS FOR IDENTIFICATION PAGE 11 (No exhibits marked for identification.) 12 13
A P P E A R A N C E S FOR PLAINTIFF IN CASE NO. 3:22-cv-5152-RSL and INTERVENOR DEFENDANTS IN CASE NO 3:22-cv-5035: ANDREW R. STOKESBARY Chalmers, Adams, Backer & Kaufman, LLC 1003 1/2 Main Street Suite 5 Sumner, WA 98390-1444 206.207.3920 dstokesbary@chalmersadams.com FOR PLAINTIFFS IN CASE NO. 3:22-cv-5035: MARK P. GABER ASEEM MULJI BEN PHILLIPS Campaign Legal Center 1101 14th Street NW Suite 400 Washington, DC 20005 202.736.2200 mgaber@campaignlegal.org ANNABELLE HARLESS Campaign Legal Center 55 W. Monroe Street	1 DEPOSITION OF BENANCIO GARCIA III 2 EXAMINATION INDEX 3 EXAMINATION BY: PAGE 4 Mr. Hughes 5 5 Mr. Gaber 50 6 Mr. Hughes 105 7 Mr. Stokesbary 106 8 9 EXHIBIT INDEX 10 EXHIBITS FOR IDENTIFICATION PAGE 11 (No exhibits marked for identification.) 12 13 14
A P P E A R A N C E S FOR PLAINTIFF IN CASE NO. 3:22-cv-5152-RSL and INTERVENOR DEFENDANTS IN CASE NO 3:22-cv-5035: ANDREW R. STOKESBARY Chalmers, Adams, Backer & Kaufman, LLC 1003 1/2 Main Street Suite 5 Sumner, WA 98390-1444 206.207.3920 dstokesbary@chalmersadams.com FOR PLAINTIFFS IN CASE NO. 3:22-cv-5035: MARK P. GABER ASEEM MULJI BEN PHILLIPS Campaign Legal Center 1101 14th Street NW Suite 400 Washington, DC 20005 202.736.2200 mgaber@campaignlegal.org ANNABELLE HARLESS Campaign Legal Center 55 W. Monroe Street Suite 1925	1 DEPOSITION OF BENANCIO GARCIA III 2 EXAMINATION INDEX 3 EXAMINATION BY: PAGE 4 Mr. Hughes 5 5 Mr. Gaber 50 6 Mr. Hughes 105 7 Mr. Stokesbary 106 8 9 EXHIBIT INDEX 10 EXHIBITS FOR IDENTIFICATION PAGE 11 (No exhibits marked for identification.) 12 13 14 15
A P P E A R A N C E S FOR PLAINTIFF IN CASE NO. 3:22-cv-5152-RSL and INTERVENOR DEFENDANTS IN CASE NO 3:22-cv-5035: ANDREW R. STOKESBARY Chalmers, Adams, Backer & Kaufman, LLC 1003 1/2 Main Street Suite 5 Sumner, WA 98390-1444 206.207.3920 dstokesbary@chalmersadams.com FOR PLAINTIFFS IN CASE NO. 3:22-cv-5035: MARK P. GABER ASEEM MULJI BEN PHILLIPS Campaign Legal Center 1101 14th Street NW Suite 400 Washington, DC 20005 202.736.2200 mgaber@campaignlegal.org ANNABELLE HARLESS Campaign Legal Center 55 W. Monroe Street	1 DEPOSITION OF BENANCIO GARCIA III 2 EXAMINATION INDEX 3 EXAMINATION BY: PAGE 4 Mr. Hughes 5 5 Mr. Gaber 50 6 Mr. Hughes 105 7 Mr. Stokesbary 106 8 9 EXHIBIT INDEX 10 EXHIBITS FOR IDENTIFICATION PAGE 11 (No exhibits marked for identification.) 12 13 14 15 16
A P P E A R A N C E S FOR PLAINTIFF IN CASE NO. 3:22-cv-5152-RSL and INTERVENOR DEFENDANTS IN CASE NO 3:22-cv-5035: ANDREW R. STOKESBARY Chalmers, Adams, Backer & Kaufman, LLC 1003 1/2 Main Street Suite 5 Sumner, WA 98390-1444 206.207.3920 dstokesbary@chalmersadams.com FOR PLAINTIFFS IN CASE NO. 3:22-cv-5035: MARK P. GABER ASEEM MULJI BEN PHILLIPS Campaign Legal Center 1101 14th Street NW Suite 400 Washington, DC 20005 202.736.2200 mgaber@campaignlegal.org ANNABELLE HARLESS Campaign Legal Center 55 W. Monroe Street Suite 1925 Chicago, IL 60603 aharless@campaignlegal.org ERNEST HERRERA	1 DEPOSITION OF BENANCIO GARCIA III 2 EXAMINATION INDEX 3 EXAMINATION BY: PAGE 4 Mr. Hughes 5 5 Mr. Gaber 50 6 Mr. Hughes 105 7 Mr. Stokesbary 106 8 9 EXHIBIT INDEX 10 EXHIBITS FOR IDENTIFICATION PAGE 11 (No exhibits marked for identification.) 12 13 14 15
A P P E A R A N C E S FOR PLAINTIFF IN CASE NO. 3:22-cv-5152-RSL and INTERVENOR DEFENDANTS IN CASE NO 3:22-cv-5035: ANDREW R. STOKESBARY Chalmers, Adams, Backer & Kaufman, LLC 1003 1/2 Main Street Suite 5 Sumner, WA 98390-1444 206.207.3920 dstokesbary@chalmersadams.com FOR PLAINTIFFS IN CASE NO. 3:22-cv-5035: MARK P. GABER ASEEM MULJI BEN PHILLIPS Campaign Legal Center 1101 14th Street NW Suite 400 Washington, DC 20005 202.736.2200 mgaber@campaignlegal.org ANNABELLE HARLESS Campaign Legal Center 55 W. Monroe Street Suite 1925 Chicago, IL 60603 aharless@campaignlegal.org ERNEST HERRERA Mexican American Legal Defense and Educational Fund	1 DEPOSITION OF BENANCIO GARCIA III 2 EXAMINATION INDEX 3 EXAMINATION BY: PAGE 4 Mr. Hughes 5 5 Mr. Gaber 50 6 Mr. Hughes 105 7 Mr. Stokesbary 106 8 9 EXHIBIT INDEX 10 EXHIBITS FOR IDENTIFICATION PAGE 11 (No exhibits marked for identification.) 12 13 14 15 16 17
A P P E A R A N C E S FOR PLAINTIFF IN CASE NO. 3:22-cv-5152-RSL and INTERVENOR DEFENDANTS IN CASE NO 3:22-cv-5035: ANDREW R. STOKESBARY Chalmers, Adams, Backer & Kaufman, LLC 1003 1/2 Main Street Suite 5 Sumner, WA 98390-1444 206.207.3920 dstokesbary@chalmersadams.com FOR PLAINTIFFS IN CASE NO. 3:22-cv-5035: MARK P. GABER ASEEM MULJI BEN PHILLIPS Campaign Legal Center 1101 14th Street NW Suite 400 Washington, DC 20005 202.736.2200 mgaber@campaignlegal.org ANNABELLE HARLESS Campaign Legal Center 55 W. Monroe Street Suite 1925 Chicago, IL 60603 aharless@campaignlegal.org ERNEST HERRERA Mexican American Legal Defense and Educational Fund 643 South Spring Street 11th Floor	1 DEPOSITION OF BENANCIO GARCIA III 2 EXAMINATION INDEX 3 EXAMINATION BY: PAGE 4 Mr. Hughes 5 5 Mr. Gaber 50 6 Mr. Hughes 105 7 Mr. Stokesbary 106 8 9 EXHIBIT INDEX 10 EXHIBITS FOR IDENTIFICATION PAGE 11 (No exhibits marked for identification.) 12 13 14 15 16 17 18
A P P E A R A N C E S FOR PLAINTIFF IN CASE NO. 3:22-cv-5152-RSL and INTERVENOR DEFENDANTS IN CASE NO 3:22-cv-5035: ANDREW R. STOKESBARY Chalmers, Adams, Backer & Kaufman, LLC 1003 1/2 Main Street Suite 5 Sumner, WA 98390-1444 206.207.3920 dstokesbary@chalmersadams.com FOR PLAINTIFFS IN CASE NO. 3:22-cv-5035: MARK P. GABER ASEEM MULJI BEN PHILLIPS Campaign Legal Center 1101 14th Street NW Suite 400 Washington, DC 20005 202.736.2200 mgaber@campaignlegal.org ANNABELLE HARLESS Campaign Legal Center 55 W. Monroe Street Suite 1925 Chicago, IL 60603 aharless @campaignlegal.org ERNEST HERRERA Mexican American Legal Defense and Educational Fund 643 South Spring Street 11th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90014 213.629.2512	1 DEPOSITION OF BENANCIO GARCIA III 2 EXAMINATION INDEX 3 EXAMINATION BY: PAGE 4 Mr. Hughes 5 5 Mr. Gaber 50 6 Mr. Hughes 105 7 Mr. Stokesbary 106 8 9 EXHIBIT INDEX 10 EXHIBITS FOR IDENTIFICATION PAGE 11 (No exhibits marked for identification.) 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19
A P P E A R A N C E S FOR PLAINTIFF IN CASE NO. 3:22-cv-5152-RSL and INTERVENOR DEFENDANTS IN CASE NO 3:22-cv-5035: ANDREW R. STOKESBARY Chalmers, Adams, Backer & Kaufman, LLC 1003 1/2 Main Street Suite 5 Sumner, WA 98390-1444 206.207.3920 dstokesbary@chalmersadams.com FOR PLAINTIFFS IN CASE NO. 3:22-cv-5035: MARK P. GABER ASEEM MULJII BEN PHILLIPS Campaign Legal Center 1101 14th Street NW Suite 400 Washington, DC 20005 202.736.2200 mgaber@campaignlegal.org ANNABELLE HARLESS Campaign Legal Center 55 W. Monroe Street Suite 1925 Chicago, IL 60603 aharless@campaignlegal.org ERNEST HERRERA Mexican American Legal Defense and Educational Fund 643 South Spring Street 11th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90014 213.629.2512 eherrera@maldef.org EDWARDO MORFIN	1 DEPOSITION OF BENANCIO GARCIA III 2 EXAMINATION INDEX 3 EXAMINATION BY: PAGE 4 Mr. Hughes 5 5 Mr. Gaber 50 6 Mr. Hughes 105 7 Mr. Stokesbary 106 8 9 EXHIBIT INDEX 10 EXHIBITS FOR IDENTIFICATION PAGE 11 (No exhibits marked for identification.) 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22
A P P E A R A N C E S FOR PLAINTIFF IN CASE NO. 3:22-cv-5152-RSL and INTERVENOR DEFENDANTS IN CASE NO 3:22-cv-5035: ANDREW R. STOKESBARY Chalmers, Adams, Backer & Kaufman, LLC 1003 1/2 Main Street Suite 5 Sumner, WA 98390-1444 206.207.3920 dstokesbary@chalmersadams.com FOR PLAINTIFFS IN CASE NO. 3:22-cv-5035: MARK P. GABER ASEM MULJI BEN PHILLIPS Campaign Legal Center 1101 14th Street NW Suite 400 Washington, DC 20005 202.736.2200 mgaber@campaignlegal.org ANNABELLE HARLESS Campaign Legal Center 55 W. Monroe Street Suite 1925 Chicago, IL 60603 aharless@campaignlegal.org ERNEST HERRERA Mexican American Legal Defense and Educational Fund 643 South Spring Street 11th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90014 213.629.2512 eherrera@maldef.org EDWARDO MORFIN Morfin Law Firm 732 North Center Parkway	1 DEPOSITION OF BENANCIO GARCIA III 2 EXAMINATION INDEX 3 EXAMINATION BY: PAGE 4 Mr. Hughes 5 5 Mr. Gaber 50 6 Mr. Hughes 105 7 Mr. Stokesbary 106 8 9 EXHIBIT INDEX 10 EXHIBITS FOR IDENTIFICATION PAGE 11 (No exhibits marked for identification.) 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23
A P P E A R A N C E S FOR PLAINTIFF IN CASE NO. 3:22-cv-5152-RSL and INTERVENOR DEFENDANTS IN CASE NO 3:22-cv-5035: ANDREW R. STOKESBARY Chalmers, Adams, Backer & Kaufman, LLC 1003 1/2 Main Street Suite 5 Sumner, WA 98390-1444 206.207.3920 dstokesbary@chalmersadams.com FOR PLAINTIFFS IN CASE NO. 3:22-cv-5035: MARK P. GABER ASEEM MULJI BEN PHILLIPS Campaign Legal Center 1101 14th Street NW Suite 400 Washington, DC 20005 202.736.2200 mgaber@campaignlegal.org ANNABELLE HARLESS Campaign Legal Center 55 W. Monroe Street Suite 1925 Chicago, IL 60603 aharless@campaignlegal.org ERNEST HERRERA Mexican American Legal Defense and Educational Fund 643 South Spring Street 11th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90014 213.629.2512 eherrera@maldef.org EDWARDO MORFIN Morfin Law Firm	1 DEPOSITION OF BENANCIO GARCIA III 2 EXAMINATION INDEX 3 EXAMINATION BY: PAGE 4 Mr. Hughes 5 5 Mr. Gaber 50 6 Mr. Hughes 105 7 Mr. Stokesbary 106 8 9 EXHIBIT INDEX 10 EXHIBITS FOR IDENTIFICATION PAGE 11 (No exhibits marked for identification.) 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

Benancio Garcia III

Q. Gosh. What was your most recent permanent address? A. Oh, my goodness. I want to say I bel 822 Olmstead Road, Grandview, Washington 98930. Q. Okay. And I guess what I'm trying to ask is, what was the address of the house that burned down? A. Oh, 311 Birch Avenue. It didn't completely burn down, but quite a bit of fire damage was done. Q. Gosh. I'm sorry to hear that. A. Thank you. A. Thank you. C. And that's all in the legislative district let me strike that. You live in Legislative District 13 15? A. 15. Q. 15. And you've lived there during the course of this moving because of the house fire? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Mr. Garcia, have you ever been deposed before? A. Yes. Q. So no nodding, no shaking the head, etc. And because there's a court reporter taking everything down, we can't talk over each other. Understood? A. Yes. Q. So I'll try and wait until you're done speaking to ask my next question, and vice versa. Does that work? A. Yes. Q. And you also understand that you're under oath here? A. Yes. Q. If I ask you a question and you don't understand it, can you please ask me to clarify that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I just want to be sure that you understand the questions I'm asking, and that your answers actually go to the questions that I'm asking. Does that work? A. Yes. Q. And you also understand that you're under oath here? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I just want to be sure that you understand the questions I'm asking, and that your answers actually go to the questions that I'm asking. Dokay? A. Yes.		Page 5		Page 7
9.09 a.m. 3	1	TACOMA, WASHINGTON; FEBRUARY 3, 2023	1	didn't I felt he didn't honor the deal, and then we
BENANCIO GARCIA III, witness herein, having been first duly swom on oath, was examined and testified as follows: E X A M IN A T I O N BYMR. HUGHES: Q. Good morning, Mr. Garcia. I know we met just very briefly off the record, but I'm Andrew Hughes. I represent State of Washington in this matter. Do you mind just stating your name for the record, please? A. Yes. Benancio Garcia III. Q. And what's your address, for the record? A. Yes. Benancio Garcia III. Q. Yes, please. Q. Yes, please. Q. Yes, please. Q. And that's in Yakima County? A. Yes, It's. Q. Have you recently moved? A. Yes. It's still in Yakima County because I had a house fire. So I moved five times during that address? Q. Gosh. What was your most recent permanent address? A. Oh, and J Birch Avenue. It didn't completely burn down, but quite a bird fire damage was done. Q. Gosh. I'm sorry to hear that. A. Yes. A. Oh, and that's all in the legislative district — let me – strike that. Q. And that's all in the legislative district — let me – strike that. A. Tanak you. Q. And that's all in the legislative district — let me – strike that. A. Yes. Q. Okay. Mr. Garcia, have you ever been deposed before? A. Yes. A. Yes. A. Yes. A. Yes. A. And — A. His moorry. I'm trying to recall. Maybe 2013, 115, somewhere. Q. Okay. So it sounds like you've done this before, and probably know the ruises, but I do want to just go over a few quick, you know, guidelines that with hopefully make this go as smoothly as possible. You understand thy our done speaking to just on the properties of the house down? A. Oh, and Jabach Avenue. It didn't completely burn down, but quite a bir of fire damage was done. Q. Gosh. I'm sorry to hear that. A. Tes. A. Yes. Q. Okay. Mr. Garcia, have you ever been deposed of this moving because of the house fire? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Mr. Garcia, have you ever been deposed of the low	2		2	
first duly sworn on oath, was examined and testified as follows: E X A M IN A TI O N BY MR. HUGHES: Q. Good morning, Mr. Garcia. I know we met just very briefly off the record, but I'm Andrew Hughes. I represent State of Washington in this matter. Do you mind just stating your name for the record, please? A. Yes. Benancio Garcia III. A. Physical address? A. Yes, itis. A. All Birch Avenue, Grandview, Washington 98930. A. Yes, It's still in Yakima Country? A. Ayes, itis. A. Nes, itis. A. Nes, itis. A. Nes, itis. A. On, all take to go over – go ahead. Sorry. A. And - C. Okay. A. And - C. Coky. A the second time was, I took a a deposition done, and it was a private matter, and I settled the case. C. And what's your address, for the record? A. Physical address? A. Physical address? A. Yes, itis. A. Tha wenue, Grandview, Washington 98930. C. And that's in Yakima Country? A. Yes, It's still in Yakima Country because I had a house fire. So I moved five times during that transition. Page 6 Q. Gosh. What was your most recent permanent address? A. Oh, I and just returned flow in was, I took a a deposition done, and it was a private matter, and I settled the case. Q. And about how long ago was thar! A. And - Q. And And - Q. Coky. A. And - Q. And about how lone, and it was a private matter, and I settled the case. Q. And about how lone ago was thar? A. I'm sorry. I'm trying to recall. Maybe 2013, 115, somewhere. Q. Okay. So it sounds like you've done this before, and probably know the rules, but I do want to just go over a few quick, you know, guidelines that with hopfully make this go as smoothly as possible. You understand this is being recorded by a court reporter, so we need verbal answers. That make sense? A. Yes. Q. So no nodding, no shaking the head, etc. And because there's a court reporter taking everything down, we can't tak over each other. Und	3	-000-	3	Q. That was auto bodywork?
as lollows: BY MR. HUDHES: Q. Good morning, Mr. Garcia. I know we met just very briefly off the record, but I'm Andrew Hughes. I represent State of Washington in this matter. Do you mind just stating your name for the record, please? A. Yes. Benancio Garcia III. Q. And what's your address, for the record? A. Physical address? A. Yes, tis. Q. Yes, please. A. 311 Birch Avenue, Grandview, Washington 98930. A. Yes, It's still in Yakima County? A. Yes, It's still in Yakima County because I had a vasual fer is being recorded by a court reporter, so we need verbal answers. Page 6 Q. Gosh. What was your most recent permanent address? A. Oh, my goodness. I want to say — I bel — 822 Olmstead Road, Grandview, Washington 98930. Q. Okay. And I guess what I'm trying to ask is, what was the address of the house that burned down? A. Oh, 31 Birch Avenue, it didn't completely burn down, but quite a bit of fire damage was done. Q. Gosh. I'm sorry to hear that. A. Thank you. Q. And that's all in the legislative district — let me – strike that. Van Ulive in Legislative District 13 — 15? A. Thank you. Q. Coky. Mr. Garcia, Iknow we met just was with the military at the time, and it was after I had just returned from Iraq. Q. Okay. A. And — Q. I'll like to go over – go ahead. Sorry. A. A. I'm a be second time was, I took a — a deposition done, and it was a private matter, and I settled the case. Q. And that's in Yakima County? A. Physical address? A. I'm sorry. I'm trying to recall. Maybe 2013, '15, somewhere. Q. Coky. So it sounds like you've done this before, and probably know the rules, but I do want to just go over a tew quick, you know, guidelines that wi hopefully make this go as smoothly as possible. You understand his is being recorded by a court reporter, so we need verbal answers. That make sense? A. Yes. Q. So no nodding, no shaking the head, etc. And because there's a court reporter taking everything down, we can't talk over each other. Understand it, can you please	4	BENANCIO GARCIA III, witness herein, having been	4	A. Yeah, auto bodywork.
as follows: E X A M IN A T I O N BY MR. HUGHES: Q. Good morning, Mr. Garcia. I know we met just very briefly off the record, but I'm Andrew Hughes. I represent State of Washington in this matter. Do you mind just stating your name for the record, please? A. Yes, Benancio Garcia III. A. Physical address? A. Yes, Benancio Garcia III. A. Physical address? A. Physical address? A. A. Shadout how long ago was that? A. Was, Its stating have a private matter, and I before, and probably know the rules, but I do want to just go over a few quick, you know, guidelines that wi hopefully make this go as smoothly as possible. You understand this is being recorded by a court reporter, so we need verbal answers. A. Yes. A. Oh, my goodness. I want to say – I bel— A. Oh, my goodness. I want to say – I bel— A. Oh, my goodness. I want to say – I bel— A. Oh, my goodness. I want to say – I bel— A. Oh, my goodness. I want to say – I bel— A. Oh, my goodness. I want to say – I bel— A. Oh, my goodness. I want to say – I bel— A. Oh, my goodness. I want to say – I	5	first duly sworn on oath,	5	Q. And about how long was go ahead. Sorry.
BY MR, HUGHES: O. Good morning, Mr. Garcia. I know we met just very briefly off the record, but fm Andrew Hughes. I represent State of Washington in this matter. Do you mind just stating your name for the record, please? A. Yes. Benancio Garcia III. A. Yes. Benancio Garcia III. O. And what's your address, for the record? A. Physical address? A. Yes, lis. O. And that's in Yakima County? A. Yes, it is. O. Have your ecently moved? A. Yes. It's still in Yakima County because I had a house fire. So I moved five times during that transition. Page 6 O. Gosh. What was your most recent permanent address? A. Oh, any goodness. I want to say – I bela 822 Olmstead Road, Grandylew, Washington 98930. O. Okay. And I guess what I'm trying to ask is, what was the address of the house that burned down? A. Oh, 311 Birch Avenue. It didn't completely burn down, but quite a bit of fire damage was done. O. Gosh. I'm sorry to hear that. O. Gosh. I'm sorry to hear that. A. Yes. O. And that's all in the legislative district — let re— strike that. O. Gosh. Mr. Garcia, have you ever been deposed before? A. Yes.	6	was examined and testified	6	A. Oh, I can't recall exactly how long ago, but I
9 BYMR. HUGHES: 10 Q. Good morning, Mr. Garcia. I know we met just 11 very briefly off the record, but f'm Andrew Hughes. I 12 represent State of Washington in this matter. 13 Do you mind just stating your name for the 14 record, please? 15 A. Yes. Benancio Garcia III. 16 Q. And what's your address, for the record? 17 A. Physical address? 18 Q. Yes, please. 19 A. 311 Birch Avenue, Grandview, Washington 98930. 20 Q. And that's in Yakima County? 21 A. Yes, it is. 22 Q. Have you recently moved? 23 A. Yes. It's still in Yakima County because I had 24 a house fire. So I moved five times during that 25 transition. Page 6 Q. Gosh. What was your most recent permanent address? 3 A. Oh, my goodness. I want to say – I bel- 24 address? 3 A. Oh, my goodness. I want to say – I bel- 25 during a dight of the following that was the address of the house that burned down? 26 A. Oh, and guess what firm trying to ask is, what was the address of the house that burned down? 27 A. Oh, 311 Birch Avenue. (Indire tompletely burn down, but quite a bit of fire damage was done. 29 Q. Gosh. I'm sorry to hear that. 30 You live in Legislative district — let me—strike that. 31 You live in Legislative District 13 – 15? 32 Q. District in the legislative district — let me—strike that. 33 You live in Legislative District 13 – 15? 4 A. 15. 5 Q. 15. And you've lived there during the course of this moving because of the house fire? 4 A. Yes. 4 Yes. 5 Q. Okay. Mr. Garcia, have you ever been deposed before? 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. Okay. Mr. Garcia, have you ever been deposed before? 7 A. Oh, 311 Birch Avenue. It din't completely and that is a bit of fire damage was done. 9 Q. Okay. Mr. Garcia, have you ever been deposed before? 19 Q. Okay. Mr. Garcia, have you ever been deposed before? 19 Q. Okay. Mr. garcia, have you ever been deposed before? 20 A. Yes. 21 A. Yes. 22 A. Yes. 23 A. Yes. 24 C. And about how long ago was that? 25 A. Yes. 26 C. Okay. Juit the legislative district — let me—strike that. 27 A. Yes. 28 Q. Okay. Mr. Garcia, have you ever been deposed	7	as follows:	7	was with the military at the time, and it was after I
Q. Good morning, Mr. Garcia. I know we met just very briefly off the record, but I'm Andrew Hughes. I represent State of Washington in this matter. Do you mind just stating your name for the record, please? A. Yes. Benancio Garcia III. A. Physical address? A. Yes. Benancio Garcia III. A. Physical address? A. Yes, please. A. 311 Birch Avenue, Grandview, Washington 98930. A. Yes, it is. C. And that's in Yakima County? A. A. Yes. Bage 6 Q. Gosh. What was your most recent permanent address? A. Oh, ny goodness. I want to say – I bel – asset of the fore damage was done. Q. Cosh. I'm sorry to hear that. A. Oh, 311 Birch Avenue, It didn't completely burn down, but quite a bit of fire damage was done. Q. Gosh. I'm sorry to hear that. A. Thank you. Q. And that's all in the legislative district – let the – strike that. You live in Legislative District 13 – 15? A. Yes. C. Gosh. Whose wore legosed before? A. Yes. C. So no nodding, no shaking the head, etc. And because there's a court reporter taking everything down, we can't talk over each other. Understood? A. Yes. C. So Il try and wait until you're done speaking to the mere strike that. A. Thank you. C. And that's all in the legislative district – let the – strike that. A. You live in Legislative District 13 – 15? A. Yes. A.	8	EXAMINATION	8	had just returned from Iraq.
very briefly off the record, but I'm Andrew Hughes. I represent State of Washington in this matter. Do you mind just stating your name for the record, please? A. Yes. Benancio Garcia III. Q. And what's your address, for the record? A. Physical address? A. Yes. Benancio Garcia III. Q. And what's your address, for the record? A. Physical address? A. Yes, I is an in the plant in the plan	9		9	Q. Okay.
represent State of Washington in this matter. Do you mind just stating your name for the record, please? A. Yes, Benancio Garcia III. Page 6 Q. And what's your address, for the record? A. Physical address, for the record? A. Ps, it is. Q. Yes, please. B. Q. Yes, please. A. 311 Birch Avenue, Grandview, Washington 98930. A. Yes, it is. A. Yes, it is. A. Yes, it is. C. Gosh. What was your most recent permanent address? A. Oh, my goodness. I want to say — I bel — A. Co, Gosh. What was your most recent permanent address? A. Oh, my goodness. I want to say — I bel — A. Co, Gosh. What was your most recent permanent address? A. Oh, my goodness. I want to say — I bel — A. Co, Gosh. I'm sorry to hear that. A. Oh, 311 Birch Avenue. It didn't completely burn down, but quite a bit of fire damage was done. Q. Gosh. I'm sorry to hear that. A. Thank you. A. Yes. C. And that's all in the legislative district — let me — strike that. You live in Legislative District 13 – 15? A. 15. Q. 15. And you've lived there during the course of this moving because of the house fire? A. Yes. A. Yes. C. And that's all in the legislative district — let me — strike that. A. Thank you. A. Yes. C. And you also understand that you're under oath here? A. Yes. C. And you also understand that you're under oath here? A. Yes. C. And you also understand that you're understand the questions I'm asking, and that your answers actually go to the questions that I'm asking. C. Okay. Mir. Garcia, have you ever been deposed before? A. Yes. C. A. Yes. C. A. Yes. C. Okay. All you also that your answers actually go to the questions that I'm asking. C. Okay. Plust	10		10	
Do you mind just stating your name for the record, please? A. Yes. Benancio Garcia III. C. And what's your address, for the record? A. Physical address? C. Yes, please. A. 311 Birch Avenue, Barch Your A. O., And hat's all in the legislative District 13 — 15? A. O., Nath was your most recent permanent address? C. Gosh. What was your most recent permanent address? A. O., May goodness. I want to say — I bel — 822 Olmstead Road, Grandview, Washington 98930. C. O. Gay. And I guess what I'm trying to ask is, what was the address of the house that burned down? A. O., 311 Birch Avenue. It didn't completely burn down, but quite a bit of fire damage was done. C. Gosh. I'm sorry to hear that. A. Thank you. A. Thank you. A. Thank you. A. To Jank you. A. You live in Legislative District 13 — 15? A. You live in Legislative District 13 — 15? A. Yes. A. Ye				
record, please? A. Yes, Benancio Garcia III. Q. And what's your address, for the record? A. Physical address? A. 311 Birch Avenue, Grandview, Washington 98930. Q. And that's in Yakima County? A. Yes, it is. Q. Have you recently moved? A. Yes, It's still in Yakima County because I had a house fire. So I moved five times during that transition. Page 6 Q. Gosh. What was your most recent permanent address? A. Oh, my goodness. I want to say – I bel	12		12	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
15 A. Yes. Benancio Garcia III. 16 Q. And what's your address, for the record? 17 A. Physical address? 18 Q. Yes, please. 19 A. 311 Birch Avenue, Grandview, Washington 98930. 20 Q. And that's in Yakima County? 21 A. Yes, it is. 22 Q. Have you recently moved? 23 A. Yes. It's lill in Yakima County because I had a house fire. So I moved five times during that transition. Page 6 1 Q. Gosh. What was your most recent permanent address? 3 A. Oh, my goodness. I want to say I bel 4 822 Olmstead Road, Grandview, Washington 98930. 4 R. Oh, 311 Birch Avenue, It didn't completely burn down, but quite a bit of fire damage was done. 9 Q. Cosh. I'm sorry i bear that. 10 Q. And that's all in the legislative district let me - strike that. 11 Q. And that's all in the legislative district let me - strike that. 12 Is Q. Okay. Mr. Garcia, have you ever been deposed before? 20 A. Yes. 21 A. Yes. 22 C. Have you recently moved? 23 A. Yes. It's in Yakima County because I had 23 court reporter, so we need verbal answers. 24 That make sense? 25 That make sense? 26 A. Yes. 27 A. Yes. 28 Page 6 Page 6 Page 6 Q. So no nodding, no shaking the head, etc. And because there's a court reporter taking everything down, we can't talk over each other. 29 Understood? 30 A. Oh, my goodness. I want to say I bel a sez Olmstead Road, Grandview, Washington 98930. 4 A. Ch, and that's all in the legislative district let me - strike that. 4 A. Thank you. 4 Yes. 4 Yes. 4 Yes. 5 Q. And you also understand that you're under oath here? 4 A. Yes. 6 Q. Okay. If I ask you a question and you don't understand it, can you please ask me to clarify that? 4 A. Yes. 4 Yes. 4 Yes. 4 Yes. 4 Yes. 6 Q. Okay. Mr. Garcia, have you ever been deposed before? 4 A. Yes. 4 Yes. 5 A. Yes. 6 Okay? I ust want to be sure that you understand the questions I'm asking, and that your answers actually go to the questions that I'm asking.				
16 Q. And what's your address, for the record? 17 A. Physical address? 18 Q. Yes, please. 19 A. 311 Birch Avenue, Grandview, Washington 98930. 20 Q. And that's in Yakima County? 21 A. Yes, it's 22 Q. Have you recently moved? 23 A. Yes. It's still in Yakima County because I had a house fire. So I moved five times during that transition. Page 6 Page 6 Q. Okay. And I guess what I'm trying to ask is, what was the address of the house that burned down? A. Oh, 311 Birch Avenue, It didn't completely burn down, but quite a bit of fire damage was done. Q. Cosh. I'm sorry. I'm trying to recall. Maybe 2013, '15, somewhere. 10 A. Thank you. 11 burned of the fire damage was done. 12 a dress? 13 A. Oh, 311 Birch Avenue. It didn't completely burn down, but quite a bit of fire damage was done. 14 Burned own, but quite a bit of fire damage was done. 15 Q. And that's all in the legislative district—let me—strike that. 16 Q. And that's all in the legislative District 13—15? 17 A. 15. 18 Q. Okay. Mr. Garcia, have you ever been deposed before? 20 A. Yes. 21 A. Yes. 22 A. Yes. 23 A. Yes. 24 burned own? 25 A. Yes. 26 C. And you also understand that you're under oath here? 27 A. Yes. 28 C. Okay. I'm trying to recall. Maybe 2013, '15, somewhere. 29 C. Okay. So it sounds like you've done this before, and probably know the rules, but I do want to just go over a few quich, you know, guidelines that will operate whethes that will operate white for a court reporter, so we need verbal answers. 29 That make sense? 20 So no nodding, no shaking the head, etc. 20 And because there's a court reporter taking everything down, we can't talk over each other. 21 Understood? 22 A. Yes. 23 A. Oh, 311 Birch Avenue. It didn't completely to ask my next question, and vice versa. 29 Does that work? 20 A. Yes. 20 And you also understand that you're under oath here? 21 A. Yes. 22 Okay. I just want to be sure that you understand the questions I'm asking, and that your answers actually go to the questions that I'm asking. 20 Okay? 21 A. Yes. 22 A. Yes. 23 A.		· ·		
17 A. Physical address? 18 Q. Yes, please. 29 Q. And that's in Yakima County? 20 Q. And that's in Yakima County? 21 A. Yes, it is. 22 Q. Have you recently moved? 23 A. Yes. It's still in Yakima County because I had a house fire. So I moved five times during that 25 transition. Page 6 Q. Gosh. What was your most recent permanent address? 3 A. Oh, my goodness. I want to sayI bel			1	
18 Q. Yes, please. 19 A. 311 Birch Avenue, Grandview, Washington 98930. 20 Q. And that's in Yakima County? 21 A. Yes, it is. 22 Q. Have you recently moved? 23 A. Yes. It's still in Yakima County because I had a house fire. So I moved five times during that 24 a house fire. So I moved five times during that 25 transition. Page 6 Q. Gosh. What was your most recent permanent address? 3 A. Oh, my goodness. I want to say I bel 4 822 Olmstead Road, Grandview, Washington 98930. 5 Q. Okay. And I guess what I'm trying to ask is, what was the address of the house that burned down? 6 What was the address of the house that burned down? 7 A. Oh, 311 Birch Avenue. It didn't completely burn down, but quite a bit of fire damage was done. 9 Q. Gosh. I'm sorry to hear that. 10 Q. And that's all in the legislative district 21 let me strike that. 22 Q. That was the address of the house fire? 23 A. Yes. 24 A. Yes. 25 You live in Legislative District 13 15? 26 A. 15. 27 Q. 15. And you've lived there during the course of this moving because of the house fire? 28 Q. Okay. Mr. Garcia, have you ever been deposed before? 29 A. Yes. 20 A. Yes. 21 A. Yes. 22 Counterporter, so we need verbal answers. 24 A. Yes. 25 That make sense? 26 A. Yes. 27 A. Yes. 28 On nondding, no shaking the head, etc. 29 A. Yes. 20 A. Yes. 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. So no nodding, no shaking the head, etc. 29 A. Yes. 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. So no nodding, no shaking the head, etc. 29 A. Yes. 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. So no nodding, no shaking the ead, etc. 20 And because there's a court reporter taking everything down, we can't talk over each other. 21 Understood? 22 A. Yes. 23 A. Yes. 24 A. Yes. 25 Dos I'll try and wait until you're done speaking to ask my next question, and vice versa. 29 Q. And you also understand that you're under oath here? 20 A. Yes. 20 A. Yes. 21 A. Yes. 22 A. Yes. 23 A. Yes. 24 A. Yes. 25 Okay. I just want to be sure that you understand the questions that I'm asking. 26 Okay. P. Yes.				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
A. 311 Birch Avenue, Grandview, Washington 98930. Q. And that's in Yakima County? A. Yes, it is. Q. Have you recently moved? A. Yes, it's still in Yakima County because I had a house fire. So I moved five times during that transition. Page 6 Q. Gosh. What was your most recent permanent address? A. Oh, my goodness. I want to say - I bel attended address? A. Oh, 311 Birch Avenue. It didn't completely burn down, but quite a bit of fire damage was done. Q. Gosh. I'ms sorry to hear that. A. Thank you. A. Thank you. C. And that's all in the legislative district let me strike that. You live in Legislative District 13 15? A. Yes. Page 6 before, and probably know the rules, but I do want to just go over a few quick, you know, guidelines that wi hopefully make this go as smoothly as possible. You understand this is being recorded by a court reporter, so we need verbal answers. That make sense? A. Yes. Page 6 Q. So no nodding, no shaking the head, etc. And because there's a court reporter taking everything down, we can't talk over each other. Understood? A. Yes. Q. So I'll try and wait until you're done speaking to ask my next question, and vice versa. Does that work? A. Yes. Q. And you also understand that you're under oath here? A. Yes. Q. If I ask you a question and you don't understand the questions I'm asking, and that your answers actually go to the questions that I'm asking. Okay? A. Yes. A. Yes.		•		·
Q. And that's in Yakima County? 1 A. Yes, it is. 2 Q. Have you recently moved? 2 A. Yes. It's still in Yakima County because I had 2 a house fire. So I moved five times during that 2 transition. Page 6 Q. Gosh. What was your most recent permanent 2 address? A. Oh, my goodness. I want to say I bel 4 822 Olmstead Road, Grandview, Washington 98930. Q. Okay. And I guess what I'm trying to ask is, what was the address of the house that burned down? A. Oh, 311 Birch Avenue. It didn't completely burn down, but quite a bit of fire damage was done. Q. Gosh. I'm sorry to hear that. Q. And that's all in the legislative district let me - strike that. You understand this is being recorded by a court reporter, so we need verbal answers. A. Yes. Page 6 Page Q. So no nodding, no shaking the head, etc. And because there's a court reporter taking everything down, we can't talk over each other. Understood? A. Yes. Q. So I'll try and wait until you're done speaking to ask my next question, and vice versa. Does that work? A. Yes. Q. And that's all in the legislative district let me - strike that. Q. And that's all in the legislative District 13 – 15? A. 15. Q. 15. And you've lived there during the course of this moving because of the house fire? A. Yes.				
A. Yes, it is. Q. Have you recently moved? A. Yes. It's still in Yakima County because I had a house fire. So I moved five times during that transition. Page 6 Q. Gosh. What was your most recent permanent address? A. Oh, my goodness. I want to say I bel active days. A. Oh, my goodness. I want to say I bel B. O. Okay. And I guess what I'm trying to ask is, what was the address of the house that burned down? A. Oh, 311 Birch Avenue. It didn't completely burn down, but quite a bit of fire damage was done. Q. Gosh. I'm sorry to hear that. A. Thank you. Q. And that's all in the legislative district I let me strike that. You live in Legislative District 13 15? A. 15. Q. 15. And you've lived there during the course of this moving because of the house fire? A. Yes. Q. Okay. If I sak you a question and you don't understand it, can you please ask me to clarify that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. If I sak you a questions that I'm asking. Dokay? A. Yes. A. Y			1	• •
22 You understand this is being recorded by a court reporter, so we need verbal answers. 23 A. Yes. It's still in Yakima County because I had a house fire. So I moved five times during that transition. 24 That make sense? 25 A. Yes. Page 6 Q. Gosh. What was your most recent permanent address? A. Oh, my goodness. I want to say I bel a 822 Olmstead Road, Grandview, Washington 98930. Q. Okay. And I guess what I'm trying to ask is, what was the address of the house that burned down? A. Oh, 311 Birch Avenue. It didn't completely burn down, but quite a bit of fire damage was done. Q. Gosh. I'm sorry to hear that. 10 Q. And that's all in the legislative district let me strike that. You live in Legislative District 13 15? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Mr. Garcia, have you ever been deposed before? A. Yes. You Row. Mr. Garcia, have you ever been deposed before? A. Yes. You So no nodding, no shaking the head, etc. And because there's a court reporter taking everything down, we can't talk over each other. Understood? A. Yes. Q. So I'll try and wait until you're done speaking to ask my next question, and vice versa. Does that work? A. Yes. Q. And you also understand that you're under oath here? A. Yes. Q. If I ask you a question and you don't understand it, can you please ask me to clarify that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I just want to be sure that you understand the questions I'm asking, and that your answers actually go to the questions that I'm asking. Okay? A. Yes.				
A. Yes. It's still in Yakima County because I had a house fire. So I moved five times during that transition. Page 6 Page 6 Q. Gosh. What was your most recent permanent address? A. Oh, my goodness. I want to say I bel 4 822 Olmstead Road, Grandview, Washington 98930. Q. Okay. And I guess what I'm trying to ask is, what was the address of the house that burned down? A. Oh, 311 Birch Avenue. It didn't completely burn down, but quite a bit of fire damage was done. Q. Gosh. I'm sorry to hear that. A. Thank you. Q. And that's all in the legislative district Iet me strike that. You live in Legislative District 13 15? A. 15. Q. Okay. Mr. Garcia, have you ever been deposed before? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Mr. Garcia, have you ever been deposed before? A. Yes. Q. Okay? A. Yes.		,		
24 a house fire. So I moved five times during that transition. Page 6 Q. Gosh. What was your most recent permanent address? A. Oh, my goodness. I want to say I bel Bell address? A. Oh, my goodness. I want to say I bel Bell address of the house that burned down? A. Oh, 311 Birch Avenue. It didn't completely burn down, but quite a bit of fire damage was done. Q. Gosh. I'm sorry to hear that. A. Thank you. A. Thank you. Q. And that's all in the legislative District 13 - 15? Q. Is. And you've lived there during the course of this moving because of the house fire? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Mr. Garcia, have you ever been deposed before? A. Yes. Page Page Q. So no nodding, no shaking the head, etc. And because there's a court reporter taking everything down, we can't talk over each other. Understood? A. Yes. Q. So I'll try and wait until you're done speaking to ask my next question, and vice versa. Does that work? A. Yes. Q. And you also understand that you're under oath here? A. Yes. Q. If 1 ask you a question and you don't understand it, can you please ask me to clarify that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Mr. Garcia, have you ever been deposed before? A. Yes.			1	
Page 6 Q. Gosh. What was your most recent permanent address? A. Oh, my goodness. I want to say I bel 822 Olmstead Road, Grandview, Washington 98930. Q. Okay. And I guess what I'm trying to ask is, what was the address of the house that burned down? A. Oh, 311 Birch Avenue. It didn't completely burn down, but quite a bit of fire damage was done. Q. Gosh. I'm sorry to hear that. Q. And that's all in the legislative district Iet me strike that. You live in Legislative District 13 15? A. 15. Q. 15. And you've lived there during the course of this moving because of the house fire? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Mr. Garcia, have you ever been deposed before? A. Yes.				- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Page 6 Q. Gosh. What was your most recent permanent address? A. Oh, my goodness. I want to say I bel 822 Olmstead Road, Grandview, Washington 98930. Q. Okay. And I guess what I'm trying to ask is, what was the address of the house that burned down? A. Oh, 311 Birch Avenue. It didn't completely burn down, but quite a bit of fire damage was done. Q. Gosh. I'm sorry to hear that. A. Thank you. Q. And that's all in the legislative district let me strike that. You live in Legislative District 13 15? A. 15. Q. 15. And you've lived there during the course of this moving because of the house fire? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Mr. Garcia, have you ever been deposed before? A. Yes. A. Yes. Q. Okay. A. Yes. A. Yes. Q. Okay. A. Yes. A. Yes. Q. Okay. A. Yes.		_		
Q. Gosh. What was your most recent permanent address? A. Oh, my goodness. I want to say I bel 822 Olmstead Road, Grandview, Washington 98930. Q. Okay. And I guess what I'm trying to ask is, what was the address of the house that burned down? A. Oh, 311 Birch Avenue. It didn't completely burn down, but quite a bit of fire damage was done. Q. Gosh. I'm sorry to hear that. A. Thank you. Q. And that's all in the legislative district let me strike that. You live in Legislative District 13 15? A. 15. Q. 15. And you've lived there during the course of this moving because of the house fire? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Mr. Garcia, have you ever been deposed before? A. Yes. Q. So no nodding, no shaking the head, etc. And because there's a court reporter taking everything down, we can't talk over each other. Understood? A. Yes. Q. So I'll try and wait until you're done speaking to ask my next question, and vice versa. Does that work? A. Yes. Q. And you also understand that you're under oath here? A. Yes. Q. If I ask you a question and you don't understand it, can you please ask me to clarify that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I just want to be sure that you understand the questions I'm asking, and that your answers actually go to the questions that I'm asking. Does that work? A. Yes. Q. And you also understand that you're under oath here? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I just want to be sure that you understand the questions I'm asking, and that your answers actually go to the questions that I'm asking. Does that work? A. Yes.	45	แลกรแบบ.	25	A. Tes.
2 address? 3 A. Oh, my goodness. I want to say I bel 4 822 Olmstead Road, Grandview, Washington 98930. 5 Q. Okay. And I guess what I'm trying to ask is, 6 what was the address of the house that burned down? 7 A. Oh, 311 Birch Avenue. It didn't completely 8 burn down, but quite a bit of fire damage was done. 9 Q. Gosh. I'm sorry to hear that. 10 A. Thank you. 11 Q. And that's all in the legislative district 12 let me strike that. 13 You live in Legislative District 13 15? 14 A. 15. 15 Q. 15. And you've lived there during the course 16 of this moving because of the house fire? 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. Okay. Mr. Garcia, have you ever been deposed 19 before? 20 A. Yes. 2 And because there's a court reporter taking everything down, we can't talk over each other. 10 Understood? A. Yes. C. So I'll try and wait until you're done speaking to ask my next question, and vice versa. Does that work? A. Yes. Does that work? A. Yes. C. And you also understand that you're under oath here? A. Yes. C. If I ask you a question and you don't understand it, can you please ask me to clarify that? A. Yes. C. Okay. I just want to be sure that you understand the questions I'm asking, and that your answers actually go to the questions that I'm asking. Does that work? A. Yes. C. If I ask you a question and you don't understand the questions I'm asking, and that your answers actually go to the questions that I'm asking. Does that work? A. Yes. C. And you've lived there during the course Okay. Mr. Garcia, have you ever been deposed A. Yes. A. Yes. A. Yes.		Page 6		Page 8
A. Oh, my goodness. I want to say I bel 822 Olmstead Road, Grandview, Washington 98930. Q. Okay. And I guess what I'm trying to ask is, what was the address of the house that burned down? A. Oh, 311 Birch Avenue. It didn't completely burn down, but quite a bit of fire damage was done. Q. Gosh. I'm sorry to hear that. A. Thank you. A. Thank you. Let me strike that. You live in Legislative District 13 15? A. 15. Q. 15. And you've lived there during the course of this moving because of the house fire? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Mr. Garcia, have you ever been deposed before? A. Yes. 3 everything down, we can't talk over each other. Understood? A. Yes. Q. So I'll try and wait until you're done speaking to ask my next question, and vice versa. Does that work? A. Yes. Q. And you also understand that you're under oath here? A. Yes. Q. If I ask you a question and you don't understand it, can you please ask me to clarify that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I just want to be sure that you understand the questions I'm asking, and that your answers actually go to the questions that I'm asking. Okay? A. Yes.	1	Q. Gosh. What was your most recent permanent	1	Q. So no nodding, no shaking the head, etc.
4 822 Olmstead Road, Grandview, Washington 98930. Q. Okay. And I guess what I'm trying to ask is, what was the address of the house that burned down? A. Oh, 311 Birch Avenue. It didn't completely burn down, but quite a bit of fire damage was done. Q. Gosh. I'm sorry to hear that. A. Thank you. A. And that's all in the legislative district let me strike that. You live in Legislative District 13 15? A. 15. Q. 15. And you've lived there during the course of this moving because of the house fire? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Mr. Garcia, have you ever been deposed before? A. Yes. Understood? A. Yes. Q. So I'll try and wait until you're done speaking to ask my next question, and vice versa. Does that work? A. Yes. Q. And you also understand that you're under oath here? A. Yes. Q. If I ask you a question and you don't understand it, can you please ask me to clarify that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I just want to be sure that you understand the questions I'm asking, and that your answers actually go to the questions that I'm asking. Okay? A. Yes.	2	address?	2	And because there's a court reporter taking
 Q. Okay. And I guess what I'm trying to ask is, what was the address of the house that burned down? A. Oh, 311 Birch Avenue. It didn't completely burn down, but quite a bit of fire damage was done. Q. Gosh. I'm sorry to hear that. A. Thank you. Q. And that's all in the legislative district let me strike that. You live in Legislative District 13 15? A. 15. Q. 15. And you've lived there during the course of this moving because of the house fire? Q. Okay. Mr. Garcia, have you ever been deposed before? A. Yes. A. Yes. A. Yes. A. Yes. A. Yes. Q. Okay? A. Yes. 	3		3	
what was the address of the house that burned down? A. Oh, 311 Birch Avenue. It didn't completely burn down, but quite a bit of fire damage was done. Q. Gosh. I'm sorry to hear that. A. Thank you. A. And that's all in the legislative district let me strike that. You live in Legislative District 13 15? A. 15. Q. 15. And you've lived there during the course of this moving because of the house fire? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Mr. Garcia, have you ever been deposed before? A. Oh, 311 Birch Avenue. It didn't completely to ask my next question, and vice versa. Does that work? A. Yes. Q. And you also understand that you're under oath here? A. Yes. Q. If I ask you a question and you don't understand it, can you please ask me to clarify that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I just want to be sure that you understand the questions I'm asking, and that your answers actually go to the questions that I'm asking. Okay? A. Yes.	4		4	Understood?
A. Oh, 311 Birch Avenue. It didn't completely burn down, but quite a bit of fire damage was done. Q. Gosh. I'm sorry to hear that. A. Thank you. Q. And that's all in the legislative district let me strike that. You live in Legislative District 13 15? A. 15. Q. 15. And you've lived there during the course of this moving because of the house fire? A. Yes. O. Okay. I just want to be sure that your answers actually go to the questions that I'm asking. before? A. Yes. Okay? A. Yes. A. Yes. Okay? A. Yes.	5			
burn down, but quite a bit of fire damage was done. Q. Gosh. I'm sorry to hear that. A. Thank you. Q. And that's all in the legislative district let me strike that. You live in Legislative District 13 15? A. 15. Q. 15. And you've lived there during the course of this moving because of the house fire? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Mr. Garcia, have you ever been deposed before? A. Yes. Does that work? A. Yes. Q. And you also understand that you're under oath here? 11 here? A. Yes. Q. If I ask you a question and you don't understand it, can you please ask me to clarify that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I just want to be sure that you understand the questions I'm asking, and that your answers actually go to the questions that I'm asking. Okay? A. Yes.	6			
9 A. Yes. 10 A. Thank you. 11 Q. And that's all in the legislative district 12 let me strike that. 13 You live in Legislative District 13 15? 14 A. 15. 15 Q. 15. And you've lived there during the course 16 of this moving because of the house fire? 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. Okay. Mr. Garcia, have you ever been deposed 19 before? 20 A. Yes. 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. And you also understand that you're under oath here? 11 here? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. If I ask you a question and you don't understand it, can you please ask me to clarify that? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. Okay. I just want to be sure that you understand the questions I'm asking, and that your answers actually go to the questions that I'm asking. 19 Okay? 20 A. Yes. 20 A. Yes.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
A. Thank you. Q. And that's all in the legislative district let me strike that. You live in Legislative District 13 15? A. 15. Q. 15. And you've lived there during the course of this moving because of the house fire? A. Yes. Of this moving because of the house fire? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Mr. Garcia, have you ever been deposed before? A. Yes. Cokay? A. Yes. A. And you also understand that you're under oath here? A. Yes. A. Yes. A. Yes. C. If I ask you a question and you don't understand it, can you please ask me to clarify that? A. Yes. C. Okay. I just want to be sure that you understand the questions I'm asking, and that your answers actually go to the questions that I'm asking. Okay? A. Yes.				
11 Q. And that's all in the legislative district 12 let me strike that. 13 You live in Legislative District 13 15? 14 A. 15. 15 Q. 15. And you've lived there during the course 16 of this moving because of the house fire? 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. Okay. Mr. Garcia, have you ever been deposed 19 before? 10 here? 11 here? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. If I ask you a question and you don't 14 understand it, can you please ask me to clarify that? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. Okay. I just want to be sure that you 17 understand the questions I'm asking, and that your 18 answers actually go to the questions that I'm asking. 19 Okay? 20 A. Yes. 20 A. Yes.	-		_	
let me strike that. You live in Legislative District 13 15? A. 15. Q. 15. And you've lived there during the course of this moving because of the house fire? A. Yes. C. Okay. Mr. Garcia, have you ever been deposed before? A. Yes. A. Yes. A. Yes. A. Yes. A. Yes. C. Okay. I just want to be sure that you understand the questions I'm asking, and that your answers actually go to the questions that I'm asking. Okay? A. Yes.		•	1	-
You live in Legislative District 13 15? A. 15. Q. 15. And you've lived there during the course of this moving because of the house fire? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Mr. Garcia, have you ever been deposed before? A. Yes. Q. If I ask you a question and you don't understand it, can you please ask me to clarify that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I just want to be sure that you understand the questions I'm asking, and that your answers actually go to the questions that I'm asking. Okay? A. Yes.			1	
A. 15. Q. 15. And you've lived there during the course of this moving because of the house fire? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I just want to be sure that you understand the questions I'm asking, and that your answers actually go to the questions that I'm asking. before? A. Yes. Okay? A. Yes.			1	
15 Q. 15. And you've lived there during the course 16 of this moving because of the house fire? 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. Okay. Mr. Garcia, have you ever been deposed 19 before? 19 Okay? 20 A. Yes. 21 A. Yes. 22 A. Yes. 23 A. Yes. 24 A. Yes. 25 A. Yes. 26 A. Yes. 27 A. Yes. 28 A. Yes. 29 A. Yes.				
of this moving because of the house fire? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I just want to be sure that you understand the questions I'm asking, and that your answers actually go to the questions that I'm asking. Defore? A. Yes. Okay. I just want to be sure that you understand the questions I'm asking, and that your answers actually go to the questions that I'm asking. Okay? A. Yes.				
A. Yes. Q. Okay. Mr. Garcia, have you ever been deposed before? A. Yes. 17 understand the questions I'm asking, and that your answers actually go to the questions that I'm asking. Okay? A. Yes. A. Yes.				
18 Q. Okay. Mr. Garcia, have you ever been deposed 19 before? 20 A. Yes. 18 answers actually go to the questions that I'm asking. 19 Okay? 20 A. Yes.		_		• •
19 before? 19 Okay? 20 A. Yes. 20 A. Yes.				•
20 A. Yes. 20 A. Yes.			1	
				•
Q. Can you tell me about that?				
22 A. I was de I was deposed many years ago when 22 but if you do need a break at any time, you should feel			1	
23 I was with the military because of a business 23 free to ask for one. I'd just ask that, if there's a		the state of the s	1	
•				question pending, you answer that question before taking
25 had agreed upon a certain price, and we didn't he 25 a break. Okay?				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		The angles of the second secon		

Benancio Garcia III

Page 9 Page 11 1 A. Yes. 1 Q. And do you still work for the federal 2 2 Q. Now, Mr. Garcia, is there any reason you can't government? 3 give full, accurate, and truthful testimony here today? 3 A. No. 4 4 Q. When did you stop working for the federal 5 Q. You're not sick or taking any medications or 5 government? 6 anything like that, that affect your memory or your 6 A. In April of 2019. 7 cognitive function? 7 Q. So now you're just enjoying retirement? 8 A. No. 8 A. I ran for U.S. Congress. That's why I left the 9 Q. Okay. Your attorney might at some point object 9 federal government. 10 to my questions. Those objections are mostly just for 10 Q. And what party did you run -- well, let me back the record. So unless Mr. Stokesbary tells you not to 11 11 up. 12 answer, you still need to answer my question. Which congressional district did you run from? 12 13 Does that make sense? 13 A. 4th Congressional District. 14 A. Yes. 14 Q. That's federal congress; correct? 15 Q. Okay. Mr. Garcia, what did you do to prepare 15 A. Correct. today for this deposition? Q. And what party did you run as? 16 16 17 A. I didn't prepare for this deposition. 17 A. Republican. 18 Q. You didn't have any meetings with Counsel? 18 Q. And why did you choose to run for congress, 19 A. Well, we spoke about -- a little bit about me 19 Mr. Garcia? 20 showing up, and not so much showing up, but doing a 20 A. Because I believe in protecting our 21 21 Zoom. But I was already here, so I really didn't have constitution as it was written, and I've served in 22 much to say. 22 combat to protect those rights, and I truly believe in 23 23 Most of my information came in, was through the the American dream. 2.4 2.4 subpoena. So that's why I planned to be here and didn't And I believe, in my life history, being Ebony 25 have a laptop and expected to be here at this location 25 Senate rep in college, being part of LULAC, being part Page 10 Page 12 1 that I feel awkward about. 1 of the Republican National Hispanic Assembly, I believe 2 Q. Understood. I do apologize for that. 2 that we should all have fair representation according to 3 Did you speak with anyone besides 3 our laws. 4 Mr. Stokesbary about this deposition? 4 Q. And what does that mean, "fair representation A. Yes. The attorney that's right here that I'm 5 5 according to our laws"? 6 6 using the office for because we were both confused. A. Fair representation meaning to me, like in our 7 7 Q. Fair. 4th Congressional District, we are largely a Did you review any documents in preparation for 8 8 Latino-based district, and it would only make sense that 9 this deposition? 9 leadership qualities in the sense of the district 10 A. Other than what my attorney, Drew Stokesbary, 10 population, that you would see a fair number of Latino 11 had -- we'd written in the Complaint versus Steve Hobbs. 11 representation. But whether it's on the other side of 12 12 Q. Fair enough. the mountains, if it's the Vietnamese district, or 13 Mr. Garcia, what do you do for a living right 13 Russian district, you would see fair representation. 14 14 Q. Okay. And why did you feel like you were the now? 15 right person to represent the people in the 4th 15 A. I'm retired military. Q. Okay. And what did you do before you retired **Congressional District?** 16 16 from the military? No. That was a -- that was a 17 17 A. I grew up there. I was born there. I 18 foolish question. 18 understand our culture there. We're a rural area. I've How long were you in the military, Mr. Garcia? 19 19 been able to make a difference in approximately 1,000 20 A. I was in the military from -- active duty 20 households being homeowners when I worked for the 21 part -- 2003 to 2008. 21 federal government. 22 Q. And what did you do after you retired from the 22 So there are over 1,000 people, families, that 23 military in 2008? 23 don't know I'm the reason why they're a homeowner. I've 24 A. I worked for a nonprofit, then worked for the 24 done many, many non- -- hours, like with AmeriCorps. 25 federal government. 25 I've worked in Granger High School. I am 51 years old,

Benancio Garcia III

Page 13 Page 15 1 and I have -- I always believe in a life of service. 1 the fact of what was being stated on the redistricting 2 2 And so my heart leads me to my desires, and that is to lines, you know, so it caught my attention. The Yakima 3 make a difference for our community and our country, and 3 Herald one, and then another friend. that's what I've been able to do in my -- my 51 years. 4 4 But when I realized the redistricting lines 5 Q. That sounds fantastic. 5 were not of my personal -- I believe are based on 6 You mentioned -- I didn't get the name of it, 6 illegal gerrymandering and based on race, and I don't 7 sorry, the Republican Hispanic -- some organization you 7 agree with that. 8 Q. Who was it who called you? were part of? 8 9 A. Yes. The Republican National Hispanic 9 A. I didn't know her very well. I met her at a --10 10 Assembly. a -- gosh. I'm trying to remember her name right now. Q. And what is the Republican National -- oh, go 11 11 I -- I can't recall her name at this point. But I met 12 12 her at a political event with both parties. So it was ahead. Sorry. 13 13 both parties event, both Democrats and Republicans in, I A. I'm sorry. It was founded in 1967, and it was 14 to get more of the Latino population organized to help 14 want to say, the Bellevue area. So -- and what was 15 them get registered to vote. And I truly believe it 15 written, like I said, in the Yakima Herald. doesn't matter which party it is, that registration for 16 Q. So this person called you out of the blue, 16 17 every American is truly important. That's why I fought 17 looking for a plaintiff for this lawsuit; is that right? 18 for the rights for us to be free. 18 A. Well --19 Q. And you also mentioned being a member of LULAC. 19 MR. STOKESBARY: Objection as to form. 20 What's LULAC? 20 BY MR. HUGHES: 21 21 A. Yes, Legal United Latin American Citizens. I Q. You can answer, Mr. Garcia. 22 22 was the secretary when it was founded, I want to say, in A. You know, I must have made an impression. 23 23 2026 in Granger, Washington. That's all I can say because I had only met her once. Q. And why did you choose to be a part of LULAC? 24 2.4 So I can't even say a friend, only because I -- I met 25 A. There are many unregistered voters, and I 25 her once, so, you know... Page 14 Page 16 1 wanted to be part of a Latino organization that has a 1 Q. I just want to be clear. Yes, this person 2 good history, and also be part of getting people 2 called you, recruiting a plaintiff for this lawsuit? 3 3 registered to vote. I strongly believe that our voting A. Let me know about the situation, which was, you 4 4 rights are so critical to each American. know -- let me know about the situation and it may be 5 5 Q. I think you touched on this a bit earlier, but something I may want to be involved in. I'm trying to 6 why is it important, in your view, that people be 6 recall the whole -- the conversation. It's been a 7 7 represented by someone who shares their -- their life little while. 8 8 experiences or understands their culture? Q. Do you recall what organization this person was 9 MR. STOKESBARY: Objection as to form. 9 with? 10 A. Well --10 A. Actually, she had ran for the state position 11 BY MR. HUGHES: 11 for OSPI. I'm just forgetting her name. Q. Was it Maia Espinoza? 12 12 Q. You can answer. 13 A. Could you repeat the question one more time? 13 A. That's correct. Q. So Ms. Espinoza reached out to you about 14 Q. Yeah. I said -- I think you touched on this 14 15 potentially serving as a -- a plaintiff in this lawsuit? 15 earlier, but why is it so important that people be 16 represented by a representative who understands their 16 A. Well, no. She didn't say personally, but she 17 culture and shares their life experiences? 17 said that I may be interested, and she didn't have much details, but we had talked a little bit because I was 18 A. I think it's important that when you share 18 19 cultural experiences, that you relate to them why it's 19 interested in her brochure that she had also. 20 so important to vote. It's just having something in 20 Q. What about her brochure? 21 common. 21 A. It was about getting people to vote. 22 Q. Mr. Garcia, how did you get involved in this 22 Q. And about when did Ms. Espinoza call you? 23 23 lawsuit? A. I can't recall. It's been a little while. 24 A. I got involved in this lawsuit by a phone call 24 Q. Did you follow the redistricting process as it 25 from someone I'd known in the political realms and also 25 was happening?

Benancio Garcia III

	Page 17		Page 19
1	A. I do.	1	doing, if any?
2	Q. Sorry. You do, or you did?	2	A. From the Complaint that was sent, I was not
3	A. No, I do.	3	very pleased whatsoever.
4	Q. So did you ever submit any comments to the	4	Q. What do you mean, "from the Complaint that was
5	Redistricting Commission about any maps?	5	sent"?
6	MR. STOKESBARY: Objection as to form.	6	A. That I filed, the lawsuit that I filed. It
7	BY MR. HUGHES:	7	also was attached, the redistricting zones that had
8	Q. When Mr. Stokesbary says objection as to form,	8	occurred throughout the process of the voting.
9	you can still answer the question, Mr. Garcia.	9	Q. Mr. Garcia, did someone send you a Complaint
10	MR. STOKESBARY: Yeah. Sorry for the	10	before you agreed to be a plaintiff in this lawsuit?
11	confusion. The only time you don't need to answer, I	11	A. No.
12	will make it very clear, and it will be when it involves	12	Q. Okay. So you didn't see a Complaint until you
13	attorney-client privileged communication between us, but	13	had agreed to be a plaintiff?
14	otherwise I'll just say it for the record, and then you	14	A. I had already so repeat that again.
15	can go ahead and answer anyway.	15	Q. You did not see a Complaint in this matter
16	THE WITNESS: Thank you. Thank you, sir.	16	until you had agreed to become a plaintiff?
17	BY MR. HUGHES:	17	A. Not from no, no.
18	Q. So let me back up and re-ask the question in	18	Q. You started saying "not from." Is there more
19	light of that interruption "interruption." I use the	19	to
20	term not pejoratively at all.	20	A. No, no. I'm sorry. I had I had not like
21	Mr. Garcia, did you ever submit any comments to	21	from the Complaint right now, why I am doing this
22	the Redistricting Commission?	22	deposition, I was unaware of any other cases. So I
23	A. I don't know if I I don't recall if I did	23	mean any other Complaints that were legal, you know,
24	submit comments. I know I have made comments about it.	24	brought against Steve Hobbs.
25	Q. To whom did you make comments about it?	25	Q. I guess I'm asking a different question.
	·		
	Page 18		Page 20
1	A. As I ran for congress. So I may give a speech	1	Did you see a copy of the Draft Complaint that
1 2	here and there.	1 2	Did you see a copy of the Draft Complaint that was filed in this matter before you agreed to become a
	here and there. Q. I hear you. I'm asking about the period during	1	
2	here and there. Q. I hear you. I'm asking about the period during which the Redistricting Commission was doing their work,	2	was filed in this matter before you agreed to become a plaintiff in this matter? A. I saw a copy, yes, I did, of my Complaint, but
2	here and there. Q. I hear you. I'm asking about the period during	2 3	was filed in this matter before you agreed to become a plaintiff in this matter? A. I saw a copy, yes, I did, of my Complaint, but not of the one that we're doing the deposition on.
2 3 4	here and there. Q. I hear you. I'm asking about the period during which the Redistricting Commission was doing their work,	2 3 4	was filed in this matter before you agreed to become a plaintiff in this matter? A. I saw a copy, yes, I did, of my Complaint, but not of the one that we're doing the deposition on. Q. So to be clear, this deposition that I'm taking
2 3 4 5	here and there. Q. I hear you. I'm asking about the period during which the Redistricting Commission was doing their work, so from about March of 2021 to November 15th of 2021.	2 3 4 5	was filed in this matter before you agreed to become a plaintiff in this matter? A. I saw a copy, yes, I did, of my Complaint, but not of the one that we're doing the deposition on.
2 3 4 5 6	here and there. Q. I hear you. I'm asking about the period during which the Redistricting Commission was doing their work, so from about March of 2021 to November 15th of 2021. Does that make sense? A. Yes. You would see it filed in my Complaint with my lawyer.	2 3 4 5 6	was filed in this matter before you agreed to become a plaintiff in this matter? A. I saw a copy, yes, I did, of my Complaint, but not of the one that we're doing the deposition on. Q. So to be clear, this deposition that I'm taking right now is in the matter of Garcia v. Hobbs. Do you understand that?
2 3 4 5 6 7	here and there. Q. I hear you. I'm asking about the period during which the Redistricting Commission was doing their work, so from about March of 2021 to November 15th of 2021. Does that make sense? A. Yes. You would see it filed in my Complaint with my lawyer. Q. So during the time in which the Redistricting	2 3 4 5 6 7	was filed in this matter before you agreed to become a plaintiff in this matter? A. I saw a copy, yes, I did, of my Complaint, but not of the one that we're doing the deposition on. Q. So to be clear, this deposition that I'm taking right now is in the matter of Garcia v. Hobbs.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	here and there. Q. I hear you. I'm asking about the period during which the Redistricting Commission was doing their work, so from about March of 2021 to November 15th of 2021. Does that make sense? A. Yes. You would see it filed in my Complaint with my lawyer. Q. So during the time in which the Redistricting Commission was drafting the current legislative plan,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	was filed in this matter before you agreed to become a plaintiff in this matter? A. I saw a copy, yes, I did, of my Complaint, but not of the one that we're doing the deposition on. Q. So to be clear, this deposition that I'm taking right now is in the matter of Garcia v. Hobbs. Do you understand that? A. Okay. No. I thought it was under the matter of Susan Soto Palmer.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	here and there. Q. I hear you. I'm asking about the period during which the Redistricting Commission was doing their work, so from about March of 2021 to November 15th of 2021. Does that make sense? A. Yes. You would see it filed in my Complaint with my lawyer. Q. So during the time in which the Redistricting Commission was drafting the current legislative plan, did you ever submit any comments through their website	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	was filed in this matter before you agreed to become a plaintiff in this matter? A. I saw a copy, yes, I did, of my Complaint, but not of the one that we're doing the deposition on. Q. So to be clear, this deposition that I'm taking right now is in the matter of Garcia v. Hobbs. Do you understand that? A. Okay. No. I thought it was under the matter of Susan Soto Palmer. Q. That's why typically an attorney would start a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	here and there. Q. I hear you. I'm asking about the period during which the Redistricting Commission was doing their work, so from about March of 2021 to November 15th of 2021. Does that make sense? A. Yes. You would see it filed in my Complaint with my lawyer. Q. So during the time in which the Redistricting Commission was drafting the current legislative plan,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	was filed in this matter before you agreed to become a plaintiff in this matter? A. I saw a copy, yes, I did, of my Complaint, but not of the one that we're doing the deposition on. Q. So to be clear, this deposition that I'm taking right now is in the matter of Garcia v. Hobbs. Do you understand that? A. Okay. No. I thought it was under the matter of Susan Soto Palmer. Q. That's why typically an attorney would start a deposition by showing you the dep notice, but, you know,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	here and there. Q. I hear you. I'm asking about the period during which the Redistricting Commission was doing their work, so from about March of 2021 to November 15th of 2021. Does that make sense? A. Yes. You would see it filed in my Complaint with my lawyer. Q. So during the time in which the Redistricting Commission was drafting the current legislative plan, did you ever submit any comments through their website or through email or anything like that? A. That I recall, no.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	was filed in this matter before you agreed to become a plaintiff in this matter? A. I saw a copy, yes, I did, of my Complaint, but not of the one that we're doing the deposition on. Q. So to be clear, this deposition that I'm taking right now is in the matter of Garcia v. Hobbs. Do you understand that? A. Okay. No. I thought it was under the matter of Susan Soto Palmer. Q. That's why typically an attorney would start a deposition by showing you the dep notice, but, you know, I play by my own rules.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	here and there. Q. I hear you. I'm asking about the period during which the Redistricting Commission was doing their work, so from about March of 2021 to November 15th of 2021. Does that make sense? A. Yes. You would see it filed in my Complaint with my lawyer. Q. So during the time in which the Redistricting Commission was drafting the current legislative plan, did you ever submit any comments through their website or through email or anything like that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	was filed in this matter before you agreed to become a plaintiff in this matter? A. I saw a copy, yes, I did, of my Complaint, but not of the one that we're doing the deposition on. Q. So to be clear, this deposition that I'm taking right now is in the matter of Garcia v. Hobbs. Do you understand that? A. Okay. No. I thought it was under the matter of Susan Soto Palmer. Q. That's why typically an attorney would start a deposition by showing you the dep notice, but, you know,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	here and there. Q. I hear you. I'm asking about the period during which the Redistricting Commission was doing their work, so from about March of 2021 to November 15th of 2021. Does that make sense? A. Yes. You would see it filed in my Complaint with my lawyer. Q. So during the time in which the Redistricting Commission was drafting the current legislative plan, did you ever submit any comments through their website or through email or anything like that? A. That I recall, no.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	was filed in this matter before you agreed to become a plaintiff in this matter? A. I saw a copy, yes, I did, of my Complaint, but not of the one that we're doing the deposition on. Q. So to be clear, this deposition that I'm taking right now is in the matter of Garcia v. Hobbs. Do you understand that? A. Okay. No. I thought it was under the matter of Susan Soto Palmer. Q. That's why typically an attorney would start a deposition by showing you the dep notice, but, you know, I play by my own rules.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	here and there. Q. I hear you. I'm asking about the period during which the Redistricting Commission was doing their work, so from about March of 2021 to November 15th of 2021. Does that make sense? A. Yes. You would see it filed in my Complaint with my lawyer. Q. So during the time in which the Redistricting Commission was drafting the current legislative plan, did you ever submit any comments through their website or through email or anything like that? A. That I recall, no. Q. Did you ever propose any redistricting plans?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	was filed in this matter before you agreed to become a plaintiff in this matter? A. I saw a copy, yes, I did, of my Complaint, but not of the one that we're doing the deposition on. Q. So to be clear, this deposition that I'm taking right now is in the matter of Garcia v. Hobbs. Do you understand that? A. Okay. No. I thought it was under the matter of Susan Soto Palmer. Q. That's why typically an attorney would start a deposition by showing you the dep notice, but, you know, I play by my own rules. So okay. Knowing now that we are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	here and there. Q. I hear you. I'm asking about the period during which the Redistricting Commission was doing their work, so from about March of 2021 to November 15th of 2021. Does that make sense? A. Yes. You would see it filed in my Complaint with my lawyer. Q. So during the time in which the Redistricting Commission was drafting the current legislative plan, did you ever submit any comments through their website or through email or anything like that? A. That I recall, no. Q. Did you ever propose any redistricting plans? A. I did not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	was filed in this matter before you agreed to become a plaintiff in this matter? A. I saw a copy, yes, I did, of my Complaint, but not of the one that we're doing the deposition on. Q. So to be clear, this deposition that I'm taking right now is in the matter of Garcia v. Hobbs. Do you understand that? A. Okay. No. I thought it was under the matter of Susan Soto Palmer. Q. That's why typically an attorney would start a deposition by showing you the dep notice, but, you know, I play by my own rules. So okay. Knowing now that we are A. That's that's why I'm confused, when I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	here and there. Q. I hear you. I'm asking about the period during which the Redistricting Commission was doing their work, so from about March of 2021 to November 15th of 2021. Does that make sense? A. Yes. You would see it filed in my Complaint with my lawyer. Q. So during the time in which the Redistricting Commission was drafting the current legislative plan, did you ever submit any comments through their website or through email or anything like that? A. That I recall, no. Q. Did you ever propose any redistricting plans? A. I did not MR. STOKESBARY: Sorry. Same objection as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	was filed in this matter before you agreed to become a plaintiff in this matter? A. I saw a copy, yes, I did, of my Complaint, but not of the one that we're doing the deposition on. Q. So to be clear, this deposition that I'm taking right now is in the matter of Garcia v. Hobbs. Do you understand that? A. Okay. No. I thought it was under the matter of Susan Soto Palmer. Q. That's why typically an attorney would start a deposition by showing you the dep notice, but, you know, I play by my own rules. So okay. Knowing now that we are A. That's that's why I'm confused, when I'm talking my Complaint, I know what my Complaint is, but I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	here and there. Q. I hear you. I'm asking about the period during which the Redistricting Commission was doing their work, so from about March of 2021 to November 15th of 2021. Does that make sense? A. Yes. You would see it filed in my Complaint with my lawyer. Q. So during the time in which the Redistricting Commission was drafting the current legislative plan, did you ever submit any comments through their website or through email or anything like that? A. That I recall, no. Q. Did you ever propose any redistricting plans? A. I did not MR. STOKESBARY: Sorry. Same objection as to form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	was filed in this matter before you agreed to become a plaintiff in this matter? A. I saw a copy, yes, I did, of my Complaint, but not of the one that we're doing the deposition on. Q. So to be clear, this deposition that I'm taking right now is in the matter of Garcia v. Hobbs. Do you understand that? A. Okay. No. I thought it was under the matter of Susan Soto Palmer. Q. That's why typically an attorney would start a deposition by showing you the dep notice, but, you know, I play by my own rules. So okay. Knowing now that we are A. That's that's why I'm confused, when I'm talking my Complaint, I know what my Complaint is, but I have not seen anyone else's Complaint. I'm sorry.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	here and there. Q. I hear you. I'm asking about the period during which the Redistricting Commission was doing their work, so from about March of 2021 to November 15th of 2021. Does that make sense? A. Yes. You would see it filed in my Complaint with my lawyer. Q. So during the time in which the Redistricting Commission was drafting the current legislative plan, did you ever submit any comments through their website or through email or anything like that? A. That I recall, no. Q. Did you ever propose any redistricting plans? A. I did not MR. STOKESBARY: Sorry. Same objection as to form. A. What you would see is my objections on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	was filed in this matter before you agreed to become a plaintiff in this matter? A. I saw a copy, yes, I did, of my Complaint, but not of the one that we're doing the deposition on. Q. So to be clear, this deposition that I'm taking right now is in the matter of Garcia v. Hobbs. Do you understand that? A. Okay. No. I thought it was under the matter of Susan Soto Palmer. Q. That's why typically an attorney would start a deposition by showing you the dep notice, but, you know, I play by my own rules. So okay. Knowing now that we are A. That's that's why I'm confused, when I'm talking my Complaint, I know what my Complaint is, but I have not seen anyone else's Complaint. I'm sorry. Q. Understood. No, no need to apologize.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	here and there. Q. I hear you. I'm asking about the period during which the Redistricting Commission was doing their work, so from about March of 2021 to November 15th of 2021. Does that make sense? A. Yes. You would see it filed in my Complaint with my lawyer. Q. So during the time in which the Redistricting Commission was drafting the current legislative plan, did you ever submit any comments through their website or through email or anything like that? A. That I recall, no. Q. Did you ever propose any redistricting plans? A. I did not MR. STOKESBARY: Sorry. Same objection as to form. A. What you would see is my objections on the Complaint that was filed.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	was filed in this matter before you agreed to become a plaintiff in this matter? A. I saw a copy, yes, I did, of my Complaint, but not of the one that we're doing the deposition on. Q. So to be clear, this deposition that I'm taking right now is in the matter of Garcia v. Hobbs. Do you understand that? A. Okay. No. I thought it was under the matter of Susan Soto Palmer. Q. That's why typically an attorney would start a deposition by showing you the dep notice, but, you know, I play by my own rules. So okay. Knowing now that we are A. That's that's why I'm confused, when I'm talking my Complaint, I know what my Complaint is, but I have not seen anyone else's Complaint. I'm sorry. Q. Understood. No, no need to apologize. So did you see the Complaint in the case that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	here and there. Q. I hear you. I'm asking about the period during which the Redistricting Commission was doing their work, so from about March of 2021 to November 15th of 2021. Does that make sense? A. Yes. You would see it filed in my Complaint with my lawyer. Q. So during the time in which the Redistricting Commission was drafting the current legislative plan, did you ever submit any comments through their website or through email or anything like that? A. That I recall, no. Q. Did you ever propose any redistricting plans? A. I did not MR. STOKESBARY: Sorry. Same objection as to form. A. What you would see is my objections on the Complaint that was filed. BY MR. HUGHES:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	was filed in this matter before you agreed to become a plaintiff in this matter? A. I saw a copy, yes, I did, of my Complaint, but not of the one that we're doing the deposition on. Q. So to be clear, this deposition that I'm taking right now is in the matter of Garcia v. Hobbs. Do you understand that? A. Okay. No. I thought it was under the matter of Susan Soto Palmer. Q. That's why typically an attorney would start a deposition by showing you the dep notice, but, you know, I play by my own rules. So okay. Knowing now that we are A. That's that's why I'm confused, when I'm talking my Complaint, I know what my Complaint is, but I have not seen anyone else's Complaint. I'm sorry. Q. Understood. No, no need to apologize. So did you see the Complaint in the case that became Garcia v. Hobbs before you agreed to become a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	here and there. Q. I hear you. I'm asking about the period during which the Redistricting Commission was doing their work, so from about March of 2021 to November 15th of 2021. Does that make sense? A. Yes. You would see it filed in my Complaint with my lawyer. Q. So during the time in which the Redistricting Commission was drafting the current legislative plan, did you ever submit any comments through their website or through email or anything like that? A. That I recall, no. Q. Did you ever propose any redistricting plans? A. I did not MR. STOKESBARY: Sorry. Same objection as to form. A. What you would see is my objections on the Complaint that was filed. BY MR. HUGHES: Q. Did you ever attend any meetings of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	was filed in this matter before you agreed to become a plaintiff in this matter? A. I saw a copy, yes, I did, of my Complaint, but not of the one that we're doing the deposition on. Q. So to be clear, this deposition that I'm taking right now is in the matter of Garcia v. Hobbs. Do you understand that? A. Okay. No. I thought it was under the matter of Susan Soto Palmer. Q. That's why typically an attorney would start a deposition by showing you the dep notice, but, you know, I play by my own rules. So okay. Knowing now that we are A. That's that's why I'm confused, when I'm talking my Complaint, I know what my Complaint is, but I have not seen anyone else's Complaint. I'm sorry. Q. Understood. No, no need to apologize. So did you see the Complaint in the case that became Garcia v. Hobbs before you agreed to become a plaintiff?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	here and there. Q. I hear you. I'm asking about the period during which the Redistricting Commission was doing their work, so from about March of 2021 to November 15th of 2021. Does that make sense? A. Yes. You would see it filed in my Complaint with my lawyer. Q. So during the time in which the Redistricting Commission was drafting the current legislative plan, did you ever submit any comments through their website or through email or anything like that? A. That I recall, no. Q. Did you ever propose any redistricting plans? A. I did not MR. STOKESBARY: Sorry. Same objection as to form. A. What you would see is my objections on the Complaint that was filed. BY MR. HUGHES: Q. Did you ever attend any meetings of the Redistricting Commission?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	was filed in this matter before you agreed to become a plaintiff in this matter? A. I saw a copy, yes, I did, of my Complaint, but not of the one that we're doing the deposition on. Q. So to be clear, this deposition that I'm taking right now is in the matter of Garcia v. Hobbs. Do you understand that? A. Okay. No. I thought it was under the matter of Susan Soto Palmer. Q. That's why typically an attorney would start a deposition by showing you the dep notice, but, you know, I play by my own rules. So okay. Knowing now that we are A. That's that's why I'm confused, when I'm talking my Complaint, I know what my Complaint is, but I have not seen anyone else's Complaint. I'm sorry. Q. Understood. No, no need to apologize. So did you see the Complaint in the case that became Garcia v. Hobbs before you agreed to become a plaintiff? A. I seen I seen the Complaint first, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	here and there. Q. I hear you. I'm asking about the period during which the Redistricting Commission was doing their work, so from about March of 2021 to November 15th of 2021. Does that make sense? A. Yes. You would see it filed in my Complaint with my lawyer. Q. So during the time in which the Redistricting Commission was drafting the current legislative plan, did you ever submit any comments through their website or through email or anything like that? A. That I recall, no. Q. Did you ever propose any redistricting plans? A. I did not MR. STOKESBARY: Sorry. Same objection as to form. A. What you would see is my objections on the Complaint that was filed. BY MR. HUGHES: Q. Did you ever attend any meetings of the Redistricting Commission? A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	was filed in this matter before you agreed to become a plaintiff in this matter? A. I saw a copy, yes, I did, of my Complaint, but not of the one that we're doing the deposition on. Q. So to be clear, this deposition that I'm taking right now is in the matter of Garcia v. Hobbs. Do you understand that? A. Okay. No. I thought it was under the matter of Susan Soto Palmer. Q. That's why typically an attorney would start a deposition by showing you the dep notice, but, you know, I play by my own rules. So okay. Knowing now that we are A. That's that's why I'm confused, when I'm talking my Complaint, I know what my Complaint is, but I have not seen anyone else's Complaint. I'm sorry. Q. Understood. No, no need to apologize. So did you see the Complaint in the case that became Garcia v. Hobbs before you agreed to become a plaintiff? A. I seen I seen the Complaint first, yes. Q. And who sent you that Complaint?

Benancio Garcia III

Page 23 Page 21 1 A. Yes. 1 me. 2 2 Q. Okay. When do you first recall seeing the Q. Mr. Garcia, I think I saw you open a binder or 3 final maps proposed by the Redistricting Commission? 3 flipping a binder while you answered that guestion. 4 A. You know, the final maps, once my Complaint was 4 What do you have in front of you right now? 5 put in, there was still quite a bit of -- as you can see 5 A. My Complaint. 6 in the Yakima Herald, still a lot of issues on 6 Q. Anything else? 7 determining when those maps were going to be completely 7 A. No. 8 8 adjudicated through the State. So that, you know, Q. Okay. 9 through the -- my election process, it -- it wasn't 9 A. My Complaint. 10 10 Q. Fair. So -until much later. My Complaint came first. Q. Had you seen the final maps then before you 11 11 A. Oh, the subpoenas. The subpoenas. Because I 12 filed your Complaint? needed to know the location. So I just have the 12 13 A. They -- the -- the final maps that were 13 subpoenas and my Complaint and my legal letter of 14 proposed, they -- they -- you know, I had seen the final 14 agreement with my attorney. That is all I have. 15 maps, I guess, would be yes. 15 Q. Understood. Q. So you had seen the final maps before you filed 16 16 So what about the shape of the district, the 17 your Complaint; is that -- I'm understanding? 17 lack of a freeway boundary, the things you just A. Yes. Because there was -- the representative 18 18 mentioned, what about that indicated to you that this 19 19 was a racially gerrymandered district? for 15th District was no longer going to be running at 20 20 A. Well -that time, or moving to a new location. 21 21 Q. And when do you recall seeing the final maps MR. STOKESBARY: Objection to the extent 22 for the first time? 22 that calls for a legal conclusion. 23 A. I want to say, oh, my goodness, in the summer 23 A. Well, I have seen many maps in my lifetime. 24 I've done many home loans for the federal government. 2.4 last year. 25 Q. So the summer of 2022 was the first time you 25 I've been trained for community facilities for the Page 22 Page 24 1 saw the final maps? 1 federal government. So I have to understand boundaries. 2 2 And sometimes, for whatever decision, congress can waive A. Yeah. The final maps, yeah. 3 Q. What was your impression of those maps when you 3 this or that for that particular city to allow loans to 4 4 saw them? be done. 5 5 A. I was not -- I was not pleased. And to my familiarity of growing up here and my 6 6 Q. And why not? work experience, it didn't make sense to me, other than 7 7 A. Because it was based on racial gerrymandering illegal racial gerrymandering, and that's just been my 8 8 on the legal district, in my opinion. lifetime experience of working ten-plus years for the 9 9 Q. And what was the basis for your opinion? federal government and understanding community 10 A. According to the other maps I had seen, it was 10 facilities. 11 just based on racial gerrymandering, illegal 11 And I've had to be familiarized with SEPA, NEPA 12 12 gerrymandering. environmental protection acts, also understanding 13 Q. What makes you think the maps were racially 13 endangered species list, understanding local, federal 14 14 gerrymandered? laws, and state laws on how they apply. 15 15 A. They were drawn up very --And when you have that type of experience, when MR. STOKESBARY: Sorry. Objection to the 16 16 something looks wrong, and to me, racial gerrymandering 17 extent that calls for a legal conclusion. 17 is in this presence, in my opinion. 18 BY MR. HUGHES: 18 BY MR. HUGHES: 19 Q. You can answer. 19 Q. Do you have an understanding -- you just 20 20 A. Well, let me -- give me one moment. mentioned several laws there. The maps cross over from re- -- recalling three Do you have an understanding, even a lay 21 21 22 different counties. There is no particular freeway 22 understanding, of any of the laws governing 23 23 boundary that's on there. They were very unusual than redistricting in this state? 24 any other map. Also the large population that was drawn 24 A. I'm going to say no in -- in this state, other 25 was basically Hispanic, and they didn't make sense to 25 than what I've read in Yakima Herald or -- or other

25

Q. Do you know Paul Graves?

Benancio Garcia III

Page 25 Page 27 1 things, you know. So no. 1 A. I have contacted him once before, twice before, 2 2 Q. And what have you read in the Yakima Herald or yes. 3 other places that you just mentioned? 3 Q. How do you know Paul Graves? 4 A. I'm trying to recall, but obviously districts 4 A. Paul Graves. I was given a number that speaks 5 or where you're going to go ahead and meet with people 5 to Paul Graves on redistricting, and we had discussed it 6 are important to know, and the change of potential 6 for a few minutes on the redistricting. 7 represent- -- representation is critical to know. 7 Q. And what did you discuss? 8 And in this case, it seemed to be a very 8 A. I could say that we agreed that -- that the 9 drastic change, and I can say that with LULAC, bringing 9 redistricting seemed to be racial gerrymandering. 10 up the Perry case in my Complaint seemed to fit that 10 Q. Can you -- do you remember anything else about that discussion? 11 description. 11 12 Q. What specifically about the map do you -- looks 12 A. No. It was very brief. It was very brief. I 13 wrong to you? And when I -- when I say that, what I 13 mean, I don't recall everything. I don't recall the mean is, are there any specific geographical guirks or 14 14 conversation -- all the conversation. I'm just letting 15 anything like that, that you think is only explicable by 15 you -- I don't recall the -- but it was a brief 16 16 race? conversation. 17 A. Going through three counties is a little 17 Q. Was it a phone call? In person? Zoom? 18 different, and not really, like I said, you know, 18 A. No, it was a phone call. Yeah, no, it was a 19 hitting any particular landmark such as the Highway 82 19 20 or other things and drastically changing it, to me 20 Q. And about when was that conversation? 21 that's -- there was a lot of racial gerrymandering that 21 A. I want to say the winter -- early winter 2022, 22 occurred, personally, in my opinion. 22 possibly. 23 Q. Drastically changing it from what? Q. So approximately January, February 2022? 23 2.4 A. It could be. You know, I -- I've had a lot of A. To -- to making sure that -- that leadership 2.4 25 changed in -- in fair elections. 25 phone calls, so I apologize. Page 26 Page 28 1 Q. Mr. Garcia, I think I just heard you say that 1 Q. Sure. 2 the legislative district was drastically changed. And 2 A. Running for congress, I've spoken to a lot of 3 what I'm asking is, drastically changed from what? 3 politicians on both ends. 4 A. Well, there's no land- -- particular landmark 4 Q. I can't even imagine. 5 5 in that case, or highway, like I said, 82. Look, most A. So I know it was a brief conversation. And I 6 of the district was in Yakima County, most of that 6 don't recall everything on that conversation, so I 7 7 district on 15th, and it drastically changed to three apologize. 8 8 other counties. And it's very unusual. Q. To your memory, who was it that first 9 9 And you can look at the Complaint. I mean, you suggested, in this phone call, that LD 15 was racial 10 don't have to agree with it, but, you know, you can see 10 gerrymandering? Was it you or Mr. Graves? 11 in the Complaint why -- you know, how it's drastically 11 A. I don't recall. 12 12 changed. It's kind of hard to describe sometimes, but, Q. You recall, though, that you both agreed with 13 you know, it is there for everyone to see. 13 Q. No, understood. I'm just trying to get a sense 14 14 A. I do -- I do recall that, yes, the sentiments 15 of your understanding of the Complaint because this is 15 were there. I mean, we didn't flat-out agree, but I had your lawsuit ultimately. 16 looked more into -- into things at that point. 16 17 A. Uh-huh. It is. 17 Q. You didn't flat-out agree. What does that 18 Q. Mr. Garcia, do you know any of the 18 mean? 19 redistricting commissioners? 19 A. In other words, he didn't say clearly, oh, I 20 A. Do I know any of the redistricting 20 agree that this -- you know. But we were -- we were 21 commissioners? No, not -- not really. I mean, the ones 21 talking in that perspective. 22 Q. Do you remember what he did say? that determined -- I think there was seven of them. 22 23 Q. Well, let me go to -- do you know Joe Fain? 23 A. No, I don't. Q. And you said you were given a number to speak 24 A. Not -- no. 24

with Mr. Graves. Who gave you the number?

25

Benancio Garcia III

Page 29 Page 31 Q. And she put you in touch with Paul Graves; 1 A. That would have been Maia. 1 2 2 Q. Maia Espinoza? correct? 3 A. That's correct. 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. Okay. Would that have been in the first call 4 Q. What happened after you talked to Paul Graves? 5 with her? 5 A. I contacted -- I -- I went ahead and started 6 A. I would assume so. 6 looking more into the redistricting. And so, you know, 7 Q. How many phone calls did you have with 7 it's, like I said, a change in leadership, from Jeremie 8 8 Dupree [sic], who was the 15th District congress -- I Ms. Espinoza? 9 A. I had a few because I wanted to get pamphlets 9 mean, representative there, and I know Jeremie because 10 and talk about the pamphlets to hand out to people to 10 he's also military. So I had a lot of concerns. And the fact that register to vote, what their rights are, civics. It was 11 11 the newspaper had stated that he would have to move if 12 12 a civics pamphlet. 13 Q. So you had a few conversations in which --13 he were going to go ahead and stay the representative for the next election. 14 14 15 Did you have a few conversations in which this 15 So I had looked -- I had been looking into what 16 litigation was discussed, or the possibility of --16 was happening on 15th District, not only as my 17 A. With her -- say that again? 17 representative, but I know Jeremie very -- I know 18 Q. Yeah. Did you have multiple conversations with 18 Jeremie. We served on the board together with the 19 Ms. Espinoza in which you talked about a potential 19 Yakima County Veterans Coalition. And so, you know, I 20 racial gerrymandering lawsuit? 20 was concerned about why these things were happening, you 21 A. No. No. We spoke about me wanting to see 21 know. 22 about the civics, getting pamphlets, and we also spoke 22 Q. Understood. 23 about speaking to Paul. But, you know, that -- some of 23 And, Mr. Garcia, when you say Jeremie Dupree, 2.4 the challenges to try to get a number of pamphlets, they 2.4 do you mean Jeremie Dufault? 25 cost quite a -- you know, they cost money. 2.5 A. Dufault. I'm sorry. Jeremie Dufault. Sorry. Page 30 Page 32 1 And unfortunately, I wasn't able to get my --1 Q. No worries. 2 you know, get as many as I would have wanted to pass out 2 When you said that you started looking into 3 3 this after you spoke with Paul Graves, what did you do to people to vote, so -- we -- we live -- I haven't 4 4 besides speaking with Mr. Dufault? spoken to her too much. It's been a long time. 5 Q. So let me try and get the order of events here. 5 A. Actually, I didn't speak with Mr. Dufault. I 6 6 A. Uh-huh. know him because we -- like I said, we served on boards 7 Q. So the first time this came up for you, a 7 together. 8 8 potential racial gerrymandering lawsuit, was when Maia Q. Okay. 9 Espinoza called you; correct? 9 A. And I was concerned because I believe he's a 10 A. I had -- I had contacted her before, before the 10 very good representative. Might not agree with 11 civics pamphlets. 11 everything, but certainly a representative that I think 12 Q. About a potential gerrymandering claim? 12 has good intentions. 13 A. No, no, no, no, no. I had contacted her 13 Q. Okay. So, Mr. Garcia, you were upset that 14 14 before, and she had been pretty politically busy. the --15 15 But --A. I wasn't upset. I was concerned. 16 Q. Fair. You were concerned that the redrawn Q. Understood. 16 LD 15 districted out Jeremie Dufault? 17 A. You know, we got to talking about that during 17 18 one of the phone conversations. 18 A. Yes. Q. So, Mr. Garcia, again, what I'm trying to do Q. And is that part of why you were considering 19 19 20 here is just connect the dots in how you got to become a bringing this lawsuit? 20 21 plaintiff in this lawsuit. 21 A. The reason I brought this lawsuit, after 22 So I'm just asking about that for now. So the 22 looking at things, and thinking about it, is, I do 23 first thing that happened was Maia Espinoza called you; 23 believe it is based on racial gerrymandering. That is 24 correct? 24 the reason. 25 A. Correct. 25 Irregardless if it was Jeremie that would maybe

2.4

Garcia III v. Hobbs, et ano. / Palmer v. Hobbs, et al.

Benancio Garcia III

Page 35

Page 36

Page 33

lose the election, whoever it may be, I'm more concerned about the right thing happening than anything else.
That is what I am concerned about.

And that is just my opinion, that it is -- this redistricting is very different than any other in the past, and that it's based on racial gerrymandering. And it is not something that's not too hard to believe. You know, you could research -- you know, I mean, the Complaint that we put on was an example of LULAC.

- Q. So after you spoke with Mr. Graves, you said you looked into matters, or I don't remember the exact language, but what did you do after you spoke with Mr. Graves, specifically, in consideration of bringing this lawsuit?
- A. Let me think about that. I believe I spoke to my attorney.
- Q. Did Mr. Graves give you Mr. Stokesbary's contact information?
 - A. You know, I don't recall that, if he did.
- Q. Do you recall how you decided on -- or first reached out to Mr. Stokesbary?

A. You know, I don't recall exactly how I ended up getting to speaking to my attorney. And like I said, you know, I had had a house fire. I made a lot of political phone calls.

this lawsuit, or in considering bringing this lawsuit?
Did you freeze, or am I frozen?

MR. STOKESBARY: I think he might have frozen.

Benancio, are you there?

MR. HUGHES: Could we go off the record for a second?

(Pause in proceedings.)

BY MR. HUGHES:

Q. So let me just re-ask the question, Mr. Garcia, before you froze.

So based on what you told me, it sounds like what happened was, Maia Espinoza called you, suggested you call Paul Graves. You then called Paul Graves, and the next person you talked to about this lawsuit was Drew Stokesbary. Is that right?

A. Could very well be. Could very well be. Like I said, you know, I can't exactly recall because I was talking to a lot of people, paying attention to what was going on, and concerned about the re- -- the illegal racial gerrymandering redistricting that occurred.

Q. Is there anyone else you spoke to about potentially bringing this lawsuit?

A. You know what? I -- I did bring it up at times when I was campaigning, that I was the only candidate

Page 34

I was concerned about, you know, civil rights and many other things. And so to be honest, at this moment, I can't say I recall how I got to Drew's number. But it wouldn't surprise me if I found it in research or maybe, you know, somebody had made a suggestion as I was doing political points, talking about why I'm running and whatnot. So to be honest, I can't exactly say I recall how I got to Drew's number.

Q. Did you know Mr. Stokesbary before he was your lawyer?

A. No.

Q. Did you know who he was?

A. I had heard of him because, when you're run---being -- challenging for U.S. Congress, other people have heard about him and wanted his endorsement. So, you know, I had known of him somewhat. But I couldn't quite put a picture -- a name to, you know, a face.

Q. Had you read his Wikipedia page?

A. No, actually, I hadn't. So I apologize.
Q. So just to -- no need to apologize for that.
Just to connect the dots fully then, so Maia
Espinoza called you, suggested you call Paul Graves.
You talked to Paul Graves. And then the next person you talked to about this was Drew Stokesbary? Is there

anyone else you spoke to in consideration of bringing

that's currently running that is fighting the redistricting, yes. So there were many people, obviously, that -- that heard me say that.

Q. Aside from some public statements, have you spoken with anyone in the Republican Party about this lawsuit?

- A. Well, a lot of those people were Republicans that I spoke to when I said those things.
- Q. Right. So I'm asking, aside from public statements, did you ever speak with any Republican officials, or, you know, Republican --

A. Well --

Q. -- staffers --

A. -- to many -- I mean, look, as far as when I'm out there in the 4th District, you know, if it was Benton County Republican Party or whatnot, during the vetting system, I would have made it clear that I am the only candidate that's running -- and it was a general -- it didn't get into detail or anything, but it was in generality of why I feel that I want to defend this.

So I mean, I spoke to a lot of people.

Q. Do you know -- sorry.

A. I spoke to a lot of people. A lot of people, you know, whether they be PCOs or whether they be chairmen at meetings and whatnot, I did bring it up,

Benancio Garcia III

Page 37 Page 39 Q. Have you ever discussed redistricting with 1 yes. 1 2 2 Q. Do you know Jim Troyer? Mr. Trevino? 3 A. No. I know a lot of people, but there's a lot 3 A. No. 4 of people you meet. And to be honest, I probably don't 4 Q. Have you ever talked to him about any lawsuits? 5 know him personally, but I might have ran into him as I 5 A. In regards to this? 6 was campaigning. So I apologize. 6 Q. Yeah. 7 Q. Fair. 7 A. No. The last time I -- I actually -- I -- I --8 So, Mr. Garcia, I was asking you about the 8 yeah. I had dinner with Mr. Trevino and his wife. This was at the Olive Garden about five years ago or so. But 9 commissioners and whether you'd spoken with any of them, 9 10 and I got about halfway through. So I want to return to 10 I do know Jose. Q. That was the last time you met with him, 11 11 12 Have you ever spoken -- do you know April Sims? 12 though? 13 13 A. Not that I am aware of. A. That I -- I would say met with him, but maybe 14 Q. Do you know Brady Piñero Walkinshaw? 14 also at something at KDNA, which is in dis- -- where 15 A. Not that I'm aware of. I'm recalling the 15 he's the mayor at in Granger. You know, with maybe 16 16 Yakima Herald's pictures of the commissioners on that, LULAC or something like that, you know. 17 and how they weren't able to meet the deadline that is 17 Q. What's KDNA? 18 the RCW in order to go ahead and finalize the -- the 18 A. That's the radio -- Latino radio station. 19 19 Q. Okay. adjudication of the commission, of that district. 20 20 A. Yeah. So I know they had certainly surfaced the time 21 limit in which the law gives them, and their pictures 2.1 Q. Do you know Alex Ybarra? 22 were put out. So putting a face to a name, you know, on 22 A. I've met him, yes. 23 Q. And how do you know Mr. Ybarra? any of them, I really couldn't. But did I read about 23 24 it? Yes. 2.4 A. The first time I knew about him was at the 25 Q. But you never spoke with either Ms. Sims or 25 event that Mr. Honeyford had mentioned, I believe that Page 38 Page 40 1 Mr. Walkinshaw? 1 was in Bellevue, where I met Maia. It was both -- it 2 A. You know, I can't say I haven't, but Sims 2 was an open party event, so, you know, you had a lot of 3 sounds familiar. So Sims sounds familiar, but not --3 Democrats, you had a lot of Republicans who were there, 4 not that I can recall. I mean, like I said, in passing, 4 and he was a guest speaker. And I heard him speak, and 5 because I also came up to this side of the mountains 5 I thought it was very nice. 6 often and spoke to a lot of people. So... 6 Q. And you met him after he spoke? 7 Q. And for the record, this side of the mountains, 7 A. I did. I shook hands with him. I was -- I was 8 you're referring to the western side of the mountains 8 very pleased to know that he was from the Ephrata area. 9 right now? 9 He's also state representative. And to hear his story 10 A. Yes, the western side. Yes, yes, the western 10 from others working in the fields to -- you know, his 11 side. 11 parents, I could relate to that. And working for NASA, 12 Q. Do you know Sarah Augustine? 12 and then coming back home to want to make a difference 13 A. Not -- not that I recall. 13 in his community. 14 Q. Okay. Do you know Jose Trevino? 14 Q. Have you ever discussed redistricting with 15 A. I do. 15 Representative Ybarra? Q. How do you know Mr. Trevino? 16 16 A. No. A. I've known Mr. Trevino for a number of years. 17 Q. Do you know Ismael Campos? 17 18 He was --18 A. I believe so, yes. Campos family, yes. 19 Q. How -- go ahead. Q. How do you know Mr. Campos? 19 20 A. Sorry. 20 A. If it's the same one I'm thinking about, he 21 Q. Go ahead. 2.1 used to be law enforcement. 22 A. Oh, he is from Sunnyside. I grew up in 22 Q. I believe he also goes by Mel Campos, if 23 Sunnyside. I know he was a police officer at one time. 23 that --24 And so I've known him a number of years, and he's also 24 A. I'm sorry. Say again? 25 the mayor of Granger. 25 Q. I believe he also goes by Mel Campos, if that

Benancio Garcia III

Page 43 Page 41 changes anything for you. 1 1 A. I want to change the map to where I feel that 2 2 A. I could be mixing him up with one of his it was probably -- its original, the way it originally 3 brothers, so I apologize if I am. There's a large 3 was, as I -- you know, as a voter. So hopefully it goes 4 family, and -- you know, so I -- I am -- I'm sure I know 4 back, but that will be up to the court system to decide 5 who you're talking about. He was former law enforcement 5 whether I'm right or wrong. 6 out of Wapato. 6 Q. So, Mr. Garcia, is your goal in this lawsuit 7 Q. Have you ever discussed redistricting with 7 then to have the map set back to the -- the map that was 8 in effect from 2012 to whenever this new map came into Mr. Campos? 8 9 9 effect? A. No. 10 10 Q. Do you know his brother Pablo, or Paul, Campos? A. Well, the goal in my lawsuit is to determine 11 A. I know a few of his brothers, but the names 11 whether I am right in my beliefs that this was made 12 sometimes skip me, so... 12 illegally through racial gerrymandering for a decision 13 13 Q. I hear you. to be made amongst my peers for the courts. That is my 14 MR HUGHES: This would be a good time for me 14 goal. Because I strongly believe in protecting our 15 to take a break, if it works for everyone else. 15 freedoms. 16 16 Q. And if you are right, if the Court finds you Do you want to take a break now? 17 THE WITNESS: That would be fine with me. 17 are right, what do you want to happen? 18 (Recess from 10:00 a.m. to 10:07 a.m.) 18 A. Well, that we go through -- if the courts 19 19 have -- findings are that I am correct, then we go EXAMINATION (Continuing) 20 BY MR. HUGHES: 20 through the process again to determine the map. Q. Mr. Garcia, welcome back. 21 Q. Understood. 21 Is there any -- never mind. 22 A. Thank you. 22 Q. Do you believe that you were harmed by the Mr. Garcia, do you know Nikki Torres? 23 23 current boundaries of LD 15? 24 2.4 A. I do know her, yes. 25 A. Yes. 25 Q. How do you know her? Page 42 Page 44 1 Q. And how? 1 A. Well, running through the campaign. So you 2 2 meet a lot of people, you know. So I met her in A. Due to the fact it's illegal gerry- -- racial 3 3 Tri-Cities when I was campaigning, at a parade. gerrymandering, that's how I'm harmed. 4 Q. And Ms. Torres is your state senator now? 4 Q. Okay. Can you say more about that? 5 5 A. Yes. A. You know, I -- being part of LULAC, like I told 6 Q. Okay. So I will do her the honor of calling 6 you, the United Latin American Citizens, we had gotten a 7 her Senator Torres then. 7 chapter there. I was familiar with at least knowing 8 8 A. Okay. that LULAC, which is the oldest Hispanic organization in 9 Q. Do you like Senator Torres? 9 the country, had felt the same -- had the same feelings 10 A. Well, she was fine to me, so we met. I don't 10 I did when, you know, at that time they taken Perry, 11 know her personally, but she seemed like a nice person, 11 Governor Perry, on a civil litigation -- civil 12 12 litigation, and defended the rights of American voters. 13 Q. Well, I won't ask you how you voted because I 13 So I feel the same way in this case. 14 think that's probably private, but do you like having 14 Q. In this -- oh, sorry. Go ahead. 15 Senator Torres as your state rep- -- state senator? 15 A. I just feel the same way. 16 A. You know, Ms. Torres, I am fine with. She Q. What's your goal in this lawsuit? 16 17 seems nice. 17 A. The goal is to have it adjudicated to determine 18 Q. Do you want Ms. Torres to keep representing 18 to the people that, you know, if I'm right or wrong on 19 you? 19 my beliefs, that this was done illegal ger- -- racial 20 A. Well, I will say no. 20 gerrymandering, at least I did my due diligence, and 21 Q. Why is that? 21 like I -- me protecting my country in combat, to me 22 A. Because I believe the redistricting was illegal 22 protecting my rights -- voting rights. 23 gerrymandering. It's not on the individual themselves. Q. Do you want to change the map? 23 24 It is the fact that I believe it was racially illegally 24 A. Yes. 25 gerrymandered. That's my problem. 25 Q. How?

Benancio Garcia III

Page 45 Page 47 1 It's got nothing to do with any personal 1 no auestion. 2 2 representative, has nothing to do with the fact if MR. STOKESBARY: Well, I -- Andrew, I 3 Jeremie Dufault is no longer so much the representative. 3 thought the question said, did you discuss with your lawyer. That sort of is the -- the --4 It's the fact that, in my core beliefs, I strongly 4 5 believe in following our constitution, and I -- and I 5 MR. HUGHES: No. No. Let me do the 6 strongly believe in defending those rights. So it's got 6 question again. I apologize if I misspoke. 7 nothing to do personally with anyone. 7 BY MR. HUGHES: 8 Q. Understood. 8 Q. Mr. Garcia, did you ever agree that you would 9 I want to switch gears just a little bit. You 9 dismiss your lawsuit if Messrs. Trevino, Ybarra, and 10 said you're aware of the Soto Palmer v. Hobbs case; 10 Campos were allowed to bring racial gerrymandering correct? claims in Soto Palmer v. Hobbs? 11 11 12 A. Yes. When I got served the papers, and I mean 12 A. No. Q. Okay. I'm going to show you --13 by that is, I just read where I had to be, so I -- you 13 14 know, so that's what I mean. 14 A. I --Q. Do you have any understanding of what the 15 15 Q. Oh, go ahead. Sorry. Soto Palmer v. Hobbs case is about? 16 16 A. No. I brought this lawsuit on the fact that --17 17 that I believe that there was racial gerrymandering Q. You haven't read any news articles about it? 18 18 occurring, and that I would see this all the way 19 19 20 Q. Okay. I asked you earlier about Jose Trevino, 20 Q. That's important to you, that you -- you are Alex Ybarra, and Ismael Campos, and you recall that 21 21 going to see this lawsuit all the way through? discussion? 22 22 A. As important as it was for me to run for U.S. 23 Congress because I believe I will do what is the best 23 A. Yes. I do. 2.4 2.4 Q. Are you aware that Messrs. Trevino, Ybarra, and interests of 4th District. It's as important to me that 25 Trevino have intervened as defendants in the Soto Palmer 25 when I signed on to this lawsuit, that I would see to Page 46 Page 48 1 litigation? 1 the end what would occur. There's no wavering on my 2 A. I'm aware in the sense that I was served the 2 completion of this. 3 paperwork, but I -- I didn't look into -- I mean, I --3 Q. Understood. 4 all I looked at basically is where I had to be, and the 4 I'm going to show you a document real quick. 5 time of the deposition was going to be. I didn't look 5 Let me make sure I have the right one before I share my into anything more than that. 6 6 screen. 7 Q. So you said you were not aware of the 7 A. I'm sorry. I only have cell phone, and my 8 Soto Palmer litigation until you got your subpoena; 8 glasses are having difficulty viewing the document. 9 correct? 9 Q. Okay. Do you see a document on the screen 10 A. That's correct. 10 right now? 11 Q. And when did you get your subpoena? 11 A. Yes, I do. Well, it went off the screen. 12 A. Last week. 12 Q. My Zoom quit unexpectedly. Let me try this one 13 Q. Okay. So the last week of January? 13 more time. 14 A. Yes. 14 Okay. Can you see a document on my screen, 15 Q. Did you ever agree that you would dismiss your 15 Mr. Garcia? lawsuit if Messrs. Trevino, Ybarra, and Campos were 16 16 A. Yes. I do. 17 allowed to bring racial gerrymandering claims in the 17 Q. Are you able to read it? Soto Palmer case? 18 18 A. Yes. The defendants, Jose, Ismael, and Alex 19 MR. STOKESBARY: I'm going to object to the 19 Ybarra. 20 extent this calls for attorney-client communication, and Q. And you see it says, "Intervenor Defendants' 20 21 instruct you not to answer that question, Ben. 21 Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion to Bifurcate and 22 MR. HUGHES: I'm going to have to push back Transfer, Strike, and/or Dismiss Intervenors' 22 23 on that, Drew. I don't know how him making a decision Crossclaim"? 23 24 about whether he would dismiss his lawsuit is 24 A. I do see that. 25 necessarily attorney-client privileged. It's a yes or 25 Q. Have you ever seen this document before?

Benancio Garcia III

	Page 49		Page 51
1	A. I saw this document. It was served when I got	1	I just want to, again, apologize for the
2	my subpoena.	2	misunderstanding that you were under the impression you
3	Q. This particular document was served with your	3	needed to travel from your home. You could have done
4	subpoena?	4	this today from any computer anywhere.
5	A. Let me see. It might not be. Not that	5	So I do thank you for traveling, and hope at
6	particular document, no.	6	least you've had a nice visit. And I believe you're in
7	Q. Okay. So you've never seen this document	7	Tacoma; is that right?
8	before then?	8	A. Yes.
9	A. No.	9	Q. And so I just want to I'll try not to repeat
10	Q. Okay. I want to scroll down to Page 4. Do you	10	anything that Mr. Hughes asked you, but it's always hard
11	see well, let me hang on. Let me do you see	11	when there's two people taking a deposition like this,
12	here this is actually Page 7 that this is signed	12	so if I do repeat anything, I apologize.
13	by Andrew Stokesbary?	13	So I just wanted to clarify. I know you said
14	A. Correct.	14	that you've moved a couple times. Where do you
15		15	currently reside?
	Q. That's your lawyer in this case?	16	<u> </u>
16 17	A. That's correct.	17	A. 311 Birch Avenue, Grandview, Washington 98930.
17	Q. And it says here that Mr. Stokesbary also		Q. And is that your home that had the fire?
18	represents intervenor defendants?	18	A. Yes.
19	A. Yes.	19	Q. Has the have the fire damage been
20	Q. So you understand that he represents the	20	remediated?
21	intervenor defendants in the Soto Palmer case?	21	A. About 90 percent, somewhere around there.
22	A. Well, obviously he put it in writing, yes, that	22	Q. And do you intend to remain a resident there?
23	he is.	23	A. Yes.
24	Q. Okay. So I want to look at Page 4 then of this	24	Q. Now, you mentioned that you had a conversation
25	document.	25	with Paul Graves.
	Page 50		Page 52
1	A. Uh-huh.	1	
1		1	Had you known Paul before that conversation?
2	Q. And it says do you see where I'm	2	A. No, not that I'm aware of.
3	highlighting? "Intervenors' counsel represents that	3	Q. And what do you know about Mr. Graves'
4	Garcia will be voluntarily dismissed once it is clear	4	involvement as or his work as a commissioner, as a
5	that this Court will allow Intervenors' Fourth Amendment	5	redistricting commissioner?
6	Crossclaim to proceed in this case"?	6	A. Other than he's a commissioner, that's
7	Do you see that?	7	that's it. I mean, there wasn't much discussion.
8	A. Could you highlight that again?	8	Q. Did you know that Mr. Graves and his staff were
9	Q. Uh-huh.	9	responsible for drawing District 15 in the adopted plan?
10	A. I'm trying to read it. I'm sorry. It's off a	10	A. I am aware of that.
11	cell phone and that is new news to me.	11	Q. Did it strike you as unusual that he was
12	Q. You never agreed to this?	12	contacting you to discuss that the plan he had drawn was
13	A. I will never agree to not be part I agreed	13	a racial gerrymander?
14	that I would finish this all the way through to	14	A. Well, he didn't contact me. I contacted him.
15	Q. So this representation was made without your	15	Q. Your understanding was that he was expecting
16	authorization then?	16	your phone call; is that right?
17	A. Yes.	17	A. Well, I don't know if he was expecting my phone
18	MR. HUGHES: Okay. That's all I have. I'll	18	call. It's been a little while, so I can't recall the
19	stop screen sharing. And, Mark, the witness is yours.	19	whole thing. I know that Maia had given me his number.
20	MR. GABER: Thank you.	20	Q. Okay.
21	EXAMINATION	21	A. So it's been a little while, so I apologize for
22	BY MR. GABER:	22	that.
23	Q. Mr. Garcia, it's nice to meet you. My name is	23	Q. But he had a conversation with you, and you
24	Mark Gaber. I represent the plaintiffs in the	24	took away from it that he was sympathetic to what you
25	Soto Palmer case, and so we sent you the subpoena. And	25	were expressing, that you thought there was a problem

Benancio Garcia III

Page 55 Page 53 1 that District 15 was a racial gerrymander; is that -- am 1 BY MR. GABER: 2 2 Q. Yeah. Do you know why Ms. Espinoza suggested I understanding that correctly? 3 3 that you call Mr. Graves? 4 Q. And at the time, did you talk with him about 4 A. I believe that -- that she was concerned about 5 the fact that he was heavily involved in drawing 5 the redistricting. 6 6 Q. What was the purpose of calling Mr. Graves? I 7 7 mean, you had a five-minute conversation with him. A. Well, obviously he was heavily involved in 8 8 Did -- do you under- -- do you have any understanding as drawing, but I know that the committee certainly did not 9 go into complete agreement. There was a lot of issues 9 to what was to come of that conversation? 10 on that for -- and you could look at the newspaper and 10 A. You know what? No. Because she was -- Maia you could see that they had passed the deadline, which 11 11 was very brief about it, and I mean very brief. And was state law, in which they were supposed to 12 12 like I said, you know, I thought the initial 13 adjudicate, to where it actually went to the courts. 13 conversation was going to be about the -- the books, Q. Are you aware that Mr. Graves has testified in 14 14 pamphlets that she had for civics that I was interested 15 this litigation that he was involved in lighting the 15 in, because I called her, but I knew she was busy 16 16 fire for your lawsuit? because she had ran for state representative for OSPI, 17 MR. HUGHES: Object. Misstates the 17 public school -- office of school instructions. So, you 18 evidence, misstates Mr. Graves' testimony. 18 know, I knew that. I was hoping she would give me a 19 19 call back, and I was hoping to get a box of those A. I'm sorry. Say again? I didn't hear that 20 question. 20 pamphlets, you know. 21 21 Q. And I don't remember if you were asked this, so BY MR. GABER: 22 I apologize if I'm repeating something. 22 Q. Are you aware that Mr. Graves has testified 23 How did you first get in touch with that he helped light the fire for your lawsuit? 23 Mr. Stokesbary? Did you call him or did he contact you? 2.4 MR. HUGHES: Objection. Misstates 2.4 25 Mr. Graves' testimony. 25 A. No, I think it would have been -- I think --Page 54 Page 56 1 well, I shouldn't say "I think," but I probably called A. No, I didn't know Mr. Graves had taken, you 1 2 know -- had done a deposition. The same thing that, you 2 him, but I don't remember the mechanism of how because, 3 3 know -- for example, a misunderstanding, being here in you know -- or who gave me the number or whatever the 4 4 Tacoma today, when I didn't have to be here. So, you case was. That, I don't recall. 5 5 know, obviously there's not much communication until the I mean, I spoke to a lot of people. I'm very 6 6 passionate about civil rights. And, you know, I had so last minute. So... 7 7 BY MR. GABER: many things going on other than a political -- running 8 Q. But do you understand that Mr. Graves, 8 for congress, you know, dealing with moving five 9 9 nevertheless, thinks that the plan is not, in fact, a locations, basically, during this whole process. So I 10 racial gerrymander? 10 had a lot of things happening at one time. So I 11 A. He has a right to his own opinion. So I -- I 11 can't --12 don't know what -- what he -- what he said 12 Q. And just to clarify on the conversation with 13 13 Mr. Graves, was that after the map was already in place, under his deposition. 14 Q. Was it your impression, from your phone call 14 after the State Supreme Court had said, okay, that's the with him, that he wanted you to file a lawsuit? 15 15 A. No. That -- that was before, you know, before 16 A. I -- I won't say that that was the impression, 16 17 17 it went to -- way before. that he -- that he wanted me to file. But I was under 18 the impression that he wasn't content with the 18 Q. Okav. 19 redistricting. That was just my -- from -- from -- you 19 A. My -- yeah, that was way before. We're talking know, we spoke probably about five minutes or so. 2.0 20 in the wintertime. 21 Q. Do you know why Ms. Espinoza asked you to call 21 Q. And --22 22 A. And so --23 23 MR. STOKESBARY: Objection as to form. Q. Go ahead. 24 A. Could you repeat that question again? 24 A. Sorry. 25 //// 25 Q. Winter of -- so just to put a -- be more

Benancio Garcia III

Page 59 Page 57 specific, what -- generally what month and year? 1 1 Q. Does Dallin Holt ring a bell? 2 A. Before my Complaint was filed. It was months 2 A. Yes. 3 before that. 3 Q. Okay. Is that one of the -- is that who you 4 Q. Would that have been in 2021 or 2022? 4 spoke to? 5 A. The Complaint was filed --5 A. I believe so, yes. 6 Q. I think the Complaint was in the springtime of 6 Q. And do you understand that he has associates 7 7 who are -- that work with him as well? Is that right? 2021, March maybe? 8 8 A. Oh, it would have been in the wintertime. A. That is correct. 9 9 Q. And they became your attorneys, as you Yeah. It was before the Complaint. 10 Q. But it was -- am I correct that it was after 10 understand it, yesterday; is that right? the November time period of 2021 when the commission 11 11 A. That, and Drew remains my attorney, yes. approved the map, or approved the concept of the map? 12 Q. Now, you talked a little bit with Mr. Hughes 12 13 13 about this, but do you understand that your attorneys A. Probably. I would say yes, yeah. Q. Okay. Who are all of your attorneys in this also represent three individuals who have intervened as 14 14 15 case? 15 defendants in the Soto Palmer lawsuit? 16 A. You know, be honest, I just know Drew has been 16 A. Yes. That was news to me that -- that --17 my primary contact. And I met one of the -- well, 17 about -- about that, that -- at this point. Yes, this 18 didn't meet, but over the phone spoke with my attorney, 18 was just brought up. Yes. 19 19 Q. And when you say that was news to you, you mean and I apologize for not knowing his name offhand, but my 20 glasses are a little fuzzy. I should have gone with my 20 during Mr. Hughes' questions of you, that's when you 21 first learned that your attorneys also represented 21 old-school glasses. So I can't read what's in front of 22 intervenor defendants in the Soto Palmer lawsuit? 22 me right now. Q. Okay. 23 23 A. Yes. That's also news to me. 2.4 2.4 A. I should just take them off. So I apologize. Q. Are you aware of the relief that -- or the 25 Q. So do you know how many attorneys you have in 25 outcome that the intervenor defendants, who your counsel Page 58 Page 60 1 this case? represent in the Soto Palmer lawsuit, are you aware of 1 2 2 the -- what they are asking the Court to do in the A. No. Q. At some point have there been attorneys other 3 litigation? 3 4 than Mr. Stokesbary who represent you? 4 A. I wasn't aware until it was brought up to me 5 5 A. Yes. I spoke with my attorney yesterday. today that if the case were to move forward, that the 6 6 Q. Okay. And how did that come to be? intervening defendants -- or intervening Jose, Alex, and 7 7 A. I believe it was through Drew. So my primary Mr. Campos would be the ones moving forward on the case 8 attorney had given me notice, I believe, that another 8 and I would be withdrawn from the case. Is that 9 attorney would be talking to me specifically. 9 correct? 10 Q. But you don't -- you can't recall their names? 10 Q. Well, that was the representation that was made 11 A. I've got it on my phone, but I'm using that 11 by your counsel to the Court, so that is correct. 12 right now for --12 How does that make you feel? 13 Q. Sure. And I don't want to -- it isn't a trick 13 A. I got to be honest. I made it clear that, if I question. Is it the law firm of Holtzman Vogel? 14 14 started this, I was going to finish to the end, and I am 15 A. It -- you know, I don't want to say yes or no 15 Q. And you're not pleased to be learning that for 16 because I just got his name basically yesterday. I 16 17 the first time in this deposition; is that right? 17 believe it was yesterday or day before. 18 Q. Okay. 18 A. Absolutely correct. Q. Are you aware that the intervenor defendants, 19 A. I'm just not -- I'm not sure of his name, and I 19 20 in particular Mr. Ybarra and Mr. Trevino, have testified 20 apologize because I'm sure he's on here, so my sincere 21 apologies. I can't use my cell phone to -- to take a 21 in depositions in this litigation that it's their desire 22 look at his name, and my glasses, they're brand-new, and 22 that the map remain unchanged? 23 23 I just realized right now that I can't use them to read. A. I was unaware of that. 24 Q. They're useless to you. 24 Q. The first time you're learning that is when I 25 A. Yeah. They're useless to me, so --25 just told you that in my question?

25

THE WITNESS: Hello?

Benancio Garcia III

Page 61 Page 63 1 A. Yes. 1 MR. GABER: Yeah. I'm sorry. I'm just 2 2 Q. And are you aware -- or strike that. taking a moment to think. 3 Now, I understand, from your conversation with 3 THE WITNESS: I didn't know if something 4 Mr. Hughes, that -- am I right that you -- you want the 4 went wrong again, so I apologize. 5 map to be changed? 5 MR. GABER: No, your side's working good. 6 A. That is correct, what I stated, yes. 6 THE WITNESS: Am I able to get a copy of 7 Q. And so this is the first time you're learning 7 this deposition very soon? 8 8 that your attorneys are both representing intervenors in MR. GABER: The one that you're taking right 9 the Soto Palmer case, asking that the map not be 9 now? 10 changed, and also representing you at the same time, 10 THE WITNESS: Yes. where you want the map to be changed? MR. GABER: Yeah, you'll get a copy, and 11 11 12 your counsel will be able to order a rush copy too, if A. Yes. 12 13 13 Q. Do you see a problem with that? you'd like, which would be quicker than the normal 14 A. Yes, I do. 14 course. 15 Q. And what is that? 15 THE WITNESS: Okay. A. There are conflicting reasons why the Complaint 16 16 BY MR. GABER: 17 was filed on initially why I filed it and to know that, 17 Q. So have you talked to Mr. Ybarra at all about 18 if this case were to be moved forward, the intervening 18 the -- what he would like to have happen to the map or 19 witnesses, or defendants, however you want to --19 anything about the redistricting plan? 20 plaintiffs, would go ahead and see the Complaint in a 20 A. No. 21 different light, opposite to what was initially filed, 21 Q. And what about Mr. Trevino? 22 and that I would not see this to fruition, to completion 22 A. No. 23 Q. And Ismael Campos? of adjudication. 23 2.4 Q. So the problem is both that -- that your 2.4 A. No. 25 counsel was saying they would dismiss your lawsuit 25 Q. And just so I understand, did you speak to Page 62 Page 64 without your authorization --1 anyone at the Holtzman Vogel law firm, other than Dallin 1 2 2 Holt? A. Uh-huh. 3 Q. -- but also it's a problem that they have 3 A. Not that I'm aware of. I don't think so. 4 clients whose interests are opposite to yours; is that 4 Q. Did you speak with Mr. Jason Torchinsky? 5 correct? 5 A. I don't think so. I mean, like I said, I --6 6 A. That's correct. the communication was -- you know, there's -- no, not 7 Q. And I assume it was your expectation that your 7 that I'm aware of. Q. And what about Phil Gordon? 8 counsel would be representing your point of view 8 9 exclusively; correct? 9 A. No. 10 A. That is correct, and under our legal 10 Q. And, Mr. Garcia, do you have a copy of your 11 representation agreement. 11 engagement letter there with you for today's deposition? 12 Q. And so your -- your written agreement with your 12 A. The subpoena? 13 counsel provides that they will represent your interests 13 Q. No. Your -- your retainer agreement with your 14 and your desires, and not be in conflict with that? lawyer. 14 15 15 A. At free cost through the duration of this A. Oh, do I have a copy of my -- my retainer 16 Complaint. 16 agreement? Yes, I do. Q. And you agree with the statement I made as Q. And that's -- that's there with you for today's 17 17 deposition? 18 well? 18 19 MR. STOKESBARY: Hey, I'm sorry, Mark. I 19 A. The agreement for legal representation? Yes. 20 couldn't find my mute button in time, but I'm going to Q. Yes. 20 21 object to the extent you are asking about privileged 21 A. Yes. 22 communications between me and Mr. Garcia. And, Ben, I'm 22 MR. STOKESBARY: Yeah, again, I'm going to 23 going to ask you -- no, it's okay. I'm going to ask you 23 object to the extent this calls for privileged 24 not to answer questions about our engagement agreement. 24 attorney-client communication and, Mr. Garcia, ask you

not to discuss our engagement agreement.

25

Benancio Garcia III

Page 67 Page 65 1 BY MR. GABER: 1 Q. But as you sit here today, I gather you're 2 Q. And my question, Mr. Garcia, is just that it's 2 pretty concerned about the situation? 3 there with you. You brought it with you to the 3 A. Yes. 4 deposition; is that right? 4 Q. Okay. I want to shift gears a little bit and 5 MR. STOKESBARY: You can answer that 5 talk a little bit about your experience running as a 6 question, Ben. 6 candidate in the area and your campaign and your 7 7 familiarity with the area, if that's okay with you. A. Oh, yes. 8 BY MR. GABER: 8 So you ran for congress in 2022; is that right? 9 Q. And have you reviewed that during the course of 9 A. That is correct. 10 10 the deposition? Q. And was that your first time running for 11 A. No. Because my glasses -- and I apologize. I 11 office? just got these from the VA, so I assume they were --12 12 A. Yes. 13 there wasn't a problem with them, but --13 Q. And how did you decide to get involved in that 14 Q. My uncle has had the same problem with his VA 14 race? 15 glasses, so I understand. 15 A. I had helped a candidate, a fellow Republican, 16 16 A. I'm having a little bit of problem. in 2016, and we got to the primary, and I felt that 17 Everything's a little fuzzy on the reading. 17 representation was -- was not there in -- in all 18 Q. Mr. Garcia, are you aware that Mr. Stokesbary 18 manners. 19 serves in the legislature? 19 And I wanted to reach out to as many people as 20 A. That, I didn't know, no. 20 possible, and to put my -- the reason why I felt I was 21 the best candidate to go ahead and represent the 4th 2.1 Q. You did not know that? 22 A. No, I did not know that. 22 Congressional District. 23 23 Q. So I gather you're not aware that he voted in So I got an idea from, like I said, another favor of the plan that you are challenging? 2.4 2.4 candidate who was involved in the race quite a bit, and 25 A. No, I was unaware of that. 25 seeing how the process went, so I could learn, if I were Page 66 Page 68 1 Q. How does that make you feel? 1 going to run, how to go about it and not just put my two MR. STOKESBARY: Sorry. Once again, slow on 2 2 feet in there and pray for the best, you know. 3 3 Q. And this was a campaign against the incumbent the mute button, but objection that it misstates the 4 4 Republican, is it Dan Newhouse? evidence. 5 BY MR. GABER: 5 A. That is correct. 6 6 Q. And you can go ahead and answer. Q. And how many Republican candidates were running 7 7 A. Not very good. in that race? 8 Q. And you cut out a little bit. Not -- did you 8 A. There were a number of Republican candidates, 9 9 say not very good? about five, including myself. 10 A. Not very good. 10 Q. And were -- you're Latino; is that right? 11 Q. Why is that? 11 A. That is correct. 12 A. The Complaint that was filed, I would assume 12 Q. And were the --13 that my attorney would be in line of -- of my support. 13 A. Well, I'm of Seminole-Negro descent and Latino. 14 Q. And to make it clear, this is the first time 14 Q. Were the other Republican candidates in that you're learning that there was a vote taken in the primary white? 15 15 legislature, that Mr. Stokesbary is a legislator, and A. Yes, that is true. 16 16 that he voted in favor of the plan; is that right? Q. All of them? 17 17 18 A. That's correct. This is the first time I've 18 A. Yes. 19 heard of it. 19 Q. Now, I spent some time reviewing some of your Q. Given some of the things you've learned today 20 campaign materials and some of the videos and whatnot. 20 21 for the first time regarding your counsel and their 21 A. I hope you didn't find it offensive. Sorry. I 22 representation in the other parallel lawsuit, do you 22 just had to put that in there, so I apologize. 23 23 have any plans for how you want to proceed in this case? Q. No, I -- I did not, and I enjoyed it. A. I'll have to refer to my legal counsel and talk 24 24 One of the videos was -- you conducted it in 25 to him after. 25 Spanish, and said something along the lines of that you

Page 71

Page 72

Page 69

were the only candidate who was running for all the people, including for the Latino people.

Do you recall that?

A. Yes.

Q. What did you mean by that?

A. We are a fairly large minority district. The state -- we're the second largest state population. Latinos is second largest state population. We are 40 -- approximately 40 percent of the -- of the population in the 4th Congressional District.

None of our representatives that have been house reps truly understand the need to reach out to all the people, understand -- you know, for example, when do you ever see any of the house reps ever go to a taqueria, you know? Mingle within the -- their -- their people that they're supposed to represent, you know?

For me, there is a disconnection. Although things have gotten much better. I mean, I was Ebony Senate rep in college. I was part of LULAC, part of the Republican National Hispanic Assembly.

My desire is that all people vote, whatever that may be, but that they register to vote and that they vote. But our -- it's my personal belief that our representatives really don't understand the overall -- the people in their district, and they don't reach out

Senate rep in college. I was part of LULAC, part of the Republican National Hispanic Assembly.

"My desire is that all people vote, whatever that may be, but that they register to vote and that they vote. But our -- it's my personal belief that our representatives really don't understand the overall -- the people in their district, and they don't reach out to them. And that's missing. And so --"

E X A M I N A T I O N (Continuing)
BY MR. GABER:

Q. So we're waiting at the edge of our seats, Mr. Garcia, to find out what followed "and so."

A. And so that is one of the reasons why I decided to run for U.S. Congress. I've got the experience. I've done over a thousand loans for folks to be homeowners in this state, with the federal government, the GRH program. I've done AmeriCorps. I've worked in the school districts. I'm 51, so I do have a prior work background.

And I feel that there is a disconnect, but I believe that that can be changed, and that's with a sincere person who -- who has made a difference in -- whether it be for my country or whether it be in my community. I have showed lifelong of making a difference.

Page 70

to them. And that's missing. And so --

MR. GABER: He may have frozen again. Last time he worked it out and got himself back on, so maybe we take a -- go off the record for a moment.

(Recess from 10:52 a.m. to 11:06 a.m.)

MR. GABER: So I guess back on the record. Cindy, are you able to read back -- I know we cut out as Mr. Garcia was answering the last question. Cindy, are you able to read back my question and his answer, as far as he got?

THE COURT REPORTER: "Question: What did you mean by that?

"Answer: We are a fairly large minority district. The state -- we're the second largest state population, Latinos is second largest state population. We are 40 -- approximately 40 percent of the -- of the population in the 4th Congressional District.

"None of our representatives that have been house reps truly understand the need to reach out to all the people, understand -- you know, for example, when do you ever see any of the house reps ever go to a taqueria, you know? Mingle within the -- their -- their people that they're supposed to represent, you know?

"For me, there is a disconnection. Although things have gotten much better. I mean, I was Ebony

Q. And is it your -- from living and from working and from running as a candidate, I gather it was your impression that there -- there's a lack of attentiveness to the Latino community from our politicians?

MR. STOKESBARY: Objection to the extent that calls for a legal conclusion.

A. I think, in all perspective of our -- most of our representation, they no longer represent the average person or that of the lower class.

BY MR. GABER:

Q. And so is it your experience that, in particular, Latino voters in South Central Washington oftentimes have a lower socioeconomic status than their white counterparts?

MR. STOKESBARY: Objection. Calls for speculation.

A. I can't answer that in that sense to know -- to have the experience that I could say that, you know, a large -- the -- the -- you know, economically they're in lower class zones; in other words, their income is not as high for all people.

You know, you can take a look at Toppenish School District. You could take a look at some of the Yakima school districts. There's much poverty across the board.

representation in the Latino population, that when it

comes time to vote, unfortunately, there hasn't been

Q. Right. So that's lower voter turnout among

Latino voters in Yakima area, as well as lower voter --

large numbers in being able to vote for whatever

A. Lower turnout in overall aspects.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

candidate.

Q. Okay.

Benancio Garcia III

Page 73 Page 75 1 BY MR. GABER: 1 A. Yeah, in the 4th District. 2 2 Q. And is that the case in the Pasco area as well? Q. Now, I read a newspaper article about a 3 A. Tri-Cities tends to do better, but I can't say 3 controversy surrounding telephone messages that 4 that that is, because I wouldn't know, but I can say in 4 supporters of yours wanted to be put out by the State 5 Yakima, Lower Valley, free school lunch, you know. You 5 Republican Party, and there was an article where you 6 can see a lot of that and that purpose, but I can't say 6 were criticizing the party for its actions there. 7 I would -- I could say, you know, Tri-Cities itself. 7 Do you recall that? 8 Q. Okay. But the -- the Yakima and the Lower 8 A. That's correct. Absolutely. That's correct. 9 Valley, the Latino communities would share that in 9 Q. And one of the things that you alleged was that 10 common? 10 the State Republican Party was trying to suppress Latino voters in the primary. A. Yeah, most would have that in common in that 11 11 12 Do you recall that? demographic area. 12 13 Q. And you were speaking in particular about how 13 A. Absolutely. That is correct. you would be the only candidate in your primary race who Q. Can you just talk a little bit, explain that --14 14 15 would be able to represent the Latino people. 15 that event and -- and what --16 Was it your impression that the candidates you 16 A. I will. First of all, I was one of five 17 were running against were not really campaigning for 17 Latinos in the nation to get supported out of Latino 18 Latino votes in the primary? 18 StrikeForce out of Texas. Now, the RNC was involved to 19 A. No. What I'm trying to say is that I want more 19 help use the -- the phone bank system of the Republican 20 participation, and it's time for -- to try to get 20 Party. 21 21 everybody registered, which is, you know, a large Latino Now, we created our message, both in Spanish 22 population. You know, you want to see Latino 22 and English, and we had approval to do this. And so for 23 23 representation in leadership positions, but you want to every registered 4th District Latino Republican, we put 24 2.4 make sure that you do it through a fair process under on that phone bank. 25 our constitution. 25 Now, this phone bank system was to help us to Page 74 Page 76 1 So you're representing everyone. I want to 1 get our vote out. And so the message was changed, 2 make that clear. You're representing everyone, and --2 re- -- an RNC member who worked with the Washington 3 and you're doing what's in the best interest of your 3 State Republican Party, with Caleb, who's the chairman 4 district, you know, or 4th Congressional District, I 4 of the Washington State Republican Party and his 5 should say. So you're representing everybody. 5 staffing, we had to get approval to use that, and we 6 What I want to see is more inclusion across the 6 finally did. 7 board, you know, and that's why, when I went out there 7 It was greatly delayed, for about three months. 8 to try to register voters, I didn't care who you are. I 8 Not only was it delayed, but when we got the messaging 9 want you to register, please. It's that important. 9 out there for the voicemail, we had done over 10,000 10 Q. I agree with that. I get that. 10 plus phone calls. 11 Is it your impression that, in particular, 11 And they switched the voicemail message. And 12 there's lower voter registration among Latino voters in 12 so it looked like Washington State Republican Party, a 13 Yakima County than is the case with the proportion of 13 general message, instead of saying, vote for Benancio 14 white voters who are registered to vote? 14 Garcia, 4th Congressional District candidate, and here's 15 A. It's been proven in the past, and you can look 15 the reason why. 16 at some of the news articles that Yakima Herald has put 16 Now, the only reason we found out about that 17 out, that, you know, even though there's a large 17 was because an RNC member quit. Gave me a phone call,

> number two. They had funds -- Washington State Republican Party had funds to hire two supervisors, one in Yakima and one in Wenatchee, to register Republican Latinos. They hired nobody.

said, Ben, I guit because the Washington Republican

Party switched your voicemail. That is suppressing the

Then there is the second aspect of things,

19 (Pages 73 to 76)

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Latino vote.

2.4

Garcia III v. Hobbs, et ano. / Palmer v. Hobbs, et al.

Benancio Garcia III

Page 77

Me being the only Latino representative, it would have favored. Dan Newhouse would no longer be your congressional victor. It probably would have been Culp. But they greatly affected this election, the outcome, and suppressed the Latino vote.

Q. And was it your sense that that was sort of a coordinated effort in the State Republican Party, to suppress the Latino vote in the area?

A. What I will say is this: They say it was a mistake. There's no mistakes in a congressional race like this. We have a third party out of Texas that told them there was no misunderstanding to -- and -- misunderstanding about this.

As a matter of fact, you know, you probably didn't see this, since you did your research on me. Did you see the fact that I saved somebody's life in a --

Q. I did --

2.4

A. -- mass shooting? You know?

Q. I did see that, and that was extraordinarily impressive.

A. You know, I thank God that I was there at the right place, right time. My -- my thing is like it was. I didn't change. I believe in seeing all people's rights. I believe strongly in the civil rights.

That's why I was Ebony Senate rep in college.

Page 79

Page 80

- Q. You said the RNC member told you he was quitting because of this; is that right?
 - A. That's my understanding.
- Q. And that was because of the suppression of the Latino vote in your race?
- A. Because of what happened in my race, yes, that's correct.

Q. Has anything been done to rectify the situation with the State Republican Party?

A. What I -- what I do want to do is go ahead, after I'm completely settled in in my home and -- and take care of other personal matters, I will go ahead and then write a letter to the RNC, write a letter to the state chairman, and write a letter to the 4th District chairmen, chairpersons, and let them know about what has occurred, what has happened.

This isn't just my word. You know, to be supported, one in five in the nation, Latinos, that's a privilege, and to know that the phone bank system does work because they have a history of getting winning candidates.

So I will be putting that out there, and I will leave it in the hands of the Republican Party on what they want to do, but I will certainly entertain the fact that I may take legal aspects on this in some manner

Page 78

And I feel -- and it doesn't matter which party it is. I will do the right thing. For our voice not to be heard and what I feel is suppression, we can disagree upon this, and I welcome a lawsuit, you know.

We did not get fair representation in this 4th Congressional District race. And it's not on the candidate. This was done -- they can say, well, Ben, you know you need to pay for that.

At no point in time, especially since I was supported by a third party who is well connected to the RNC, was that ever brought up. Why didn't you ask me, we can't do this, instead of having my volunteers phone bank, and instead of having my voice message out, it was for the Washington State Republican Party. Not acceptable.

Q. And is it the case that you didn't find out about this until after this had -- the decision had been made not to use your message?

A. It was before. It was before the decision. I found out during the campaign process, but, you know, those are -- are strong challenges when you've already committed so many hours and so much in volunteers to have to overcome. You can't take that time back.

Q. Right.

A. The impact has already been done.

because what occurred is not acceptable. And I am a fighter, you know. And if it's wrong, I will fight it.

Q. Did you hear from Latino voters who were upset that this had happened in your race?

A. A lot of people were upset. I gave a speech about it in Ellensburg -- not Ellensburg. I gave a speech about it -- oh, gosh, what district? I gave a speech about it, and some of the candidates had questions, you know, like what are you talking about exactly here? You know.

And this, like I said, was later on toward -you know, toward the end, where, you know, you had to make it clear how the facts have occurred. And the people were upset, you know.

And what was wonderful is, you know, to see some of the candidates say, what exactly are we talking about here, whether it was Culp's people or whether it was Sessler's people, you know, or it was people in general that were there asking questions, you know, "Are you saying this happened?"

I go, "Absolutely, and this is why."

And it's just not my word. You don't give us access to your phone bank system -- because they, like the Democrat Party can go ahead and say, hey, let me see -- look at your -- look at your phone system, you

2.4

Page 81

know? Let me see what you got out there, you know.

Obviously I had access to it. Obviously we created within our district the phone bank system and those phone numbers. And it was to go ahead and give knowledge that I am running.

And the goal was to get minimal to 50,000 phone calls, you know, which in a midyear election can turn toward -- favorable toward you. So...

Q. Did you, like, communicate with people about this issue? Did you send text messages or email with people about your concerns about the --

A. I -- I did send emails probably to some of the newspapers, you know. And really they didn't have, you know -- I shouldn't say I personally did, but some of -- of the folks that my staff had, you know.

Because we're concerned. You know, we're -we're concerned, one, how our volunteers were used.
We're concerned about the communications and lack of, to
not be given notice that our voicemail was not done, and
this is through the Washington State Republican Party.
When did this change occur? Why did it occur?

Couldn't get an answer really clearly, as -- if you saw the newspaper, it was quite ambiguous, you know. But the bottom line is, you got your hand caught in the cookie jar. You didn't expect me to ever know about it,

Page 83

We want to fix things. We're both military. We want to fix things. We believe in this country. We -- we, you know, put our lives out there for it. I wear the scars on my body for it, you know.

To give up a federal position because things are broken, you don't take lightly a career and throw it away. You know, I'm not here to be liked. I'm here to fight for the right thing, and that's why I'm here.

Q. Now, Mr. Hughes asked you a bit about Senator Torres, and I gathered from your answer and sort of your expression that she might not -- you might not align politically. Am I right about that?

A. Right. But it's not -- it's not necessarily, you know -- irregardless of who the candidate is, I believe the district was illegally designated, done, and at the time my lawyer did too.

And so, you know, I base it on my Complaint. It don't matter who the representative is, irregardless. I want this to go all the way through to determine whether or not -- if I'm wrong, then I am. If I'm right, then I am. But I want the right thing to happen.

Q. So my question is less about the -- like setting aside the district, and then just talk about Senator Torres in terms of, you know, her political beliefs and yours.

Page 82

but I found out.

2.4

And that was because someone was being honest and decided to leave their RNC, and it just changed his life, you know, in all honesty. He's not returned back to politics.

Q. Who is the RNC member who left?

A. Manice (phonetic) Perry. I would spell it correctly for you, but I'd have to get off-line.

Q. No, you're -- we don't expect you to try to use your phone in your current circumstance.

A. Yeah, I'm in my car right now. I didn't think this would ever be happening. Wow.

Q. We definitely appreciate it.

Have you spoken or are you aware of any other Republican Latino candidates who have faced similar -or, you know, the same type of problem with the State Republican Party?

A. Well, I will say I was told about a situation in King County, and that was through Sea Chan, who happened to run for the 4th Congressional District, I think it's the 4th, in King County.

And so he has a -- he has a good knowledge base of -- of what occurred to a candidate who was Asian, you know. So, you know, we had quite a long discussion on things.

Page 84

Is that -- is she someone who you would choose to be your representative in the state legislature?

A. You know, I believe I voted for her. I think I did. I think she is a Republican. I believe I voted for her. I spoke to her just a bit, not -- I mean, I shook her hand. That was about it.

We were busy at the event, so -- yeah, I -- I fine line item went through all the candidates, you know, and, you know, I -- I try to vote for who I think is the best option, you know.

I am Republican, but there are reasons for that. But I have a history of voting both ways. You know, I am conservative, but I want to see the people that -- that are conservative and that I think will do the best job. And if they did a good job on both ends, I wouldn't be here today.

Q. Do you know how long Senator Torres has identified as a Republican?

A. You know, I didn't even know who she was. Probably as long as she had that meeting with Jim Honeyford.

Q. Are you aware of views in the community that she might not -- her ideology may not, in fact, be Republican, but that she was run as such?

A. You know, I didn't -- to be honest, I didn't

Benancio Garcia III

Page 85 Page 87 1 stay focused on her, for a number of reasons, but on 1 you know, we're glad to be home. We've moved five 2 2 times. A little exhausted, you know, putting my family other people, because I -- I was very busy running my 3 race, trying to make meetings, and running in -- up and 3 through, you know, with what occurred with the house 4 down what is our largest congressional district in the 4 fire, and still having to meet the requirements to get 5 5 it all fixed. It's almost there. 6 Q. And am I right that --6 And, you know, the documents, as far as 7 7 A. Yakima County's pretty large. basically talking to a couple of reporters, you know, 8 Q. Am I right that Senator Torres was the only 8 there was one news article that I'm aware of that they 9 Republican candidate available for you to vote for, for 9 put it out there, and basically they were like, "Garcia 10 that race? 10 was angry," you know. 11 A. She was. Yeah, she was the only one. Now, 11 Well, not so much angry in the sense that 12 that's after Senator Honeyford -- State Senator 12 you're displeased with the fact that this can occur and 13 Honeyford had decided that he wasn't going to run again, 13 you want fair play. And I believe -- I don't cheat, you after the fact. But that's politics for you. 14 14 know. That's not who I am. 15 Q. Now, you mentioned that you had sent some 15 And I believe in the American dream, and I 16 emails, or you -- you or your staff had sent some emails 16 believe that we -- you know, that the framers of this 17 to some newspapers about the controversy with the State 17 country created the greatest -- second greatest document 18 Republican Party. 18 in the world. The first is the holy scriptures. Second 19 Did you text people about that, as well? 19 is our constitution. 20 20 Q. And so it sounds to me, at least with respect A. Did I what? Q. Did you send text messages on your phone to 21 to the -- to your -- the 4th District Republican primary 21 discuss that issue with friends, colleagues, anyone? and the -- your experience with the effort to suppress 22 22 23 23 the Latino vote there, that that's an example of an A. I did with the Latino StrikeForce because they 24 unequal electoral opportunity for Latino voters in the 2.4 were the ones that endorsed me. The moment I found out 25 was -- like I said, I was shocked that this would occur, 25 area; is that right? Page 86 Page 88 you know. We're talking a U.S. congressional race. 1 1 MR. STOKESBARY: Objection --2 We're not talking -- even if it's a 2 A. Yes. 3 3 MR. STOKESBARY: -- as to form. misunderstanding, whatever race it may be, the 4 4 A. Sorry. volunteers that put their time in, the support you got, 5 5 BY MR. GABER: you know, it affects things, you know. So yeah. 6 Q. Go ahead, Mr. Garcia. 6 Q. Now, you received two subpoenas; is that right? 7 7 A. Lagree. Lagree. I think the head of A. I'm sorry. 8 Washington State Republican Party has its challenges. Q. So you're here talking to us today, or talking 8 9 Q. And that -- those challenges are negatively 9 to me, at least, because you received a subpoena to 10 affecting the Latino voters where you live; is that 10 testify; is that right? 11 riaht? 11 A. Yes. There's another one, I think on Monday or 12 A. I agree. 12 Tuesday, via Zoom. 13 Q. Now, I understand that -- when did you -- when 13 Q. So that -- okay. So let me just -- how -- did 14 did you see the -- the copy of the subpoenas for the 14 you -- so you received two subpoenas; right? One was 15 first time? for your deposition testimony and one was for documents. 15 16 A. I think it was Tuesday, last Tuesday, I 16 Do you understand that? 17 believe. It was served around 7:00 in the evening, I 17 A. Right. Right. And -- and the documents, I 18 think. 18 need time to get that because I still ain't moved in my 19 Q. So you saw those when the process server came 19 house. So I have a lot of things packed away in 20 to the house; is that right? 20 storages. And, you know, I don't even have a printer 21 A. Yes. The person serving me the documents, 21 right now. I need to go buy ink for it, but after --22 yeah. 22 you know, what I'm saying is -- because -- we barely 23 Q. So you didn't get those ahead of time from your 23 made it in time to move into our home. 24 counsel? 24 Q. Sure. Sure. And then --25 A. No. 25 A. We got construction going on still. So it's --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

18

22 23

2.4

25

3

6

8

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.1

Garcia III v. Hobbs, et ano. / Palmer v. Hobbs, et al.

Benancio Garcia III

Page 91

Page 92

Page 89

Q. Well, I can tell you your counsel's had them for two weeks, and I understand the need for more time, particularly given the circumstance with the fire at vour house.

I would, you know, request that we -- and would you be agreeable to maybe sit with us again if we have any questions based on what's in those documents?

A. I would. There were some text messages that were sent, and, you know, I made -- we made some phone calls to -- to the fact, you know, and it was basically one reporter that basically, you know -- and it was just a phone call.

So -- they were trying to understand how this was suppressing the vote, you know, or they wanted a complete, you know -- like, who's the one that did it? Well, you know -- evidence on that.

And I'm like, wait a minute. We've got a video on the training. We've got an email that -- from the Latino StrikeForce. We had access to their phone banking system.

We created our own district in the sense of all registered Latino voters, Republican voters. 10,000 phone calls were made and the voicemail was switched. You don't have access to all that, and not have their permission for it, and there's no misunderstanding of

verbally given me the -- why he quit, but -- in his text message, it was a little different, that they removed the Spanish version, you know, on the text message.

So, you know, we both were pretty disheartened in -- in what we want to see in leadership. How could you not let the Latino StrikeForce know? How can you not let the RNC member know? How can you not let my staff or myself know? That's a big, big mistake. Out of respect of me running for congress, how can you not let any of these organizations know?

- Q. And so the Spanish language part was removed as well?
- A. Yes.
- 14 Q. And just to clarify, the -- you know, I know 15 you're -- the Congressional District 4 is larger than --
 - A. It's the largest.
- 17 Q. Yeah.
 - A. It's the largest in the state.
- Q. But it covers all of Yakima County; is that 19 20 right?
- 2.1 A. Yes.
 - Q. And then it also includes Benton County and Grant County; is that right?
 - A. Yeah. All the way from the Canadian border, from Okanogan, basically almost central, almost Central

Page 90

why we're doing it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

25

So, you know, it's just not acceptable. If they wanted to say no because maybe they have a candidate dog in the fight, that they would hopefully let you know, then just say no.

But I know -- I have a hard time trusting politicians, and I have a hard time trusting lawyers. you know. Just being honest. And -- so, you know, I guess I'm the little guy fighting for the big dream, but I -- I believe that I will be where I need to be in a little while because I'm a big fighter.

Q. Well, I have no doubt about that. With respect to the -- sorry. Back to the text messages. It sounds like there's some texts that you exchanged with the Latino Task Force people; is that --

- A. StrikeForce.
- Q. StrikeForce?
- 18 A. Latino StrikeForce out of Texas.
- 19 Q. Okay.
- A. Yes, there is, you know. 20
- 21 Q. Okay.
- 22 A. Yes, there is.
- 23 Q. And you still have all of those?
- 24 A. I should have the texts because, like I said, I
 - was on the phone the moment I found out, and Manice had

1 Washington, down to the Columbia. Klickitat, Benton,

- 2 Franklin, Adams, Grant, Okanogan, Yakima. At one point
 - it was parts of Walla Walla, a little tiny part, you know.
- 4 5 Q. And so Pasco is in the district as well; right?
 - A. Absolutely.
- Q. And Othello and Adams County? 7
- 9 Q. And then all of -- all of Yakima. Mattawa's in 10 the district?
 - A. Yes.
- 12 Q. So there's quite a bit of overlap between 13 District 15 in the legislative map and District 4 for
 - the congressional plan?
 - A. Yeah.
 - Q. Now, I understand that you need time to look for the documents. Have you looked through them at all in response to the subpoena --
 - A. No.
- Q. -- for today? 20
 - A. No. no.
- 22 Q. Okay.
- 23 A. And to be honest, I wasn't sure what -- what 24 you would want from me in documents, or how the 25
 - question -- you know, the -- seriously? She just banged

Benancio Garcia III

Page 93 Page 95 my car. Sorry. Anyways, I'm sorry. What was the 1 1 but I -- I -- I'm having trouble distinguishing the 2 2 question again? lines a little bit. I apologize. 3 Q. I think I had asked whether you had looked 3 Q. No, no worries. So I can kind of explain it to 4 at -- looked for the documents before today. 4 you a little bit. You see the red is in the southern 5 A. No. I mean, well, I didn't simply because I 5 half of Yakima County? Can you see that? 6 wasn't sure what was going to be asked of me, you know, 6 A. Umm --7 and having so much boxed up and five moves, I would have 7 Q. Maybe -- maybe even pull the phone a little bit 8 to find out where to look, you know. 8 closer to you. 9 Q. But you have your phone and, you know, that --9 A. Well, I'm -- yeah, I mean, I'm sorry. It's --10 10 okay. Go ahead. I'm sorry. I'm just... A. Yes. Q. -- would have the text messages and whatnot, Q. So do you see where the red area is situated on 11 11 12 and your email you have access to now; right? We could the map, in -- primarily in Yakima County? 12 start with the electronic communications --13 13 A. Yeah. I see. I see the red. A. Yeah, my -- right. But my email for the 14 14 Q. Now, if the district looked something like 15 congressional race is getting to the point to where I 15 this, would this resolve your geographic concerns? 16 16 MR. STOKESBARY: Objection as to form. need to get ready to pay for another year, so I don't 17 know if I'm going to, you know, at this point because 17 A. I -- you know, I got to apologize. I really 18 it's just an email. But still it's a little bit of 18 can't answer that. I'm having -- I'm just having a lot 19 money out of the pocket, you know. 19 of issues reading. I mean, I can't even read the -- the 20 Q. So it probably makes sense to start there and 20 subpoena and stuff, so I -- I really apologize for that. 21 collect those so that you get them before you have to 21 I didn't think I -- these glasses -- set of glasses I brought would -- well, I thought they were meant for me. 22 pay to host that again, so that --22 A. Right. And I hope to get to them by next week, 23 23 Apparently... 2.4 2.4 you know. Like I said, I don't got my computer up yet. BY MR. GABER: 2.5 We still have some work to be done in the house. But I 25 Q. Okay. So you can't --Page 94 Page 96 1 will be getting -- I can get to them. 1 A. I'm sorry. 2 Q. Okay. 2 Q. -- see where the red is on the map? 3 3 A. You know, and I think basically everything was A. No, I -- I see the red, but I'm having trouble 4 4 just done by phone conversation, other than a few texts, with the lines distinguishing. They're fuzzy. 5 5 which had to do with the Latino StrikeForce and had to Q. Okay. 6 do with Per- -- Manice in regards to that. 6 A. I mean, I'm seeing everything fuzzy, and so I 7 7 Q. So I want to -- I know this is difficult for apologize. 8 8 Q. Okay. Well, we don't need to keep testing your you because you're in your car on the phone, but I'm 9 going to share my screen and see if you can see it. 9 eyes. 10 10 Just one moment. A. Yeah. Sorry. 11 11 So before I do that, so the complaint you had Q. Did you -- now, earlier we talked about how 12 12 about -- setting aside your thought that it's a racial this is the first time, you know, when I told you, and 13 gerrymander, District 15 --13 Mr. Hughes mentioned it as well, about the intervenors 14 A. Okay. 14 and their opposite relief that they want. 15 15 Q. -- your geographic complaint, as I understand I gather you haven't signed any sort of 16 it, is it's stretching, you know, from Yakima County 16 conflict waiver? 17 further over toward Franklin County? Is that --17 A No 18 A. Yes. And again, I'm seeing a little fuzzy on 18 Q. And so no -- no conflict waiver --19 the reading thing, you know, because the glasses I 19 MR. STOKESBARY: Hold on. Hold on, Mark. 20 thought that were supposed to be my prescription aren't 20 I'm going to object to this question to the extent it 21 quite -- they're -- they're not -- I'm going to have to 21 calls for privileged attorney-client communications, 22 22 and, Ben, ask you not to discuss any conversations send them back. 23 Q. So I've pulled up a map on the screen. Can you 23 between us. 24 24 THE WITNESS: Sorry. see that? 25 A. Yeah, I can see the red and -- and the lines, 25 MR. HUGHES: I don't think the question

25

Does your objection stand to that question?

Benancio Garcia III

Page 97 Page 99 1 whether there was a conflict waiver is privileged, Drew. 1 MR. STOKESBARY: Yes. I'm going to object 2 2 BY MR. GABER: to all of your requests regarding my communication with 3 Q. Yeah. And so, Mr. Garcia, I'm not asking you 3 my client. 4 for any conversations with your attorneys. My question 4 BY MR. GABER: 5 is simply, have you signed any sort of written conflict 5 Q. Mr. Garcia, do you understand that you're the 6 waiver with your attorneys and with the -- with the 6 holder of the privilege? 7 parties in the other case? 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. And do you understand that you have the power MR. STOKESBARY: Again, I would say that 8 to determine whether you want to assert that privilege 9 things he's signed that exist between me and him are --9 10 10 are the definition of privilege. MR. HUGHES: Well, a conflict waiver goes to 11 11 A. Yes, I -- I will assert the privilege. I'm the -- the outer bounds of the privilege relationship; going to do what's in my best interest and why I filed 12 12 13 13 right? So if there is -- if there is a conflict waiver, this lawsuit. Q. And -- but for the record, you were under the 14 I would think that would be -- well, just sort of 14 15 freestyling here, I guess. I wouldn't say the existence 15 understanding that you were required to show up in 16 of a conflict waiver falls within the privilege, but --16 Tacoma this morning; is that -- is that fair? 17 MR. GABER: I think we have the answer on 17 A. Well, after -- I had already -- once I got the 18 the record. 18 subpoena, I had already made the decision I was coming 19 BY MR. GABER: 19 to Tacoma. I have family up here. I thought, honestly, 20 Q. How many times during the course -- since 20 I was going to be in a legal office, probably, you know, you've filed the lawsuit, how frequently have you spoken 21 under -- not -- not in front of -- oh, my goodness. 21 with Mr. Stokesbary? 22 22 Certainly not in front of the coffee shop, Starbucks, or 23 A. Since the filing of the lawsuit, so when the 23 whatnot. 2.4 2.4 Complaint was filed, after that? Is that what you mean? So I had already made the decision that I would 25 Q. Correct. 25 drive up here because it did give me the place, Page 98 Page 100 1 1 location, and it did give me the time and date that I A. I think it was two more times last week, like 2 Thursday or Friday or -- or Monday. For sure Monday. 2 was supposed to be there, so I had already made those 3 3 It was late last week. plans once I had received it. 4 Q. And so that -- between the filing of the 4 And I understood, under the second subpoena, 5 5 lawsuit and the spring of 2022, the next time you spoke that it was requiring documents, but I was going to 6 with your attorney was last week? 6 request a stay for that because -- simply because I just 7 A. Yeah. 7 got stuff boxed up and the situation of moving five 8 8 times, it's going to take me about a month and a half to Q. And did you call him --9 9 A. After I -get everything all straightened out, you know, for my 10 Q. -- or -- I didn't mean to interrupt. Go ahead. 10 family and myself, you know. We still got stuff, 11 A. No, I -- I had -- he returned my call. 11 clothing that's boxed here, still got to report all our 12 12 property that was damaged. So yeah. Q. So you reached out to him last week? 13 A. Yeah. Yeah. I did. 13 MR. GABER: Okay. I'd like to take just a Q. Was that after you'd received the subpoena from five-minute break if that's okay with you. I realize --14 14 15 15 and I don't want to make -- we're just about done, and I the process server? don't want to make you sit in your car like this for 16 A. Yes. 16 17 Q. Did anyone tell you how to attend today's 17 longer than you need to. 18 18 So on behalf of our -- you know, the plaintiffs deposition? 19 MR. STOKESBARY: Again, to the extent this 19 in this -- the case that you're not involved in, I want 20 calls for attorney-client communication, I'm going to 20 to thank you for traveling and for going out of your way 21 instruct you not to answer this, Ben. 21 today, and for dealing with less than ideal 22 22 circumstances. And, you know, I'm sorry that you didn't MR. GABER: I don't think I'm asking for 23 legal advice. I'm asking if he was told where to show 23 know that that wasn't necessary. 24 24 But let's take a five-minute break and up today.

hopefully we can wrap up pretty soon after that.

25

Benancio Garcia III

Page 101 Page 103 It just has greatly affected people -- you know, more 1 Mr. Hughes might have some more questions as well, but I 1 2 think -- I think we won't take up too much more of your 2 concerned about their health, fearful of things. You 3 3 know, things aren't quite as normal as they used to be 4 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 4 before the COVID pandemic. So it's a challenge across 5 (Recess from 11:54 a.m. to 12:01 p.m.) 5 the board. 6 EXAMINATION (Continuing) Q. Who is paying for your lawyers in this case? 7 7 BY MR. GABER: A. I don't know. 8 8 Q. Just a couple more questions for you, Q. Is it your understanding that someone or some 9 Mr. Garcia. 9 entity is --10 I want to ask a little bit about if you have 10 A. Yes. Some -- someone or some entity is, yes. experience with how the Republican Party operates in Q. Do you know if that's a national organization 11 11 terms of recruiting and getting candidates to run for 12 or a Washington State-based organization? 12 13 the state legislature in the Yakima County area. 13 A. I don't know. Do you -- what are your observations about how Q. Is that information that you would want to 14 14 15 that process works? Is it sort of a top-down effort to 15 know, to know, you know, where the money's coming from 16 kind of get a slate of candidates? 16 for your lawsuit? 17 MR. HUGHES: Object to form. 17 MR. STOKESBARY: Objection as to form. 18 MR. STOKESBARY: Yeah, objection as to form. 18 A. Sorry. I'm trying to keep this charged. 19 BY MR. GABER: 19 BY MR. GABER: 20 Q. And you can answer. 20 Q. My question was, is that information that, you 21 know, you would kind of want to know, to know where the 21 A. Oh, okay. Well, you know, pre-COVID, I would 22 money that's funding the -- your counsel is coming from? 22 say that there was a lot of -- you know, whether it be PCOs, or whether it be top-down, say, hey, you know, we A. I will discuss that with my lawyer. I do --23 23 24 need to continue to reach out to people, to be a more --24 you know, on that. 25 more involved. 25 Q. And I asked you whether you'd spoken to Page 104 Page 102 1 After COVID, so much has changed in people 1 Mr. Stokesbary, or how many times since when the 2 feeling safe and people wanting to participate, you 2 Complaint was filed and today, and you mentioned, I 3 know. So much has changed after that. And like I said, 3 think, that you had spoken on the phone last week twice, 4 I was involved in a congressional race where I was 4 and that's it. 5 helping my friend try to get elected in -- in 2015, 5 Has there been any emails or letters that 6 2016. And it's just so different nowadays. 6 you've received in the mail in that time? 7 Give me a moment. I need to plug this in. 7 A. Prior to that. I believe there was a letter --8 Q. Sure. 8 I believe there was an email sent, but --9 A. All right. Hopefully it holds. Shoot. I'm 9 Q. When, about, would that have been? 10 going to have to go sideways. I apologize for --10 A. Maybe six months ago, maybe five months, six 11 because I got a low battery. 11 12 Q. There won't be much more. 12 Q. So other than that, you've not heard anything 13 A. Okay. And so there -- you know, as far as 13 from Counsel in at least six months' time? 14 recruiting, I think, you know, it's just been a struggle 14 A. No. 15 in -- in getting people to show up to meetings as a 15 Q. How do you know that someone is paying for your 16 whole. 16 attorneys? 17 17

And really, you know, people are trying to find -- how do we get more -- more of the community to get involved in not just our party, but involved in politics as a whole.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You know, you have an event. The numbers aren't what they used to be. So more than anything, COVID's had its effect in the way our lives are, more than anything.

That has nothing do with the parties so much.

MR. STOKESBARY: Objection as to form. BY MR. GABER:

Q. And you can answer.

A. I can't -- I don't know.

Q. You just have an awareness that there is money being spent?

A. I don't know.

24 MR. GABER: I have no further questions at 25 this time. Mr. Hughes may have a couple additional.

18

19

20

21

22

23

Benancio Garcia III

	Page 105		Page 107
1	I'm not sure.	1	Q. So you intend to vote in the 15th District
2	MR. HUGHES: I do, yeah. Just a couple.	2	15th Legislative District in future elections?
3	FURTHER EXAMINATION	3	A. Yes.
4	BY MR. HUGHES:	4	Q. So in your other answers today, you have tried
5	Q. Mr. Garcia, do you know who Dr. Mark Owens is?	5	to answer all the questions to the best best of your
6	A. No.	6	knowledge; right?
7	Q. So you've never seen his expert report in your	7	A. True, yes.
8	case?	8	Q. But is it is it impossible for you to be
9	A. The which report?	9	100 percent sure regarding things that happened months
10	MR. STOKESBARY: Objection. Misstates the	10	ago?
11	record.	11	MR. GABER: Object to the form.
12	BY MR. HUGHES:	12	THE COURT REPORTER: I didn't hear the
13	Q. You've never an expert report from Dr. Mark	13	answer. I got the objection.
14	Owens?	14	A. Yes.
15	A. I didn't even know who he was.	15	MR. STOKESBARY: Okay. Those are the only
16	Q. You don't know that you didn't know that	16	questions I have.
17	he's been put forward as an expert in your case?	17	MR. GABER: I have nothing further. I just
18	MR. STOKESBARY: Objection. Misstates the	18	want to thank you, Mr. Garcia, again, and apologize for
19	record.	19	the circumstances that you find yourself in.
20	BY MR. HUGHES:	20	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
21	Q. You can answer.	21	(Deposition concluded at 12:11 p.m.)
22	A. No, I didn't know. Sorry.	22	(Reading and signing was requested
23	Q. And you've never paid a bill of his?	23	pursuant to FRCP Rule 30(e).)
24	A. No.	24	-000-
25	Q. Do you know when trial is scheduled for this	25	
	Page 106		Page 108
1	case?	1	CERTIFICATE
2	A. No.	2	
3	Q. No one's told you that?	3	STATE OF WASHINGTON
4	A. No.	4	COUNTY OF PIERCE
5	MR. HUGHES: I think that's all I have.	5	
6	Thank you.	6	I, Cindy M. Koch, a Certified Court Reporter in
7	MR. STOKESBARY: I can I ask just a	7	and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that
8	couple questions?	8	the foregoing transcript of the deposition of Benancio
9	MR. HUGHES: Of course.	9	Garcia III, having been duly sworn, on February 3, 2023,
10	EXAMINATION	10	is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill
11	BY MR. STOKESBARY:	11	and ability.
12	** * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	I	
	Q. Hey, Ben. Just a few questions for you.	12	Reading and signing was requested pursuant to
13	A. Yeah.	12	FRCP Rule 30(e).
13 14	A. Yeah.Q. Did you vote in the 2022 primary and general	13 14	FRCP Rule 30(e). IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand
13 14 15	A. Yeah.Q. Did you vote in the 2022 primary and general elections?	13 14 15	FRCP Rule 30(e).
13 14 15 16	A. Yeah. Q. Did you vote in the 2022 primary and general elections? A. Yes.	13 14 15 16	FRCP Rule 30(e). IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand
13 14 15 16 17	 A. Yeah. Q. Did you vote in the 2022 primary and general elections? A. Yes. Q. Do you intend to vote in future elections? 	13 14 15 16 17	FRCP Rule 30(e). IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand
13 14 15 16 17	 A. Yeah. Q. Did you vote in the 2022 primary and general elections? A. Yes. Q. Do you intend to vote in future elections? A. Yes. 	13 14 15 16 17 18	FRCP Rule 30(e). IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. Yeah. Q. Did you vote in the 2022 primary and general elections? A. Yes. Q. Do you intend to vote in future elections? A. Yes. Q. And let me back up. 	13 14 15 16 17 18 19	FRCP Rule 30(e). IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and seal this 6th day of February, 2023.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. Yeah. Q. Did you vote in the 2022 primary and general elections? A. Yes. Q. Do you intend to vote in future elections? A. Yes. Q. And let me back up. So you currently live in the 15th District; 	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	FRCP Rule 30(e). IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. Yeah. Q. Did you vote in the 2022 primary and general elections? A. Yes. Q. Do you intend to vote in future elections? A. Yes. Q. And let me back up. So you currently live in the 15th District; right? 	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	FRCP Rule 30(e). IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and seal this 6th day of February, 2023. CINDY M. ROCH, CCR, RPR, CRR #2357
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Yeah. Q. Did you vote in the 2022 primary and general elections? A. Yes. Q. Do you intend to vote in future elections? A. Yes. Q. And let me back up. So you currently live in the 15th District; right? A. Yes. 	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	FRCP Rule 30(e). IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and seal this 6th day of February, 2023. CINDY M. ROCH, CCR, RPR, CRR #2357 My commission expires:
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Yeah. Q. Did you vote in the 2022 primary and general elections? A. Yes. Q. Do you intend to vote in future elections? A. Yes. Q. And let me back up. So you currently live in the 15th District; right? A. Yes. Q. And you intend to stay there, as far as you 	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	FRCP Rule 30(e). IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and seal this 6th day of February, 2023. CINDY M. ROCH, CCR, RPR, CRR #2357
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. Yeah. Q. Did you vote in the 2022 primary and general elections? A. Yes. Q. Do you intend to vote in future elections? A. Yes. Q. And let me back up. So you currently live in the 15th District; right? A. Yes. Q. And you intend to stay there, as far as you know? 	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	FRCP Rule 30(e). IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and seal this 6th day of February, 2023. CINDY M. ROCH, CCR, RPR, CRR #2357 My commission expires:
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Yeah. Q. Did you vote in the 2022 primary and general elections? A. Yes. Q. Do you intend to vote in future elections? A. Yes. Q. And let me back up. So you currently live in the 15th District; right? A. Yes. Q. And you intend to stay there, as far as you 	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	FRCP Rule 30(e). IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and seal this 6th day of February, 2023. CINDY M. ROCH, CCR, RPR, CRR #2357 My commission expires:

Benancio Garcia III

	50:13	72:17 81:22 83:10	93:3,6 103:25	65:23 82:14 84:22
	agreement 23:14	95:18 97:17 98:21	asking 8:17,18 18:3	87:8
a.m 5:2 41:18,18	53:9 62:11,12,24	101:20 104:19	19:25 26:3 30:22	awareness 104:21
70:5,5 101:5	64:13,16,19,25	105:21 107:5,13	36:9 37:8 60:2	awkward 10:1
ability 108:11	aharless@campa	answered 23:3	61:9 62:21 80:19	awkwaru 10.1
able 12:19 13:4	2:16	answering 70:8	97:3 98:22,23	В
30:1 37:17 48:17	ahead 7:5,11 13:12	answers 7:23 8:18	aspect 76:21	back 11:10 17:18
63:6,12 70:7,9	17:15 25:5 31:5	107:4	aspects 74:24 79:25	40:12 41:21 43:4
73:15 74:20	31:13 37:18 38:19	anticipate 8:21	Assembly 12:1	43:7 46:22 55:19
Absolutely 60:18	38:21 42:14 47:15	anyway 17:15	13:10 69:20 71:2	70:3,6,7,9 78:23
75:8,13 80:21	56:23 61:20 66:6	Anyways 93:1	assert 99:9,11	82:4 90:13 94:22
92:6	67:21 79:10,12	apologies 58:21	Assistant 3:9	106:19
acceptable 78:15	80:24 81:4 88:6	apologics 38.21 apologize 10:2	associates 59:6	Backer 2:4
80:1 90:2	88:23 95:10 98:10	20:18 27:25 28:7	assume 29:6 62:7	background 71:19
access 80:23 81:2	ain't 86:18	34:19,20 37:6	65:12 66:12	banged 92:25
89:19,24 93:12	all 1:12,17	41:3 47:6 51:1,12	attached 19:7	bank 75:19,24,25
accurate 9:3	Alex 39:21 45:21	52:21 55:22 57:19	attend 18:21 98:17	78:13 79:19 80:23
108:10	48:18 60:6	57:24 58:20 63:4	attention 15:2	81:3
actions 75:6	align 83:11	65:11 68:22 95:2	35:19	banking 89:20
active 10:20	alleged 75:9	95:17,20 96:7	attentiveness 72:3	barely 86:22
acts 24:12	allow 24:3 50:5	102:10 107:18	attorney 3:9 9:9	base 82:22 83:17
Adams 2:4 92:2,7	allowed 46:17	Apparently 95:23	10:5,10 20:11	based 15:5,6 22:7
additional 104:25	47:10	appeared 1:23	23:14 33:16,23	22:11 32:23 33:6
address 5:16,17 6:2	ambiguous 81:23	apply 24:14	57:18 58:5,8,9	35:12 89:7
6:6	Amendment 50:5	appreciate 82:13	59:11 66:13 98:6	basically 22:25
adjudicate 53:13	American 2:18	approval 75:22	attorney-client	46:4 56:9 58:16
adjudicated 21:8 42:17	11:23 13:17,21	76:5	17:13 46:20,25	87:7,9 89:10,11
	14:4 42:6,12	approved 57:12,12	64:24 96:21 98:20	91:25 94:3
adjudication 37:19 61:23	87:15	approximately	attorneys 57:14,25	basis 22:9
adopted 52:9	AmeriCorps 12:24	12:19 27:23 69:9	58:3 59:9,13,21	battery 102:11
adopted 32.9 advice 98:23	71:17	70:16	61:8 97:4,6	behalf 100:18
Affairs 3:4	and/or 48:22	April 11:6 37:12	104:16	bel- 6:3
affect 9:6	Andrew 2:3 3:8	area 12:18 15:14	Augustine 38:12	belief 69:23 71:5
ago 6:22 7:6,15	5:11 47:2 49:13	40:8 67:6,7 73:2	authorization	beliefs 42:19 43:11
39:9 104:10	andrew.hughes	73:12 74:23 77:8	50:16 62:1	45:4 83:25
107:10	3:12	87:25 95:11	auto 7:3,4	believe 11:20,22,24
agree 15:7 26:10	Angeles 2:20 3:4	101:13	available 85:9	12:1 13:1,15 14:3
O	angry 87:10,11	article 75:2,5 87:8	Avenue 3:10 5:19	15:5 32:9,23 33:7
28:15,17,20 32:10 46:15 47:8 50:13	ANNABELLE	articles 45:18 74:16	6:7 51:16	33:15 39:25 40:18
62:17 74:10 88:7	2:14	ASEEM 2:9	average 72:8	40:22,25 41:23
88:7,12	answer 8:24 9:12	Asian 82:23	aware 37:13,15	43:14 44:22,24
agreeable 89:6	9:12 14:12 15:21	aside 36:4,9 83:23	45:10,24 46:2,7	45:5,6 47:17,23
agreed 6:25 19:10	17:9,11,15 22:19	94:12	52:2,10 53:14,22	51:6 55:4 58:7,8
19:13,16 20:2,20	46:21 62:24 65:5	asked 45:20 51:10	59:24 60:1,4,19	58:17 59:5 71:21
27:8 28:12 50:12	66:6 70:9,13	54:21 55:21 83:9	61:2 64:3,7 65:18	77:23,24 83:2,15
27.0 20.12 30.12	,		,	84:3,4 87:13,15
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

Benancio Garcia III

				. rage 110
87:16 88:17 90:10	boundary 22:23	called 15:8,16 16:2	103:6 105:8,17	circumstance 82:10
104:7,8	23:17	30:9,23 34:22	106:1	89:3
bell 59:1	bounds 97:12	35:13,14 55:15	cases 19:22	circumstances
Bellevue 15:14 40:1	box 55:19	56:1	caught 15:2 81:24	100:22 107:19
Ben 2:10 46:21	boxed 93:7 100:7	calling 44:6 55:6	CCR 1:25 108:20	Citizens 13:21 42:6
62:22 65:6 76:18	100:11	calls 22:17 23:22	cell 48:7 50:11	city 24:3
78:7 96:22 98:21	Brady 37:14	27:25 29:7 33:25	58:21	civics 29:11,12,22
106:12	brand-new 58:22	46:20 64:23 72:6	Center 2:10,14,23	30:11 55:14
Benancio 1:4,20	break 8:22,25	72:15 76:10 81:7	central 72:12 91:25	civil 34:1 42:11,11
4:1 5:4,15 35:5	41:15,16 100:14	89:10,23 96:21	91:25	56:6 77:24
76:13 108:8	100:24	98:20	certain 6:25	claim 30:12
Benton 36:16 91:22	brief 27:12,12,15	campaign 2:10,14	certainly 32:11	claims 46:17 47:11
92:1	28:5 55:11,11	44:1 67:6 68:3,20	37:20 53:8 79:24	clarify 8:14 51:13
best 47:23 67:21	briefly 5:11	78:20	99:22	56:12 91:14
68:2 74:3 84:10	bring 35:24 36:25	campaigning 35:25	Certified 108:6	class 72:9,20
84:15 99:12 107:5	46:17 47:10	37:6 44:3 73:17	certify 108:7	clear 16:1 17:12
107:5 108:10	bringing 25:9	Campos 40:17,18	chairman 76:3	20:6 36:17 50:4
better 69:18 70:25	32:20 33:13 34:25	40:19,22,25 41:8	79:14	60:13 66:14 74:2
73:3	35:1,23	41:10 45:21 46:16	chairmen 36:25	80:13
Bifurcate 48:21	brochure 16:19,20	47:10 60:7 63:23	79:15	clearly 28:19 81:22
big 90:9,11 91:8,8	broken 83:6	Canadian 91:24	chairpersons 79:15	client 99:3
bill 105:23	brother 41:10	candidate 35:25	challenge 103:4	clients 62:4
binder 23:2,3	brothers 41:3,11	36:18 67:6,15,21	challenges 29:24	closer 95:8
Birch 5:19 6:7	brought 19:24	67:24 69:1 72:2	78:21 88:8,9	clothing 100:11
51:16	32:21 47:16 59:18	73:14 74:21 76:14	challenging 34:14	Coalition 31:19
bit 6:8 9:19 14:5	60:4 65:3 78:11	78:7 82:23 83:14	65:24	coffee 99:22
16:18 21:5 45:9	95:22	85:9 90:4	Chalmers 2:4	cognitive 9:7
59:12 65:16 66:8	Building 3:4	candidates 68:6,8	Chan 82:19	colleagues 85:22
67:4,5,24 75:14	burn 6:8	68:14 73:16 79:21	change 25:6,9 31:7	collect 93:21
83:9 84:5 92:12	burned 6:6	80:8,16 82:15	42:23 43:1 77:23	college 11:25 69:19
93:18 95:2,4,7	business 6:23	84:8 101:12,16	81:21	71:1 77:25
101:10	busy 30:14 55:15	capacity 1:8,16	changed 25:25 26:2	Columbia 92:1
blue 15:16	84:7 85:2	car 82:11 93:1 94:8	26:3,7,12 61:5,10	combat 11:22
board 31:18 72:25	button 62:20 66:3	100:16	61:11 71:21 76:1	42:21
74:7 103:5	buy 86:21	care 74:8 79:12	82:3 102:1,3	come 55:9 58:6
boards 32:6		career 83:6	changes 41:1	comes 74:19
body 83:4		case 2:2,2,8 3:2,7	changing 25:20,23	coming 40:12 99:18
bodywork 6:24 7:3	C 2:1 3:1 108:1,1	7:14 20:19 25:8	chapter 42:7	103:15,22
7:4	CA 2:20 3:4	25:10 26:5 42:13	charged 103:18	comments 17:4,21
books 55:13	Caleb 76:3	45:10,16 46:18	cheat 87:13	17:24,24,25 18:11
border 91:24	call 14:24 16:22	49:15,21 50:6,25	Chicago 2:16	commission 17:5
born 12:17	27:17,18,19 28:9	56:4 57:15 58:1	choose 11:18 13:24	17:22 18:4,10,22
bottom 81:24	29:4 34:22 35:14	60:5,7,8 61:9,18	84:1	18:24 21:3 37:19
boundaries 24:1	52:16,18 54:14,21	66:23 73:2 74:13	Cindy 1:25 70:7,8	57:11 108:22
41:24	55:3,19,24 76:17 89:12 98:8,11	78:16 97:7 100:19	108:6,20	commissioner 52:4
	07.14 70.0,11			

Benancio Garcia III

				. Tage 111
52:5,6	34:1 35:20 55:4	contacting 52:12	87:17	damaged 100:12
commissioners	67:2 81:16,17,18	content 54:18	County 5:20,23	Dan 68:4 77:2
26:19,21 37:9,16	103:2	continue 101:24	26:6 31:19 36:16	date 1:24 100:1
committed 78:22	concerns 31:11	Continuing 3:1	74:13 82:19,21	day 58:17 108:15
committee 53:8	81:11 95:15	41:19 71:9 101:6	91:19,22,23 92:7	DC 2:12
common 14:21	concluded 107:21	controversy 75:3	94:16,17 95:5,12	de- 6:22
73:10,11	conclusion 22:17	85:17	101:13 108:4	deadline 37:17
communicate 81:9	23:22 72:6	conversation 16:6	County's 85:7	53:11
communication	conducted 68:24	27:14,14,16,20	couple 51:14 87:7	deal 7:1
17:13 46:20 54:5	conflict 62:14	28:5,6 51:24 52:1	101:8 104:25	dealing 56:8 100:21
64:6,24 98:20	96:16,18 97:1,5	52:23 55:7,9,13	105:2 106:8	decide 43:4 67:13
99:2	97:11,13,16	56:12 61:3 94:4	course 6:15 63:14	decided 33:20
communications	conflicting 61:16	conversations	65:9 97:20 106:9	71:13 82:3 85:13
62:22 81:18 93:13	confused 10:6	29:13,15,18 30:18	court 1:1 7:23 8:2	decision 24:2 43:12
96:21	20:15	96:22 97:4	43:4,16 50:5	46:23 78:17,19
communities 73:9	confusion 17:11	cookie 81:25	56:14 60:2,11	99:18,24
community 13:3	congress 11:8,14,18	coordinated 77:7	70:11 107:12	defend 36:20
23:25 24:9 40:13	18:1 24:2 28:2	copy 20:1,4 63:6,11	108:6	defendants 1:10,18
71:24 72:4 84:22	31:8 34:14 47:23	63:12 64:10,15	courts 43:13,18	2:2 3:7 45:25
102:18	56:8 67:8 71:14	88:14	53:13	48:18 49:18,21
complaint 10:11	91:9	core 45:4	covers 91:19	59:15,22,25 60:6
18:7,19 19:2,4,9	congressional	correct 11:14,15	COVID 102:1	60:19 61:19
19:12,15,21 20:1	11:12,13 12:7,16	16:13 29:3 30:9	103:4	Defendants' 48:20
20:4,16,16,17,19	67:22 69:10 70:17	30:24,25 31:2	COVID's 102:23	defended 42:12
20:22,23 21:4,10	74:4 76:14 77:3	43:19 45:11 46:9	created 75:21 81:3	defending 45:6
21:12,17 23:5,9	77:10 78:6 82:20	46:10 49:14,16	87:17 89:21	Defense 2:18
23:13 25:10 26:9	85:4 86:1 91:15	57:10 59:8 60:9	critical 14:4 25:7	definitely 82:13
26:11,15 33:9	92:14 93:15 102:4		criticizing 75:6	definition 97:10
57:2,5,6,9 61:16		60:11,18 61:6	cross 22:21	delayed 76:7,8
61:20 62:16 66:12	connect 30:20 34:21	62:5,6,9,10 66:18	Crossclaim 48:23	Democrat 80:24
		67:9 68:5,11 75:8		
83:17 94:11,15	connected 78:10	75:8,13 79:7	50:6	Democrats 15:13
97:24 104:2	conservative 84:13	97:25	CRR 1:25 108:20	40:3
Complaints 19:23	84:14	correctly 53:2 82:8	Culp 77:4	demographic 73:12
complete 53:9	consideration	cost 29:25,25 62:15	Culp's 80:17	dep 20:12
89:15	33:13 34:25	counsel 9:18 50:3	cultural 14:19	deposed 6:18,22
completely 6:7 21:7 79:11	considering 32:19	59:25 60:11 61:25	culture 12:18 14:8	deposition 1:19 4:1
	35:1	62:8,13 63:12	14:17	7:13 9:16,17 10:4
completion 48:2	constitution 11:21	66:21,24 88:24	current 18:10	10:9 19:22 20:5,6
61:22	45:5 73:25 87:19	103:22 104:13	41:24 82:10	20:12 46:5 51:11
Complex 3:10	construction 86:25	counsel's 89:1	currently 36:1	54:2,13 60:17
computer 51:4	contact 33:18 52:14	counterparts 72:14	51:15 106:20	63:7 64:11,18
93:24	55:24 57:17	counties 22:22	cut 66:8 70:7	65:4,10 86:15
concept 57:12	contacted 27:1	25:17 26:8	D	98:18 107:21
concerned 31:20	30:10,13 31:5	country 13:3 42:9	Dallin 59:1 64:1	108:8
32:9,15,16 33:1,3	52:14	42:21 71:23 83:2	damage 6:8 51:19	depositions 60:21
			uamage 0.0 J1.19	

Benancio Garcia III

	-	•	-	
descent 68:13	6:13 11:12,13	90:9	Ellensburg 80:6,6	exactly 7:6 33:22
describe 26:12	12:7,8,9,12,13,16	Drew 10:10 34:24	else's 20:17	34:7 35:18 80:10
description 25:11	21:19 22:8 23:16	35:16 46:23 57:16	email 18:12 81:10	80:16
designated 83:15	23:19 26:2,6,7	58:7 59:11 97:1	89:18 93:12,14,18	EXAMINATION
desire 60:21 69:21	31:8,16 36:15	Drew's 34:3,8	104:8	1:19 4:2,3
71:3	37:19 47:24 52:9	drive 99:25	emails 81:12 85:16	examined 5:6
desires 13:2 62:14	53:1,6 67:22 69:6	dstokesbary@ch	85:16 104:5	example 33:9 54:3
detail 36:19	69:10,25 70:14,17	2:6	endangered 24:13	69:13 70:20 87:23
details 16:18	71:7 72:23 74:4,4	due 42:2,20	ended 7:2 33:22	exchanged 90:14
determine 42:17	75:1,23 76:14	Dufault 31:24,25	endorsed 85:24	exclusively 62:9
43:10,20 83:19	78:6 79:14 80:7	31:25 32:4,5,17	endorsement 34:15	exhausted 87:2
99:9	81:3 82:20 83:15	45:3	ends 28:3 84:15	EXHIBIT 4:9
determined 26:22	83:23 85:4 87:21	duly 5:5 108:9	enforcement 40:21	exhibits 4:10,11
determining 21:7	89:21 91:15 92:5	Dupree 31:8,23	41:5	exist 97:9
difference 12:19	92:10,13,13 94:13	duration 62:15	engagement 62:24	existence 97:15
13:3 40:12 71:22	95:14 106:20	duty 10:20	64:11,25	expect 81:25 82:9
71:25	107:1,2		English 75:22	expectation 62:7
different 19:25	districted 32:17	E	enjoyed 68:23	expected 9:25
22:22 25:18 33:5	districts 25:4 71:18	E 2:1,1 3:1,1 5:8	enjoying 11:7	expecting 52:15,17
61:21 91:2 102:6	72:24	41:19 50:21 71:9	entertain 79:24	experience 24:6,8
difficult 94:7	Division 3:10	101:6 105:3,3	entity 103:9,10	24:15 67:5 71:14
difficulty 48:8	document 48:4,8,9	106:10 108:1,1	environmental	72:11,18 87:22
diligence 42:20	48:14,25 49:1,3,6	earlier 14:5,15	24:12	101:11
dinner 39:8	49:7,25 87:17	45:20 96:11	Ephrata 40:8	experiences 14:8,17
dis- 39:14	documents 10:8	early 27:21	ERICA 3:9	14:19
disagree 78:3	86:15,17 87:6	Ebony 11:24 69:18	erica.franklin@a	expert 105:7,13,17
disconnect 71:20	88:21 89:7 92:17	70:25 77:25	3:13	expires 108:22
disconnection	92:24 93:4 100:5	economically 72:19	ERNEST 2:17	explain 75:14 95:3
69:17 70:24	dog 90:4	Eddie@MorfinL	especially 78:9	explicable 25:15
discuss 27:7 47:3	doing 9:20 18:4,24	2:24	Espinoza 16:12,14	expressing 52:25
52:12 64:25 85:22	19:1,21 20:5 34:6	edge 71:11	16:22 29:2,8,19	expression 83:11
96:22 103:23	74:3 90:1	Educational 2:18	30:9,23 34:22	extent 22:17 23:21
discussed 27:5	dots 30:20 34:21	EDWARDO 2:22	35:13 54:21 55:2	46:20 62:21 64:23
29:16 39:1 40:14	doubt 90:12	effect 43:8,9 102:23	et 1:12,17	72:5 96:20 98:19
41:7	Dr 105:5,13	effort 77:7 87:22	evening 88:17	extraordinarily
discussion 27:11	Draft 20:1	101:15	event 15:12,13	77:19
45:22 52:7 82:24	drafting 18:10	eherrera@malde	39:25 40:2 75:15	eyes 96:9
disheartened 91:4	drastic 25:9	2:21	84:7 102:21	
dismiss 46:15,24	drastically 25:20	either 37:25	events 30:5	F
47:9 48:22 61:25	25:23 26:2,3,7,11	elected 102:5	everybody 73:21	F 105:3 108:1
dismissed 50:4	drawing 52:9 53:5	election 21:9 31:14	74:5	face 34:17 37:22
displeased 87:12	53:8	33:1 77:4 81:7	Everything's 65:17	faced 82:15
distinguishing 95:1	drawn 22:15,24	elections 25:25	evidence 53:18	facilities 23:25
96:4	52:12	106:15,17 107:2	66:4 89:16	24:10
district 1:1,2 6:11	dream 11:23 87:15	electoral 87:24	exact 33:11	fact 15:1 31:11
		electronic 93:13		42:2 44:24 45:2,4
	:		-	•

Benancio Garcia III

	1	İ	ı	1
47:16 53:5 54:9	Fifth 3:10	fix 83:1,2	friends 85:22	107:18 108:9
77:14,16 79:24	fight 80:2 83:8 90:4	fixed 87:5	front 23:4 57:21	Garden 39:9
84:23 85:14 87:12	fighter 80:2 90:11	flat-out 28:15,17	99:21,22	gather 65:23 67:1
89:10	fighting 36:1 90:9	flipping 23:3	froze 35:11	72:2 96:15
facts 80:13	file 54:15,17	Floor 2:19	frozen 35:2,4 70:2	gathered 83:10
Fain 26:23	filed 18:7,19 19:6,6	focused 85:1	fruition 61:22	gears 45:9 67:4
fair 10:7,12 12:2,4	20:2 21:12,16	folks 71:15 81:15	full 9:3	general 3:9 36:18
12:6,10,13 23:10	57:2,5 61:17,17	follow 16:24	fully 34:21	76:13 80:19
25:25 32:16 37:7	61:21 66:12 97:21	followed 71:12	function 9:7	106:14
73:24 78:5 87:13	97:24 99:12 104:2	following 45:5	Fund 2:18	generality 36:20
99:16	filing 97:23 98:4	follows 5:7	funding 103:22	generally 57:1
fairly 69:6 70:13	final 21:3,4,11,13	foolish 10:18	funds 76:22,23	geographic 94:15
falls 97:16	21:14,16,21 22:1	Force 90:15	further 94:17	95:15
familiar 38:3,3	22:2	foregoing 108:8	104:24 107:17	geographical 25:14
42:7	finalize 37:18	forgetting 16:11	future 106:17	ger- 42:19
familiarity 24:5	finally 76:6	form 14:9 15:19	107:2	gerry- 42:2
67:7	find 62:20 68:21	17:6,8 18:17	fuzzy 57:20 65:17	gerrymander 52:13
familiarized 24:11	71:12 78:16 93:8	54:23 88:3 95:16	94:18 96:4,6	53:1 54:10 94:13
families 12:22	102:18 107:19	101:17,18 103:17		gerrymandered
family 40:18 41:4	findings 43:19	104:17 107:11	G	22:14 23:19 44:25
87:2 99:19 100:10	finds 43:16	former 41:5	Gaber 2:9 4:5	gerrymandering
fantastic 13:5	fine 41:17 44:10,16	forward 60:5,7	50:20,22,24 53:21	15:6 22:7,11,12
far 36:14 70:9 87:6	84:8	61:18 105:17	54:7 55:1 63:1,5,8	24:7,16 25:21
102:13 106:23	finish 50:14 60:14	fought 13:17	63:11,16 65:1,8	27:9 28:10 29:20
favor 65:24 66:17	fire 5:24 6:8,16	found 34:4 76:16	66:5 70:2,6 71:10	30:8,12 32:23
favorable 81:8	33:24 51:17,19	78:20 82:1 85:24	72:10 73:1 88:5	33:6 35:21 42:3
favored 77:2	53:16,23 87:4	90:25	95:24 97:2,17,19	42:20 43:12 44:23
fearful 103:2	89:3	founded 13:13,22	98:22 99:4 100:13	46:17 47:10,17
February 1:24 5:1	firm 2:22 58:14	Fourth 50:5	101:7,19 103:19	getting 14:2 16:21
27:23 108:9,15	64:1	framers 87:16	104:18,24 107:11	29:22 33:23 79:20
federal 10:25 11:1	first 5:5 20:22 21:2	Franklin 3:9 92:2	107:17	93:15 94:1 101:12
11:4,9,14 12:21	21:10,22,25 28:8	94:17	Garcia 1:4,20 4:1	102:15
23:24 24:1,9,13	29:4 30:7,23	FRCP 107:23	5:4,10,15 6:18 9:2	give 9:3 18:1 22:20
71:16 83:5	33:20 39:24 55:23	108:13	9:15 10:13,19	33:17 55:18 80:22
feel 8:22 10:1 12:14	59:21 60:17,24	free 8:23 13:18	11:19 14:22 15:21	81:4 83:5 99:25
36:20 42:13,15	61:7 66:14,18,21	62:15 73:5	17:9,21 19:9 20:7	100:1 102:7
43:1 60:12 66:1	67:10 75:16 87:18	freedoms 43:15	20:20 23:2 26:1	given 27:4 28:24
71:20 78:1,3	88:15 96:12	freestyling 97:15	26:18 30:19 31:23	52:19 58:8 66:20
feeling 102:2	fit 25:10	freeway 22:22	32:13 35:10 37:8	81:19 89:3 91:1
feelings 42:9	five 5:24 39:9 54:20	23:17	41:21 43:6,23	gives 37:21
feet 68:2	56:8 68:9 75:16	freeze 35:2	47:8 48:15 50:4	glad 87:1
fellow 67:15	79:18 87:1 93:7	frequently 97:21	50:23 62:22 64:10	glasses 48:8 57:20
felt 7:1 42:9 67:16	100:7 104:10	Friday 98:2	64:24 65:2,18	57:21 58:22 65:11
67:20	five-minute 55:7	friend 15:3,24	70:8 71:12 76:14	65:15 94:19 95:21
fields 40:10	100:14,24	102:5	87:9 88:6 97:3	95:21
			99:5 101:9 105:5	
	•	•	•	•

Benancio Garcia III

	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	l	1.
go 7:5,11,11,20,21	Governor 42:11	hard 26:12 33:7	Holtzman 58:14	ideal 100:21
8:18 13:11 17:15	Grandview 5:19	51:10 90:6,7	64:1	identification 4:10
25:5 26:23 31:13	6:4 51:16	HARLESS 2:14	holy 87:18	4:11
35:6 37:18 38:19	Granger 12:25	harmed 41:23 42:3	home 23:24 40:12	identified 84:18
38:21 42:14 43:18	13:23 38:25 39:15	head 8:1 88:7	51:3,17 79:11	ideology 84:23
43:19 47:15 53:9	Grant 91:23 92:2	health 103:2	86:23 87:1	III 1:4,20 4:1 5:4
56:23 61:20 66:6	Graves 26:25 27:3	hear 6:9 18:3 40:9	homeowner 12:23	5:15 108:9
67:21 68:1 69:14	27:4,5 28:10,25	41:13 53:19 80:3	homeowners 12:20	IL 2:16
70:4,21 79:10,12	31:1,4 32:3 33:10	107:12	71:16	illegal 15:6 22:11
80:21,24 81:4	33:13,17 34:22,23	heard 26:1 34:13	honest 34:2,7 37:4	24:7 35:20 42:2
83:19 86:21 88:6	35:14,14 51:25	34:15 36:3 40:4	57:16 60:13 82:2	42:19 44:22
95:10 98:10	52:8 53:14,22	66:19 78:3 104:12	84:25 90:8 92:23	illegally 43:12
102:10	54:1,8 55:3,6	heart 13:2	honestly 99:19	44:24 83:15
goal 42:16,17 43:6	56:13	heavily 53:5,7	honesty 82:4	imagine 28:4
43:10,14 81:6	Graves' 52:3 53:18	Hello 62:25	Honeyford 39:25	impact 78:25
God 77:21	53:25	help 13:14 75:19,25	84:21 85:12,13	important 13:17
goes 40:22,25 43:3	greatest 87:17,17	helped 53:23 67:15	honor 7:1 44:6	14:6,15,18,20
97:11	greatly 76:7 77:4	helping 102:5	hope 51:5 68:21	25:6 47:20,22,24
going 21:7,19 24:24	103:1	Herald 15:3,15	93:23	74:9
25:5,17 31:13	grew 12:17 38:22	21:6 24:25 25:2	hopefully 7:21 43:3	impossible 107:8
35:20 46:5,19,22	GRH 71:17	74:16	90:4 100:25 102:9	impression 15:22
47:13,21 48:4	growing 24:5	Herald's 37:16	hoping 55:18,19	18:25 22:3 51:2
55:13 56:7 60:14	guess 6:5 19:25	hereunto 108:14	host 93:22	54:14,16,18 72:3
62:20,23,23 64:22	21:15 70:6 90:9	HERRERA 2:17	hours 12:24 78:22	73:16 74:11
68:1 85:13 86:25	97:15	hey 62:19 80:24	house 5:24 6:6,16	impressive 77:20
93:6,17 94:9,21	guest 40:4	101:23 106:12	33:24 69:12,14	includes 91:22
96:20 98:20 99:1	guidelines 7:20	high 12:25 72:21	70:19,21 86:19	including 68:9 69:2
99:12,20 100:5,8	guy 90:9	highlight 50:8	87:3 88:20 89:4	inclusion 74:6
100:20 102:10		highlighting 50:3	93:25	income 72:20
good 5:10 14:2	H	highway 25:19 26:5	households 12:20	incumbent 68:3
32:10,12 41:14	H 105:3	hire 76:23	Hughes 3:8 4:4,6	INDEX 4:2,9
63:5 66:7,9,10	half 95:5 100:8	hired 76:25	5:9,11 14:11	indicated 23:18
82:22 84:15	halfway 37:10	Hispanic 12:1 13:7	15:20 17:7,17	individual 44:23
goodness 6:3 21:23	hand 29:10 81:24	13:9 22:25 42:8	18:20 22:18 24:18	individuals 59:14
99:21	84:6 108:14	69:20 71:2	35:6,9 41:14,20	information 9:23
Gordon 64:8	hands 40:7 79:23	history 11:24 14:2	46:22 47:5,7	33:18 103:14,20
gosh 6:1,9 15:10	hang 49:11	79:20 84:12	50:18 51:10 53:17	initial 55:12
80:7	happen 43:17	hitting 25:19	53:24 59:12 61:4	initially 61:17,21
gotten 42:6 69:18	63:18 83:21	Hobbs 1:7,16 10:11	83:9 96:13,25	ink 86:21
70:25	happened 30:23	19:24 20:7,20	97:11 101:1,17	instruct 46:21
governing 24:22	31:4 35:13 79:6	45:10,16 47:11	104:25 105:2,4,12	98:21
government 10:25	79:16 80:4,20	Hold 96:19,19	104.23 103.2,4,12	instructions 55:17
11:2,5,9 12:21	82:20 107:9	holder 99:6	Hughes' 59:20	intend 51:22
23:24 24:1,9	happening 16:25	holds 102:9	Trugites 37.20	106:17,23 107:1
71:16	31:16,20 33:2	Holt 59:1 64:2	I	intentions 32:12
/1.10	56:10 82:12	1101t 37.1 04.4	idea 67:23	mtentions 52.12
	00.10 02.12		-300 07.20	

Benancio Garcia III

	ı	•	1	1	
interest 74:3 99:12	Joe 26:23	57:16,25 58:15	Koch 1:25 108:6,20	32:20,21 33:14	
interested 16:17,19	Jose 38:14 39:10	61:17 63:3 64:6		35:1,1,15,23 36:6	
55:14	45:20 48:18 60:6	65:20,21,22 68:2	L	42:16 43:6,10	
interests 47:24 62:4	JUNE 108:23	69:13,15,16 70:7	lack 23:17 72:3	46:16,24 47:9,16	
62:13		70:20,22,23 72:17	81:18	47:21,25 53:16,23	
interrupt 98:10	K	72:18,19,22 73:4	land- 26:4	54:15 59:15,22	
interruption 17:19	Kaufman 2:4	73:5,7,21,22 74:4	landmark 25:19	60:1 61:25 66:22	
17:19	KDNA 39:14,17	74:7,17 77:14,18	26:4	78:4 97:21,23	
intervened 45:25	keep 44:18 96:8	77:21 78:4,8,20	language 33:12	98:5 99:13 103:16	
59:14	103:18	79:15,17,19 80:2	91:11	lawsuits 39:4	
intervening 60:6,6	Kennewick 2:23	80:9,10,12,12,14	laptop 9:25	lawyer 18:8 20:24	
61:18	kind 26:12 95:3	80:15,18,19 81:1	large 22:24 41:3	34:10 47:4 49:15	
intervenor 2:2	101:16 103:21	81:1,7,13,14,15	69:6 70:13 72:19	64:14 83:16	
48:20 49:18,21	King 82:19,21	81:16,23,25 82:4	73:21 74:17,20	103:23	
59:22,25 60:19	Klickitat 92:1	82:16,24,24 83:3	85:7	lawyers 90:7 103:6	
intervenors 61:8	knew 39:24 55:15	83:4,7,14,17,24	largely 12:7	lay 24:21	
96:13	55:18	84:3,9,9,10,13,17	larger 91:15	LD 28:9 32:17	
Intervenors' 48:22	know 5:10 7:19,20	84:19,19,25 86:1	largest 69:7,8 70:14	41:24	
50:3,5	12:23 15:2,9,22	86:5,5,20,22 87:1	70:15 85:4 91:16	leadership 12:9	
involved 14:22,24	15:25 16:3,4,4	87:2,3,6,7,10,14	91:18	25:24 31:7 73:23	
16:5 53:5,7,15	17:23,24 19:23	87:16 89:5,9,10	late 98:3	91:5	
67:13,24 75:18	20:12,16 21:4,8	89:11,14,15,16	Latin 13:21 42:6	leads 13:2	
100:19 101:25	21:14 23:12 25:1	90:2,5,6,8,8,20	Latino 12:10 13:14	learn 67:25	
102:4,19,19	25:6,7,18 26:10	91:3,4,6,7,8,10,14	14:1 39:18 68:10	learned 59:21	
involvement 52:4	26:11,13,18,20,23	91:14 92:4,25	68:13 69:2 72:4	66:20	
involves 17:12	26:25 27:3,24	93:6,8,9,17,17,19	72:12 73:9,15,18	learning 60:16,24	
Iraq 7:8	28:5,20 29:23,25	93:24 94:3,7,16	73:21,22 74:12,18	61:7 66:15	
irregardless 32:25	30:2,17 31:6,9,17	94:19 95:17 96:12	74:23 75:10,17,23	leave 79:23 82:3	
83:14,18	31:17,19,21 32:6	99:20 100:9,10,18	76:20 77:1,5,8	left 11:8 82:6	
Ismael 40:17 45:21	33:8,8,19,22,24	100:22,23 101:21	79:5 80:3 82:15	legal 2:10,14,18	
48:18 63:23	34:1,5,9,12,16,17	101:22,23 102:3	85:23 87:23,24	13:21 19:23 22:8	
issue 81:10 85:22	35:18,24 36:11,15	102:13,14,17,21	88:10 89:19,22	22:17 23:13.22	
issues 21:6 53:9	36:22,24 37:2,3,5	103:1,3,7,11,13	90:15,18 91:6	62:10 64:19 66:24	
95:19	37:12,14,20,22	103:15,15,15,21	94:5	72:6 79:25 98:23	
item 84:8	38:2,12,14,16,23	103:21,21,24	Latino-based 12:8	99:20	
	39:10,15,16,21,23	104:15,20,23	Latinos 69:8 70:15	legislative 6:11,13	
J	40:2,8,10,17,19	105:5,15,16,16,22	75:17 76:25 79:18	18:10 26:2 92:13	
January 27:23	41:4,4,10,11 42:5	105:25 106:24	law 2:22 37:21	107:2	
46:13	42:10,18 43:3,23	knowing 20:14	40:21 41:5 53:12	legislator 66:16	
jar 81:25	43:24,25 44:2,11	42:7 57:19	58:14 64:1	legislature 65:19	
Jason 64:4	44:16 45:14 46:23	knowledge 81:5	laws 12:3,5 24:14	66:16 84:2 101:13	
Jeremie 31:7,9,17	51:13 52:3,8,17	82:22 107:6	24:14,20,22	let's 100:24	
31:18,23,24,25	52:19 53:8 54:1,2	108:10	lawsuit 14:23,24	letter 23:13 64:11	
32:17,25 45:3	54:3,5,12,20,21	known 14:25 34:16	15:17 16:2,15	79:13,13,14 104:7	
Jim 37:2 84:20	55:2,10,12,18,20	38:17,24 52:1	19:6,10 26:16	letters 104:5	
job 84:15,15	56:3,6,8,16 57:16	,	29:20 30:8,21		
	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
DUELL BEALTIME BERORTING					

Benancio Garcia III

letting 27:14	look 26:5,9 36:14	94:6	44:2 50:23 57:18	53:24 66:3 105:10
life 11:24 13:1 14:7	46:3,5 49:24	manner 79:25	87:4	105:18
14:17 77:16 82:4	53:10 58:22 72:22	manners 67:18	meeting 84:20	mistake 77:10 91:8
lifelong 71:24	72:23 74:15 80:25	map 22:24 25:12	meetings 9:18	mistakes 77:10
lifetime 23:23 24:8	80:25 92:16 93:8	42:23 43:1,7,7,8	18:21 36:25 85:3	misunderstanding
light 17:19 53:23	looked 28:16 31:15		102:15	51:2 54:3 77:12
61:21	33:11 46:4 76:12	43:20 56:13,15		77:13 86:3 89:25
		57:12,12 60:22	Mel 40:22,25 member 13:19 76:2	
lighting 53:15	92:17 93:3,4 95:14	61:5,9,11 63:18		mixing 41:2
lightly 83:6		92:13 94:23 95:12	76:17 79:1 82:6	moment 22:20 34:3
liked 83:7	looking 15:17 31:6	96:2	91:7	63:2 70:4 85:24
limit 37:21	31:15 32:2,22	maps 17:5 21:3,4,7	memory 9:6 28:8	90:25 94:10 102:7
line 66:13 81:24	looks 24:16 25:12	21:11,13,15,16,21	mentioned 13:6,19	Monday 86:11 98:2
84:8	Los 2:20 3:4	22:1,2,3,10,13,21	23:18 24:20 25:3	98:2
lines 15:2,4 68:25	lose 33:1	23:23	39:25 51:24 85:15	money 29:25 93:19
94:25 95:2 96:4	lot 21:6 25:21	March 18:5 57:7	96:13 104:2	103:22 104:21
list 24:13	27:24 28:2 31:11	Mark 2:9 50:19,24	message 75:21 76:1	money's 103:15
litigation 3:10	33:24 35:19 36:7	62:19 96:19 105:5	76:11,13 78:13,18	Monroe 2:15
29:16 42:11,12	36:21,23,23 37:3	105:13	91:2,3	month 57:1 100:8
46:1,8 53:15 60:3	37:3 38:6 40:2,3	marked 4:11	messages 75:3	months 57:2 76:7
60:21	44:2 53:9 56:5,10	mass 77:18	81:10 85:21 89:8	104:10,10,11
little 9:19 16:7,18	73:6 80:5 86:19	materials 68:20	90:13 93:11	107:9
16:23 25:17 45:9	95:18 101:22	Mattawa's 92:9	messaging 76:8	months' 104:13
52:18,21 57:20	low 102:11	matter 5:12 7:13	Messrs 45:24 46:16	Morfin 2:22,22
59:12 65:16,17	lower 72:9,13,20	13:16 19:15 20:2	47:9	morning 5:10
66:8 67:4,5 75:14	73:5,8 74:12,22	20:3,7,9 77:14	met 5:10 15:9,11,23	99:16
87:2 90:9,11 91:2	74:23,24	78:1 83:18	15:24 39:11,13,22	Motion 48:21
92:3 93:18 94:18	LULAC 11:25	matters 33:11	40:1,6 44:2,10	mountains 12:12
95:2,4,7 101:10	13:19,20,24 25:9	79:12	57:17	38:5,7,8
live 6:13 30:3 88:10	33:9 39:16 42:5,8	mayor 38:25 39:15	Mexican 2:18	move 31:12 60:5
106:20	69:19 71:1	mean 12:4 19:4,23	mgaber@campai	86:23
lived 6:15	lunch 73:5	25:14 26:9,21	2:13	moved 5:22,24
lives 83:3 102:23	3.6	27:13 28:15,18	midyear 81:7	51:14 61:18 86:18
living 10:13 72:1	M	31:9,24 33:8	military 6:23 7:7	87:1
LLC 2:4	M 1:25 5:8 41:19	36:14,21 38:4	10:15,17,19,20,23	moves 93:7
loans 23:24 24:3	50:21 71:9 101:6	45:12,14 46:3	31:10 83:1	moving 6:16 21:20
71:15	105:3 106:10	52:7 55:7,11 56:5	mind 5:13 43:22	56:8 60:7 100:7
local 24:13	108:6,20	59:19 64:5 69:5	Mingle 69:15 70:22	MULJI 2:9
location 9:25 21:20	Maia 16:12 29:1,2	69:18 70:12,25	minimal 81:6	multiple 29:18
23:12 100:1	30:8,23 34:21	84:5 93:5 95:9,19	minority 69:6	mute 62:20 66:3
locations 56:9	35:13 40:1 52:19	96:6 97:24 98:10	70:13	
long 7:5,6,15 8:21	55:10	meaning 12:6	minute 54:6 89:17	N
10:19 30:4 82:24	mail 104:6	meant 95:22	minutes 27:6 54:20	N 2:1 3:1 5:8,8
84:17,20	Main 2:4	mechanism 56:2	missing 70:1 71:8	41:19,19 50:21,21
longer 21:19 45:3	making 25:24	medications 9:5	misspoke 47:6	71:9,9 101:6,6
72:8 77:2 100:17	46:23 71:24	meet 25:5 37:4,17	misstates 53:17,18	105:3,3 106:10,10
	Manice 82:7 90:25		,	name 5:13 13:6
	I	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

Benancio Garcia III

				r ago 117
15:10,11 16:11	North 2:23	80:1,13 82:23	99:17 100:3	50:25 59:15,22
34:17 37:22 50:23	NOS 3:7	87:3	one's 106:3	60:1 61:9
57:19 58:16,19,22	notice 20:12 58:8	occurring 47:18	ones 26:21 60:7	pamphlet 29:12
names 41:11 58:10	81:19	off-line 82:8	85:24	pamphlets 29:9,10
NASA 40:11	November 18:5	offensive 68:21	open 23:2 40:2	29:22,24 30:11
nation 75:17 79:18	57:11	offhand 57:19	operates 101:11	55:14,20
nation 73.17 73.18 national 12:1 13:9	nowadays 102:6	office 10:6 55:17	opinion 22:8,9	pandemic 103:4
13:11 69:20 71:2	number 12:10 27:4	67:11 99:20	24:17 25:22 33:4	pandeine 103.4 papers 45:12
103:11	28:24,25 29:24	officer 38:23	54:11	paperwork 46:3
	34:3,8 38:17,24	official 1:7,16	opportunity 87:24	parade 44:3
necessarily 46:25 83:13	52:19 56:3 68:8	officials 36:11		_
			opposite 61:21 62:4	parallel 66:22
necessary 100:23	76:22 85:1	oftentimes 72:13	96:14	parents 40:11
need 7:23 8:22 9:12	numbers 74:20	oh 6:3,7 7:6 13:11	Opposition 48:21	Parkway 2:23
17:11 20:18 34:20	81:4 102:21	21:23 23:11 28:19	option 84:10	part 10:21 11:25,25
69:12 70:19 78:8	NW 2:11	38:22 42:14 47:15	ORAL 1:19	13:8,24 14:1,2
86:18,21 89:2	0	57:8 64:15 65:7	order 30:5 37:18	32:19 42:5 50:13
90:10 92:16 93:16		80:7 99:21 101:21	63:12	69:19,19 71:1,1
96:8 100:17	O 5:8 41:19 50:21	Okanogan 91:25	organization 13:7	91:11 92:3
101:24 102:7	71:9 101:6 105:3	92:2	14:1 16:8 42:8	participants 1:23
needed 6:24 23:12	106:10	okay 6:5,18 7:9,18	103:11,12	participate 102:2
51:3	o0o- 5:3 107:24	8:16,19,25 9:9,15	organizations	participation 73:20
negatively 88:9	oath 5:5 8:10	10:16 12:14 19:12	91:10	particular 22:22
NEPA 24:11	object 9:9 46:19	20:9,14 21:2 23:8	organized 13:14	24:3 25:19 26:4
never 37:25 43:22	53:17 62:21 64:23	29:4 32:8,13	original 43:2	49:3,6 60:20
49:7 50:12,13	96:20 99:1 101:17	38:14 39:19 42:4	originally 43:2	72:12 73:13 74:11
105:7,13,23	107:11	44:6,8 45:20	OSPI 16:11 55:16	particularly 89:3
nevertheless 54:9	objection 14:9	46:13 47:13 48:9	Othello 92:7	parties 15:12,13
new 21:20 43:8	15:19 17:6,8	48:14 49:7,10,24	outcome 59:25 77:5	97:7 102:25
50:11	18:16 22:16 23:21	50:18 52:20 56:14	outer 97:12	parts 92:3
Newhouse 68:4	53:24 54:23 66:3	56:18 57:14,23	overall 69:24 71:6	party 11:10,16
77:2	72:5,15 88:1	58:6,18 59:3	74:24	13:16 36:5,16
news 45:18 50:11	95:16 98:25	62:23 63:15 67:4	overcome 78:23	40:2 75:5,6,10,20
59:16,19,23 74:16	101:18 103:17	67:7 73:8 74:25	overlap 92:12	76:3,4,12,19,23
87:8	104:17 105:10,18	86:13 90:19,21	Owens 105:5,14	77:7,11 78:1,10
newspaper 31:12	107:13	92:22 94:2,14		78:14 79:9,23
53:10 75:2 81:23	objections 9:10	95:10,25 96:5,8	P	80:24 81:20 82:17
newspapers 81:13	18:18	100:13,14 101:21	P 2:1,1,9 3:1,1	85:18 88:8 101:11
85:17	observations	102:13 107:15	p.m 101:5 107:21	102:19
nice 40:5 44:11,17	101:14	old 12:25	Pablo 41:10	Pasco 73:2 92:5
50:23 51:6	obviously 25:4 36:3	old-school 57:21	packed 86:19	pass 30:2
Nikki 43:23	49:22 53:7 54:5	oldest 42:8	page 4:3,10 34:18	pass 30.2 passed 53:11
nodding 8:1	81:2,2	Olive 39:9	49:10,12,24	passed 33.11 passing 38:4
non- 12:24	occur 48:1 81:21,21	Olive 39.9 Olmstead 6:4	paid 105:23	passing 56.4 passionate 56:6
	85:25 87:12	once 15:23,25 21:4	Palmer 1:12 20:10	Paul 26:25 27:3,4,5
nonprofit 10:24	occurred 19:8	· ·	45:10,16,25 46:8	
normal 63:13 103:3	25:22 35:21 79:16	27:1 50:4 66:2	46:18 47:11 49:21	29:23 31:1,4 32:3
	25.22 55.21 77.10		10.10 1/.11 7/.21	

Benancio Garcia III

24 22 22 25 14 14	70.7	1 .76 10	106.14	1, 46,00
34:22,23 35:14,14	72:7	plus 76:10	106:14	push 46:22
41:10 51:25 52:1	Phil 64:8	pocket 93:19	printer 86:20	put 21:5 31:1 33:9
Pause 35:8	PHILLIPS 2:10	point 9:9 15:11	prior 71:18 104:7	34:17 37:22 49:22
pay 78:8 93:16,22	phone 14:24 27:17	28:16 58:3 59:17	private 7:13 44:14	56:25 67:20 68:1
paying 35:19 103:6	27:18,19,25 28:9	62:8 78:9 92:2	privilege 79:19	68:22 74:16 75:4
104:15	29:7 30:18 33:25	93:15,17	97:10,12,16 99:6	75:23 83:3 86:4
PCOs 36:24 101:23	48:7 50:11 52:16	points 34:6	99:9,11	87:9 105:17
peers 43:13	52:17 54:14 57:18	police 38:23	privileged 17:13	putting 37:22
pejoratively 17:20	58:11,21 75:19,24	political 14:25	46:25 62:21 64:23	79:22 87:2
pending 8:24	75:25 76:10,17	15:12 33:25 34:6	96:21 97:1	Q
people 12:15,22	78:12 79:19 80:23	56:7 83:24	probably 7:19 37:4	
14:2,6,15 16:21	80:25 81:3,4,6	politically 30:14	43:2 44:14 54:20	qualities 12:9
25:5 29:10 30:3	82:10 85:21 89:9	83:12	56:1 57:13 77:3	question 8:7,13,24
34:14 35:19 36:2	89:12,19,23 90:25	politicians 28:3	77:14 81:12 84:20	8:24 9:12 10:18
36:7,21,23,23	93:9 94:4,8 95:7	72:4 90:7	93:20 99:20	14:13 17:9,18
37:3,4 38:6 42:18	104:3	politics 82:5 85:14	problem 44:25	19:25 23:3 35:10
44:2 51:11 56:5	phonetic 82:7	102:20	52:25 61:13,24	46:21 47:1,3,6
67:19 69:2,2,13	Physical 5:17	population 12:10	62:3 65:13,14,16	53:20 54:24 58:14
69:16,21,25 70:20	picture 34:17	13:14 22:24 69:7	82:16	60:25 65:2,6 70:8
70:23 71:3,7	pictures 37:16,21	69:8,10 70:15,15	proceed 50:6 66:23	70:9,11 83:22
72:21 73:15 80:5	PIERCE 108:4	70:17 73:22 74:18	proceedings 35:8	92:25 93:2 96:20
80:14,17,18,18	Piñero 37:14	position 16:10 83:5	process 16:24 19:8	96:25 97:4 98:25
81:9,11 84:13	place 56:13 77:22	positions 73:23	21:9 43:20 56:9	103:20
85:2,19 90:15	99:25	possibility 29:16	67:25 73:24 78:20	questions 8:17,18
101:24 102:1,2,15	places 25:3	possible 7:21 67:20	88:19 98:15	9:10 59:20 62:24
102:17 103:1	plaintiff 1:5 2:2	possibly 27:22	101:15	80:9,19 89:7
people's 77:23	15:17 16:2,15	potential 25:6	program 71:17	101:1,8 104:24
Per- 94:6	19:10,13,16 20:3	29:19 30:8,12	Project 3:3	106:8,12 107:5,16
percent 51:21 69:9	20:21 30:21	potentially 16:15	property 100:12	quick 7:20 48:4
70:16 107:9	plaintiffs 1:13 2:8	35:23	proportion 74:13	quicker 63:13
period 18:3 57:11	3:2 50:24 61:20	poverty 72:24	propose 18:14	quirks 25:14
permanent 6:1	100:18	power 99:8	proposed 21:3,14	quit 48:12 76:17,18
permission 89:25	Plaintiffs' 48:21	pray 68:2	protect 11:22	91:1
Perry 25:10 42:10	plan 18:10 52:9,12	pre-COVID 101:21	protecting 11:20	quite 6:8 21:5
42:11 82:7	54:9 63:19 65:24	preparation 10:8	42:21,22 43:14	29:25 34:17 67:24
person 12:15 15:16	66:17 92:14	prepare 9:15,17	protection 24:12	81:23 82:24 92:12
16:1,8 27:17	planned 9:24	prescription 94:20	proven 74:15	94:21 103:3
34:23 35:15 44:11	plans 18:14 66:23	presence 24:17	provides 62:13	quitting 79:2
71:22 72:9 88:21	100:3	pretty 30:14 67:2	public 3:4 36:4,9	R
personal 15:5 45:1	play 20:13 87:13	85:7 91:4 100:25	55:17	
69:23 71:5 79:12	please 5:14,18 8:14	price 6:25	pull 95:7	R 2:1,3 3:1,9 105:3
personally 16:16	74:9	primarily 95:12	pulled 94:23	105:3 108:1
25:22 37:5 44:11	pleased 19:3 22:5	primary 57:17 58:7	purpose 55:6 73:6	race 15:6 25:16
45:7 81:14	40:8 60:15,16	67:16 68:15 73:14	pursuant 107:23	67:14,24 68:7
perspective 28:21	plug 102:7	73:18 75:11 87:21	108:12	73:14 77:10 78:6
_	_			79:5,6 80:4 85:3
	I	I	I	ı

Benancio Garcia III

	1	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
85:10 86:1,3	reasons 61:16	76:24	55:16 77:1 83:18	retainer 64:13,15
93:15 102:4	71:13 84:11 85:1	registered 13:15	84:2	retired 10:15,16,22
racial 22:7,11 24:7	recall 7:6,16 15:11	14:3 73:21 74:14	representatives	retirement 11:7
24:16 25:21 27:9	16:6,8,23 17:23	75:23 89:22	69:11,24 70:18	return 37:10
28:9 29:20 30:8	18:13 21:2,21	registration 13:16	71:6	returned 7:8 82:4
32:23 33:6 35:21	25:4 27:13,13,15	74:12	represented 14:7	98:11
42:2,19 43:12	28:6,11,12,14	relate 14:19 40:11	14:16 59:21	review 10:8
46:17 47:10,17	33:19,20,22 34:3	relationship 97:12	representing 44:18	reviewed 65:9
52:13 53:1 54:10	34:8 35:18 38:4	relief 59:24 96:14	61:8,10 62:8 74:1	reviewing 68:19
94:12	38:13 45:21 52:18	remain 51:22 60:22	74:2,5	right 10:5,13 12:15
racially 22:13	56:4 58:10 69:3	remains 59:11	represents 49:18,20	15:10,17 19:21
23:19 44:24	75:7,12	remediated 51:20	50:3	20:7 23:4 33:2
radio 39:18,18	recalling 22:21	remember 15:10	reps 69:12,14 70:19	35:16 36:9 38:9
ran 11:8 16:10 18:1	37:15	27:10 28:22 33:11	70:21	42:18 43:5,11,16
37:5 55:16 67:8	received 86:6,9,14	55:21 56:2	Republican 11:17	43:17 48:5,10
RCW 37:18	98:14 100:3 104:6	removed 91:2,11	12:1 13:7,9,11	51:7 52:16 54:11
re- 22:21 35:20	Recess 41:18 70:5	rep 11:25 69:19	36:5,10,11,16	57:22 58:12,23
76:2	101:5	71:1 77:25	67:15 68:4,6,8,14	59:7,10 60:17
re-ask 17:18 35:10	record 5:11,14,16	rep- 44:15	69:20 71:2 75:5	61:4 63:8 65:4
reach 67:19 69:12	9:11 17:14 35:6	repeat 14:13 19:14	75:10,19,23 76:3	66:17 67:8 68:10
69:25 70:19 71:7	38:7 70:4,6 97:18	51:9,12 54:24	76:4,12,18,23,24	74:22 77:22,22
101:24	99:14 105:11,19	repeating 55:22	77:7 78:14 79:9	78:2,24 79:2
reached 16:14	recorded 7:22	report 100:11	79:23 81:20 82:15	82:11 83:8,12,13
33:21 98:12	recruiting 16:2	105:7,9,13	82:17 84:4,11,18	83:21,21 85:6,8
read 24:25 25:2	101:12 102:14	REPORTED 1:25	84:24 85:9,18	86:6,10,14,17,17
34:18 37:23 45:13	rectify 79:8	reporter 7:23 8:2	87:21 88:8 89:22	86:21 87:25 88:11
45:18 48:17 50:10	red 94:25 95:4,11	70:11 89:11	101:11	88:20 91:20,23
57:21 58:23 70:7	95:13 96:2,3	107:12 108:6	Republicans 15:13	92:5 93:12,14,23
70:9 75:2 95:19	redistricting 15:1,4	reporters 87:7	36:7 40:3	97:13 102:9
reading 65:17	16:24 17:5,22	represent 5:12	request 89:5 100:6	106:21 107:6
94:19 95:19	18:4,9,14,22 19:7	12:15 50:24 58:4	requested 107:22	rights 3:3 11:22
107:22 108:12	21:3 24:23 26:19	59:14 60:1 62:13	108:12	13:18 14:4 29:11
ready 93:16	26:20 27:5,6,9	67:21 69:16 70:23	requests 99:2	34:1 42:12,22,22
real 48:4	31:6 33:5 35:21	72:8 73:15	required 99:15	45:6 56:6 77:24
realize 100:14	36:2 39:1 40:14	represent- 25:7	requirements 87:4	77:24
realized 15:4 58:23	41:7 44:22 52:5	representation	requiring 100:5	ring 59:1
really 9:21 25:18	54:19 55:5 63:19	12:2,4,6,11,13	research 33:8 34:4	RNC 75:18 76:2,17
26:21 37:23 69:24	redrawn 32:16	25:7 50:15 60:10	77:15	78:11 79:1,13
71:6 73:17 81:13	refer 66:24	62:11 64:19 66:22	reside 51:15	82:3,6 91:7
81:22 95:17,20	referring 38:8	67:17 72:8 73:23	resident 51:22	Road 6:4
102:17	regarding 66:21	74:18 78:5	resolve 95:15	RPR 1:25 108:20
realms 14:25	99:2 107:9	representative	respect 87:20 90:12	Rule 107:23 108:13
reason 9:2 12:23	regards 39:5 94:6	14:16 21:18 31:9	91:9	rules 7:19 20:13
32:21,24 67:20	register 29:11	31:13,17 32:10,11	response 92:18	run 11:10,12,16,18
76:15,16	69:22 71:4 74:8,9	40:9,15 45:2,3	responsible 52:9	47:22 68:1 71:14

Benancio Garcia III

	Ī	Ì	Ī	
82:20 84:24 85:13	26:10,13 29:21	serving 16:15 88:21	situation 16:3,4	75:21 91:3,11
101:12	47:18,21,25 48:9	Sessler's 80:18	67:2 79:8 82:18	speak 10:3 28:24
run- 34:13	48:14,20,24 49:5	set 43:7 95:21	100:7	32:5 36:10 40:4
running 21:19 28:2	49:11,11 50:2,7	108:14	six 104:10,10,13	63:25 64:4
34:6 36:1,18 44:1	53:11 61:13,20,22	setting 83:23 94:12	skill 108:10	speaker 40:4
56:7 67:5,10 68:6	69:14 70:21 73:6	settled 7:14 79:11	skip 41:12	speaking 8:6 29:23
69:1 72:2 73:17	73:22 74:6 77:15	settling 7:2	slate 101:16	32:4 33:23 73:13
81:5 85:2,3 91:9	77:16,19 80:15,25	seven 26:22	slow 66:2	speaks 27:4
rural 12:18	81:1 84:13 88:14	shaking 8:1	smoothly 7:21	species 24:13
rush 63:12	91:5 94:9,9,24,25	shape 23:16	socioeconomic	specific 25:14 57:1
Russian 12:13	95:4,5,11,13,13	share 14:18 48:5	72:13	specifically 25:12
	96:2,3	73:9 94:9	somebody 34:5	33:13 58:9
S	seeing 21:2,21	shares 14:7,17	somebody's 77:16	speculation 72:16
S 2:1 3:1	67:25 77:23 94:18	sharing 50:19	somewhat 34:16	speech 18:1 80:5,7
safe 102:2	96:6	shift 67:4	SONNI 3:3	80:8
Sarah 38:12	seen 20:17,22,22	shocked 85:25	Sonni@uclavrp	spell 82:7
saved 77:16	21:11,14,16 22:10	shook 40:7 84:6	3:5	spent 68:19 104:22
saw 20:4 22:1,4	23:23 48:25 49:7	Shoot 102:9	soon 63:7 100:25	spoke 9:19 29:21
23:2 49:1 81:23	105:7	shooting 77:18	sorry 6:9 7:5,11,16	29:22 32:3 33:10
88:19	Seminole-Negro	shop 99:22	13:7,12,13 17:2	33:12,15 34:25
saying 19:18 61:25	68:13	show 47:13 48:4	17:10 18:16 19:20	35:22 36:8,21,23
76:13 80:20 86:22	Senate 11:25 69:19	98:23 99:15	20:17 22:16 31:25	37:25 38:6 40:6
says 17:8 48:20	71:1 77:25	102:15	31:25 36:22 38:20	54:20 56:5 57:18
49:17 50:2	senator 44:4,7,9,15	showed 71:24	40:24 42:14 47:15	58:5 59:4 84:5
scars 83:4	44:15 83:9,24	showing 9:20,20	48:7 50:10 53:19	98:5
scheduled 105:25	84:17 85:8,12,12	20:12	56:24 62:19 63:1	spoken 28:2 30:4
school 12:25 55:17	send 19:9 81:10,12	sic 31:8	66:2 68:21 86:7	36:5 37:9,12
55:17 71:18 72:23	85:21 94:22	sick 9:5	88:4 90:13 93:1,1	82:14 97:21
72:24 73:5	sense 7:24 9:13	side 12:11 38:5,7,8	95:9,10 96:1,10	103:25 104:3
screen 48:6,9,11,14	12:8,9 18:6 22:25	38:10,11	96:24 100:22	spring 2:19 98:5
50:19 94:9,23	24:6 26:14 46:2	side's 63:5	103:18 105:22	springtime 57:6
scriptures 87:18	72:17 77:6 87:11	sideways 102:10	sort 47:4 77:6	staff 52:8 81:15
scroll 49:10	89:21 93:20	signed 47:25 49:12	83:10 96:15 97:5	85:16 91:8
Sea 82:19	sent 19:2,5 20:23	96:15 97:5,9	97:14 101:15	staffers 36:13
seal 108:15	50:25 85:15,16	signing 107:22	Soto 1:12 20:10	staffing 76:5
seats 71:11	89:9 104:8	108:12	45:10,16,25 46:8	stand 98:25
Seattle 1:3 3:11	sentiments 28:14	similar 82:15	46:18 47:11 49:21	Starbucks 99:22
second 7:12 35:7	SEPA 24:11	simply 93:5 97:5	50:25 59:15,22	start 20:11 93:13
69:7,8 70:14,15	seriously 92:25	100:6	60:1 61:9	93:20
76:21 87:17,18	served 11:21 31:18	Sims 37:12,25 38:2	sounds 7:18 13:5	started 19:18 31:5
100:4	32:6 45:12 46:2	38:3	35:12 38:3,3	32:2 60:14
secretary 1:8,15,16	49:1,3 88:17	sincere 58:20 71:22	87:20 90:13	state 1:8,8,15,16
13:22	server 88:19 98:15	sir 17:16	South 2:19 72:12	5:12 16:10 21:8
see 12:10,13 18:7	serves 65:19	sit 67:1 89:6 100:16	southern 95:4	24:14,23,24 40:9
18:18 19:12,15	service 13:1	situated 95:11	Spanish 68:25	44:4,15,15 53:12
20:1,19 21:5			_	
	l	I	l	<u> </u>
		DEALTIME DEDOCT		

Benancio Garcia III

				Page 121
55 16 56 14 60 7	G. 40 4 11 15 10	. 70.2	20 17 24 6 25 10	22 12 22 2 25 15
55:16 56:14 69:7	Street 2:4,11,15,19	suppression 78:3	30:17 34:6 35:19	22:13 23:2 25:15
69:7,8 70:14,14	stretching 94:16	79:4	41:5 56:19 58:9	26:1,22 32:11
70:15 71:16 75:4	strike 6:12 29:14	Supreme 56:14	80:9,16 86:1,2,8,8	33:15 35:3 44:14
75:10 76:3,4,12	48:22 52:11 61:2	sure 8:16 25:24	87:7	55:25,25 56:1
76:22 77:7 78:14	StrikeForce 75:18	28:1 41:4 48:5	taqueria 69:15	57:6 63:2 64:3,5
79:9,14 81:20	85:23 89:19 90:16	58:13,19,20 73:24	70:22	72:7 82:11,21
82:16 84:2 85:5	90:17,18 91:6	86:24,24 92:23	Task 90:15	84:3,4,9,14 86:11
85:12,17 88:8	94:5	93:6 98:2 102:8	telephone 75:3	88:7,16,18 93:3
91:18 101:13	strong 78:21	105:1 107:9	tell 6:21 89:1 98:17	94:3 95:21 96:25
108:3,7	strongly 14:3 43:14	surfaced 37:20	tells 9:11	97:14,17 98:1,22
State-based 103:12	45:4,6 77:24	surprise 34:4	ten-plus 24:8	101:2,2 102:14
stated 15:1 31:12	struggle 102:14	surrounding 75:3	tends 73:3	104:3 106:5
61:6	stuff 95:20 100:7	Susan 1:12 20:10	term 17:20	thinking 32:22
statement 62:17	100:10	switch 45:9	terms 83:24 101:12	40:20
statements 36:4,10	submit 17:4,21,24	switched 76:11,19	testified 5:6 53:14	thinks 54:9
STATES 1:1	18:11	89:23	53:22 60:20	third 77:11 78:10
stating 5:13	subpoena 9:24 46:8	sworn 5:5 108:9	testify 86:10	thought 20:9 40:5
station 39:18	46:11 49:2,4	sympathetic 52:24	testimony 9:3	47:3 52:25 55:12
status 72:13	50:25 64:12 86:9	system 36:17 43:4	53:18,25 86:15	94:12,20 95:22
stay 31:13 85:1	92:18 95:20 98:14	75:19,25 79:19	testing 96:8	99:19
100:6 106:23	99:18 100:4	80:23,25 81:3	Texas 75:18 77:11	thousand 71:15
Steve 10:11 19:24	subpoenas 23:11	89:20	90:18	three 22:21 25:17
STEVEN 1:7,15	23:11,13 86:6,14		text 81:10 85:19,21	26:7 59:14 76:7
Stokesbary 2:3 4:7	88:14	<u>T</u>	89:8 90:13 91:1,3	throw 83:6
9:11 10:4,10 14:9	suggested 28:9	T 5:8 41:19 50:21	93:11	Thursday 98:2
15:19 17:6,8,10	34:22 35:13 55:2	71:9 101:6 105:3	texts 90:14,24 94:4	time 7:7,12 8:22
18:16 20:25 22:16	suggestion 34:5	105:3 106:10	thank 6:10 17:16	14:13 17:11 18:9
23:21 33:21 34:9	Suite 2:5,11,15	108:1,1	17:16 41:22 50:20	18:24 21:20,22,25
34:24 35:3,16	3:11	Tacoma 1:22 5:1	51:5 77:21 100:20	30:4,7 37:20
46:19 47:2 49:13	summer 21:23,25	51:7 54:4 99:16	101:4 106:6	38:23 39:7,11,24
49:17 54:23 55:24	Sumner 2:5	99:19	107:18,20	41:14 42:10 46:5
58:4 62:19 64:22	Sunnyside 38:22,23	take 41:15,16 57:24	thing 30:23 33:2	48:13 53:4 56:10
65:5,18 66:2,16	supervisors 76:23	58:21 70:4 72:22	52:19 54:2 77:22	57:11 60:17,24
72:5,15 88:1,3	support 66:13 86:4	72:23 78:23 79:12	78:2 83:8,21	61:7,10 62:20
95:16 96:19 97:8	supported 75:17	79:25 83:6 100:8	94:19	66:14,18,21 67:10
97:22 98:19 99:1	78:10 79:18	100:13,24 101:2	things 23:17 25:1	68:19 70:3 73:20
101:18 103:17	supporters 75:4	taken 1:24 42:10	25:20 28:16 31:20	74:19 77:22 78:9
104:1,17 105:10	supposed 53:12	54:1 66:15	32:22 34:2 36:8	78:23 83:16 86:4
105:18 106:7,11	69:16 70:23 94:20	talk 8:3 29:10 53:4	56:7,10 66:20	86:18,23 88:15,23
107:15	100:2	66:24 67:5 75:14	69:18 70:25 75:9	89:2 90:6,7 92:16
Stokesbary's 33:17	suppress 75:10	83:23	76:21 82:25 83:1	96:12 98:5 100:1
stop 11:4 50:19	77:8 87:22	talked 16:18 29:19	83:2,5 86:5,19	101:3 104:6,13,25
storages 86:20	suppressed 77:5	31:4 34:23,24	97:9 103:2,3	times 5:24 35:24
story 40:9	suppressing 76:19	35:15 39:4 59:12	107:9	51:14 87:2 97:20
straightened 100:9	89:14	63:17 96:11	think 14:5,14,18	98:1 100:8 104:1
		talking 20:16 28:21		
	I	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

Benancio Garcia III

		00 10 00 0 10	00 17	XX 11 1 27 14
tiny 92:3	truly 11:22 13:15	88:13 89:2,13	video 89:17	Walkinshaw 37:14
today 9:3,16 51:4	13:17 69:12 70:19	92:16 94:15 99:5	videoconference	38:1
54:4 60:5 66:20	trusting 90:6,7	99:8	1:19,23	Walla 92:3,3
67:1 84:16 86:8	truthful 9:3	understanding	videos 68:20,24	want 6:3 7:19 8:16
92:20 93:4 98:24	try 8:6 29:24 30:5	21:17 24:9,12,13	Vietnamese 12:12	13:22 15:14 16:1
100:21 104:2	48:12 51:9 73:20	24:19,21,22 26:15	view 14:6 62:8	16:5 21:23 27:21
107:4	74:8 82:9 84:9	45:15 52:15 53:2	viewing 48:8	36:20 37:10 40:12
today's 64:11,17	102:5	55:8 79:3 99:15	views 84:22	41:16 42:23 43:1
98:17	trying 6:5 7:16	103:8	visit 51:6	43:17 44:18 45:9
told 35:12 42:5	15:10 16:5 25:4	understands 14:8	Vogel 58:14 64:1	49:10,24 51:1,9
60:25 77:11 79:1	26:14 30:19 50:10	14:16	voice 78:2,13	58:13,15 61:4,11
82:18 96:12 98:23	73:19 75:10 85:3	understood 8:4	voicemail 76:9,11	61:19 66:23 67:4
106:3	89:13 102:17	10:2 20:18 23:15	76:19 81:19 89:23	73:19,22,23 74:1
top-down 101:15	103:18	26:14 30:16 31:22	voluntarily 50:4	74:6,9 79:10,24
101:23	Tuesday 86:12	43:21 45:8 48:3	volunteers 78:12,22	83:1,2,19,21
Toppenish 72:22	88:16,16	100:4	81:17 86:4	84:13 87:13 91:5
Torchinsky 64:4	turn 81:7	unequal 87:24	vote 13:15 14:3,20	92:24 94:7 96:14
Torres 43:23 44:4,7	turnout 74:22,24	unexpectedly 48:12	16:21 29:11 30:3	99:9 100:15,16,19
44:9,15,16,18	twice 27:1 104:3	unfortunately 30:1	66:15 69:21,22,23	101:10 103:14,21
83:10,24 84:17	two 51:11 68:1	74:19	71:3,4,5 74:14,19	107:18
85:8	76:22,23 86:6,14	United 1:1 13:21	74:20 76:1,13,20	wanted 14:1 29:9
touch 31:1 55:23	89:2 98:1	42:6	77:5,8 79:5 84:9	30:2 34:15 51:13
touched 14:5,14	type 24:15 82:16	unregistered 13:25	85:9 87:23 89:14	54:15,17 67:19
trained 23:25	typically 20:11	unusual 22:23 26:8	106:14,17 107:1	75:4 89:14 90:3
training 89:18		52:11	voted 44:13 65:23	wanting 29:21
transaction 6:24	U	upset 32:13,15 80:3	66:17 84:3,4	102:2
transcript 108:8	U 105:3	80:5,14	voter 43:3 74:12,22	Wapato 41:6
Transfer 48:22	U.S 11:8 34:14	use 17:19 58:21,23	74:23	Washington 1:2,8
transition 5:25	47:22 71:14 86:1	75:19 76:5 78:18	voters 13:25 42:12	1:9,17,22 2:12 5:1
travel 51:3	UCLA 3:3	82:9	72:12 74:8,12,14	5:12,19 6:4 13:23
traveling 51:5	Uh-huh 26:17 30:6	useless 58:24,25	74:23 75:11 80:3	51:16 72:12 76:2
100:20	50:1,9 62:2	useress 50.24,25	87:24 88:10 89:22	76:4,12,18,22
Trevino 38:14,16	ultimately 26:16	\mathbf{V}	89:22	78:14 81:20 88:8
38:17 39:2,8	Umm 95:6	v 1:6,14 20:7,20	votes 73:18	92:1 103:12 108:3
45:20,24,25 46:16	unaware 19:22	45:10,16 47:11	voting 3:3 14:3	108:7
47:9 60:20 63:21	60:23 65:25	VA 65:12,14	19:8 42:22 84:12	wasn't 21:9 30:1
Tri-Cities 44:3	unchanged 60:22	Valley 73:5,9	17.0 42.22 04.12	32:15 52:7 54:18
73:3,7	uncle 65:14	verbal 7:23	$\overline{\mathbf{W}}$	60:4 65:13 85:13
trial 105:25	under- 55:8	verbally 91:1	$\overline{\mathbf{W}}$ 2:15	92:23 93:6 100:23
trick 58:13	understand 7:22	versa 8:7	WA 2:5,23 3:11	wavering 48:1
tried 107:4	8:10,14,17 12:18	version 91:3	wait 8:6 89:17	way 42:13,15 43:2
trouble 95:1 96:3	20:8 24:1 49:20	versus 10:11	waiting 71:11	47:18,21 50:14
	54:8 59:6,10,13	Veterans 31:19	waive 24:2	
Troyer 37:2	61:3 63:25 65:15	vetting 36:17	waiver 96:16,18	56:17,19 83:19
true 68:16 107:7	69:12,13,24 70:19	vice 8:7	97:1,6,11,13,16	91:24 100:20
108:10	70:20 71:6 86:16	victor 77:3	WAKNIN 3:3	102:23
	70.20 71.0 00.10	VICTOR / / . J	TTTAINT TAIN J.J	

Benancio Garcia III

0.4.4.5		l		l
ways 84:12	wonderful 80:15	60:20 63:17	12:01 101:5	311 5:19 6:7 51:16
we'll 8:21	word 79:17 80:22	yeah 7:4 14:14	12:11 107:21	3250 3:4
we're 12:18 20:5	words 28:19 72:20	17:10 22:2,2	13 6:13	4
56:19 69:7 70:14	work 8:8 11:1 18:4	27:18 29:18 39:6	14th 2:11	
71:11 81:16,16,17	18:25,25 24:6	39:8,20 55:2	15 6:13,14,15 7:17	4 49:10,24 91:15
81:18 83:1 86:1,2	52:4 59:7 71:18	56:19 57:9,13	28:9 32:17 41:24	92:13
87:1 90:1 100:15	79:20 93:25	58:25 63:1,11	52:9 53:1,6 92:13	40 69:9,9 70:16,16
We've 87:1 89:17	worked 10:24,24	64:22 73:11 75:1	94:13	400 2:11
89:18	12:20,25 70:3	82:11 84:7 85:11	15th 18:5 21:19	4th 11:13 12:7,15
wear 83:4	71:17 76:2	86:5 88:22 91:17	26:7 31:8,16	36:15 47:24 67:21
website 18:11	working 11:4 24:8	91:24 92:15 93:14	106:20 107:1,2	69:10 70:17 74:4
week 46:12,13	40:10,11 63:5	94:25 95:9,13	1925 2:15	75:1,23 76:14
93:23 98:1,3,6,12	72:1	96:10 97:3 98:7	1967 13:13	78:5 79:14 82:20
104:3	works 41:15 101:15	98:13,13 100:12		82:21 87:21
weeks 89:2	world 87:18	101:18 105:2	2	5
welcome 41:21 78:4	worries 32:1 95:3	106:13	2000 3:11	5 2:5 4:4
Wenatchee 76:24	wouldn't 34:4 73:4	year 21:24 57:1	20005 2:12	
went 31:5 48:11	84:16 97:15	93:16	2003 10:21	50 4:5
53:13 56:17 63:4	Wow 82:12	years 6:22 12:25	2008 10:21,23	50,000 81:6
67:25 74:7 84:8	wrap 100:25	13:4 24:8 38:17	2012 43:8	509.380.9999 2:24
weren't 37:17	write 79:13,13,14	38:24 39:9	2013 7:16	51 12:25 13:4 71:18
western 1:2 38:8,10	writing 49:22	yesterday 58:5,16	2015 102:5	55 2:15
38:10	written 10:11 11:21	58:17 59:10	2016 67:16 102:6	6
whatnot 34:7 36:16	15:15 62:12 97:5		2019 11:6	60603 2:16
36:25 68:20 93:11	wrong 24:16 25:13	Z	202.736.2200 2:12	643 2:19
99:23	42:18 43:5 63:4	zones 19:7 72:20	2021 18:5,5 57:4,7	6th 108:15
whatsoever 19:3	80:2 83:20	Zoom 9:21 27:17	57:11	
WHEREOF		48:12 86:12	2022 21:25 27:21	7
108:14	X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	0	27:23 57:4 67:8	7 49:12
white 68:15 72:14	X 5:8 41:19 50:21		98:5 106:14	7:00 88:17
74:14	71:9 101:6 105:3	1	2023 1:24 5:1 108:9	732 2:23
wife 39:8	106:10	1,000 12:19,22	108:15	
Wikipedia 34:18	Y	1/2 2:4	2026 13:23 108:23	8
winning 79:20	Yakima 5:20,23	10,000 76:9 89:22	206.207.3920 2:6	800 3:10
winter 27:21,21	15:2,15 21:6	10:00 41:18	206.464.7744 3:12	82 25:19 26:5
56:25	24:25 25:2 26:6	10:07 41:18	213.629.2512 2:20	822 6:4
wintertime 56:20	31:19 37:16 72:24	10:52 70:5	2357 1:25 108:20	9
57:8	73:5,8 74:13,16	100 107:9	3	
withdrawn 60:8	74:23 76:24 85:7	1003 2:4	3 1:24 5:1 108:9	9 108:23
witness 5:4 17:16	91:19 92:2,9	105 4:6	3:22-cv-5035 1:14	9:09 5:2
41:17 50:19 62:25	94:16 95:5,12	106 4:7	2:2,8 3:2,7	90 51:21
63:3,6,10,15	101:13	11:06 70:5	3:22-cv-5152-RSL	90014 2:20
96:24 101:4	Ybarra 39:21,23	11:54 101:5	1:6 2:2 3:7	90095 3:4
107:20 108:14	40:15 45:21,24	1101 2:11	30(e) 107:23 108:13	98104 3:11 98390-1444 2:5
witnesses 61:19	46:16 47:9 48:19	11th 2:19	310.400.6019 3:5	
	10.10 17.7 10.17		220110010017 3.3	98930 5:19 6:4

Benancio Garcia III

I	1	<u> </u>	Page 12
51:16 9336-8100 2:23			

EXHIBIT B

Soto Palmer, et al. v. Hobbs, et al.

* * * * *

Remote
Deposition Upon Oral Examination of
Ismael G. Campos
October 13, 2022

* * * * *

REPORTED BY: LAKESIDE REPORTING

Jeanne M. Gersten, RDR, CCR 2711 (833) 365-3376 Jeanne@LakesideReporting.com Contact@LakesideReporting.com

October 13, 2022

```
Page 1
                                                                                                                                       Page 2
                                                                                              APPEARANCES:
                                                                                    (Via Zoom videoconferencing)
FOR THE WITNESS and INTERVENOR-DEFENDANTS:
              UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                                                                              4
                                                                                          ANDREW R. STOKESBARY
              WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
                                                                                          CHALMERS & ADAMS, LLC
1003-1/2 Main Street, Suite 5
                                                                              5
                                                                                          Sumner, Washington 98390-1444
DStokesbary@ChalmersAdams.com
      SUSAN SOTO PALMER, et al.,
                                                                              6
           Plaintiffs,
                                                                                    FOR PLAINTIFFS SOTO PALMER, et al.
                                                                                    on behalf of CAMPAIGN LEGAL CENTER:
                                                                              8
                                                                              9
                                                                                          SIMONE LEEPER
                                                                                          ANNABELLE HARLESS
ASEEM MULJI
      STEVEN HOBBS, in his official )
                                                                             10
      capacity as Secretary of State
                                                                                          BEN PHILLIPS, Legal Fellow
      of Washington, and the STATE OF )
                                                                             11
                                                                                          CAMPAIGN LEGAL CENTER
                                ) No. 3:22-cv-05035-RSL
      WASHINGTON.
                                                                                          1101 14th Street Northwest, Suite 400
                                                                             12
                                                                                          Washington, DC 20005
           Defendants
                                                                                          SLeeper@CampaignLegalCenter.org
                                                                             13
                                                                                          AHarless@CampaignLegal Center.org
                                                                                          AMulji@CampaignLegal Center.org
      JOSE TREVINO, ISMAEL G. CAMPOS, )
                                                                             14
                                                                                          BPhillips@CampaignLegalCenter.org
      and State Representative
                                                                             15
      ALEX YBARRA,
                                                                                    FOR PLAINTIFFS, ON BEHALF OF MALDEF:
                                                                             16
           Intervenor-Defendants. )
                                                                                          ERNEST HERRERA
                                                                             17
                                                                                          DEYLIN THRIFT-VIVEROS
          REMOTE DEPOSITION UPON ORAL EXAMINATION OF
                                                                                          Mexican American Legal Defense and Educational Fund (MALDEF)
                 ISMAEL G. CAMPOS
                                                                             18
                                                                                         Fund (MALDEF)
634 South Spring Street, 11th Floor
Los Angeles, California 90014
EHerrera@MALDEF.org
DThrift-Viveros@MALDEF.org
                                                                             19
              Thursday, October 13, 2022 9:29 a.m. to 12:29 p.m.
                                                                             20
                                                                                    FOR PLAINTIFFS, on behalf of MORFIN LAW FIRM:
                                                                             21
      REPORTED BY:
                        LAKESIDE REPORTING
                 Jeanne M. Gersten, RDR, CCR 2711
                                                                             22
                                                                                          EDWARDO MORFIN
MORFIN LAW FIRM, PLLC
                Registered Diplomate Reporter (833) 365-3376
                                                                             23
                                                                                          7325 West Deschutes Avenue, Suite A
                 Jeanne@LakesideReporting.com
                                                                                          Kennewick, Washington 99336
                 Contact@LakesideReporting.com
                                                                             24
                                                                                          Eddie@MorfinLawFirm.com
                                                                             25
                                                                                                     (Continued on next page)
                                                          Page 3
                                                                                                                                       Page 4
                                                                              1
                     APPEARANCES, continued:
                                                                                           October 13, 2022, Remote Proceedings:
  2
                                                                               2
                                                                                           PROCEEDINGS: 9:29 a.m
         FOR DEFENDANT STATE OF WASHINGTON:
                                                                               3
                                                                                              (Discussion off the record.)
  3
                                                                               4
                                                                                                 ISMAEL G. CAMPOS,
                ANDREW R.W. HUGHES
  4
                KARL SMITH
                                                                               5
                                                                                     having been sworn/affirmed on oath to tell the truth, the
                Assistant Attorneys General
                                                                               6
                                                                                     whole truth, and nothing but the truth, testified as
                ATTORNEY GENERAL OF WASHINGTON
  5
                                                                              7
                Complex Litigation Division
                                                                               8
  6
                800 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2000
                                                                                                EXAMINATION
                Seattle, Washington 98104
                                                                              9
                                                                                     BY MS. LEEPER:
                Andrew. Hughes @ ATG. Wa.gov
  7
                                                                             10
                                                                                     Q Good morning, Mr. Campos. My name is Simone Leeper,
                Karl.Smith@ATG.Wa.gov
                                                                             11
                                                                                     and I am one of the attorneys that is representing the
  8
                         ****
                                                                             12
 9
                                                                                     plaintiffs in this case. I want to sort of introduce for
10
                                                                             13
                                                                                     you the other counsel that are on the phone with us.
                       EXAMINATION INDEX
11
                                                                             14
                                                                                         So there's going to be Karl Smith, who represents
         ISMAEL G. CAMPOS
                                                         PAGE
12
                                                                             15
                                                                                     the Secretary of State, but he will not be speaking during
13
           By Ms. Leeper
                                                    92
           By Mr. Stokesbary
                                                                             16
                                                                                     today's deposition. There's Andrew Hughes, who represents
                                                                             17
                                                                                     the State of Washington. And, of course, you know your
15
                                                                             18
                                                                                     attorney, Mr. Stokesbary.
                         ****
16
                       EXHIBITS
17
                                                                             19
                                                                                         There's also on the line a number of other attorneys
18
                        (None offered)
                                                                             20
                                                                                     representing the plaintiffs, but they're not going to be
19
                                                                             21
                                                                                     speaking with you today. It's just going to be a
20
                                                                             2.2
                                                                                     conversation between the two of us.
21
22
                                                                             23
                                                                                         I want to go over just a couple of ground rules
23
                                                                             24
                                                                                     about how this deposition is going to go and how we can
24
                                                                             25
                                                                                     make everyone's life easier, especially Jeanne's in the
25
```

1 (Pages 1 to 4)

Soto Palmer, et al. v. Hobbs, et al. Lakeside Reporting (833) 365-3376

October 13, 2022

Page 5	,
--------	---

1

8

9

11

13

20

22

1

7

- $1 \qquad \hbox{process; but before I do that, have you ever been deposed} \\$
- 2 before?
- 3 A No, ma'am.
- 4 Q Okay. Thanks for letting me know that.
- 5 So one of the things that's going to make Jeanne's
- 6 life easier is if we do not talk over one another. And
- 7 she covered this a bit off the record, but just to
- 8 reiterate, if I can get my full question out, and then
- 9 I'll be sure to make sure that I let you get your full
- answer out; and if we try our best not to overlap with one another, that will just make for a much cleaner record and
- make Jeanne's life a little bit easier.
- Something else is that this is obviously going to be
- a written transcript, so we need to keep our responses
- verbal. So I know it's an inclination I have to nod or
- shake my head, or to say things like uh-uh or um-hmm to
- answer a question. That's not very clear on the record;
- so if you could keep it to yes or no answers and keep
- everything out loud, that will be sure that we record your
- 20 full testimony.
- 21 Do you understand that?
- 22 A Yes, I do. I'm sorry. I have a fly flying around
- 23 here
- 24 Q Don't worry, if you have to swat a fly, I promise I
- won't let it break up our momentum.

Page 6

Page 8

- Something else just to keep in mind is that if you
- don't understand any of the questions that I ask you today
 at any point in time, that's okay. You should just ask me
- 4 for clarification about the question, and I can rephrase
- 5 it or explain it again. But if you do answer a question
- 6 that I ask, I'm going to assume that you understood the
- 7 question and are able to answer it.
 - Does that make sense to you?
 - A Yes. Yes.
- 10 Q Okay. This is hopefully not going to be too, too
 - long today; but if at any point you find yourself needing
- 12 to take a break to go to the restroom or get a drink of
 - water, or if you're just a little bit tired, that's
- 14 totally okay.
- All I would ask is that you don't ask to take a
- break when a question is still pending, and just maybe
- give me a little bit of notice, and we'll be sure that we
- 18 take a break at a time that works for you and we get
- through the line of questioning we're in.
 - Does that make sense?
- 21 A Um-hmm; yes.
 - Q Okay. And then one last thing is that the way
- 23 depositions work is that some of the attorneys present
- 24 might object to the form of some of the questions that I
- ask. If that happens, that objection is going to be noted

Page 7

- 1 for the record, but you still must answer the question
- 2 that I asked.
- 3 Does that make sense to you?
- 4 A Yes. Yes.
- 5 Q Okay. All right. Mr. Campos, could you please
- 6 state and spell your full name for the record?
- 7 A Ismael G. Campos. I-S-M-A-E-L, G, Campos,
- 8 C-A-M-P-O-S.
- 9 Q And it seems you broke up a little bit. Could you
- say your middle initial again one more time?
- 11 A That's G like in George, Gonzalez.
- 12 Q Okay. Great. Thank you.
- And is there anything in particular that you prefer
- I call you today during the deposition?
- 15 A Mel is good.
- 16 Q Okay. Great. Thanks, Mel. You can call me Simone.
- So what is your address?
- 18 A 9008 West Rio Grande, Kennewick, Washington.
- 19 Q And also for the record what is your race?
- 20 A My what?
- 21 Q Your race.
- 22 A My rate?
- Q Race, like ethnicity.
- 24 A Oh, race. Okay. I'm Mexican.
 - Q All right.

- A I'm sorry, I was hearing a T at the end.
- 2 Q I'll be sure to enunciate.
- 3 So in today's deposition I'm going to be using the
- 4 terms Hispanic and Latino interchangeably, and when I
- 5 refer to white residents I'm going to be referring to
- 6 white residents who do not identify as Hispanic or Latino.
 - Do you understand that?
- 8 A Um-hmm; yes.
- 9 Q Mel, do you understand that you're under oath today?
- 10 A Yes, I do
- 11 Q Is there any reason why you can't give truthful
- answers to my questions?
- 13 A No reason.
- 14 Q Are you taking any medications that might impair
- 15 your memory?
- 16 A No.
- 17 Q And do you have any conditions that might impair
- 18 your memory?
- 19 A No.
- Q Okay. So part of the oath that you took today was
- 21 to tell the whole truth, and so what that means in the
- context of this deposition is that you need to provide
- full and complete answers to the questions that I ask.
- 24 Do you understand that?
- 25 A Yes.

2 (Pages 5 to 8)

Soto Palmer, et al. v. Hobbs, et al. Lakeside Reporting (833) 365-3376

25

October 13, 2022

Pad	e	9

- 1 Q Sometimes it might happen that later on in the
- 2 deposition you might remember some additional details or
- 3 clarification to an answer that you gave to a previous
- 4 question that I had. If that happens, you should say so
- 5 at the time and let me know that you want to add to or
- 6 clarify a previous answer that you gave, and we'll go
- 7 ahead and do that right then when it's still fresh on your
- 8 mind
- 9 Does that work for you?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q Okay. And finally, is there any reason why you
- cannot give full, complete and accurate testimony today?
- 13 A Nope
- 14 Q Mel, have you ever been a party to a lawsuit?
- 15 A No.
- 16 Q Okay. So I want to talk a little bit about how you
- prepared for this deposition today. Did you meet with
- anyone in person, by phone, by Zoom, or in any other way
- 19 to prepare for this deposition?
- 20 A Nope.
- 21 Q I'm going to ask you this and be very clear, I'm not
- asking about the content of any of your conversations, but
- 23 did you have any meetings with an attorney to prepare for
- this deposition?
- 25 A Other than with Drew the other day as far as making

Page 10

Page 12

- sure that I'm not apprehensive or anything like that, you
- 2 know, that was it.
- 3 Q Okay. And how many times did you meet with Drew --
- 4 and that's Drew Stokesbary, your attorney -- to prepare
- 5 for this deposition?
- 6 A Just that one time, yeah.
- 7 Q Okay. And was anyone other than yourself and Drew
 - present for that meeting?
- 9 A I can't remember what his name was, but there was
- another person there.
- 11 Q And was that an attorney or someone who wasn't an
- 12 attorney?

8

- 13 A I think it was an attorney, yes.
- 14 Q Okay. About how long did that meeting last?
- 15 A Fifteen, 20 minutes.
- 16 Q Did you review any documents during that meeting?
- 17 A No.
- 18 Q Did you take any notes during the meeting?
- 19 A No
- 20 Q Other than your attorneys, did you discuss this
- 21 deposition with anyone else?
- 22 A Nope.

2.4

- 23 Q You didn't discuss it with any commissioners from
 - the Redistricting Commission?
- 25 A No. I didn't talk to anybody, no.

Page 11

- 1 Q How about any of the commission staff?
- 2 A No
- 3 Q Any members or representatives of a political party?
- 4 A Nope.
 - MR. STOKESBARY: Objection as to form,
- 6 vague.

5

- 7 Q (By Ms. Leeper) Did you discuss this deposition with
- 8 any legislators?
- 9 A No.
- 10 Q Mel, are you familiar with an individual named
- 11 Paul Campos?
- 12 A Oh, yes, ma'am. He's my brother.
- 13 Q And your brother was employed by the Senate
- 14 Republican Caucus working on the 2021 redistricting
- process; is that right?
 - MR. STOKESBARY: Objection --
- 17 A Yes.

16

25

- 18 MR. STOKESBARY: -- as to form.
- 19 Q (By Ms. Leeper) I'm sorry, your answer got cut off,
- 20 Mel. What was your answer?
- 21 A No. Sorry. What was the question? I'm sorry, it
- 22 was jumping around.
- 23 Q Yeah, of course. The question was whether your
- 24 brother was employed by the Senate Republican Caucus
 - working for the 2021 redistricting process.

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q Okay. Did you discuss this deposition with your
- 3 brother?
- 4 A No, other than -- Well, no.
- 5 Q You said "other than." What was that that you were
- 6 thinking there?
- 7 A When this process started they were asking for
- 8 documentation, or I forget what the wording was, but
- 9 communications or whatever; and in that I got -- I said,
- 10 "Hey, Paul, did we ever discuss the redistricting process
- that you're in, and as far as the 15th District? And did
- we send anything?" And he said, "No, we haven't discussed
- 13 it."

14

- Other than the political conversation we had with
- politics, we never remembered or recalled any
- 16 communications per this redistricting issue.
- 17 Q Okay. So I want to dive into sort of some subparts
- 18 there just to get some clarification.
- Who was asking for that documentation?
- 20 A I'm thinking Drew.
- 21 Q Okay. And you said that you spoke with Paul about
- 22 how you guys hadn't talked about redistricting outside of
- I think you said the political parts of it.
- What did you mean by that?
- $\,$ 25 $\,$ $\,$ A $\,$ Oh. We're Republicans, and we discuss politics.

3 (Pages 9 to 12)

Soto Palmer, et al. v. Hobbs, et al. Lakeside Reporting (833) 365-3376

October 13, 2022

Page 13

- 1 Q Okay. And did you talk about that sort of in the
- 2 context of redistricting?
- 3 A No, no, no. Just politics in general. That's what
- 4 we do. We're Republicans. We discuss everything from --
- 5 just stuff.
- 6 Q Okay. And did you ever talk about politics in the
- 7 context of Legislative District 15?
- 8 A No. Well, --
- 9 Q And did you --
- 10 A Hold on.
- 11 Q Sorry. Please continue.
- 12 A Other than the fact that I was considering running
- there, and I asked what his opinion would be; but as far
- as the redistricting itself, no. I'm sorry.
- 15 Q Okay. I'll probably ask you some more questions
- about that later on, but right now just a few more
- 17 questions on this point.
- Did you ever have discussions with your brother
- 19 about how redistricting might impact sort of Republicans
- 20 in Washington?
- 21 A No.
- 22 Q Okay. Is there anyone else that you spoke with
- about this deposition?
- 24 A Nope.
- 25 Q Have you had any written communications with anyone

Page 14

Page 16

- besides your counsel to prepare for this deposition?
- 2 A Nope.
- 3 Q Did you review any documents in preparation for this
- 4 deposition?
- 5 A Just the document that Drew sent me as far as the --
- 6 What's it called? The -- I don't know what the document
- 7 is called. The -- Where it explains what the plaintiffs
- 8 are doing and this stuff, and that I'm the intervenor and
- 9 yada yada.
- 10 Q Okay. Was that to your knowledge like a legal
- 11 filing in this case?
- 12 A What's that?
- 13 Q Was that a legal filing in this case that you
- 14 reviewed?
- 15 A Yeah, I'm assuming. Yeah.
- 16 Q Okay. Did you receive a copy of that document from
- 17 Mr. Stokesbary?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q And do you have that document with you today?
- 20 A Well, it's on my screen behind your -- that -- this
- 21 Zoom meeting.
- Q Okay. So on that note, what do you have open on
- 23 your browser right now?
- 24 A Actually, I think it's where Drew sent me the phone
- for this. Actually, I don't have it up.

Page 15

- 1 Q Okay. And is there anything else, any other windows
- 2 visible for you right now?
- 3 A My calendar, Zoom meeting, my email, and then that's
- 4 it.
- 5 Q Okay. And any papers in front of you?
- 6 A No. It's just a lot of stuff.
- 7 Q Okay. So just for the purposes of while we're in
- 8 this deposition, it's sort of a closed room. So if you
- 9 could go ahead and close your email; and if you have like
- 10 a Mac and you're able to see your texts and things coming
- up on your screen, if you could go ahead and close that
- out, too, that would be appreciated.
- 13 A Close my email is what you're asking?
- 14 Q Yes, please.
- 15 A If I hit the post-attendee Zoom, would that kick you
- 16 guys out?
- 17 Q No, it won't. If that's your web browser that's
- open and it says post-attendee Zoom, it's sort of like --
- 19 There's a blue button in the middle usually. If you can X
- out of that, there's no problem there.
- A Microsoft Home, if I X out of that it won't kick you
- 22 out?

25

- 23 Q It will not.
- A All right. It's the computer screen with clouds.
 - Q Okay. Great. It's just us now. Thank you very

- 1 much for doing that. I appreciate it.
- 2 So even if not in preparation for this deposition,
- 3 have you reviewed any documents filed in this case?
- 4 A No
- 5 Q Have you reviewed the Complaint in the case?
- 6 A I don't know the document that Drew sent me to, you
- 7 know, explain that I'm an intervenor and that kind of
- 8 thing. I don't know what, if that's a Complaint or not.
- 9 Q Okay. So besides the meeting that we already
- discussed with Drew, what else did you do to prepare for
- 11 this deposition?
- 12 A Prayed. That was it. Nothing.
- Q Okay. It's never bad to have God on your side.
- 14 A There you go.
- 15 Q About how long in total do you think that you spent
- preparing for this deposition?
- 17 A Fifteen minutes reading that document, 20.
- 18 Q Okay. So earlier we touched on the fact that your
- brother, Paul Campos, was a staffer for the House
- 20 Republican Caucus during the 2021 redistricting process;
- 21 correct?
- 22 A Yes
- 23 Q Have you ever discussed anything related to this
- 24 case with him?
- 25 A Nope.

4 (Pages 13 to 16)

Soto Palmer, et al. v. Hobbs, et al. Lakeside Reporting (833) 365-3376

October 13, 2022

Page 17

- 1 Q Did you speak with your brother regarding the 2021
- 2 redistricting process in Washington state?
- 3 A No
- 4 Q What do you know about your brother's work related
- 5 to redistricting in Washington?
- 6 A Only that he is the Republican side of
- 7 redistricting.
- 8 Q Do you know anything about what he does in the
- 9 context of his job?
- 10 A I mean, he's a -- What's it called? Ops manager or
- something like that at the capitol, or -- I forget what
- his title is, but I know he works there. And he gives us
- tours, and I think he runs errands for the senators, I
- think, stuff like that.
- Oh, he manages the office, where the offices go for
- the senators. He has to do with the Historic Society
- because I guess you can bring back and forth old stuff
- from years ago from the historic room and put it outside
- 19 for people to see. I'm sorry. I mean, that's --
- 20 Q No, don't be sorry at all.
- 21 Is there anything specifically as it relates to
- 22 redistricting that you know about --
- 23 A Oh.
- 24 Q -- the sort of job duties that your brother has?
- 25 A No

Page 18

- 1 Q Do you know Jim Honeyford?
- 2 A Jim?
- 3 Q Yes.
- 4 A Yeah, I -- Yes.
- 5 Q How do you know him?
- 6 A He was a senator from Yakima. Years ago we met at a
- 7 coffee shop for discussing -- oh, I can't remember what.
- 8 I met him at different meetings with the representatives
- 9 and politicians there in Yakima, just off and on meetings,
- 10 situations like that.
- 11 Q And when you met with him was that in your personal
- 12 capacity or in connection with some group that you're a
- 13 part of?

15

17

20

22

24

- 14 A Well, I'm part of -- was part of the Yakima/Morelia
 - Sister City organization there in Yakima, and we'd go --
- 16 (Court reporter request for clarification.)
 - A Morelia, sister city.
- 18 Q And could you spell that for Jeanne? She is, I
- think, certified only in English, and that sounds like it
 - might be a Spanish word.
- 21 A Morelia is the capitol of Michoacán, M-O-R-E-L-I-A.
 - THE REPORTER: Thank you.
- 23 Q Thanks, Mel.
 - And you were explaining sort of what that group
- entails. Could you let me know?

Page 19

- 1 A Well, we establish cultural connections, business
- 2 connections with the capitol in Morelia, in Michoacán
- 3 there, Morelia. The organization donated several fire
- 4 engine trucks. We've donated wheelchairs. And me and my
- 5 wife ended up going down there to Morelia to visit their
- 6 facilities there.

7

- The mayor and council people have come to Yakima,
- 8 and we toured them Yakima. We toured the capitol there,
- 9 and Paul gave us a tour of the whole capitol. And for
- 10 that occasion, since Paul is my brother, we mentioned that
- we were coming by, and they -- What's it called? The
- people that came over, the committee that came over were
- really impressed that the Mexican flag was at the same
- height as the American flag, and they were pretty
- impressed with that.
- You know, most of the times other flags are below
- the U.S., but this time they were equal there. They
- thought it was cool and took pictures of it and all, but
- 19 anyway. But yeah, that's what we did.
- 20 Q Okay. And so that was when you were meeting with
- 21 Senator Honeyford, it was sort of about that, I guess sort
- 22 of a sister city program?
- A Yeah. When we were there I went there, yeah, and
- 24 just, you know, encouraged him to join our -- not join,
 - but be aware of our meetings. And, of course, the

- Page 20
- 1 meetings that I went to with the other senators, I went 2 there as an interested party, as a Republican, just kind
- 3 of support.
- 4 Q And did Senator Honeyford start coming to those
- 5 meetings?
- 6 A He was there at that meeting. Well, not to our
- 7 Morelia Sister City meetings at all. I went there, you
- 8 know, representing not only myself but the organization.
- 9 Q Okay. Have you ever met with Senator Honeyford
- 10 about anything else?
- 11 A Nope.
- 12 Q Have you ever discussed anything related to this
- 13 lawsuit with Jim Honeyford?
- 14 A Oh, not at all. I haven't talked to Jim in two
- years, three years. I don't know.
- 16 Q Have you discussed -- Have you ever discussed
- 17 redistricting with him?
- 18 A No, not at all.
- 19 Q Did you know that Senator Honeyford was retiring?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q And how did you find that out?
- A I didn't know he was retiring. I know he retired.
 - Q Okay. And when did you find out that he was
- 24 retiring?

23

25 A I knew he retired after he announced it.

5 (Pages 17 to 20)

Soto Palmer, et al. v. Hobbs, et al. Lakeside Reporting (833) 365-3376

25

October 13, 2022

Page 21

- 1 Q Okay. So did you find out from the announcement?
- 2 A That he had retired, yes.
- 3 Q And what do you recall --
- 4 A Not before.
- 5 Q -- hearing about it?
- 6 A I heard after, after he retired that he -- Senator
- 7 Honeyford retired and -- Yeah.
- 8 Q Okay. Do you know Nicki Torres?
- 9 A Yes, I do.
- 10 Q How do you know her?
- 11 A Well, she lived in Pasco, and she was president of
- $12 \qquad \text{ the Chamber. I forget what the title is, the Latino or } \\$
- 13 Hispanic Chamber. And so I'd go to the meetings, and
- she'd be there. And we know her, as far as
- professionally, like the luncheons and the Hispanic
- 16 Chamber meetings and stuff like that.
- 17 Q And have you ever discussed anything related to
- 18 redistricting with Nicki Torres?
- 19 A Oh, no. I haven't talked to her since before COVID.
- 20 Q How about this lawsuit then?
- 21 A No.
- 22 Q Are you aware of Nicki Torres's political
- 23 affiliation?

3

9

- 24 A No. No. Yeah.
- 25 Q Did you have a thought there?

Page 22

- 1 A No. No. It's just the fact that she was on the
- 2 Chamber. I don't know what affiliation she was, whether
- 3 she was Democrat or Republican, I guess, kind of thing,
- 4 but yeah, no.

8

15

22

24

12

- 5 Q Okay. I just want to jump back a bit. So we were
- 6 talking about the sister cities program; and I wanted to
- 7 sort of ask you, I guess it seems like you think that work
 - is important since you're involved in it, and I just
- 9 wanted to know why.
- 10 A Which program?
- 11 Q The sister cities program with Morelia.
- 12 A Oh, okay. Yeah. What about it?
- 13 Q I guess why do you think it's important, if you do?
- 14 A Oh, just because I like their -- what would you call
 - it -- their mission of establishing connections --
- business, cultural, educational connections between Sister
- 17 City Morelia and Yakima. I think it's a great
- opportunity, and if we can help, you know, more power to
- 19 the organization.
- 20 Q Okay, Mel, I just want to ask you some questions now
- focusing in a bit on this case.
 - How did you come to be involved in this case?
- 23 A Well, the -- I got talking to Jim Troyer about
 - possibly running for office, and then in the conversation
- 25 mentioned that this redistricting issue had come up with

Page 23

- 1 the redistricting being -- what's the right word --
- 2 racially redrawn, and if I'd like to be a part of this. I
 - guess you want to call it a lawsuit.
- 4 And because I'm Republican and I thought that it's a
- 5 legitimate position, I said yes.
- 6 Q Okay. So a couple of questions about that. You
- 7 said than the redistricting issue was sort of about the
- 8 map being racially redrawn.
 - What do you understand racially redrawn to mean?
- 10 A Just that it was redrawn to the effect that it was
- 11 redrawn based on the population of the Hispanic community.
- 12 Q Okay. And how would you identify that as being
- connected to the redistricting issue that's at the center
- 14 of this case?
- MR. STOKESBARY: Objection, calls for a
- 16 legal conclusion.
- 17 Q (By Ms. Leeper) You can answer, Mel.
- 18 A I'm sorry. Let me hang up. I'm getting a call over
- 19 here. Let me -- It's beeping here. It's a client.
- 20 I'm sorry. Ask the question again.
- 21 Q Yes. How would you see that issue of the map being
- 22 racially -- Actually, let me break this question down into
- 23 parts.

25

- When you say that the map was racially redrawn, who
 - are you referring to doing that drawing?

- Page 24
- 1 A Oh, I don't know. Whoever the powers would be that 2 redrew the district.
- 3 Q Am I understanding you to say that the redistricting
- 4 issue that you saw at the center of this case was the way
- 5 that those lines had been drawn?
- 6 A Right.
- 7 Q Okay. So you said that sort of because you're
- 8 Republican and you thought that it was a legitimate
- 9 position, you decided to jump in.
- 10 In your words how would you encapsulate that
- 11 legitimate position?
 - A Because it's assumed that Latinos vote Democrat, if
- you overweight the Latino community in that district,
- you're -- what's the right word -- loading the district in
- a Democrat position based on the fact that it's Latinos.
- And so anyways, that to me is kind of like a racial
- 17 weighting.
- 18 Q Okay. So I'll get back into later we might have a
- discussion about sort of how Latinos vote and things that
- 20 might dig into a little bit more of your views on that
- 21 issue, but for now I just want to go back to specifically
- talking about sort of the origins of coming into this
- 23 lawsuit.
- You said that you spoke with I believe it was
- Jim Troyer about running for office; is that correct?

6 (Pages 21 to 24)

Soto Palmer, et al. v. Hobbs, et al. Lakeside Reporting (833) 365-3376

October 13, 2022

Page 25

- A Correct.
- 2 Q And who is Jim Troyer?
- 3 A I don't know exactly his position, but he's -- every
- 4 now and then will call me to ask me for possible electable
- 5 Hispanic candidates.
- 6 Q Okay. And do you know if Mr. Troyer is an employee
- 7 of the state Republican party?
- 8 A I don't know if he's an employee of the party. I
- 9 don't know.
- 10 Q Is he to your understanding affiliated with the
- 11 Republican party?
- 12 A Oh, yes.
- 13 Q And do you know if he's an elected official?
- 14 A He is not an elected official as far as I know.
- 15 Q How long have you been in contact with Mr. Troyer?
- 16 A Oh, gosh. I don't know. It's been years. I want
- to say probably six years maybe, five, six years, maybe
- 18 longer.
- 19 Q Okay. And you --
- 20 A He will call me every now and then. I'm sorry. Go
- 21 ahead.
- 22 Q No, please continue.
- 23 A Yeah, he just calls me now and then, again to ask me
- 24 for electable candidates.
- Q And can you remember any of the names of electable

Page 26

Page 28

- 1 candidates that you provided to him?
 - A Saul Martinez would be one. Oh, gosh. I think in
- 3 Sunnyside, I think -- I want to say his name is Almeida.
- 4 Raul Almeida I think is his first name. Yeah, I don't
- 5 remember. I'm trying to think of others.
 - Angel Garza from Othello. Yeah.
- 7 Q And when you've spoken with Mr. Troyer, has he been
- 8 seeking electable candidates for any particular political
- 9 offices?

2

6

11

13

- 10 A No, no, just electable for -- to have in his book of
 - reference, I guess, for positions that may be coming up in
- the different districts here in our area.
 - Q And has he ever spoken to you about sort of
- specifically looking for electoral candidates who might be
- 15 from the Hispanic community?
- 16 A Yeah.
- 17 Q And is that mainly what he's been asking? Those are
- the sorts of candidates that he has been talking to you
- 19 about?
- 20 A Ask the question again. You were kind of mumbled.
- 21 Q Yeah. Has he mainly come to you to ask for Hispanic
- 22 electable candidates?
- 23 A Yes.

1

3

5

- 24 Q Have you had any conversations about why those are
- 25 the candidates he has been seeking?

Page 27

- 1 A Probably more because I might have gotten in touch
- with him because I think Latinos should be more prominent
- 3 in the political scene, and I think, you know, Latinos
- 4 should be elected.
- 5 Q Can I ask why?
- 6 A Well, because we're a significant portion of the
- 7 community here, and I think we should have more
- 8 representation.
- 9 Q And why is that representation important?
- 10 A Well, because everybody needs representation in
- 11 the -- in the offices.
- 12 Q And having the representation for the Latino
- community of specifically Latinos in office, would you say
- 14 that is important?
- 15 A Well, yes.
- 16 Q Why is having Latinos in office representing Latinos
- important in particular? Why would Latinos, if you think
- so, be well suited to that role?
- 19 A Well, for one I think the Republican party values
- 20 mirror our values, you know, as much.
- 21 Q So do you think that Latinos are more likely to
- share values with other Latinos?
- 23 A Yes.

25

- 24 Q What are some of the main values that you would say
 - Latinos have?

- A In general we are pro life, pro normal marriage.
- 2 Q And sort of what's the basis for your understanding
 - of those issues as the issues of Latino voters?
- 4 A Say again? What's the issue?
 - Q What's the basis of your understanding or belief
- 6 that those are the main issues that Latino voters care
- 7 about?
- 8 A Well, I mean, I wouldn't necessarily say that
- 9 they're the main issues because Latinos are very business
- oriented, so -- and I think the Republican party mirrors
- the importance of businesses. And added to that, the fact
- that the values of Latinos are generally conservative.
- Q Okay. And just to be clear, I'm asking sort of the
- basis of your understanding. I'm talking sort of about if
- this is coming from surveys or if it's coming from
- conversations you've had, or from looking at data.
- So with that understanding, I'm going to ask again
- sort of what's the basis of your belief that those are
- important issues to the Latino community?
- 20 A The most important factor is the fact that I'm
- 21 Latino. I mean, I don't need surveys to understand my
- 22 community. And most of us are Catholic or evangelical,
- and those are pretty important values that mirror pro
- 24 life, you know, pro marriage.
- 25 And so I don't necessarily base it on any surveys,

7 (Pages 25 to 28)

Soto Palmer, et al. v. Hobbs, et al. Lakeside Reporting (833) 365-3376

October 13, 2022

Page 29

- other than the fact that I've been Latino all my life, and
 most of my association has been with the Latino community.
- 3 Church, I'm a devout churchgoer. Based on that.
- 4 Q Okay. So sort of your lived experience and the
- 5 religious values that you hold is the basis.
- 6 Is that a good summation?
- 7 A Yeah.
- 8 Q Okay.
- 9 A Yes. Sorry.
- 10 Q Don't worry. Yeah is okay. As long as it's not a
- nod, yeah is perfectly fine. You don't -- I'm not going
- to police the formality of the affirmative.
- Okay. So I'm going to loop back a little bit and
- 14 talk about your first becoming involved in this case. You
- said that it was originally brought up to you by
- 16 Jim Troyer when you were just having a discussion with him
- about running for office; is that correct?
- 18 A Correct.
- 19 Q And what office were you discussing running for?
- 20 A The -- Senator Jim's office.
- Q And would that be Legislative District 15?
- 22 A Yes, but add to that the fact that running here in
- 23 my 8th district, also.
- 24 Q And what Legislative District are you in?
- 25 A Eighth, as far as I know.

Page 30

Page 32

- 1 Q And when did that conversation happen with Jim
- 2 Troyer?
- 3 A Oh, jeez. Well, this conversation started I'm going
- 4 to say probably last November as far as, you know, when it
- 5 first came up.
- 6 Q And is that the first time that you'd spoken with
- 7 Mr. Troyer about running for office in LD 15?
- 8 A No. In the past bunch of years the idea of running
 - for office has been mentioned, you know, me and my wife
- 10 and so on.

9

20

1

- 11 Q And apart from discussions between you and your
- 12 wife, who else has mentioned the idea of you running for
- 13 office in LD 15?
- 14 A I don't know that me and my wife discussed running
- for office in 15, just in general running for office in
- 16 the past years, you know.
- 17 I've always thought that she's very likeable and
- outgoing, and she's pretty well known in the community.
- So I've always thought she would be. She's never been
 - receptive to the idea, anyway, but yeah.
- 21 Q Well, outside of the specific district, is the
- 22 office that you discussed running for, that you discussed
- your wife running for, has that pretty much always been a
- legislative office, or have there been other offices?
- 25 A Any office, yeah.

Page 31

- 1 Q Local office?
- 2 A Just running in general. I'm sorry.
- 3 Q Any particular offices that you guys have talked
- 4 about?
- 5 A No. No, just running for office.
- 6 Actually, Sharon Brown, Senator Sharon Brown, you
- 7 know, we -- Her and my wife kind of got to be not good
- 8 friends but, you know, friends via Facebook and stuff.
- 9 And years ago Senator Sharon suggested Gracie kind of --
- what's the word when you follow the person around at
- 11 work -- shadow, I think.
- Yeah, but Gracie was never receptive to that. She
- said, "Mel, I don't want to run." Okay. So anyway.
- ${\tt 14} \qquad {\tt Q} \quad {\tt Okay.} \,\, {\tt And \, Senator \, Sharon \, Brown, \, do \, you \, know \, what}$
- district she represents or represented?
- 16 A I want to say the 8th, I think. We've lived in
- 17 Pasco, Kennewick and Richland, so whatever district she
- was representing. We got to know her fairly well -- not
- fairly well, you know, just got to know her.
- 20 Q Okay. And so you've mentioned Jim Troyer and
- 21 Sharon Brown. Who else have you spoken with about you
- 22 running for office?
- A Oh, friends at work. I mean, a couple of them are
- Democrats, and they've told me would never vote for me.
 - We're good friends, but anyway.

- Q I'm sure you appreciate the honesty.
- 2 Have you ever spoken with your brother about running
- 3 for office?
- 4 A Yeah. I mean, I'm sure I have.
- 5 Q Has he ever expressed to you any particular office
- 6 that he thinks you'd be good to run for?
- A Oh, no, not as far as specifics, but he says, "Hey,
- 8 Mel," -- You know, I've always felt a little not --
- 9 underqualified, I guess, for running for senator or, you
- know, representative, and he goes, "Mel, you're probably
- overqualified."But anyways, just generally stuff like that.
- Q Okay. And so we've talked about it sort of in
- general terms, but I want to go back to the conversation
- that you had with Jim Troyer.
- What specifically did you discuss about running?
- And I think that conversation you said was LD 15.
- 18 A Well, in our discussions I've always felt that
- 19 Gracie, my wife, would make a better candidate. And so
- 20 he's asking me for, you know, candidates and stuff.
- 21 And he's always kind of suggested that I could run,
- and so in that conversation back in November, whenever it
- was, I go, "Well, how do you feel about myself?" AndGracie didn't want to. And he said, "I'm not calling you
- just because. I think that you would be a great

8 (Pages 29 to 32)

Soto Palmer, et al. v. Hobbs, et al. Lakeside Reporting (833) 365-3376

25

October 13, 2022

Page 33

- 1 candidate." So that was the gist of it all, I guess.
- 2 And if you don't mind me asking, what is your wife's
- 3
- 4 A My wife's what?
- 5 Q Race.
- 6 A Race? Mexican. I mean Latino or Hispanic.
- 7 Jalapeño is pretty good, too.
- 8 Q There's a lot of terms, I know. Yeah, I know. I
- 9 prefer Latino, but my mom prefers Hispanic. It's like a
- 10 very personal thing, I know.
- 11 Yes, either one. And, of course, I'm proud to be
- 12 Mexican, so I'm definitely comfortable being called a
- 13 Mexican.
- 14 Q I love that.
- 15 Okay. Did you suggest any other candidates to
- 16 Jim Troyer for LD 15?
- 17 A Yes. Angel Garza. I think I suggested -- I want to
- 18 say his first name is Raul Almeida. I think Hector Garza
- 19 from Wapato, if I remember.
- 20 Oh, gosh. I might have suggested my brothers
- 21 because they're down there in Yakima, but neither of them
- 22 are interested in it. But that's all I can remember,
- 23 really. I don't remember.
- 2.4 Q Okay. And you said that you live in LD 8; correct?
- 25 A I'm sorry?

Page 34

Page 36

- 1 Q You mentioned that you live in Legislative District
- 2 8; is that correct?
- 3 Yes. Yes.
- 4 Q And was that one of the reasons why you couldn't run
- 5 in Legislative District 15?
- 6 Right. Yeah. And I looked into the possibility of
- 7 moving over in time so that, you know, it wouldn't be an
- 8 issue into the 15th District, by in the end because of
- 9 my -- I inherited a ranch in Mexico, and so in the end we
- 10 concluded that I was going to be spending the winters over
- 11 there. And so that's when the legislature is in session,
- 12 so I kind of thought well, maybe that's not such a good
- 13

15

20

24

1

7

- 14 Q Okay. And if the lines were to be redrawn at some
 - point in time, in a decade or something like that, and you
- 16 were to be placed in Legislative District 15 instead of
- 17 Legislative District 8, do you think that that would lead
- 18 to any sort of harm to you?
- 19 A Well, if the Latino community is just generally
 - thought to vote Democrat and I'm running as a Republican,
- then yeah. I mean, I would think that that would affect 21
- 22
- 23 Q Okay. So that's the way it would affect you might
 - be in the partisan lean of a particular district; is that
- 25

Page 35

- 1 Correct. Yeah.
- 2 And do you think that a district that might have
- 3 sort of a larger quantity of Latinos in it might be less
- 4 likely to elect a Republican?
- 5 A Oh, I don't know. Again, I get back to the idea
- 6 that Republicans are conservative. Most Hispanics are
- 7 conservative, and I think that -- I quote President Reagan
- 8 where he would say, "Most Latinos are Republican. They
- 9 just aren't aware of it yet," so --
- So I think hopefully I would have the character and 11 the ability to convince them that we are a conservative
- 12 party.

10

- 13 Q But so the potential harm that would come, though,
- 14 would be because of the potential partisan differences in
- 15 that district; is that correct?
- 16 A Correct. Yeah.
- 17 Have you ever spoken with Jim Troyer when you talked
- 18 about running for Legislative District 15, did you talk
- 19 about what running for that district might entail?
- A Oh, yes. Yeah. 20
- 21 And what did that discussion include?
- 22 Well, my biggest concern was how much out-of-pocket
- 23 money it would take, and he would say it just depends on
- 24 if I can raise enough campaign funds to pay for the
- 25 campaign, so --

- Q Did you raise the --
- 2 Time. Time, also, as far as campaigning, in
- 3 which -- Again, because of my personal commitment to spend
- 4 more time at Grandpa's ranch, that might affect
- 5 campaigning, raising campaign funds.
- 6 Q And did you have any discussion about sort of how
 - much money is typically needed to launch a campaign?
- 8 A He -- Yeah. He said it could entail nothing, again
- 9 because I would raise the funds for it. And there have
- 10 been candidates that have put money out of their pockets,
- 11
- 12 Q And whether it comes from your pocket or from a
- 13 funder, you know, raising money, did he say sort of how
- 14 much these things tend to cost?
- 15 A I don't remember a specific amount.
- 16 Do you recall like a ballpark?
- 17 Somehow a hundred thousand rings a bell, but again,
- 18
- 19 Okay. Besides fundraising, what else did you
- 20 discuss that running for office might entail?
- 21 A The time. The time, again. Also the fact that --
- 22 Of course, I didn't care because I think I'm a pretty open
- 23 book -- the fact that it could get -- what's the right 24 word? Where the people dig up dirt and stuff like that,
- 25 which that to me was a lesser concern, but yeah.

9 (Pages 33 to 36)

202f6216-7523-419c-9e66-a9a0f8204fe4

Soto Palmer, et al. v. Hobbs, et al. Lakeside Reporting (833) 365-3376

October 13, 2022

Page 37

- 1 Q Do you see the financial cost of campaigns as a
- 2 barrier for people running for office?
- 3 A Well, in my case -- Well, yeah. I mean, I think --
- 4 I think if it takes out-of-pocket expense, then yeah, it
- 5 could be a hindrance for people.
- 6 Q Um-hmm. Do you think that that might be one factor
- 7 that leads to less Latinos running for office?
- 8 MR. STOKESBARY: Objection, calls for
- 9 speculation.
- 10 A Oh, I don't know.
- 11 Q (By Ms. Leeper) Okay. Were you familiar --
- Actually, did you talk with your brother at all about the
- decision to become an intervenor in this case?
- 14 A Yes. And he said, "If you're going to do that, we
- should probably not discuss anything from" -- you know,
- 16 "about this."
- Q Outside of the content of redistricting, but just in
- general about the concept of being an intervenor, did your
- brother encourage you to be an intervenor in the case when
- you discussed it with him?
- 21 A Oh, no, not at all. No.
- 22 Q Did he express any kind of opinion about whether or
- 23 not you should intervene in the case?
- 24 A No, not at all.
- Q Did he discuss with you anything about the general

Page 38

Page 40

- 1 concept of what he thought about having intervenors in
- 2 this case?
- 3 A I don't think he even knew what an intervenor was,
- but he said if I was going to be involved in any -- he
- 5 didn't say -- in any things to do with redistricting and
- 6 that, because he does that, we probably shouldn't discuss
- 7 anything about it.
- 8 Q Okay. Why didn't you ultimately run for LD 15?
- 9 A Why would I ultimately run?
- 10 Q Why did you not ultimately run this cycle?
- 11 A Oh, because of my commitments to my Grandpa's ranch,
- 12 spending more time in Mexico. Especially during the
- 13 session portion of it because again, I have been and would
- 14 like to continue to spend the winters down there, which
- 15 includes January, February, which is when Olympia is in
- 16 session, so --
- 17 Q Did you have any discussions with anyone about Nicki
- 18 Torres running for LD 15?
- 19 A No
- 20 Q Did Jim Troyer ever ask you about Nicki Torres?
- 21 A Yes, he might have. And I think because one of
- 22 the -- In our discussions as far as candidates, Nicki
- hadn't come up. And so I was surprised that when she did
- decide to run that she ran, because I didn't think she was
- a Republican; but I never really had gotten to know her

Page 39

- well enough to make that assumption, I guess is the right
- 2 word
- 3 Q I guess why did you think that she wasn't a
- 4 Republican?
- 5 A Well, again, because most of the political -- and I
- 6 shouldn't say political. The assumption that being
- 7 involved in the Hispanic Chamber and the leanings towards
- 8 the Chamber just seemed to be liberal.
- 9 Q And is there -- Was there anything else that
- 10 surprised you about Nicki Torres running?
- 11 A No, just surprised that -- again, that it was on the
- 12 Republican side, which, you know, I was pleasantly
- 13 surprised. I mean, I'm glad. I mean, I think she's a
- great lady from what I know of her, and I think she'll
- 15 make a great candidate.
- 16 Q And did Jim Troyer tell you sort of how Nicki Torres
- came to run? He didn't get the name from you.
- Did he tell you anything about sort of how she had
- 19 come into the mix?
- 20 A No.
- Q Okay. Were you familiar with Drew Stokesbary prior
- 22 to this case?
- A No. I gotta make sure that was his last name, but
- 24 yes.
- 25 Q Yeah.

- 1 A Not at all.
- 2 Q How did you first come into contact with
- 3 Mr. Stokesbary?
- 4 A I think Drew called me because of being named as an
 - intervenor.

5

14

- 6 THE WITNESS: Am I correct, Drew?
- 7 A I don't remember.
- 8 Q Oh, so just for your purposes, so that you know that
- 9 Drew is not being rude. For purposes of the deposition
- 10 Drew can issue objections, but sort of can't --
- 11 A Oh
- 12 Q -- enter the conversation. So he's not being rude.
- He's just respecting the platform we're in.
 - MR. STOKESBARY: Thanks, Simone.
- 15 A Okay. Sorry.
- 16 Q (By Ms. Leeper) No problem.
- 17 A Sorry.
- 18 Q It's all very formal and silly sometimes. So I just
- 19 thought I'd let you know.
- 20 A Yeah.
- 21 Q Okay.
- 22 A I just -- I just don't remember how we came in
- 23 contact.
- 24 Q Okay. And do you recall how Mr. Stokesbary got your
- phone number? Did he tell you?

10 (Pages 37 to 40)

Soto Palmer, et al. v. Hobbs, et al. Lakeside Reporting (833) 365-3376

October 13, 2022

	Page 41	Page 42
1	A I don't remember.	1 A I don't know. I'm assuming it could be. I just
2	Q Okay. And so to your knowledge did anyone refer	2 know him as Jim.
3	Mr. Stokesbary to you?	3 Q But you just know him as Jim?
4	A I don't know how the process works. I don't	4 A Yeah.
5	remember.	5 Q Got it.
6	Q Okay. And other than the conversation that you've	6 Okay. Are you familiar with an individual named
7	mentioned with Jim Troyer, did you have any discussions	7 José Trevino?
8	with anyone where they mentioned that they might refer you	8 A I know he's the representative, I think, in Quincy.
9	to be an intervenor in this case?	9 Q Okay.
10	A No.	10 A I There's two others. There's two others that
11	Q Okay. Have you ever discussed	are involved in this; and one is a representative in
12	A I don't recall. That I recall, I haven't talked to	12 Quincy, and the other is a resident in Yakima, I think. I
13	anybody about this, about anything.	13 didn't read the specifics of it.
14	Q Okay. Have you ever discussed anything related to	14 Q Okay. And the other individual, would that be
15	the Garcia v. Hobbs case with Mr. Stokesbary?	15 Alex Ybarra?
16	MR. STOKESBARY: Objection, attorney-client	16 A Yeah, I think. I'm pretty sure, yes, that's the
17	privilege.	17 name.
18	Mel, I'm going to instruct you not to answer that	18 Q Do you personally know Mr. Trevino?
19	question because it inquires about our communications with	19 A No.
20	each other.	20 Q And how about
21	THE WITNESS: Oh, okay.	21 A Okay. He might have been at one of the previous
22	MS. LEEPER: We can go ahead and move on.	Republican meetings, like in Yakima and, you know, in the
23	Q (By Ms. Leeper) One clarifying question I just want	23 years past. I haven't had any Republican meetings or
24	to ask for purposes of the record. Jim Troyer, his full	24 dinners or anything like that since pre COVID.
25	name is James Troyer; is that correct?	25 Q Okay. But you don't recall sort of having a
	Page 43	Page 44
1	Page 43 personal acquaintanceship with Mr. Trevino?	Page 44 1 Q And have you heard of an organization called the
1 2	_	
	personal acquaintanceship with Mr. Trevino?	Q And have you heard of an organization called the
2	personal acquaintanceship with Mr. Trevino? A No.	1 Q And have you heard of an organization called the 2 Southcentral Coalition of People of Color for
2	personal acquaintanceship with Mr. Trevino? A No. Q How about with Alex Ybarra? A No. Q Okay. So I'm going to ask if you know any of the	1 Q And have you heard of an organization called the 2 Southcentral Coalition of People of Color for 3 Redistricting? 4 A No. 5 Q Okay. Mel, I know this is a bit far in advance, but
2 3 4	personal acquaintanceship with Mr. Trevino? A No. Q How about with Alex Ybarra? A No. Q Okay. So I'm going to ask if you know any of the plaintiffs in the lawsuit; and I'm going to assume that	1 Q And have you heard of an organization called the 2 Southcentral Coalition of People of Color for 3 Redistricting? 4 A No.
2 3 4 5	personal acquaintanceship with Mr. Trevino? A No. Q How about with Alex Ybarra? A No. Q Okay. So I'm going to ask if you know any of the	1 Q And have you heard of an organization called the 2 Southcentral Coalition of People of Color for 3 Redistricting? 4 A No. 5 Q Okay. Mel, I know this is a bit far in advance, but
2 3 4 5 6	personal acquaintanceship with Mr. Trevino? A No. Q How about with Alex Ybarra? A No. Q Okay. So I'm going to ask if you know any of the plaintiffs in the lawsuit; and I'm going to assume that you don't know their names by heart, so I'll just go down the list and ask.	1 Q And have you heard of an organization called the 2 Southcentral Coalition of People of Color for 3 Redistricting? 4 A No. 5 Q Okay. Mel, I know this is a bit far in advance, but 6 it's when our trial is scheduled, which is why I'm asking
2 3 4 5 6 7	personal acquaintanceship with Mr. Trevino? A No. Q How about with Alex Ybarra? A No. Q Okay. So I'm going to ask if you know any of the plaintiffs in the lawsuit; and I'm going to assume that you don't know their names by heart, so I'll just go down the list and ask. Do you know Susan Soto Palmer?	1 Q And have you heard of an organization called the 2 Southcentral Coalition of People of Color for 3 Redistricting? 4 A No. 5 Q Okay. Mel, I know this is a bit far in advance, but 6 it's when our trial is scheduled, which is why I'm asking 7 you this. Is there any reason that you can think of that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	personal acquaintanceship with Mr. Trevino? A No. Q How about with Alex Ybarra? A No. Q Okay. So I'm going to ask if you know any of the plaintiffs in the lawsuit; and I'm going to assume that you don't know their names by heart, so I'll just go down the list and ask.	1 Q And have you heard of an organization called the 2 Southcentral Coalition of People of Color for 3 Redistricting? 4 A No. 5 Q Okay. Mel, I know this is a bit far in advance, but 6 it's when our trial is scheduled, which is why I'm asking 7 you this. Is there any reason that you can think of that 8 you would be unavailable the weeks of May 1st and May 8h,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	personal acquaintanceship with Mr. Trevino? A No. Q How about with Alex Ybarra? A No. Q Okay. So I'm going to ask if you know any of the plaintiffs in the lawsuit; and I'm going to assume that you don't know their names by heart, so I'll just go down the list and ask. Do you know Susan Soto Palmer? A No. Q How about Alberto Isaac Macias?	1 Q And have you heard of an organization called the 2 Southcentral Coalition of People of Color for 3 Redistricting? 4 A No. 5 Q Okay. Mel, I know this is a bit far in advance, but 6 it's when our trial is scheduled, which is why I'm asking 7 you this. Is there any reason that you can think of that 8 you would be unavailable the weeks of May 1st and May 8h, 9 2023? 10 A Only if I got delayed at the ranch in Mexico, you 11 know. As far as I know, we have been there May up to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	personal acquaintanceship with Mr. Trevino? A No. Q How about with Alex Ybarra? A No. Q Okay. So I'm going to ask if you know any of the plaintiffs in the lawsuit; and I'm going to assume that you don't know their names by heart, so I'll just go down the list and ask. Do you know Susan Soto Palmer? A No. Q How about Alberto Isaac Macias? A No.	1 Q And have you heard of an organization called the 2 Southcentral Coalition of People of Color for 3 Redistricting? 4 A No. 5 Q Okay. Mel, I know this is a bit far in advance, but 6 it's when our trial is scheduled, which is why I'm asking 7 you this. Is there any reason that you can think of that 8 you would be unavailable the weeks of May 1st and May 8h, 9 2023? 10 A Only if I got delayed at the ranch in Mexico, you 11 know. As far as I know, we have been there May up to 12 June, you know, some of our trips. So no, and I couldn't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	personal acquaintanceship with Mr. Trevino? A No. Q How about with Alex Ybarra? A No. Q Okay. So I'm going to ask if you know any of the plaintiffs in the lawsuit; and I'm going to assume that you don't know their names by heart, so I'll just go down the list and ask. Do you know Susan Soto Palmer? A No. Q How about Alberto Isaac Macias? A No. Q Faviola Lopez?	1 Q And have you heard of an organization called the 2 Southcentral Coalition of People of Color for 3 Redistricting? 4 A No. 5 Q Okay. Mel, I know this is a bit far in advance, but 6 it's when our trial is scheduled, which is why I'm asking 7 you this. Is there any reason that you can think of that 8 you would be unavailable the weeks of May 1st and May 8h, 9 2023? 10 A Only if I got delayed at the ranch in Mexico, you 11 know. As far as I know, we have been there May up to 12 June, you know, some of our trips. So no, and I couldn't 13 tell that far in advance, but I'm hoping that I can be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	personal acquaintanceship with Mr. Trevino? A No. Q How about with Alex Ybarra? A No. Q Okay. So I'm going to ask if you know any of the plaintiffs in the lawsuit; and I'm going to assume that you don't know their names by heart, so I'll just go down the list and ask. Do you know Susan Soto Palmer? A No. Q How about Alberto Isaac Macias? A No. Q Faviola Lopez? A No.	1 Q And have you heard of an organization called the 2 Southcentral Coalition of People of Color for 3 Redistricting? 4 A No. 5 Q Okay. Mel, I know this is a bit far in advance, but 6 it's when our trial is scheduled, which is why I'm asking 7 you this. Is there any reason that you can think of that 8 you would be unavailable the weeks of May 1st and May 8h, 9 2023? 10 A Only if I got delayed at the ranch in Mexico, you 11 know. As far as I know, we have been there May up to 12 June, you know, some of our trips. So no, and I couldn't 13 tell that far in advance, but I'm hoping that I can be 14 back by March/April timeframe.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	personal acquaintanceship with Mr. Trevino? A No. Q How about with Alex Ybarra? A No. Q Okay. So I'm going to ask if you know any of the plaintiffs in the lawsuit; and I'm going to assume that you don't know their names by heart, so I'll just go down the list and ask. Do you know Susan Soto Palmer? A No. Q How about Alberto Isaac Macias? A No. Q Faviola Lopez? A No. Q Caty Padilla?	1 Q And have you heard of an organization called the 2 Southcentral Coalition of People of Color for 3 Redistricting? 4 A No. 5 Q Okay. Mel, I know this is a bit far in advance, but 6 it's when our trial is scheduled, which is why I'm asking 7 you this. Is there any reason that you can think of that 8 you would be unavailable the weeks of May 1st and May 8h, 9 2023? 10 A Only if I got delayed at the ranch in Mexico, you 11 know. As far as I know, we have been there May up to 12 June, you know, some of our trips. So no, and I couldn't 13 tell that far in advance, but I'm hoping that I can be 14 back by March/April timeframe. 15 Q Okay. But there's a potential that you might be at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	personal acquaintanceship with Mr. Trevino? A No. Q How about with Alex Ybarra? A No. Q Okay. So I'm going to ask if you know any of the plaintiffs in the lawsuit; and I'm going to assume that you don't know their names by heart, so I'll just go down the list and ask. Do you know Susan Soto Palmer? A No. Q How about Alberto Isaac Macias? A No. Q Faviola Lopez? A No. Q Caty Padilla? A No.	1 Q And have you heard of an organization called the 2 Southcentral Coalition of People of Color for 3 Redistricting? 4 A No. 5 Q Okay. Mel, I know this is a bit far in advance, but 6 it's when our trial is scheduled, which is why I'm asking 7 you this. Is there any reason that you can think of that 8 you would be unavailable the weeks of May 1st and May 8h, 9 2023? 10 A Only if I got delayed at the ranch in Mexico, you 11 know. As far as I know, we have been there May up to 12 June, you know, some of our trips. So no, and I couldn't 13 tell that far in advance, but I'm hoping that I can be 14 back by March/April timeframe. 15 Q Okay. But there's a potential that you might be at 16 your was it grandfather's ranch in Mexico?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	personal acquaintanceship with Mr. Trevino? A No. Q How about with Alex Ybarra? A No. Q Okay. So I'm going to ask if you know any of the plaintiffs in the lawsuit; and I'm going to assume that you don't know their names by heart, so I'll just go down the list and ask. Do you know Susan Soto Palmer? A No. Q How about Alberto Isaac Macias? A No. Q Faviola Lopez? A No. Q Caty Padilla? A No. Q Evangelina Aguilar? She goes by Benji.	1 Q And have you heard of an organization called the 2 Southcentral Coalition of People of Color for 3 Redistricting? 4 A No. 5 Q Okay. Mel, I know this is a bit far in advance, but 6 it's when our trial is scheduled, which is why I'm asking 7 you this. Is there any reason that you can think of that 8 you would be unavailable the weeks of May 1st and May 8h, 9 2023? 10 A Only if I got delayed at the ranch in Mexico, you 11 know. As far as I know, we have been there May up to 12 June, you know, some of our trips. So no, and I couldn't 13 tell that far in advance, but I'm hoping that I can be 14 back by March/April timeframe. 15 Q Okay. But there's a potential that you might be at 16 your was it grandfather's ranch in Mexico? 17 A Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	personal acquaintanceship with Mr. Trevino? A No. Q How about with Alex Ybarra? A No. Q Okay. So I'm going to ask if you know any of the plaintiffs in the lawsuit; and I'm going to assume that you don't know their names by heart, so I'll just go down the list and ask. Do you know Susan Soto Palmer? A No. Q How about Alberto Isaac Macias? A No. Q Faviola Lopez? A No. Q Caty Padilla? A No. Q Evangelina Aguilar? She goes by Benji. A No.	1 Q And have you heard of an organization called the 2 Southcentral Coalition of People of Color for 3 Redistricting? 4 A No. 5 Q Okay. Mel, I know this is a bit far in advance, but 6 it's when our trial is scheduled, which is why I'm asking 7 you this. Is there any reason that you can think of that 8 you would be unavailable the weeks of May 1st and May 8h, 9 2023? 10 A Only if I got delayed at the ranch in Mexico, you 11 know. As far as I know, we have been there May up to 12 June, you know, some of our trips. So no, and I couldn't 13 tell that far in advance, but I'm hoping that I can be 14 back by March/April timeframe. 15 Q Okay. But there's a potential that you might be at 16 your was it grandfather's ranch in Mexico? 17 A Yes. 18 Q Okay. Now, I have some more questions for you, but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	personal acquaintanceship with Mr. Trevino? A No. Q How about with Alex Ybarra? A No. Q Okay. So I'm going to ask if you know any of the plaintiffs in the lawsuit; and I'm going to assume that you don't know their names by heart, so I'll just go down the list and ask. Do you know Susan Soto Palmer? A No. Q How about Alberto Isaac Macias? A No. Q Faviola Lopez? A No. Q Caty Padilla? A No. Q Evangelina Aguilar? She goes by Benji. A No. Q Lizette Parra?	1 Q And have you heard of an organization called the 2 Southcentral Coalition of People of Color for 3 Redistricting? 4 A No. 5 Q Okay. Mel, I know this is a bit far in advance, but 6 it's when our trial is scheduled, which is why I'm asking 7 you this. Is there any reason that you can think of that 8 you would be unavailable the weeks of May 1st and May 8h, 9 2023? 10 A Only if I got delayed at the ranch in Mexico, you 11 know. As far as I know, we have been there May up to 12 June, you know, some of our trips. So no, and I couldn't 13 tell that far in advance, but I'm hoping that I can be 14 back by March/April timeframe. 15 Q Okay. But there's a potential that you might be at 16 your was it grandfather's ranch in Mexico? 17 A Yes. 18 Q Okay. Now, I have some more questions for you, but 19 I'm wondering if now might be a good time for a quick
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	personal acquaintanceship with Mr. Trevino? A No. Q How about with Alex Ybarra? A No. Q Okay. So I'm going to ask if you know any of the plaintiffs in the lawsuit; and I'm going to assume that you don't know their names by heart, so I'll just go down the list and ask. Do you know Susan Soto Palmer? A No. Q How about Alberto Isaac Macias? A No. Q Faviola Lopez? A No. Q Caty Padilla? A No. Q Evangelina Aguilar? She goes by Benji. A No. Q Lizette Parra? A No.	1 Q And have you heard of an organization called the 2 Southcentral Coalition of People of Color for 3 Redistricting? 4 A No. 5 Q Okay. Mel, I know this is a bit far in advance, but 6 it's when our trial is scheduled, which is why I'm asking 7 you this. Is there any reason that you can think of that 8 you would be unavailable the weeks of May 1st and May 8h, 9 2023? 10 A Only if I got delayed at the ranch in Mexico, you 11 know. As far as I know, we have been there May up to 12 June, you know, some of our trips. So no, and I couldn't 13 tell that far in advance, but I'm hoping that I can be 14 back by March/April timeframe. 15 Q Okay. But there's a potential that you might be at 16 your was it grandfather's ranch in Mexico? 17 A Yes. 18 Q Okay. Now, I have some more questions for you, but 19 I'm wondering if now might be a good time for a quick 20 break.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	personal acquaintanceship with Mr. Trevino? A No. Q How about with Alex Ybarra? A No. Q Okay. So I'm going to ask if you know any of the plaintiffs in the lawsuit; and I'm going to assume that you don't know their names by heart, so I'll just go down the list and ask. Do you know Susan Soto Palmer? A No. Q How about Alberto Isaac Macias? A No. Q Faviola Lopez? A No. Q Caty Padilla? A No. Q Evangelina Aguilar? She goes by Benji. A No. Q Lizette Parra? A No. Q How about Heliodora Morfin?	1 Q And have you heard of an organization called the 2 Southcentral Coalition of People of Color for 3 Redistricting? 4 A No. 5 Q Okay. Mel, I know this is a bit far in advance, but 6 it's when our trial is scheduled, which is why I'm asking 7 you this. Is there any reason that you can think of that 8 you would be unavailable the weeks of May 1st and May 8h, 9 2023? 10 A Only if I got delayed at the ranch in Mexico, you 11 know. As far as I know, we have been there May up to 12 June, you know, some of our trips. So no, and I couldn't 13 tell that far in advance, but I'm hoping that I can be 14 back by March/April timeframe. 15 Q Okay. But there's a potential that you might be at 16 your was it grandfather's ranch in Mexico? 17 A Yes. 18 Q Okay. Now, I have some more questions for you, but 19 I'm wondering if now might be a good time for a quick 20 break. 21 MS. LEEPER: Could we go off the record,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	personal acquaintanceship with Mr. Trevino? A No. Q How about with Alex Ybarra? A No. Q Okay. So I'm going to ask if you know any of the plaintiffs in the lawsuit; and I'm going to assume that you don't know their names by heart, so I'll just go down the list and ask. Do you know Susan Soto Palmer? A No. Q How about Alberto Isaac Macias? A No. Q Faviola Lopez? A No. Q Caty Padilla? A No. Q Evangelina Aguilar? She goes by Benji. A No. Q Lizette Parra? A No. Q How about Heliodora Morfin? A I didn't hear the name, but it doesn't sound Say	1 Q And have you heard of an organization called the 2 Southcentral Coalition of People of Color for 3 Redistricting? 4 A No. 5 Q Okay. Mel, I know this is a bit far in advance, but 6 it's when our trial is scheduled, which is why I'm asking 7 you this. Is there any reason that you can think of that 8 you would be unavailable the weeks of May 1st and May 8h, 9 2023? 10 A Only if I got delayed at the ranch in Mexico, you 11 know. As far as I know, we have been there May up to 12 June, you know, some of our trips. So no, and I couldn't 13 tell that far in advance, but I'm hoping that I can be 14 back by March/April timeframe. 15 Q Okay. But there's a potential that you might be at 16 your was it grandfather's ranch in Mexico? 17 A Yes. 18 Q Okay. Now, I have some more questions for you, but 19 I'm wondering if now might be a good time for a quick 20 break. 21 MS. LEEPER: Could we go off the record, 22 Jeanne.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	personal acquaintanceship with Mr. Trevino? A No. Q How about with Alex Ybarra? A No. Q Okay. So I'm going to ask if you know any of the plaintiffs in the lawsuit; and I'm going to assume that you don't know their names by heart, so I'll just go down the list and ask. Do you know Susan Soto Palmer? A No. Q How about Alberto Isaac Macias? A No. Q Faviola Lopez? A No. Q Caty Padilla? A No. Q Evangelina Aguilar? She goes by Benji. A No. Q Lizette Parra? A No. Q How about Heliodora Morfin? A I didn't hear the name, but it doesn't sound Say the name again. It doesn't	1 Q And have you heard of an organization called the 2 Southcentral Coalition of People of Color for 3 Redistricting? 4 A No. 5 Q Okay. Mel, I know this is a bit far in advance, but 6 it's when our trial is scheduled, which is why I'm asking 7 you this. Is there any reason that you can think of that 8 you would be unavailable the weeks of May 1st and May 8h, 9 2023? 10 A Only if I got delayed at the ranch in Mexico, you 11 know. As far as I know, we have been there May up to 12 June, you know, some of our trips. So no, and I couldn't 13 tell that far in advance, but I'm hoping that I can be 14 back by March/April timeframe. 15 Q Okay. But there's a potential that you might be at 16 your was it grandfather's ranch in Mexico? 17 A Yes. 18 Q Okay. Now, I have some more questions for you, but 19 I'm wondering if now might be a good time for a quick 10 break. 21 MS. LEEPER: Could we go off the record, 22 Jeanne. (Discussion off the record.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	personal acquaintanceship with Mr. Trevino? A No. Q How about with Alex Ybarra? A No. Q Okay. So I'm going to ask if you know any of the plaintiffs in the lawsuit; and I'm going to assume that you don't know their names by heart, so I'll just go down the list and ask. Do you know Susan Soto Palmer? A No. Q How about Alberto Isaac Macias? A No. Q Faviola Lopez? A No. Q Caty Padilla? A No. Q Evangelina Aguilar? She goes by Benji. A No. Q Lizette Parra? A No. Q How about Heliodora Morfin? A I didn't hear the name, but it doesn't sound Say	1 Q And have you heard of an organization called the 2 Southcentral Coalition of People of Color for 3 Redistricting? 4 A No. 5 Q Okay. Mel, I know this is a bit far in advance, but 6 it's when our trial is scheduled, which is why I'm asking 7 you this. Is there any reason that you can think of that 8 you would be unavailable the weeks of May 1st and May 8h, 9 2023? 10 A Only if I got delayed at the ranch in Mexico, you 11 know. As far as I know, we have been there May up to 12 June, you know, some of our trips. So no, and I couldn't 13 tell that far in advance, but I'm hoping that I can be 14 back by March/April timeframe. 15 Q Okay. But there's a potential that you might be at 16 your was it grandfather's ranch in Mexico? 17 A Yes. 18 Q Okay. Now, I have some more questions for you, but 19 I'm wondering if now might be a good time for a quick 20 break. 21 MS. LEEPER: Could we go off the record, 22 Jeanne.

11 (Pages 41 to 44)

Soto Palmer, et al. v. Hobbs, et al. Lakeside Reporting (833) 365-3376

October 13, 2022

	Page 45		Page 46
1	record.	1	using all the names.
2	Q (By Ms. Leeper) Okay. We are back on the record	2	Are you familiar with a case that's separate from
3	now, and so we're going to get back into it. I have a few	3	this case that is challenging Legislative District 15 as
4	more questions for you about sort of specific individuals.	4	being a racial gerrymander?
5	Do you know Benancio Garcia, III?	5	A I want to say in the conversations with I want to
6	A I didn't hear the first name, but I don't know.	6	say Drew, something about a case in Oh, no, and it
7	Q Okay. I'll say it one more time just to be sure,	7	might have been in an email, this one here, where
8	Benancio Garcia.	8	MR. STOKESBARY: Hey, Mel Mel, I'm just
9	A No.	9	going to jump in and say that I've got to instruct you not
10	Q Okay. And do you know anything about the Garcia v.	10	to talk about any conversations you've had with me or
11	Hobbs case challenging Legislative District 15?	11	emails you've exchanged with me,
12	A I don't know the names, but I'm assuming they're on	12	THE WITNESS: Oh.
13	the paperwork that Drew or paper whatever email that	13	MR. STOKESBARY: on the basis of
14	Drew sent me as far as the Complaint, or whatever it is.	14	attorney-client privilege.
15	Q Okay. And that is, just to be clear, this case is	15	THE WITNESS: Okay.
16	the Soto Palmer v. Hobbs case. That's a separate case.	16	Q (By Ms. Leeper) Yeah. And so basically I'm asking
17	That's Garcia v. Hobbs.	17	about the knowledge that you have, not about the specific
18	A Oh.	18	content of any conversations you've had with your
19	Q So are you familiar with that?	19	attorney, but sort of the knowledge that you do have
20	A Not that I'm aware of, no. Again, I'm not	20	about that case, which is challenging Legislative
21	Q Okay.	21	District 15 as a racial gerrymander.
22	A a person that details that kind of or pays	22	So what knowledge do you have about that?
23	attention to the detail very much. So yeah, I don't know.	23	A About 15?
24	Q Okay. And I will just ask it one more way that	24	Q About the case that is challenging that district as
25	might, you know, sort of help shed some light without	25	a racial gerrymander.
	Page 47		Page 48
1	A Louisiana or Mississippi or something is challenging	1	basics, Mel. We're going to talk a little bit about your
2	in court that may have an effect on this case, that maybe	2	educational and work background.
3	this case could be a midpoint, I guess is basically the	3	Where did you go to high school?
4	gist of what I'm getting from that.	4	A White Swan High School, on the Reservation.
5	Q Okay. And is that sort of about the challenging of	5	Q And did you
6	Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act; is that right?	6	A Yakama Reservation.
7	A Again, I don't know the details. Sorry.	7	Q Did you live in that area, you know, the whole time
8	Q Okay. And just again, not asking about the content	8	you were growing up?
9	of the conversation, but when have you talked with	9	A Pretty much, yeah. I was born in '56 in Mexico. Dad
10	Mr. Stokesbary about that case?	10	brought us to Washington around about '58. I graduated
11	A Again, I don't know necessarily that it was Drew.	11	from White Swan and then lived there until I was about 24,
12	Q Or one of your attorneys.	12	off and on, because I went to college in California for a
13	A Yeah. Yeah. It was in the process of being asked	13	while, came back.
14	whether I wanted to do this because in the end it may be	14	So yeah, that's where I was raised.
15	not even come to fruition if that case is decided by the	15	Q Okay. I think that you just said this, but where
16	court, whatever court that is, whether it's the Supreme	16	did you go to college?
17	Court, or whatever.	17	A I went to college at Bethany Bible College in
18	Q Okay. And was anyone else other than one of your	18	California for a year and a half. Oh, gosh. '79 and '80,
19	attorneys present for that conversation?	19	I think. Sorry.
20	A Oh, no. No, I	20	Q Okay. And what did you study while you were in
21	Q Okay. And how many	21	college?
22	A Again, I don't Yeah, I don't even know that	22	A Well, I was going to take the basics to end up with
23	I can't remember who told me about that, honestly. I	23	a counseling degree, but I ran out of money and had to
0.4	Y 1 1 1	1 04	1 14 111 1 1 0

12 (Pages 45 to 48)

come home with a tail between my legs. Sorry.

Q I don't think there's anything to be -- have the

Soto Palmer, et al. v. Hobbs, et al. Lakeside Reporting (833) 365-3376

24

25

24

25

mean, I don't remember.

Q Okay. All right. We're going to bring you back to

October 13, 2022

Page 49

- 1 tail between your legs about that, nothing to be ashamed 2 of there. That's just life.
- 3 Do you have any other degrees?
- 4 A I have a technical degree from Columbia Basin
- 5 College that got me the job -- Well, I got the job working
- out in Hanford, and through one of their programs they 6
- 7 paid for my schooling at CBC to become an AP Health
- 8
- 9 Q That's great. And can you explain to me what that
- 10 position is that you were talking about working in there?
- 11 Monitoring radiation, make sure that people don't
- 12 overexpose themselves and contamination doesn't leave the
- 13 area. Survey people, make sure they're clean, not
- contaminated. Make sure the step-off end where they're 14
- 15 coming out of the radiological zone stays clean so that
- 16 contamination doesn't migrate out of there.
- 17 I'd also go into areas where the facilities were
- 18 closed down because of Chernobyl. The ramifications of
- 19 that accident shut a lot of the Hanford nuclear plants
- 20 down, and they hadn't been entered for years. And so I'd
- go in there and survey -- with masks and everything, 21
- 22 survey it, make sure that what levels of protective
- 23 clothing to wear and whether respirators should be worn,
- 2.4 how long they can stay there, depending on the level of
- 25 radiation and that kind of thing.

Page 50

Page 52

- 1 And I'd write a survey. That survey would go on to
 - be implemented and to state times and protective clothing
- 3 to wear in that area.

2

4

11

13

17

22

1

- Q And how long did you work in that industry?
- 5 Oh, gosh. Well, my boy was born in 1990. That's
- when I started working at the -- what was called WPPSS 6
- 7 back then, Energy Northwest these days. In 1990 started
- 8 as a laborer, went to school, and then went out to the
- 9 Hanford area.
- 10 '95, I think. I'm not sure of the date, the year.
 - But then I worked there until I retired in 2011, I think.
- 12 Q And so are you currently employed?
 - I'm self-employed. I'm a financial advisor.
- Okay. And is that in a business that you own, or 14
- 15 sort of what organization are you a financial advisor
- 16 with?
 - A Okay. I'm a financial advisor with Johnson Prentis
- 18 Investments, or an -- I forget exactly what the tier is,
- 19 but we are under the umbrella, I guess so to speak, of
- 20 Royal Alliance.
- 21 Q Okay. And when did you start that job?
 - Well, this with Royal Alliance, I switched over from
- 23 LpL. Actually, I'm going to graduate here next week, so
- 90 -- 90 days ago. 2.4
- 25 Q Okay. Congratulations!

Page 51

- 1 A Thank you.
- 2 Q Between sort of leaving and retiring from your
- 3 previous job -- and forgive me, with the nuclear industry
- 4 and the radioactive industry and things like that, in 2011
- 5 and when you started this job, what did you do in between
- 6 there?
- 7 A Well, I was unemployed for about six months. And I
- 8 shouldn't say unemployed -- retired for about six months.
- 9 And so my wife would come home expecting me to have dinner
- 10 and the dishes washed and clothing, so I thought no, I
- 11 need to go back and work on something.
- 12 So to become productive and stay awake and stay
- 13 alert I started working as a financial advisor. Back then
- 14 it was RBC, Royal Bank of Canada. And then two years
- 15 later, two or three years later I went to work with
- 16 Waddell & Reed. Waddell & Reed got bought out by LpL. I
- 17 don't -- didn't like the LpL system, so I moved over with
- 18 a partner that I've known for years.
- 19 Q Okay. So you mentioned earlier one group that
- 20 you're pretty active in. Are there any other community
- 21 groups or societies that you are a part of?
- 2.2 A No, other than, of course, my church. No. No, not
- 23 outside of that
- 24 Q Okay. Have you ever worked for any political
 - campaigns?

- A I don't know if you call it worked. I did volunteer
- 2 work for a phone bank years ago.
- 3 Q Okay. And what campaign was that for?
- 4 A I think I called for -- Again, this is years ago
- 5 that I did this. I want to say Doc Hastings, and the
- candidate's name was Honeycutt, I think. Not Honeycutt --6
- 7 Was Honeycutt one of the representatives? He didn't get
- 8 elected, but I helped in his campaign.
- 9 I can't remember what his name was. I'm sorry. I
- 10 want to say Honeycutt, but that sounds like an official
- 11 that was elected, and it wasn't him. It was -- Oh, I
- 12 can't remember what his name was. I'm sorry.
- 13 Do you recall was that Rod Honeycutt?
- 14 Was he elected?
- 15 He was running for Congress. I know that much. I'm
- 16 actually not sure whether or not he was elected.
- 17 If he didn't get elected, I'm sorry, but he's the
- 18 one that I did phone calls for.
- 19 Okay. Q
- 20 I just remember --
- 21 Do you recall the office that the individual was
- 22 running for?
- 23 Oh, gosh, no. I do remember -- and it probably
- 24 wasn't necessarily local because I remember the bus was
- 25 coming in from Spokane, ended up here in Tri-Cities pretty

13 (Pages 49 to 52)

Soto Palmer, et al. v. Hobbs, et al. Lakeside Reporting (833) 365-3376

25

October 13, 2022

Page 54

Page 56

Page 53

- late, like 11:00 or 12:00. And so we were out there in
- 2 the dark at the Safeway parking lot just rah-rahing.
- 3 Q Okay. And you mentioned Doc Hastings. What was he
- 4 running for?
- 5 A Oh, the position he's always had, or -- Yeah.
- 6 Newhouse took his place, so I was campaigning for -- on
- 7 the phone bank calling, using the phone, supporting.
- 8 Q Okay. And other than those two that you've
- 9 mentioned, have you ever volunteered for any other
- political campaigns, either national or state or local?
- 11 A No, although I do remember having posters, and I
- might have helped in the phone bank for President Bush,
- 13 the second one.
- 14 Q Okay. I think we covered this earlier, but just to
- be sure. Have you ever run for any elected office?
- 16 A No.
- 17 Q And have you ever spoken with Jim Honeyford about
- 18 running for elected office?
- 19 A No, not that I remember. I mean yeah, no.
- 20 Q Okay. So you mentioned earlier that you live in
- 21 Kennewick; correct?
- 22 A Correct.
- 23 Q How long have you lived in Kennewick?
- 24 A Oh, gosh. A year, two years.
- 25 Q Okay. And how long have you lived in Benton County

- 1 in general?
- 2 A Oh, gosh. I can't remember when I moved from Pasco
- 3 to Kennewick the first time. I want to say Benton County,
- 4 Benton, Franklin, Tri-Cities -- there's three of them
- 5 here, three cities; and Franklin I think is on the other
- 6 side of the river.
- 7 Don't quote me on this. I'm not sure. Maybe ten
- 8 years.

13

- 9 Q Okay. Have you lived in any other counties in
- 10 Southcentral Washington?
- 11 A I mean, my life or -- I mean, I was raised in
- 12 Yakima, so I lived in Yakima County.
 - Years ago when I was still single I was in
- 14 Moses Lake for a while. I think that might be Grant
- 15 County. I think that's -- Oh, no, Brewster. I was in
- 16 Brewster for about a year or two. I have no idea what
- 17 county Brewster is, so --
- 18 Gee, I can't remember. I'm sorry. I'm 65. I've
- 19 lived in a few places.
- 20 Q There is no need to apologize.
- 21 Okay. So I just want to sort of put a definition
- out there just for purposes of these questions. I'm going
- to be asking about the region, and so what do you
- understand me to mean when I say Southcentral Washington?
- 25 A I haven't really thought about it. I was kind of

Page 55

- 1 thinking southeastern.
- 2 Southcentral I guess would probably be -- I guess I
- 3 hadn't thought about it. I've never really thought about
- 4 Southcentral. I always thought Eastern Washington and
- 5 Western Washington, and we're in the Southeast.
- 6 So I guess Southcentral might have been Ellensburg,
- 7 I guess
- 8 Q Okay. So just so we're on the same page, for the
- 9 purposes of this deposition when I use the term
- 10 Southcentral Washington I mean it to encompass the Yakima
- Valley and Pasco region, as well as Benton, Adams and
- Grant Counties, as well as Franklin in the mix as well.
- So do you understand that term now?
- A Oh, definitely. So what's Southeastern?
- Walla Walla I guess is over there.
- 16 Q You know, I can't know for sure.
- 17 A I'm sorry.
- 18 Q All I know is what I've got here and sort of the
- 19 terms we're going to use. I'm sure there's a million
- 20 different ways we could break down Washington and define
- 21 it out, --
- 22 A Yeah.
- 23 Q -- but as long as we're on the same page.
- 24 A Yeah, I'm in Southcentral, I guess.
- $\,$ 25 $\,$ $\,$ Q $\,$ Okay. So what do you know about the demographics of

- 1 Southcentral Washington?
- 2 A Well, in general if I understand it correctly, we're
- 3 over 50 percent of the population, we being the
- 4 Latino/Hispanic community.
- 5 Q Okay. And do you know over 50 percent, is that the
- 6 closest approximation that you know?
- 7 A Yeah. I don't -- I don't know. I would say
- 8 average. I'm not -- I'm sure Sunnyside is -- Oh, gosh.
- 9 It's a higher percentage.
- 10 Pasco is I want to say 60, I think. I don't
- 11 remember, but --
- 12 Q Okay.
- 13 A You know, I'm guessing it's over 50 percent, I would
- 14 think. I'm assuming. I don't know.
- Q So I'm going to go through a pretty long list of
- cities and counties and ask you what you know about the
- demographics of each, and so let's get into that.
- So do you know how much of the population in Yakima
- 19 County is Latino?
- 20 A No. Again, I would probably think maybe 40. I
- 21 would think. I think it's lower in Yakima proper area. I
- 22 would think -- I'm assuming.
- 23 MR. HUGHES: Simone, my apologies for
- jumping in here, but could I just get a standing objection
- for this line of questioning, lack of foundation?

14 (Pages 53 to 56)

202f6216-7523-419c-9e66-a9a0f8204fe4

Soto Palmer, et al. v. Hobbs, et al. Lakeside Reporting (833) 365-3376

October 13, 2022

Page 58

Page 60

- 1 MS. LEEPER: Got it.
- 2 MR. HUGHES: Thanks so much.
- 3 Q (By Ms. Leeper) Do you know how much of the
- 4 population in Pasco is Latino?
- 5 A I want to say again in Pasco maybe 60 percent. I'm
- 6 not sure. I know it's pretty high. Sixty percent would
- 7 be my guess.
- 8 Q How about the City of Yakima?
- 9 A I want to say I think it's -- I don't think it's
- that high, but I want to say it's 40 percent, maybe --
- 11 maybe.
- 12 Q How about Toppenish?
- 13 A These are all guesses; right?
- 14 Q Yeah. I guess my question is whether you know. So
- if you don't know the answer, you can tell me that as
- well; but if you have a sense of it, you can also tell me,
- 17 "My sense is," and, you know, I'll know that you're not
- 18 basing it on the census.
- 19 A Okay. Let's start with that. I don't know, but I
- 20 have a sense what it would be on these cities.
- 21 Q Okay. And when you say you have a sense of it, what
- are you basing that on?
- 23 A Well, the fact that I live here -- there. I mean,
- 24 yeah.
- Q Okay. So do you know how much of the population in

- 1 Toppenish is Latino?
- 2 A It's probably I would think around 50 percent or
- 3 less maybe, maybe more. I grew up there, but, you know,
- 4 I'm not aware of it. Again, I'm guessing.
- 5 Q How about Mabton?
 - A Mabton might be pretty high. I'm thinking -- and
- again, I don't know; but if I were to guess, I would say
- 8 60

6

15

2.4

1

3

5

7

9

23

- 9 Q Okay. How about Sunnyside?
- 10 A Sunnyside I understand is pretty high. Again, from
- 11 my meetings with the community there, the sister city and
- 12 meeting people there and stuff. It might be as high as 70
- 13 I would think.
- 14 Q What about Wapato?
 - A It should be probably pretty high. Again, I would
- think 60 percent or higher, and then Wapato -- and
- Toppenish, actually being on the Reservation or near the
- 18 Reservation, that might be higher as far as the non-white
- 19 population.
- 20 Q What about the Latino population of Benton County?
- 21 A Oh, as a whole? Gosh. I don't think it's as high
- as Franklin, so I would think 40 or 50 percent. Again, I
- don't know, but I'm kind of guessing because there's a lot
 - of us here, a lot of Latinos, Hispanics.
- 25 Q So you mentioned Benton not quite as high as

Page 59

- Franklin. What's your understanding of how much of the
- 2 population in Franklin is Latino?
- 3 $\,$ A $\,$ I don't know, but we're talking that being such an
- 4 agricultural side of the land here, it might be over 60.
- 5 I mean, I'm thinking Othello and that whole area there,
- 6 it's probably pretty high.
- 7 Q All right. We've got two more.
- 8 What do you know about the Latino population in
- 9 Adams County?
- 10 A Oh, okay. Where is Adams County? I don't remember.
- 11 I don't know.
- 12 Q If you don't know and you don't have any sense of
- 13 it, that's okay
- Okay. And then finally, what about Grant County?
- 15 A Grant County is Moses Lake; is that correct? I
- 16 don't know.
- 17 Q Okay.
- 18 A I'm guessing now where it is.
- 19 I'm pretty aware again of Franklin because I lived
- in Pasco, and Benton because I lived here in Benton
- 21 County. And, of course, Yakima because I have family
- there and grew up there and everything else; but outside
- of that, the boundaries I'm not too sure of.
- 24 Q Okay. Do you know how much of the voting age
 - population in Southcentral Washington is Latino?

- A No
- 2 Q And how about the citizen voting age population?
 - A No, I wouldn't venture to guess.
- 4 Q Are you aware of whether the demographics in
 - Southcentral Washington have shifted in the last decade?
- 6 MR. STOKESBARY: Objection.
 - A Well, I think --
- 8 THE WITNESS: Okay. Sorry.
 - Q (By Ms. Leeper) You can go ahead and answer.
- 10 A It's shifted to the -- There would be more Latinos,
- Hispanics, Mexicans, however you want to phrase it, yeah.
- Thispanies, Mexicans, nowever you want to pinase it, yea
- 12 Q And what is your basis for that understanding that
- 13 there's an increasing Latino population?
- 14 A Oh, gee. The fact that I live here and the
- businesses that have sprung up, and I'm assuming probably
- 16 articles I've read.
- You know, it's pretty prevalent, the fact that we've
- grown. Everything from people coming in from California,
- and of course the migrant workers here. I mean, we've got
- the apples and all the field work and, you know, farm
- 21 labor required. It's a given.
- 22 Q So I think you just touched on this, but I do want
 - to ask it directly. Do you have a sense of what has led
- to the increase in Latino population?
- $\,$ 25 $\,$ $\,$ A $\,$ Well, the labor work, employment that's necessary.

15 (Pages 57 to 60)

Soto Palmer, et al. v. Hobbs, et al. Lakeside Reporting (833) 365-3376

25

October 13, 2022

Page 61

- 1 And, of course, California being so expensive, I'm aware
- 2 of at least two people that have sold their properties in
- 3 California -- Latinos, Hispanic, that sold their
- 4 properties in California and moved over here.
- 5 Q Okay. Do you agree that members of the Latino
- community have historically experienced discrimination in 6
- 7 Southcentral Washington?
 - MR. STOKESBARY: Objection as to form.
- 9 (By Ms. Leeper) You can answer.
- 10 Okay. I don't know if you necessarily want to call
- 11 it discrimination. I mean, as far as how are you trying
- 12 to -- What would be an example, I mean?
- 13 Q I guess I'll ask you how would you define
- 14 discrimination?
 - A Being denied something based on race. If we're
- 16 looking at race discrimination, you know, Hispanics or
- 17

8

15

- 18 Q So with your understanding of the meaning of
- 19 discrimination, do you agree that members of the Latino
- 20 community have historically faced discrimination in
- Southcentral Washington? 21
- 22 MR. STOKESBARY: Objection as to form.
- 23 Q (By Ms. Leeper) You can answer.
- 2.4 I don't -- I don't think so.
- 25 My experience as far as discrimination goes in the

Page 62

Page 64

- workforce here is I don't think it exists in the sense 1
- 2 that we're not -- You know, we're not going to give you
- 3 this job based on your race. Most of the time it was
- 4 pretty acceptable.
- 5 Q Would you define prejudice differently than you
 - would define discrimination?
- 7 A I don't know necessarily prejudice. I think
- 8 profiling is a different -- would be a different word, and
- 9 I do that myself. I mean, you know, just profile people
- 10 based on the fact that, you know, they're Mexican and, you
- 11 know, most likely Catholic. I mean, you know, that kind
- 12 of -- I don't know if you want to call it prejudice, but
- 13

6

20

24

1

9

- Q What kind of profiling do you think that the Latino 14
- 15 community in Southcentral Washington has faced?
- 16 A Just that, that kind of -- Again, my experience in
- 17 working in the farms, the farmers were great to us. You
- 18 know, the non -- the white farmers. You know, I've got no
- 19 complaints. I loved my -- my farmer bosses.
 - And school, I think the biggest thing that I can
- 21 remember from high school as far as discrimination, if you
- 22 want to call it, was the fact that I would take my
- 23 homemade these days they're called ricos -- we called them
 - tacos -- you know, to school, and they'd make fun of us --
- 25 you know, at school, kid stuff.

Page 63

- 1 At work I don't think I was ever denied a position
- 2 necessarily because of my race or anything like that. So
- 3 I don't -- I don't see anything like that. I don't know.
- 4 Okay. Just a few more questions. One is so you 5 mentioned sort of just, you know, I guess bullying based
- 6 on sort of race-based stuff at school.
 - Did you experience teachers intervening to stop
- 8 that?

7

- 9 A Oh, jeez. I don't remember. I don't -- I don't
- 10
- 11 Q Okay. And you mentioned your farm owner bosses. In
- 12 what capacity have you done that work?
- 13 A I was a ranch hand, tractor driver, drive truck, you
- 14 know, change the water lines in the morning before school,
- 15 water lines after school. You know, hoed the beets and 16
- thinned the mint and just the regular farmwork for the
- 17 farmer.
- 18 Q Okay. And what age sort of did you do that kind of
- 19 work?
- 20 A Oh, gee. Probably since I was -- the day I was
- 21 born, except -- of course, I was born in '56.
- 22 I would say probably -- oh, gee, I remember Dad
- 23 coming picking us up after school in 6th, 7th grade to go
- 24 do hoe beets in the afternoon. And then, of course,
 - through high school I'd again go change water lines for

- the farmers in the mornings, and then after school I
- 2 worked -- I worked for them. I was their ranch hand.
- 3 Q Okay. And have you ever personally experienced any
- 4 instances besides the one we sort of discussed at school
- 5 of, I guess, sort of negative stereotyping or making fun,
- 6 either in person or online, based on the fact that you are
- 7
- 8 A No. No, not from -- I mean, we kid ourselves, you
 - know, but -- Yeah, no. Other than that, no, not from
- 10 other people that were non-Latinos.
- 11 Q Okay. Do you have any knowledge about the voting
- 12 patterns of Latino voters in the state of Washington?
- 13
- 14 Do you have any knowledge about the voting patterns
- 15 of Latino voters specifically in Southcentral Washington?
- 16
- 17 Do you have any knowledge about the voting patterns
- 18 of white voters in Southcentral Washington?
- 19 Well, I'm kind of assuming because of the fact that
- 20 Republicans, you know, tend to win here that that would be
- 21 the pattern. I guess on the reverse, the other side --
- 22 and I don't know the percentage of Latino/Hispanic voters,
- 23 but I think that might make a difference. I mean, I don't
- 24
- 25 Q Okay. So just to be clear, what you're saying is

16 (Pages 61 to 64)

Soto Palmer, et al. v. Hobbs, et al. Lakeside Reporting (833) 365-3376

25

1

6

11

13

15

Ismael G. Campos

October 13, 2022

Page 66

Page 65

- 1 that based on the results of the elections you see where
- 2 Republicans tend to win and the white voters make up a
- 3 majority, you are assuming that then the white voters are
- 4 voting for Republicans; is that correct?
- 5 A That's kind of the assumption, that Latinos are not
- 6 necessarily voting. And again, I don't know their
- 7 patterns, but the assumption is Latinos mostly vote
- 8 Democrat and so, you know, that's what I would think.
- 10 you heard that assumption, or where are you getting that
- assumption from?
- 12 A Oh, when I was involved in the -- There's an
- organization that just popped up. I used to be a member
- of the -- oh, gosh, what is it? It's an acronym.
- National Hispanic Republican Conference or Mission, or
- something like that. And we would have --
- We would have booths. We would have booths at the
- park, the baseball park and stuff like that. And it was
- pretty noticeable that Latinos were not Democrats,
- 20 everything from saying the party of the rich and that kind
- 21 of thing. I mean, personal I guess you would call it
- 22 experience, or whatever.
- 23 Q Okay. So I think my question was a little bit
- 24 different than what you answered there. So that's sort of
- your experience of meeting Latinos who might be

- Republican.
- 2 My question is about this overarching assumption
- 3 that you've referred to that Latinos tend to vote
- 4 Democrat, and so I guess my question is where are you
- 5 getting that assumption from?
 - A Oh, just regular TV media. And, of course, at those
- 7 booths the interest or the -- I guess the non-interest in
- 8 the comments from passersby, my own experience, the fact
- 9 that for some reason it's assumed that the Republican is a
- party of the rich, so to speak.
 - Q And so in conversations with Latinos at that booth
- 12 they were saying that they thought that the Republican
 - party was a party of the rich.
- 14 Is that what you're saying?
 - A Yeah, pretty much. I mean, yeah, just the fact that
- passers go -- and again, I don't know if they were
- Democrat or not, just their assumption that, you know,
- 18 they have of the Republican party is probably the same
- 19 assumption that I have of the Democratic party, so --
- 20 Q Okay. Thank you for that clarification.
- Have you ever reviewed any election returns with
- voting patterns broken down by race for Washington state
- 23 legislative races?
- 24 A No.
- 25 Q Have you ever reviewed any election returns with

Page 67

- voting patterns broken down by race for Washington state
- 2 legislative races specifically in Southcentral Washington?
- 3 A No.
- 4 Q Have you reviewed or conducted any statistical
- 5 analysis to determine the breakdown of votes by race for
- 6 seats in the state legislature?
- 7 A No
- 8 Q Have you studied any opinion polls focused on Latino
- 9 voters in Southcentral Washington?
- 10 A No
- 11 Q How about the state of Washington more broadly?
- 12 A No.
- Q Do you know what the term racially polarized voting
- 14 means?
- 15 A No, but --
- 16 MR. STOKESBARY: Objection.
- 17 A Okay.
- 18 Q (By Ms. Leeper) You can go ahead and answer.
- 19 A Yeah, I don't. I'm kind of guessing because of the
- 20 term, but -- you know, polarizing the races. I mean, I
- 21 don't know.
- 22 Q Okay. And do you have any knowledge about whether
- 23 Latino voters and white voters prefer the same candidates
- or different candidates for state legislative races in
- 25 Southcentral Washington?

- Page 68
- $1 \quad \quad A \quad \mbox{Okay. Repeat} \mbox{ -- I mean, repeat the question. Kind}$
- 2 of like --
- 4 time
- 5 Do you have any knowledge about whether Latino
- 6 voters and white voters prefer the same candidates or
- 7 different candidates for state legislative races in
- different candidates for state legislative faces
- 8 Southcentral Washington?
- 9 A Again, I don't know. I don't quite understand the
- 10 question. Can you ask me like if you're asking me the
- 11 question? I'm sorry.
- 12 Q Absolutely. No worries. I'll just go ahead and
- 13 rephrase. It's a long one. There were a lot of
- prepositional phrases there.
- So I guess I'm basically asking specifically whether
- you have any knowledge specifically about the preferences
- of white and Latino voters when they're voting for state
- 18 legislative races in Southcentral Washington.
- 19 A Yeah, no, I don't have any knowledge of that. I
 - think I want to understand the question, that if I'm
- voting for a candidate, --
- 22 O Um-hmm.

20

- 23 A -- whether I care if he's white or not. Is that --
- Q Oh, not quite. So I think I'm not --
- 25 I'm asking specifically about like as a group

17 (Pages 65 to 68)

Soto Palmer, et al. v. Hobbs, et al. Lakeside Reporting (833) 365-3376

October 13, 2022

Page 69

- whether white voters and the group Latino voters tend to
- 2 in these state legislative races in Southcentral
- 3 Washington vote for the same candidates or different
- 4 candidates.
- 5 $\,$ A $\,$ No, I don't have any knowledge of it, but I would
- 6 assume that if there is a Hispanic who is having to vote
- 7 between a Hispanic conservative and a white conservative,
- 8 I'm kind of assuming because of the familiarity would vote
- 9 for a Hispanic.
- 10 Q Can you tell me a little bit more? Do you mean by
- $11 \qquad \text{ the familiarity that a Hispanic voter might feel with a} \\$
- 12 Hispanic candidate?
- A Oh, in the sense of the cultural, I would assume the
- 14 relationship that a -- if I'm Mexican and he's Mexican and
- 15 he's conservative, then there would be more connection, so
- 16 to speak.
- You know, again, depending on the policies that the
- guy is campaigning on, all given the same, I would assume
- he'd probably vote Latino. I mean, I'm just kind of
- 20 generalizing that.
- 21 Q Okay. Thank you.
- So could you tell me what you know about the process
- 23 of redistricting in general?
- 24 A Oh, gosh. In general, every ten years they do the
- 25 census, and depending on how much of the population

Page 70

Page 72

- changed in a particular area, they might redistrict.
- 2 That's basically about the extent of it all.
- 3 Q Okay. And what do you know about the process of
- 4 redistricting state legislative districts in the state of
- 5 Washington?
- 6 A The same thing, I would assume. If there's
- 7 population changes, there might be movement of the
- 8 district
- 9 Q Okay. And do you have any additional information
- that you know about the process of how that redistricting
- 11 occurs?
- 12 A No. I haven't really delved into that. I suppose I
- should have asked Paul, but never did.
- 14 Q Mel, what do you know about the Federal Voting
- 15 Rights Act?

17

20

3

5

7

- 16 A Oh, gosh. Without a refresher I have no --
 - MR. STOKESBARY: Objection as to form.
- Sorry, Mel. Objection as to form.
- 19 Q (By Ms. Leeper) And you can go ahead and answer.
 - A Yeah, without a refresher in what is it, civic law
- or whatever it's called, I don't -- I don't remember.
- 22 Q Okay. You mentioned earlier that you currently live
- in Legislative District 8; is that right?
- 24 A Correct.
- 25 Q Do you feel any particular connection to Legislative

Page 71

- 1 District 8?
- 2 A Any particular what connection?
- 3 Q Connection to that, the community of Legislative
- 4 District 8
- 5 A No, other than the fact that with Senator Sharon we
- 6 were pretty well acquainted with.
- 7 In Benton County -- and I don't know if it's the
- 8 same district, or -- Jerome Delvin was a good, you know,
- 9 fairly good friend because of our intercommunity meetings
- 10 and stuff.
- Oh, gosh. Years ago Shirley Hankins was pretty
- popular with us, and I want to say that was when I lived
- in Pasco, in Franklin County. Oh, gosh. That -- You
- know, other than that, the fact that I lived in those
- cities and towns, no particular connection.
- 16 Q Okay. And you mentioned three, I think, different
- elected officials. Are any of those people still in
- office? And I can go through them one by one.
- 19 A Well, Shirley --
- 20 Q I think --
- 21 A Yeah, Shirley Hankins isn't --
- 22 Q -- I got their names.
- 23 A -- isn't there anymore from what I -- Excuse me, but
- I don't even know if she's alive. She's pretty old.
 - Jerome Delvin is -- I want to say is now a

- 1 commissioner, I think.
- 2 Of course, I don't know if Sharon Brown is still
 - there or not. We haven't talked to her in quite a while.
- 4 She might still be a senator.
 - Yeah. Who else did I mention?
- 6 Q You also mentioned Sharon.
 - A Yeah, Sharon Brown. Yeah. Yeah, I don't --
- 8 Q I think --
- 9 A -- know if she's -- Go ahead.
- 10 Q No, please go ahead. I apologize.
- 11 A Yeah, I don't know if she's running or is still a
- senator. I know she was thinking of running for judge,
- and she might have run for judge. I don't know if she got
- the judge position and was elected or if she is still a
- senator. I haven't kept up.
- 16 Q What interest do you have in the boundaries of the
- 17 current Legislative District 15?
- A Well, other than the fact that they might be
- racially redrawn, but the district is not that important.
- I mean, so long as it's fair and equitable, I mean.
- 21 Q Okay. And I'm going to ask it again and ask about
- sort of your personal interest. So do you have any
- 23 personal interest in boundaries of Legislative District
- 24 15?
- $\,$ 25 $\,$ $\,$ A $\,$ No, not personal, other than when I was thinking of

18 (Pages 69 to 72)

Soto Palmer, et al. v. Hobbs, et al. Lakeside Reporting (833) 365-3376

25

October 13, 2022

Page 73 Page 74 1 running; but other than that, yeah, no. 1 hearings that were held by the 2021 Washington 2 Q Okay. As far as you know, is the current 2 Redistricting Commission? 3 Legislative District 15 majority Latino by citizen voting 3 A No, I've never testified in anything. 4 age population? 4 Q Did you ever submit any written testimony to the 5 Oh, I don't know. The -- Okay. The current one or 5 2021 Washington Redistricting Commission? the redrawn one? I guess actually I don't know of either, 6 6 7 7 Q Why not? Why did you not submit either kind of so --8 8 Q So I do want to be -- I'll just be clear in this 9 9 point. When we talk about Legislative District 15 and the A I really didn't have a part of this. And again, 10 current version, I'm referring to the version that was 10 because as an intervenor, you know, I can do this. 11 approved by the legislature at the beginning of this year, 11 Were you aware that there were public hearings being 12 2022, so just so you know how to understand that term. 12 held by the 2021 Washington Redistricting Commission? 13 A (Nodded.) 13 A Not that I'm aware of, no. There might have been a 14 And so what is your understanding of the current 14 media reporting or something, but I didn't pay attention 15 15 partisan leaning of the Legislative District 15 that was to that. I don't know. 16 approved at the beginning of 2022? 16 Q Okay. I'll go back one different way to see if we 17 A My understanding of this new district, of why I'm 17 can get to it, so --18 involved in this is that it was redrawn based on racial 18 A Okay. 19 population, Latino, and so that's the extent of it. 19 Q -- we'll give it a fresh try here. Does that work 20 Q Okay. And do you have any understanding of the 20 for you? partisan lean of that district? 21 21 A Sure. Go ahead. 22 Well, that would be -- I mean, if I'm -- If I 22 Okay. Putting aside the race of the candidates that 23 understand the question, it is now, supposedly because 23 are running, as far as you know do white voters as a group tend to vote for and prefer the same candidates as Latino 2.4 it's a majority Latino, would be leaning Democrat. 24 25 Q Okay. Did you ever testify at any of the public 25 voters as a group? Page 75 Page 76 1 1 A Within their own party or, you know, policies, or 2 however you phrase it, values. MS. LEEPER: Okay. Thank you. Let's go 2 3 Q Yeah, so thanks for setting aside the party issue. 3 back on the record. 4 We're really focusing on the group that matters that we're 4 Q (By Ms. Leeper) Okay. Mel, I want us to take a look 5 talking about is white voters and Latino voters, and we're 5 at just a few documents in this case. So procedure-wise 6 talking across parties, just in general. When they sit 6 what I'm going to do is I'm going to drop it into the chat 7 down in a general election and vote, you know, in the 7 box so that all the attorneys that are here have a chance 8 to look at it, but I'm also going to be screen sharing the 8 9 So again, putting aside the race of the candidates, 9 document. 10 as far as you know do white voters tend to prefer the same 10 So if you just want to go ahead and look at the 11 candidates or different candidates than Latino voters as a 11 screen, you should be able to see it just fine. So 12 12 everyone should have that first one. 13 A Again, I think I would say depending on their --14 13 A Do I click on it, or what -- what happens? more or less their values, not necessarily their race. 15 So, you know, would I vote for a Hispanic liberal? 14 (Document displayed.) 16 Probably not. It goes against my values. I would 15 Well, can you see that okay? 17 assume -- I would hope -- that most races, most people 16 Okay. Yeah, I see it. 18 would vote their values and not necessarily the race. So 17 Okay. Great. Then let's go ahead and do this. All 19 I'm not aware of anybody that doesn't do that. 18 right. So I'm going to go ahead and just scroll down to 20 MS. LEEPER: Okay. And I just -- Could we 19 give you a chance to look at the document, and you can get 21 go off the record for a quick moment? 20 2.2 (Discussion off the record.) 21 And if you do want to open it in your own browser 23 (Zoom technical difficulty, connection lost by Court 22 and scroll at your own time, you should feel free to do Reporter.)

19 (Pages 73 to 76)

My phone clicked in again, but I'm plugged in.

Okay. Mr. Campos, have you had a chance to review

Soto Palmer, et al. v. Hobbs, et al. Lakeside Reporting (833) 365-3376

23

24

25

that as well.

24

25

(Break 11:30 a.m. to 11:34 a.m.)

October 13, 2022

		1	
	Page 77		Page 78
1	that document?	1	documents or communications in your possession that might
2	A Yes. Is that	2	be responsive to these requests?
3	Q And have you	3	A Yes. This is where it's asking me for documents
4	A Is that	4	from the Redistricting Commission and documents Yeah.
5	Q Have you	5	Yeah, I did a search for that.
6	A Is that the one where I'm sorry. Is that the one	6	Q How did you conduct that search?
7	that's relating to this? Yeah, I see it.	7	A Memory.
8	Q Yeah. So I guess my question to you is have you	8	Q Did you use any search terms through to do that
9	seen this document before?	9	search?
10	A Yes. I'm thinking yes.	10	A No. Again, I'm not very tech savvy as far as
11	Q And what do you understand it to be?	11	computers go. So I just From memory, anybody from the
12	A The response to the lawsuit of this issue.	12	commission that might have sent me something. It would
13	Q Okay. So I'm going to represent to you that this	13	have been my brother Paul, and I go, "Hey, Paul" I
14	is, as you see in the caption here, Plaintiffs' First Set	14	think I called him or texted him and said, "Have you
15	of Requests for Production to the Intervenor Defendants.	15	ever sent me any documents? Because these people are
16	That's you.	16	requesting it."
17	When did you first see this document?	17	And he goes, "No, I have no documents. We never
18	A I don't remember.	18	sent anything like that."
19	Q Do you have an estimate?	19	Okay. And then when I was a part of that is when he
20	A Well, since I'm assuming this is part of the two	20	mentioned, "We probably shouldn't discuss this anymore if
21	documents or whatever that I think there's two of them.	21	you're a part of that." Okay.
22	It might be the same one. I don't know. The When	22	Q Okay. And did you search through any texts on your
23	they I became or selected to volunteer to be part of	23	phone to find any communications that might be relevant?
24	this lawsuit, that this was sent to me.	24	A Well, yeah. Yeah. With Jim, Paul. I think that's
25	Q Okay. Did you conduct any searches to find any	25	it. Other than that, there was no document or
	Page 79		Page 80
1	communication, other than the request if I wanted to be a	1	went back as far as when any communications might have
2	part of this.	2	come up from this.
3	Q And you say you looked through your communications	3	Q But you didn't conduct any word searches; is that
4	with Jim and Paul. How did you look through your text	4	correct?
5	messages?	5	A No.
6	A Just scrolling.	6	Q Did your attorneys provide you with any search terms
7	Q Okay. And did you find any texts that you thought	7	that you should use to conduct this search?
8	might be relevant?	8	MR. STOKESBARY: I'm going to
9	A No.	9	Q (By Ms. Leeper) And I'm not asking what those terms
10	Q How about your email, did you search through your	10	are. I'm just asking if they were provided.
11	email to find anything that might be relevant?	11	MR. STOKESBARY: I'm still going to object
12	A Yeah. Yeah. I mean, as far back as I could go, and	12	on the basis of attorney-client privilege and instruct you
13	up until whenever this issue came up, there was no	13	not to answer that question, Mel.
14	there was nothing there.	14	Q (By Ms. Leeper) Okay. Did you end up producing any
15	Q Okay. And you say "whenever this issue came up."	15	documents or text messages to your counsel?
16	Did you search through when you became involved in	16	A No.
17	the lawsuit?	17	Q Okay. I'm going to exit out of this document.
		1	
18	A Well, I probably went further than that, just	18	A Okay.
18 19	A Well, I probably went further than that, just because I Personal satisfaction. I want to say When	18 19	A Okay. Q I'm going to send another one. I think what might
			•

20 (Pages 77 to 80)

A I didn't see anything. Something came up and went

Q Okay. So at the bottom of your screen do you see

Soto Palmer, et al. v. Hobbs, et al. Lakeside Reporting (833) 365-3376

21

22

23

24

where I sent it?

where it says chat?

22

23

24

25

maybe -- oh, gosh, before January, February when we

Q Did you go back as far as January of 2021?

A No. Well, I mean, maybe. I don't know. I just

that, and I didn't find anything.

started talking about candidates with Jim and stuff like

October 13, 2022

Pag	re	81

- 1 A Chat, um-hmm.
- 2 Q Can you double click on that for me?
- 3 A Okay.
- 4 Q And do you see the document I just sent? It's
- 5 titled 2022 09 12 Amended is what you can see.
 - A All I see is whiteboard and iPhone pad.
- 7 Q Oh, okay. Well, then let's keep going with the
- 8 screen share. That might be actually the easiest thing.
- 9 A So I get out of this, X out?
- 10 Q Yeah. I think in one second when I click this, I
- think you should be able to see my screen now; is that
- 12 correct?

6

- 13 A Oh, yeah. There you are, yeah.
- 14 Q Okay. Great. So I'm going to do the same thing
- where I scroll and let you see this document. And I'm
- going to scroll past the definitions and instructions to
- 17 the relevant part at the bottom.
- Okay. Mel, have you seen this document before?
- 19 A Probably. If this is part of the documents that was
- 20 sent over for this, then yes.
- Q Okay. And do you know what this document is?
- 22 A Third Request for Production to us. That's where
- you're probably asking me for the documents and stuff
- 24 again.
- 25 Q Okay. And did you conduct any searches to find

Page 82

Page 84

- documents that would be responsive to these requests?
- 2 A No, I haven't found any documents for anything --
- 3 anybody

4

15

20

2.4

- Q When did you do the search that we were talking
- 5 about earlier where you looked through your text messages
- 6 and email?
- 7 A When it was first requested of me. I don't remember
- 8 the date. I'd have to go back to the emails.
- 9 Q Okay. Do you have a ballpark estimate? Would you
- say that was in the first half of the year or after the
- 11 summer?
- 12 A Oh, gosh. It can't be too long ago. I want to say
- 13 two or three months ago, maybe.
- 14 Q Okay. I'm going to stop the share of this document.
 - You mentioned that you had texted with your brother
- about whether or not you had any relevant communications
- 17 or texts with him.
- Do you still have those text messages?
- 19 A I don't know. Maybe. Probably. I don't think I've
 - deleted anything of his. I can --
- 21 Q Okay.
- A I'd have to go back and look to see if I do have it.
- 23 Q Okay. And what texting platform do you use to text?
 - Is that just your standard one on your phone, like
- 25 iMessage?

Page 83

- 1 A Right.
- 2 Q Do you ever use any other texting platforms like
- WhatsApp or Signal or maybe Facebook Messenger?
- 4 A Yeah, no, I'm not very tech savvy.
- 5 Q Okay.
- 6 A Now, I shouldn't say I haven't used Messenger
- before, I mean, but certainly not with my brother. I just
- 8 used that one.
- 9 Q And have you ever used any form of communication
- 10 other than your standard text application and email to
- 11 talk with Jim Troyer?
- 12 A No. I mean, it's always been a text.
- 13 Q Have you --
- 14 A I shouldn't say it's always been a text. You know,
- we called each other.
- 16 Q Um-hmm. Have you deleted any emails that you've
- exchanged with your brother or with Jim Troyer, or anyone
- else related to redistricting?
- 19 A Probably not. I mean, we didn't discuss any. Yeah,
- 20 no, it's probably there. I mean, if there's anything
- 21 there, yeah
- 22 Q Okay. And have you deleted any voice mails that
- you've received from anyone regarding redistricting?
- 24 A No. Well, I shouldn't say no. I haven't -- I
 - haven't received any emails to delete -- or voice mails.

- 1 I just want to make sure that's there.
- 2 Q Have you ever had any communications with Anton
- 3 Grose?
- 4 A I have no idea who that might be.
- 5 Q How about Evan Ridley?
- 6 A No.
- 7 Q Okay. I'm going to turn to just a few more
- 8 documents now.
 - (Document displayed.)
- 10 Q Can you see that?
- 11 A Yes.

9

14

- 12 Q All right. I'll scroll down, and I'm going to go
- down again to the questions.
 - Okay. Have you had a chance to review the document?
- 15 A Yeah. I mean, it looks familiar. I haven't
- reviewed it lately. I don't think this is -- Yeah.
- 17 Q So my question related to that, have you seen this
- 18 document before?
- 19 A It looks very familiar, yeah. I think I remember
- those questions and answers.
- Q Do you remember providing any answers to the
- questions in this document?
- A I remember agreeing to the answers. I think that's
- 24 the document, yeah.
- $\,$ 25 $\,$ $\,$ Q $\,$ Okay. Mr. Campos, who is paying for your legal

21 (Pages 81 to 84)

Soto Palmer, et al. v. Hobbs, et al. Lakeside Reporting (833) 365-3376

25

October 13, 2022

	Page 85		Page 86
1	representation in this case?	1	Q Do you remember when you first saw this document?
2	MR. STOKESBARY: Objection.	2	A Oh, no, I I No.
3	Q (By Ms. Leeper) You can answer.	3	Q Did you provide any answers to the questions in this
4	A Okay. I don't know.	4	document?
5	Q Has anyone told you who is paying for the attorneys	5	A I'm assuming it's the questions where do I concur or
6	in this case?	6	agree with them, and yeah. I mean, I agreed.
7	A Not that I recall. If they did, I didn't pay	7	Q Okay. I'm going to open one last document for you.
8	attention because it wasn't coming out of my pocket. So	8	(Document displayed.)
9	yeah, I guess it doesn't Yeah, I don't know.	9	MR. STOKESBARY: Hey, Simone, I just The
10	Q So to be clear,	10	documents so far have been numbered one, two, three, five,
11	A To my knowledge,	11	six. I'm just confirming that I didn't there wasn't a
12	Q Excuse me. Sorry for talking over you for a moment.	12	four shared on the screen that I didn't notice I hadn't
13	So just to be clear, are you paying for your legal	13	downloaded.
14	representation in this case?	14	MS. LEEPER: There is no four.
15	A No.	15	MR. STOKESBARY: Okay.
16	Q Okay. I'm going to bring up one more, maybe two	16	MS. LEEPER: It's going to live in my
17	more documents.	17	computer forever.
18	(Document displayed.)	18	MR. STOKESBARY: Okay. Sorry to interrupt.
19	Q I'll give you a chance to look at this.	19	MS. LEEPER: Thank you. And I'm not
20	Mel, have you had a chance to review this?	20	marking these as exhibits, so just so you know.
21	A Yeah. Again, it's the requests for information; is	21	MR. STOKESBARY: Okay. Thanks.
22	that right? I'm assuming.	22	Q (By Ms. Leeper) Okay. Mr. Campos, Mel, have you had
23	Q Yes. Do you remember seeing this document before?	23	an opportunity to review this document?
24	A Oh, I'm assuming it's in the documentation that I've	24	A Yeah, I'm assuming again it's part of the process, and I think I remember responding either I agree or I have
25	been receiving for this process.	25	and I timik I temember responding ettier I agree of I have
	Page 87		- 00
	rage or		Page 88
1	nothing to add, or something like that to these responses.	1	Page 88 A No, I don't know that I do. I mean, what I'm saying
1 2		1 2	
	nothing to add, or something like that to these responses.		A No, I don't know that I do. I mean, what I'm saying
2	nothing to add, or something like that to these responses. Q Okay. So I'm going to switch gears just for a quick	2	A No, I don't know that I do. I mean, what I'm saying is I looked for emails because of again, that request for
2	nothing to add, or something like that to these responses. Q Okay. So I'm going to switch gears just for a quick second and ask you, you've talked about communications	2 3	A No, I don't know that I do. I mean, what I'm saying is I looked for emails because of again, that request for whatever, a request for emails, you know, on this topic;
2 3 4	nothing to add, or something like that to these responses. Q Okay. So I'm going to switch gears just for a quick second and ask you, you've talked about communications that you might have had over text or email with people, including Jim Troyer, about running or considering running in Legislative District 15; is that correct?	2 3 4 5 6	A No, I don't know that I do. I mean, what I'm saying is I looked for emails because of again, that request for whatever, a request for emails, you know, on this topic; and so I didn't find anything that I remember. And again, as far as the running for the position, I didn't think that was relevant to this, so I, you know,
2 3 4 5	nothing to add, or something like that to these responses. Q Okay. So I'm going to switch gears just for a quick second and ask you, you've talked about communications that you might have had over text or email with people, including Jim Troyer, about running or considering running in Legislative District 15; is that correct? A Right.	2 3 4 5	A No, I don't know that I do. I mean, what I'm saying is I looked for emails because of again, that request for whatever, a request for emails, you know, on this topic; and so I didn't find anything that I remember. And again, as far as the running for the position, I didn't think that was relevant to this, so I, you know, probably just scrolled right past.
2 3 4 5 6	nothing to add, or something like that to these responses. Q Okay. So I'm going to switch gears just for a quick second and ask you, you've talked about communications that you might have had over text or email with people, including Jim Troyer, about running or considering running in Legislative District 15; is that correct? A Right. Q And do you still have those emails about running or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A No, I don't know that I do. I mean, what I'm saying is I looked for emails because of again, that request for whatever, a request for emails, you know, on this topic; and so I didn't find anything that I remember. And again, as far as the running for the position, I didn't think that was relevant to this, so I, you know, probably just scrolled right past. Q Got it. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	nothing to add, or something like that to these responses. Q Okay. So I'm going to switch gears just for a quick second and ask you, you've talked about communications that you might have had over text or email with people, including Jim Troyer, about running or considering running in Legislative District 15; is that correct? A Right. Q And do you still have those emails about running or potentially thinking about running in Legislative District	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A No, I don't know that I do. I mean, what I'm saying is I looked for emails because of again, that request for whatever, a request for emails, you know, on this topic; and so I didn't find anything that I remember. And again, as far as the running for the position, I didn't think that was relevant to this, so I, you know, probably just scrolled right past. Q Got it. Okay. MS. LEEPER: Well, if we could go off the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	nothing to add, or something like that to these responses. Q Okay. So I'm going to switch gears just for a quick second and ask you, you've talked about communications that you might have had over text or email with people, including Jim Troyer, about running or considering running in Legislative District 15; is that correct? A Right. Q And do you still have those emails about running or potentially thinking about running in Legislative District 15?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A No, I don't know that I do. I mean, what I'm saying is I looked for emails because of again, that request for whatever, a request for emails, you know, on this topic; and so I didn't find anything that I remember. And again, as far as the running for the position, I didn't think that was relevant to this, so I, you know, probably just scrolled right past. Q Got it. Okay. MS. LEEPER: Well, if we could go off the record and take maybe a five-minute break, coming back at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	nothing to add, or something like that to these responses. Q Okay. So I'm going to switch gears just for a quick second and ask you, you've talked about communications that you might have had over text or email with people, including Jim Troyer, about running or considering running in Legislative District 15; is that correct? A Right. Q And do you still have those emails about running or potentially thinking about running in Legislative District 15? A I don't necessarily know that there's emails. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A No, I don't know that I do. I mean, what I'm saying is I looked for emails because of again, that request for whatever, a request for emails, you know, on this topic; and so I didn't find anything that I remember. And again, as far as the running for the position, I didn't think that was relevant to this, so I, you know, probably just scrolled right past. Q Got it. Okay. MS. LEEPER: Well, if we could go off the record and take maybe a five-minute break, coming back at 12:05, does that work for everyone?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	nothing to add, or something like that to these responses. Q Okay. So I'm going to switch gears just for a quick second and ask you, you've talked about communications that you might have had over text or email with people, including Jim Troyer, about running or considering running in Legislative District 15; is that correct? A Right. Q And do you still have those emails about running or potentially thinking about running in Legislative District 15? A I don't necessarily know that there's emails. I know that I talked with Jim about the possibility about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A No, I don't know that I do. I mean, what I'm saying is I looked for emails because of again, that request for whatever, a request for emails, you know, on this topic; and so I didn't find anything that I remember. And again, as far as the running for the position, I didn't think that was relevant to this, so I, you know, probably just scrolled right past. Q Got it. Okay. MS. LEEPER: Well, if we could go off the record and take maybe a five-minute break, coming back at 12:05, does that work for everyone? MR. STOKESBARY: Yeah, that's fine with me.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	nothing to add, or something like that to these responses. Q Okay. So I'm going to switch gears just for a quick second and ask you, you've talked about communications that you might have had over text or email with people, including Jim Troyer, about running or considering running in Legislative District 15; is that correct? A Right. Q And do you still have those emails about running or potentially thinking about running in Legislative District 15? A I don't necessarily know that there's emails. I know that I talked with Jim about the possibility about it. You know, I'd have to go back and look.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A No, I don't know that I do. I mean, what I'm saying is I looked for emails because of again, that request for whatever, a request for emails, you know, on this topic; and so I didn't find anything that I remember. And again, as far as the running for the position, I didn't think that was relevant to this, so I, you know, probably just scrolled right past. Q Got it. Okay. MS. LEEPER: Well, if we could go off the record and take maybe a five-minute break, coming back at 12:05, does that work for everyone? MR. STOKESBARY: Yeah, that's fine with me. Mel, is that okay with you?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	nothing to add, or something like that to these responses. Q Okay. So I'm going to switch gears just for a quick second and ask you, you've talked about communications that you might have had over text or email with people, including Jim Troyer, about running or considering running in Legislative District 15; is that correct? A Right. Q And do you still have those emails about running or potentially thinking about running in Legislative District 15? A I don't necessarily know that there's emails. I know that I talked with Jim about the possibility about it. You know, I'd have to go back and look. I was looking specifically for, you know, this issue	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A No, I don't know that I do. I mean, what I'm saying is I looked for emails because of again, that request for whatever, a request for emails, you know, on this topic; and so I didn't find anything that I remember. And again, as far as the running for the position, I didn't think that was relevant to this, so I, you know, probably just scrolled right past. Q Got it. Okay. MS. LEEPER: Well, if we could go off the record and take maybe a five-minute break, coming back at 12:05, does that work for everyone? MR. STOKESBARY: Yeah, that's fine with me. Mel, is that okay with you? THE WITNESS: Yeah. Yeah, I'm okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	nothing to add, or something like that to these responses. Q Okay. So I'm going to switch gears just for a quick second and ask you, you've talked about communications that you might have had over text or email with people, including Jim Troyer, about running or considering running in Legislative District 15; is that correct? A Right. Q And do you still have those emails about running or potentially thinking about running in Legislative District 15? A I don't necessarily know that there's emails. I know that I talked with Jim about the possibility about it. You know, I'd have to go back and look. I was looking specifically for, you know, this issue as far as my communications with Jim. I wasn't looking	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A No, I don't know that I do. I mean, what I'm saying is I looked for emails because of again, that request for whatever, a request for emails, you know, on this topic; and so I didn't find anything that I remember. And again, as far as the running for the position, I didn't think that was relevant to this, so I, you know, probably just scrolled right past. Q Got it. Okay. MS. LEEPER: Well, if we could go off the record and take maybe a five-minute break, coming back at 12:05, does that work for everyone? MR. STOKESBARY: Yeah, that's fine with me. Mel, is that okay with you? THE WITNESS: Yeah. Yeah, I'm okay. MS. LEEPER: Okay. I'll see you all
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	nothing to add, or something like that to these responses. Q Okay. So I'm going to switch gears just for a quick second and ask you, you've talked about communications that you might have had over text or email with people, including Jim Troyer, about running or considering running in Legislative District 15; is that correct? A Right. Q And do you still have those emails about running or potentially thinking about running in Legislative District 15? A I don't necessarily know that there's emails. I know that I talked with Jim about the possibility about it. You know, I'd have to go back and look. I was looking specifically for, you know, this issue as far as my communications with Jim. I wasn't looking for our normal requests for candidates and, you know,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A No, I don't know that I do. I mean, what I'm saying is I looked for emails because of again, that request for whatever, a request for emails, you know, on this topic; and so I didn't find anything that I remember. And again, as far as the running for the position, I didn't think that was relevant to this, so I, you know, probably just scrolled right past. Q Got it. Okay. MS. LEEPER: Well, if we could go off the record and take maybe a five-minute break, coming back at 12:05, does that work for everyone? MR. STOKESBARY: Yeah, that's fine with me. Mel, is that okay with you? THE WITNESS: Yeah. Yeah, I'm okay. MS. LEEPER: Okay. I'll see you all shortly.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	nothing to add, or something like that to these responses. Q Okay. So I'm going to switch gears just for a quick second and ask you, you've talked about communications that you might have had over text or email with people, including Jim Troyer, about running or considering running in Legislative District 15; is that correct? A Right. Q And do you still have those emails about running or potentially thinking about running in Legislative District 15? A I don't necessarily know that there's emails. I know that I talked with Jim about the possibility about it. You know, I'd have to go back and look. I was looking specifically for, you know, this issue as far as my communications with Jim. I wasn't looking for our normal requests for candidates and, you know, whether I was going to run or not or that kind of thing,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A No, I don't know that I do. I mean, what I'm saying is I looked for emails because of again, that request for whatever, a request for emails, you know, on this topic; and so I didn't find anything that I remember. And again, as far as the running for the position, I didn't think that was relevant to this, so I, you know, probably just scrolled right past. Q Got it. Okay. MS. LEEPER: Well, if we could go off the record and take maybe a five-minute break, coming back at 12:05, does that work for everyone? MR. STOKESBARY: Yeah, that's fine with me. Mel, is that okay with you? THE WITNESS: Yeah. Yeah, I'm okay. MS. LEEPER: Okay. I'll see you all shortly. (Break 11:58 a.m. to 12:06 p.m.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	nothing to add, or something like that to these responses. Q Okay. So I'm going to switch gears just for a quick second and ask you, you've talked about communications that you might have had over text or email with people, including Jim Troyer, about running or considering running in Legislative District 15; is that correct? A Right. Q And do you still have those emails about running or potentially thinking about running in Legislative District 15? A I don't necessarily know that there's emails. I know that I talked with Jim about the possibility about it. You know, I'd have to go back and look. I was looking specifically for, you know, this issue as far as my communications with Jim. I wasn't looking for our normal requests for candidates and, you know, whether I was going to run or not or that kind of thing, reasons why I'm not running, stuff like that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A No, I don't know that I do. I mean, what I'm saying is I looked for emails because of again, that request for whatever, a request for emails, you know, on this topic; and so I didn't find anything that I remember. And again, as far as the running for the position, I didn't think that was relevant to this, so I, you know, probably just scrolled right past. Q Got it. Okay. MS. LEEPER: Well, if we could go off the record and take maybe a five-minute break, coming back at 12:05, does that work for everyone? MR. STOKESBARY: Yeah, that's fine with me. Mel, is that okay with you? THE WITNESS: Yeah. Yeah, I'm okay. MS. LEEPER: Okay. I'll see you all shortly. (Break 11:58 a.m. to 12:06 p.m.) MS. LEEPER: Okay. I think we're ready to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	nothing to add, or something like that to these responses. Q Okay. So I'm going to switch gears just for a quick second and ask you, you've talked about communications that you might have had over text or email with people, including Jim Troyer, about running or considering running in Legislative District 15; is that correct? A Right. Q And do you still have those emails about running or potentially thinking about running in Legislative District 15? A I don't necessarily know that there's emails. I know that I talked with Jim about the possibility about it. You know, I'd have to go back and look. I was looking specifically for, you know, this issue as far as my communications with Jim. I wasn't looking for our normal requests for candidates and, you know, whether I was going to run or not or that kind of thing, reasons why I'm not running, stuff like that. Q Okay. And you said you're not sure if there's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A No, I don't know that I do. I mean, what I'm saying is I looked for emails because of again, that request for whatever, a request for emails, you know, on this topic; and so I didn't find anything that I remember. And again, as far as the running for the position, I didn't think that was relevant to this, so I, you know, probably just scrolled right past. Q Got it. Okay. MS. LEEPER: Well, if we could go off the record and take maybe a five-minute break, coming back at 12:05, does that work for everyone? MR. STOKESBARY: Yeah, that's fine with me. Mel, is that okay with you? THE WITNESS: Yeah. Yeah, I'm okay. MS. LEEPER: Okay. I'll see you all shortly. (Break 11:58 a.m. to 12:06 p.m.) MS. LEEPER: Okay. I think we're ready to go back on the record.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	nothing to add, or something like that to these responses. Q Okay. So I'm going to switch gears just for a quick second and ask you, you've talked about communications that you might have had over text or email with people, including Jim Troyer, about running or considering running in Legislative District 15; is that correct? A Right. Q And do you still have those emails about running or potentially thinking about running in Legislative District 15? A I don't necessarily know that there's emails. I know that I talked with Jim about the possibility about it. You know, I'd have to go back and look. I was looking specifically for, you know, this issue as far as my communications with Jim. I wasn't looking for our normal requests for candidates and, you know, whether I was going to run or not or that kind of thing, reasons why I'm not running, stuff like that. Q Okay. And you said you're not sure if there's emails. Might there Do you know if there are text	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A No, I don't know that I do. I mean, what I'm saying is I looked for emails because of again, that request for whatever, a request for emails, you know, on this topic; and so I didn't find anything that I remember. And again, as far as the running for the position, I didn't think that was relevant to this, so I, you know, probably just scrolled right past. Q Got it. Okay. MS. LEEPER: Well, if we could go off the record and take maybe a five-minute break, coming back at 12:05, does that work for everyone? MR. STOKESBARY: Yeah, that's fine with me. Mel, is that okay with you? THE WITNESS: Yeah. Yeah, I'm okay. MS. LEEPER: Okay. I'll see you all shortly. (Break 11:58 a.m. to 12:06 p.m.) MS. LEEPER: Okay. I think we're ready to go back on the record. Q (By Ms. Leeper) Just a few more questions for you,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	nothing to add, or something like that to these responses. Q Okay. So I'm going to switch gears just for a quick second and ask you, you've talked about communications that you might have had over text or email with people, including Jim Troyer, about running or considering running in Legislative District 15; is that correct? A Right. Q And do you still have those emails about running or potentially thinking about running in Legislative District 15? A I don't necessarily know that there's emails. I know that I talked with Jim about the possibility about it. You know, I'd have to go back and look. I was looking specifically for, you know, this issue as far as my communications with Jim. I wasn't looking for our normal requests for candidates and, you know, whether I was going to run or not or that kind of thing, reasons why I'm not running, stuff like that. Q Okay. And you said you're not sure if there's emails. Might there — Do you know if there are text messages about that discussion?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A No, I don't know that I do. I mean, what I'm saying is I looked for emails because of again, that request for whatever, a request for emails, you know, on this topic; and so I didn't find anything that I remember. And again, as far as the running for the position, I didn't think that was relevant to this, so I, you know, probably just scrolled right past. Q Got it. Okay. MS. LEEPER: Well, if we could go off the record and take maybe a five-minute break, coming back at 12:05, does that work for everyone? MR. STOKESBARY: Yeah, that's fine with me. Mel, is that okay with you? THE WITNESS: Yeah. Yeah, I'm okay. MS. LEEPER: Okay. I'll see you all shortly. (Break 11:58 a.m. to 12:06 p.m.) MS. LEEPER: Okay. I think we're ready to go back on the record. Q (By Ms. Leeper) Just a few more questions for you, Mel, and then we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	nothing to add, or something like that to these responses. Q Okay. So I'm going to switch gears just for a quick second and ask you, you've talked about communications that you might have had over text or email with people, including Jim Troyer, about running or considering running in Legislative District 15; is that correct? A Right. Q And do you still have those emails about running or potentially thinking about running in Legislative District 15? A I don't necessarily know that there's emails. I know that I talked with Jim about the possibility about it. You know, I'd have to go back and look. I was looking specifically for, you know, this issue as far as my communications with Jim. I wasn't looking for our normal requests for candidates and, you know, whether I was going to run or not or that kind of thing, reasons why I'm not running, stuff like that. Q Okay. And you said you're not sure if there's emails. Might there — Do you know if there are text messages about that discussion? A Well, that's what I meant. I don't think I've ever	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A No, I don't know that I do. I mean, what I'm saying is I looked for emails because of again, that request for whatever, a request for emails, you know, on this topic; and so I didn't find anything that I remember. And again, as far as the running for the position, I didn't think that was relevant to this, so I, you know, probably just scrolled right past. Q Got it. Okay. MS. LEEPER: Well, if we could go off the record and take maybe a five-minute break, coming back at 12:05, does that work for everyone? MR. STOKESBARY: Yeah, that's fine with me. Mel, is that okay with you? THE WITNESS: Yeah. Yeah, I'm okay. MS. LEEPER: Okay. I'll see you all shortly. (Break 11:58 a.m. to 12:06 p.m.) MS. LEEPER: Okay. I think we're ready to go back on the record. Q (By Ms. Leeper) Just a few more questions for you, Mel, and then we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	nothing to add, or something like that to these responses. Q Okay. So I'm going to switch gears just for a quick second and ask you, you've talked about communications that you might have had over text or email with people, including Jim Troyer, about running or considering running in Legislative District 15; is that correct? A Right. Q And do you still have those emails about running or potentially thinking about running in Legislative District 15? A I don't necessarily know that there's emails. I know that I talked with Jim about the possibility about it. You know, I'd have to go back and look. I was looking specifically for, you know, this issue as far as my communications with Jim. I wasn't looking for our normal requests for candidates and, you know, whether I was going to run or not or that kind of thing, reasons why I'm not running, stuff like that. Q Okay. And you said you're not sure if there's emails. Might there — Do you know if there are text messages about that discussion? A Well, that's what I meant. I don't think I've ever emailed Jim that I remember. I meant the text messages.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A No, I don't know that I do. I mean, what I'm saying is I looked for emails because of again, that request for whatever, a request for emails, you know, on this topic; and so I didn't find anything that I remember. And again, as far as the running for the position, I didn't think that was relevant to this, so I, you know, probably just scrolled right past. Q Got it. Okay. MS. LEEPER: Well, if we could go off the record and take maybe a five-minute break, coming back at 12:05, does that work for everyone? MR. STOKESBARY: Yeah, that's fine with me. Mel, is that okay with you? THE WITNESS: Yeah. Yeah, I'm okay. MS. LEEPER: Okay. I'll see you all shortly. (Break 11:58 a.m. to 12:06 p.m.) MS. LEEPER: Okay. I think we're ready to go back on the record. Q (By Ms. Leeper) Just a few more questions for you, Mel, and then we A Okay. Q will be wrapping up from my end of things.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	nothing to add, or something like that to these responses. Q Okay. So I'm going to switch gears just for a quick second and ask you, you've talked about communications that you might have had over text or email with people, including Jim Troyer, about running or considering running in Legislative District 15; is that correct? A Right. Q And do you still have those emails about running or potentially thinking about running in Legislative District 15? A I don't necessarily know that there's emails. I know that I talked with Jim about the possibility about it. You know, I'd have to go back and look. I was looking specifically for, you know, this issue as far as my communications with Jim. I wasn't looking for our normal requests for candidates and, you know, whether I was going to run or not or that kind of thing, reasons why I'm not running, stuff like that. Q Okay. And you said you're not sure if there's emails. Might there — Do you know if there are text messages about that discussion? A Well, that's what I meant. I don't think I've ever	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A No, I don't know that I do. I mean, what I'm saying is I looked for emails because of again, that request for whatever, a request for emails, you know, on this topic; and so I didn't find anything that I remember. And again, as far as the running for the position, I didn't think that was relevant to this, so I, you know, probably just scrolled right past. Q Got it. Okay. MS. LEEPER: Well, if we could go off the record and take maybe a five-minute break, coming back at 12:05, does that work for everyone? MR. STOKESBARY: Yeah, that's fine with me. Mel, is that okay with you? THE WITNESS: Yeah. Yeah, I'm okay. MS. LEEPER: Okay. I'll see you all shortly. (Break 11:58 a.m. to 12:06 p.m.) MS. LEEPER: Okay. I think we're ready to go back on the record. Q (By Ms. Leeper) Just a few more questions for you, Mel, and then we

22 (Pages 85 to 88)

Soto Palmer, et al. v. Hobbs, et al. Lakeside Reporting (833) 365-3376

October 13, 2022

Page 89 Page 90 1 communications or documents for our requests. 1 text messages, was that just your scroll search? 2 So breaking it down into pieces, you mentioned that 2 A Right. Yeah, as far back as I could go. 3 for communications with your brother, Paul Campos, you had 3 Q And you had said that you did not think that that 4 texted or called him to ask whether or not there were any 4 scroll search went back through, you know, the beginning 5 relevant communications that you would have had; is that 5 of 2021; correct? 6 correct? 6 A No, it was since I got involved with this. Maybe 7 A Yes. 7 before when -- Again, like with Jim, just to make sure 8 8 Q And he responded that there were not any relevant that, you know, I didn't know then this thing started, so 9 communications; is that right? 9 just go back further and make sure I'm covered for this 10 10 timeframe. 11 Q Outside of that did you actually go through text 11 Okay. And you --12 messages with your brother to look for those 12 A That goes back to --13 communications? 13 Q And talking about Jim, did you do the same scroll 14 A Yeah. That's why I called him and asked him, "Hey, 14 search for your texts with Jim Troyer? 15 did we ever discuss this? Because I'm becoming part of 15 16 this." And so I mean, I went through and searched, didn't 16 And that was also going back to the beginning of 17 find anything; but just to make sure I'm covering it 17 your involvement in this matter; is that correct? 18 because it seemed pretty important, so I said, "Hey, Paul, 18 A Yeah, probably even before just to, you know, my own 19 did we ever discuss this, or did you send me any paperwork 19 20 concerning this?" And he goes pretty much, "No, I don't 20 But not all the way back to January of 2021? discuss, you know, the interworkings of my position," or I didn't think it was necessary, but yeah. As far 21 21 22 something along that line, but no. "And if you're going 22 as I know, I didn't. There's not that many text messages. 23 to be a part of this, best that we not discuss that any 23 It's not like we text messaged, you know, once a month or 2.4 further." And I'm like, "Okay." 2.4 whatever. 25 Q Okay. And when you say you searched through the 25 I mean, I scrolled, but even once a month is Page 91 Page 92 1 1 probably too much for text messages between me and Paul the record that I think it's pretty clear that the search 2 2 and -- you know, or me and Jim, so -only went back to the beginning of this year, maybe a few 3 3 Q Okay. months prior, and the Requests for Production are for 4 MS. LEEPER: I think that's the end of the 4 communications throughout the entire period of 5 5 questions that I have for you, Mel. Thank you for your redistricting; and so that would require a more thorough 6 6 time today and for answering all of my questions. search, both of the text messages and also of the emails, 7 7 I do want to before I give up my time sort of make any other form of communication that Mel was using during 8 8 like a comment on the record. Drew, that I feel it's that time period. 9 pretty clear that Mel hasn't conducted a full search and a 9 That's it from us. I don't know, Andrew, if the 10 thorough search responsive to our document requests and, 10 state has any questions. 11 you know, Requests for Production, and so I was wondering 11 Thank you again for your time, Mel. 12 12 if you would commit on the record to working with him to THE WITNESS: You're welcome. 13 13 MR. HUGHES: Nothing from the state. Thank conduct that thorough and full search. 14 MR. STOKESBARY: I'm not sure I share your 14 you. 15 15 contention, Simone. I mean, it sounds like he conducted a Nothing from the state. Thanks. 16 16 search of his -- It sounds like he conducted more than Thank you, Mr. Campos. 17 17 what was necessary. THE WITNESS: You're very welcome. Glad to 18 It sounds like with respect to communications with 18 help. 19 his brother Paul he did a search on his own, and then he 19 MS. LEEPER: Thank you. 20 followed up with another conversation just to verify that 20 MR. STOKESBARY: Mel, I would like to ask 21 he wasn't missing something. So I'm not sure I share your 21 you just a couple of questions, if that's okay. 22 contention; but that said, we will be glad to make sure 22 THE WITNESS: Definitely. 23 that we have fully complied with any Requests for 23 EXAMINATION

23 (Pages 89 to 92)

Soto Palmer, et al. v. Hobbs, et al. Lakeside Reporting (833) 365-3376

MS. LEEPER: Yeah, I'll just follow up for

24

25

BY MR. STOKESBARY:

Q So just kind of three general questions a little --

24

25

October 13, 2022

	Page 93		Page 94
1	they've got a few subparts.	1	of the same community as the Yakama Reservation?
2	Earlier on Simone was asking you about, you know,	2	A Probably not. The Yakama Reservation, it has
3	you growing up in the area where you've lived, and you	3	stronger ties with Yakima, the City of Yakima, and not so
4	mentioned that you've lived in various parts of Central	4	much with the Tri-Cities.
5	and Southeast Washington; do you remember that?	5	Q Okay. Thanks, Mel. Moving on.
6	A Yes.	6	This is always hard for me as the white guy, so I
7	Q And you live in Kennewick now; correct?	7	want to be respectful of the terms that you prefer, Mel.
8	A Correct.	8	You identified yourself as Mexican.
9	Q And Kennewick is part of the Tri-Cities. Does it	9	Fair to say that's a subset of Hispanic or Latino,
10	feel	10	so you would also consider yourself Hispanic or Latino;
11	A Yes.	11	correct?
12	Q like the Tri-Cities are kind of a single	12	A Correct.
13	community?	13	Q And you said that you're also a Republican?
14	A Well, definitely. You know, it's why they call it	14	A Correct.
15	Tri-Cities, yes.	15	Q Do you know many other folks in your community that
16	Q What about Yakima, does it feel like Pasco or	16	are Republicans who are also Hispanic or Latino?
17	Kennewick or Richland is part of the same community as	17	A Yes.
18	Yakima?	18	Q So you mentioned a few times about, you know, this
19	A I don't think so, not as close as the Tri-Cities,	19	presumption perhaps in the media that Hispanics tend to be
20	although I mean, I have a strong relationship with again,	20	Democrats. In your own experience do you agree with that
21	the Yakima sister city. My family lives in Yakima. But	21	assumption?
22	no, they're pretty much separate communities.	22	A Yeah. Yes. I'm sorry.
23	Q Okay. And what about the Yakama Reservation? You	23	Q Okay. Oh, I'm sorry?
24	mentioned that you went to White Swan High School.	24	A Yes.
25	Does the Tri-Cities, do they feel like they're part	25	Q Do you have any sense whether Hispanics or Latinos
	Page 95		Page 96
	1 4 3 6 7 6		
1	in your area are becoming more Democrat overall or more	1	
1 2	in your area are becoming more Democrat overall or more Republican overall or if it's kind of fixed?	1 2	analysis as you do when it comes to those kinds of
2	Republican overall, or if it's kind of fixed?	2	analysis as you do when it comes to those kinds of choices?
2	Republican overall, or if it's kind of fixed? A I think the trend is switching to Republican.	2	analysis as you do when it comes to those kinds of choices? MS. LEEPER: I'm going to object to form
2 3 4	Republican overall, or if it's kind of fixed? A I think the trend is switching to Republican. Conservative, I should say.	2 3 4	analysis as you do when it comes to those kinds of choices? MS. LEEPER: I'm going to object to form again.
2 3 4 5	Republican overall, or if it's kind of fixed? A I think the trend is switching to Republican. Conservative, I should say. Q Okay. And then a last little set of questions.	2 3 4 5	analysis as you do when it comes to those kinds of choices? MS. LEEPER: I'm going to object to form again. A Again Sorry.
2 3 4 5 6	Republican overall, or if it's kind of fixed? A I think the trend is switching to Republican. Conservative, I should say. Q Okay. And then a last little set of questions. Earlier in response to something Simone asked you talked	2 3 4 5 6	analysis as you do when it comes to those kinds of choices? MS. LEEPER: I'm going to object to form again. A Again Sorry. (Court reporter request to repeat answer.)
2 3 4 5	Republican overall, or if it's kind of fixed? A I think the trend is switching to Republican. Conservative, I should say. Q Okay. And then a last little set of questions. Earlier in response to something Simone asked you talked about talking with Jim Troyer looking for Hispanic	2 3 4 5 6 7	analysis as you do when it comes to those kinds of choices? MS. LEEPER: I'm going to object to form again. A Again Sorry. (Court reporter request to repeat answer.) A Oh, okay. So yeah, I would hope that they would
2 3 4 5 6 7	Republican overall, or if it's kind of fixed? A I think the trend is switching to Republican. Conservative, I should say. Q Okay. And then a last little set of questions. Earlier in response to something Simone asked you talked about talking with Jim Troyer looking for Hispanic candidates for office, and you said that you want more	2 3 4 5 6	analysis as you do when it comes to those kinds of choices? MS. LEEPER: I'm going to object to form again. A Again Sorry. (Court reporter request to repeat answer.) A Oh, okay. So yeah, I would hope that they would vote their values.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Republican overall, or if it's kind of fixed? A I think the trend is switching to Republican. Conservative, I should say. Q Okay. And then a last little set of questions. Earlier in response to something Simone asked you talked about talking with Jim Troyer looking for Hispanic candidates for office, and you said that you want more Hispanics elected so there's more representation. I want	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	analysis as you do when it comes to those kinds of choices? MS. LEEPER: I'm going to object to form again. A Again Sorry. (Court reporter request to repeat answer.) A Oh, okay. So yeah, I would hope that they would vote their values. MR. STOKESBARY: Okay. That's all I have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Republican overall, or if it's kind of fixed? A I think the trend is switching to Republican. Conservative, I should say. Q Okay. And then a last little set of questions. Earlier in response to something Simone asked you talked about talking with Jim Troyer looking for Hispanic candidates for office, and you said that you want more	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	analysis as you do when it comes to those kinds of choices? MS. LEEPER: I'm going to object to form again. A Again Sorry. (Court reporter request to repeat answer.) A Oh, okay. So yeah, I would hope that they would vote their values.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Republican overall, or if it's kind of fixed? A I think the trend is switching to Republican. Conservative, I should say. Q Okay. And then a last little set of questions. Earlier in response to something Simone asked you talked about talking with Jim Troyer looking for Hispanic candidates for office, and you said that you want more Hispanics elected so there's more representation. I want to ask a followup question about that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	analysis as you do when it comes to those kinds of choices? MS. LEEPER: I'm going to object to form again. A Again Sorry. (Court reporter request to repeat answer.) A Oh, okay. So yeah, I would hope that they would vote their values. MR. STOKESBARY: Okay. That's all I have for you, Mel. Thanks so much.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Republican overall, or if it's kind of fixed? A I think the trend is switching to Republican. Conservative, I should say. Q Okay. And then a last little set of questions. Earlier in response to something Simone asked you talked about talking with Jim Troyer looking for Hispanic candidates for office, and you said that you want more Hispanics elected so there's more representation. I want to ask a followup question about that. If you're filling out your own ballot and there was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	analysis as you do when it comes to those kinds of choices? MS. LEEPER: I'm going to object to form again. A Again Sorry. (Court reporter request to repeat answer.) A Oh, okay. So yeah, I would hope that they would vote their values. MR. STOKESBARY: Okay. That's all I have for you, Mel. Thanks so much. THE WITNESS: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Republican overall, or if it's kind of fixed? A I think the trend is switching to Republican. Conservative, I should say. Q Okay. And then a last little set of questions. Earlier in response to something Simone asked you talked about talking with Jim Troyer looking for Hispanic candidates for office, and you said that you want more Hispanics elected so there's more representation. I want to ask a followup question about that. If you're filling out your own ballot and there was two candidates that were on the ballot, one was a Latino	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	analysis as you do when it comes to those kinds of choices? MS. LEEPER: I'm going to object to form again. A Again Sorry. (Court reporter request to repeat answer.) A Oh, okay. So yeah, I would hope that they would vote their values. MR. STOKESBARY: Okay. That's all I have for you, Mel. Thanks so much. THE WITNESS: Thank you. MS. LEEPER: Okay. I think that is all. I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Republican overall, or if it's kind of fixed? A I think the trend is switching to Republican. Conservative, I should say. Q Okay. And then a last little set of questions. Earlier in response to something Simone asked you talked about talking with Jim Troyer looking for Hispanic candidates for office, and you said that you want more Hispanics elected so there's more representation. I want to ask a followup question about that. If you're filling out your own ballot and there was two candidates that were on the ballot, one was a Latino or Hispanic who was a Democrat, and the other is a white	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	analysis as you do when it comes to those kinds of choices? MS. LEEPER: I'm going to object to form again. A Again Sorry. (Court reporter request to repeat answer.) A Oh, okay. So yeah, I would hope that they would vote their values. MR. STOKESBARY: Okay. That's all I have for you, Mel. Thanks so much. THE WITNESS: Thank you. MS. LEEPER: Okay. I think that is all. I think we can just hop off the record; is that right,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Republican overall, or if it's kind of fixed? A I think the trend is switching to Republican. Conservative, I should say. Q Okay. And then a last little set of questions. Earlier in response to something Simone asked you talked about talking with Jim Troyer looking for Hispanic candidates for office, and you said that you want more Hispanics elected so there's more representation. I want to ask a followup question about that. If you're filling out your own ballot and there was two candidates that were on the ballot, one was a Latino or Hispanic who was a Democrat, and the other is a white non-Hispanic who was a Republican or conservative, without	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	analysis as you do when it comes to those kinds of choices? MS. LEEPER: I'm going to object to form again. A Again Sorry. (Court reporter request to repeat answer.) A Oh, okay. So yeah, I would hope that they would vote their values. MR. STOKESBARY: Okay. That's all I have for you, Mel. Thanks so much. THE WITNESS: Thank you. MS. LEEPER: Okay. I think that is all. I think we can just hop off the record; is that right, Jeanne?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Republican overall, or if it's kind of fixed? A I think the trend is switching to Republican. Conservative, I should say. Q Okay. And then a last little set of questions. Earlier in response to something Simone asked you talked about talking with Jim Troyer looking for Hispanic candidates for office, and you said that you want more Hispanics elected so there's more representation. I want to ask a followup question about that. If you're filling out your own ballot and there was two candidates that were on the ballot, one was a Latino or Hispanic who was a Democrat, and the other is a white non-Hispanic who was a Republican or conservative, without knowing anything else which of those two are you more	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	analysis as you do when it comes to those kinds of choices? MS. LEEPER: I'm going to object to form again. A Again Sorry. (Court reporter request to repeat answer.) A Oh, okay. So yeah, I would hope that they would vote their values. MR. STOKESBARY: Okay. That's all I have for you, Mel. Thanks so much. THE WITNESS: Thank you. MS. LEEPER: Okay. I think that is all. I think we can just hop off the record; is that right, Jeanne? (Discussion re reviewing transcript.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Republican overall, or if it's kind of fixed? A I think the trend is switching to Republican. Conservative, I should say. Q Okay. And then a last little set of questions. Earlier in response to something Simone asked you talked about talking with Jim Troyer looking for Hispanic candidates for office, and you said that you want more Hispanics elected so there's more representation. I want to ask a followup question about that. If you're filling out your own ballot and there was two candidates that were on the ballot, one was a Latino or Hispanic who was a Democrat, and the other is a white non-Hispanic who was a Republican or conservative, without knowing anything else which of those two are you more likely to choose to vote for?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	analysis as you do when it comes to those kinds of choices? MS. LEEPER: I'm going to object to form again. A Again Sorry. (Court reporter request to repeat answer.) A Oh, okay. So yeah, I would hope that they would vote their values. MR. STOKESBARY: Okay. That's all I have for you, Mel. Thanks so much. THE WITNESS: Thank you. MS. LEEPER: Okay. I think that is all. I think we can just hop off the record; is that right, Jeanne? (Discussion re reviewing transcript.) (Deposition concluded at 12:29 p.m.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Republican overall, or if it's kind of fixed? A I think the trend is switching to Republican. Conservative, I should say. Q Okay. And then a last little set of questions. Earlier in response to something Simone asked you talked about talking with Jim Troyer looking for Hispanic candidates for office, and you said that you want more Hispanics elected so there's more representation. I want to ask a followup question about that. If you're filling out your own ballot and there was two candidates that were on the ballot, one was a Latino or Hispanic who was a Democrat, and the other is a white non-Hispanic who was a Republican or conservative, without knowing anything else which of those two are you more likely to choose to vote for? A Definitely the conservative.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	analysis as you do when it comes to those kinds of choices? MS. LEEPER: I'm going to object to form again. A Again Sorry. (Court reporter request to repeat answer.) A Oh, okay. So yeah, I would hope that they would vote their values. MR. STOKESBARY: Okay. That's all I have for you, Mel. Thanks so much. THE WITNESS: Thank you. MS. LEEPER: Okay. I think that is all. I think we can just hop off the record; is that right, Jeanne? (Discussion re reviewing transcript.) (Deposition concluded at 12:29 p.m.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Republican overall, or if it's kind of fixed? A I think the trend is switching to Republican. Conservative, I should say. Q Okay. And then a last little set of questions. Earlier in response to something Simone asked you talked about talking with Jim Troyer looking for Hispanic candidates for office, and you said that you want more Hispanics elected so there's more representation. I want to ask a followup question about that. If you're filling out your own ballot and there was two candidates that were on the ballot, one was a Latino or Hispanic who was a Democrat, and the other is a white non-Hispanic who was a Republican or conservative, without knowing anything else which of those two are you more likely to choose to vote for? A Definitely the conservative. Q Do you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	analysis as you do when it comes to those kinds of choices? MS. LEEPER: I'm going to object to form again. A Again Sorry. (Court reporter request to repeat answer.) A Oh, okay. So yeah, I would hope that they would vote their values. MR. STOKESBARY: Okay. That's all I have for you, Mel. Thanks so much. THE WITNESS: Thank you. MS. LEEPER: Okay. I think that is all. I think we can just hop off the record; is that right, Jeanne? (Discussion re reviewing transcript.) (Deposition concluded at 12:29 p.m.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Republican overall, or if it's kind of fixed? A I think the trend is switching to Republican. Conservative, I should say. Q Okay. And then a last little set of questions. Earlier in response to something Simone asked you talked about talking with Jim Troyer looking for Hispanic candidates for office, and you said that you want more Hispanics elected so there's more representation. I want to ask a followup question about that. If you're filling out your own ballot and there was two candidates that were on the ballot, one was a Latino or Hispanic who was a Democrat, and the other is a white non-Hispanic who was a Republican or conservative, without knowing anything else which of those two are you more likely to choose to vote for? A Definitely the conservative. Q Do you MS. LEEPER: I'm sorry. Just really quickly Mel beat me to it I was unmuting to object to form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	analysis as you do when it comes to those kinds of choices? MS. LEEPER: I'm going to object to form again. A Again Sorry. (Court reporter request to repeat answer.) A Oh, okay. So yeah, I would hope that they would vote their values. MR. STOKESBARY: Okay. That's all I have for you, Mel. Thanks so much. THE WITNESS: Thank you. MS. LEEPER: Okay. I think that is all. I think we can just hop off the record; is that right, Jeanne? (Discussion re reviewing transcript.) (Deposition concluded at 12:29 p.m.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Republican overall, or if it's kind of fixed? A I think the trend is switching to Republican. Conservative, I should say. Q Okay. And then a last little set of questions. Earlier in response to something Simone asked you talked about talking with Jim Troyer looking for Hispanic candidates for office, and you said that you want more Hispanics elected so there's more representation. I want to ask a followup question about that. If you're filling out your own ballot and there was two candidates that were on the ballot, one was a Latino or Hispanic who was a Democrat, and the other is a white non-Hispanic who was a Republican or conservative, without knowing anything else which of those two are you more likely to choose to vote for? A Definitely the conservative. Q Do you MS. LEEPER: I'm sorry. Just really quickly Mel beat me to it I was unmuting to object	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	analysis as you do when it comes to those kinds of choices? MS. LEEPER: I'm going to object to form again. A Again Sorry. (Court reporter request to repeat answer.) A Oh, okay. So yeah, I would hope that they would vote their values. MR. STOKESBARY: Okay. That's all I have for you, Mel. Thanks so much. THE WITNESS: Thank you. MS. LEEPER: Okay. I think that is all. I think we can just hop off the record; is that right, Jeanne? (Discussion re reviewing transcript.) (Deposition concluded at 12:29 p.m.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Republican overall, or if it's kind of fixed? A I think the trend is switching to Republican. Conservative, I should say. Q Okay. And then a last little set of questions. Earlier in response to something Simone asked you talked about talking with Jim Troyer looking for Hispanic candidates for office, and you said that you want more Hispanics elected so there's more representation. I want to ask a followup question about that. If you're filling out your own ballot and there was two candidates that were on the ballot, one was a Latino or Hispanic who was a Democrat, and the other is a white non-Hispanic who was a Republican or conservative, without knowing anything else which of those two are you more likely to choose to vote for? A Definitely the conservative. Q Do you MS. LEEPER: I'm sorry. Just really quickly Mel beat me to it I was unmuting to object to form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	analysis as you do when it comes to those kinds of choices? MS. LEEPER: I'm going to object to form again. A Again Sorry. (Court reporter request to repeat answer.) A Oh, okay. So yeah, I would hope that they would vote their values. MR. STOKESBARY: Okay. That's all I have for you, Mel. Thanks so much. THE WITNESS: Thank you. MS. LEEPER: Okay. I think that is all. I think we can just hop off the record; is that right, Jeanne? (Discussion re reviewing transcript.) (Deposition concluded at 12:29 p.m.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Republican overall, or if it's kind of fixed? A I think the trend is switching to Republican. Conservative, I should say. Q Okay. And then a last little set of questions. Earlier in response to something Simone asked you talked about talking with Jim Troyer looking for Hispanic candidates for office, and you said that you want more Hispanics elected so there's more representation. I want to ask a followup question about that. If you're filling out your own ballot and there was two candidates that were on the ballot, one was a Latino or Hispanic who was a Democrat, and the other is a white non-Hispanic who was a Republican or conservative, without knowing anything else which of those two are you more likely to choose to vote for? A Definitely the conservative. Q Do you MS. LEEPER: I'm sorry. Just really quickly Mel beat me to it I was unmuting to object to form. Q (By Mr. Stokesbary) And Mel, it's okay if you don't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	analysis as you do when it comes to those kinds of choices? MS. LEEPER: I'm going to object to form again. A Again Sorry. (Court reporter request to repeat answer.) A Oh, okay. So yeah, I would hope that they would vote their values. MR. STOKESBARY: Okay. That's all I have for you, Mel. Thanks so much. THE WITNESS: Thank you. MS. LEEPER: Okay. I think that is all. I think we can just hop off the record; is that right, Jeanne? (Discussion re reviewing transcript.) (Deposition concluded at 12:29 p.m.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Republican overall, or if it's kind of fixed? A I think the trend is switching to Republican. Conservative, I should say. Q Okay. And then a last little set of questions. Earlier in response to something Simone asked you talked about talking with Jim Troyer looking for Hispanic candidates for office, and you said that you want more Hispanics elected so there's more representation. I want to ask a followup question about that. If you're filling out your own ballot and there was two candidates that were on the ballot, one was a Latino or Hispanic who was a Democrat, and the other is a white non-Hispanic who was a Republican or conservative, without knowing anything else which of those two are you more likely to choose to vote for? A Definitely the conservative. Q Do you MS. LEEPER: I'm sorry. Just really quickly Mel beat me to it I was unmuting to object to form. Q (By Mr. Stokesbary) And Mel, it's okay if you don't know this, but do you have any sense whether other folks	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	analysis as you do when it comes to those kinds of choices? MS. LEEPER: I'm going to object to form again. A Again Sorry. (Court reporter request to repeat answer.) A Oh, okay. So yeah, I would hope that they would vote their values. MR. STOKESBARY: Okay. That's all I have for you, Mel. Thanks so much. THE WITNESS: Thank you. MS. LEEPER: Okay. I think that is all. I think we can just hop off the record; is that right, Jeanne? (Discussion re reviewing transcript.) (Deposition concluded at 12:29 p.m.)

24 (Pages 93 to 96)

Soto Palmer, et al. v. Hobbs, et al. Lakeside Reporting (833) 365-3376

October 13, 2022

				ъ	0.0
	Page 97			Page	98
1	CERTIFICATE	1	CHANGE/SIGNATURE SHEET		
2	STATE OF WASHINGTON)	2	I, the undersigned, ISMAEL G. CAMPOS, hereby		
3) SS County of King)		certify that I have read the foregoing deposition and		
4	I, the undersigned Washington Certified Court	3	that, to the best of my knowledge, said deposition is true		
5	Reporter, pursuant to RCW 5.28.010 authorized to administer oaths and affirmations in and for the State of		and accurate, with the exception of the following		
,	Washington, do hereby certify:	4	corrections listed below:		
6	That the annexed and foregoing deposition of the	5	PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON		
7	witness named herein was taken stenographically before me and reduced to typewritten form under my direction.	6			
	I further certify that the witness examined will be	7			
8	given an opportunity to review and sign their deposition	8			
9	after the same is transcribed, unless indicated in the record that the parties and witness waived the signing.	9			
	I further certify that all objections made at the	10			
10	time of said examination to my qualifications or the manner of taking the deposition or to the conduct of any	11			
11	party have been noted by me upon the deposition.	12			
	I further certify that I am not a relative or an	13			
12	employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties to said action, or a relative or employee of any such	14			
13	attorney or counsel, and that I am not financially	15			
4.4	interested in the said action or the outcome thereof.	16			
14	I further certify that the witness before examination was by me duly sworn to testify the truth, the whole	17			
15	truth, and nothing but the truth.				
1.0	I further certify that the deposition, as	18			
16	transcribed, is a full, true and correct transcript of the testimony, including questions and answers and all		Signature Date		
17	objections, motions and exceptions of counsel made and	19			
10	taken at the time of the foregoing examination and was prepared pursuant to Washington Administrative Code	20	Witness: Ismael G. Campos		
18	308-14-135, the transcript preparation format guideline.		Soto Palmer, et al. v. Hobbs, et al.		
19	NATCA	21	USDC Western District of Washington		
20	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my and this 23rd day of October, 2022.		Cause No. 3:22-cv-05035-RSL		
21	sevul H. Hr An	22	Date: October 13, 2022		
		23	Reported by: Jeanne M. Gersten, RDR, CCR No. 2711		
22	Jeanne M. Gersten, RDR, CCR Registered Diplomate Reporter		LAKESIDE REPORTING		
23	Washington CCR No. 2711	24	(833) 365-3376		
2.4	License effective until April 2, 2023		Jeanne@LakesideReporting.com		
24 25	Residing at Seattle, Washington	25	Contact@LakesideReporting.com		

25 (Pages 97 to 98)

Soto Palmer, et al. v. Hobbs, et al. Lakeside Reporting (833) 365-3376

October 13, 2022

				Page 99
	63:18 73:4	33:17	appreciated	86:24
<u>A</u>	ago 17:18 18:6	Angeles 2:19	15:12	
a.m 1:20 4:2	31:9 50:24	Annabelle	apprehensive	assumption 39:1 39:6 65:5,7,9
44:24,24 75:24	52:2,4 54:13	2:9	10:1	65:10,11 66:2
75:24 88:17	71:11 82:12,13	2.9 annexed 97:6	approved 73:11	66:5,17,19
ability 35:11	agree 61:5,19		73:16	94:21
able 6:7 15:10	86:6,25 94:20	announced 20:25		attention 45:23
76:11 81:11			approximation 56:6	74:14 85:8
Absolutely	agreed 86:6	announcement 21:1	April 97:23	
68:12	agreeing 84:23		area 26:12 48:7	attorney 3:5 4:18 9:23 10:4
acceptable 62:4	agricultural 59:4	answer 5:10,17		
accident 49:19		6:5,7 7:1 9:3,6	49:13 50:3,9	10:11,12,13
accurate 9:12	Aguilar 43:17	11:19,20 23:17	56:21 59:5	46:19 97:12,13
98:3	AHarless@Ca	41:18 57:15	70:1 93:3 95:1	attorney-client
acquaintances	2:13	60:9 61:9,23	95:24	41:16 46:14
43:1	ahead 9:7 15:9	67:18 70:19	areas 49:17	80:12
acquainted 71:6	15:11 25:21	80:13 85:3	articles 60:16	attorneys 3:4
acronym 65:14	41:22 60:9	96:6	ASEEM 2:10	4:11,19 6:23
Act 47:6 70:15	67:18 68:12	answered 65:24	ashamed 49:1	10:20 47:12,19
action 97:12,13	70:19 72:9,10	answering 91:6	aside 74:22 75:3	76:7 80:6 85:5
active 51:20	74:21 76:10,17	answers 5:18	75:9	authorized 97:4
Adams 2:4	76:18	8:12,23 84:20	asked 7:2 13:13	Avenue 2:23 3:6
55:11 59:9,10	al 1:6 2:7 98:20	84:21,23 86:3	47:13 70:13	average 56:8
add 9:5 29:22	98:20	97:16	89:14 95:6	awake 51:12
87:1	Alberto 43:11	Anton 84:2	asking 9:22 12:7	aware 19:25
added 28:11	alert 51:13	anybody 10:25	12:19 15:13	21:22 35:9
additional 9:2	Alex 1:14 42:15	41:13 75:19	26:17 28:13	45:20 58:4
70:9	43:3	78:11 82:3	32:20 33:2	59:19 60:4
address 7:17	alive 71:24	anymore 71:23	44:6 46:16	61:1 74:11,13
administer 97:5	Alliance 50:20	78:20	47:8 54:23	75:19
Administrative	50:22	anyway 19:19	68:10,15,25	
97:18	Almeida 26:3,4	30:20 31:13,25	78:3 80:9,10	<u>B</u>
advance 44:5,13	33:18	anyways 24:16	81:23 93:2	B 3:17
advisor 50:13,15	Amended 81:5	32:12	Assistant 3:4	back 17:17 22:5
50:17 51:13	American 2:17	AP 49:7	association 29:2	24:18,21 29:13
affect 34:21,23	19:14	apart 30:11	assume 6:6 43:6	32:14,22 35:5
36:4	amount 36:15	apologies 56:23	69:6,13,18	44:14,25 45:2
affiliated 25:10	AMulji@Cam	apologize 54:20	70:6 75:17	45:3 47:25
affiliation 21:23	2:13	72:10	assumed 24:12	48:13 50:7
22:2	analysis 67:5	APPEARAN	66:9	51:11,13 74:16
affirmations	96:1	3:1	assuming 14:15	76:3 79:12,20
97:5	Andrew 2:4 3:3	apples 60:20	42:1 45:12	79:24 80:1
affirmative	4:16 92:9	application	56:14,22 60:15	82:8,22 87:13
29:12	Andrew.Hugh	83:10	64:19 65:3	88:10,19 90:2
afternoon 63:24	3:7	appreciate 16:1	69:8 77:20	90:4,9,12,16
age 59:24 60:2	Angel 26:6	32:1	85:22,24 86:5	90:20 92:2
age 57.21 00.2	_			

October 13, 2022

				Page 100
1	50.20.71.7	11	44 1 50 6 50 4	4 10 14 11
background	59:20 71:7	broken 66:22	44:1 50:6 52:4	case 4:12 14:11
48:2	best 5:10 89:23	67:1	62:23,23 70:21	14:13 16:3,5
bad 16:13	98:3	brother 11:12	78:14 83:15	16:24 22:21,22
ballot 75:8	Bethany 48:17	11:13,24 12:3	89:4,14	23:14 24:4
95:11,12	better 32:19	13:18 16:19	calling 32:24	29:14 37:3,13
ballpark 36:16	Bible 48:17	17:1,24 19:10	53:7	37:19,23 38:2
82:9	biggest 35:22	32:2 37:12,19	calls 23:15 25:23	39:22 41:9,15
bank 51:14 52:2	62:20	78:13 82:15	37:8 52:18	45:11,15,16,16
53:7,12	bit 5:7,12 6:13	83:7,17 89:3	campaign 2:8,11	46:2,3,6,20,24
barrier 37:2	6:17 7:9 9:16	89:12 91:19	35:24,25 36:5	47:2,3,10,15
base 28:25	22:5,21 24:20	brother's 17:4	36:7 52:3,8	76:5 85:1,6,14
baseball 65:18	29:13 44:5	brothers 33:20	campaigning	Catholic 28:22
based 23:11	48:1 65:23	brought 29:15	36:2,5 53:6	62:11
24:15 29:3	69:10	48:10	69:18	Caty 43:15
61:15 62:3,10	blue 15:19	Brown 31:6,6,14	campaigns 37:1	Caucus 11:14,24
63:5 64:6 65:1	book 26:10	31:21 72:2,7	51:25 53:10	16:20
73:18	36:23	browser 14:23	Campos 1:13,18	Cause 98:21
basically 46:16	booth 66:11	15:17 76:21	3:12 4:4,10 7:5	CBC 49:7
47:3 68:15	booths 65:17,17	bullying 63:5	7:7,7 11:11	CCR 1:22 97:22
70:2	66:7	bunch 30:8	16:19 76:25	97:23 98:23
basics 48:1,22	born 48:9 50:5	bus 52:24	84:25 86:22	census 57:18
Basin 49:4	63:21,21	Bush 53:12	89:3 92:16	69:25
basing 57:18,22	bosses 62:19	business 19:1	98:2,20	center 2:8,11
basis 28:2,5,14	63:11	22:16 28:9	Canada 51:14	23:13 24:4
28:18 29:5	bottom 80:24	50:14	candidate 32:19	Center.org 2:13
46:13 60:12	81:17	businesses 28:11	33:1 39:15	2:13
80:12	bought 51:16	60:15	68:21 69:12	Central 93:4
beat 95:20	boundaries	button 15:19	candidate's 52:6	certainly 83:7
becoming 29:14	59:23 72:16,23		candidates 25:5	certified 18:19
89:15 95:1	box 75:8 76:7	C 2:1 97:1,1	25:24 26:1,8	97:4
beeping 23:19	boy 50:5	C-A-M-P-O-S	26:14,18,22,25	certify 97:5,7,9
beets 63:15,24	BPhillips@Ca	7:8	32:20 33:15	97:11,14,15
beginning 73:11	2:14	calendar 15:3	36:10 38:22	98:2
73:16 90:4,16	break 5:25 6:12	California 2:19	67:23,24 68:6	challenging
92:2	6:16,18 23:22	48:12,18 60:18	68:7 69:3,4	45:11 46:3,20
behalf 2:8,15,21	44:20,24 55:20	*	74:22,24 75:9	46:24 47:1,5
belief 28:5,18	75:24 88:10,17	61:1,3,4 call 7:14,16	75:11,11 79:22	CHALMERS
believe 24:24	breakdown 67:5	22:14 23:3,18	87:16 95:8,12	2:4
bell 36:17	breaking 89:2	25:4,20 52:1	capacity 1:9	Chamber 21:12
BEN 2:10	Brewster 54:15	· ·	18:12 63:12	21:13,16 22:2
Benancio 45:5,8	54:16,17	61:10 62:12,22	capitol 17:11	39:7,8
Benji 43:17	bring 17:17	65:21 93:14	18:21 19:2,8,9	chance 76:7,19
Benton 53:25	47:25 85:16	called 14:6,7	caption 77:14	76:25 84:14
54:3,4 55:11	broadly 67:11	17:10 19:11	care 28:6 36:22	85:19,20
58:20,25 59:20	broke 7:9	33:12 40:4	68:23	change 63:14,25

October 13, 2022

				Page 101
98:5	closest 56:6	38:11	23:16	91:15,22
CHANGE/SI	clothing 49:23	committee 19:12	concur 86:5	context 8:22
98:1	50:2 51:10	communication	conditions 8:17	13:2,7 17:9
changed 70:1	clouds 15:24	79:1 83:9 92:7	conduct 77:25	continue 13:11
changes 70:7	Coalition 44:2	communicatio	78:6 80:3,7	25:22 38:14
character 35:10	Code 97:18	12:9,16 13:25	81:25 91:13	continued 2:25
chat 76:6 80:20	coffee 18:7	41:19 78:1,23	97:10	3:1
80:25 81:1	college 48:12,16	79:3 80:1	conducted 67:4	conversation
Chernobyl	48:17,17,21	82:16 84:2	88:25 91:9,15	4:22 12:14
49:18	49:5	87:3,15 89:1,3	91:16	22:24 30:1,3
choices 96:2	Color 44:2	89:5,9,13	Conference	32:14,17,22
choose 95:16	Columbia 49:4	91:18 92:4	65:15	40:12 41:6
church 29:3	come 19:7 22:22	communities	confirming	47:9,19 91:20
51:22 95:24	22:25 26:21	93:22	86:11	conversations
churchgoer 29:3	35:13 38:23	community	Congratulatio	9:22 26:24
cities 22:6,11	39:19 40:2	23:11 24:13	50:25	28:16 46:5,10
54:5 56:16	47:15 48:24	26:15 27:7,13	Congress 52:15	46:18 66:11
57:20 71:15	51:9 79:20	28:19,22 29:2	connected 23:13	convince 35:11
citizen 60:2 73:3	80:2	30:18 34:19	connection	cool 19:18
city 18:15,17	comes 36:12	51:20 56:4	18:12 69:15	copy 14:16
19:22 20:7	96:1	58:11 61:6,20	70:25 71:2,3	correct 16:21
22:17 57:8	comfort 90:19	62:15 71:3	71:15 75:23	24:25 25:1
58:11 93:21	comfortable	93:13,17 94:1	connections	29:17,18 33:24
94:3	33:12	94:15	19:1,2 22:15	34:2,25 35:1
civic 70:20	coming 15:10	Complaint 16:5	22:16	35:15,16 40:6
clarification 6:4	19:11 20:4	16:8 45:14	conservative	41:25 53:21,22
9:3 12:18	24:22 26:11	complaints	28:12 35:6,7	59:15 65:4
18:16 66:20	28:15,15 49:15	62:19	35:11 69:7,7	70:24 80:4
clarify 9:6	52:25 60:18	complete 8:23	69:15 95:4,14	81:12 87:6
clarifying 41:23	63:23 85:8	9:12	95:17	89:6 90:5,17
clean 49:13,15	88:10	Complex 3:5	consider 94:10	93:7,8 94:11
cleaner 5:11	comment 91:8	complied 91:23	considering	94:12,14 97:16
clear 5:17 9:21	comments 66:8	computer 15:24	13:12 87:5	corrections 98:4
28:13 45:15	commission	86:17	contact 25:15	correctly 56:2
64:25 73:8	10:24 11:1	computers	40:2,23	cost 36:14 37:1
85:10,13 88:24	74:2,5,12 78:4	78:11	Contact@Lak	council 19:7
91:9 92:1	78:12	concept 37:18	1:24 98:25	counsel 4:13
click 76:13 81:2	commissioner	38:1	contaminated	14:1 80:15
81:10	72:1	concern 35:22	49:14	97:12,13,17
clicked 76:24	commissioners	36:25	contamination	counseling
client 23:19	10:23	concerning	49:12,16	48:23
close 15:9,11,13	commit 91:12	89:20	content 9:22	counties 54:9
93:19	commitment	concluded 34:10	37:17 46:18	55:12 56:16
closed 15:8	36:3	96:16	47:8	county 53:25
49:18	İ			-
	commitments	conclusion	contention	54:3,12,15,17
	commitments	conclusion	contention	54:3,12,15,17

October 13, 2022

				Page 102
7.10.70.40	l	l .		
56:19 58:20	decided 24:9	4:16,24 7:14	32:16 36:20	14:6,16,19
59:9,10,14,15	47:15	8:3,22 9:2,17	37:15,25 38:6	16:6,17 76:9
59:21 71:7,13	decision 37:13	9:19,24 10:5	78:20 83:19	76:14,19 77:1
97:3	DEFENDANT	10:21 11:7	89:15,19,21,23	77:9,17 78:25
couple 4:23 23:6	3:2	12:2 13:23	discussed 12:12	80:17 81:4,15
31:23 92:21	Defendants 1:11	14:1,4 15:8	16:10,23 20:12	81:18,21 82:14
course 4:17	77:15	16:2,11,16	20:16,16 21:17	84:9,14,18,22
11:23 19:25	Defense 2:17	40:9 55:9	30:14,22,22	84:24 85:18,23
33:11 36:22	define 55:20	96:16 97:6,8	37:20 41:11,14	86:1,4,7,8,23
51:22 59:21	61:13 62:5,6	97:10,11,15	64:4	91:10
60:19 61:1	definitely 33:12	98:2,3	discussing 18:7	documentation
63:21,24 66:6	55:14 92:22	depositions 6:23	29:19	12:8,19 85:24
72:2	93:14 95:17	Deschutes 2:23	discussion 4:3	documents
court 1:4 18:16	definition 54:21	detail 45:23	24:19 29:16	10:16 14:3
47:2,16,16,17	definitions	details 9:2 45:22	35:21 36:6	16:3 76:5
75:23 96:6	81:16	47:7	44:23 75:22	77:21 78:1,3,4
97:4	degree 48:23	determine 67:5	87:21 96:15	78:15,17 80:15
covered 5:7	49:4	devout 29:3	discussions	81:19,23 82:1
53:14 90:9	degrees 49:3	DEYLIN 2:17	13:18 30:11	82:2 84:8
covering 89:17	delayed 44:10	difference 64:23	32:18 38:17,22	85:17 86:10
COVID 21:19	delete 83:25	differences	41:7	89:1
42:24	deleted 82:20	35:14	dishes 51:10	doing 14:8 16:1
cultural 19:1	83:16,22	different 18:8	displayed 76:14	23:25
22:16 69:13	delved 70:12	26:12 55:20	84:9 85:18	donated 19:3,4
current 72:17	Delvin 71:8,25	62:8,8 65:24	86:8	double 81:2
73:2,5,10,14	Democrat 22:3	67:24 68:7	district 1:4,4	downloaded
currently 50:12	24:12,15 34:20	69:3 71:16	12:11 13:7	86:13
70:22	65:8 66:4,17	74:16 75:11	24:2,13,14	drawing 23:25
cut 11:19	73:24 95:1,13	differently 62:5	29:21,23,24	drawn 24:5
cycle 38:10	Democratic	difficulty 75:23	30:21 31:15,17	Drew 9:25 10:3
	66:19	dig 24:20 36:24	34:1,5,8,16,17	10:4,7 12:20
<u>D</u>	Democrats	dinner 51:9	34:24 35:2,15	14:5,24 16:6
Dad 48:9 63:22	31:24 65:19	dinners 42:24	35:18,19 45:11	16:10 39:21
dark 53:2	94:20	Diplomate 1:23	46:3,21,24	40:4,6,9,10
data 28:16	demographics	97:22	70:8,23 71:1,4	45:13,14 46:6
date 50:10 82:8	55:25 56:17	direction 97:7	71:8 72:17,19	47:11 91:8
98:18,22	60:4	directly 60:23	72:23 73:3,9	drink 6:12
day 9:25 63:20	denied 61:15	dirt 36:24	73:15,17,21	drive 63:13
97:20	63:1	discrimination	87:6,9 98:21	driver 63:13
days 50:7,24	depending	61:6,11,14,16	districts 26:12	drop 76:6
62:23	49:24 69:17,25	61:19,20,25	70:4	DStokesbary
DC 2:12	75:13	62:6,21	dive 12:17	2:6
decade 34:15	depends 35:23	discuss 10:20,23	Division 3:5	DThrift-Viver
60:5	deposed 5:1	11:7 12:2,10	Doc 52:5 53:3	2:20
decide 38:24	deposition 1:17	12:25 13:4	document 14:5	duly 97:14

October 13, 2022

				Page 103
duties 17:24	70.10 11 92.6	98:20	F 97:1	63:17
duties 17:24	79:10,11 82:6 83:10 87:4	98:20 ethnicity 7:23	Facebook 31:8	farmers 62:17
$oldsymbol{\mathbf{E}}$	emailed 87:23	Evan 84:5	83:3	62:18 64:1
E 2:1,1 3:17 4:8	emails 46:11		faced 61:20	farms 62:17
92:23 97:1,1	82:8 83:16,25	evangelical 28:22	62:15	farmwork 63:16
earlier 16:18	,		facilities 19:6	Faviola 43:13
51:19 53:14,20	87:8,11,20 88:2,3 92:6	Evangelina 43:17	49:17	February 38:15
70:22 82:5	· ·		fact 13:12 16:18	79:21
93:2 95:6	employed 11:13 11:24 50:12	everybody 27:10	22:1 24:15	Federal 70:14
easier 4:25 5:6		everyone's 4:25		feel 32:23 69:11
5:12	employee 25:6,8	exactly 25:3 50:18	28:11,20 29:1	70:25 76:22
easiest 80:20	97:12,12		29:22 36:21,23 57:23 60:14,17	
81:8	employment 60:25	examination 1:17 3:11		91:8 93:10,16
Eastern 55:4			62:10,22 64:6	93:25
Eddie@Morfi	encapsulate	97:10,14,17	64:19 66:8,15	Fellow 2:10
2:24	24:10	examined 97:7	71:5,14 72:18	felt 32:8,18
educational 2:17	encompass 55:10	example 61:12	factor 28:20 37:6	field 60:20
22:16 48:2		exception 98:3		Fifteen 10:15
EDWARDO	encourage 37:19	exceptions 97:17	fair 72:20 94:9	16:17
2:22	encouraged	exchanged	fairly 31:18,19	Fifth 3:6
effect 23:10 47:2	19:24	46:11 83:17	71:9	filed 16:3
effective 97:23	ended 19:5	Excuse 71:23	familiar 11:10	filing 14:11,13
EHerrera@M	52:25	85:12	37:11 39:21	filling 95:11
2:19	Energy 50:7	exhibits 86:20	42:6 45:19	finally 9:11
Eighth 29:25	engage 95:25	exists 62:1	46:2 84:15,19	59:14
either 33:11	engine 19:4	exit 80:17	familiarity 69:8	financial 37:1
53:10 64:6	English 18:19	expecting 51:9	69:11	50:13,15,17
73:6 74:7	entail 35:19 36:8	expense 37:4	family 59:21	51:13
86:25	36:20	expensive 61:1	93:21 95:24	financially
elect 35:4	entails 18:25	experience 29:4	far 9:25 12:11	97:13
elect 35.4 electable 25:4	enter 40:12	61:25 62:16	13:13 14:5	find 6:11 20:21
25:24,25 26:8	entered 49:20	63:7 65:22,25	21:14 25:14	20:23 21:1
26:10,22	entire 92:4	66:8 94:20	29:25 30:4	77:25 78:23
elected 25:13,14	enunciate 8:2	experienced	32:7 36:2	79:7,11,23
	equal 19:17	61:6 64:3	38:22 44:5,11	81:25 88:4
27:4 52:8,11	equitable 72:20	explain 6:5 16:7	44:13 45:14	89:17
52:14,16,17	ERNEST 2:16	49:9	58:18 61:11,25	fine 29:11 76:11
53:15,18 71:17	errands 17:13	explaining	62:21 73:2	88:12
72:14 95:9	especially 4:25	18:24	74:23 75:10	fire 19:3
election 66:21	38:12	explains 14:7	78:10 79:12,24	FIRM 2:21,22
66:25 75:7	establish 19:1	express 37:22	80:1 86:10	first 26:4 29:14
elections 65:1	establishing	expressed 32:5	87:15 88:5	30:5,6 33:18
electoral 26:14	22:15	extent 70:2	90:2,21	40:2 45:6 54:3
Ellensburg 55:6	estimate 77:19	73:19	farm 60:20	76:12 77:14,17
email 15:3,9,13	82:9	F	63:11	82:7,10 86:1
45:13 46:7	et 1:6 2:7 98:20	r	farmer 62:19	five 25:17 86:10
	<u> </u>		<u></u>	

October 13, 2022

				Page 104
 five-minute	friend 71:9	gerrymander	6:10,25 8:3,5	38:11
88:10	friends 31:8,8	46:4,21,25	9:21 19:5	Grant 54:14
fixed 95:2	31:23,25	Gersten 1:22	28:17 29:11,13	55:12 59:14,15
flag 19:13,14	front 15:5	97:22 98:23	30:3 34:10	great 7:12,16
flags 19:16	fruition 47:15	getting 23:18	37:14 38:4	15:25 22:17
Floor 2:18		47:4 65:10		32:25 39:14,15
	full 5:8,9,20 7:6 8:23 9:12	66:5	41:18 43:5,6 45:3 46:9	49:9 62:17
fly 5:22,24				
flying 5:22 focused 67:8	41:24 91:9,13 97:16	gist 33:1 47:4	47:25 48:1,22 50:23 54:22	76:17 81:14 grew 58:3 59:22
		give 6:17 8:11		O
focusing 22:21	fully 91:23	9:12 62:2	55:19 56:15	Grose 84:3
75:4	fun 62:24 64:5	74:19 76:19	62:2 72:21	ground 4:23
folks 94:15	Fund 2:18	85:19 91:7	76:6,6,8,18	group 18:12,24
95:23	funder 36:13	given 60:21	77:13 80:8,11	51:19 68:25
follow 31:10	fundraising	69:18 97:8	80:17,19 81:7	69:1 74:23,25
91:25	36:19	gives 17:12	81:14,16 82:14	75:4,12
followed 91:20	funds 35:24 36:5	glad 39:13 91:22	84:7,12 85:16	groups 51:21
following 98:3	36:9	92:17	86:7,16 87:2	growing 48:8
follows 4:7	further 79:18	go 4:23,24 6:12	87:17 89:22	93:3
followup 95:10	89:24 90:9	9:6 15:9,11	90:16 96:3	grown 60:18
foregoing 97:6	97:7,9,11,14	16:14 17:15	Gonzalez 7:11	guess 17:17
97:17 98:2	97:15	18:15 21:13	good 4:10 7:15	19:21 22:3,7
forever 86:17	G	24:21 25:20	29:6 31:7,25	22:13 23:3
forget 12:8		32:14,23 41:22	32:6 33:7	26:11 32:9
17:11 21:12	G 1:13,18 3:12	43:7 44:21,25	34:12 44:19	33:1 39:1,3
50:18	4:4 7:7,7,11	48:3,16 49:17	71:8,9	47:3 50:19
forgive 51:3	98:2,20	49:21 50:1	gosh 25:16 26:2	55:2,2,6,7,15
form 6:24 11:5	Garcia 41:15	51:11 56:15	33:20 48:18	55:24 57:7,14
11:18 61:8,22	45:5,8,10,17	60:9 63:23,25	50:5 52:23	58:7 60:3
70:17,18 83:9	Garza 26:6	66:16 67:18	53:24 54:2	61:13 63:5
92:7 95:21	33:17,18	68:3,12 70:19	56:8 58:21	64:5,21 65:21
96:3 97:7	gears 87:2	71:18 72:9,10	65:14 69:24	66:4,7 68:15
formal 40:18	gee 54:18 60:14	74:16,21 75:21	70:16 71:11,13	73:6 77:8 85:9
formality 29:12	63:20,22	76:2,10,17,18	79:21 82:12	guesses 57:13
format 97:18	general 3:4,5	78:11,13 79:12	gotta 39:23	guessing 56:13
forth 17:17	13:3 28:1	79:24 82:8,22	gotten 27:1	58:4,23 59:18
found 82:2	30:15 31:2	84:12 87:13	38:25	67:19
foundation	32:14 37:18,25	88:9,19 89:11	Gracie 31:9,12	guideline 97:18
56:25	54:1 56:2	90:2,9	32:19,24	guy 69:18 94:6
four 86:12,14	69:23,24 75:6	God 16:13	grade 63:23	guys 12:22
Franklin 54:4,5	75:7 92:25	goes 32:10 43:17	graduate 50:23	15:16 31:3
55:12 58:22	generalizing	61:25 75:16	graduated 48:10	
59:1,2,19	69:20	78:17 89:20	Grande 7:18	<u>H</u>
71:13	generally 28:12	90:12	grandfather's	H 3:17
free 76:22	32:12 34:19	going 4:14,20,21	44:16	half 48:18 82:10
fresh 9:7 74:19	George 7:11	4:24 5:5,13 6:6	Grandpa's 36:4	hand 63:13 64:2

October 13, 2022

				Page 105
97:19	hindrance 37:5	hundred 36:17	instances 64:4	40:10 75:3
Hanford 49:6,19	Hispanic 8:4,6	nunureu 50:1/	instances 64:4 instruct 41:18	77:12 79:13,15
50:9	21:13,15 23:11	I	46:9 80:12	87:14
hang 23:18	25:5 26:15,21	I-S-M-A-E-L	instructions	issues 28:3,3,6,9
Hankins 71:11	33:6,9 39:7	7:7	81:16	28:19
71:21	61:3 65:15	idea 30:8,12,20	interchangeably	20.19
happen 9:1 30:1	69:6,7,9,11,12	34:13 35:5	8:4	J
happens 6:25	75:15 94:9,10	54:16 84:4	intercommunity	Jalapeño 33:7
9:4 76:13	94:16 95:7,13	identified 94:8	71:9	James 41:25
hard 94:6	Hispanics 35:6	identify 8:6	interest 66:7	January 38:15
HARLESS 2:9	58:24 60:11	23:12	72:16,22,23	79:21,24 90:20
harm 34:18	61:16 94:19,25	III 45:5	interested 20:2	Jeanne 1:22
35:13	95:9	iMessage 82:25	33:22 97:13	18:18 44:22
Hastings 52:5	historic 17:16	impact 13:19	interrupt 86:18	96:14 97:22
53:3	17:18	impair 8:14,17	intervene 37:23	98:23
head 5:16	historically 61:6	implemented	intervening 63:7	Jeanne's 4:25
Health 49:7	61:20	50:2	intervenor 14:8	5:5,12
hear 43:22 45:6	hit 15:15	importance	16:7 37:13,18	Jeanne@Lake
heard 21:6 44:1	Hobbs 1:9 41:15	28:11	37:19 38:3	1:24 98:24
65:10	45:11,16,17	important 22:8	40:5 41:9	jeez 30:3 63:9
hearing 8:1 21:5	98:20	22:13 27:9,14	74:10 77:15	Jerome 71:8,25
hearings 74:1,11	hoe 63:24	27:17 28:19,20	Intervenor-De	Jim 18:1,2 20:13
heart 43:7	hoed 63:15	28:23 72:19	1:15 2:3	20:14 22:23
Hector 33:18	hold 13:10 29:5	89:18	intervenors 38:1	24:25 25:2
height 19:14	home 15:21	impressed 19:13	interworkings	29:16 30:1
held 74:1,12	48:24 51:9	19:15	89:21	31:20 32:15
Heliodora 43:21	homemade	inclination 5:15	introduce 4:12	33:16 35:17
43:24	62:23	include 35:21	Investments	38:20 39:16
help 22:18 45:25	honestly 47:23	includes 38:15	50:18	41:7,24 42:2,3
92:18	honesty 32:1	including 87:5	involved 22:8,22	53:17 78:24
helped 52:8	Honeycutt 52:6	97:16	29:14 38:4	79:4,22 83:11
53:12	52:6,7,10,13	increase 60:24	39:7 42:11	83:17 87:5,12
hereunto 97:19	Honeyford 18:1	increasing 60:13	65:12 73:18	87:15,23,25
HERRERA	19:21 20:4,9	INDEX 3:11	79:16 90:6	90:7,13,14
2:16	20:13,19 21:7	indicated 97:8	involvement	91:2 95:7
Hey 12:10 32:7	53:17	individual 11:10	90:17	Jim's 29:20
46:8 78:13	hop 96:13	42:6,14 52:21	iPhone 81:6	job 17:9,24 49:5
86:9 89:14,18	hope 75:17 96:7	individuals 45:4	Isaac 43:11	49:5 50:21
high 48:3,4 57:6	hopefully 6:10	industry 50:4	Ismael 1:13,18	51:3,5 62:3
57:10 58:6,10	35:10	51:3,4	3:12 4:4 7:7	Johnson 50:17
58:12,15,21,25	hoping 44:13	information	98:2,20	join 19:24,24
59:6 62:21	House 16:19	70:9 85:21	issue 12:16	JOSE 1:13
63:25 93:24	Hughes 3:3 4:16	inherited 34:9	22:25 23:7,13	José 42:7
higher 56:9	56:23 57:2	initial 7:10	23:21 24:4,21	judge 72:12,13
58:16,18	92:13	inquires 41:19	28:4 34:8	72:14
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	

October 13, 2022

				Page 106
jump 22:5 24:9	25:3,6,8,9,13	79:25 81:21	64:12,15 67:8	80:9,14 85:3
46:9	25:14,16 27:3	82:19 83:14	67:23 68:5,17	86:14,16,19,22
jumping 11:22	27:20 28:24	85:4,9 86:20	69:1,19 73:3	88:9,15,18,20
56:24	29:25 30:4,9	87:11,12,13,14	73:19,24 74:24	91:4,25 92:19
June 44:12	30:14,16 31:7	87:16,20 88:1	75:5,11 94:9	95:19 96:3,12
June 77.12	31:8,14,18,19	88:3,6 89:21	94:10,16 95:12	legal 2:8,10,11
K	31:19 32:8,10	90:4,8,8,18,22	Latino/Hispanic	2:17 14:10,13
Karl 3:4 4:14	32:20 33:8,8	90:23 91:2,11	56:4 64:22	23:16 84:25
Karl.Smith@	33:10 34:7	92:9 93:2,14	Latinos 24:12	85:13
3:7	35:5 36:13,18	94:15,18 95:23	24:15,19 27:2	legislative 13:7
keep 5:14,18,18	37:10,15 38:25	95:24	27:3,13,16,16	29:21,24 30:24
6:1 81:7	39:12,14 40:8	knowing 95:15	27:17,21,22,25	34:1,5,16,17
Kennewick 2:23	40:19 41:4	knowledge	28:9,12 35:3,8	35:18 45:11
7:18 31:17	42:1,2,3,8,18	14:10 41:2	37:7 58:24	46:3,20 66:23
53:21,23 54:3	42:22 43:5,7,9	46:17,19,22	60:10 61:3	67:2,24 68:7
93:7,9,17	44:5,11,11,12	64:11,14,17	65:5,7,19,25	68:18 69:2
kept 72:15	45:5,6,10,12	67:22 68:5,16	66:3,11 94:25	70:4,23,25
kick 15:15,21	45:23,25 47:7	68:19 69:5	launch 36:7	71:3 72:17,23
kid 62:25 64:8	47:11,22 48:7	85:11 98:3	law 2:21,22	73:3,9,15 87:6
kind 16:7 20:2	52:1,15 55:16	known 30:18	70:20	87:9
22:3 24:16	55:16,18,25	51:18	lawsuit 9:14	legislators 11:8
26:20 31:7,9	56:5,6,7,13,14		20:13 21:20	legislature 34:11
32:21 34:12	56:16,18 57:3	L	23:3 24:23	67:6 73:11
37:22 45:22	57:6,14,15,17	labor 60:21,25	43:6 77:12,24	legitimate 23:5
49:25 54:25	57:17,19,25	laborer 50:8	79:17	24:8,11
58:23 62:11,14	58:3,7,23 59:3	lack 56:25	LD 30:7,13	legs 48:24 49:1
62:16 63:18	59:8,11,12,16	lady 39:14	32:17 33:16,24	lesser 36:25
64:19 65:5,20	59:24 60:17,20	Lake 54:14	38:8,18	let's 44:25 56:17
67:19 68:1	61:10,16 62:2	59:15	lead 34:17	57:19 76:2,17
69:8,19 74:7	62:7,9,10,11	LAKESIDE	leads 37:7	81:7
87:17 88:24	62:11,12,18,18	1:22 98:23	lean 34:24 73:21	letting 5:4
92:25 93:12	62:24,25 63:3	land 59:4	leaning 73:15,24	level 49:24
95:2,25	63:5,14,15	larger 35:3	leanings 39:7	90:19
kinds 96:1	64:9,20,22,24	late 53:1	leave 49:12	levels 49:22
King 97:3	65:6,8 66:16	lately 84:16	leaving 51:2	liberal 39:8
knew 20:25 38:3	66:17 67:13,20	Latino 8:4,6	led 60:23	75:15
know 4:17 5:4	67:21 68:9	21:12 24:13	Leeper 2:9 3:13	License 97:23
5:15 9:5 10:2	69:17,22 70:3	27:12 28:3,6	4:9,10 11:7,19	life 4:25 5:6,12
14:6 16:6,7,8	70:10,14 71:7	28:19,21 29:1	23:17 37:11	28:1,24 29:1
17:4,8,12,22	71:8,14,24	29:2 33:6,9	40:16 41:22,23	49:2 54:11
18:1,5,25	72:2,9,11,12	34:19 56:19	44:21,25 45:2	light 45:25
19:16,24 20:8	72:13 73:2,5,6	57:4 58:1,20 50:2 8 25	46:16 57:1,3	likeable 30:17
20:15,19,22,22	73:12 74:10,15	59:2,8,25	60:9 61:9,23	line 4:19 6:19
21:8,10,14	74:23 75:1,7	60:13,24 61:5	67:18 70:19	56:25 89:22
22:2,9,18 24:1	75:10,15 77:22	61:19 62:14	75:20 76:2,4	98:5
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	

October 13, 2022

				Page 107
lines 24:5 34:14	looks 84:15,19	Martinez 26:2	Mel 7:15,16 8:9	Mexicans 60:11
63:14,15,25	loop 29:13	masks 49:21	9:14 11:10,20	Mexico 34:9
list 43:8 56:15	Lopez 43:13	matter 90:17	18:23 22:20	38:12 44:10,16
listed 98:4	Los 2:19	matters 75:4	23:17 31:13	48:9
Litigation 3:5	lost 75:23	mayor 19:7	32:8,10 41:18	Michoacán
little 5:12 6:13	lot 15:6 33:8	mean 12:24	44:5 46:8,8	18:21 19:2
6:17 7:9 9:16	49:19 53:2	17:10,19 23:9	48:1 70:14,18	Microsoft 15:21
24:20 29:13	58:23,24 68:13	28:8,21 31:23	76:4 80:13	middle 7:10
32:8 48:1	loud 5:19	32:4 33:6	81:18 85:20	15:19
65:23 69:10	Louisiana 47:1	34:21 37:3	86:22 88:13,21	midpoint 47:3
92:25 95:5	love 33:14	39:13,13 47:24	91:5,9 92:7,11	migrant 60:19
live 33:24 34:1	loved 62:19	53:19 54:11,11	92:20 94:5,7	migrate 49:16
48:7 53:20	lower 56:21	54:24 55:10	95:20,22 96:10	million 55:19
57:23 60:14	LpL 50:23 51:16	57:23 59:5	member 65:13	mind 6:1 9:8
70:22 86:16	51:17	60:19 61:11,12	member 03.13	33:2
93:7	luncheons 21:15	62:9,11 64:8	61:5,19	mint 63:16
lived 21:11 29:4		64:23 65:21	memory 8:15,18	minutes 10:15
31:16 48:11	M	66:15 67:20	78:7,11	16:17
53:23,25 54:9	M 1:22 4:8	68:1 69:10,19	mention 72:5	mirror 27:20
54:12,19 59:19	92:23 97:22	72:20,20 73:22	mention 72.3	28:23
59:20 71:12,14	98:23	79:12,25 83:7	19:10 22:25	mirrors 28:10
93:3,4	M-O-R-E-L-I-A	83:12,19,20	30:9,12 31:20	missing 91:21
lives 93:21	18:21	84:15 86:6	34:1 41:7,8	missing 51:21 mission 22:15
Lizette 43:19	ma'am 5:3 11:12	88:1 89:16	51:19 53:3,9	65:15
LLC 2:4	Mabton 58:5,6	90:25 91:15	53:20 58:25	Mississippi 47:1
loading 24:14	Mac 15:10	93:20	63:5,11 70:22	mix 39:19 55:12
local 31:1 52:24	Macias 43:11	meaning 61:18	71:16 72:6	mom 33:9
53:10	mails 83:22,25	means 8:21	78:20 82:15	moment 75:21
long 6:11 10:14	main 2:5 27:24	67:14	89:2 93:4,24	85:12
16:15 25:15	28:6,9	meant 87:22,23	94:18	momentum 5:25
29:10 49:24	majority 65:3	media 66:6	messaged 90:23	money 35:23
50:4 53:23,25	73:3,24	74:14 94:19	messages 79:5	36:7,10,13
55:23 56:15	making 9:25	medications	80:15 82:5,18	48:23
68:3,13 72:20	64:5	8:14	87:21,23 89:12	Monitoring
82:12	MALDEF 2:15	meet 9:17 10:3	90:1,22 91:1	49:11
longer 25:18	2:18	meeting 10:8,14	92:6	month 90:23,25
look 76:4,8,10	manager 17:10	10:16,18 14:21	Messenger 83:3	months 51:7,8
76:19 79:4	manages 17:15	15:3 16:9	83:6	82:13 92:3
82:22 85:19	manner 97:10	19:20 20:6	met 18:6,8,11	Morelia 18:17
87:13 89:12	map 23:8,21,24	58:12 65:25	20:9	18:21 19:2,3,5
looked 34:6 79:3	March/April	meetings 9:23	Mexican 2:17	20:7 22:11,17
82:5 88:2	44:14	18:8,9 19:25	7:24 19:13	Morfin 2:21,22
looking 26:14	marking 86:20	20:1,5,7 21:13	33:6,12,13	2:22 43:21,24
28:16 61:16	marriage 28:1	21:16 42:22,23	62:10 64:7	morning 4:10
87:14,15 95:7	28:24	58:11 71:9	69:14,14 94:8	63:14
,			,	

October 13, 2022

				rage 100
mornings 64:1	neither 33:21	oath 4:5 8:9,20	37:10,21 38:11	47:5,8,18,21
Moses 54:14	never 12:15	oaths 97:5	40:8,11 41:21	47:25 48:15,20
59:15	16:13 30:19	object 6:24	45:18 46:6,12	50:14,17,21,25
motions 97:17	31:12,24 38:25	80:11 95:20	47:20 48:18	51:19,24 52:3
move 41:22	55:3 70:13	96:3	50:5 52:11,23	52:19 53:3,8
moved 51:17	74:3 78:17	objection 6:25	53:5,24 54:2	53:14,20,25
54:2 61:4	new 73:17	11:5,16 23:15	54:15 55:14	54:9,21 55:8
movement 70:7	Newhouse 53:6	37:8 41:16	56:8 58:21	55:25 56:5,12
moving 34:7	Nicki 21:8,18,22	56:24 60:6	59:10 60:14	57:19,21,25
94:5	38:17,20,22	61:8,22 67:16	63:9,20,22	58:9 59:10,13
MULJI 2:10	39:10,16	70:17,18 85:2	65:12,14 66:6	59:14,17,24
mumbled 26:20	nod 5:15 29:11	objections 40:10	68:24 69:13,24	60:8 61:5,10
	Nodded 73:13	97:9,17	70:16 71:11,13	63:4,11,18
N	non 62:18	obviously 5:13	73:5 79:21	64:3,11,25
N 2:1 4:8,8	non-Hispanic	occasion 19:10	81:7,13 82:12	65:9,23 66:20
92:23,23	95:14	occurs 70:11	85:24 86:2	67:17,22 68:1
name 4:10 7:6	non-interest	October 1:20	94:23 96:7	69:21 70:3,9
10:9 26:3,4	66:7	4:1 97:20	okay 5:4 6:3,10	70:22 71:16
33:18 39:17,23	non-Latinos	98:22	6:14,22 7:5,12	72:21 73:2,5
41:25 42:17	64:10	offered 3:18	7:16,24 8:20	73:20,25 74:16
43:22,23 45:6	non-white 58:18	office 17:15	9:11,16 10:3,7	74:18,22 75:20
52:6,9,12	Nope 9:13,20	22:24 24:25	10:14 12:2,17	76:2,4,15,16
named 11:10	10:22 11:4	27:13,16 29:17	12:21 13:1,6	76:17,25 77:13
40:4 42:6 97:6	13:24 14:2	29:19,20 30:7	13:15,22 14:10	77:25 78:19,21
names 25:25	16:25 20:11	30:9,13,15,15	14:16,22 15:1	78:22 79:7,15
43:7 45:12	normal 28:1	30:22,24,25	15:5,7,25 16:9	80:14,17,18,24
46:1 71:22	87:16	31:1,5,22 32:3	16:13,18 19:20	81:3,7,14,18
national 53:10	Northwest 2:11	32:5 36:20	20:9,23 21:1,8	81:21,25 82:9
65:15	50:7	37:2,7 52:21	22:5,12,20	82:14,21,23
near 58:17	note 14:22	53:15,18 71:18	23:6,12 24:7	83:5,22 84:7
necessarily 28:8	noted 6:25 97:11	95:8	24:18 25:6,19	84:14,25 85:4
28:25 47:11	notes 10:18	offices 17:15	28:13 29:4,8	85:16 86:7,15
52:24 61:10	notice 6:17	26:9 27:11	29:10,13 31:13	86:18,21,22
62:7 63:2 65:6	86:12	30:24 31:3	31:14,20 32:13	87:2,19,24
75:14,18 87:11	noticeable 65:19	official 1:9	33:15,24 34:14	88:8,13,14,15
necessary 60:25	November 30:4	25:13,14 52:10	34:23 36:19	88:18,22 89:24
90:21 91:17	32:22	officials 71:17	37:11 38:8	89:25 90:11
need 5:14 8:22	nuclear 49:19	oh 7:24 11:12	39:21 40:15,21	91:3 92:21
28:21 51:11	51:3	12:25 17:15,23	40:24 41:2,6	93:23 94:5,23
54:20	number 4:19	18:7 20:14	41:11,14,21	95:5,22 96:7,9
needed 36:7	40:25	21:19 22:12,14	42:6,9,14,21	96:12
needing 6:11	numbered 86:10	24:1 25:12,16	42:25 43:5	old 17:17 71:24
needs 27:10		26:2 30:3	44:5,15,18,25	Olympia 38:15
negative 64:5	0	31:23 32:7	45:2,7,10,15	once 90:23,25
neighbors 95:25	O 4:8 92:23	33:20 35:5,20	45:21,24 46:15	online 64:6
		, -	,	
	•	•		

October 13, 2022

				Page 109
onen 14.22	nod 91.6	57.4 5 50.20	64:3	39:6 51:24
open 14:22 15:18 36:22	pad 81:6 Padilla 43:15	57:4,5 59:20 71:13 93:16	PHILLIPS 2:10	53:10
76:21 80:20		passers 66:16	phone 4:13 9:18	politicians 18:9
86:7	page 2:25 3:12 55:8,23 98:5	-	14:24 40:25	-
		passersby 66:8		politics 12:15,25 13:3,6
opinion 13:13 37:22 67:8	paid 49:7 Palmer 1:6 2:7	pattern 64:21	52:2,18 53:7,7	′
opportunity	43:9 45:16	patterns 64:12 64:14,17 65:7	53:12 76:24 78:23 82:24	polls 67:8
22:18 86:23	98:20	66:22 67:1	phrase 60:11	popped 65:13
97:8	paper 45:13	Paul 11:11	75:2	popular 71:12 population
Ops 17:10	paper 45.15 papers 15:5	12:10,21 16:19	phrases 68:14	23:11 56:3,18
ORAL 1:17	papers 15.5 paperwork	19:9,10 70:13	Physics 49:8	57:4,25 58:19
	45:13 89:19	· ·	•	· ·
organization 18:15 19:3		78:13,13,24	picking 63:23	58:20 59:2,8 50:25 60:2 13
20:8 22:19	park 65:18,18	79:4 89:3,18 91:1,19	pictures 19:18	59:25 60:2,13 60:24 69:25
44:1 50:15	parking 53:2 Parra 43:19	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	pieces 89:2 place 53:6	70:7 73:4,19
65:13		pay 35:24 74:14 85:7	place 33:6 placed 34:16	70:7 73:4,19 portion 27:6
oriented 28:10	part 8:20 18:13 18:14,14 23:2	paying 84:25	places 54:16	38:13
originally 29:15	51:21 74:9	85:5,13	plaintiffs 1:7 2:7	position 23:5
origins 24:22	77:20,23 78:19	pays 45:22	2:15,21 4:12	24:9,11,15
Othello 26:6	78:21 79:2	pending 6:16	4:20 14:7 43:6	25:3 49:10
59:5	81:17,19 86:24	pending 0.10 people 17:19	Plaintiffs' 77:14	53:5 63:1
out-of-pocket	89:15,23 93:9	19:7,12 36:24	plants 49:19	72:14 88:5
35:22 37:4	93:17,25	37:2,5 44:2	platform 40:13	89:21
outcome 97:13	particular 7:13	49:11,13 58:12	82:23	positions 26:11
outgoing 30:18	26:8 27:17	60:18 61:2	platforms 83:2	possession 78:1
outside 12:22	31:3 32:5	62:9 64:10	pleasantly 39:12	possibility 34:6
17:18 30:21	34:24 70:1,25	71:17 75:17	please 7:5 13:11	87:12
37:17 51:23	71:2,15	78:15 87:4	15:14 25:22	possible 25:4
59:22 89:11	parties 75:6	percent 56:3,5	72:10	possibly 22:24
overall 95:1,2	97:9,12	56:13 57:5,6	PLLC 2:22	post-attendee
overarching	partisan 34:24	57:10 58:2,16	plugged 76:24	15:15,18
66:2	35:14 73:15,21	58:22	pocket 36:12	posters 53:11
overexpose	partner 51:18	percentage 56:9	85:8	potential 35:13
49:12	parts 12:23	64:22	pockets 36:10	35:14 44:15
overlap 5:10	23:23 93:4	perfectly 29:11	point 6:3,11	potentially 87:9
overqualified	party 9:14 11:3	period 92:4,8	13:17 34:15	power 22:18
32:11	20:2 25:7,8,11	person 9:18	73:9	powers 24:1
overweight	27:19 28:10	10:10 31:10	polarized 67:13	Prayed 16:12
24:13	35:12 65:20	45:22 64:6	polarizing 67:20	pre 42:24
owner 63:11	66:10,13,13,18	personal 18:11	police 29:12	precisely 88:24
	66:19 75:1,3	33:10 36:3	policies 69:17	prefer 7:13 33:9
P	97:11	43:1 65:21	75:1	67:23 68:6
P 2:1,1	Pasco 21:11	72:22,23,25	political 11:3	74:24 75:10
p.m 1:20 88:17	31:17 54:2	79:19	12:14,23 21:22	94:7
96:16	55:11 56:10	personally 42:18	26:8 27:3 39:5	preferences
		_ •		-

October 13, 2022

				Page 110
68:16	37:15 38:6	proud 33:11	75:21 87:2	Raul 26:4 33:18
prefers 33:9	52:23 55:2	provide 8:22	quickly 95:20	RBC 51:14
prejudice 62:5,7	56:20 58:2,15	80:6 86:3	Quincy 42:8,12	RCW 97:4
62:12	59:6 60:15	provided 26:1	quite 58:25 68:9	RDR 1:22 97:22
Prentis 50:17	63:20,22 66:18	80:10	68:24 72:3	98:23
preparation	69:19 75:16	providing 84:21	quote 35:7 54:7	read 42:13
14:3 16:2	78:20 79:18	public 73:25	quote 33.7 34.7	60:16 98:2
97:18	81:19,23 82:19	74:11	R	reading 16:17
prepare 9:19,23	83:19,20 88:7	purposes 15:7	R 2:1,4 97:1	ready 88:18
10:4 14:1	90:18 91:1	40:8,9 41:24	R.W 3:3	Reagan 35:7
16:10	94:2	54:22 55:9	race 7:19,21,23	really 19:13
prepared 9:17	problem 15:20	pursuant 97:4	7:24 33:3,5,6	33:23 38:25
97:18	40:16	97:18	61:15,16 62:3	54:25 55:3
preparing 16:16	procedure-wise	put 17:18 36:10	63:2 66:22	70:12 74:9
preparing 10.10 prepositional	76:5	54:21	67:1,5 74:22	75:4 95:19
68:14	Proceedings 4:1	putting 74:22	75:9,14,18	reason 8:11,13
present 6:23	4:2	75:9	race-based 63:6	9:11 44:7 66:9
10:8 47:19	process 5:1	13.9	races 66:23 67:2	98:5
president 21:11	11:15,25 12:7	0	67:20,24 68:7	reasons 34:4
35:7 53:12	12:10 16:20	qualifications	68:18 69:2	87:18
presumption	17:2 41:4	97:10	75:17	recall 21:3 36:16
94:19	47:13 69:22	quantity 35:3	racial 24:16	40:24 41:12,12
pretty 19:14	70:3,10 85:25	question 5:8,17	46:4,21,25	42:25 52:13,21
28:23 30:18,23	86:24	6:4,5,7,16 7:1	73:18	85:7
33:7 36:22	producing 80:14	9:4 11:21,23	racially 23:2,8,9	recalled 12:15
42:16 48:9	Production	23:20,22 26:20	23:22,24 67:13	receive 14:16
51:20 52:25	77:15 81:22	41:19,23 57:14	72:19	received 83:23
56:15 57:6	91:11,24 92:3	65:23 66:2,4	radiation 49:11	83:25
58:6,10,15	productive	68:1,10,11,20	49:25	receiving 85:25
59:6,19 60:17	51:12	73:23 77:8	radioactive 51:4	receptive 30:20
62:4 65:19	professionally	80:13 84:17	radiological	31:12
66:15 71:6,11	21:15	95:10	49:15	record 4:3 5:7
71:24 89:18,20	profile 62:9	questioning	rah-rahing 53:2	5:11,17,19 7:1
91:9 92:1	•	6:19 56:25	raise 35:24 36:1	7:6,19 41:24
93:22	profiling 62:8 62:14	questions 6:2,24	36:9	44:21,23 45:1
prevalent 60:17	program 19:22	8:12,23 13:15	raised 48:14	45:2 75:21,22
previous 9:3,6	22:6,10,11	13:17 22:20	54:11	76:3 88:10,19
42:21 51:3	, ,	23:6 44:18	raising 36:5,13	91:8,12 92:1
prior 39:21 92:3	programs 49:6 prominent 27:2	45:4 54:22	ramifications	96:13 97:9
-	-	63:4 84:13,20	49:18	redistrict 70:1
privilege 41:17 46:14 80:12	promise 5:24 proper 56:21	84:22 86:3,5	ran 38:24 48:23	redistricting
pro 28:1,1,23,24	proper 56:21 properties 61:2	88:20 91:5,6	ranch 34:9 36:4	10:24 11:14,25
_		92:10,21,25	38:11 44:10,16	· ·
probably 13:15 25:17 27:1	61:4	95:5 97:16	63:13 64:2	12:10,16,22
30:4 32:10	protective 49:22 50:2	quick 44:19	rate 7:22	13:2,14,19
30.4 32.10	30.2	quien (1,1)	1.22	16:20 17:2,5,7
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	

October 13, 2022

				Page III
1	l	l 	1	0.440
17:22 20:17	religious 29:5	27:16 31:18	responded 89:8	96:13
21:18 22:25	remember 9:2	represents 4:14	responding	Rights 47:6
23:1,7,13 24:3	10:9 18:7	4:16 31:15	86:25	70:15
37:17 38:5	25:25 26:5	Republican	response 77:12	rings 36:17
44:3 69:23	33:19,22,23	11:14,24 16:20	95:6	Rio 7:18
70:4,10 74:2,5	36:15 40:7,22	17:6 20:2 22:3	responses 5:14	river 54:6
74:12 78:4	41:1,5 47:23	23:4 24:8 25:7	87:1	Rod 52:13
83:18,23 92:5	47:24 52:9,12	25:11 27:19	responsive 78:2	role 27:18
redrawn 23:2,8	52:20,23,24	28:10 34:20	82:1 88:25	room 15:8 17:18
23:9,10,11,24	53:11,19 54:2	35:4,8 38:25	91:10	Royal 50:20,22
34:14 72:19	54:18 56:11	39:4,12 42:22	restroom 6:12	51:14
73:6,18	59:10 62:21	42:23 65:15	results 65:1	rude 40:9,12
redrew 24:2	63:9,10,22	66:1,9,12,18	retired 20:22,25	rules 4:23
reduced 97:7	70:21 77:18	94:13 95:2,3	21:2,6,7 50:11	run 31:13 32:6
Reed 51:16,16	82:7 84:19,21	95:14	51:8	32:21 34:4
refer 8:5 41:2,8	84:23 85:23	Republicans	retiring 20:19	38:8,9,10,24
reference 26:11	86:1,25 87:23	12:25 13:4,19	20:22,24 51:2	39:17 53:15
referred 66:3	88:4 93:5	35:6 64:20	returns 66:21,25	72:13 87:17
referring 8:5	remembered	65:2,4 94:16	reverse 64:21	running 13:12
23:25 73:10	12:15	request 18:16	review 10:16	22:24 24:25
refresher 70:16	Remote 1:17 4:1	79:1 81:22	14:3 76:25	29:17,19,22
70:20	repeat 68:1,1	88:2,3 96:6	84:14 85:20	30:7,8,12,14
regarding 17:1	96:6	requested 82:7	86:23 97:8	30:15,22,23
83:23	rephrase 6:4	requesting	reviewed 14:14	31:2,5,22 32:2
region 54:23	68:13	78:16	16:3,5 66:21	32:9,16 34:20
55:11	Reported 1:22	requests 77:15	66:25 67:4	35:18,19 36:20
Registered 1:23	98:23	78:2 82:1	84:16	37:2,7 38:18
97:22	reporter 1:23	85:21 87:16	reviewing 96:15	39:10 52:15,22
regular 63:16	18:16,22 75:23	89:1 91:10,11	rich 65:20 66:10	53:4,18 72:11
66:6	96:6 97:4,22	91:23 92:3	66:13	72:12 73:1
reiterate 5:8	reporting 1:22	require 92:5	Richland 31:17	74:23 87:5,5,8
related 16:23	74:14 98:23	required 60:21	93:17	87:9,18 88:5
17:4 20:12	represent 77:13	Reservation	ricos 62:23	runs 17:13
21:17 41:14	representation	48:4,6 58:17	Ridley 84:5	
83:18 84:17	27:8,9,10,12	58:18 93:23	right 7:5,25 9:7	S
relates 17:21	85:1,14 95:9	94:1,2	11:15 13:16	S 2:1 3:17
relating 77:7	representative	reserved 96:17	14:23 15:2,24	Safeway 53:2
relationship	1:14 32:10	resident 42:12	23:1 24:6,14	satisfaction
69:14 93:20	42:8,11	residents 8:5,6	34:6 36:23	79:19
relative 97:11	representatives	Residing 97:24	39:1 47:6,25	Saul 26:2
97:12	11:3 18:8 52:7	respect 91:18	57:13 59:7	savvy 78:10 83:4
relevant 78:23	represented	respectful 94:7	70:23 76:18	saw 24:4 86:1
79:8,11 81:17	31:15	respecting 40:13	83:1 84:12	saying 64:25
82:16 88:6	representing	respirators	85:22 87:7	65:20 66:12,14
89:5,8	4:11,20 20:8	49:23	88:7 89:9 90:2	88:1
ĺ	,			
	·	•	-	-

October 13, 2022

-				Page 112
15 10 22 5	01 11 15 02 22	GI 21.660	5 22 0 1	62 15 64 15 10
says 15:18 32:7	81:11,15 82:22	Sharon 31:6,6,9	sorry 5:22 8:1	62:15 64:15,18
80:25	84:10 88:15	31:14,21 71:5	11:19,21,21	67:2,9,25 68:8
scene 27:3	seeing 85:23	72:2,6,7	13:11,14 17:19	68:18 69:2
scheduled 44:6	seeking 26:8,25	she'd 21:14	17:20 23:18,20	Southeast 55:5
school 48:3,4	seen 77:9 81:18	she'll 39:14	25:20 29:9	93:5
50:8 62:20,21	84:17	shed 45:25	31:2 33:25	southeastern
62:24,25 63:6	selected 77:23	SHEET 98:1	40:15,17 47:7	55:1,14
63:14,15,23,25	self-employed	shifted 60:5,10	48:19,24 52:9	Spanish 18:20
64:1,4 93:24	50:13	Shirley 71:11,19	52:12,17 54:18	speak 17:1
schooling 49:7	Senate 11:13,24	71:21	55:17 60:8	50:19 66:10
screen 14:20	senator 18:6	shop 18:7	68:11 70:18	69:16
15:11,24 76:8	19:21 20:4,9	shortly 88:16	77:6 85:12	speaking 4:15
76:11 80:24	20:19 21:6	shut 49:19	86:18 94:22,23	4:21
81:8,11 86:12	29:20 31:6,9	side 16:13 17:6	95:19 96:5	specific 30:21
scroll 76:18,22	31:14 32:9	39:12 54:6	sort 4:12 12:17	36:15 45:4
81:15,16 84:12	71:5 72:4,12	59:4 64:21	13:1,19 15:8	46:17
90:1,4,13	72:15	sign 97:8	15:18 17:24	specifically
scrolled 88:7	senators 17:13	Signal 83:3	18:24 19:21,21	17:21 24:21
90:25	17:16 20:1	Signature 96:17	22:7 23:7 24:7	26:14 27:13
scrolling 79:6	send 12:12	98:18	24:19,22 26:13	32:16 64:15
search 78:5,6,8	80:19 89:19	significant 27:6	28:2,13,14,18	67:2 68:15,16
78:9,22 79:10	sense 6:8,20 7:3	signing 97:9	29:4 32:13	68:25 87:14
79:16 80:6,7	57:16,17,20,21	silly 40:18	34:18 35:3	specifics 32:7
82:4 88:25	59:12 60:23	Simone 2:9 4:10	36:6,13 39:16	42:13
90:1,4,14 91:9	62:1 69:13	7:16 40:14	39:18 40:10	speculation 37:9
91:10,13,16,19	76:20 94:25	56:23 86:9	42:25 45:4,25	spell 7:6 18:18
92:1,6	95:23	91:15 93:2	46:19 47:5	spend 36:3
searched 89:16	sent 14:5,24	95:6	50:15 51:2	38:14
89:25	16:6 45:14	single 54:13	54:21 55:18	spending 34:10
searches 77:25	77:24 78:12,15	93:12	63:5,6,18 64:4	38:12
80:3 81:25	78:18 80:21	sister 18:15,17	64:5 65:24	spent 16:15
seats 67:6	81:4,20	19:22 20:7	72:22 91:7	Spokane 52:25
Seattle 3:6 97:24	separate 45:16	22:6,11,16	sorts 26:18	spoke 12:21
second 53:13	46:2 93:22	58:11 93:21	Soto 1:6 2:7 43:9	13:22 24:24
81:10 87:3	session 34:11	sit 75:6	45:16 98:20	spoken 26:7,13
Secretary 1:9	38:13,16	situations 18:10	sound 43:22	30:6 31:21
4:15	set 77:14 95:5	six 25:17,17 51:7	sound 43:22 sounds 18:19	32:2 35:17
	97:19	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Section 47:6		51:8 86:11	52:10 91:15,16	53:17
see 15:10 17:19	setting 75:3	Sixty 57:6	91:18	Spring 2:18
23:21 37:1	shadow 31:11	SLeeper@Ca	South 2:18	sprung 60:15
63:3 65:1	shake 5:16	2:12 S:th 2:4 4:14	Southcentral	SS 97:2
74:16 76:11,15	share 27:22 81:8	Smith 3:4 4:14	44:2 54:10,24	staff 11:1
76:16 77:7,14	82:14 91:14,21	societies 51:21	55:2,4,6,10,24	staffer 16:19
77:17 80:20,22	shared 86:12	Society 17:16	56:1 59:25	standard 82:24
80:24 81:4,5,6	sharing 76:8	sold 61:2,3	60:5 61:7,21	83:10
				<u> </u>

October 13, 2022

				Page 113
	l	01.21.22		15.05.10.00
standing 56:24	strong 93:20	91:21,22	59:3 75:5,6	15:25 18:22
start 20:4 50:21	stronger 94:3	surprised 38:23	79:22 82:4	51:1 66:20
57:19	studied 67:8	39:10,11,13	85:12 90:13	69:21 76:2
started 12:7	study 48:20	survey 49:13,21	95:7	86:19 91:5
30:3 50:6,7	stuff 13:5 14:8	49:22 50:1,1	teachers 63:7	92:11,13,16,19
51:5,13 79:22	15:6 17:14,17	surveys 28:15	tech 78:10 83:4	96:11
90:8	21:16 31:8	28:21,25	technical 49:4	thanks 5:4 7:16
state 1:9,10,14	32:12,20 36:24	Susan 1:6 43:9	75:23	18:23 40:14
3:2 4:15,17 7:6	58:12 62:25	Swan 48:4,11	tell 4:5 8:21	57:2 75:3
17:2 25:7 50:2	63:6 65:18	93:24	39:16,18 40:25	86:21 92:15
53:10 64:12	71:10 79:22	swat 5:24	44:13 57:15,16	94:5 96:10
66:22 67:1,6	81:23 87:18	switch 87:2	69:10,22	thereof 97:13
67:11,24 68:7	submit 74:4,7	switched 50:22	ten 54:7 69:24	they'd 62:24
68:17 69:2	subparts 12:17	switching 95:3	tend 36:14 64:20	thing 6:22 16:8
70:4,4 92:10	93:1	sworn 97:14	65:2 66:3 69:1	22:3 33:10
92:13,15 97:2	subset 94:9	sworn/affirmed	74:24 75:10	49:25 62:20
97:5	suggest 33:15	4:5	94:19	65:21 70:6
STATES 1:4	suggested 31:9	system 51:17	term 55:9,13	81:8,14 87:17
statistical 67:4	32:21 33:17,20		67:13,20 73:12	90:8
stay 49:24 51:12	Suite 2:5,11,23	$\frac{\mathbf{T}}{\mathbf{T} \mathbf{S} \mathbf{S} \mathbf{S} \mathbf{S} \mathbf{S} \mathbf{S} \mathbf{S} S$	terms 8:4 32:14	things 5:5,16
51:12	3:6	T 3:17 4:8 8:1	33:8 55:19	15:10 24:19
stays 49:15	suited 27:18	92:23 97:1,1	78:8 80:6,9	36:14 38:5
stenographica	summation 29:6	tacos 62:24	94:7	51:4 88:23
97:6	summer 82:11	tail 48:24 49:1	testified 4:6 74:3	think 10:13
step-off 49:14	Sumner 2:5	take 6:12,15,18	testify 73:25	12:23 14:24
stereotyping	Sunnyside 26:3	10:18 35:23	97:14	16:15 17:13,14
64:5	56:8 58:9,10	48:22 62:22	testimony 5:20	18:19 22:7,13
STEVEN 1:9	support 20:3	76:4 88:10	9:12 74:4,8	22:17 26:2,3,4
Stokesbary 2:4	supporting 53:7	taken 97:6,17	97:16	26:5 27:2,3,7
3:13 4:18 10:4	suppose 70:12	takes 37:4	text 79:4 80:15	27:17,19,21
11:5,16,18	supposedly	talk 5:6 9:16	82:5,18,23	28:10 31:11,16
14:17 23:15	73:23	10:25 13:1,6	83:10,12,14	32:17,25 33:17
37:8 39:21	Supreme 47:16	29:14 35:18	87:4,20,23	33:18 34:17,21
40:3,14,24	sure 5:9,9,19	37:12 46:10	89:11 90:1,22	35:2,7,10
41:3,15,16	6:17 8:2 10:1	48:1 73:9	90:23 91:1	36:22 37:3,4,6
46:8,13 47:10	32:1,4 39:23	83:11	92:6	38:3,21,24
60:6 61:8,22	42:16 45:7	talked 12:22	texted 78:14	39:3,13,14
67:16 70:17	49:11,13,14,22	20:14 21:19	82:15 89:4	40:4 42:8,12
80:8,11 85:2	50:10 52:16	31:3 32:13	texting 82:23	42:16 44:7
86:9,15,18,21	53:15 54:7	35:17 41:12	83:2	48:15,19,25
88:12 91:14	55:16,19 56:8	47:9 72:3 87:3	texts 15:10	50:10,11 52:4
92:20,24 95:22	57:6 59:23	87:12 95:6	78:22 79:7	52:6 53:14
96:9	74:21 84:1	talking 22:6,23	82:17 87:24	54:5,14,15
stop 63:7 82:14	87:19 89:17	24:22 26:18	90:14	56:10,14,20,21
Street 2:5,11,18	90:7,9 91:14	28:14 49:10	Thank 7:12	56:21,22 57:9
L				

October 13, 2022

				Page 114
57.0 59.2 12	26.2.2.4.21.21	T.: C:4: 50:05	69.22 01:1	
57:9 58:2,13	36:2,2,4,21,21	Tri-Cities 52:25	68:22 81:1	venture 60:3
58:16,21,22	38:12 44:19	54:4 93:9,12	83:16	verbal 5:15
60:7,22 61:24	45:7 48:7 54:3	93:15,19,25	umbrella 50:19	verify 91:20
62:1,7,14,20	62:3 68:4	94:4	unavailable	version 73:10,10
63:1 64:23	76:22 91:6,7	trial 44:6	44:8	videoconferen
65:8,23 68:20	92:8,11 97:10	trips 44:12	underqualified	2:2
68:24 71:16,20	97:17	Troyer 22:23	32:9	views 24:20
72:1,8 75:13	timeframe 44:14	24:25 25:2,6	undersigned	visible 15:2
77:21 78:14,24	90:10	25:15 26:7	97:4 98:2	visit 19:5
80:19 81:10,11	times 10:3 19:16	29:16 30:2,7	understand 5:21	voice 83:22,25
82:19 84:16,19	50:2 94:18	31:20 32:15	6:2 8:7,9,24	volunteer 52:1
84:23 86:25	tired 6:13	33:16 35:17	23:9 28:21	77:23
87:22 88:6,18	title 17:12 21:12	38:20 39:16	54:24 55:13	volunteered
90:3,21 91:4	titled 81:5	41:7,24,25	56:2 58:10	53:9
92:1 93:19	today 4:21 6:2	83:11,17 87:5	68:9,20 73:12	vote 24:12,19
95:3 96:12,13	6:11 7:14 8:9	90:14 95:7	73:23 77:11	31:24 34:20
thinking 12:6,20	8:20 9:12,17	truck 63:13	understanding	65:7 66:3 69:3
55:1 58:6 59:5	14:19 91:6	trucks 19:4	24:3 25:10	69:6,8,19
72:12,25 77:10	today's 4:16 8:3	true 97:16 98:3	28:2,5,14,17	74:24 75:7,15
87:9	told 31:24 47:23	truth 4:5,6,6	59:1 60:12	75:18 95:16
thinks 32:6	85:5	8:21 97:14,15	61:18 73:14,17	96:8
thinned 63:16	topic 87:24 88:3	97:15	73:20	voter 69:11
Third 81:22	Toppenish	truthful 8:11	understood 6:6	voters 28:3,6
thorough 91:10	57:12 58:1,17	try 5:10 74:19	unemployed	64:12,15,18,22
91:13 92:5	Torres 21:8,18	trying 26:5	51:7,8	65:2,3 67:9,23
thought 19:18	38:18,20 39:10	61:11	UNITED 1:4	67:23 68:6,6
21:25 23:4	39:16	turn 84:7	unmuting 95:20	68:17 69:1,1
24:8 30:17,19	Torres's 21:22	TV 66:6	USDC 98:21	74:23,25 75:5
34:12,20 38:1	total 16:15	two 4:22 20:14	use 55:9,19 78:8	75:5,10,11
40:19 51:10	totally 6:14	42:10,10 51:14	80:7 82:23	votes 67:5
54:25 55:3,3,4	touch 27:1	51:15 53:8,24	83:2	voting 47:6
66:12 79:7	touched 16:18	54:16 59:7	usually 15:19	59:24 60:2
thousand 36:17	60:22	61:2 77:20,21	▼7	64:11,14,17
three 20:15	tour 19:9	82:13 85:16	<u>V</u>	65:4,6 66:22
51:15 54:4,5	toured 19:8,8	86:10 95:12,15	v 1:8 41:15	67:1,13 68:17
71:16 82:13	tours 17:13	typewritten 97:7	45:10,16,17	68:21 70:14
86:10 92:25	towns 71:15	typically 36:7	98:20	73:3
THRIFT-VIV	tractor 63:13		vague 11:6	
2:17	transcribed 97:8	U U	Valley 55:11	
Thursday 1:20	97:16	U.S 19:17	values 27:19,20	Waddell 51:16
tier 50:18	transcript 5:14	uh-uh 5:16	27:22,24 28:12	51:16
ties 94:3	96:15 97:16,18	ultimately 38:8	28:23 29:5	waived 97:9
time 6:3,18 7:10	trend 95:3	38:9,10	75:2,14,16,18	Walla 55:15,15
9:5 10:6 19:17	Trevino 1:13	um-hmm 5:16	96:8	want 4:12,23 9:5
30:6 34:7,15	42:7,18 43:1	6:21 8:8 37:6	various 93:4	9:16 12:17

October 13, 2022

				Page 115
		ĺ		
22:5,20 23:3	24:4 34:23	74:23 75:5,10	worn 49:23	37:3,4 39:25
24:21 25:16	45:24 74:16	93:24 94:6	worries 68:12	40:20 42:4,16
26:3 31:13,16	90:20	95:13	worry 5:24	45:23 46:16
32:14,24 33:17	ways 55:20	whiteboard 81:6	29:10	47:13,13,22
41:23 46:5,5	we'll 6:17 9:6	wife 19:5 30:9	wouldn't 28:8	48:9,14 53:5
52:5,10 54:3	74:19	30:12,14,23	34:7 60:3	53:19 55:22,24
54:21 56:10	we're 6:19 12:25	31:7 32:19	WPPSS 50:6	56:7 57:14,24
57:5,9,10	13:4 15:7 27:6	51:9	wrapping 88:23	60:11 62:13
60:11,22 61:10	31:25 40:13	wife's 33:2,4	write 50:1	64:9 66:15,15
62:12,22 68:20	45:3 47:25	win 64:20 65:2	written 5:14	67:19 68:19
71:12,25 73:8	48:1 55:5,8,19	windows 15:1	13:25 74:4	70:20 71:21
76:4,10,21	55:23 56:2	winters 34:10		72:5,7,7,7,11
79:19,20 82:12	59:3 61:15	38:14	X	73:1 75:3
84:1 91:7 94:7	62:2,2 75:4,4,5	witness 2:3 40:6	X 3:17 4:8 15:19	76:16 77:7,8
95:8,9	88:18	41:21 46:12,15	15:21 81:9	78:4,5,24,24
wanted 22:6,9	we've 19:4 31:16	60:8 88:14	92:23	79:12,12 81:10
47:14 79:1	32:13 59:7	92:12,17,22		81:13,13 83:4
88:24	60:17,19	96:11 97:6,7,9	<u>Y</u>	83:19,21 84:15
Wapato 33:19	wear 49:23 50:3	97:14,19 98:20	yada 14:9,9	84:16,19,24
58:14,16	web 15:17	wondering	Yakama 48:6	85:9,9,21 86:6
washed 51:10	week 50:23	44:19 91:11	93:23 94:1,2	86:24 88:12,14
Washington 1:4	weeks 44:8	word 18:20 23:1	Yakima 18:6,9	88:14 89:10,14
1:10,10 2:5,12	weighting 24:17	24:14 31:10	18:15 19:7,8	90:2,15,18,21
2:23 3:2,5,6	welcome 92:12	36:24 39:2	22:17 33:21	91:25 94:22
4:17 7:18	92:17	62:8 80:3	42:12,22 54:12	96:7
13:20 17:2,5	went 19:23 20:1	wording 12:8	54:12 55:10	year 48:18 50:10
48:10 54:10,24	20:1,7 48:12	words 24:10	56:18,21 57:8	53:24 54:16
55:4,5,10,20	48:17 50:8,8	work 6:23 9:9	59:21 93:16,18	73:11 82:10
56:1 59:25	51:15 79:18,20	17:4 22:7	93:21,21 94:3	92:2
60:5 61:7,21	80:1,22 89:16	31:11,23 48:2	94:3	years 17:18 18:6
62:15 64:12,15	90:4 92:2	50:4 51:11,15	Yakima/More	20:15,15 25:16
64:18 66:22	93:24	52:2 60:20,25	18:14	25:17,17 30:8
67:1,2,9,11,25	West 2:23 7:18	63:1,12,19	Ybarra 1:14	30:16 31:9
68:8,18 69:3	Western 1:4	74:19 88:11	42:15 43:3	42:23 49:20
70:5 74:1,5,12	55:5 98:21	worked 50:11	yeah 10:6 11:23	51:14,15,18
93:5 97:2,4,5	WhatsApp 83:3	51:24 52:1	14:15,15 18:4	52:2,4 53:24
97:18,23,24	wheelchairs	64:2,2	19:19,23,23	54:8,13 69:24
98:21	19:4	workers 60:19	21:7,24 22:4	71:11
wasn't 10:11	WHEREOF	workforce 62:1	22:12 25:23	
39:3 52:11,24	97:19	working 11:14	26:4,6,16,21	Z
85:8 86:11	white 8:5,6 48:4	11:25 49:5,10	29:7,10,11	zone 49:15
87:15 91:21	48:11 62:18	50:6 51:13	30:20,25 31:12	Zoom 2:2 9:18
water 6:13	64:18 65:2,3	62:17 91:12	32:4 33:8 34:6	14:21 15:3,15
63:14,15,25	67:23 68:6,17	works 6:18	34:21 35:1,16	15:18 75:23
way 6:22 9:18	68:23 69:1,7	17:12 41:4	35:20 36:8,25	

October 13, 2022

				Page 116
	73:12,16 81:5	80 48:18]	
0	97:20 98:22	800 3:6		
09 81:5	2023 44:9 97:23	833 1:23 98:24		
1	23rd 97:20	8h 44:8		
10:29 44:24	2310 97.20 24 48:11	8th 29:23 31:16		
10:29 44:24 10:42 44:24	2711 1:22 97:23	oui 29.23 31.10		
	98:23	9		
1003-1/2 2:5	90.23	9:29 1:20 4:2		
11:00 53:1	3	90 50:24,24		
11:30 75:24 11:34 75:24	3:22-cv-05035	90014 2:19		
11:54 75:24 11:58 88:17	1:10 98:21	9008 7:18		
11:56 66:17 1101 2:11	308-14-135	92 3:13		
1101 2:11 11th 2:18	97:18	95 50:10		
	365-3376 1:23	98104 3:6		
12 81:5 12:00 53:1	98:24	98390-1444 2:5		
12:00 53:1 12:05 88:11		99336 2:23		
12:05 88:11 12:06 88:17	4	2.20		
12:00 88.17 12:29 1:20 96:16	4 3:13			
13 1:20 4:1	40 56:20 57:10			
98:22	58:22			
14th 2:11	400 2:11			
15 13:7 29:21				
30:7,13,15	5			
32:17 33:16	5 2:5			
34:5,16 35:18	5.28.010 97:4			
38:8,18 45:11	50 56:3,5,13			
46:3,21,23	58:2,22			
72:17,24 73:3	56 48:9 63:21			
73:9,15 87:6	58 48:10			
87:10	6			
15th 12:11 34:8				
1990 50:5,7	60 56:10 57:5			
1st 44:8	58:8,16 59:4			
	634 2:18			
2	65 54:18 6th 63:23			
2 47:6 97:23	om 03.23			
20 10:15 16:17	7			
2000 3:6	70 58:12			
20005 2:12	7325 2:23			
2011 50:11 51:4	79 48:18			
2021 11:14,25	7th 63:23			
16:20 17:1				
74:1,5,12	8			
79:24 90:5,20	8 33:24 34:2,17			
2022 1:20 4:1	70:23 71:1,4			
	=	-	•	

EXHIBIT C

Soto Palmer, et al. v. Hobbs, et al.

* * * * *

Remote Deposition Upon Oral Examination of Heliodora Morfin December 6, 2022

* * * * *

REPORTED BY: LAKESIDE REPORTING

Jeanne M. Gersten, RDR, CCR 2711 (833) 365-3376 Jeanne@LakesideReporting.com Contact@LakesideReporting.com

December 6, 2022

```
Page 1
                                                                                                                                  Page 2
                                                                                          APPEARANCES:
                                                                           2
              UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                                                                                 FOR INTERVENOR-DEFENDANTS:
             WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
                                                                           4
                                                                                      BRENNAN A.R. BOWEN
                                                                                      HOLTZMAN VOGEL
                                                                           5
                                                                                      2575 East Camelback Road, Suite 860
      SUSAN SOTO PALMER, et al.,
                                                                                      Esplanade Tower IV
                                                                           6
                                                                                      Phoenix, Arizona 85016
           Plaintiffs.
                                                                                      BBowen@HoltzmanVogel.com
                                                                                 FOR PLAINTIFFS SOTO PALMER, et al., on behalf of CAMPAIGN LEGAL CENTER:
                                                                           8
      STEVEN HOBBS, in his official
                                                                           9
      capacity as Secretary of State ) of Washington, and the STATE OF )
                                                                                      SIMONE LEEPER
                                                                                      ANNABELLE HARLESS
                                                                          10
      WASHINGTON,
                               ) No. 3:22-cv-05035-RSL
                                                                                      ASEEM MULJI
                                                                                      BEN PHILLIPS
ALLISON WALKER
                                                                          11
           Defendants,
                                                                          12
                                                                                      CAMPAIGN LEGAL CENTER
                                                                                      1101 14th Street Northwest, Suite 400
Washington, DC 20005
      JOSE TREVINO, ISMAEL G. CAMPOS, )
                                                                          13
                                                                                      SLeeper@CampaignLegalCenter.org
      and State Representative
                                                                          14
                                                                                      AHarless@CampaignLegal Center.org
      ALEX YBARRA,
                                                                                      AMulji@CampaignLegal Center.org
                                                                                      BPhillips@CampaignLegalCenter.org
                                                                          15
           Intervenor-Defendants. )
                                                                          16
          REMOTE DEPOSITION UPON ORAL EXAMINATION OF
                                                                                 FOR PLAINTIFFS on behalf of UCLA VOTING RIGHTS PROJECT:
                                                                          17
                 HELIODORA MORFIN
                                                                                      SONNI WAKNIN
                                                                          18
                                                                                      UCLA Voting Rights Project
3250 Public Affairs Building
              Tuesday, December 6, 2022
               9:06 a.m. to 9:49 a.m.
                                                                                      Los Angeles, California 90095
                                                                          19
                                                                                      Sonni@UCLAVRP.org
                                                                          20
                       LAKESIDE REPORTING
      REPORTED BY:
                                                                          21
                                                                                 FOR PLAINTIFFS on behalf of MORFIN LAW FIRM:
                Jeanne M. Gersten, RDR, CCR 2711
                                                                          22
                                                                                      EDWARDO MORFIN
                Registered Diplomate Reporter (833) 365-3376
                                                                                      MORFIN LAW FIRM, PLLC
                                                                          23
                                                                                      7325 West Deschutes Avenue, Suite A
                Jeanne@LakesideReporting.com
                                                                                      Kennewick, Washington 99336
                Contact@LakesideReporting.com
                                                                          24
                                                                                      Eddie@MorfinLawFirm.com
                                                                          25
                                                                                               (Continued on next page)
                                                        Page 3
                                                                                                                                  Page 4
 1
                  APPEARANCES, continued:
                                                                            1
                                                                                         December 6, 2022, Remote Proceedings:
        FOR DEFENDANT STATE OF WASHINGTON:
 2
                                                                            2
                                                                                         PROCEEDINGS: 9:06 a.m.
 3
              ANDREW R.W. HUGHES
                                                                            3
                                                                                             (Discussion off the record.)
              Assistant Attorney General
                                                                            4
                                                                                                HELIODORA MORFIN,
              ATTORNEY GENERAL OF WASHINGTON
              Complex Litigation Division
                                                                            5
                                                                                   having been sworn/affirmed on oath to tell the truth, the
 5
              800 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2000
                                                                            6
                                                                                   whole truth, and nothing but the truth, testified as
              Seattle, Washington 98104
                                                                            7
 6
              Andrew.Hughes@ATG.Wa.gov
                                                                            8
                                                                                            MR. BOWEN: Okay. I know that I noted this
 8
                                                                            9
                                                                                   up front for everybody, but I just want to get on the
 9
                       ****
                                                                          10
                                                                                   record that there have been some internet outages in our
10
                                                                          11
                                                                                   office park the last few days, and we're currently working
                    EXAMINATION INDEX
11
                                                                          12
                                                                                   on fixing it; and I hope it's not a problem today, but if
        HELIODORA MORFIN
                                                    PAGE
                                                                          13
                                                                                   I lose connection I just ask that everyone would wait and
12
                                                                          14
                                                                                   see if we can reestablish connectivity. If not, I have a
         By Mr. Bowen
                                                                          15
13
                                                                                   hot spot that may work if it's just not enough bandwidth
14
                                                                          16
                                                                                   to get this done. I'll send out an email, and we can keep
15
                                                                          17
                                                                                   the deposition open and just reschedule for a different
                       ****
16
                                                                          18
                                                                                   time to finish if it looks like we can't resolve whatever
17
                     EXHIBIT
18
                                                    INTRODUCED
        NUMBER
                          DESCRIPTION
                                                                          19
                                                                                   connectivity issues might come up.
19
              Screenshot of Elections & Voting
                                                                          20
                                                                                       Also, in previous depositions we've agreed that an
              November 8, 2022 General Election
                                                                          21
                                                                                   objection by one preserves it for all.
20
              for Legislative District 15
                                                                          22
                                                                                       Is everybody still okay with that today?
2.1
22
                                                                          23
                                                                                            MS. LEEPER: (Nodded.)
23
                                                                          24
                                                                                            MR. BOWEN: All right. I'm going to take
2.4
                                                                          25
                                                                                   the silence as --
25
```

1 (Pages 1 to 4)

December 6, 2022

	Page 5		Page 6
1	MS. LEEPER: We are.	1	this?
2	MR. HUGHES: Yes.	2	A I don't believe so.
3	MR. BOWEN: Okay. Perfect. Thank you.	3	Q No? Okay. And I know that Zoom has a mute
4	EXAMINATION	4	function. I'm going to ask that during our deposition
5	BY MR. BOWEN:	5	that you don't use that unless it's clear that we're
6	Q Well, good morning, Ms. Morfin. My name is	6	taking a break or doing something like that. Okay?
7	Brennan Bowen. I'm an associate with Holtzman Vogel, and	7	A Okay.
8	we represent the Intervenor-Defendants in this matter.	8	Q Is there anyone in the room with you who is not on
9	I just wanted to thank you for your time today, and	9	camera?
10	I'm going to try to keep this as short and as painless as	10	MR. MORFIN: Just me. Edwardo Morfin,
11	possible. My plan is to start off with some general	11	Morfin Law Firm.
12	questions about your background and yourself, and then I'm	12	MR. BOWEN: Edwardo, good to meet you.
13	going to discuss the specifics of this case.	13	Q (By Mr. Bowen) Do you have any applications open on
14	Could you please state your full name on the record	14	your computer that someone could use to communicate with
15	for me?	15	you during the deposition, aside from Zoom?
16	A Yes. Heliodora Morfin.	16	A No.
17	Q Okay. And is there anything you prefer to be	17	Q Okay. Do you have any phones, tablets, any things
18	called?	18	like that that are within eyesight that someone could use
19	A Dora.	19	to communicate with you during the deposition?
20	Q Dora. All right, Dora. Have you ever been deposed	20	A No, I don't.
21	before, Dora?	21	Q Okay. Since we're on Zoom I'm going to be
22	A No.	22	especially cautious not to talk over you or anyone else,
23	Q Okay. I'm just going to lay out some ground rules	23	and I ask that you do the same. We'll give each other a
24	before we get started. First, I know we have a	24	couple seconds pause in between asking and answering
25	stenographer here, but is there anyone else recording	25	questions. It will help the court reporter get everything
1	Page 7 down. It will also give anybody time for objections.	1	Page 8 Does that make sense?
2	Much as I would love it if there were none, I'm sure we'll	2	A Yes.
3	have objections today, so we'll let them voice the	3	Q Okay. If you need to take a break at any time, just
4	objections.	4	let me know. Like I said, I'm hoping this isn't going to
5	All responses are going to have to be audible. So I	5	be a super long thing, but I understand stuff comes up.
6	know in a normal conversation we shake and nod our heads,	6	If you need a break, I totally get it. The only thing I
7	and there's hand gestures. None of that is getting into	7	would ask is that you finish answering whatever question
8	the record. So even if it's just a simple yes or no, just	8	we were in the middle of answering. Any pending questions
9	make sure to say it as opposed to nodding or shaking your	9	I would like to have resolved before we go to break.
10	head.	10	Does that work?
11	Do you understand that you are under oath today?	11	A Yes.
12	A Yes.	12	Q Okay. And finally, are you on any medication today
13	Q Okay. And that oath has the same effect as if you	13	that could affect your ability to fully answer or
14	were in court. Do you understand that as well?	14	truthfully answer any of these questions, or are you
15	A Yes.	15	otherwise aware of any reason you couldn't fully and
16	Q Okay. If my questions are unclear, then please let	16	truthfully answer questions today?
17	me know and I'll try to clarify them. If you answer, I'm	17	A I'm not under any medication.
18 19	going to assume that you understood them. Does that make sense?	18 19	Q Okay. All right. Thank you.
20	Does that make sense? A Yes.	20	Now, other than conversations with your attorneys, did you do anything to prepare for today's deposition?
21	Q Okay. If your attorney objects today, those are for	21	A No, I did not.
22	later proceedings. Unless your attorney specifically	22	Q Okay. Did you review anything, any documents?
23	instructs you not to answer, I'm going to ask that you let	23	A Just through the time that we have been talking to
24	your attorney object, and then you continue to answer my	24	our attorneys.
25	question after that objection.	25	Q Okay. So you have reviewed the Complaint at some
	1	1 1	J J

2 (Pages 5 to 8)

December 6, 2022

	Page 9	Page 10
1	point since filing it?	1 again, please?
2	A Yes.	2 THE WITNESS: Yes. Irving, I-R-V-I-N-G,
3	Q Okay. Do you know when about that was?	3 Street, Pasco, Washington, 99301.
4	A I got a set of documents in July, and we reviewed	4 Q Okay. So if I understand this correct, you were in
5	them between July and August. And then I got another set	5 Pasco, and then Seattle, Tri-Cities area, and then back
6	of documents within the last few weeks, and we reviewed	6 to or Tri-Cities area and back in Pasco; is that
7	them within the last weeks or so so last week.	7 correct?
8	Q Okay. And have you spoken to anyone besides your	8 A Yes. I currently live in Pasco.
9	attorney about the deposition today?	9 Q Okay. Do you recall your address when you were in
10	A I have not.	10 the Seattle area?
11	Q Okay. Would you briefly walk me through where you	11 A I don't recall my address, no. I just recall the
12	have lived for the past ten years or so? I know it's a	12 nearby streets.
13	long time, so if you have to take time to think, but just	13 Q Okay. What about when you were previously in Pasco,
14	like general vicinities of where you've lived.	14 do you recall your address then?
15	A Yes. I've lived in Pasco, in Pasco, Washington.	15 A Yes. 816 South Fourth Lane, Apartment B as in boy,
16	And I moved to the Seattle area on and off for ten years,	16 Pasco, Washington, 99301.
17	and back in the Tri-Cities for the last few years.	17 Q That's a good memory.
18	Q Okay. And when you say Tri-Cities, what area would	18 A Thank you.
19	that be?	19 Q You're welcome. Your current address then, under
20	A Pasco.	20 your current address what legislative district do you live
21	Q Pasco?	21 in?
22	A Yes.	22 A Legislative District No. 15.
23	Q Okay. And what is your current address?	23 Q No. 15. Okay.
24	A 1115 West Irving Street, Pasco, Washington, 99301.	24 And where did you go to high school?
25	THE REPORTER: Could you say the street	25 A I went to Pasco High, Pasco, Washington.
	Page 11	Page 12
		_
1	Q Okay. What year did you graduate?	1 or since?
1 2	Q Okay. What year did you graduate?A 1993.	
		1 or since?
2	A 1993.	1 or since? 2 A Not not currently, no.
2	 A 1993. Q And then after that did you do any college or vocational training? A Yes. I did Lake Washington Technical College in the 	 or since? A Not not currently, no. Q Okay. What about any other political engagement, any other groups you've been involved with? A No, not nothing I can think of.
2 3 4	A 1993. Q And then after that did you do any college or vocational training?	 or since? A Not not currently, no. Q Okay. What about any other political engagement, any other groups you've been involved with?
2 3 4 5	 A 1993. Q And then after that did you do any college or vocational training? A Yes. I did Lake Washington Technical College in the Seattle area, and I went to Columbia Basin College and got an accounting degree and business degree. 	 or since? A Not not currently, no. Q Okay. What about any other political engagement, any other groups you've been involved with? A No, not nothing I can think of.
2 3 4 5 6	 A 1993. Q And then after that did you do any college or vocational training? A Yes. I did Lake Washington Technical College in the Seattle area, and I went to Columbia Basin College and got 	 or since? A Not not currently, no. Q Okay. What about any other political engagement, any other groups you've been involved with? A No, not nothing I can think of. Q Okay. Have you had any professional training or
2 3 4 5 6 7	 A 1993. Q And then after that did you do any college or vocational training? A Yes. I did Lake Washington Technical College in the Seattle area, and I went to Columbia Basin College and got an accounting degree and business degree. Q All right. And then after you got your accounting and business degree what did you do professionally? 	1 or since? 2 A Not — not currently, no. 3 Q Okay. What about any other political engagement, 4 any other groups you've been involved with? 5 A No, not — nothing I can think of. 6 Q Okay. Have you had any professional training or 7 education about redistricting specifically?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A 1993. Q And then after that did you do any college or vocational training? A Yes. I did Lake Washington Technical College in the Seattle area, and I went to Columbia Basin College and got an accounting degree and business degree. Q All right. And then after you got your accounting and business degree what did you do professionally? A I worked in office management, with accounting. 	1 or since? 2 A Not — not currently, no. 3 Q Okay. What about any other political engagement, 4 any other groups you've been involved with? 5 A No, not — nothing I can think of. 6 Q Okay. Have you had any professional training or 7 education about redistricting specifically? 8 A No, not really. 9 Q And have you ever worked in government, either state 10 or local government?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A 1993. Q And then after that did you do any college or vocational training? A Yes. I did Lake Washington Technical College in the Seattle area, and I went to Columbia Basin College and got an accounting degree and business degree. Q All right. And then after you got your accounting and business degree what did you do professionally? A I worked in office management, with accounting. Q Okay. And is that what you do right now?	1 or since? 2 A Not not currently, no. 3 Q Okay. What about any other political engagement, 4 any other groups you've been involved with? 5 A No, not nothing I can think of. 6 Q Okay. Have you had any professional training or 7 education about redistricting specifically? 8 A No, not really. 9 Q And have you ever worked in government, either state 10 or local government? 11 A I worked for a local municipality.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A 1993. Q And then after that did you do any college or vocational training? A Yes. I did Lake Washington Technical College in the Seattle area, and I went to Columbia Basin College and got an accounting degree and business degree. Q All right. And then after you got your accounting and business degree what did you do professionally? A I worked in office management, with accounting. Q Okay. And is that what you do right now? A No, I don't. I'm not doing that now.	1 or since? 2 A Not — not currently, no. 3 Q Okay. What about any other political engagement, 4 any other groups you've been involved with? 5 A No, not — nothing I can think of. 6 Q Okay. Have you had any professional training or 7 education about redistricting specifically? 8 A No, not really. 9 Q And have you ever worked in government, either state 10 or local government?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A 1993. Q And then after that did you do any college or vocational training? A Yes. I did Lake Washington Technical College in the Seattle area, and I went to Columbia Basin College and got an accounting degree and business degree. Q All right. And then after you got your accounting and business degree what did you do professionally? A I worked in office management, with accounting. Q Okay. And is that what you do right now? A No, I don't. I'm not doing that now. Q What do you right now?	1 or since? 2 A Not — not currently, no. 3 Q Okay. What about any other political engagement, 4 any other groups you've been involved with? 5 A No, not — nothing I can think of. 6 Q Okay. Have you had any professional training or 7 education about redistricting specifically? 8 A No, not really. 9 Q And have you ever worked in government, either state 10 or local government? 11 A I worked for a local municipality. 12 Q What were you — What municipality were you working 13 for?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A 1993. Q And then after that did you do any college or vocational training? A Yes. I did Lake Washington Technical College in the Seattle area, and I went to Columbia Basin College and got an accounting degree and business degree. Q All right. And then after you got your accounting and business degree what did you do professionally? A I worked in office management, with accounting. Q Okay. And is that what you do right now? A No, I don't. I'm not doing that now. Q What do you right now? A I'm a caregiver.	1 or since? 2 A Not not currently, no. 3 Q Okay. What about any other political engagement, 4 any other groups you've been involved with? 5 A No, not nothing I can think of. 6 Q Okay. Have you had any professional training or 7 education about redistricting specifically? 8 A No, not really. 9 Q And have you ever worked in government, either state 10 or local government? 11 A I worked for a local municipality. 12 Q What were you What municipality were you working 13 for? 14 A For Clean Air.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A 1993. Q And then after that did you do any college or vocational training? A Yes. I did Lake Washington Technical College in the Seattle area, and I went to Columbia Basin College and got an accounting degree and business degree. Q All right. And then after you got your accounting and business degree what did you do professionally? A I worked in office management, with accounting. Q Okay. And is that what you do right now? A No, I don't. I'm not doing that now. Q What do you right now?	1 or since? 2 A Not — not currently, no. 3 Q Okay. What about any other political engagement, 4 any other groups you've been involved with? 5 A No, not — nothing I can think of. 6 Q Okay. Have you had any professional training or 7 education about redistricting specifically? 8 A No, not really. 9 Q And have you ever worked in government, either state 10 or local government? 11 A I worked for a local municipality. 12 Q What were you — What municipality were you working 13 for? 14 A For Clean Air. 15 Q Okay. And what was your role there?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A 1993. Q And then after that did you do any college or vocational training? A Yes. I did Lake Washington Technical College in the Seattle area, and I went to Columbia Basin College and got an accounting degree and business degree. Q All right. And then after you got your accounting and business degree what did you do professionally? A I worked in office management, with accounting. Q Okay. And is that what you do right now? A No, I don't. I'm not doing that now. Q What do you right now? A I'm a caregiver. Q And how long have you been doing caregiving for? A About two years.	1 or since? 2 A Not not currently, no. 3 Q Okay. What about any other political engagement, 4 any other groups you've been involved with? 5 A No, not nothing I can think of. 6 Q Okay. Have you had any professional training or 7 education about redistricting specifically? 8 A No, not really. 9 Q And have you ever worked in government, either state 10 or local government? 11 A I worked for a local municipality. 12 Q What were you What municipality were you working 13 for? 14 A For Clean Air. 15 Q Okay. And what was your role there? 16 A I did front office, customer service and accounting.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A 1993. Q And then after that did you do any college or vocational training? A Yes. I did Lake Washington Technical College in the Seattle area, and I went to Columbia Basin College and got an accounting degree and business degree. Q All right. And then after you got your accounting and business degree what did you do professionally? A I worked in office management, with accounting. Q Okay. And is that what you do right now? A No, I don't. I'm not doing that now. Q What do you right now? A I'm a caregiver. Q And how long have you been doing caregiving for? A About two years. Q About two years. At any point in time have you had	1 or since? 2 A Not not currently, no. 3 Q Okay. What about any other political engagement, 4 any other groups you've been involved with? 5 A No, not nothing I can think of. 6 Q Okay. Have you had any professional training or 7 education about redistricting specifically? 8 A No, not really. 9 Q And have you ever worked in government, either state 10 or local government? 11 A I worked for a local municipality. 12 Q What were you What municipality were you working 13 for? 14 A For Clean Air. 15 Q Okay. And what was your role there? 16 A I did front office, customer service and accounting. 17 Q Okay. I'm going to switch a little bit from work
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A 1993. Q And then after that did you do any college or vocational training? A Yes. I did Lake Washington Technical College in the Seattle area, and I went to Columbia Basin College and got an accounting degree and business degree. Q All right. And then after you got your accounting and business degree what did you do professionally? A I worked in office management, with accounting. Q Okay. And is that what you do right now? A No, I don't. I'm not doing that now. Q What do you right now? A I'm a caregiver. Q And how long have you been doing caregiving for? A About two years. Q About two years. At any point in time have you had involvement in the political arena, either like as a	1 or since? 2 A Not not currently, no. 3 Q Okay. What about any other political engagement, 4 any other groups you've been involved with? 5 A No, not nothing I can think of. 6 Q Okay. Have you had any professional training or 7 education about redistricting specifically? 8 A No, not really. 9 Q And have you ever worked in government, either state 10 or local government? 11 A I worked for a local municipality. 12 Q What were you What municipality were you working 13 for? 14 A For Clean Air. 15 Q Okay. And what was your role there? 16 A I did front office, customer service and accounting. 17 Q Okay. I'm going to switch a little bit from work 18 experience to just some personal background information.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A 1993. Q And then after that did you do any college or vocational training? A Yes. I did Lake Washington Technical College in the Seattle area, and I went to Columbia Basin College and got an accounting degree and business degree. Q All right. And then after you got your accounting and business degree what did you do professionally? A I worked in office management, with accounting. Q Okay. And is that what you do right now? A No, I don't. I'm not doing that now. Q What do you right now? A I'm a caregiver. Q And how long have you been doing caregiving for? A About two years. Q About two years. At any point in time have you had	1 or since? 2 A Not not currently, no. 3 Q Okay. What about any other political engagement, 4 any other groups you've been involved with? 5 A No, not nothing I can think of. 6 Q Okay. Have you had any professional training or 7 education about redistricting specifically? 8 A No, not really. 9 Q And have you ever worked in government, either state 10 or local government? 11 A I worked for a local municipality. 12 Q What were you What municipality were you working 13 for? 14 A For Clean Air. 15 Q Okay. And what was your role there? 16 A I did front office, customer service and accounting. 17 Q Okay. I'm going to switch a little bit from work 18 experience to just some personal background information. 19 Could you identify your race for me?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A 1993. Q And then after that did you do any college or vocational training? A Yes. I did Lake Washington Technical College in the Seattle area, and I went to Columbia Basin College and got an accounting degree and business degree. Q All right. And then after you got your accounting and business degree what did you do professionally? A I worked in office management, with accounting. Q Okay. And is that what you do right now? A No, I don't. I'm not doing that now. Q What do you right now? A I'm a caregiver. Q And how long have you been doing caregiving for? A About two years. Q About two years. At any point in time have you had involvement in the political arena, either like as a volunteer or doing anything like that for a political campaign?	1 or since? 2 A Not — not currently, no. 3 Q Okay. What about any other political engagement, 4 any other groups you've been involved with? 5 A No, not — nothing I can think of. 6 Q Okay. Have you had any professional training or 7 education about redistricting specifically? 8 A No, not really. 9 Q And have you ever worked in government, either state 10 or local government? 11 A I worked for a local municipality. 12 Q What were you — What municipality were you working 13 for? 14 A For Clean Air. 15 Q Okay. And what was your role there? 16 A I did front office, customer service and accounting. 17 Q Okay. I'm going to switch a little bit from work 18 experience to just some personal background information.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A 1993. Q And then after that did you do any college or vocational training? A Yes. I did Lake Washington Technical College in the Seattle area, and I went to Columbia Basin College and got an accounting degree and business degree. Q All right. And then after you got your accounting and business degree what did you do professionally? A I worked in office management, with accounting. Q Okay. And is that what you do right now? A No, I don't. I'm not doing that now. Q What do you right now? A I'm a caregiver. Q And how long have you been doing caregiving for? A About two years. Q About two years. At any point in time have you had involvement in the political arena, either like as a volunteer or doing anything like that for a political	1 or since? 2 A Not not currently, no. 3 Q Okay. What about any other political engagement, 4 any other groups you've been involved with? 5 A No, not nothing I can think of. 6 Q Okay. Have you had any professional training or 7 education about redistricting specifically? 8 A No, not really. 9 Q And have you ever worked in government, either state 10 or local government? 11 A I worked for a local municipality. 12 Q What were you What municipality were you working 13 for? 14 A For Clean Air. 15 Q Okay. And what was your role there? 16 A I did front office, customer service and accounting. 17 Q Okay. I'm going to switch a little bit from work 18 experience to just some personal background information. 19 Could you identify your race for me?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A 1993. Q And then after that did you do any college or vocational training? A Yes. I did Lake Washington Technical College in the Seattle area, and I went to Columbia Basin College and got an accounting degree and business degree. Q All right. And then after you got your accounting and business degree what did you do professionally? A I worked in office management, with accounting. Q Okay. And is that what you do right now? A No, I don't. I'm not doing that now. Q What do you right now? A I'm a caregiver. Q And how long have you been doing caregiving for? A About two years. Q About two years. At any point in time have you had involvement in the political arena, either like as a volunteer or doing anything like that for a political campaign?	1 or since? 2 A Not not currently, no. 3 Q Okay. What about any other political engagement, 4 any other groups you've been involved with? 5 A No, not nothing I can think of. 6 Q Okay. Have you had any professional training or 7 education about redistricting specifically? 8 A No, not really. 9 Q And have you ever worked in government, either state 10 or local government? 11 A I worked for a local municipality. 12 Q What were you What municipality were you working 13 for? 14 A For Clean Air. 15 Q Okay. And what was your role there? 16 A I did front office, customer service and accounting. 17 Q Okay. I'm going to switch a little bit from work 18 experience to just some personal background information. 19 Could you identify your race for me? 20 A Other.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A 1993. Q And then after that did you do any college or vocational training? A Yes. I did Lake Washington Technical College in the Seattle area, and I went to Columbia Basin College and got an accounting degree and business degree. Q All right. And then after you got your accounting and business degree what did you do professionally? A I worked in office management, with accounting. Q Okay. And is that what you do right now? A No, I don't. I'm not doing that now. Q What do you right now? A I'm a caregiver. Q And how long have you been doing caregiving for? A About two years. Q About two years. At any point in time have you had involvement in the political arena, either like as a volunteer or doing anything like that for a political campaign? A I have volunteered with Latino Civic Alliance in the	1 or since? 2 A Not not currently, no. 3 Q Okay. What about any other political engagement, 4 any other groups you've been involved with? 5 A No, not nothing I can think of. 6 Q Okay. Have you had any professional training or 7 education about redistricting specifically? 8 A No, not really. 9 Q And have you ever worked in government, either state 10 or local government? 11 A I worked for a local municipality. 12 Q What were you What municipality were you working 13 for? 14 A For Clean Air. 15 Q Okay. And what was your role there? 16 A I did front office, customer service and accounting. 17 Q Okay. I'm going to switch a little bit from work 18 experience to just some personal background information. 19 Could you identify your race for me? 20 A Other. 21 Q Other. Could you let me know how you identify your 22 ethnicity? 23 A Mexican.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A 1993. Q And then after that did you do any college or vocational training? A Yes. I did Lake Washington Technical College in the Seattle area, and I went to Columbia Basin College and got an accounting degree and business degree. Q All right. And then after you got your accounting and business degree what did you do professionally? A I worked in office management, with accounting. Q Okay. And is that what you do right now? A No, I don't. I'm not doing that now. Q What do you right now? A I'm a caregiver. Q And how long have you been doing caregiving for? A About two years. Q About two years. At any point in time have you had involvement in the political arena, either like as a volunteer or doing anything like that for a political campaign? A I have volunteered with Latino Civic Alliance in the past. Q Okay. And when was that? A That was back in around 2009.	1 or since? 2 A Not not currently, no. 3 Q Okay. What about any other political engagement, 4 any other groups you've been involved with? 5 A No, not nothing I can think of. 6 Q Okay. Have you had any professional training or 7 education about redistricting specifically? 8 A No, not really. 9 Q And have you ever worked in government, either state 10 or local government? 11 A I worked for a local municipality. 12 Q What were you What municipality were you working 13 for? 14 A For Clean Air. 15 Q Okay. And what was your role there? 16 A I did front office, customer service and accounting. 17 Q Okay. I'm going to switch a little bit from work 18 experience to just some personal background information. 19 Could you identify your race for me? 20 A Other. 21 Q Other. Could you let me know how you identify your 22 ethnicity? 23 A Mexican. 24 Q Mexican. And just to confirm, I think we talked
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A 1993. Q And then after that did you do any college or vocational training? A Yes. I did Lake Washington Technical College in the Seattle area, and I went to Columbia Basin College and got an accounting degree and business degree. Q All right. And then after you got your accounting and business degree what did you do professionally? A I worked in office management, with accounting. Q Okay. And is that what you do right now? A No, I don't. I'm not doing that now. Q What do you right now? A I'm a caregiver. Q And how long have you been doing caregiving for? A About two years. Q About two years. At any point in time have you had involvement in the political arena, either like as a volunteer or doing anything like that for a political campaign? A I have volunteered with Latino Civic Alliance in the past. Q Okay. And when was that?	1 or since? 2 A Not not currently, no. 3 Q Okay. What about any other political engagement, 4 any other groups you've been involved with? 5 A No, not nothing I can think of. 6 Q Okay. Have you had any professional training or 7 education about redistricting specifically? 8 A No, not really. 9 Q And have you ever worked in government, either state 10 or local government? 11 A I worked for a local municipality. 12 Q What were you What municipality were you working 13 for? 14 A For Clean Air. 15 Q Okay. And what was your role there? 16 A I did front office, customer service and accounting. 17 Q Okay. I'm going to switch a little bit from work 18 experience to just some personal background information. 19 Could you identify your race for me? 20 A Other. 21 Q Other. Could you let me know how you identify your 22 ethnicity? 23 A Mexican.

3 (Pages 9 to 12)

277874b8-b0eb-457b-8b73-882e863ae5b7

December 6, 2022

_		-	-
Pac	1	- 1	3
ra~			J

- 1 attorney for plaintiffs' counsel here, Eddie Morfin, that
- 2 is your husband?
- 3 A No. He's part of the attorneys.
- He's just part of the attorneys. No relation?
- 5 A
- 6 Q Okay. And when did you become aware of the
- 7 Redistricting Commission's existence?
- 8 A I heard about it through previous information in
- 9 Pasco, I would say about five years ago.
- 10 Q And did you attend -- I guess what was the previous
- 11 information you had heard about the Commission?
- 12 The City of Pasco was being involved in a lawsuit
- 13 for redistricting.
- 14 Q Okay. And that previous commission you heard about,
- 15 was that specific to the City of Pasco, or was that the
- 16 State Redistricting Commission?
- 17 A I really don't know because it's -- It was -- I was
- not informed of -- How can I explain it? I really don't 18
- 19 know at what level it was.
- 20 Q Okay. That's fine.
- For this Redistricting Commission, the one that drew 21
- 22 the state maps this go-around, when did you first hear
- 23 about that Commission?
- 2.4 A I don't remember the exact time, honestly.
- 25 Okay. Did you follow what the Commission was doing?

Page 14

- 1 A I just really follow what the information we were
- 2 learning about.
- 3 Q Okay. So did you, for example, attend any of the
- public hearings that the Commission held?
- 5 A I did not.
- 6 Okay. Did you review any of the publicly released
- 7 information that the Commission put out?
- 8 A Just the information that was provided by our
- 9 attorneys.
- 10 Okay. During the process of the Redistricting
- 11 Commission did you submit anything to them, any comments
- 12 or questions about the process?
- 13 A Personally, no.
- No. Okay. Could you maybe explain to me how you 14
- 15 understand the redistricting process to work in the State
- 16 of Washington?
- 17 A I believe it's chosen by the legislative, certain
- 18 maps; and the people that are within those boundaries
- 19 vote, and it gets either approved or denied.
- 20 Q And do you know how many commissioners were on the
- 21 Commission?
- 22 I don't know.
- 23 Okay. Do you recall maybe some of the names of the
- 24 commissioners?
- 25 A I do not.

1

Page 15

- 1 Okay. Would you happen to know how many were say
- 2 Republican versus Democrat?
- 3 A I would not know.
- 4 What about the number of nonpartisan chairs on the
- 5 Commission, do you know how many there were?
- 6 A I don't.
- 7 Or any of their names?
- 8 A No, I don't. I'm sorry.
- 9 That's okay. No need to apologize.
- 10 Did you review the final map that the Commission
- 11 passed?
- 12 The current map? Yes.
- 13 Q Okay. What was your first impression when you saw
- 14 that map?
- 15 That it's not the map that we proposed.
- 16 When you say we, who is we?
- 17 Just our group that we suggested.
- 18 So there was a group that had suggested a different
- 19 map to the Commission?
- 20 A That the attorneys suggested for us, with us.
- 21 Okay. So had you seen the Commission's map before
- 22 this lawsuit was started?
- 23 A No, I did not.
- 24 Q Okay. So how did you come to be involved in the
 - lawsuit?

25

- Page 16
- A I honestly don't remember exactly how I got involved, but once I learned about -- about it, then I 2
- 3 just became involved.
- 4 Q Okay. Do you remember was there an attorney who
- 5 approached you, or was it a friend?
- 6 A I really don't remember.
- 7 Okay. So if I understand right, the Commission
- 8 passed a map. The lawsuit started, and you became
- 9 involved. And at that point you saw the map for the first
- 10 time; is that right?
- 11 A I don't know that it happened in that order. It
- 12 honestly was a long time ago, and I truly don't remember.
- 13 Q Okay. But you hadn't seen the final map until this
- 14 lawsuit was already going and the attorneys approached
- 15

23

- 16 A I don't believe that's how it happened. I honestly
- 17 would have to go back and think more about it on how it
- 18
- 19 Q Okay. No rush, but if you just want to take a
- 20 minute to think about it right now, --
- 21 A I need more time.
- 22 -- maybe you can try to --
 - Okay. Did you review the maps that --
- 24 Oh, I saw your hand.
- 25 A Yeah, I would like to take a small break, please.

4 (Pages 13 to 16)

December 6, 2022

	Page 17		Page 18
1	MR. BOWEN: Okay. Go ahead. A five-minute	1	A No, I'm not.
2	break, does that work?	2	Q Okay. So is it fair to say then that you wouldn't
3	THE WITNESS: Yeah, that would be great.	3	have an opinion about what I'm going to call the CVAP, the
4	MR. BOWEN: Thanks.	4	citizen voting age population, the CVAP for Legislative
5	THE WITNESS: Thank you.	5	District 15?
6	MR. BOWEN: So we'll reconvene in five	6	A I'm not understanding your question.
7	minutes.	7	Q Okay. Let me try to rephrase. There's a percentage
8	(Break 9:25 a.m. to 9:37 a.m.)	8	that tells us how many citizens of voting age in the
9	MR. BOWEN: Okay. Back on the record.	9	population have any given characteristics. So when I say
10	Q (By Mr. Bowen) When we left off we were talking	10	Hispanic citizen voting age population, it means how many
11	about the final map proposed by the Commission. Before	11	people in that population who can vote identify as
12	the Commission had proposed a final map the individual	12	Hispanic.
13	commissioners proposed their own maps.	13	Does that make sense?
14	Were you able to look at any of the individual	14	A Yes.
15	commissioners' proposed maps?	15	Q Are you aware of what that percentage was for the
16	A I don't remember.	16	15th Legislative District?
17	Q Okay. Do you know how many maps each commissioner	17	A I don't know.
18	proposed?	18	Q Okay. In your Amended Complaint you asserted two
19	A No, I don't.	19	different claims. Could you just tell me about them?
20	Q Could you tell me what the Hispanic citizen voting	20	A I'm not really sure exactly what you're asking me.
21	age population percentage was in the final map for the	21	Q Yes. So you guys sued the You sued the State of
22	15th Legislative District?	22	Washington and Secretary Hobbs. In that lawsuit, the
23	A I don't know that answer.	23	Complaint that was filed, there are two different claims
24	Q Okay. Are you familiar with the term citizen voting	24	you make against them. I was just wondering if you could
25	age population?	25	tell me in your own words what you think those are about
	Page 19		Page 20
1	_	1	
1 2	or what you think this case is about.	1 2	Q Okay. But you did not vote in the 2022 midterm?
2	or what you think this case is about. A I believe that I would like to be represented in my	1 2 3	Q Okay. But you did not vote in the 2022 midterm? A I did not.
	or what you think this case is about. A I believe that I would like to be represented in my district by a person that represents my values.	2	Q Okay. But you did not vote in the 2022 midterm?A I did not.Q Had you voted could you tell me which of the two
2	or what you think this case is about. A I believe that I would like to be represented in my	2 3	 Q Okay. But you did not vote in the 2022 midterm? A I did not. Q Had you voted could you tell me which of the two candidates would have been representative of your choice?
2 3 4	or what you think this case is about. A I believe that I would like to be represented in my district by a person that represents my values. Q Okay. And you think the current map does not do	2 3 4	 Q Okay. But you did not vote in the 2022 midterm? A I did not. Q Had you voted could you tell me which of the two candidates would have been representative of your choice? A In the ballot there was only one representative.
2 3 4 5	or what you think this case is about. A I believe that I would like to be represented in my district by a person that represents my values. Q Okay. And you think the current map does not do that?	2 3 4 5	 Q Okay. But you did not vote in the 2022 midterm? A I did not. Q Had you voted could you tell me which of the two candidates would have been representative of your choice? A In the ballot there was only one representative. (Court reporter request to repeat.)
2 3 4 5 6	or what you think this case is about. A I believe that I would like to be represented in my district by a person that represents my values. Q Okay. And you think the current map does not do that? A Correct. Q Can you explain how the map as it's drawn prevents	2 3 4 5 6	Q Okay. But you did not vote in the 2022 midterm? A I did not. Q Had you voted could you tell me which of the two candidates would have been representative of your choice? A In the ballot there was only one representative. (Court reporter request to repeat.) MR. BOWEN: I'm sorry. What, Jeanne?
2 3 4 5 6 7	or what you think this case is about. A I believe that I would like to be represented in my district by a person that represents my values. Q Okay. And you think the current map does not do that? A Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q Okay. But you did not vote in the 2022 midterm? A I did not. Q Had you voted could you tell me which of the two candidates would have been representative of your choice? A In the ballot there was only one representative. (Court reporter request to repeat.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	or what you think this case is about. A I believe that I would like to be represented in my district by a person that represents my values. Q Okay. And you think the current map does not do that? A Correct. Q Can you explain how the map as it's drawn prevents you from electing someone who represents your values?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q Okay. But you did not vote in the 2022 midterm? A I did not. Q Had you voted could you tell me which of the two candidates would have been representative of your choice? A In the ballot there was only one representative. (Court reporter request to repeat.) MR. BOWEN: I'm sorry. What, Jeanne? THE REPORTER: I just wanted her to repeat
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	or what you think this case is about. A I believe that I would like to be represented in my district by a person that represents my values. Q Okay. And you think the current map does not do that? A Correct. Q Can you explain how the map as it's drawn prevents you from electing someone who represents your values? A I wouldn't be able to explain all that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q Okay. But you did not vote in the 2022 midterm? A I did not. Q Had you voted could you tell me which of the two candidates would have been representative of your choice? A In the ballot there was only one representative. (Court reporter request to repeat.) MR. BOWEN: I'm sorry. What, Jeanne? THE REPORTER: I just wanted her to repeat her answer. It wasn't clear to me.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	or what you think this case is about. A I believe that I would like to be represented in my district by a person that represents my values. Q Okay. And you think the current map does not do that? A Correct. Q Can you explain how the map as it's drawn prevents you from electing someone who represents your values? A I wouldn't be able to explain all that. Q Okay. What are the values that you're looking for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q Okay. But you did not vote in the 2022 midterm? A I did not. Q Had you voted could you tell me which of the two candidates would have been representative of your choice? A In the ballot there was only one representative. (Court reporter request to repeat.) MR. BOWEN: I'm sorry. What, Jeanne? THE REPORTER: I just wanted her to repeat her answer. It wasn't clear to me. MR. BOWEN: Oh, okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	or what you think this case is about. A I believe that I would like to be represented in my district by a person that represents my values. Q Okay. And you think the current map does not do that? A Correct. Q Can you explain how the map as it's drawn prevents you from electing someone who represents your values? A I wouldn't be able to explain all that. Q Okay. What are the values that you're looking for in an individual to represent you?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q Okay. But you did not vote in the 2022 midterm? A I did not. Q Had you voted could you tell me which of the two candidates would have been representative of your choice? A In the ballot there was only one representative. (Court reporter request to repeat.) MR. BOWEN: I'm sorry. What, Jeanne? THE REPORTER: I just wanted her to repeat her answer. It wasn't clear to me. MR. BOWEN: Oh, okay. A In my ballot there was only one representative
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	or what you think this case is about. A I believe that I would like to be represented in my district by a person that represents my values. Q Okay. And you think the current map does not do that? A Correct. Q Can you explain how the map as it's drawn prevents you from electing someone who represents your values? A I wouldn't be able to explain all that. Q Okay. What are the values that you're looking for in an individual to represent you? A I would just like the opportunity to have the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q Okay. But you did not vote in the 2022 midterm? A I did not. Q Had you voted could you tell me which of the two candidates would have been representative of your choice? A In the ballot there was only one representative. (Court reporter request to repeat.) MR. BOWEN: I'm sorry. What, Jeanne? THE REPORTER: I just wanted her to repeat her answer. It wasn't clear to me. MR. BOWEN: Oh, okay. A In my ballot there was only one representative choice.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	or what you think this case is about. A I believe that I would like to be represented in my district by a person that represents my values. Q Okay. And you think the current map does not do that? A Correct. Q Can you explain how the map as it's drawn prevents you from electing someone who represents your values? A I wouldn't be able to explain all that. Q Okay. What are the values that you're looking for in an individual to represent you? A I would just like the opportunity to have the representative of my choice that aligns within the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q Okay. But you did not vote in the 2022 midterm? A I did not. Q Had you voted could you tell me which of the two candidates would have been representative of your choice? A In the ballot there was only one representative. (Court reporter request to repeat.) MR. BOWEN: I'm sorry. What, Jeanne? THE REPORTER: I just wanted her to repeat her answer. It wasn't clear to me. MR. BOWEN: Oh, okay. A In my ballot there was only one representative choice. Q For the state senate race in Legislative District 15
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	or what you think this case is about. A I believe that I would like to be represented in my district by a person that represents my values. Q Okay. And you think the current map does not do that? A Correct. Q Can you explain how the map as it's drawn prevents you from electing someone who represents your values? A I wouldn't be able to explain all that. Q Okay. What are the values that you're looking for in an individual to represent you? A I would just like the opportunity to have the representative of my choice that aligns within the boundaries of where I'm at, where I live.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q Okay. But you did not vote in the 2022 midterm? A I did not. Q Had you voted could you tell me which of the two candidates would have been representative of your choice? A In the ballot there was only one representative. (Court reporter request to repeat.) MR. BOWEN: I'm sorry. What, Jeanne? THE REPORTER: I just wanted her to repeat her answer. It wasn't clear to me. MR. BOWEN: Oh, okay. A In my ballot there was only one representative choice. Q For the state senate race in Legislative District 15 you only had one representative?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	or what you think this case is about. A I believe that I would like to be represented in my district by a person that represents my values. Q Okay. And you think the current map does not do that? A Correct. Q Can you explain how the map as it's drawn prevents you from electing someone who represents your values? A I wouldn't be able to explain all that. Q Okay. What are the values that you're looking for in an individual to represent you? A I would just like the opportunity to have the representative of my choice that aligns within the boundaries of where I'm at, where I live. Q Okay. And talking about a representative of your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q Okay. But you did not vote in the 2022 midterm? A I did not. Q Had you voted could you tell me which of the two candidates would have been representative of your choice? A In the ballot there was only one representative. (Court reporter request to repeat.) MR. BOWEN: I'm sorry. What, Jeanne? THE REPORTER: I just wanted her to repeat her answer. It wasn't clear to me. MR. BOWEN: Oh, okay. A In my ballot there was only one representative choice. Q For the state senate race in Legislative District 15 you only had one representative? A In my November ballot, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	or what you think this case is about. A I believe that I would like to be represented in my district by a person that represents my values. Q Okay. And you think the current map does not do that? A Correct. Q Can you explain how the map as it's drawn prevents you from electing someone who represents your values? A I wouldn't be able to explain all that. Q Okay. What are the values that you're looking for in an individual to represent you? A I would just like the opportunity to have the representative of my choice that aligns within the boundaries of where I'm at, where I live. Q Okay. And talking about a representative of your choice, did you vote in this last election that just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q Okay. But you did not vote in the 2022 midterm? A I did not. Q Had you voted could you tell me which of the two candidates would have been representative of your choice? A In the ballot there was only one representative. (Court reporter request to repeat.) MR. BOWEN: I'm sorry. What, Jeanne? THE REPORTER: I just wanted her to repeat her answer. It wasn't clear to me. MR. BOWEN: Oh, okay. A In my ballot there was only one representative choice. Q For the state senate race in Legislative District 15 you only had one representative? A In my November ballot, yes. Q Okay. I'm going to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	or what you think this case is about. A I believe that I would like to be represented in my district by a person that represents my values. Q Okay. And you think the current map does not do that? A Correct. Q Can you explain how the map as it's drawn prevents you from electing someone who represents your values? A I wouldn't be able to explain all that. Q Okay. What are the values that you're looking for in an individual to represent you? A I would just like the opportunity to have the representative of my choice that aligns within the boundaries of where I'm at, where I live. Q Okay. And talking about a representative of your choice, did you vote in this last election that just happened a few weeks back, the 2022 midterms?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q Okay. But you did not vote in the 2022 midterm? A I did not. Q Had you voted could you tell me which of the two candidates would have been representative of your choice? A In the ballot there was only one representative. (Court reporter request to repeat.) MR. BOWEN: I'm sorry. What, Jeanne? THE REPORTER: I just wanted her to repeat her answer. It wasn't clear to me. MR. BOWEN: Oh, okay. A In my ballot there was only one representative choice. Q For the state senate race in Legislative District 15 you only had one representative? A In my November ballot, yes. Q Okay. I'm going to (Website displayed.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	or what you think this case is about. A I believe that I would like to be represented in my district by a person that represents my values. Q Okay. And you think the current map does not do that? A Correct. Q Can you explain how the map as it's drawn prevents you from electing someone who represents your values? A I wouldn't be able to explain all that. Q Okay. What are the values that you're looking for in an individual to represent you? A I would just like the opportunity to have the representative of my choice that aligns within the boundaries of where I'm at, where I live. Q Okay. And talking about a representative of your choice, did you vote in this last election that just happened a few weeks back, the 2022 midterms? A Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q Okay. But you did not vote in the 2022 midterm? A I did not. Q Had you voted could you tell me which of the two candidates would have been representative of your choice? A In the ballot there was only one representative. (Court reporter request to repeat.) MR. BOWEN: I'm sorry. What, Jeanne? THE REPORTER: I just wanted her to repeat her answer. It wasn't clear to me. MR. BOWEN: Oh, okay. A In my ballot there was only one representative choice. Q For the state senate race in Legislative District 15 you only had one representative? A In my November ballot, yes. Q Okay. I'm going to (Website displayed.) MR. BOWEN: Jeanne, are you capable of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	or what you think this case is about. A I believe that I would like to be represented in my district by a person that represents my values. Q Okay. And you think the current map does not do that? A Correct. Q Can you explain how the map as it's drawn prevents you from electing someone who represents your values? A I wouldn't be able to explain all that. Q Okay. What are the values that you're looking for in an individual to represent you? A I would just like the opportunity to have the representative of my choice that aligns within the boundaries of where I'm at, where I live. Q Okay. And talking about a representative of your choice, did you vote in this last election that just happened a few weeks back, the 2022 midterms? A Yes. Q Did you vote for a state senator from Legislative	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q Okay. But you did not vote in the 2022 midterm? A I did not. Q Had you voted could you tell me which of the two candidates would have been representative of your choice? A In the ballot there was only one representative. (Court reporter request to repeat.) MR. BOWEN: I'm sorry. What, Jeanne? THE REPORTER: I just wanted her to repeat her answer. It wasn't clear to me. MR. BOWEN: Oh, okay. A In my ballot there was only one representative choice. Q For the state senate race in Legislative District 15 you only had one representative? A In my November ballot, yes. Q Okay. I'm going to (Website displayed.) MR. BOWEN: Jeanne, are you capable of taking a screenshot to send as an exhibit, or would I have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	or what you think this case is about. A I believe that I would like to be represented in my district by a person that represents my values. Q Okay. And you think the current map does not do that? A Correct. Q Can you explain how the map as it's drawn prevents you from electing someone who represents your values? A I wouldn't be able to explain all that. Q Okay. What are the values that you're looking for in an individual to represent you? A I would just like the opportunity to have the representative of my choice that aligns within the boundaries of where I'm at, where I live. Q Okay. And talking about a representative of your choice, did you vote in this last election that just happened a few weeks back, the 2022 midterms? A Yes. Q Did you vote for a state senator from Legislative District 15? Did you vote in that race?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q Okay. But you did not vote in the 2022 midterm? A I did not. Q Had you voted could you tell me which of the two candidates would have been representative of your choice? A In the ballot there was only one representative. (Court reporter request to repeat.) MR. BOWEN: I'm sorry. What, Jeanne? THE REPORTER: I just wanted her to repeat her answer. It wasn't clear to me. MR. BOWEN: Oh, okay. A In my ballot there was only one representative choice. Q For the state senate race in Legislative District 15 you only had one representative? A In my November ballot, yes. Q Okay. I'm going to (Website displayed.) MR. BOWEN: Jeanne, are you capable of taking a screenshot to send as an exhibit, or would I have to do that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	or what you think this case is about. A I believe that I would like to be represented in my district by a person that represents my values. Q Okay. And you think the current map does not do that? A Correct. Q Can you explain how the map as it's drawn prevents you from electing someone who represents your values? A I wouldn't be able to explain all that. Q Okay. What are the values that you're looking for in an individual to represent you? A I would just like the opportunity to have the representative of my choice that aligns within the boundaries of where I'm at, where I live. Q Okay. And talking about a representative of your choice, did you vote in this last election that just happened a few weeks back, the 2022 midterms? A Yes. Q Did you vote for a state senator from Legislative District 15? Did you vote in that race? A I did not. Q You did not. Have you previously voted in legislative district or legislative races for your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q Okay. But you did not vote in the 2022 midterm? A I did not. Q Had you voted could you tell me which of the two candidates would have been representative of your choice? A In the ballot there was only one representative. (Court reporter request to repeat.) MR. BOWEN: I'm sorry. What, Jeanne? THE REPORTER: I just wanted her to repeat her answer. It wasn't clear to me. MR. BOWEN: Oh, okay. A In my ballot there was only one representative choice. Q For the state senate race in Legislative District 15 you only had one representative? A In my November ballot, yes. Q Okay. I'm going to (Website displayed.) MR. BOWEN: Jeanne, are you capable of taking a screenshot to send as an exhibit, or would I have to do that? THE REPORTER: I can take a screenshot. (Screenshot taken.) MR. BOWEN: Okay. I'd like to admit this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	or what you think this case is about. A I believe that I would like to be represented in my district by a person that represents my values. Q Okay. And you think the current map does not do that? A Correct. Q Can you explain how the map as it's drawn prevents you from electing someone who represents your values? A I wouldn't be able to explain all that. Q Okay. What are the values that you're looking for in an individual to represent you? A I would just like the opportunity to have the representative of my choice that aligns within the boundaries of where I'm at, where I live. Q Okay. And talking about a representative of your choice, did you vote in this last election that just happened a few weeks back, the 2022 midterms? A Yes. Q Did you vote for a state senator from Legislative District 15? Did you vote in that race? A I did not. Q You did not. Have you previously voted in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q Okay. But you did not vote in the 2022 midterm? A I did not. Q Had you voted could you tell me which of the two candidates would have been representative of your choice? A In the ballot there was only one representative. (Court reporter request to repeat.) MR. BOWEN: I'm sorry. What, Jeanne? THE REPORTER: I just wanted her to repeat her answer. It wasn't clear to me. MR. BOWEN: Oh, okay. A In my ballot there was only one representative choice. Q For the state senate race in Legislative District 15 you only had one representative? A In my November ballot, yes. Q Okay. I'm going to (Website displayed.) MR. BOWEN: Jeanne, are you capable of taking a screenshot to send as an exhibit, or would I have to do that? THE REPORTER: I can take a screenshot. (Screenshot taken.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	or what you think this case is about. A I believe that I would like to be represented in my district by a person that represents my values. Q Okay. And you think the current map does not do that? A Correct. Q Can you explain how the map as it's drawn prevents you from electing someone who represents your values? A I wouldn't be able to explain all that. Q Okay. What are the values that you're looking for in an individual to represent you? A I would just like the opportunity to have the representative of my choice that aligns within the boundaries of where I'm at, where I live. Q Okay. And talking about a representative of your choice, did you vote in this last election that just happened a few weeks back, the 2022 midterms? A Yes. Q Did you vote for a state senator from Legislative District 15? Did you vote in that race? A I did not. Q You did not. Have you previously voted in legislative district or legislative races for your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q Okay. But you did not vote in the 2022 midterm? A I did not. Q Had you voted could you tell me which of the two candidates would have been representative of your choice? A In the ballot there was only one representative. (Court reporter request to repeat.) MR. BOWEN: I'm sorry. What, Jeanne? THE REPORTER: I just wanted her to repeat her answer. It wasn't clear to me. MR. BOWEN: Oh, okay. A In my ballot there was only one representative choice. Q For the state senate race in Legislative District 15 you only had one representative? A In my November ballot, yes. Q Okay. I'm going to (Website displayed.) MR. BOWEN: Jeanne, are you capable of taking a screenshot to send as an exhibit, or would I have to do that? THE REPORTER: I can take a screenshot. (Screenshot taken.) MR. BOWEN: Okay. I'd like to admit this

5 (Pages 17 to 20)

December 6, 2022

1	Page 21	Page 22
1	MR. BOWEN: Okay. Is this coming through	1 District 15, this first race.
2	for everybody?	2 Do you see that?
3	MS. LEEPER: Yes.	3 A Yes.
4	THE REPORTER: Yes. And I just took a	4 Q And how many candidates do you see under that race?
5	screenshot.	5 A Two.
6	MR. BOWEN: Okay. Thank you, Jeanne.	6 Q Two. And you currently reside in Legislative
7	Could you put that Just so everyone has that, could you	7 District 15; correct?
8	put that in the chat as well when you have a minute?	8 A That's correct.
9	THE REPORTER: Yes. Do you want me to do	9 Q Okay. Did you see these two candidates on your
10	that right now?	10 ballot for this state senate seat?
11	MR. BOWEN: Sure. I guess no, we'll wait	11 A I honestly don't remember because I didn't vote for
12	until the end you can send it, just because everyone can	12 the state senator.
13	see my screen right now.	13 Q Okay. I'm going to stop screen sharing here, if I
14	THE REPORTER: Okay.	14 can figure it out. Okay.
15	Q (By Mr. Bowen) Dora, I'm on the Secretary of State's	Did you vote for any of the state level candidates
16	website. Is that what you're seeing as well?	16 in Legislative District 15? Let me Strike that. Let
17	A Yes.	17 me rephrase.
18	Q Okay. And can you see right here, this says	18 Did you vote Strike that. I'm going to leave it
19	Legislative District 15; correct?	19 alone.
20	A Yes.	20 How many races would you say that you did not vote
21	Q And this is for the November 8th, 2022 general	21 for on your ballot?
22	election?	22 A I don't remember.
23	A Yes, I see that.	23 Q Okay. Going back to you telling me what this case
24	Q Is that right? Okay. And right here what I'm	24 is about, you had said that you felt you couldn't elect
25	highlighting, this says State Senator for Legislative	25 candidates of your choice; is that right?
	Page 23	Page 24
1	A I don't remember exactly verbatim what I said, but I	1 CERTIFICATE 2 STATE OF WASHINGTON)
2	would like to have the opportunity to have a) SS 3 County of King)
3	representative	4 I, the undersigned Washington Certified Court Reporter, pursuant to RCW 5.28.010 authorized to
4	Q Okay.	5 administer oaths and affirmations in and for the State of
5	A of my choice.	Washington, do hereby certify: 6 That the annexed and foregoing deposition of the
6	Q Do you have that opportunity right now?	
		witness named herein was taken stenographically before me and reduced to typewritten form under my direction.
7	A No.	
8	Q Why do you say that?	7 and reduced to typewritten form under my direction. I further certify that the witness examined will be 8 given an opportunity to review and sign their deposition after the same is transcribed, unless indicated in the
8 9	Q Why do you say that?A Because the way that the legislative is set up.	7 and reduced to typewritten form under my direction. I further certify that the witness examined will be given an opportunity to review and sign their deposition after the same is transcribed, unless indicated in the record that the parties and witness waived the signing. I further certify that all objections made at the
8 9 10	Q Why do you say that?A Because the way that the legislative is set up.Q Could you explain more about that?	7 and reduced to typewritten form under my direction. I further certify that the witness examined will be given an opportunity to review and sign their deposition after the same is transcribed, unless indicated in the 9 record that the parties and witness waived the signing. I further certify that all objections made at the time of said examination to my qualifications or the manner of taking the deposition or to the conduct of any
8 9 10 11	 Q Why do you say that? A Because the way that the legislative is set up. Q Could you explain more about that? MS. LEEPER: Object. That was asked and 	7 and reduced to typewriten form under my direction. I further certify that the witness examined will be given an opportunity to review and sign their deposition after the same is transcribed, unless indicated in the record that the parties and witness waived the signing. I further certify that all objections made at the 10 time of said examination to my qualifications or the manner of taking the deposition or to the conduct of any party have been noted by me upon the deposition. I further certify that I am not a relative or an
8 9 10 11 12	 Q Why do you say that? A Because the way that the legislative is set up. Q Could you explain more about that? MS. LEEPER: Object. That was asked and answered. 	7 and reduced to typewritten form under my direction. I further certify that the witness examined will be given an opportunity to review and sign their deposition after the same is transcribed, unless indicated in the 9 record that the parties and witness waived the signing. I further certify that all objections made at the 10 time of said examination to my qualifications or the manner of taking the deposition or to the conduct of any party have been noted by me upon the deposition. I further certify that I am not a relative or an 12 employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties to said action, or a relative or employee of any such
8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q Why do you say that? A Because the way that the legislative is set up. Q Could you explain more about that? MS. LEEPER: Object. That was asked and answered. Q (By Mr. Bowen) Dora, as we're going through the 	7 and reduced to typewritten form under my direction. I further certify that the witness examined will be given an opportunity to review and sign their deposition after the same is transcribed, unless indicated in the ercord that the parties and witness waived the signing. I further certify that all objections made at the time of said examination to my qualifications or the manner of taking the deposition or to the conduct of any party have been noted by me upon the deposition. I further certify that I am not a relative or an employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties to
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q Why do you say that? A Because the way that the legislative is set up. Q Could you explain more about that? MS. LEEPER: Object. That was asked and answered. Q (By Mr. Bowen) Dora, as we're going through the deposition today, is there anything you wish I had asked 	7 and reduced to typewritten form under my direction. I further certify that the witness examined will be given an opportunity to review and sign their deposition after the same is transcribed, unless indicated in the 9 record that the parties and witness waived the signing. I further certify that all objections made at the 10 time of said examination to my qualifications or the manner of taking the deposition or to the conduct of any party have been noted by me upon the deposition. I further certify that I am not a relative or an 12 employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties to said action, or a relative or employee of any such 13 attorney or counsel, and that I am not financially interested in the said action or the outcome thereof. 14 I further certify that the witness before examination
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q Why do you say that? A Because the way that the legislative is set up. Q Could you explain more about that? MS. LEEPER: Object. That was asked and answered. Q (By Mr. Bowen) Dora, as we're going through the deposition today, is there anything you wish I had asked you that you want to talk about? 	and reduced to typewritten form under my direction. I further certify that the witness examined will be given an opportunity to review and sign their deposition after the same is transcribed, unless indicated in the record that the parties and witness waived the signing. I further certify that all objections made at the time of said examination to my qualifications or the manner of taking the deposition or to the conduct of any party have been noted by me upon the deposition. I further certify that I am not a relative or an employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties to said action, or a relative or employee of any such attorney or counsel, and that I am not financially interested in the said action or the outcome thereof. I further certify that the witness before examination was by me duly sworm to testify the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q Why do you say that? A Because the way that the legislative is set up. Q Could you explain more about that? MS. LEEPER: Object. That was asked and answered. Q (By Mr. Bowen) Dora, as we're going through the deposition today, is there anything you wish I had asked you that you want to talk about? A No. 	7 and reduced to typewritten form under my direction. I further certify that the witness examined will be given an opportunity to review and sign their deposition after the same is transcribed, unless indicated in the record that the parties and witness waived the signing. I further certify that all objections made at the time of said examination to my qualifications or the manner of taking the deposition or to the conduct of any party have been noted by me upon the deposition. I further certify that I am not a relative or an employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties to said action, or a relative or employee of any such attorney or counsel, and that I am not financially interested in the said action or the outcome thereof. I further certify that the witness before examination was by me duly swom to testify the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth. I further certify that the deposition, as transcribed, is a full, true and correct transcript of the
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q Why do you say that? A Because the way that the legislative is set up. Q Could you explain more about that? MS. LEEPER: Object. That was asked and answered. Q (By Mr. Bowen) Dora, as we're going through the deposition today, is there anything you wish I had asked you that you want to talk about? A No. MR. BOWEN: Okay. Does anyone else have 	7 and reduced to typewritten form under my direction. I further certify that the witness examined will be given an opportunity to review and sign their deposition after the same is transcribed, unless indicated in the record that the parties and witness waived the signing. I further certify that all objections made at the time of said examination to my qualifications or the manner of taking the deposition or to the conduct of any party have been noted by me upon the deposition. I further certify that I am not a relative or an employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties to said action, or a relative or employee of any such attorney or counsel, and that I am not financially interested in the said action or the outcome thereof. I further certify that I am tone than to the said action or was by me duly sworn to testify the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth. I further certify that the deposition, as 16 transcribed, is a full, true and correct transcript of the testimony, including questions and answers and all objections, motions and exceptions of counsel made and
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q Why do you say that? A Because the way that the legislative is set up. Q Could you explain more about that? MS. LEEPER: Object. That was asked and answered. Q (By Mr. Bowen) Dora, as we're going through the deposition today, is there anything you wish I had asked you that you want to talk about? A No. MR. BOWEN: Okay. Does anyone else have any questions?	7 and reduced to typewritten form under my direction. I further certify that the witness examined will be given an opportunity to review and sign their deposition after the same is transcribed, unless indicated in the record that the parties and witness waived the signing. I further certify that all objections made at the time of said examination to my qualifications or the manner of taking the deposition or to the conduct of any party have been noted by me upon the deposition. I further certify that I am not a relative or an employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties to said action, or a relative or employee of any such attorney or counsel, and that I am not financially interested in the said action or the outcome thereof. I further certify that I am witness before examination was by me duly swom to testify the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth. I further certify that the deposition, as transcribed, is a full, true and correct transcript of the testimony, including questions and answers and all objections, motions and exceptions of counsel made and taken at the time of the foregoing examination and was prepared pursuant to Washington Administrative Code
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q Why do you say that? A Because the way that the legislative is set up. Q Could you explain more about that? MS. LEEPER: Object. That was asked and answered. Q (By Mr. Bowen) Dora, as we're going through the deposition today, is there anything you wish I had asked you that you want to talk about? A No. MR. BOWEN: Okay. Does anyone else have any questions? (No audible response.)	and reduced to typewritten form under my direction. I further certify that the witness examined will be given an opportunity to review and sign their deposition after the same is transcribed, unless indicated in the record that the parties and witness waived the signing. I further certify that all objections made at the time of said examination to my qualifications or the manner of taking the deposition or to the conduct of any party have been noted by me upon the deposition. I further certify that I am not a relative or an employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties to said action, or a relative or employee of any such attorney or counsel, and that I am not financially interested in the said action or the outcome thereof. I further certify that the witness before examination was by me duly swom to testify the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth. I further certify that the deposition, as transcribed, is a full, true and correct transcript of the testimony, including questions and answers and all objections, motions and exceptions of counsel made and taken at the time of the foregoing examination and was prepared pursuant to Washington Administrative Code 308-14-135, the transcript preparation format guideline.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q Why do you say that? A Because the way that the legislative is set up. Q Could you explain more about that? MS. LEEPER: Object. That was asked and answered. Q (By Mr. Bowen) Dora, as we're going through the deposition today, is there anything you wish I had asked you that you want to talk about? A No. MR. BOWEN: Okay. Does anyone else have any questions? (No audible response.) MR. BOWEN: Not hearing any, I am going to	and reduced to typewritten form under my direction. I further certify that the witness examined will be given an opportunity to review and sign their deposition after the same is transcribed, unless indicated in the record that the parties and witness waived the signing. I further certify that all objections made at the time of said examination to my qualifications or the manner of taking the deposition or to the conduct of any party have been noted by me upon the deposition. I further certify that I am not a relative or an employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties to said action, or a relative or employee of any such attorney or counsel, and that I am not financially interested in the said action or the outcome thereof. I further certify that the witness before examination was by me duly sworn to testify the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth. I further certify that the deposition, as I further certify that the deposition, as 16 transcribed, is a full, rue and correct transcript of the testimony, including questions and answers and all objections, motions and exceptions of counsel made and taken at the time of the foregoing examination and was prepared pursuant to Washington Administrative Code 308-14-135, the transcript preparation format guideline.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q Why do you say that? A Because the way that the legislative is set up. Q Could you explain more about that? MS. LEEPER: Object. That was asked and answered. Q (By Mr. Bowen) Dora, as we're going through the deposition today, is there anything you wish I had asked you that you want to talk about? A No. MR. BOWEN: Okay. Does anyone else have any questions? (No audible response.) MR. BOWEN: Not hearing any, I am going to end the deposition. And Dora, I thank you for your time.	and reduced to typewritten form under my direction. I further certify that the witness examined will be given an opportunity to review and sign their deposition after the same is transcribed, unless indicated in the record that the parties and witness waived the signing. I further certify that all objections made at the time of said examination to my qualifications or the manner of taking the deposition or to the conduct of any party have been noted by me upon the deposition. I further certify that I am not a relative or an employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties to said action, or a relative or employee of any such attorney or counsel, and that I am not financially interested in the said action or the outcome thereof. I further certify that I am not financially interested in the said action or the outcome thereof. I further certify that the witness before examination was by me duly swom to testify the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth. I further certify that the deposition, as transcribed, is a full, true and correct transcript of the testimony, including questions and answers and all objections, motions and exceptions of counsel made and taken at the time of the foregoing examination and was prepared pursuant to Washington Administrative Code 308-14-135, the transcript preparation format guideline. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q Why do you say that? A Because the way that the legislative is set up. Q Could you explain more about that? MS. LEEPER: Object. That was asked and answered. Q (By Mr. Bowen) Dora, as we're going through the deposition today, is there anything you wish I had asked you that you want to talk about? A No. MR. BOWEN: Okay. Does anyone else have any questions? (No audible response.) MR. BOWEN: Not hearing any, I am going to end the deposition. And Dora, I thank you for your time. And Jeanne, I think we're good to go off the record	and reduced to typewritten form under my direction. I further certify that the witness examined will be given an opportunity to review and sign their deposition after the same is transcribed, unless indicated in the record that the parties and witness waived the signing. I further certify that all objections made at the time of said examination to my qualifications or the manner of taking the deposition or to the conduct of any party have been noted by me upon the deposition. I further certify that I am not a relative or an employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties to said action, or a relative or employee of any such attorney or counsel, and that I am not financially interested in the said action or the outcome thereof. I further certify that the witness before examination was by me duly swom to testify the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth. I further certify that the deposition, as transcribed, is a full, true and correct transcript of the testimony, including questions and answers and all objections, motions and exceptions of counsel made and taken at the time of the foregoing examination and was prepared pursuant to Washington Administrative Code 308-14-135, the transcript preparation format guideline. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand the state of the foregoing examination format guideline. Jeanne M, Gersten, RDR, CCR
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q Why do you say that? A Because the way that the legislative is set up. Q Could you explain more about that? MS. LEEPER: Object. That was asked and answered. Q (By Mr. Bowen) Dora, as we're going through the deposition today, is there anything you wish I had asked you that you want to talk about? A No. MR. BOWEN: Okay. Does anyone else have any questions? (No audible response.) MR. BOWEN: Not hearing any, I am going to end the deposition. And Dora, I thank you for your time. And Jeanne, I think we're good to go off the record now.	and reduced to typewriten form under my direction. I further certify that the witness examined will be given an opportunity to review and sign their deposition after the same is transcribed, unless indicated in the record that the parties and witness waived the signing. I further certify that all objections made at the time of said examination to my qualifications or the manner of taking the deposition or to the conduct of any party have been noted by me upon the deposition. I further certify that I am not a relative or an employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties to said action, or a relative or employee of any such attorney or counsel, and that I am not financially interested in the said action or the outcome thereof. I further certify that the witness before examination was by me duly swom to testify the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth. I further certify that the deposition, as transcribed, is a full, true and correct transcript of the testimony, including questions and answers and all objections, motions and exceptions of counsel made and taken at the time of the foregoing examination and was prepared pursuant to Washington Administrative Code 308-14-135, the transcript preparation format guideline. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this little day of December, 2022. Jeaune M. Gersten, RDR, CCR Registered Diplomate Reporter Washington CCR No. 2711
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q Why do you say that? A Because the way that the legislative is set up. Q Could you explain more about that? MS. LEEPER: Object. That was asked and answered. Q (By Mr. Bowen) Dora, as we're going through the deposition today, is there anything you wish I had asked you that you want to talk about? A No. MR. BOWEN: Okay. Does anyone else have any questions? (No audible response.) MR. BOWEN: Not hearing any, I am going to end the deposition. And Dora, I thank you for your time. And Jeanne, I think we're good to go off the record	and reduced to typewritten form under my direction. I further certify that the witness examined will be given an opportunity to review and sign their deposition after the same is transcribed, unless indicated in the record that the parties and witness waived the signing. I further certify that all objections made at the time of said examination to my qualifications or the manner of taking the deposition or to the conduct of any party have been noted by me upon the deposition. I further certify that I am not a relative or an employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties to said action, or a relative or employee of any such attorney or counsel, and that I am not financially interested in the said action or the outcome thereof. I further certify that the witness before examination was by me duly sworm to testify the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth. I further certify that the deposition, as transcribed, is a full, true and correct transcript of the testimony, including questions and answers and all objections, motions and exceptions of counsel made and taken at the time of the foregoing examination and was prepared pursuant to Washington Administrative Code 308-14-135, the transcript preparation format guideline. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hard the page of the prepared pursuant to Washington Administrative Code 11th day of December, 2022.

6 (Pages 21 to 24)

December 6, 2022

	Page	25	
1 CHANGE/SIGNATURE SHEET			
2 I, the undersigned, HELIODORA MORFIN, hereb	v		
certify that I have read the foregoing deposition and	,		
that, to the best of my knowledge, said deposition is true			
and accurate, with the exception of the following			
4 corrections listed below:			
5 PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
		_	
18			
Signature Date			
19			
20 Witness: Heliodora Morfin			
Soto Palmer, et al. v. Hobbs, et al.			
21 USDC Western District of Washington at Seattle			
Cause No. 3:22-cv-05035-RSL			
Date: December 6, 2022			
23 Reported by: Jeanne M. Gersten, RDR, CCR No. 2711			
LAKESIDE REPORTING			
24 (833) 365-3376			
Jeanne@LakesideReporting.com			
25 Contact@LakesideReporting.com			

7 (Page 25)

December 6, 2022

	7.17.22	1	11.70	10.0
A	answer 7:17,23	August 9:5	business 11:7,9	citizens 18:8
a.m 1:19,19 4:2	7:24 8:13,14	authorized 24:4	<u>C</u>	City 13:12,15
17:8,8 23:24	8:16 17:23	Avenue 2:23 3:5	$C = \frac{C}{C : 1 : 24 : 1, 1}$	Civic 11:21
A.R 2:4	20:9	aware 8:15 13:6	C 2.1 24.1,1 California 2:19	claims 18:19,23
ability 8:13	answered 23:12	18:15	call 18:3	clarify 7:17
able 17:14 19:9	answering 6:24	B	call 18:5	Clean 12:14
accounting 11:7	8:7,8	B 3:17 10:15		clear 6:5 20:9
11:8,10 12:16	answers 24:16		Camelback 2:5	Code 24:18
accurate 25:3	anybody 7:1	back 9:17 10:5,6	camera 6:9	college 11:3,5,6
action 24:12,13	Apartment	11:24 16:17	campaign 2:8,12	Columbia 11:6
address 9:23	10:15	17:9 19:17	11:20	come 4:19 15:24
10:9,11,14,19	apologize 15:9	22:23	CAMPOS 1:12	comes 8:5
10:20	APPEARAN	background	candidates 20:4	coming 21:1
administer 24:5	3:1	5:12 12:18	22:4,9,15,25	comments 14:11
Administrative	applications	ballot 20:5,11,15	capable 20:18	commission
24:18	6:13	22:10,21	capacity 1:8	13:11,14,16,21
admit 20:23	approached	bandwidth 4:15	caregiver 11:14	13:23,25 14:4
Affairs 2:18	16:5,14	Basin 11:6	caregiving 11:15	14:7,11,21
affect 8:13	approved 14:19	BBowen@Hol	case 5:13 19:1	15:5,10,19
affirmations	April 24:23	2:6	22:23	16:7 17:11,12
24:5	area 9:16,18	behalf 2:8,16,21	Cause 25:21	Commission's
age 17:21,25	10:5,6,10 11:6	believe 6:2	cautious 6:22	13:7 15:21
18:4,8,10	arena 11:18	14:17 16:16	CCR 1:22 24:22	commissioner
ago 13:9 16:12	Arizona 2:6	19:2	24:23 25:23	17:17
agreed 4:20	ASEEM 2:10	BEN 2:11	CENTER 2:8,12	commissioners
AHarless@Ca	aside 6:15	best 25:3	Center.org 2:14	14:20,24 17:13
2:14	asked 23:11,14	bit 12:17	2:14	commissioners'
ahead 17:1	asking 6:24	boundaries	certain 14:17	17:15
Air 12:14	18:20	14:18 19:14	Certified 24:4	communicate
al 1:5 2:8 25:20	asserted 18:18	Bowen 2:4 3:12	certify 24:5,7,9	6:14,19
25:20	Assistant 3:3	4:8,24 5:3,5,7	24:11,14,15	Complaint 8:25
ALEX 1:13	associate 5:7	6:12,13 17:1,4	25:2	18:18,23
aligns 19:13	assume 7:18	17:6,9,10 20:7	chairs 15:4	Complex 3:4
Alliance 11:21	attend 13:10	20:10,18,23	CHANGE 25:5	computer 6:14
ALLISON 2:11	14:3	21:1,6,11,15	CHANGE/SI	concluded 23:24
Amended 18:18	attorney 3:3,4	23:13,17,20	25:1	conduct 24:10
AMulji@Cam	7:21,22,24 9:9	boy 10:15	characteristics	confirm 12:24
2:14	13:1 16:4	BPhillips@Ca	18:9	connection 4:13
ANDREW 3:3	24:12,13	2:15	chat 21:8	connectivity
Andrew.Hugh	attorneys 8:19	break 6:6 8:3,6	choice 19:13,16	4:14,19
3:6	8:24 13:3,4	8:9 16:25 17:2	20:4,12 22:25	Contact@Lak
Angeles 2:19	14:9 15:20	17:8	23:5	1:24 25:25
ANNABELLE	16:14	Brennan 2:4 5:7	chosen 14:17	continue 7:24
2:10	audible 7:5	briefly 9:11	citizen 17:20,24	continued 2:25
annexed 24:6	23:19	Building 2:18	18:4,10	3:1

December 6, 2022

				Page 21
conversation 7:6	3:18	election 3:19	16:13 17:11,12	23:22
conversations	different 4:17	19:16 21:22	17:21	go-around 13:22
8:19	15:18 18:19,23	Elections 3:19	finally 8:12	going 4:24 5:10
correct 10:4,7	Diplomate 1:23	email 4:16	financially	5:13,23 6:4,21
19:6 21:19	24:22	employee 24:12	24:13	7:5,18,23 8:4
	direction 24:7	24:12	fine 13:20	12:17 16:14
22:7,8 24:16		· ·		18:3 20:16
corrections 25:4	discuss 5:13	engagement	finish 4:18 8:7	
counsel 13:1	Discussion 4:3	12:3	Firm 2:21,22	22:13,18,23
24:12,13,17	displayed 20:17	especially 6:22	6:11	23:13,20
County 24:3	20:25	Esplanade 2:5	first 5:24 13:22	good 5:6 6:12
couple 6:24	district 1:3,3	et 1:5 2:8 25:20	15:13 16:9	10:17 23:22
court 1:3 6:25	3:20 10:20,22	25:20	22:1	government
7:14 20:6 24:4	17:22 18:5,16	ethnicity 12:22	five 13:9 17:6	12:9,10
current 9:23	19:3,20,23,24	everybody 4:9	five-minute 17:1	graduate 11:1
10:19,20 15:12	20:13 21:19	4:22 21:2	fixing 4:12	great 17:3
19:4	22:1,7,16	exact 13:24	follow 13:25	ground 5:23
currently 4:11	25:21	exactly 16:1	14:1	group 15:17,18
10:8 12:2 22:6	Division 3:4	18:20 23:1	following 25:3	groups 12:4
customer 12:16	documents 8:22	examination	follows 4:7	guess 13:10
CVAP 18:3,4	9:4,6	1:16 3:10	foregoing 24:6	21:11
	doing 6:6 11:12	24:10,14,17	24:17 25:2	guideline 24:18
D	11:15,19 13:25	examined 24:7	form 24:7	guys 18:21
Date 25:18,22	Dora 5:19,20,20	example 14:3	format 24:18	
day 24:20	5:21 21:15	exception 25:3	Fourth 10:15	H
days 4:11	23:13,21	exceptions 24:17	friend 16:5	H 3:17
DC 2:13	drawn 19:7	exhibit 20:19,24	front 4:9 12:16	hand 7:7 16:24
December 1:19	drew 13:21	20:25	full 5:14 24:16	24:19
4:1 24:20	duly 24:14	existence 13:7	fully 8:13,15	happen 15:1
25:22		experience	function 6:4	happened 16:11
DEFENDANT	E	12:18	further 24:7,9	16:16,18 19:17
3:2	E 2:1,1 3:17 5:4	explain 13:18	24:11,14,15	HARLESS 2:10
Defendants 1:10	24:1,1	14:14 19:7,9		head 7:10
degree 11:7,7,9	East 2:5	23:10	G	heads 7:6
Democrat 15:2	Eddie 13:1	eyesight 6:18	G 1:12	hear 13:22
denied 14:19	Eddie@Morfi		general 3:3,4,19	heard 13:8,11
deposed 5:20	2:24	F	5:11 9:14	13:14
deposition 1:16	education 12:7	F 24:1	21:21	hearing 23:20
4:17 6:4,15,19	Edwardo 2:22	fair 18:2	Gersten 1:22	hearings 14:4
8:20 9:9 23:14	6:10,12	familiar 17:24	24:22 25:23	held 14:4
23:21,24 24:6	effect 7:13	felt 22:24	gestures 7:7	Heliodora 1:17
24:8,10,11,15	effective 24:23	Fifth 3:5	getting 7:7	3:11 4:4 5:16
25:2,3	either 11:18	figure 22:14	give 6:23 7:1	25:2,20
depositions 4:20	12:9 14:19	filed 18:23	given 18:9 24:8	help 6:25
Deschutes 2:23	elect 22:24	filing 9:1	go 8:9 10:24	hereunto 24:19
DESCRIPTI	electing 19:8	final 15:10	16:17 17:1	high 10:24,25
	22.2.2.2.2			-8
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

December 6, 2022

				rage 20
highlighting	involvement	4:23 5:1 21:3	means 18:10	20:15 21:21
21:25	11:18	23:11	medication 8:12	number 3:18
Hispanic 17:20	Irving 9:24 10:2	left 17:10	8:17	15:4
18:10,12	ISMAEL 1:12	LEGAL 2:8,12	meet 6:12	13.4
Hobbs 1:8 18:22	issues 4:19	legislative 3:20	memory 10:17	0
25:20	IV 2:5	10:20,22 14:17	Mexican 12:23	O 5:4
Holtzman 2:4	1 V 2.3	17:22 18:4,16	12:24	oath 4:5 7:11,13
5:7	J		middle 8:8	oaths 24:5
= ::	Jeanne 1:22	19:19,23,23	midterm 20:1	object 7:24
honestly 13:24	20:7,18 21:6	20:13 21:19,25		23:11
16:1,12,16	23:22 24:22	22:6,16 23:9	midterms 19:17	objection 4:21
22:11	25:23	level 13:19	minute 16:20	7:25
hope 4:12	Jeanne@Lake	22:15	21:8	objections 7:1,3
hoping 8:4	1:24 25:24	License 24:23	minutes 17:7	•
hot 4:15	JOSE 1:12	LINE 25:5	Morfin 1:17	7:4 24:9,17
HUGHES 3:3		listed 25:4	2:21,22,22	objects 7:21
5:2	July 9:4,5	Litigation 3:4	3:11 4:4 5:6,16	office 4:11 11:10
husband 13:2	K	little 12:17	6:10,10,11	12:16
I	keep 4:16 5:10	live 10:8,20	13:1 20:25	official 1:8
-	Kennewick 2:23	19:14	25:2,20	Oh 16:24 20:10
I-R-V-I-N-G	King 24:3	lived 9:12,14,15	morning 5:6	okay 4:8,22 5:3
10:2	know 4:8 5:24	local 12:10,11	motions 24:17	5:17,23 6:3,6,7
identified 20:25		long 8:5 9:13	moved 9:16	6:17,21 7:13
identify 12:19	6:3 7:6,17 8:4	11:15 16:12	MULJI 2:10	7:16,21 8:3,12
12:21 18:11	9:3,12 12:21	look 17:14	municipality	8:18,22,25 9:3
impression	13:17,19 14:20	looking 19:10	12:11,12	9:8,11,18,23
15:13	14:22 15:1,3,5	looks 4:18	mute 6:3	10:4,9,13,23
including 24:16	16:11 17:17,23	Los 2:19		11:1,11,23,25
INDEX 3:10	18:17	lose 4:13	N	12:3,6,15,17
indicated 24:8	knowledge 25:3	love 7:2	N 2:1 5:4,4	13:6,14,20,25
individual 17:12			name 5:6,14	14:3,6,10,14
17:14 19:11		M	named 24:6	14:23 15:1,9
information	Lake 11:5	M 1:22 5:4	names 14:23	15:13,21,24
12:18 13:8,11	LAKESIDE	24:22 25:23	15:7	16:4,7,13,19
14:1,7,8	1:22 25:23	management	nearby 10:12	16:23 17:1,9
informed 13:18	Lane 10:15	11:10	need 8:3,6 15:9	17:17,24 18:2
instructs 7:23	Latino 11:21	manner 24:10	16:21	18:7,18 19:4
interested 24:13	Law 2:21,22	map 15:10,12,14	nod 7:6	19:10,15 20:1
internet 4:10	6:11	15:15,19,21	Nodded 4:23	20:10,16,23
Intervenor-De	lawsuit 13:12	16:8,9,13	nodding 7:9	21:1,6,14,18
1:14 2:3 5:8	15:22,25 16:8	17:11,12,21	nonpartisan	21:24 22:9,13
introduced 3:18	16:14 18:22	19:4,7	15:4	22:14,23 23:4
12:25	lay 5:23	maps 13:22	normal 7:6	23:17
involved 12:4	learned 16:2	14:18 16:23	Northwest 2:12	once 16:2
13:12 15:24	learning 14:2	17:13,15,17	noted 4:8 24:11	open 4:17 6:13
16:2,3,9	leave 22:18	matter 5:8	November 3:19	opinion 18:3
,_ ,_	LEEPER 2:9			F522 20.0
	I	I	I	ı

				Page 29
opportunity	please 5:14 7:16	question 7:25	17:16 22:11,22	Rights 2:16,18
19:12 23:2,6	10:1 16:25	8:7 18:6	23:1	Road 2:5
24:8	PLLC 2:22	questions 5:12	Remote 1:16 4:1	role 12:15
opposed 7:9	point 9:1 11:17	6:25 7:16 8:8	repeat 20:6,8	room 6:8
ORAL 1:16	16:9	8:14,16 14:12	rephrase 18:7	rules 5:23
order 16:11	political 11:18	23:18 24:16	22:17	rush 16:19
outages 4:10	11:19 12:3	quick 20:24	Reported 1:22	1 usii 10.17
outcome 24:13	population	quick 20.24	25:23	$\overline{\mathbf{S}}$
	17:21,25 18:4	R	reporter 1:23	S 2:1
P	18:9,10,11	R 2:1 24:1	6:25 9:25 20:6	saw 15:13 16:9
P 2:1,1	possible 5:11	R.W 3:3	20:8,21 21:4,9	16:24
page 2:25 3:11	prefer 5:17	race 12:19 19:20	21:14 24:4,22	says 21:18,25
25:5	preparation	20:13 22:1,4	REPORTING	school 10:24
painless 5:10	24:18	races 19:23	1:22 25:23	screen 20:24
Palmer 1:5 2:8	prepare 8:20	22:20	represent 5:8	21:13 22:13
25:20	prepared 24:18	RCW 24:4	19:11	screenshot 3:19
park 4:11	preserves 4:21	RDR 1:22 24:22	representative	20:19,21,22
part 13:3,4	prevents 19:7	25:23	1:13 19:13,15	21:5
parties 24:9,12	previous 4:20	read 25:2	20:4,5,11,14	seat 22:10
party 24:11	13:8,10,14	real 20:24	23:3	Seattle 3:5 9:16
Pasco 9:15,15,20	previously 10:13	really 12:8	represented	10:5,10 11:6
9:21,24 10:3,5	19:22	13:17,18 14:1	19:2	24:24 25:21
10:6,8,13,16	problem 4:12	16:6 18:20	represents 19:3	seconds 6:24
10:25,25 13:9	proceedings 4:1	reason 8:15 25:5	19:8	Secretary 1:8
13:12,15	4:2 7:22	recall 10:9,11,11	Republican 15:2	18:22 21:15
passed 15:11	process 14:10,12	10:14 14:23	request 20:6	see 4:14 21:13
16:8	14:15	reconvene 17:6	reschedule 4:17	21:18,23 22:2
pause 6:24	professional	record 4:3,10	reserved 23:25	22:4,9
pending 8:8	12:6	5:14 7:8 17:9	reside 22:6	seeing 21:16
people 14:18	professionally	23:22 24:9	Residing 24:24	seen 15:21 16:13
18:11	11:9	recording 5:25	resolve 4:18	senate 20:13
percentage	Project 2:16,18	redistricting	resolved 8:9	22:10
17:21 18:7,15	proposed 15:15	12:7 13:7,13	response 23:19	senator 19:19
Perfect 5:3	17:11,12,13,15	13:16,21 14:10	responses 7:5	21:25 22:12
person 19:3	17:18	14:15	review 8:22 14:6	send 4:16 20:19
personal 12:18	provided 14:8	reduced 24:7	15:10 16:23	21:12
Personally	public 2:18 14:4	reestablish 4:14	24:8	sense 7:19 8:1
14:13	publicly 14:6	Registered 1:23	reviewed 8:25	18:13
PHILLIPS 2:11	pursuant 24:4	24:22	9:4,6	service 12:16
Phoenix 2:6	24:18	relation 13:4	right 4:24 5:20	set 9:4,5 23:9
phones 6:17	put 14:7 21:7,8	relative 24:11	8:18 11:8,11	24:19
Plaintiffs 1:6 2:8		24:12	11:13 16:7,10	shake 7:6
2:16,21	Q	released 14:6	16:20 21:10,13	shaking 7:9
plaintiffs' 13:1	qualifications	remember 13:24	21:18,24,24	share 20:24
plan 5:11	24:10	16:1,4,6,12	22:25 23:6	sharing 22:13
			<u>l</u>	

December 6, 2022

				rage 30
SHEET 25:1	9:25 10:3	Thanks 17:4	unclear 7:16	3:2,4,5 9:15,24
short 5:10	streets 10:12	thereof 24:13	undersigned	10:3,16,25
sign 24:8	Strike 22:16,18	thing 8:5,6	24:4 25:2	11:5 14:16
Signature 23:25	stuff 8:5	things 6:17	understand 7:11	18:22 24:2,4,5
25:18	submit 14:11	think 9:13 12:5	7:14 8:5 10:4	24:18,23,24
signing 24:9	sued 18:21,21	12:24 16:17,20	14:15 16:7	25:21
silence 4:25	suggested 15:17	18:25 19:1,4	understanding	wasn't 20:9
SIMONE 2:9	15:18,20	23:22	18:6	way 23:9
simple 7:8	Suite 2:5,12,23	time 4:18 5:9 7:1	understood 7:18	we'll 6:23 7:2,3
SLeeper@Ca	3:5	8:3,23 9:13,13	UNITED 1:3	17:6 21:11
2:13	super 8:5	11:17 13:24	USDC 25:21	we're 4:11 6:5
small 16:25	super 8.3 sure 7:2,9 18:20	16:10,12,21	use 6:5,14,18	6:21 23:13,22
SONNI 2:17	21:11	23:21 24:10,17	use 0.3,14,16	we've 4:20
Sonni@UCL	SUSAN 1:5	today 4:12,22	$\overline{\mathbf{v}}$	we ve 4.20 website 20:17
2:19		5:9 7:3,11,21	v 1:7 25:20	21:16
· · ·	switch 12:17 sworn 24:14		values 19:3,8,10	· -
sorry 15:8 20:7 Soto 1:5 2:8	sworn 24:14 sworn/affirmed	8:12,16 9:9 23:14	varues 15.3,8,10 verbatim 23:1	week 9:7
25:20	sworn/amrmed 4:5	today's 8:20	versus 15:2	weeks 9:6,7 19:17
South 10:15	4:3		vicinities 9:14	welcome 10:19
	T	totally 8:6 Tower 2:5	vocational 11:4	went 10:25 11:6
specific 13:15	T 3:17 5:4 24:1		Vogel 2:4 5:7	
specifically 7:22	24:1	training 11:4 12:6	voice 7:3	West 2:23 9:24
	tablets 6:17		volunteer 11:19	Western 1:3
specifics 5:13	take 4:24 8:3	transcribed 24:8 24:16	volunteered	25:21 WHEREOE
spoken 9:8	9:13 16:19,25		11:21	WHEREOF
spot 4:15 SS 24:2	20:21	transcript 24:16	vote 14:19 18:11	24:19 wish 23:14
	taken 20:22 24:6	24:18 TREVINO 1:12	19:16,19,20	
start 5:11 started 5:24	24:17	Tri-Cities 9:17	20:1 22:11,15	witness 10:2
15:22 16:8	talk 6:22 23:15		22:18,20	17:3,5 24:6,7,9
	talk 0.22 23.13	9:18 10:5,6 true 24:16 25:3	voted 19:22 20:3	24:14,19 25:20
state 1:8,9,13	talking 8:23		voting 2:16,18	wondering
3:2 5:14 12:9		truly 16:12	3:19 17:20,24	18:24
13:16,22 14:15	Technical 11:5	truth 4:5,6,6	18:4,8,10	words 18:25
18:21 19:19	tell 4:5 17:20	24:14,15,15		work 4:15 8:10
20:13 21:25	18:19,25 20:3	truthfully 8:14	\mathbf{W}	12:17 14:15 17:2
22:10,12,15	telling 22:23	8:16	wait 4:13 21:11	worked 11:10
24:2,5	tells 18:8	try 5:10 7:17 16:22 18:7	waived 24:9	
State's 21:15	ten 9:12,16		WAKNIN 2:17	12:9,11
STATES 1:3	term 17:24	Tuesday 1:19	walk 9:11	working 4:11
stenographer	testified 4:6	two 11:16,17	WALKER 2:11	12:12
5:25	testify 24:14	18:18,23 20:3	want 4:9 16:19	wouldn't 18:2 19:9
stenographica 24:6	testimony 24:16	22:5,6,9	21:9 23:15	17.7
24:6 STEVEN 1:8	thank 5:3,9 8:18	typewritten 24:7	wanted 5:9 20:8	X
stop 22:13	10:18 17:5	U	Washington 1:3	X 3:17 5:4
stop 22:13 street 2:12 9:24	21:6 23:21	UCLA 2:16,18	1:9,9 2:13,23	
SHEEL 2.12 7.24		2 2 2 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2	,	
	I	I	l	

December 6, 2022

			Page 31
	365-3376 1:23		
<u>Y</u>	25:24		
YBARRA 1:13	23:24		
Yeah 16:25 17:3	4		
year 11:1	400 2:12		
years 9:12,16,17			
11:16,17 13:9	5		
$\overline{\mathbf{z}}$	5 3:12		
Zoom 6:3,15,21	5.28.010 24:4		
0	6		
	6 1:19 4:1 25:22		
1	7		
1 3:19 20:24,25			
1101 2:12	7325 2:23		
1115 9:24	8		
11th 24:20	83:19		
14th 2:12	800 3:5		
15 3:20 10:22,23	816 10:15		
18:5 19:20	833 1:23 25:24		
20:13 21:19	85016 2:6		
22:1,7,16	860 2:5		
15th 17:22 18:16	8th 21:21		
1993 11:2			
	9		
2 24.22	9:06 1:19 4:2		
2 24:23	9:25 17:8		
20 3:19 2000 3:5	9:37 17:8		
2000 5:3 20005 2:13	9:49 1:19 23:24		
20005 2:15 2009 11:24	90095 2:19		
2009 11.24 2022 1:19 3:19	98104 3:5		
4:1 19:17 20:1	99301 9:24 10:3		
21:21 24:20	10:16		
25:22	99336 2:23		
2023 24:23			
2575 2:5			
2711 1:22 24:23			
25:23			
3			
3:22-cv-05035			
1:9 25:21			
308-14-135			
24:18			
3250 2:18			
2202.10			