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1. I am an attorney duly licensed to practice law in the State of North Dakota and am admitted to practice before this Court.
2. I am a member of the firm of Bakke Grinolds Wiederholt, attorney for Defendant Michael Howe, in his official capacity as Secretary of State of North Dakota, in this action. I have personal knowledge of the facts stated herein.
3. Attached hereto as Exhibit 1 is a true and correct copy of the deposition transcript of Weston McCool taken on February 16, 2023.
4. Attached hereto as Exhibit 2 is a true and correct copy of Plaintiffs' Rule 26(a) Initial Disclosures on June 23, 2022.
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|  | Page 10 |  | Page 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | and we'll be going through them. So you'll -- when | 1 | de statistical modeling? |
| 2 | I ask you questions, we'll primarily be looking at | 2 | A. It can. Anthropology is quite broad. There are |
| 3 | the screen share that I'm showing you for those. | 3 | those that do qualitative methods. I did |
| 4 | A. Okay. | 4 | quantitative methods. |
| 5 | Q. In fact, let's go ahead and do that now. I'm going | 5 | Q. Explain that difference. What is the difference |
| 6 | hare my screen. Please bear with me on the us | 6 | between qualitative and quantitative methods? |
| 7 | echnology. | 7 | A. The easiest way to explain that difference is |
| 8 | R. PHILLIPS: I'm getting a ding on my | 8 | antitative analysis typically involves numbers, |
| 9 | Zoom here. Maybe somebody trying to enter? | 9 | tegories, and numbers which you'd find in an Excel |
| 10 | BY MR. PHILLIPS: | 10 | preadsheet. Qualitative analysis is statements, |
| 11 | Q. Okay. Can you see what's been marked as Exhibit 18 | 11 | interviews, peoples' thoughts, ideas, stories. |
| 12 | Dr. McCool? | 12 | Q. And did you say that your focus was quantitative |
| 13 | hibit 18 was marked | 13 | analysis? |
| 14 | identification.) | 14 | A. In BS, you get all of that. It's a broad liberal |
| 15 | THE WITNESS: Yes. | 15 | ts program. In graduate school, I took the |
| 16 | BY MR. PHILLIPS | 16 | quantitative emphasis. |
| 17 | Q. And I'll let you know that this is the CV that y | 17 | Q. Understood. For the moment, let's focus on the BS. |
| 18 | the attorneys, I should say, for the plaintiffs | 18 | Did you study any issues relating to Native |
| 19 | over in response to the subpoena to you. Does | 19 | Americans? |
| 20 | -- does this look correct in terms of your | 20 | A. Yes. |
| 21 | subpoena -- or your CV that you provided? | 21 | Q. And maybe explain that. How -- how so? |
| 22 | A. It does. | 22 | A. I mean, anthropology is the survey of humanity, so |
| 23 | Q. Is the information in this CV current and accurate? | 23 | we studied indigenous or nonindigenous people all |
| 24 | A. Should be, yes | 24 | over the world. So I took classes on indigenous |
| 25 | Q. Is there any information you need to add to this CV | 25 | Americans, indigenous people in South America, |
|  | Page 11 |  | Page 13 |
| 1 | that hasn't already been added? | 1 | digenous people in East Asia, anthropology courses |
| 2 | A. No. | 2 | of what life is like for those populations today and |
| 3 | Q. I do want to walk through, a little bit, your | 3 | the past. So there's a long answer to that. I |
| 4 | ckground, education, experience and so forth, so | 4 | wrote several papers along those lines, although |
| 5 | let's do that now. Where did you graduate from high | 5 | this is going on 14 years ago, so I'd have to pull |
| 6 | school? | 6 | those up to get some more details from them. But, |
| 7 | A. East High, Salt Lake City, Utah | 7 | yeah, it's common in anthropology. |
| 8 | Q. And when did you graduate? | 8 | Q. Fair enough. Did any of those courses involve |
| 9 | A. 2004. | 9 | North Dakota Native Americans in particular? |
| 10 | Q. Now, on this first page of Exhibit 18, your CV, it | 10 | A. Not that I recall. |
| 11 | lists your degrees there. It lists four degrees. | 11 | Q. Did you take any courses dealing with elections in |
| 12 | Do you see that? | 12 | the United States? |
| 13 | A. I do. | 13 | A. I took political science courses as an undergrad. |
| 14 | Q. Let's just walk through these briefly. It looks | 14 | I'd have to dig into my transcripts to tell you |
| 15 | like the first degree you have is a Bachelor of | 15 | more. |
| 16 | Science in Anthropology from the University of Utah | 16 | Q. What about voting or voting rights? |
| 17 | in 2009; is that correct? | 17 | A. That was a part of those political science courses |
| 18 | A. That's correct. | 18 | as an undergrad. |
| 19 | Q. Fill me in. What does an anthropology degree, a BS | 19 | Q. Let's talk next about your next degree. You have an |
| 20 | in anthropology, involve? | 20 | MA in Anthropology from the University of Utah in |
| 21 | A. It's a survey of various anthropology courses. It | 21 | 2013; is that correct? |
| 22 | often involved writing papers, doing data analysis, | 22 | A. That's correct. |
| 23 | learning theory methods, fieldwork techniques. It's | 23 | Q. What kind of coursework did you take to get that |
| 24 | quite broad. | 24 | degree? |
| 25 | Q. When you say, "theory and methods," does that | 25 | A. That coursework was particularly on quantitative |


|  | Page 14 |  | Page 16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | data analysis, statistical modeling, theory in | 1 | degree? |
| 2 | anthropology, and field methods. | 2 | A. Santa Barbara, yes. |
| 3 | Q. Did any of that work involve elections or voting? | 3 | Q. Santa Barbara. The -- what was your coursework like |
| 4 | A. No. | 4 | for your Ph.D. studies? |
| 5 | Q. Did that work involve Native Americans in | 5 | A. By and large, your coursework is over by the time |
| 6 | particular? | 6 | you advance to candidacy and graduated through the |
| 7 | A. It involved the study of pre-Columbian native | 7 | master's program. So that's largely research, |
| 8 | populations, indigenous populations | 8 | hough I did take some additional classes in |
| 9 | Q. Did that study involve -- or coursework involve | 9 | quantitative data analysis. And that mostly |
| 10 | modern populations? | 10 | involved organizing, aggregating, producing |
| 11 | A. That coursework did not. | 11 | antitative data sets, learning about and applying |
| 12 | Q. I notice that your next degree is another Master's | 12 | an appropriate statistical model to test scientific |
| 13 | in Anthropology from the University of California at | 13 | hypotheses about a whole suite of research questions |
| 14 | Santa Barbara in 2015; is that correct? | 14 | that have gone into -- to my publication list on the |
| 15 | A. It is. | 15 | CV |
| 16 | Q. How is that degree different from your master's that | 16 | Q. And you talked earlier about that difference between |
| 17 | you got from the University of Utah? | 17 | the qualitative analysis and quantitative. Is |
| 18 | A. So I was accepted into UC Santa Barbara, UCSB, into | 18 | fair to say you shifted focus heavily -- more |
| 19 | their doctorate program. The UC system at that time | 19 | heavily towards quantitative analysis as you |
| 20 | did not accept external master's degrees, so they | 20 | advanced in your degrees? |
| 21 | require that you get one along the way. | 21 | A. Yeah. By the time I got into the graduate program, |
| 22 | Unfortunately, they're quite similar to my master's | 22 | I was doing quantitative analysis as my principal |
| 23 | degree at the University of Utah. There's some | 23 | methodology |
| 24 | overlap, but in this -- in the course of my master's | 24 | Q. For your work on this case that we're here for |
| 25 | at UCSB, I continued my education in greater detail | 25 | today, did you do any qualitative analysis? |
|  | Page 15 |  | Page 17 |
| 1 | in quantitative analysis, particularly in | 1 | A. I did not. |
| 2 | statistical modeling. | 2 | Q. The entire focus was quantitative, correct? |
| 3 | Q. They find a way to get you to pay more student loans | 3 | A. Correct. |
| 4 | one way or another. Fair enough. So same question, | 4 | Q. On the same page on your CV, the first page of |
| 5 | I guess, with respect to the MA at the University of | 5 | Exhibit 18, it lists a couple of appointments. From |
| 6 | California at Santa Barbara, did your coursework | 6 | 2020 to 2021, there's a postdoctoral researcher |
| 7 | involve elections or voting in the United States? | 7 | appointment listed there. Can you maybe explain |
| 8 | A. It did not. | 8 | what that involved? |
| 9 | Q. Did it involve modern Native Americans in the United | 9 | A. Yeah. So Dr. Kenneth hired me for a year as a |
| 10 | States? | 10 | postdoctoral researcher to do a number of research |
| 11 | A. Some of the coursework did, yeah. | 11 | projects with him. Primarily, my job for |
| 12 | Q. And which coursework was that? | 12 | Dr. Kenneth was to do statistical analysis on a |
| 13 | A. There were courses -- you've got to understand, we | 13 | mber of projects he was looking at, linking |
| 14 | take a broad array of courses, and so courses will | 14 | environmental and demographic change and how tha |
| 15 | talk about American Indian populations today in our | 15 | relates to conflict. There are other tasks as well, |
| 16 | rth American Indians course. In our archeology | 16 | but that was my principal task. |
| 17 | urses, those courses go right up to the present | 17 | Q. Did that work involve Native American issues in an |
| 18 | day or near history. For the most part, it deals | 18 | way? |
| 19 | with indigenous populations of the past. | 19 | A. It involved indigenous populations in the Americas. |
| 20 | Q. Did it deal with Native American populations in | 20 | Q. What part of the Americas? |
| 21 | North Dakota? | 21 | A. Mesoamerica. |
| 22 | A. It did not. | 22 | Q. And are we talking about populations of the past or |
| 23 | Q. Let's talk, next, about your Ph.D. in Anthropology | 23 | modern-day populations? |
| 24 | from University of California at Santa Monica in | 24 | A. The populations of the past there are the ancestors |
| 25 | 2020. Is that an accurate statement of your Ph.D. | 25 | of the populations of the present there. This |


|  | Page 18 |  | $\text { Page } 20$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | particular study was of a past population. | 1 | The 2019 was internal to my college. |
| 2 | Q. Let's talk about the next one listed there from 2021 | 2 | Q. Does your work in any of them involve issues with |
| 3 | to present. There's a doctoral fellow position | 3 | modern-day Native Americans in the United States? |
| 4 | listed. What are you doing as part of that | 4 | A. Fellowships aren't awarded to address specific |
| 5 | appointment? | 5 | research questions. They're awarded to give, in |
| 6 | A. Yeah. So that is the social, behavioral, and | 6 | case, graduate students time to focus on |
| 7 | economic sciences postdoctoral research fellowship. | 7 | research rather than teaching. |
| 8 | That means I, by and large, worked for the National | 8 | Q. Sure. Did you have -- during your period of |
| 9 | Science Foundation, but I have an affiliation with | 9 | education -- higher education, have you had any |
| 10 | the University of Utah, and I worked with Dr. Kime | 10 | other paid positions in addition to getting paid for |
| 11 | on a whole range of research questions that are a | 11 | the fellowships? |
| 12 | combination of research questions from the past and | 12 | A. Yes. I was paid as a teaching assistant. I was |
| 13 | several research projects. We are currently working | 13 | also paid as a teaching associate. |
| 14 | on using modern data. | 14 | Q. So skipping down to the end of that page and the |
| 15 | Q. All right. And what -- what's the sort of -- at a | 15 | very -- and the next page, does this accurately list |
| 16 | high level, what's the topic of study? | 16 | all of your teaching experience? |
| 17 | A. There are several. So topics of study would be | 17 | A. Yes. |
| 18 | community resilience. What makes a community | 18 | Q. And you say you were paid for this work? |
| 19 | resilient or vulnerable, things like climate shocks. | 19 | A. Yes. That's how graduate students pay their way |
| 20 | One of the projects we're working on is whether | 20 | through grad school. |
| 21 | there is a relationship between poverty and economic | 21 | Q. I won't go through these one at a time. Did any of |
| 22 | inequality and rates of homicide in the United | 22 | these courses that you taught in -- that are listed |
| 23 | States over the last 30 years, in particular using | 23 | here on pages 6 and 7 on your CV, are any of them |
| 24 | the recently release 2022 census data | 24 | courses involving modern-day Native American issues |
| 25 | We also have projects looking at how economic | 25 | in the United States? |
|  | Page 19 |  | Page 21 |
| 1 | intensification and demographic pressure affect | 1 | A. Introduction to Cultural Anthropology went broadly |
| 2 | health, migration, and levels of violence | 2 | over contemporary issues including contemporary |
| 3 | Q. Does your work in that context involve any issues | 3 | Native American issues. |
| 4 | relating to voting? | 4 | Q. What -- what issues specifically? |
| 5 | A. No. | 5 | A. It's been seven years since the last time I TA'ed |
| 6 | Q. Or elections in general? | 6 | that, so I'd have to go back through my notes. A |
| 7 | A. No. | 7 | broad range of issues. Each time I TA'ed it, there |
| 8 | Q. Does it involve the study of Native Americans in the | 8 | was a different instructor. The curriculum varies |
| 9 | United States? | 9 | by instructor, and so it was pretty broad. |
| 10 | A. So our study of inequality and poverty and homicide | 10 | Q. What about in any of the other courses listed here? |
| 11 | in the United States is a broad demographic swath, | 11 | Did they involve modern-day Native Americans in the |
| 12 | so it will include American Indian populations, but | 12 | United States? |
| 13 | that's not our focal study group. | 13 | A. Not that I can recall off the top of my head. It's |
| 14 | Q. Just jumping down on that same exhibit to the | 14 | possible. |
| 15 | exhibit page Internal Fellowships. There are three | 15 | Q. Did any of these courses you taught involve voting |
| 16 | listed there. One in 2017. Maybe explain to me | 16 | issues or election issues? |
| 17 | what that one involves, the 2017 fellowship | 17 | A. They do not. |
| 18 | A. Sure. So an internal fellowship is where my | 18 | Q. Do these course involve teaching statistical |
| 19 | department, the Department of Anthropology at UCSB, | 19 | modeling? |
| 20 | pays me to do research or any other task during a | 20 | A. I wouldn't say that we have particular courses to |
| 21 | quarter rather than the usual job which is being a | 21 | teach students statistical modeling. What we do |
| 22 | teaching assistant or a research assistant. | 22 | mostly in these courses is we present the results of |
| 23 | Q. That's what all three of these internal fellowships | 23 | statistical and various quantitative scientific |
| 24 | are? | 24 | analyses, and then we deconstruct how they got those |
| 25 | A. The 2017 and the 2018 are internal to my department. | 25 | results and whether those hypotheses were supported |


|  | Page 22 |  | Page |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | or falsified. So we have to explain to students how | 1 | Q. Is that primarily quantitative analysis? |
| 2 | scientific and statistical analysis works, but the | 2 | A. Yeah. Everything I do is -- is quantitative in |
| 3 | goal of most of these classes was not to teach them | 3 | focus. So that's -- the demographic analysis, all |
|  | from the bottom up statistical analysis. | 4 | of these are done through typical quantitative |
| 5 | Q. Did you have any other paid position during your | 5 | method |
| 6 | education that we haven't talked about today? | 6 | Q. What does "dietary reconstructions" reference? |
| 7 | A. Not that I can recall. | 7 | A. What people ate in the past. |
| 8 | Q. I'm going to slide back up to the first page of your | 8 | Q. What does "geospatial modeling" reference? |
| 9 | CV on Exhibit 18. And you do list right in the | 9 | A. That is a form of quantitative analysis where you |
| 10 | ddle here -- do you see? -- it says research | 10 | ok at relationships between variables over space. |
| 11 | expertise? | 11 | ample, does climate change affect people more |
| 12 | A. Yes. | 12 | depending on where they live? |
| 13 | Q. What is this list intended to show? | 13 | Q. And "statistical modeling," what does that |
| 14 | A. Topical expertise, methodological expertise, and | 14 | reference? |
| 15 | regiona | 15 | A. That is everything under the sun that has to do with |
| 16 | Q. I don't want to spend a huge amount of time on this, | 16 | statistical analysis of quantitative data. |
| 17 | but I would like to go through these if you wouldn't | 17 | Q. Would it be fair to say that that's the type of work |
| 18 | mind. What do you mean by "environmenta | 18 | rmed in this lawsuit? |
| 19 | archeo | 19 | A. Yes. I would say there's two parts: Data science |
| 20 | A. It means we study | 20 | and statistical modelin |
| 21 | particular, their relationship of populations to | 21 | Q. What is data science? |
| 22 | their environment. So if you wanted to know | 22 | A. Data science is quite broad, but in simplest terms, |
| 23 | climate change affects food production in the 1800s | 23 | it is managing, aggregating, locating, producing |
| 24 | or the 1500 s or 15,000 years ago, you're doing | 24 | ing, cleaning data to make it available for |
| 25 | environmental archeology. | 25 | further, often statistical analysis. |
|  | Page 23 |  | Page 25 |
| 1 | Q. That would all be populations of the past, however, | 1 | Q. Is that also what you mean by "big data" in the list |
| 2 | not the current populations? | 2 | here? |
| 3 | A. You could do current populations. It's typically of | 3 | A. Yes. |
| 4 | the past. We like to say we're anthropologists | 4 | Q. What is "isotope chemistry"? |
| 5 | fore we're archeologists, and so I -- I look at | 5 | A. That's not a quick answer, unfortunately. It's a |
| 6 | both past and present populations | 6 | rm of chemistry where you use isotopes, variants |
| 7 | Q. What about bioarcheology? What is that? | 7 | lements, in bone, could be human, could be |
| 8 | A. Bioarcheology is the biological study of past | 8 | imal, and that tells us all sorts of information: |
| 9 | populations, typically through human remains. | 9 | here people moved across the landscape, how much |
| 10 | Q. And what is -- what are you referencing when you | 10 | they moved, what they ate, where they were born, if |
| 11 | talk about climate change? | 11 | they migrated and died someplace different than |
| 12 | A. So climate change is a variable in lots of the | 12 | here they were born. So it's a broad category of |
| 13 | research we do. How does climate change affect food |  | analysis. |
| 14 | productivity? How does it affect health? How does | 14 | Q. Do you yourself conduct lab work in that area, or do |
| 15 | it affect migration? Things like that. | 15 | you only deal with data when it comes to isotope |
| 16 | Q. Has your study of climate change at any time | 16 | chemistry? |
| 17 | involved current populations as opposed to | 17 | A. I have done lab work. My postdoctoral fellowship |
| 18 | populations of the past? | 18 | that I'm doing now does not involve lab work. So |
| 19 | A. That's a good question. I've done quite a few | 19 | part of my repertoire, but it's sort of a small |
| 20 | research projects. Let's see. Not currently, no. | 20 | component of it. |
| 21 | Q. What are you referencing when you say "conflict"? | 21 | Q. You next list Peruvian Andes. Why do you have that |
| 22 | A. Human conflict, violent conflict. | 22 | listed? |
| 23 | Q. And what are you referencing when you say, | 23 | A. Peruvian Andes in the North American southwest are |
| 24 | "settlement pattern in demography"? | 24 | the locations of the populations that I've primarily |
| 25 | A. The size and distribution of human population. | 25 | worked with in the past. |


|  | Page 26 |  | Page 28 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Q. That would not include population in North Dakota, | 1 | A. In an expert witness report in New Mexico in an |
| 2 | correct? | 2 | ongoing case. Also analyzed these types of |
| 3 | A. It would not. | 3 | variables in scientific papers, although, not for a |
| 4 | Q. I apologize to the extent it looks I'm not looking | 4 | Senate Factor 5 Report, for research purposes. |
| 5 | at you when I'm talking to you. I have two monitors | 5 | Q. Have you provided, at any time, a list of cases that |
| 6 | here, so I'm not trying to be deliberately rude. | 6 | you -- oh, you haven't testified before at al |
| 7 | A. Okay. | 7 | co |
| 8 | Q. Okay. Can you see what I've shown on the screen | 8 | A. Correct. |
| 9 | here? It's been premarked as Weston McCool | 9 | Q. In the case in New Mexico, then, you have not |
| 10 | Exhibit 19. | 10 | testified yet in either a deposition or at trial? |
| 11 | A. Yes. | 11 | A. Correct. |
| 12 | rked | 12 | Q. Have you been hired to provide trial testimony in |
| 13 | identification.) | 13 | that case, or are you only a consultant? |
| 14 | BY MR. PHILLIPS: | 14 | A. I could give a deposition, yeah. |
| 15 | Q. And I'll represent to you that this is the expert | 15 | Q. You said that's in New Mexico. Do you know the |
| 16 | report that we have received from you in this case, | 16 | names of the parties to that case? |
| 17 | and I believe this is the one that was sent recently | 17 | THE WITNESS: Mike, am I permitted to |
| 18 | esponse to our subpoena. Does this look like | 18 | are that information? |
| 19 | that document? | 19 | MR. CARTER: Yes, you can provide -- |
| 20 | A. It does. | 20 | you can answer that question |
| 21 | Q. Has this report changed at all? This was originally | 21 | THE WITNESS: Okay. |
| 22 | duced some time ago, and then we recently sent a | 22 | The Native American Rights Fund. |
| 23 | subpoena, and this was produced again. Are those | 23 | Y MR. PHILLIPS: |
| 24 | two documents the same? | 24 | Q. Native American Rights Fund is the plaintiff? |
| 25 | A. Yes. | 25 | A. Yes. |
|  | Page 27 |  | Page 29 |
| 1 | Q. I'm scrolling down here to page 2 and 3. There's a | 1 | Q. Do you know the name of the defendant in that case |
| 2 | portion of your report labeled "Qualifications." Do | 2 | A. I'd look at my report. Not off the top of my head. |
| 3 | you see that? | 3 | It's been a few months since I looked at it. |
| 4 | A. I do. | 4 | Q. Is it a -- is it a case against a state official in |
| 5 | Q. Is this an accurate summary of your qualifications | 5 | the state of New Mexico? |
| 6 | that you're relying on to provide expert testimony | 6 | A. I'd have to look that up. I'm happy to. |
| 7 | in this case? | 7 | Q. Do you have that information readily available to |
| 8 | A. It is. | 8 | you today? |
| 9 | Q. Prior to this case, have you ever analyzed what are | 9 | A. Sure. |
| 10 | known as the Senate factors? | 10 | Q. I'm not going to ask you to look it up right this |
| 11 | A. I have | 11 | moment, but I may -- after we take a break, I may |
| 12 | Q. And what -- when I say, "Senate factors," what does | 12 | me back and ask you that again after a break later |
| 13 | that mean to you? | 13 | today. |
| 14 | A. Well, there's numerous Senate Factors. This is a | 14 | A. Okay. |
| 15 | Senate Factor 5 Report, and it is the extent to | 15 | Q. In the New Mexico case, are you -- are -- let me |
| 16 | which minority groups bear discrimination along a | 16 | see. Have you communicated with the same attorney |
| 17 | number of lines; economic, social, educational, and | 17 | in that case that you're communicating with in this |
| 18 | so forth. | 18 | case that we're here for today? |
| 19 | Q. You focused on that one -- on that Senate Factor in | 19 | A. There is some overlap, not entirely. |
| 20 | this report, but you are aware of other Senate | 20 | Q. When were you retained to work on the case in |
| 2 | Factors as well. Am I stating that correctly? | 21 | New Mexico? |
| 22 | A. Correct. | 22 | A. Early 2022, February. |
| 23 | Q. In what context, other than in this case, have you | 23 | Q. Prior to your being retained to work on the -- the |
| 24 | addressed the Senate Factors -- any of the Senate | 24 | case in New Mexico, were you familiar with the |
| 25 | Factors? | 25 | Senate Factors? |


|  | Page 30 |  | Page 32 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A. I was familiar with the Senate Factors during a -- I | 1 | they didn't work for NARF. |
| 2 | was made to be familiar with them during a training | 2 | Q. When you say the academics you trained for, what do |
| 3 | session I attended through NARF. | 3 | you mean? |
| 4 | Q. When was that training session? | 4 | A. I mean, when I paired up with a socioeconomic expert |
| 5 | A. Gosh, 2021 sometime. I could look it up. It was | 5 | witness, it was common that that individual was a |
| 6 | during the pandemic, so that whole era kind of | 6 | professor or a researcher, a public institution. |
| 7 | mps together. | 7 | Q. Who did you pair up with? |
| 8 | Q. Same. I understand. The training session, was that | 8 | A. A number of people. I can look up their names. |
| 9 | in person or was that remote? | 9 | It's been a couple years. |
| 10 | A. No. This was during the lockdown. It was remote. | 10 | Q. There's a -- this is a slightly off-topic question, |
| 11 | Q. And explain what the training session was. Is this | 11 | but there is a Daniel McCool disclosed in this case |
| 12 | a similar course or multiple courses? Give me a | 12 | as well. Are you related to Daniel McCool? |
| 13 | sense of what this training session was. | 13 | A. Yes. He's my father. |
| 14 | A. It was a series of lectures to vet candidates to | 14 | Q. Were you paired up with your father as part of any |
| 15 | become expert witnesses and to provide them with | 15 | of this work with NARF? |
| 16 | some of the knowledge of what that entails. | 16 | A. Not in this case. |
| 17 | Q. To be clear, when I'm referencing NARF, I'm | 17 | Q. What about in the New Mexico case? |
| 18 | referring to the Native American Rights Fund. And | 18 | A. He was tasked with writing the qualitative history |
| 19 | it sounds like that's your understanding as well, | 19 | f the discrimination component. I was tasked with |
| 20 | Dr. McCool? | 20 | writing the socioeconomic quantitative finding |
| 21 | A. Correct. | 21 | component, and we were both hired on the same case, |
| 22 | Q. At the time that you attended this series of | 22 | I believe the case here as well. |
| 23 | lectures, did you have -- exchange any written | 23 | Q. Have you ever worked with Dr. Loren Collingwood? |
| 24 | communications with NARF? | 24 | A. Not to my knowledge. |
| 25 | A. Only about the timing of this, what it would entail, | 25 | Q. Have you worked with Dr. Barreto? |
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| 1 | sort of the logistics of it. | 1 | A. Dr. Barreto was part of the training. |
| 2 | Q. Did that type of communication involve a particular | 2 | Q. Did Dr. Barreto present at the training? |
| 3 | case or just cases in general? | 3 | A. He did. |
| 4 | A. No. I was teamed up with quantitative analysts who | 4 | Q. Do you remember any others, other individuals who |
| 5 | do things like Senate Factor 5 reports, the experts | 5 | presented during the NARF training? |
| 6 | who have been doing this for a very long time, and | 6 | A. I would have to look that up. It was a number -- it |
| 7 | we were paired up to -- to learn from the best. | 7 | was several years ago. |
| 8 | Q. Did you receive any written materials as part of | 8 | Q. You had mentioned that in addition to the |
| 9 | that course? | 9 | information you received from NARF, you had done |
| 10 | A. We did. | 10 | some of your own reading about the Senate Factors. |
| 11 | Q. Do you still have those in your possession? | 11 | What materials have you read other than what you've |
| 12 | A. I should. | 12 | provided by NARF? |
| 13 | Q. What's included in those written materials? Or | 13 | A. You can go to various public and government websites |
| 14 | we -- and just to be clear about my question here, I | 14 | to read about the Senate Factors and -- and the |
| 15 | mean, is it PDFs or PowerPoint slideshow, kind of | 15 | actual 1982 legislation from the senate committee |
| 16 | the -- what are these materials made of? | 16 | and so forth. |
| 17 | A. The formats, yeah, some combination of Word | 17 | Q. For example, on the Depart of Justice website, have |
| 18 | documents, PDFs, and so forth. | 18 | you read any other materials other than what we've |
| 19 | Q. When it comes to your knowledge of and experience | 19 | already talked about with respect to the Senate |
| 20 | with respect specifically to the Senate Factors, | 20 | Factors? |
| 21 | does all of it come from what you've learned from | 21 | A. Materials on the census website, but not -- none |
| 22 | NARF? | 22 | that come to mind. |
| 23 | A. And my own additional research too, my own reading. | 23 | Q. What materials have you read on the census website? |
| 24 | And I should state that the expert witness that I | 24 | A. This was a couple years ago. So the census website |
| 25 | trained for were like me, academics. They didn't -- | 25 | is more the -- the data element part, so it was |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | really combining what is written in that 1982 |  | Q. Other than what we've talked about today and what |
| 2 | legislation from what's available in the census |  | appears on Exhibit 18, your CV -- sorry. I can't |
| 3 | website. So it's a combination of using those two |  | flip back. I can't flip back to it. Exhibit 18, |
| 4 | resources to understand what typically goes into a |  | CV, other than that, do you have any other |
| 5 | Factor 5 analysis. |  | qualifications, education, or experience, that make |
| 6 | Q. Are you familiar with other aspects of the Voting | 6 | you qualified to be an expert in this case? |
| 7 | Rights Act aside from the Senate Factors? |  | A. Other than my -- my formal education, no. |
| 8 | A. Yes. | 8 | Q. You've probably already said this. My apologies if |
| 9 | Q. And did you learn what you know about the Voting | 9 | I'm repeating myself, but when did you say you took |
| 10 | Rights Act entirely from what we've already talked | 10 | the NARF training? |
| 11 | about, so I'll say the NARF materials, the materials | 11 | A. 2021 . |
| 12 | that you've read online including various websites | 12 | Q. And when did you first get retained to be an expert |
| 13 | and the census website? | 13 | in this case? |
| 14 | A. That's hard to say. You learn about the Voting | 14 | A. In this case, it would have been winter 2022. I |
| 15 | Rights Act in graduate courses. You learn about it | 15 | want to say February. |
| 16 | in elementary school. You learn about it on the | 16 | Q. Did an attorney from NARF reach out to you at that |
| 17 | news. So I don't think I can draw a line around | 17 | tim |
| 18 | every single source that I've ever accessed that | 18 | A. Yes. So several attorneys did. It was a team of |
| 19 | falls into the details of the Voting Rights Act. | 19 | attorneys that reached out to me. |
| 20 | Q. I think earlier you had testified that your | 20 | Q. Do you remember which attorneys those were? |
| 21 | coursework didn't involve voting issues | 21 | A. I wouldn't feel confident in naming all of them. |
| 22 | tions. Is that accurate, or did you have | 22 | Q. Can you name some of them? |
| 23 | courses involved in the Voting Rights Act? | 23 | A. Yeah. Just a sec. Mike Carter. I'm so bad with |
| 24 | A. I believe what I said is that I took a political | 24 | names. You'll have to forgive me. Who else was it? |
| 25 | science course and related courses, and we went over | 25 | I want to say Tamara was on the call. |
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| 1 | information broadly focused on voting issues but | 1 | WITNESS: Are you able to help m |
| 2 | that I would need to look up specific material to | 2 | with that, Mike? |
| 3 | see the details. | 3 | BY MR. PHILLIPS: |
| 4 | Q. Do you have any recollection of what you might have | 4 | Q. I'll just -- you'll have to answer the questions |
| 5 | learned specifically about the Voting Rights Act | 5 | yourself. |
| 6 | from your formal education? | 6 | A. I'd have to look -- |
| 7 | A. I don't know that I can disentangle what I've | 7 | Q. If you don't remember more, that's okay. |
| 8 | learned there from what I've learned subsequently | 8 | A. I'd have to look that up. Jim was on the call. |
| 9 | They tie into each other. | 9 | Q. But to be clear, I don't want you to tell me |
| 10 | Q. In the New Mexico case that you testified about | 10 | anything you talked about with these attorneys, but |
| 11 | earlier, did you also perform a Senate Factors | 11 | w many times have you met with the attorneys fir |
| 12 | analysis? | 12 | NARF about this case? |
| 13 | A. I did. | 13 | A. A handful, maybe three, two or three. |
| 14 | Q. Was it the same factor that you're analyzing in this | 14 | Q. In addition to NARF, have you ever worked with |
| 15 | case? | 15 | attorneys from an entity called Campaign Legal |
| 16 | A. It is. | 16 | Center? |
| 17 | Q. That would be the 5th factor, correct? | 17 | A. It's possible but not to my knowledge. Again, when |
| 18 | A. Right. | 18 | we have calls, sometimes there are lawyers from a |
| 19 | Q. If I'm understanding or if my memory is correct, | 19 | number of organizations |
| 20 | that would be listed here on the first page of your | 20 | Q. I -- if I understand correctly from the materials |
| 21 | expert report. It's shown as number 3 in the | 21 | u've produced, you're being paid \$200 an hour for |
| 22 | outline at the top of the first page of your expert | 22 | your work on this case; is that accurate? |
| 23 | report, but that is a description of the fifth | 23 | A. It is. |
| 24 | Senate Factor, correct? | 24 | Q. Does that include -- is that your rate for |
| 25 | A. Correct. | 25 | everything including the work you've done and |


|  | Page 38 |  | Page 40 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | including testimony? | 1 | other than our attorneys. |
| 2 | A. It is. | 2 | Q. I'm going to go back to Exhibit 19, which is your |
| 3 | Q. I'm showing you what's been marked as Exhibit 20. | 3 | report in this case. Are all of the opinions that |
| 4 | xhibit 20 was marked for | 4 | ou intend to express in this case contained in this |
| 5 | identification.) | 5 | report, this Exhibit 19? |
| 6 | BY MR. PHILLIPS: | 6 | A. Yes. |
| 7 | Q. Can you see that? | 7 | Q. You don't have any new opinions since you prepared |
| 8 | A. Yes. | 8 | this report? |
| 9 | Q. This was also produced in response to our subpoena. | 9 | A. No. |
| 10 | Is this an invoice that you've prepared for your | 10 | Q. Now, based on my reading of your report, it's my |
| 11 | work on this case? | 11 | understanding that your opinion is limited to the |
| 12 | A. It is. | 12 | application of that fifth Senate Factor to three |
| 13 | Q. Have -- is this invoice complete and accurate for | 13 | specific counties in North Dakota: Rolette, Benson, |
| 14 | the work you've performed? | 14 | and Ramsey Counties. Is that an accurate statement? |
| 15 | A. Thus far, yes. | 15 | A. Yes. |
| 16 | Q. And it says, "Paid" in two spots there. Is it fair | 16 | Q. That is the limits of your opinion in this case? |
| 17 | to say you have been compensated for all of your | 17 | A. Yes. |
| 18 | work to date? | 18 | Q. And in particular, you have analyzed 7 factors, and |
| 19 | A. Yes. | 19 | ey're shown on that first page of Exhibit 19 |
| 20 | Q. Have you spent any time preparing for this | 20 | come, poverty, education, health insurance |
| 21 | deposition today | 21 | overage, computer ownership, internet access, |
| 22 | A. Yes. | 22 | housing, and employment. Is that accurate? |
| 23 | Q. How much time did you spend preparing for the | 23 | A. It is. |
| 24 | deposition tod | 24 | Q. I'm going to scroll down on this Exhibit 19 to page |
| 25 | A. Oh, I spent roughly two hours. | 25 | 3, and in Section 2, very first sentence there lists |
|  | Page 39 |  | Page 41 |
| 1 | Q. In preparation for the deposition today, did you | 1 | 2 data sources that you relied on. Do you see that |
| 2 | speak with any of the attorneys from NARF? | 2 | on this page? |
| 3 | A. No. Excuse me, not today. I did speak with a NARF | 3 | A. I do. |
| 4 | m last week just to prepa | 4 | Q. And those 2 sources are the 2015 to 2019 5-year |
| 5 | deposition. | 5 | American Community Survey for North Dakota and the |
| 6 | Q. How many hours did you spend with the NARF team? | 6 | aiser Family Foundation Health Facts Report; is |
| 7 | A. Less than an hour | 7 | that accurate? |
| 8 | Q. Other than what's shown on Exhibit 20 and your | 8 | A. It is. |
| 9 | preparation for the deposition today, have you | 9 | Q. Did you -- did you gather the data from these |
| 10 | performed any work on this case? | 10 | urces yourself, or was it provided to you by the |
| 11 | A. No. | 11 | atomeys? |
| 12 | Q. Did the attorneys in this case provide to you any | 12 | A. I gathered these data myself. |
| 13 |  | 13 | Q. Did you rely on any data sources in forming your |
| 14 | opinion and you actually used in your opinion? | 14 | opinion other than these two? |
| 15 | A. Absolutely not. | 15 | A. No. |
| 16 | Q. Did the attorney | 16 | Q. I want be clear. Your opinion doesn't cover any |
| 17 | ask you to make any assumptions in your opinions? | 17 | her counties in North Dakota other than those |
| 18 | A. No. | 18 | three that I mentioned before, correct? |
| 19 | Q. Other than the attorneys, have you spoken with | 19 | A. Correct. |
| 20 | anyone else about this case? | 20 | Q. And reading your report, it's broken down by county, |
| 21 | A. No. | 21 | so we have -- if I'm just at a high level, it's -- |
| 22 | Q. Have you spoken to your father about the case | 22 | it shows each county and those seven factors for |
| 23 | A. When we got hired, we asked, essentially, where our | 23 | each county. Is that a fair statement of the |
| 24 | parts were. Once we got started, I haven't spoken | 24 | structure of your report? |
| 25 | to him nor anybody about the contents of the report | 25 | A. Yes. |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Q. Have you -- have you relied on the Kaiser Family | 1 | the U.S. census? |
| 2 | Foundation in any prior research or work that you've | 2 | A. I don't know. |
| 3 | ever done? | 3 | Q. Is the data from the Kaiser Family Foundation freely |
| 4 | A. I have not. | 4 | available online? |
| 5 | Q. How did you come upon that dataset to incorporate it | t 5 | A. It is. |
| 6 | into your opinion in this case? | 6 | Q. How would I get to that dataset? Do you have a |
| 7 | A. When meeting with the attorneys, they stated that it | 7 | website? |
| 8 | uld be nice to get additional health data | 8 | A. I could find it for you. If you Google "the Kaiser |
| 9 | possible. I then did some sleuthing. | 9 | Family Foundation State Health Facts Report," you |
| 10 | Q. Is it fair to say that based on your first statement | 10 | n follow several links to those data. It's |
| 11 | at you located the Kaiser Family Foundation that | 11 | tuit |
| 12 | the attorneys didn't provide that source to you? | 12 | Q. Thank you. That's fair. Apologies if you said this |
| 13 | A. I don't remember. I want to say that I did some | 13 | ready. Is the Kaiser Family Foundation a |
| 14 | sleuthing. I honestly don't remen | 14 | non-profit organization or a government entity? |
| 15 | Q. Was your communications with the attorneys about | 15 | A. I believe it's non-profit. |
| 16 | this issue in writing or by phone? | 16 | Q. Are you not sure? |
| 17 | A. I believe it was a Zoom. | 17 | A. I'd have to double-check. |
| 18 | Q. Did you also have email communications with the | 18 | Q. Is the data contained in the Kaiser Family |
| 19 | attorneys? | 19 | Foundation State Health Facts Report reliable? |
| 20 | A. Not in regard to this report | 20 | A. I believe so, yes. |
| 21 | Q. Since your memory on this issue is vague, I'm not | 21 | Q. What leads you to believe that? |
| 22 | sure if the information was provided to you by the | 22 | A. My understanding is, while I don't know the source |
| 23 | attorneys or not. I would just say to the extent | 23 | blicly available data, it is publicly |
| 24 | there is any written communications from the | 24 | ilable data that they compile |
| 25 | attorneys to you where that data was provided, that | 25 | Q. What do you mean when you say they compile the data? |
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| 1 | uld be included in the subpoena that we served | 1 | A. Meaning that they aggregate it. |
| 2 | d so I would request it be provided now. Go | 2 | Q. And do you know -- and, again, I apologize if you' |
| 3 | ahead. I'm sorry, Dr. McCool. | 3 | already said this. I just want to make sure I ask |
| 4 | A. Important clarification there. No data was provided | 4 | the question correctly and clearly. Do you know |
| 5 | me. No data was provided to me. I have not | 5 | hether the Kaiser Family Foundation obtains the |
| 6 | received a single number from any legal counsel. | 6 | data that it uses to aggregate? |
| 7 | Q. I would -- I would still request, if there are | 7 | A. I'm not 100 percent sure. My understanding is that |
| 8 | written communications relating to or advising you | 8 | ey aggregate the data from publicly available |
| 9 | to rely on the Kaiser Family Foundation in your | 9 | sources. I'd have to double-check. |
| 10 | opinion, if there are written communications between | 10 | Q. And do you know what those publicly-available |
| 11 | you and the attorneys about that, I would request | 11 | sources are? |
| 12 | that they be provided after the deposition today. I | 12 | A. I do not. |
| 13 | don't know if they exist, but, if they do, I would | 13 | Q. I'm actually okay to continue, but it's been about |
| 14 | request that they be provided. I think that would | 14 | hour. I usually give folks an opportunity for a |
| 15 | fall within the applicable rules and within our | 15 | ief break. Do you want to take a quick break here |
| 16 | subpoena. | 16 | at this time, or would you like to keep going? |
| 17 | MR. PHILLIPS: Is that fair, | 17 | A. Sure. I'm also a coffee drinker. |
| 18 | Mr. Carter, that you could look for that? | 18 | MR. PHILLIPS: Why don't we go off the record? |
| 19 | Do you have any objection to providing that | 19 | (A recess was had from 10:12 a.m. until |
| 20 | if it exists? | 20 | 10:26 a.m.) |
| 21 | MR. CARTER: No. That's understood. | 21 | MR. PHILLIPS: Are we back on. |
| 22 | We'll double-check. | 22 | THE WITNESS: I'd like to make a quick |
| 23 | MR. PHILLIPS: Thank you. | 23 | amendment to a prior statement. The |
| 24 | BY MR. PHILLIPS: | 24 | New Mexico case does actually not involve |
| 25 | Q. Is the Kaiser Family Foundation tied in any way to | 25 | NARF, the Native American Rights Fund. It |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | involves the Lawyers' Committee, primarily | 1 | ranged, but my communications would be through Jim |
| 2 | through Jim Tucker. | 2 | Tucker, so more information on that team could be |
| 3 | BY MR. PHILLIPS: | 3 | provided freely by him. |
| 4 | Q. Okay. The Lawyers' Committee. I'm not familiar | 4 | Q. Do you know who the plaintiff is in that case, the |
| 5 | with that. What do you mean by that? Is that an | 5 | New Mexico case? |
| 6 | entity organizational name? | 6 | A. The New Mexico case plaintiff -- one sec. The |
| 7 | A. Yes. | 7 | Navajo Nation Human Rights Commission. |
| 8 | Q. The Lawyers' Committee. And did you say that | 8 | Q. Navajo Nation Human Rights Commission. And do you |
| 9 | Jim Tucker is the individual you dealt with? | 9 | know who the defendant is in that case? |
| 10 | A. Yeah. | 10 | A. The defendant is San Juan County, New Mexico. |
| 11 | Q. Do you know where that entity is based out of, what | 11 | Q. What's the nature of that case? Do you know what |
| 12 | state? | 12 | the lawsuit is about? |
| 13 | A. I do not. | 13 | THE WITNESS: Am I permitted to answer |
| 14 | Q. How did you -- how did you come to work on a case | 14 | that question? It's an ongoing case. |
| 15 | for the Lawyers' Committee? | 15 | MR. CARTER: Yeah, you can answer |
| 16 | A. Jim Tucker, who was the point person, was involved | 16 | generally what the lawsuit's about. |
| 17 | with the training. | 17 | THE WITNESS: The lawsuit generally is |
| 18 | Q. And did you know Jim Tucker prior to your | 18 | about history of discrimination in voting |
| 19 | involvement in that case? | 19 | rights in that county and particularly the |
| 20 | A. Only through the training. | 20 | ability of the Navajo Nation voting block to |
| 21 | Q. So Jim Tucker, am I understanding this correctly, | 21 | elect officials. |
| 22 | was involved in the NARF training that you'd had | 22 | BY MR. PHILLIPS: |
| 23 | earlier? | 23 | Q. And if I remember -- pardon me. If I remember your |
| 24 | A. Correct. | 24 | testimony correctly from earlier, you performed a |
| 25 | Q. And Jim Tucker. Understood. So when you say -- or | 25 | similar analysis in that case on the fifth Senate |
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| 1 | when you agreed that Jim Tusker was involved in the | 1 | Factor? |
| 2 | NARF training, what was Jim Tucker's role in the | 2 | A. Correct. |
| 3 | training that you received through NARF? | 3 | Q. Did your -- has your work on that case involved any |
| 4 | A. Jim Tusker's role was organizational to some extent | 4 | other analysis other than the fifth Senate Factor? |
| 5 | and to provide his expertise on a whole range of | 5 | A. No. |
| 6 | issues relating to the law. | 6 | Q. In the New Mexico case, did you rely on the American |
| 7 | Q. Was he a presenter at the sessions you went to with | 7 | Community Survey data? |
| 8 | NARF? | 8 | A. I did. |
| 9 | A. He was. | 9 | Q. In that case, did you rely on the Kaiser Family |
| 10 | Q. Is he -- to your knowledge, is he employed in any | 10 | Foundation data? |
| 11 | way by NARF? | 11 | A. I did not. |
| 12 | A. I don't know. | 12 | Q. Why not? |
| 13 | Q. Did you speak with the NARF attorneys during our | 13 | A. I wrote that report first. To my knowledge, I |
| 14 | break? | 14 | wasn't aware of the dataset. |
| 15 | A. Yes, for that reason. | 15 | Q. I'm going to share my screen here again or attempt |
| 16 | Q. You don't have to tell me the -- what you talked | 16 | to. Can you see that? |
| 17 | about. Earlier, I had asked you if you remembered | 17 | A. Yes. |
| 18 | the names of the parties to the New Mexico case. Do | 18 | Q. All right. And what you're looking at is -- I'm |
| 19 | you have any -- any clarity or new information on | 19 | just going to scroll up to the top page. This is |
| 20 | who the party names are to that case? | 20 | Exhibit 19. Again, it is your expert report, and |
| 21 | A. I've got them here. Jim Tucker is the point person | 21 | I'm going to scroll down to the third page where it |
| 22 | there, and so -- who was on a Zoom call. It would | 22 | has the heading "Quantitative Socioeconomic |
| 23 | range depending on -- who they think on their side | 23 | Methods." Do you see that? |
| 24 | is pertinent or non-pertinent, which doesn't have | 24 | A. Yes. |
| 25 | anything to do with me as an expert witness. So it | 25 | Q. You have reference here in a number of places to |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | American Indian and Alaskan Native alone. Do you | 1 | null hypothesis throughout this entire report, and |
| 2 | see that? | 2 | determining whether we can reject the null |
| 3 | A. Yes | 3 | hypothesis. The null hypothesis -- in this part, |
| 4 | Q. What is that reference? | 4 | the null being the baseline hypothesis that the |
| 5 | A. So that's a census racial designation that includes | 5 | statistical test tried to prove wrong that race and |
| 6 | all American Indians and Alaskan Natives. Those are | 6 | any particular socioeconomic status and variable are |
| 7 | lumped into a variable, alone meaning it's not race | 7 | unrelated. |
| 8 | in combination | 8 | Q. How would you describe or define a null hypothesis? |
| 9 | Q. What do you mean by "race in combination"? | 9 | A. Null hypothesis is that if you're trying to see |
| 10 | A. They aren't -- for example, they don't, for example, | 10 | whether two variables are unrelated and is |
| 11 | have an Alaskan Native mom and a white dad. | 11 | dependent of each other, the null hypothesis is |
| 12 | Q. I naturally say "Native American." If I say "Native | 12 | that they are related and they are non-independent. |
| 13 | American" in the context of our conversation today, | 13 | A statistical test attempts to falsify that. |
| 14 | will you understand what I mean? | 14 | Q. What software do you use to perform your analysis? |
| 15 | A. Yes. I might ask for clarification if we're talking | 15 | A. So the data come from the detailed tables themselves |
| 16 | pecifically about these categorical variables, but | 16 | ectly. They then go into the R programming |
| 17 | ye | 17 | environment where the statistical tests are written. |
| 18 | Q. Did you -- I'm sorry if I interrupted. Did you | 18 | Q. I believe a link to that R programming environment |
| 19 | analyze any group other than American Indian an | 19 | as provided a few days ago. Is that -- am I |
| 20 | Alaskan Native alone and non-Hispanic white alone? | 20 | thinking of the right thing? |
| 21 | A. In the formal analysis, I did not. Preliminarily, I | 21 | A. Yes. That code is from the R program environment. |
| 22 | looked at race in combination which, as stated in | 22 | Q. Do you use any other software, or did you use any |
| 23 | the report, is a problematic variable fo | 23 | her softwar |
| 24 | quantitative analysis. And so these are the two | 24 | A. No. |
| 25 | categories that are ideal for this type of analysis. | 25 | Q. How would you define in your report a margin of |
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| 1 | Q. And it -- the American Indian and Alaskan Native | 1 | error? |
| 2 | alone has the acronym listed AI/AN. Do you see | 2 | A. So I defined margin of error the same way margin of |
| 3 | that? | 3 | error is roughly defined in the American Community |
| 4 | A. Yes. | 4 | Survey which is that because these estimates come |
| 5 | Q. Is there a way to pronounce that, or is it just | 5 | from samples of populations but we're interested in |
| 6 | AI/AN? | 6 | e populations themselves, there is some error |
| 7 | A. AI/AN. I mean, I could give it a go. | 7 | around the estimate in each one of these measures, |
| 8 | Q. I don't want to be confusing here today. If I say, | 8 | which they call MOE. |
| 9 | "Native American," in the context of this | 9 | Q. Are you aware of the margin of error with respect to |
| 10 | versation, I mean AI/AN. And if I say, "white," | 10 | the data you received from the Kaiser Family |
| 11 | I mean non-Hispanic white alone; is that fair? | 11 | Foundation? |
| 12 | A. Yes. | 12 | A. No. |
| 13 | Q. Now, you've given, in this portion of your report, a | 13 | Q. In your report, you talked about statistical |
| 14 | -- a description of your method -- your methodology. | 14 | significance. What does that mean to you? |
| 15 | Is that a fair way to say it? | 15 | A. As written in the report, statistical significance |
| 16 | A. Yes. | 16 | is at the very core of statistical science, and it |
| 17 | Q. And can I just have you explain it to me today? | 17 | a threshold that we establish by which we can |
| 18 | I've read your report certainly, but, generally | 18 | safely reject the null hypothesis. "Safely" meaning |
| 19 | speaking, what was your methodology applied in this | 19 | we have some certain percentage of confidence that |
| 20 | case to come up with the results you did? | 20 | e trend we're seeing is real and not due to the |
| 21 | A. Assessing the 5-year, 2015 to 2019, American | 21 | vagaries of sampling error. |
| 22 | Community Survey detailed tables freely available | 22 | Q. Is there a certain percentage of confidences that is |
| 23 | online, aggregating the data therein for each one of | 23 | sufficient to make something statistically |
| 24 | the variables, using those data in the code that I | 24 | significant? |
| 25 | provided to conduct statistical analysis to test the | 25 | A. The social sciences widely agreed upon Alpha |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | parameter, which is the degree of significance, is | 1 | you actually provide any analysis or aggregation of |
| 2 | . 05. | 2 | data, or does your report simply list the median |
| 3 | Q. Is that the standard you applied in -- in this case? | 3 | household incomes provided by the data sources? |
| 4 | A. It is. | 4 | A. It lists the median household income. No |
| 5 | Q. Your report also talks about chi-squared test of | 5 | statistical test is possible with the current data. |
| 6 | independence. That's C-H-I squared test of | 6 | Q. Can you see this screen that I'm sharing? |
| 7 | dependence. | 7 | A. Yes. |
| 8 | A. As it states in there, chi-squared test is a | 8 | Q. I'm showing you what's been marked as Exhibit 21. |
| 9 | statistical test that determines the independence or | 9 | (Exhibit 21 was marked for |
| 10 | nindependence of two samples. So, for example, | 10 | , |
| 11 | are there differences in a percentage of poverty | 11 | BY MR. PHILLIPS: |
| 12 | based on some categorical variable? | 12 | Q. Do you recognize this? |
| 13 | Q. Did you use the same methodology to derive your | 13 | A. Yes. |
| 14 | imate opinions on all of the issues in the | 14 | Q. And I'll represent to you that you had provided a |
| 15 | report? | 15 | file in response to the subpoena and the link that |
| 16 | A. All of the statistical tests were chi-squared | 16 | talked about earlier to -- remind me the type of |
| 17 | analy | 17 | software? |
| 18 | Q. Any portion of your opinion that is not based on a | 18 | A. R programming. |
| 19 | chi-squared analysis? | 19 | Q. R programming. I will represent to you that our |
| 20 | A. Yes. Median household income, because it's only a | 20 | office took that file, and for lack of a better |
| 21 | single measure and beca | 21 | ord, extracted it, and this is the result that we |
| 22 | It's a | 22 | me up with. Does this look accurate in terms of |
| 23 | conduct the statistical test on that, we'd need a | 23 | data that you provided to my office in response |
| 24 | large sample of different incomes that we could test | 24 | to the subpoena? |
| 25 | to see if there are significant differences in | 25 | A. So far, it looks like an accurate printout. |
|  | Page 59 |  | Page 61 |
| 1 | median. So, unfortunately, the way | 1 | Q. Would this set of -- of information look different |
| 2 | distributed and the demographies of interest, | 2 | if you were to put it on your computer? |
| 3 | simply not possible to conduct and rely | 3 | A. Yes. It would open in the R software. But it would |
| 4 | statistical analysis on median household income. On | 4 | be in that program. But none of the code, the |
| 5 | all other variables, we applied the chi-square | 5 | lingo, as you will, printed on there would be |
| 6 | test. | 6 | different. |
| 7 | Q. With respect to the median household income, if I'm | 7 | Q. Is all of the analysis that you performed, the |
| 8 | understanding that correctly, there | 8 | statistical analysis contained within this file, and |
| 9 | that's more granular? | 9 | I'll scroll down here just so you can see the scope |
| 10 | A. It's not a matter of granularity. It's a matter of | 10 | of it. This is the first page, and you tell me if |
| 11 | sample size. So, for example, let's imagine that | 11 | ou want me to slow down, but I'll just scroll down |
| 12 | a county we have 30 voting tracks. That's n | 12 | the bottom. There are five pages. Is this a |
| 13 | representative of the three counties of interest | 13 | complete set of -- of the data that you analyzed? |
| 14 | Let's just imagine we do. In that case, each one | 14 | A. For the statistical analysis, yes, I believe so. |
| 15 | those would have a separate calculation of median | 15 | Q. You will almost certainly word every statement |
| 16 | household income. In that case, we'd have 30 media | 16 | better than I would, so I appreciate the |
| 17 | household incomes for categorical variable, say, | 17 | arification. |
| 18 | based on race for each category. It can then take | 18 | A. Yeah |
| 19 | the median of those medians and compare them | 19 | Q. If I'm understanding it correctly, then, you would |
| 20 | statistically. But since we just have, say, | 20 | have input the information from your data source |
| 21 | couple tracks, well, less than 30 , which is the | 21 | nto your software, conducted your own statistical |
| 22 | minimum sample size required for a reliable | 22 | analysis, and what's shown on Exhibit 21 are the |
| 23 | statistical test, and this means a statistical test | 23 | results of that analysis? |
| 24 | are not appropriate for those data. | 24 | A. Yes. |
| 25 | Q. When it comes to median household income, then, did | 25 | Q. I am switching back to what's been marked as |





|  | Page 74 |  | Page 76 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Q. Sometimes there just isn't existing data on a | 1 | Q. The -- there's a sentence in the paragraph above |
| 2 | particular issue; isn't that right? | 2 | that. It's in 3F, "Home Ownership." It says, |
| 3 | A. It's possible. | 3 | "While the MOEs are large, they are not overlapping, |
| 4 | Q. Can you reach conclusions if insufficient data is | 4 | ating these results are valid." Do you see |
| 5 | available for you to analyze? | 5 | that? |
| 6 | A. It depends. Is it missing entirely? What are the | 6 | A. Yeah. |
| 7 | sample sizes? And this is, to some degree, why we | 7 | Q. What does that sentence mean? |
| 8 | do statistical tests. Even though we have a small | 8 | A. Means the lower estimates are almost the same. The |
| 9 | sample, we still have statistically significant | 9 | upper estimates are almost the same. Medium |
| 10 | results which give us a confidence interval, the | 10 | estimates are almost the sam |
| 11 | likelihood that this is due to sampling error. The | 11 | Q. Are the opinions that -- all of the opinions that |
| 12 | rgins of errors in any statistically scientific | 12 | u've listed in your report -- do you hold them all |
| 13 | paper, when high, it is the duty of the scientific | 13 | to a reasonable degree of certainly? |
| 14 | analysis to note that. Nonetheless, we did | 14 | A. I hold them all to the social science widely |
| 15 | statistical tests that tell us what is the | 15 | accepted statistical standard, so I don't have a |
| 16 | probability that this is simply a result of the | 16 | personal standard. I have one that is supported by |
| 17 | vagaries of sampling error. And in this case, the | 17 | the scientific community. |
| 18 | probability of that is less than $99-$ - is less than | 18 | Q. I recognize that the language of attorneys is |
| 19 | one percent. | 19 | sometimes different than the language of a |
| 20 | Q. The conclusion that -- that the difference is | 20 | scientist, so sometimes there's nothing we can do |
| 21 | statistically significant -- is that a tentative | 21 | about that. I'll represent to you that courts often |
| 22 | conclusion? | 22 | alyze whether an expert's opinion is held to a |
| 23 | A. Not at all | 23 | gree of certainty. Do you have an |
| 24 | Q. Even though there's a high margin of error? | 24 | answer to that question? Are your opinions all held |
| 25 | A. There is a high margin of error. That is absolutely | 25 | to a reasonable degree of certainty? |
|  | Page 75 |  | Page 77 |
| 1 | . These estimates, though, are statistically | 1 | A. They are. |
| 2 | significant at a P value threshold. So for social | 2 | Q. Are -- what about your opinion with respect to |
| 3 | scientists doing statistics, the standard, when | 3 | oidance of healthcare due to cost? Is that |
| 4 | dealing with these sample sizes, when a statistical | 4 | opinion held to a reasonable degree of certainly? |
| 5 | test is significant, is to safely reject the null | 5 | A. It is. |
| 6 | hypothesis, and that's what I've done here. | 6 | Q. And that's true even though you don't know the |
| 7 | Q. Is that true also with respect to the next paragraph | 7 | manner in -- |
| 8 | you have a -- or I'm sorry -- the -- the paragraph | 8 | A. It's a widely respected foundation whose data is |
| 9 | after that at the bottom of 12 and the top of page | 9 | ed in a number of scientific analyses. So it's |
| 10 | 13? There's a sentence that says, "Large MOEs | 10 | used throughout the scientific community. So while |
| 11 | render this result somewhat tentative." Do you see | 11 | I might not have a very thorough grounding in the |
| 12 | that? | 12 | exact sources of their data aggregate, the |
| 13 | A. Yeah. So it's all the same. There are large MOEs | 13 | scientific community is confident in those numbers. |
| 14 | in Ramsey County because the populations are very | 14 | Q. Did you say you used the Kaiser Family Foundation |
| 15 | small. But in each case, each one of these | 15 | data in other matters? |
| 16 | statistical analyses are statistically significant | 16 | A. No. This was the time that I've been aware of it. |
| 17 | making it safe for us to reject the null hypothesis. | 17 | Q. I move the screen down here to the bottom of page 13 |
| 18 | But the MOEs do vary by test, so I simply state | 18 | of your report, and this is your conclusion. I'd |
| 19 | that. | 19 | like you to read that first and second sentence, and |
| 20 | Q. Could the margin of error be high enough that you | 20 | I'll just read it aloud. It says, "For all |
| 21 | would reject the conclusion that it's statistically | 21 | analyses, there is race-based bias that |
| 22 | significant? | 22 | disadvantages the AI/AN population when compared to |
| 23 | A. That's what a statistical test would tell me. | 23 | ites. These differences are statistically |
| 24 | That's the beauty of statistics. They tell you | 24 | ficant across the board and systemic in |
| 25 | whether or not it's safe to reject it or not. | 25 | nature." Do you see that? |


|  | Page 78 |  | Page 80 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A. I do. | 1 | hired to do that. |
| 2 | Q. I believe you've already testified earlier in terms | 2 | Q. And are you familiar with it -- with North Dakota's |
| 3 | of what you mean by "statistically significant | 3 | election laws generally, whether or not you were |
| 4 | across the board," correct? | 4 | hired to do that? |
| 5 | A. Yes. So it's -- when a statistical test is | 5 | MR. CARTER: I'll just object to it's a |
| 6 | conducted, is it or is it not significant. | 6 | bit of a vague question, being familiar with |
| 7 | Q. What do you mean by "systemic in nature"? | 7 | election laws in general. |
| 8 | A. That means -- "systemic in nature" meaning th | 8 | BY MR. PHILLIPS: |
| 9 | oss all variables, the outcomes are the same | 9 | Q. Are you familiar with North Dakota's election laws |
| 10 | ey are statistically significant in ways that | 10 | in general? |
| 11 | advantaged the AI/AN population when compared to | 11 | MR. CARTER: Same objection. |
| 12 | ites. So Senate Factor 5 is analyzing a system. | 12 | And, Dr. McCool, you can still answer. |
| 13 | In this case, that system is socioeconomic status. | 13 | THE WITNESS: Okay. In general, no. |
| 14 | The results are significant and in one direction. | 14 | That's not my hired purpose, and it does not |
| 15 | Q. Understood. Is there -- so, for example, if -- i | 15 | ear -- does not bear any weight on this |
| 16 | 're findings found that whites in a particula | 16 | report. |
| 17 | unty had a lower income than Native Americans, | 17 | BY MR. PHILLIPS: |
| 18 | would maybe lead you to a different conclusion | 18 | Q. Are you familiar with how elections are carried out |
| 19 | with respect to the systemic nature | 19 | in North Dakota? |
| 20 | A. It might. That would be a different type of | 20 | A. That's not my job. |
| 21 | analysis, | 21 | Q. So the answer is, no, you're not familiar with it? |
| 22 | Q. I just want to make sure I understand. When you say | 22 | A. No. |
| 23 | "systemic in nature," you mean that the Native | 23 | Q. I'll -- I'll state it again, and I think this is a |
| 24 | Americans in all of the topics you've analyzed come | 24 | yes-or-no question. Feel free to add clarification |
| 25 | out on the worst side of the equation than their | 25 | if you need to, but I think -- the question is, are |
|  | Page 79 |  | Page 81 |
| 1 | comparative whites? | 1 | you familiar with how elections are carried out in |
| 2 | A. Correct. | 2 | North Dakota? |
| 3 | Q. The last sentence of your report says, "These | 3 | MR. CARTER: And I'll object also as |
| 4 | systemic disparities hinder the ability of AI/AN | 4 | being irrelevant to the report. |
| 5 | tribal members to participate effectively in the | 5 | But please go ahead and answer, |
| 6 | North Dakota political process, Senate Report 1982." | 6 | Dr. McCool. |
| 7 | Do you see that? | 7 | THE WITNESS: That is quite vague. If |
| 8 | A. I do. | 8 | I have to give a yes-or-no, I'd give a "no." |
| 9 | Q. What are you basing this opinion on? | 9 | BY MR. PHILLIPS: |
| 10 | A. The 1982 publication of the Senate Committee of the 10 |  | Q. Are you familiar with any of the elections that were |
| 11 | Judiciary issued its report. It suggested factors | 11 | held in 2022 in the three counties that you |
| 12 | for courts to consider when determining whether | 12 | analyzed? |
| 13 | there has been voting practices or procedures that | 13 | A. That's not my job. |
| 14 | discriminate based on race. In this report, they | 14 | MR. CARTER: Again, object as being |
| 15 | list a number of factors including Senate 5 Factors | 15 | outside the scope of the report. |
| 16 | that collectively represent obstacles, barriers that | 16 | BY MR. PHILLIPS: |
| 17 | prevent people from participating fully in the | 17 | Q. Are you familiar with any of the elections held in |
| 18 | democratic process. | 18 | 2022 in the three counties you analyzed? |
| 19 | Q. Are you familiar with North Dakota's political | 19 | A. That was not part of my report so that -- I am not. |
| 20 | processes? | 20 | MR. CARTER: And, David, I'll just -- |
| 21 | A. That is not my job. | 21 | if we can agree to a standing objection to |
| 22 | Q. Are you familiar with it? | 22 | questions regarding elections in North |
| 23 | A. You would have to be more specific. | 23 | Dakota being outside the scope of the |
| 24 | Q. Are you familiar with North Dakota's election laws? | 24 | report? |
| 25 | A. Oh, that's not a part of my analysis. I wasn't | 25 | MR. PHILLIPS: We can agree to that. |


|  | Page 82 |  | Page |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | BY MR. PHILLIPS: |  | A. The Senate Report talks about what type of |
| 2 | Q. As part of your analysis, did you review any data on | 2 | disparities qualify as obstacles. |
| 3 | election results? | 3 | Q. But it would be a fair statement to say that you |
|  | A. I did not. | 4 | 't conduct any analysis of whether these factors |
| 5 | Q. Did you review any data on voter turnout | 5 | actually create obstacles in North Dakota, correct? |
| 6 | A. I did not. | 6 | A. I did not do that. The report shows that when you |
| 7 | Q. Did you review any election data at all | 7 | see results in these directions, that's when |
| 8 | A. These are not part of the Senate 5 Factors, so I did | 8 | obstacles occur. |
| 9 | not as I was not hired to do that | 9 | Q. Let's go down to the 14th page of your report. |
| 10 | Q. If I'm understanding your opinion correctly -- I'm | 10 | There's a chart there. Do you see that? |
| 11 | repor | 11 | A. I do. |
| 12 | e. If I'm understanding your opinion correctly | 12 | Q. Does this fully summarize your conclusion |
| 13 | ted on th | 13 | terms of the -- your analysis of the data? |
| 14 | page of your report. Those would be income | 14 | A. For the most part, all the ACS data is in there. |
| 15 | erty, education, health insurance coverage | 15 | Q. What is missing from this chart? |
| 16 | mputer ownership and internet access, housing, and | 16 | A. Kaiser Report data |
| 17 | employment; is that correct? | 17 | Q. And I know we talked about this earlier, but I just |
| 18 | A. Those are the seven variables I analyzed, correct | 18 | ant to confirm my understanding is correct. The |
| 19 | Q. Did you conduct any analysis on whether those seven | 19 | rtion of this chart where it says, "Median |
| 20 | categories present a hindrance to Native Americans' | 20 | household income," and the P Value is N/A? |
| 21 | ability to participate in the political process? | 21 | A. Can you zoom in? |
| 22 | A. That's what the Senate Judiciary Report is intended | 22 | Q. Yes, I think. |
| 23 | to support. These types of data are analyzed with | 23 | A. Thank you. |
| 24 | the standing knowledge produced in this report that |  | Q. Yes. Do you see that where I'm talking about |
| 25 | depending on the results of these, they very much | 25 | "median household income P Value N/A"? |
|  | Page 83 |  | Page 85 |
| 1 | her help or hinder certain groups of people in | 1 | A. I do. |
| 2 | ir participation in the democratic process. So | 2 | Q. Again, I believe you testified to this earlier, but |
| 3 | those two things cannot be separated, and that's why | 3 | I just want to make sure. What is the reason it's |
| 4 | the 1982 report was issued. | 4 | an N/A there? |
| 5 | Q. You didn't conduct any analysis of whether Native | 5 | A. There's not a sufficient sample size with the |
| 6 | Americans were actually prevented from voting based | 6 | current data to conduct a statistical test. So I |
| 7 | on these factors listed in your report; is that | 7 | asn't able to. And if you can't conduct a |
| 8 | correct? | 8 | atistical test, you, of course, don't get any |
| 9 | A. That's not relevant to this report, no. | 9 | outputs, the P value being one of them. |
| 10 | Q. But I'll ask you to answer the question | 10 | Q. Do you have any other opinions that you plan to |
| 11 | A. No, I did not. | 11 | assert in this case that we haven't talked about |
| 12 | Q. And did you consider as part of your analysis, the | 12 | today and that are not in your report that we are |
| 13 | location of polling places relative to Native | 13 | looking at right now? |
| 14 | American populations? | 14 | A. All of my opinions are contained in the report. |
| 15 | A. No. That's not part of a typical socioeconomic | 15 | MR. PHILLIPS: Go off the record for |
| 16 | analysis. | 16 | just a few moments. I'm going to review my |
| 17 | Q. Did you consider North Dakota's programs or | 17 | notes. And we may be done, but just give me |
| 18 | regulations relating to Native American voter IDs? | 18 | a few minutes. |
| 19 | A. That's not part of a typical socioeconomic analysis. | 19 | MR. CARTER: Do we just want to take a |
| 20 | Q. I'm going to scroll back down to the end of your | 20 | five-minute break or something? |
| 21 | report. On page 13 again, the conclusion, the last | 21 | MR. PHILLIPS: That works for me. |
| 22 | sentence. You please correct me if I'm wrong, and | 22 | (A recess was had from 11:21 a.m. until |
| 23 | restate it if I am wrong. The basis of this last | 23 | 11:26 a.m.) |
| 24 | sentence is the Senate Report itself, the 1982 | 24 | MR. PHILLIPS: Dr. McCool, I think |
| 25 | Senate Report? | 25 | you're on mute. Thank you, Dr. McCool. I |
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| 0 | 1982 33:15 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { 216-523-1313 } \\ 88: 3 \\ \text { 26 } \quad 3: 8 \\ \text { 27th } \quad 87: 20 \\ \text { 28414 } \quad 87: 23 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 58502 2: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 05 58:2 65:4 | 34:1 79:6,10 |  | 5th 8:6 35:17 |
| 05 | 83:4,24 |  | 6 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 162: 8,2463: 2 \\ & 88: 4 \end{aligned}$ | 2 |  | 0: |
|  | $2 \text { 27:1 40:25 }$ |  | 62:24 63:22 |
| $\begin{array}{ll}10 & 3: 7 \\ 100 & 79: 71.3\end{array}$ |  |  | 60 3:10 |
| $\begin{array}{ll}\mathbf{1 0 0} & 49: 7 \\ \text { 10:12 } & 49: 3 \\ \text { (1) }\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{2 / 1 6 / 2 0 2 3} 88: 9 \\ & 89: 390: 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \text { 27:1 } 35: 21 \\ & 40: 25 \end{aligned}$ | $665 \text { 8:6 }$ |
| 10:26 49:20 | 20 3:9 38:3,4 | $3.9 \quad 70: 8,16$ | 7 |
| 11 8:6 | $\begin{aligned} & 39: 8 ~ 89: 16 \\ & 90: 2291: 22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} 30 \quad 18: 23 \\ 59: 16,21 \end{array}$ | 7 20:23 40:18 |
| 1100 88:1 |  |  | 66:5 67:13 |
| 11:21 85:22 | $200 \quad 37: 21$ | 300 2:5 | 701-751-8188 |
| 11:26 85:23 | 2004 11:9 | 31 87:25 | 2:7 |
| 11:27 86:18 | 2009 11:17 | 31.5 64:3 | 8 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1272: 20,21 \\ & 75: 9 \end{aligned}$ | 2013 13:21 | $\mathbf{3 5 , 0 0 0} \quad 58: 22$ | 80301 2:14 |
| $13 \quad 75: 10$ 77:17 | 2015 14:14 | 3e 73:6 | 84103 |
| 83:21 | $\begin{aligned} & 2017 \text { 19:16,17 } \\ & 19: 25 \end{aligned}$ | 3f 76:2 | 86 87:14 |
| 13.9 70:10 |  | 4 | 9 |
| 13.9. $70: 16$ |  | 40 62:21,21 | 95 |
| 14 13:5 | $\begin{array}{\|l} 2019 \\ 55: 21 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lc} 4247 & 2: 5 \\ 44114 & 88: 2 \end{array}$ | 99 64:17 65:23 |
| 14th 84:9 |  |  | $74: 18$$\mathbf{9 9 . 9}$ 64:16,17 |
| 15,000 22:24 | $\begin{aligned} & 2020 \quad 15: 25 \\ & 17: 6 \end{aligned}$ | 5 |  |
| 1500s $22: 24$ $1506 \quad 2: 13$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 2021 \quad 17: 6 ~ 18: 2 \\ 30: 5 & 36: 11 \end{array}$ | 5 27:15 $28: 4$ | 65:9 |
| $16 \quad 1: 15$ 4:3 |  | 31:5 34:5 41:4 $55: 21 \text { 62:2,6 }$ | a |
| 87:5 | $\begin{array}{cc} 2022 \quad 18: 24 \\ 29: 22 \quad 36: 14 \end{array}$ | 78:12 79:15 | a.m. 1:16 4:3 |
| 18 3:7 10:11, 13 | 29:22 $36: 14$ $81: 11,18$ | 82:8 | 49:19,20 85:22 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 11: 1017: 5 \\ & 22: 936: 2,3 \end{aligned}$ | 2023 1:15 4:3 <br> 87:5,21 88:4 <br> 2027 87:25 <br> 21 3:10 60:8,9 61:22 65:13 | $50 \quad 44: 462: 22$ <br> $\mathbf{5 0 , 0 0 0}$ 58:22 | 85:23 86:18 |
| 1800s 22.23 |  |  | ability 52:20 |
| 1820 1800s $88: 2$ |  | $62: 21$ | able 37:1 85:7 |
| 19 3:8 26:10,12 |  | $5755463 \quad 1: 21$ <br> 88:8 89:2 90:2 | above 6:16 <br> 64:13 76:1 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 40: 2,5,19,24 \\ & 53: 2062: 1 \end{aligned}$ |  | 91:2 |  |

[above - americas]

| 84:12 88:18 | 84:14 | aforemention... | allowed 67:5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| absolutely | act 34:7,10,15 | 87:17 | aloud 73:6 |
| 39:15 74:25 | 34:19,23 35:5 | aggregate 49:1 | 77:20 |
| academics | 89:14 90:20 | 49:6,8 77:12 | alpha 57:25 |
| 31:25 32:2 | action 6:16 | aggregated | ambient 5:7 |
| accept 14:20 | 87:19 | 72:13 | amendment |
| accepted 14:18 | actual 33:15 | aggregates | 49:23 |
| 76:15 | actually 39:14 | 42:15 | america 12:25 |
| access 40:21 | 49:13,24 60:1 | aggregating | american 2:10 |
| 68:4,15,17,23 | 65:6 83:6 84:5 | 16:10 24:23 | 2:12 15:15,16 |
| 69:3,8,10,14 | add 10:25 | 55:23 | 15:20 17:17 |
| 72:22 82:16 | 80:24 | aggregation | 19:12 20:24 |
| accessed 34:18 | added 11:1 | 60:1 | 21:3 25:23 |
| accessible | addition 20:10 | ago 13:5 22:24 | 28:22,24 30:18 |
| 42:16 | 33:8 37:14 | 26:22 33:7,24 | 41:5 42:4,5,9 |
| accordance | additional 16:8 | 56:19 | 42:11,19,22 |
| 89:5 90:5 | 31:23 46:8 | agree 6:3,6 | 49:25 53:6 |
| account 67:8 | address 8:4 | 81:21,25 | 54:1,6,12,13,19 |
| accurate 9:10 | 20:4 88:16 | agreed 51:1 | 55:1,9,21 57:3 |
| 9:14 10:23 | addressed | 57:25 | 62:16 64:9 |
| 15:25 27:5 | 27:24 | ahead 10:5 | 66:25 67:11 |
| 34:22 37:22 | administer | 47:3 81:5 | 68:17,18 70:19 |
| 38:13 40:14,22 | 87:9 | ai 55:2,6,7,10 | 71:13 72:5 |
| 41:7 44:21 | advance 16:6 | 64:4 67:14 | 83:14,18 |
| 60:22,25 63:25 | advanced | 69:14,23 70:10 | americans |
| 73:186:12 | 16:20 | 77:22 78:11 | 12:19,25 13:9 |
| 87:14 | advising 47:8 | 79:4 | 14:5 15:9 19:8 |
| accurately | affect 19:1 | aided 87:16 | 20:3 21:11 |
| 20:15 71:16 | 23:13,14,15 | al 88:689:3 | 66:13 67:4 |
| acknowledge | 24:11 | 90:3 | 68:4,24 70:19 |
| 89:11 90:16 | affects 22:23 | alaskan 54:1,6 | 72:17 78:17,24 |
| acronym 55:2 | affiliation 18:9 | 54:11,20 55:1 | 82:20 83:6 |
| acs 42:11,21 | affirm 6:9 | allow 4:19 5:10 | americas 17:19 |
| 43:4,24 44:4,8 | affixed 89:15 | 5:16 | 17:20 |
| 44:19 69:24 | 90:21 |  |  |



| audible 7:13 | bad 36:23 | 85:2 | broad 11:24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| audibly 43:1 | band 1:588:6 | benson 40:13 | 12:2,14 15:14 |
| authorize | 89:3 90:3 | berthold 72:18 | 19:11 21:7,9 |
| 90:11 | barbara 14:14 | best 31:7 71:6 | 24:22 25:12 |
| authorized | 14:18 15:6 | 73:16,25 | broadband |
| 87:9 | 16:2,3 | better 60:20 | 72:22 |
| available 24:24 | barreto 32:25 | 61:16 73:18 | broadly 21:1 |
| 29:7 34:2 | 33:1,2 | bgwattorneys... | 35:1 |
| 43:16 48:4,23 | barriers 79:16 | 2:8 | broadway 2:13 |
| 48:24 49:8,10 | based 40:10 | bias 77:21 | broken 41:20 |
| 55:22 67:10 | 46:10 50:11 | big 25:1 | 42:1,4 72:8 |
| 71:2,6,12 74:5 | 58:12,18 59:18 | bioarcheology | brown 1:7 |
| ave $88: 1$ | 64:12 70:3 | 23:7,8 | bs 11:19 12:14 |
| avenue 2:5 8:6 | 73:24 77:21 | biological 23:8 | 12:17 |
| avoid 5:6 | 79:14 83:6 | bismarck 2:6 | bureau 42:12 |
| avoidance | baseline 56:4 | bit 11:3 62:23 | 42:24,25 |
| 44:10 45:7 | basing 79:9 | 64:15 80:6 | c |
| 71:7,17,23 | basis 83:23 | block 52:20 | c 58:6 |
| 77:3 | bear 7:21 10:6 | board 77:24 | ca 88:25 |
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| 16:22 17:2 | raise 6:8 | 77:4 | 30:17 |
| 21:23 24:1,2,4 | ramsey 40:14 | recall 13:10 | referring 30:18 |
| 24:9,16 31:4 | 73:1,14 75:14 | 21:13 22:7 | 71:10 |
| 32:20 53:22 | range 18:11 | receipt 88:19 | regard 46:20 |
| 54:24 | 21:7 51:5,23 | receive 31:8 | regarding |
| quarter 19:21 | ranged 52:1 | received 26:16 | 81:22 |
| question 4:16 | rate 37:24 | 33:9 47:6 51:3 | regional 22:15 |
| 7:22,22 15:4 | rates 18:22 | 57:10 | regulations |
| 23:19 28:20 | rather 19:21 | recently 18:24 | 83:18 |
| 31:14 32:10 | 20:7 62:11 | 26:17,22 | reiterate 7:4 |
| 49:4 52:14 | reach 36:16 | recess 49:19 | reject 56:2 |
| 76:24 80:6,24 | 74:4 | 85:22 | 57:18 65:6,10 |
| 80:25 83:10 |  |  | 75:5,17,21,25 |


| rejected 64:21 | remote 4:4 5:21 | 82:11,14,22,24 | researcher 17:6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| related 32:12 | 30:9,10 | 83:4,7,9,21,24 | 17:10 32:6 |
| 34:25 56:12 | remotely 4:12 | 83:25 84:1,6,9 | researchers |
| 63:24 87:18 | 5:11,19 8:10 | 84:16 85:12,14 | 42:22 |
| relates 17:15 | render 73:8 | reported 70:15 | reservation |
| relating $12: 18$ | 75:11 | 70:20 | 42:4,6 67:1,2 |
| 19:4 47:8 51:6 | rent 66:7 | reporter 1:25 | 71:25,25 72:18 |
| 83:18 | repeat 65:12,13 | 4:9 5:20 6:7 | residents 67:14 |
| relationship | repeating 36:9 | 7:3 87:5,24 | resilience 18:18 |
| 18:21 22:21 | repertoire | 89:7 | resilient 18:19 |
| 64:20 | 25:19 | reporting 70:9 | resources 34:4 |
| relationships | report 3:8 8:15 | reports 31:5 | respect 15:5 |
| 24:10 | 8:16,20 9:21 | 68:13 | 31:20 33:19 |
| relative 67:15 | 26:16,21 27:2 | represent 6:23 | 44:10,18 57:9 |
| 83:13 87:12,16 | 27:15,20 28:1 | 26:15 60:14,19 | 59:771:24 |
| release 18:24 | 28:4 29:2 | 76:21 79:16 | 73:22 75:7 |
| relevant 83:9 | 35:21,23 39:25 | representative | 77:2 78:19 |
| reliable 48:19 | 40:3,5,8,10 | 59:13 64:19 | respected 77:8 |
| 59:22 | 41:6,20,24 | representing | response 10:19 |
| relied 41:1 | 43:22 44:3,7 | 5:25 | 26:18 38:9 |
| 43:24 46:1 | 44:24 45:3 | request 5:4 | 60:15,23 |
| rely 41:13 47:9 | 46:20 48:9,19 | 47:2,7,11,14 | responses 7:13 |
| 53:6,9 59:3 | 53:13,20 54:23 | 90:9,11 | 7:18 |
| relying 27:6 | 55:13,18 56:1 | require 14:21 | restate 83:23 |
| remainder 70:1 | 56:25 57:13,15 | required 59:22 | result 60:21 |
| 70:2 | 58:5,15 60:2 | 88:25 | 74:16 75:11 |
| remains 23:9 | 62:2,3 63:13 | research 16:7 | results 21:22 |
| remember 33:4 | 63:22,25 65:9 | 16:13 17:10 | 21:25 43:9 |
| 36:20 37:7 | 66:5 67:13 | 18:7,11,12,13 | 55:20 61:23 |
| 46:13,14 52:23 | 68:3 69:17 | 19:20,22 20:5 | 73:9 74:10 |
| 52:23 | 70:8,12,18 | 20:7 22:10 | 76:4 78:14 |
| remembered | 72:20,25 76:12 | 23:13,20 28:4 | 82:3,25 84:7 |
| 4:1 51:17 | 77:18 79:3,6 | 31:23 43:25 | retained 29:20 |
| remind 60:16 | 79:11,14 80:16 | 44:3 46:2 | 29:23 36:12 |
|  | 81:4,15,19,24 |  |  |

[returned - sentence]

| returned 88:19 | S | 76:14 | 29:16 35:3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| review 82:2,5,7 | s 1:7 2:11 3:5 | sciences 18:7 | 38:7 41:1 |
| 85:16 88:13 | $88: 5,16 \text { 90:8,8 }$ | 57:25 | 45:11 53:16,23 |
| 89:1 90:1 | 91:3 | scientific 16:12 | 54:2 55:2 56:9 |
| reviewed 71:21 | safe 75:17,25 | 21:23 22:2 | 58:25 60:6 |
| 71:22 | safely $57: 18,18$ | 28:3 74:12,13 | 61:9 62:12,25 |
| reword 44:16 | 65:6,10 75:5 | 76:17 77:9,10 | 64:6,21 66:7 |
| right 6:8,22 | salt 8:7 11:7 | 77:13 | 67:16,19 68:6 |
| 8:13,22,25 9:2 | sample 43:6 | scientist 76:20 | 68:24 69:18 |
| 15:17 18:15 | 58:24 59:11,22 | scientists 75:3 | 71:872:23 |
| 22:9 29:10 | 64:18 73:15,15 | scope 61:9 | 73:2,9 75:11 |
| 35:18 53:18 | 74:7,9 75:4 | 81:15,23 | 76:4 77:25 |
| 56:20 66:10 | 5:5 | screen 10:3,6 | 79:7 84:7,10 |
| 68:20 74:2 | sampled 73:19 | 26:8 53:15 | 84:24 |
| 85:13 86:11,13 | samples 43:13 | 60:6 77:17 | seeing 57:20 |
| rights $2: 10,12$ | $57: 558: 10$ | scroll 40:24 | 70:9 |
| 13:16 28:22,24 | sampling 57:21 | 53:19,21 61:9 | seen 68:13 |
| 30:18 34:7,10 | 74:11,17 | 61:11 62:23 | senate 27:10,12 |
| 34:15,19,23 | san 52:10 | 66:5 73:3 | 27:14,15,19,20 |
| 35:5 49:25 | santa 14:14,18 | 82:11 83:20 | 27:24,24 28:4 |
| 52:7,8,19 | 15:6,24 16:2,3 | scrolling 27:1 | 29:25 30:1 |
| role 51:2,4 | $\text { says } 6: 1822: 10$ | seal 87:20 | 31:5,20 33:10 |
| rolette 40:13 | 38:16 62:9 | 89:15 90:21 | 33:14,15,19 |
| 62:7,9 63:24 | $64: 3,2368: 20$ | sec 36:23 52:6 | 34:7 35:11,24 |
| 64:1 | 69:13 70:7,12 | second 45:1 | 40:12 52:25 |
| room 5:14 6:2 | 75:10 76:2 | 77:19 | 53:4 78:12 |
| 6:5 8:8 9:6 | :20 | secondary 72:6 | 79:6,10,15 |
| roughly $38: 25$ | 84:19 | secretary 1:11 | 82:8,22 83:24 |
| 57:3 |  | 88:7 89:3 90:3 | 83:25 84:1 |
| rude 26:6 | 12:15 20:20 | section 40:25 | sense 30:13 |
| rules 47:15 | 34:16 | 63:23 66:6,9 | sent 10:19 |
| 89:5 90:5 | science 11:16 | 72:21 | 26:17,22 63:16 |
| run 63:18 | $13: 13,17 \text { 18:9 }$ | see 10:11 11:12 | sentence $40: 25$ |
|  | $24: 19,21,22$ | 22:10 23:20 | 64:2,23 65:2 |
|  | 34:25 57:16 | 26:8 27:3 | 65:20 68:20 |


| 69:18,21,25 | share 10:3,6 | significantly | 57:25 75:2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 70:1,3 71:5,8 | 28:18 53:15 | 67:14 | 76:14 |
| 71:10 73:8,13 | sharing 60:6 | signing 88:20 | socioeconomic |
| 75:10 76:1,7 | sheet 88:14 | similar 14:22 | 32:4,20 53:22 |
| 77:19 79:3 | 90:7,10,18 | 30:12 52:25 | 56:6 78:13 |
| 83:22,24 | 91:1 | 64:23 65:16,23 | 83:15,19 |
| separate 42:18 | shifted 16:18 | 66:9 | software 56:14 |
| 59:15 | shocks 18:19 | simplest 24:22 | 56:22,23 60:17 |
| separated 83:3 | short 4:10 | simply 59:3 | 61:3,21 63:7 |
| series 7:6 30:14 | show 7:17 | 60:2 70:14 | 63:16,18,20 |
| 30:22 | 22:13 | 74:16 75:18 | solutions 88:1 |
| served 47:1 | showed 63:5 | sincerely 88:22 | 91:1 |
| service 63:19 | showing 10:3 | single 34:18 | somebody 8:22 |
| 67:25 | 38:3 60:8 | 47:6 58:21 | 10:9 |
| services 68:11 | shown 26:8 | 65:12 | someplace |
| 69:14 | 35:21 39:8 | sir 88:11 | 25:11 |
| ses 3:10 | 40:19 61:22 | size 23:25 | somewhat 73:9 |
| session 30:3,4,8 | 68:14 88:17 | 59:11,22 85:5 | 73:20 75:11 |
| 30:11,13 | shows 41:22 | sizes 73:14,15 | sorry 36:2 47:3 |
| sessions 51:7 | 84:6 | 73:15 74:7 | 54:18 75:8 |
| set 43:16 44:19 | side 51:23 | 75:4 | 86:2 |
| 61:1,13 | 78:25 | skipping 20:14 | sort 18:15 |
| sets 16:11 | sign 86:12,14 | sleuthing 46:9 | 25:19 31:1 |
| settlement | signature 87:23 | 46:14 | sorts 25:8 |
| 23:24 | 88:15 | slide 22:8 62:2 | sounds 30:19 |
| setup 8:11 | signed 89:13 | slideshow | source 34:18 |
| seven 21:5 | 90:18 | 31:15 | 43:20 44:12,21 |
| 41:22 82:13,18 | significance | slightly 32:10 | 46:12 48:22 |
| 82:19 | 57:14,15 58:1 | slow 61:11 | 61:20 63:9,20 |
| several 13:4 | significant | small 25:19 | sources 41:1,4 |
| 18:13,17 33:7 | 57:24 58:25 | 73:14,15,16 | 41:10,13 42:8 |
| 36:18 44:3 | 65:3,19,24,25 | 74:8 75:15 | 49:9,11 60:3 |
| 48:10 | 74:9,21 75:2,5 | smoothly 4:11 | 71:3 77:12 |
| shake 7:15 | $\begin{aligned} & 75: 16,2277: 24 \\ & 78: 3.6 .10 .14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|cc} \text { social 18:6 } \\ 27: 17 & 42: 14 \end{array}$ | south 12:25 |


| southwest | start 7:8 | statics 73:21 | stenotype |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25:23 | started 5:4 | stating 5:13 | 87:15 |
| space 24:10 | 39:24 | 27:21 | stipulate 5:15 |
| speak 39:2,3 | starting 5:16 | statistical 12:1 | 5:20 |
| 51:13 | 67:13 | 14:1 15:2 | stipulation |
| speaking 4:14 | state 1:114:6 | 16:12 17:12 | 5:10,12 6:3,6 |
| 55:19 | 8:4 29:4,5 | 21:18,21,23 | stories 12:11 |
| special 2:4 | 31:24 43:21 | 22:2,4 24:13 | straight 70:20 |
| specific 20:4 | 45:3 48:9,19 | 24:16,20,25 | structure 41:24 |
| 35:2 40:13 | 50:12 70:11,12 | 44:13,14,17 | stuck 72:5 |
| 72:4 79:23 | 72:14,19 75:18 | 55:25 56:5,13 | student 15:3 |
| specifically | 80:23 87:1,7 | 56:17 57:13,15 | students 20:6 |
| 21:4 31:20 | 88:7 89:3,10 | 57:16 58:9,16 | 20:19 21:21 |
| 35:5 42:7 | 90:3,15 | 58:23 59:4,23 | 22:1 |
| 54:16 71:18,24 | stated 44:12 | 59:23 60:5 | studied 12:23 |
| 73:7 | 46:7 54:22 | 61:8,14,21 | studies 16:4 |
| spend 22:16 | 70:5 | 62:10,15 64:24 | study 12:18 |
| 38:23 39:6 | statement 4:10 | 65:17 73:17 | 14:7,9 18:1,16 |
| spent 38:20,25 | 15:25 40:14 | 74:8,15 75:4 | 18:17 19:8,10 |
| spirit 1:6 67:1 | 41:23 44:21 | 75:16,23 76:15 | 19:13 22:20 |
| 71:24 | 46:10 49:23 | 78:5 85:6,8 | 23:8,16 |
| spoken 39:19 | 61:15 65:11,12 | statistically | subheading |
| 39:22,24 | 65:15 84:3 | 57:23 59:20 | 65:13 |
| spots 38:16 | 89:13,14 90:19 | 65:3,25 74:9 | subpoena |
| spreadsheet | 90:19 | 74:12,21 75:1 | 10:19,21 26:18 |
| 12:10 | statements | 75:16,21 77:23 | 26:23 38:9 |
| squared 58:5,6 | 12:10 | 78:3,10 | 47:1,16 60:15 |
| 58:8,16,19 | states 1:1 13:12 | statistics 75:3 | 60:24 |
| 59:5 | 15:7,10 18:23 | 75:24 | subscribed |
| Ss $87: 1$ | 19:9,11 20:3 | status 56:6 | 89:10 90:14 |
| standard 58:3 | 20:25 21:12 | 78:13 | 91:21 |
| 75:3 76:15,16 | 44:4 45:13 | stenographer | subsequent |
| standing 81:21 | 58:8 | 4:5 | 62:17 64:24 |
| 82:24 | statewide 68:21 $71: 6$ | stenographic $87: 4,24$ | 65:17 |


| subsequently | surveys 43:8 | talked 16:16 | tells 25:8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 35:8 | 45:22,24 | 22:6 33:19 | tend 62:3 |
| successfully | swath 19:11 | 34:10 36:1 | tentative 73:9 |
| 65:5 | swear 5:8,10,16 | 37:10 51:16 | 73:20,23,24 |
| sufficient 57:23 | 5:20 6:9 | 57:13 60:16 | 74:21 75:11 |
| 85:5 | switching | 68:12 84:17 | terms 10:20 |
| suggested | 61:25 | 85:11 | 24:22 60:22 |
| 79:11 | sworn 6:17 | talking 17:22 | 78:2 84:13 |
| suggests 68:22 | 87:11 89:10,13 | 26:5 54:15 | test 16:12 |
| suite 16:13 | 90:14,18 91:21 | 84:24 | 55:25 56:5,13 |
| 88:2 | system 14:19 | talks 58:5 84:1 | 58:5,6,8,9,23 |
| summarize | 78:12,13 | tamara 36:25 | 58:24 59:6,23 |
| 84:12 | systemic 77:24 | task 17:16 | 60:5 75:5,18 |
| summary 27:5 | 78:7,8,19,23 | 19:20 | 75:23 78:5 |
| sun 24:15 | 79:4 | tasked 32:18,19 | 85:6,8 |
| superior 88:1 | t | tasks 17:15 | testified 28:6 |
| support 82:23 |  | taught 20:22 | 28:10 34:20 |
| supported | ta'ed 21:5,7 | 21:15 | 35:10 78:2 |
| 21:25 76:16 | tables 42:16 | teach 21:21 | 85:2 |
| sure 7:14 8:2,3 | 43:13,18 55:22 | 22:3 | testifies 6:18 |
| 9:6 19:18 20:8 | 43.13,18 55.22 | teaching 19:22 | testify 87:11 |
| 29:9 44:23,25 | take 4:18 5:19 | 20:7,12,13,16 | testifying 87:10 |
| 46:22 48:16 | 7:20,23,25 | 21:18 | testimony 6:10 |
| 49:3,7,17 71:3 | 13:11,23 15:14 | team 36:18 | 9:10,15 27:6 |
| 78:22 85:3 | $16: 829: 11$ | 39:4,6 52:2 | 28:12 38:1 |
| surrounding | 43:6 49:15 | teamed 31:4 | 52:24 89:6,7 |
| 67:2 72:2 | 59:18 67:8 | techniques | 90:6,9,12 |
| survey 11:21 | 73:6 85:19 | 11:23 | tests 44:14,14 |
| 12:22 41:5 | taken 4:37:1 | technology 4:4 | 44:17 56:17 |
| 42:9,10,11,17 | 8:10 87:16 | 5:22 10:7 | 58:16 59:23 |
| 42:19,22 53:7 | $7: 5 \quad 13: 19$ | tell 13:14 37:9 | 62:10 64:25 |
| 55:22 57:4 | $15: 15.2318: 2$ | 51:16 61:10 | 65:17 74:8,15 |
| 62:17 64:9 | $23: 1142: 7$ | 74:15 75:23,24 | thank 47:23 |
| 67:11 71:13 | $45: 8$ | telling 65:8 | 48:12 64:15 |
| 72:6 |  |  | 73:4 84:23 |

[thank-uh]

| 85:25 86:7,8,9 | 26:22 28:5 | tracks 59:12,21 | trying 10:9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| theory 11:23,25 | 30:22 31:6 | trained 31:25 | 26:6 56:9 |
| 14:1 | 36:17 38:20,23 | 32:2 | tucker 50:2,9 |
| thereabouts | 49:16 77:16 | training 30:2,4 | 50:16,18,21,25 |
| 65:14 | times 37:11 | 30:8,11,13 | 51:21 52:2 |
| thereof 87:8 | 44:2 65:14 | 33:1,2,5 36:10 | tucker's 51:2 |
| thing 7:12 | 69:15,16,23 | 50:17,20,22 | turnout 82:5 |
| 56:20 | 70:2,7,16 | 51:2,3 68:12 | turtle 1:567: |
| things 18:19 | timing 30:25 | transcribed 7:5 | 71:25 88:6 |
| 23:15 31:5 | today 8:23 9:7 | 87:15 89:7 | 89:3 90:3 |
| 62:4 83:3 | 9:9,15,18 13:2 | transcript 7:17 | tusker 51:1 |
| think 6:24 | 15:15 16:25 | 88:12,13 89:5 | tusker's 51:4 |
| 34:17,20 47:14 | 22:6 29:8,13 | 89:12 90:5,11 | two 24:19 26:5 |
| 51:23 80:23,25 | 29:18 36:1 | 90:17 | 26:24 34:3 |
| 84:22 85:24 | 38:21,24 39:1 | transcription | 37:13 38:16,25 |
| thinking 56:20 | 39:3,9 47:12 | 87:16 | 41:14 42:7 |
| third 53:21 | 54:13 55:8,17 | transcripts | 54:24 56:10 |
| thirty 88:19 | 85:12 | 13:14 | 58:10 66:10 |
| thorough 77:11 | together 30:7 | treaties 66:16 | 83:3 |
| thoughts 12:11 | took 12:15,24 | 66:17,24 | type 24:17 31:2 |
| three 19:15,23 | 13:13 34:24 | trend 57:20 | 54:25 60:16 |
| 37:13,13 40:12 | 36:9 60:20 | trial 28:10,12 | 78:20 84:1 |
| 41:18 43:11 | 87:5 | tribal 79:5 | types 28:2 |
| 59:13 66:12,21 | top 21:13 29:2 | tribe 1:6 | 71:12 82:23 |
| 67:5 69:16 | 35:22 53:19 | tribes 66:22 | typical 24:4 |
| 70:2,7,16 | 62:24 73:2 | tried 56:5 | 68:18 83:15,19 |
| 71:18 81:11,18 | 75:9 82:11 | true 43:20 | typically 12:8 |
| threshold | topic 18:16 | 63:12 75:1,7 | 23:3,9 34:4 |
| 57:17 75:2 | 32:10 | $77: 6$ 87:14 | u |
| tie 35:9 | topical 22 | $\text { trust } 66: 13,17$ | u.s. 45:14 48 |
| tied 47:25 | topics 18:17 | truth 6:11,12 | uc $14: 18,19$ |
| time 7:20 14:19 | 78:24 | 87:12 | ucsb 14:18,25 |
| 16:5,21 20:6 | total 71:3 | truthful 9:9,14 | 19:19 |
| 20:21 21:5,7 | towards 16:19 | try 4:22 | uh 7:16,16 |
| 22:16 23:16 | 67:12 |  | uh 7.16,16 |
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| ultimate 58:14 | 18:22 19:9,11 | vague 46:21 | vitae 3:7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| under 6:11 | 20:3,25 21:12 | 80:6 81: | voter 82:5 |
| 24:15 45:11 | university | valid 76 | 83:18 |
| 87:11,12 | 11:16 13:20 | value 65:7 66:6 | voting 13:16,16 |
| undergrad | 14:13,17,23 | 75:2 84:20,25 | 14:3 15:7 19:4 |
| 13:13,18 | 15:5,24 18:10 | 85:9 | 21:15 34:6,9 |
| underlying | unmute 6:25 | variable 23:12 | 34:14,19,21,23 |
| 44:19 | unrelated 56:7 | 44:11 45:7 | 35:1,5 52:18 |
| undersigned | 56:10 | 54:7,23 56:6 | 52:20 59:12 |
| 87:4 | upper 62:11,19 | 58:12 59:17 | 79:13 83:6 |
| understand | 62:22 76:9 | 62:11 | vs 1:9 |
| 4:24 5:1 9:9,13 | ups 86:4 | variables 24:10 | vulnerable |
| 15:13 30:8 | use 10:6 25:6 | 28:3 54:16 | 18:19 |
| 34:4 37:20 | 45:3 56:14,22 | 55:24 56:10 | W |
| 43:12 44:24 | 56:22 58:13 | 59:5 78:9 | wait 7:9 |
| 54:14 78:22 | 72:13 | 82:18 | waited 7:7 |
| understanding | used 39:14 | variants 25:6 | waive $86: 13$ |
| 30:19 35:19 | 44:14 45:6 | varies 21:8 | waived 88:20 |
| 40:11 43:3 | 70:6 77:9,10 | various 11:21 | walk 11:3,14 |
| 44:7 48:22 | 77:14 | 21:23 33:13 | want 4:21 7:5 |
| 49:750:21 | useful 7:18 | 34:12 45:13 | 7:20 8:10 9:6 |
| 59:8 61:19 | uses 49:6 | 64:13 | 11:3 22:16 |
| 66:2 68:8,10 | using 18:14,23 | vary 75:18 | 36:15,25 37:9 |
| 69:2 72:11 | 34:3 55:24 | verbal 7:18 | 41:16 44:23,23 |
| 82:10,12 84:18 | 71:2 | verified 5:22 | 46:13 49:3,15 |
| understood | usual 19:21 | veritext $88: 1,8$ | 55:8 61:11 |
| 12:17 47:21 | usually 49:14 | 91:1 | 78:22 84:18 |
| 50:25 78:15 | utah 8:7 11:7 | veritext.com. | 85:3,19 |
| unfortunately | 11:16 13:20 | 88:18 | wanted 22:22 |
| 14:22 25:5 | 14:17,23 18:10 | vet 30:14 | way $12: 714: 21$ |
| 9:1 | v | violence 19:2 | 15:3,4 17:18 |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { uninsured } 69: 6 \\ 69: 16.24 \end{array}$ | v 88:6 89:3 | violent 23:22 | 20:19 45:1,4 |
| united 1.1 | 90:3 |  | 47:25 51:11 |
| united 1:1 | vagaries 57:21 | visible 9:2 | 55:5,15 57:2 |
| 13:12 15:7,9 | 74:17 |  | 59:1 |


| ways 78:10 | winter 36:14 | working 18:13 | 33:7,24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| we've 33:18 | wit 4:8 | 18:20 | z |
| 34:10 36:1 | witness 3:2,10 | works 22:2 | zachery 1:7 |
| 63:10 | 4:18 5:9,11,16 | 85:21 | zoom 4:4 5:21 |
| website 33:17 | 5:22 6:13,16 | world 12:24 | 8:12,18 10:9 |
| 33:21,23,24 | 9:21 10:15 | worst 78:25 | 46:17 51:22 |
| 34:3,13 43:17 | 28:1,17,21 | write 62:4 | 62:4 84:21 |
| 48:7 | 31:24 32:5 | writing 11:22 |  |
| websites 33:13 | 37:1 49:22 | 32:18,20 46:16 |  |
| 34:12 | 51:25 52:13,17 | written 30:23 |  |
| week 39:4 | 80:13 81:7 | 31:8,13 34:1 |  |
| weight 80:15 | 87:20 88:9,12 | 46:24 47:8,10 |  |
| went 21:1 | 89:1,4,11 90:1 | 56:17 57:15 |  |
| 34:25 43:10 | 90:4,15 | wrong 44:6 |  |
| 51:7 | witnesses 30:15 | 56:5 62:6 |  |
| wesley 1:6 | 87:10 | 63:24 83:22,23 |  |
| west 2:5 5:21 | witness' 88:15 | wrote 13:4 |  |
| weston 1:14 3:3 | word 31:17 | 53:13 |  |
| 4:2 5:23 6:15 | 60:21 61:15 | x |  |
| 8:6 26:9 88:9 | 72:13 | x 3:1,5 |  |
| 89:4,9 90:4,13 | words 65:22 |  |  |
| 91:20 | work 14:3,5 | y |  |
| white 54:11,20 | 16:24 17:17 | yeah 8:18 13:7 |  |
| 55:10,11 64:5 | 19:3 20:2,18 | 15:11 16:21 |  |
| whites 67:15 | 24:17 25:14,17 | 17:9 18:6 24:2 |  |
| 68:24 69:15,17 | 25:18 29:20,23 | 28:14 31:17 |  |
| 70:7,9,18 | 32:1,15 37:22 | 36:23 50:10 |  |
| 77:23 78:12,16 | 37:25 38:11,14 | 52:15 61:18 |  |
| 79:1 | 38:18 39:10 | 70:16 75:13 |  |
| widely 57:25 | 43:25 46:2 | 76:6 |  |
| 76:14 77:8 | 50:14 53:3 | year 17:9 41:4 |  |
| window 8:12 | worked 18:8,10 | 55:21 |  |
| 8:16,18,19 | 25:25 32:23,25 | years 13:5 |  |
| windows 8:13 | 37:14 | 18:23 21:5 |  |
|  |  | 22:24 32:9 |  |

Federal Rules of Civil Procedure
Rule 30
(e) Review By the Witness; Changes.
(1) Review; Statement of Changes. On request by the deponent or a party before the deposition is completed, the deponent must be allowed 30 days after being notified by the officer that the transcript or recording is available in which:
(A) to review the transcript or recording; and (B) if there are changes in form or substance, to sign a statement listing the changes and the reasons for making them.
(2) Changes Indicated in the Officer's Certificate. The officer must note in the certificate prescribed by Rule $30(f)(1)$ whether a review was requested and, if so, must attach any changes the deponent makes during the $30-d a y$ period.

DISCLAIMER: THE FOREGOING FEDERAL PROCEDURE RULES ARE PROVIDED FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY. THE ABOVE RULES ARE CURRENT AS OF APRIL 1, 2019. PLEASE REFER TO THE APPLICABLE FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE FOR UP-TO-DATE INFORMATION.

Veritext Legal Solutions represents that the foregoing transcript is a true, correct and complete transcript of the colloquies, questions and answers as submitted by the court reporter. Veritext Legal Solutions further represents that the attached exhibits, if any, are true, correct and complete documents as submitted by the court reporter and/or attorneys in relation to this deposition and that the documents were processed in accordance with our litigation support and production standards.

Veritext Legal Solutions is committed to maintaining the confidentiality of client and witness information, in accordance with the regulations promulgated under the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA), as amended with respect to protected health information and the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, as amended, with respect to Personally Identifiable Information (PII). Physical transcripts and exhibits are managed under strict facility and personnel access controls. Electronic files of documents are stored in encrypted form and are transmitted in an encrypted fashion to authenticated parties who are permitted to access the material. Our data is hosted in a Tier 4 SSAE 16 certified facility.

Veritext Legal Solutions complies with all federal and State regulations with respect to the provision of court reporting services, and maintains its neutrality and independence regardless of relationship or the financial outcome of any litigation. Veritext requires adherence to the foregoing professional and ethical standards from all of its subcontractors in their independent contractor agreements.

Inquiries about Veritext Legal Solutions' confidentiality and security policies and practices should be directed to Veritext's Client Services Associates indicated on the cover of this document or at www.veritext.com.

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NORTH DAKOTA EASTERN DIVISION 

Turtle Mountain Band of Chippewa
Indians, et al.,

Plaintiffs,
v.

Alvin Jaeger, in his official capacity as Secretary of State of North Dakota,

Defendant.

Case No. 3:22-cv-00022-PDW-ARS

## PLAINTIFFS' RULE 26(a) INITIAL DISCLOSURES

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1), the Parties' agreed scheduling order, and this Court's Order of June 1, 2022 (ECF No. 27), Plaintiffs the Turtle Mountain Band of Chippewa Indians, The Spirit Lake Tribe, Wesley Davis, Zachery S. King, and Collette Brown make the following initial disclosures. These disclosures are based upon information reasonably available to Plaintiffs at this time. Plaintiffs anticipate that discovery and other pretrial preparation will lead to additional, relevant information, and reserve the right to supplement these disclosures as appropriate and necessary to the comply with applicable rules.

## INDIVIDUALS LIKELY TO HAVE DISCOVERABLE INFORMATION

Plaintiffs disclose the following individuals as likely to have discoverable information that they may use to support their claims or defenses in this case. Any expert
witnesses will be disclosed in accordance with the Scheduling Order and Fed. R. Civ. P.
26(a)(2).

| NAME CONTACT INFORMATION | SUBJECTS OF INFORMATION |
| :--- | :--- |
| Representative Bill Devlin <br> Phone: 701-524-2303 <br> Mailing Address: P.O. Box 505, Finley, ND <br> $58230-0505$ | Served as Chairman on the Legislative <br> Redistricting Committee. |
| Senator Ray Holmberg (Resigned) | Served as a Vice Chairman on the <br> Legislative Redistricting Committee. |
| Representative Larry Bellew <br> Phone: 701-852-5786 <br> Mailing Address: 812 Bel Air Place, Minot, <br> ND 58703-1751 | Served as a Member on the Legislative <br> Redistricting Committee. |
| Representative Joshua A. Boschee <br> Phone: 701-367-3513 <br> Mailing Address: 517 First Street North, <br> Fargo, ND 58102-4540 | Served as a Member on the Legislative <br> Redistricting Committee, and served as <br> Vice Chairman on the Tribal and State <br> Relations Committee. |
| Representative Craig Headland <br> Phone: 701-489-3184 <br> Mailing Address: 4950 92nd Avenue SE, |  |
| Montpelier, ND 58472-9630 |  |$\quad$| Served as a Member on the Legislative |
| :--- |
| Redistricting Committee. |
| Representative Mike Lefor <br> Phone: 701-290-0539 <br> Mailing Address: P.O. Box 564, Dickinson, <br> ND 58602-0564 |
| Representative David Monson <br> Phone: 701-496-3394 <br> Mailing Address: P.O. Box 8, Osnabrock, <br> ND 58269-0008 |
| Representative Mike Nathe <br> Phone: 701-250-0645 <br> Mailing Address: 1899 Bonn Boulevard, <br> Bismarck, ND 58504-7019 |
| Representative Austen Schauer <br> Phone: 701-730-4474 <br> Mailing Address: 110 West Beaton Drive, <br> West Fargo, ND 58078-2657 |
| Served as a Member on the Legislative <br> Redistricting Committee. <br> Redistricting Committee. the Legislative |


| Senator Brad Bekkedahl <br> Phone: 701-570-1879 <br> Mailing Address: P.O. Box 2443, Williston, <br> ND 58802-2443 | Served as a Member on the Legislative <br> Redistricting Committee. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Senator Randy A. Burckhard <br> Phone: 701-838-1509 <br> Mailing Address: 1837 15th Street SW, <br> Minot, ND 58701-6158 | Served as a Member on the Legislative <br> Redistricting Committee. |
| Senator Robert Erbele <br> Phone: 701-378-2272 <br> Mailing Address: 6512 51st Avenue SE, <br> Lehr, ND 58460-9149 | Served as a Member on the Legislative <br> Redistricting Committee. |
| Senator Jerry Klein <br> Phone: 701-547-3517 <br> Mailing Address: P.O. Box 265, Fessenden, <br> ND 58438-0265 | Served as a Member on the Legislative <br> Redistricting Committee. |
| Senator Erin Oban (Resigned) | Served as a Member on the Legislative <br> Redistricting Committee. |
| Senator Nicole Poolman <br> Phone: 701-250-9195 <br> Mailing Address: 3609 Bogey Drive, <br> Bismarck, ND 58503-9195 | Served as a Member on the Legislative <br> Redistricting Committee. |
| Senator Ronald Sorvaag <br> Phone: 701-361-2156 <br> Mailing Address: 3402 Birdie Street North, <br> Fargo, ND 58102-1201 | Served as a Member on the Legislative <br> Redistricting Committee. |
| Representative Marvin E. Nelson <br> Phone: 701-550-9731 <br> Mailing Address: P.O. Box 577, Rolla, ND <br> $58367-0577 ~$ | Member of the 67th Legislative Assembly <br> who testified before the Redistricting <br> Committee. |
| Senator Richard Marcellais <br> Phone: 701-477-8985 <br> Address: 301 Laite Loop NE, Belcourt, ND <br> $58316-3877 ~$ | Member of the 67th Legislative Assembly <br> who testified before the Redistricting <br> Committee. |
| Secretary of State Alvin Jaeger <br> Can be contacted through defense <br> counsel. | The North Dakota redistricting process <br> and of North Dakota election <br> administration. |
| Chairman Jaime Azure <br> Can be contacted through Plaintiffs' <br> counsel. | The injury the Turtle Mountain Band of <br> Chippewa Indians and its members have <br> suffered by the State's use of <br> redistricting plan that dilutes their vote. |


| Chairman Douglas Yankton, Sr. <br> Can be contacted through Plaintiffs' <br> counsel. | The injury the Spirit Lake Tribe and its <br> members have suffered by the State's use <br> of a redistricting plan that dilutes their <br> vote. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Wesley Davis <br> Can be contacted through Plaintiffs' <br> counsel. | The injury he has suffered as a voter by the <br> State's use of a redistricting plan that <br> dilutes his vote. |
| Zachery S. King <br> Can be contacted through Plaintiffs' <br> counsel. | The injury he has suffered as a voter by the <br> State's use of a redistricting plan that <br> dilutes his vote. |
| Collette Brown <br> Can be contacted through Plaintiffs' <br> counsel. | The injury she has suffered as a voter by <br> the State's use of a redistricting plan that <br> dilutes her vote. |
| All persons named in defendant's initial <br> disclosures. |  |

## DOCUMENTS, ESI, AND TANGIBLE THINGS IN PLAINTIFFS' POSSESSION, CUSTODY, OR CONTROL

The following documents or categories of documents may be potentially used to support Plaintiffs' claims and defenses in this case.
a. Publicly available legislative history of the North Dakota Legislative Redistricting Committee, including video and audio recordings of Redistricting Committee meetings.
b. Publicly available legislative history of the North Dakota $67^{\text {th }}$ General Assembly a related to redistricting, including video and audio recordings related to redistricting.
c. Publicly available legislative history of the Tribal and State Relations Committee, including video and audio recordings of committee meetings.
d. Publicly available North Dakota voting and election data.
e. All documents attached to any pleadings filed in this matter.
f. All documents named or described in defendant's initial disclosures.

## COMPUTATION OF DAMAGES

Not applicable.

## INSURANCE POLICIES

Not applicable.

Dated this 23rd day of June, 2022.

| /s/ Michael S. Carter |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Michael S. Carter |  |
| OK No. 31961 |  |
| carter@narf.org |  |
| Matthew Campbell | DC Bar No. 988077 |
| mgaber@campaignlegal.org |  |

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on June 23, 2022, I served a true and correct copy of PLAINTIFFS'
RULE 26(a) INITIAL DISCLOSURES via email to the following people:

David R. Phillips<br>Special Assistant Attorney General<br>ND Bar \# 06116<br>BAKKE GRINOLDS WIEDERHOLT<br>300 West Century Avenue<br>P.O. Box 4247<br>Bismarck, ND 58502-4247<br>(701) 751-8188<br>dphillips@bgwattorneys.com<br>Matthew A. Sagsveen<br>North Dakota Solicitor General<br>ND Bar \# 05613<br>Office of Attorney General 500 N. 9th Street<br>Bismarck, ND 58501-4509<br>masagsve@nd.gov

/s/ Michael S. Carter
Michael S. Carter
Attorney for Plaintiffs

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NORTH DAKOTA EASTERN DIVISION 

Turtle Mountain Band of Chippewa Indians, et al.,

> Plaintiffs,
v.

Alvin Jaeger, in his official capacity as Secretary of State of North Dakota,

Defendant.

Case No. 3:22-cv-00022-PDW-ARS

## PLAINTIFFS' SECOND SET OF SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1), the Parties' agreed scheduling order, and this Court's Order of June 1, 2022 (ECF No. 27), Plaintiffs the Turtle Mountain Band of Chippewa Indians, The Spirit Lake Tribe, Wesley Davis, Zachery S. King, and Collette Brown make the following supplemental disclosures. These disclosures are based upon information reasonably available to Plaintiffs at this time. Plaintiffs anticipate that discovery and other pretrial preparation will lead to additional, relevant information, and reserve the right to supplement these disclosures as appropriate and necessary to the comply with applicable rules.

## INDIVIDUALS LIKELY TO HAVE DISCOVERABLE INFORMATION

In addition to individuals previously disclosed, Plaintiff discloses the following individuals likely to have discoverable information-along with the subjects of that information-whom the disclosing party may use to support their claims or defenses, unless it would be used solely for impeachment.

## Exhibit 3

| NAME / CONTACT INFORMATION | SUBJECTS OF INFORMATION |
| :--- | :--- |
| Lonna Jackson Street <br> Can be contacted through Plaintiffs' counselMs. Jackson Street is the newly elected chair <br> of the Spirit Lake Nation and has information <br> regarding the Tribe, its voters and local <br> election conditions, and the needs and interests <br> of the Tribe and Tribal residents with respect to <br> the state legislature. |  |

Dated this 10th day of May, 2023.

Michael S. Carter
OK No. 31961
carter@narf.org
Matthew Campbell
NM No. 138207, CO No. 40808
mcampbell@narf.org
NATIVE AMERICAN RIGHTS FUND 1506 Broadway
Boulder, CO 80301
Telephone: (303) 447-8760 (main)
Fax: (303) 443-7776
Samantha B. Kelty
AZ No. 024110, TX No. 24085074
kelty@narf.org
NATIVE AMERICAN RIGHTS FUND
1514 P St. NW, Suite D
Washington, D.C. 20005
(202) 785-4166

Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Bryan Sells
GA No. 635562
bryan@bryansellslaw.com
THE LAW OFFICE OF BRYAN L.
SELLS, LLC
Post Office Box 5493
Atlanta, GA 31107-0493
Telephone: (404) 480-4212 (voice and fax)
/s/ Mark P. Gaber
Mark P. Gaber
DC Bar No. 988077
mgaber@campaignlegal.org
Molly E. Danahy
DC Bar No. 1643411
mdanahy@campaignlegal.org
CAMPAIGN LEGAL CENTER
1101 14th St. NW, Ste. 400
Washington, DC 20005
Telephone: (202) 736-2200 (main)
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Timothy Q. Purdon
ND No. 05392
TPurdon@RobinsKaplan.com
ROBINS KAPLAN LLP
1207 West Divide Avenue, Suite 200
Bismarck, ND 58501
Telephone: (701) 255-3000
Fax: (612) 339-4181
Attorney for Spirit Lake Tribe and Turtle
Mountain Band of Chippewa Indians

Attorney for Plaintiffs

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on May 10, 2023, I served a true and correct copy of the foregoing via email to the following people:

David R. Phillips<br>Special Assistant Attorney General<br>ND Bar \# 06116<br>BAKKE GRINOLDS WIEDERHOLT<br>300 West Century Avenue<br>P.O. Box 4247<br>Bismarck, ND 58502-4247<br>(701) 751-8188<br>dphillips@bgwattorneys.com<br>Matthew A. Sagsveen<br>North Dakota Solicitor General<br>ND Bar \# 05613<br>Office of Attorney General<br>500 N. 9th Street<br>Bismarck, ND 58501-4509<br>masagsve@nd.gov

/s/ Mark P. Gaber
Mark P. Gaber
Attorney for Plaintiffs

