

PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON LLP  
1285 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10019-6064  
TELEPHONE (212) 373-3000



1700 Seventh Ave, Suite 2100 | Seattle, WA 98101

July 6, 2023

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

Lyle W. Cayce  
Clerk of the Court  
United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit  
600 South Maestri Place  
New Orleans, LA 70130

*Robinson v. Ardoin; Galmon v. Ardoin*, No. 22-30333

Dear Mr. Cayce:

Plaintiffs-Appellees in this consolidated appeal submit this letter in response to the Court’s Memorandum of June 28, 2023. The Memorandum requests the parties to address whether, following the Supreme Court’s decision in *Allen v. Milligan*, No. 21-1086 (June 8, 2023), the Court should remand the appeal of this matter to allow the district court to consider the new authority. The Memorandum also directs the parties to submit supplemental briefs addressing *Milligan* and any other developments or caselaw that would have been appropriate for Rule 28(j) letters over the past year.

As Appellants have acknowledged, following *Milligan*, “the law in the section 2 context has not substantially changed.” Letter from Jeff Landry to Hon. Scott S. Harris in *Ardoin v. Robinson*, No. 21A814 (Sup. Ct. June 14, 2023), at 3. In *Milligan*, the Supreme Court reaffirmed the standards governing actions under Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act that the Court first adopted thirty-seven years ago in *Thornburg v. Gingles*, 478 U. S. 30 (1986), and squarely “reject[ed] Alabama’s invitation to change existing law.” *Milligan*, slip op. at 22. Applying those settled standards, the Court affirmed the judgment of the three-judge panel that the Alabama congressional redistricting plan at issue likely violated Section 2. *Id.*

*Milligan* thus reaffirms the applicability of the *Gingles* standards applied by the district court and a motions panel of this Court in this case. The district court, in a comprehensive and thoughtful 152-page opinion, rejected Appellants’ suggestion that “the well-worn *Gingles* test is endangered and, possibly, bound for extinction,” and instead “appl[ie]d *Gingles* and its progeny” to conclude that Louisiana’s congressional redistricting plan likely violated Section 2. *Robinson v. Ardoin*, 605 F. Supp. 3d 759, 818

(M.D. La. 2022). A motions panel of this Court applied the same standards when it concluded that Appellants “ha[d] not met their burden of showing likely success on the merits” and denied their motion for a stay pending appeal. *Robinson v. Ardoin*, 37 F.4th 208, 215 (5th Cir. 2022) (Smith, Higginson, and Willett, JJ.); *see also id.* at 224 (“*Gingles* remains good law, and so the defendants have not shown that they are likely to succeed on that basis.”).

Because *Milligan* reaffirmed the standards that the district court applied, Appellees respectfully submit that the Court need not remand for the district court to consider *Milligan*, and should instead allow the appeal to proceed in the ordinary course following the submission of the parties’ supplemental briefs.

Date: July 6, 2023

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/Abha Khanna

Abha Khanna  
Jonathan P. Hawley  
ELIAS LAW GROUP LLP  
1700 Seventh Ave. Suite 2100  
Seattle, Washington 98101  
(206) 656-0177  
akhanna@elias.law

Lalitha D. Madduri  
Jacob D. Shelly  
ELIAS LAW GROUP LLP  
250 Massachusetts Ave, NW Suite 400  
Washington, D.C. 20001  
(202) 968-4490

J. E. Cullens, Jr.  
Andrée Matherne Cullens  
S. Layne Lee  
WALTERS, THOMAS, CULLENS, LLC  
12345 Perkins Road, Bldg. One  
Baton Rouge, LA 70810  
(225) 236-3636

*Counsel for Galmon Plaintiffs*

By: /s/Jonathan H. Hurwitz

Jonathan H. Hurwitz  
Robert A. Atkins  
Yahonnes Cleary  
Amitav Chakraborty  
Adam P. Savitt  
PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON  
& GARRISON LLP  
1285 Avenue Of The Americas, New  
York, NY 10019  
Tel.: (212) 373-3000  
Fax: (212) 757-3990  
jhurwitz@paulweiss.com  
ratkins@paulweiss.com  
ycleary@paulweiss.com  
achakraborty@paulweiss.com  
asavitt@paulweiss.com

John Adcock  
Adcock Law LLC  
3110 Canal Street  
New Orleans, LA 70119  
Tel: (504) 233-3125  
jnadcock@gmail.com

*Counsel for Robinson Plaintiffs*  
(Continued on next page)

Leah Aden (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
Stuart Naifeh (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
Victoria Wenger (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
NAACP Legal Defense and Educational  
Fund, Inc.  
40 Rector Street, 5th Floor  
New York, NY 10006  
Tel: (212) 965-2200  
laden@naacplef.org  
snaifeh@naacpldf.org  
vwenger@naacpldf.org

R. Jared Evans  
I. Sara Rohani (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
NAACP Legal Defense and Educational  
Fund, Inc.  
700 14th Street N.W. Ste. 600  
Washington, DC 20005  
Tel: (202) 682-1300  
jevans@naacpldf.org  
srohani@naacpldf.org

Nora Ahmed  
ACLU Foundation of Louisiana  
1340 Poydras St, Ste. 2160  
New Orleans, LA 70112  
Tel: (504) 522-0628  
nahmed@laaclu.org  
msnider@laaclu.org

Tracie L. Washington  
Louisiana Justice Institute  
Suite 132  
3157 Gentilly Blvd  
New Orleans LA, 70122  
Tel: (504) 872-9134  
tracie.washington.esq@gmail.com

Sophia Lin Lakin  
American Civil Liberties Union  
Foundation  
125 Broad Street, 18th Floor  
New York, NY 10004  
slakin@aclu.org

Sarah Brannon  
American Civil Liberties Union  
Foundation  
915 15th St., NW  
Washington, DC 20005  
sbrannon@aclu.org

T. Alora Thomas-Lundborg  
Election Law Clinic  
Harvard Law School  
6 Everett Street, Ste. 4105  
Cambridge, MA 02138  
(617) 495-5202  
tthomaslundborg@law.harvard.edu

*Counsel for Robinson Plaintiffs*

cc: All counsel of record (via electronic filing)