

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
EL PASO DIVISION

LEAGUE OF UNITED LATIN AMERICAN  
CITIZENS (LULAC), et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

GREG ABBOTT, et al.,

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 3:21-cv-259  
(DCG-JES-JVB)  
(consolidated cases)

**JOINT STATUS REPORT REGARDING PENDING DISPUTES**

On August 21, 2023, the Court ordered the parties to file a joint status report regarding various pending disputes. *See* Joint Status Report Order, ECF No. 729. In particular, the Court ordered the parties to identify: (A) all outstanding motions this Court has not resolved in the first instance; (B) all orders from this Court that have been or are stayed, held in abeyance, or appealed; (C) any relevant miscellaneous or other litigation, and the status of that litigation, that is proceeding outside this Court; and (D) any other matters the parties want to bring to the Court's attention, especially related to discovery. *See id.* Using their reasonable best efforts, the parties jointly provide that information herein.

**(A) Outstanding Motions**

- (1) Legislators' Motion to Quash Margo Cardwell Subpoena, ECF No. 341 <sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> The Court previously denied part of this motion as moot but left pending the part pertaining to Margo Cardwell. *See* Mot. to Quash Order, ECF No. 448; *see also* MALC Pls. Mot. to Quash Resp., ECF No. 360; State and Legis. Mot. to Quash Reply, ECF No. 376; MALC Pls. Mot. to Quash Suppl. Resp., ECF No. 427; State and Legis. Mot. to Quash Reply, ECF No. 428.

- (2) United States' Motion to Enforce Document Subpoenas, ECF No. 351 <sup>2</sup>
- (3) United States' Motion to Enforce Chris Gober and the Gober Group Subpoenas, ECF No. 442
- (4) LULAC Plaintiffs' First Motion to Compel Document Subpoenas, ECF No. 447 <sup>3</sup>
- (5) United States' First Motion to Compel Legislative Deposition Testimony, ECF No. 522 <sup>4</sup>
- (6) Private Plaintiffs' Amended First Motion to Compel Regarding Portions of Depositions Subject to Legislative Privilege Objections, ECF No. 555 <sup>4 5</sup>

---

<sup>2</sup> The Court previously granted this motion on July 25, 2022. *See* 1st Legis. Docs. Order, ECF No. 467. After the Legislators appealed, the Fifth Circuit vacated this Court's order and remanded the appeal. *See* Unpublished Order, *LULAC v. Patrick*, No. 22-50662 (5th Cir. July 18, 2023), ECF No. 107-1. This motion is now pending again in this Court and a subject of the second round of supplemental briefing regarding the legislative privilege set to be completed on September 14, 2023. *See* 2d Suppl. Br. Order, ECF No. 719; *see also* U.S. 2d Suppl. Open. Br., ECF No. 722; Private Pls. 2d Suppl. Open. Br., ECF No. 725.

<sup>3</sup> The documents at issue in this motion substantially overlap with those at issue in the United States' motion to enforce its document subpoenas. *See* U.S. Mot. to Enforce Docs. Subpoenas, ECF No. 351. But because there is not complete overlap, this motion is a subject of both the first and second rounds of supplemental briefing regarding the legislative privilege, all of which is set to be completed on September 14, 2023. *See* 1st Suppl. Br. Order, ECF No. 703; 2d Suppl. Br. Order, ECF No. 719; *see also* U.S. 1st Suppl. Open Br., ECF No. 708; Private Pls. 1st Suppl. Open Br., ECF No. 709; State and Legis. 1st Suppl. Resp. Br., ECF No. 721; U.S. 2d Suppl. Open. Br., ECF No. 722; Private Pls. 2d Suppl. Open. Br., ECF No. 725; U.S. 1st Suppl. Reply Br., ECF No. 727; Private Pls. 1st Suppl. Reply Br., ECF No. 728.

<sup>4</sup> This motion is a subject of the first round of supplemental briefing regarding the legislative privilege that the parties completed on August 11, 2023. *See* 1st Suppl. Br. Order, ECF No. 703; *see also* U.S. 1st Suppl. Open Br., ECF No. 708; Private Pls. 1st Suppl. Open Br., ECF No. 709; State and Legis. 1st Suppl. Resp. Br., ECF No. 721; U.S. 1st Suppl. Reply Br., ECF No. 727; Private Pls. 1st Suppl. Reply Br., ECF No. 728.

<sup>5</sup> On August 9, 2022, the Private Plaintiffs filed their original first motion to compel regarding portions of depositions subject to legislative privilege objections. *See* Private Pls. Orig. 1st Mot. to Compel. Legis. Dep. Test., ECF No. 523. That motion remains pending on the docket sheet. *See id.* On August 19, 2022, the Court granted the Private Plaintiffs' request to amend that motion. *See* Text Order (Aug. 19, 2022). In light of that order and the Private Plaintiffs' filing of this amended motion, the Court can deny the original motion as moot. In addition, the deposition testimony at issue in this amended motion substantially overlaps with that at issue in

- (7) United States' Motion to Enforce Order Compelling Office of the Attorney General ("OAG") Documents, ECF No. 527<sup>4</sup>
- (8) United States' Motion to Enforce Rep. Michael Schofield Subpoena, ECF No. 532<sup>4</sup>
- (9) LULAC Plaintiffs' Second Motion to Compel Document Subpoenas, ECF No. 540<sup>4</sup>
- (10) United States' Second Motion to Compel Legislative Deposition Testimony, ECF No. 542<sup>4</sup>
- (11) Private Plaintiffs' Second Motion to Compel Regarding Portions of Depositions Subject to Legislative Privilege Objections, ECF No. 543<sup>4,6</sup>
- (12) LULAC Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel OAG Documents, ECF No. 548<sup>4,7</sup>
- (13) LULAC Plaintiffs' Motion to Reopen Sen. Joan Huffman Deposition and Compel Deposition Answers, ECF No. 580
- (14) LULAC Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Anna Mackin Document Subpoena, ECF No. 582<sup>3</sup>
- (15) Fair Maps Plaintiffs' Unopposed Motion to Withdraw Chandrashekar Benakatti, ECF No. 594
- (16) United States' Third Motion to Compel Legislative Deposition Testimony, ECF No. 600<sup>4,8</sup>

---

the United States' first motion to compel legislative deposition testimony. *See* U.S. 1st Mot. to Compel Legis. Dep. Test., ECF No. 522.

<sup>6</sup> The deposition testimony at issue in this motion substantially overlaps with that at issue in the United States' second motion to compel legislative deposition testimony. *See* U.S. 2d Mot. to Compel Legis. Dep. Test., ECF No. 542.

<sup>7</sup> The documents at issue in this motion substantially overlap with those at issue in the United States' motion to enforce the order compelling OAG documents. *See* U.S. Mot. to Enforce Order Compel. OAG Docs., ECF No. 527.

<sup>8</sup> By separate joinder, the LULAC Plaintiffs joined in this motion. *See* LULAC Pls. Joinder, ECF No. 602.

- (17) LULAC Plaintiffs' Motion to Reopen Discovery to Subpoena Dave's Redistricting App LLC ("DRA"), ECF No. 617
- (18) United States' Renewed Motion to Compel Eric Wienckowski and Thomas Bryan Documents, ECF No. 634
- (19) United States' Fourth Motion to Compel Legislative Deposition Testimony, ECF No. 636<sup>4</sup>
- (20) LULAC Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Deposition Testimony of Reps. Todd Hunter and Andrew Murr, ECF No. 638<sup>4,9</sup>
- (21) United States' Motion to Compel Texas Legislative Council Documents, ECF No. 644<sup>4</sup>
- (22) Texas NAACP's Motion to Compel Sen. Brian Birdwell Deposition Testimony, ECF No. 649

**(B) Stayed, Held in Abeyance, or Appealed Orders**

- (1) Preliminary Injunction Denial Order, ECF No. 176<sup>10</sup>
- (2) First Legislative Depositions Order, ECF No. 282<sup>11</sup>

---

<sup>9</sup> The deposition testimony at issue in this motion substantially overlaps with that at issue in the United States' fourth motion to compel legislative deposition testimony. *See* U.S. 4th Mot. to Compel Legis. Dep. Test., ECF No. 636.

<sup>10</sup> Following the entry of this order, the Court issued an opinion explaining its reasoning on May 4, 2022. *See* Prelim. Inj. Op., ECF No. 258. The Brooks Plaintiffs appealed the order and opinion on June 2, 2022. *See* Brooks Pls. Notice of Appeal, ECF No. 314. The Supreme Court dismissed the appeal for want of jurisdiction on November 21, 2022. *See* Order, *Brooks v. Abbott*, No. 22-136 (U.S. Nov. 21, 2022).

<sup>11</sup> The Legislators appealed this order on May 18, 2022. *See* Legis. 1st Notice of Appeal, ECF No. 284. This Court, the Fifth Circuit, and the Supreme Court denied the Legislators' request to stay the order pending appeal. *See* Stay Order, ECF No. 296; Order, *LULAC v. Guillen*, No. 22-50407 (5th Cir. May 20, 2022), ECF No. 63-1; Order, *Guillen v. LULAC*, No. 21A756 (U.S. May 31, 2022). On June 17, 2022, the Fifth Circuit held the appeal in abeyance pending further orders from this Court related to legislative depositions. *See* Order, *LULAC v. Guillen*, No. 22-50407 (5th Cir. June 17, 2022), ECF No. 85-1. The appeal remains in abeyance and the Legislators continue to provide the Fifth Circuit monthly status reports. *See, e.g.*, Legis. Status Report, *LULAC v. Guillen*, No. 22-50407 (5th Cir. Aug. 9, 2023), ECF No. 142.

- (3) Second Legislative Depositions Order, ECF No. 340<sup>12</sup>
- (4) Third Legislative Depositions Order, ECF No. 409<sup>12</sup>
- (5) First Legislative Documents Order, ECF No. 467<sup>13</sup>
- (6) Second Legislative Documents Order, ECF No. 642<sup>14</sup>

**(C) Relevant Outside Litigation**

- (1) *Morris v. Texas*, No. 21-cv-3456 (S.D. Tex.)<sup>15</sup>

---

<sup>12</sup> On July 15, 2023, the Legislators amended their May 18, 2022 notice of appeal to incorporate this order. *See* Legis. Am. 1st Notice of Appeal, ECF No. 437. That consolidated appeal remains in abeyance in the Fifth Circuit, subject to monthly status reports. *See supra* note 11.

<sup>13</sup> The Legislators appealed this order on July 26, 2022. *See* Legis. 2d Notice of Appeal, ECF No. 479. The Fifth Circuit administratively stayed the order the next day. *See* Order, *LULAC v. Patrick*, No. 22-50662 (5th Cir. July 27, 2022), ECF No. 30-2. In light of *LULAC Texas v. Hughes*, 68 F.4th 228 (5th Cir. 2023), the Fifth Circuit vacated this order and remanded the appeal to this Court on July 18, 2023. *See* Unpublished Order, *LULAC v. Patrick*, No. 22-50662 (5th Cir. July 18, 2023), ECF No. 107-1. The motion underlying this order is now pending again in this Court and a subject of the second round of supplemental briefing regarding the legislative privilege set to be completed on September 14, 2023. *See supra* note 2.

<sup>14</sup> On November 23, 2022, the Court clarified that the Legislators need not produce the relevant documents subject to legislative privilege claims until the Fifth Circuit dissolved its administrative stay in *Patrick* or otherwise resolved that appeal. *See* Clarification Order, ECF No. 654. The Court noted that it would indicate when that time has arrived by further order. *See id.* On December 9, 2022, the Legislators amended their July 26, 2022 notice of appeal to incorporate this second legislative documents order. *See* Legis. Am. 2d Notice of Appeal, ECF No. 672. In light of *Hughes*, the Fifth Circuit remanded the consolidated appeal back to this Court on July 18, 2023. *See supra* note 13.

<sup>15</sup> On October 20, 2021, a pro se plaintiff filed this lawsuit in the Southern District of Texas, raising a constitutional challenge to Texas's reapportionment of Congressional districts. *See* Pl. Compl., *Morris v. Texas*, No. 21-cv-3456 (S.D. Tex. Oct. 20, 2021), ECF No. 1. No party requested consolidation with the instant litigation and the Southern District of Texas dismissed the case. *See* Order, *Morris v. Texas*, No. 21-cv-3456 (S.D. Tex. June 8, 2022), ECF No. 26. The Fifth Circuit affirmed and the Supreme Court declined to grant certiorari. *See* Order, *Morris v. Texas*, No. 22-20348 (5th Cir. Feb. 6, 2023), ECF No. 47-1; Order, *Morris v. Texas*, No. 22-1088 (U.S. June 20, 2023).

- (2) *In re Subpoena to Thomas Bryan*, No. 22-mc-7 (E.D. Va.)<sup>16</sup>
- (3) *In re Subpoena to Eric Wienckowski*, No. 22-mc-164 (S.D.N.Y.)<sup>17</sup>
- (4) *In re Subpoenas to Adam Kincaid and the National Republican Redistricting Trust*, No. 22-cv-67 (D.D.C.)<sup>18</sup>
- (5) *In re Subpoena to Dave’s Redistricting App LLC*, No. 22-cv-1203 (W.D. Wa.)<sup>19</sup>

---

<sup>16</sup> The United States filed this subpoena enforcement action on June 14, 2022. *See* U.S. Mot to Enforce Bryan Subpoena, *In re Bryan Subpoena*, No. 22-mc-7 (E.D. Va. June 14, 2022), ECF No. 1. The action was transferred to this Court, and thus closed in the Eastern District of Virginia, on July 5, 2022. *See* Bryan Subpoena Transfer Order, *In re Bryan Subpoena*, No. 22-cv-7 (E.D. Va. July 5, 2022), ECF No. 8. Issues emanating from this action are presented in the United States’ renewed motion to compel Wienckowski and Bryan documents, which is pending in this Court. *See* U.S. Renewed Mot. to Compel Wienckowski and Bryan Docs., ECF No. 634.

<sup>17</sup> The United States filed this subpoena enforcement action on June 15, 2022. *See* U.S. Mot to Enforce Wienckowski Subpoena, *In re Wienckowski Subpoena*, No. 22-mc-164 (S.D.N.Y. June 15, 2022), ECF No. 1. The action was transferred to this Court, and thus closed in the Southern District of New York, on June 24, 2022. *See* Wienckowski Subpoena Transfer Order, *In re Wienckowski Subpoena*, No. 22-cv-164 (S.D.N.Y. June 24, 2022), ECF No. 15. Issues emanating from this action are presented in the United States’ renewed motion to compel Wienckowski and Bryan documents, which is pending in this Court. *See* U.S. Renewed Mot. to Compel Wienckowski and Bryan Docs.

<sup>18</sup> On July 12, 2022, Adam Kincaid and the National Republican Redistricting Trust (collectively, the “NRRT”) filed this action in the District of Columbia to quash deposition subpoenas issued to them by the LULAC Plaintiffs. *See* NRRT Mot. to Quash Subpoenas, *In re NRRT Subpoenas*, No. 22-mc-67 (D.D.C. July 12, 2022), ECF No. 1. On August 9, 2023, the magistrate judge assigned to the case recommended denying the NRRT’s motion to quash in full. *See* R. & R., *In re NRRT Subpoenas*, No. 22-mc-67 (D.D.C. Aug. 9, 2023), ECF No. 20. The NRRT filed its objections to the report and recommendation on August 23, 2023. *See* NRRT Objections, *In re NRRT Subpoenas*, No. 22-mc-67 (D.D.C. Aug. 23, 2023), ECF No. 21. Responses to the objections are due on September 6, 2023. *See* Text Order, *In re NRRT Subpoenas*, No. 22-mc-67 (D.D.C. Aug. 24, 2023).

<sup>19</sup> On August 12, 2022, Rep. Jacey Jetton and Adam Foltz (collectively, “Jetton”) filed this action to stay, transfer, or quash a document subpoena issued to DRA by the United States. *See* Jetton Mot. to Stay, Transfer, or Quash Subpoena, *In re DRA Subpoena*, No. 22-cv-1203 (W.D. Wa. Aug. 12, 2022), ECF No. 1. On the same day, the Western District of Washington declined to transfer the action but stayed the subpoena pending its consideration of the motion to quash. *See* Stay, Transfer, and Quash Order, *In re DRA Subpoena*, No. 22-cv-1203 (W.D. Wa. Aug. 12, 2022). The motion to quash has been fully briefed since August 26, 2022. *See* U.S. Mot. to Quash Resp., *In re DRA Subpoena*, No. 22-cv-1203 (W.D. Wa. Aug. 23, 2022), ECF No. 8;

**(D) Other Matters for the Court’s Attention**

The Plaintiffs believe that scheduling trial would facilitate the resolution of this consolidated litigation. The Plaintiffs suggest that holding trial in spring 2024 is reasonable, should the various discovery disputes be resolved by then. Accordingly, the Plaintiffs respectfully request guidance from the Court as to the dates on which it is available for trial after the week of March 5, 2024, on which date Texas is scheduled to hold a primary election. Upon receiving the Court’s availability, the Plaintiffs will seek to meet and confer with the State Defendants and submit to the Court a proposed schedule for pre-trial proceedings—including, for example, any amendments of pleadings to account for the 88th Legislature’s reenactment of the redistricting plans initially passed by the 87th Legislature, any supplemental expert reports to address recent elections and demographic data, and any limited reopening of fact discovery.

The State Defendants’ position is that it is premature to schedule trial at this time. The State Defendants respectfully request that the Court set a trial date only after ruling upon the motions presently pending before the Court. Additionally, the Plaintiffs have declined to inform the State Defendants whether they intend to seek preliminary injunction relief, and only this evening, informed the State Defendants that one or more of the Plaintiffs groups plan to amend their pleadings to address the redistricting plans enacted by the 88th Legislature. The Plaintiffs’ email, however, failed to apprise the State Defendants which of the Plaintiffs groups intend to submit amended complaints, how many of the Plaintiffs groups intend to do so, and when the

---

Jetton Mot. to Quash Reply, *In re DRA Subpoena*, No. 22-cv-1203 (W.D. Wa. Aug. 26, 2022), ECF No. 9. On November 15, 2022, the Western District of Washington ordered the parties to file joint status reports quarterly or upon the occurrence of any significant development in the litigation before this Court. *See* Minute Order, *In re DRA Subpoena*, No. 22-cv-1203 (W.D. Wa. Nov. 15, 2022), ECF No. 19. The parties continue to provide those status reports. *See, e.g.*, Joint Status Report, *In re DRA Subpoena*, No. 22-cv-1203 (W.D. Wa. Aug. 10, 2023), ECF No. 27.

State Defendants and this Court should expect the new filings. Amendment of the Plaintiffs' pleadings may restart motion practice; rulings on outstanding discovery motions may restart appellate proceedings or additional discovery; and new motions for preliminary injunction will certainly inhibit the State Defendants' ability to prepare for trial. The State Defendants are unable to determine a reasonable proposed schedule for pretrial proceedings, including any reopening of expert or fact discovery, without this information.

Dated: August 28, 2023



**For the United States:**

KRISTEN CLARKE  
Assistant Attorney General  
Civil Rights Division

/s/ Jaywin Singh Malhi

T. CHRISTIAN HERREN, JR.  
TIMOTHY F. MELLETT  
DANIEL J. FREEMAN  
MICHELLE RUPP  
JACKI L. ANDERSON  
HOLLY F.B. BERLIN  
JAYWIN SINGH MALHI  
Attorneys, Voting Section  
Civil Rights Division  
U.S. Department of Justice  
950 Pennsylvania Avenue NW  
Washington, D.C. 20530  
(800) 253-3931

**For LULAC Plaintiffs:**

/s/ Nina Perales

NINA PERALES  
FÁTIMA MENÉNDEZ  
KENNETH PARRENO  
JULIA LONGORIA  
Mexican American Legal Defense and  
Educational Fund (MALDEF)  
110 Broadway Street, Suite 300  
San Antonio, TX 78205  
(210) 224-5476

**For Abuabara Plaintiffs:**

/s/ David R. Fox

ABHA KHANNA\*  
Elias Law Group LLP  
1700 Seventh Ave, Suite 2100  
Seattle, WA 98101  
(206) 656-0177  
akhanna@elias.law

DAVID R. FOX\*  
RICHARD A. MEDINA\*  
Elias Law Group  
250 Massachusetts Ave. NW, Suite 400  
Washington, D.C. 20001  
(202) 968-4490  
dfox@elias.law  
rmedina@elias.law

RENEA HICKS  
Law Office of Max Renea Hicks  
P.O. Box 303187  
Austin, Texas 78703-0504  
(512) 480-8231  
rhicks@renea-hicks.com

\*Admitted *pro hac vice*

**For MALC Plaintiffs:**

/s/ Sean. J. McCaffity

GEORGE (TEX) QUESADA  
SEAN J. MCCAFFITY  
Sommerman, Mcaffity, Quesada & Geisler,  
L.L.P.  
3811 Turtle Creek Boulevard, Suite 1400  
Dallas, Texas 75219-4461  
(214) 720-0720  
quesada@textrial.com  
smcaffity@textrial.com

JOAQUIN GONZALEZ  
Attorney at Law  
1055 Sutton Dr.  
San Antonio, TX 78228  
jgonzalez@malc.org

**For Plaintiff Martinez Fischer:**

/s/ Martin Golando

MARTIN GOLANDO  
The Law Office of Martin Golando, PLLC  
2326 W. Magnolia  
San Antonio, Texas 78201  
(210) 471-1185  
martin.golando@gmail.com

**For Plaintiff Texas NAACP:**

/s/ Pooja Chaudhuri

LINDSEY B. COHAN  
Dechert LLP  
515 Congress Avenue, Suite 1400  
Austin, TX 78701  
(512) 394-3000  
lindsey.cohan@dechert.com

JON GREENBAUM\*  
EZRA D. ROSENBERG\*  
POOJA CHAUDHURI\*  
SOFIA FERNANDEZ GOLD\*  
ALEXANDER S. DAVIS\*  
Lawyers' Committee for Civil  
Rights Under Law  
1500 K Street, Suite 900  
Washington, DC 20005  
(202) 662-8600  
jgreenbaum@lawyerscommittee.org  
erosenberg@lawyerscommittee.org  
pchaudhuri@lawyerscommittee.org  
sfgold@lawyerscommittee.org  
adavis@lawyerscommittee.org

NEIL STEINER\*  
NICHOLAS GERSH\*  
MARGARET MORTIMER\*  
Dechert LLP  
1095 Avenue of the Americas  
New York, NY 10036  
(212) 698-3822  
neil.steiner@dechert.com  
nicholas.gersh@dechert.com  
margaret.mortimer@dechert.com

ROBERT NOTZON  
The Law Office of Robert Notzon  
1502 West Avenue  
Austin, Texas 78701  
(512) 474-7563  
robert@notzonlaw.com

GARY BLEDSOE  
The Bledsoe Law Firm PLLC  
6633 Highway 290 East #208  
Austin, Texas 78723-1157  
(512) 322-9992  
gbledsoe@thebledsoelawfirm.com  
*Attorney only as to claims related to State  
Senate and State House plans*

ANTHONY P. ASHTON\*  
JANETTE M. LOUARD  
ANNA KATHRYN BARNES  
NAACP OFFICE OF THE GENERAL  
COUNSEL  
4805 Mount Hope Drive  
Baltimore, MD 21215  
(410) 580-5777  
aashton@naacpnet.org  
jlouard@naacpnet.org  
abarnes@naacpnet.org

\*Admitted *pro hac vice*

**For Fair Maps Plaintiffs:**

*/s/ Katelin Kaiser*

---

NOOR TAJ\*  
HILARY HARRIS KLEIN\*  
MITCHELL BROWN\*  
KATELIN KAISER\*  
Southern Coalition for Social Justice  
1415 West Highway 54, Suite 101  
Durham, NC 27707  
919-323-3380  
Noor@scsj.org  
hilaryhklein@scsj.org  
mitchellbrown@scsj.org  
katelin@scsj.org

DAVID A. DONATTI  
ASHLEY HARRIS  
THOMAS BUSER-CLANCY  
ACLU Foundation of Texas, Inc.  
P.O. Box 8306  
Houston, TX 77288  
Tel. (713) 942-8146  
ddonnati@aclutx.org  
aharris@aclutx.org  
tbuser-clancy@aclutx.org

JERRY VATTAMALA\*  
SUSANA LORENZO-GIGUERE\*  
PATRICK STEGEMOELLER\*  
Asian American Legal Defense and  
Education Fund  
99 Hudson Street, 12th Floor  
New York, NY 10013  
jvattamala@aaldef.org  
slorenzo-giguere@aaldef.org  
pstegemoeller@aaldef.org

YURIJ RUDENSKY\*  
Brennan Center for Justice  
at NYU School of Law  
120 Broadway, Suite 1750  
New York, NY 10271  
(646) 292-8310  
yurij.rudensky@nyu.edu

\*Admitted *pro hac vice*

**For Brooks Plaintiffs:**

/s/ Chad W. Dunn

CHAD W. DUNN  
Brazil & Dunn  
4407 Bee Caves Road  
Building 1, Ste. 111  
Austin, TX 78746  
(512) 717-9822  
chad@brazilanddunn.com

MARK P. GABER\*  
Mark P. Gaber PLLC  
P.O. Box 34481  
Washington, DC 20043  
(715) 482-4066  
mark@markgaber.com

JESSE GAINES\*  
P.O. Box 50093  
Fort Worth, TX 76105  
817-714-9988  
gainesjesse@ymail.com

MOLLY E. DANAHY\*  
P.O. Box 26277  
Baltimore, MD 21211  
(208) 301-1202  
danahy.molly@gmail.com

SONNI WAKNIN\*  
10300 Venice Blvd. # 204  
Culver City, CA 90232  
732-610-1283  
sonniwaknin@gmail.com

\*Admitted *pro hac vice*

**For Plaintiff-Intervenors:**

/s/ Nickolas A. Spencer

GARY BLEDSOE  
The Bledsoe Law Firm PLLC  
6633 Highway 290 East #208  
Austin, TX 78723  
(512) 322-9992  
gbledsoe@thebledsoelawfirm.com

NICKOLAS A. SPENCER  
Spencer & Associates, PLLC  
9100 Southwest Freeway, Suite 122  
Houston, TX 77074  
(713) 863-1409  
nas@naslegal.com

**For State Defendants:**

/s/ Ryan G. Kercher

LANORA PETTIT  
Principal Deputy Solicitor General  
RYAN G. KERCHER  
Deputy Chief, General Litigation Division  
KATHLEEN T. HUNKER  
Special Counsel, Special Litigation Unit  
Office of the Attorney General  
P.O. Box 12548 (MC-009)  
Austin, Texas 78711-2548  
(512) 463-2100  
lanora.pettit@oag.texas.gov  
ryan.kercher@oag.texas.gov  
kathleen.hunker@oag.texas.gov

**For Legislators:**

/s/ Taylor A.R. Meehan

TAYLOR A.R. MEEHAN  
Consovoy McCarthy PLLC  
1600 Wilson Blvd., Suite 700  
Arlington, Virginia 22209  
(703) 243-9423  
taylor@consovoymccarthy.com

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that, on August 28, 2023, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send notification of this filing to counsel of record.

*/s/ Jaywin Singh Malhi* \_\_\_\_\_

Jaywin Singh Malhi  
Voting Section  
Civil Rights Division  
U.S. Department of Justice  
950 Pennsylvania Ave, NW  
Washington, DC 20530  
(800) 253-3931  
jaywin.malhi@usdoj.gov