1 The Honorable Robert S. Lasnik 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE 8 9 SUSAN SOTO PALMER et al., 10 Plaintiffs, 11 Case No.: 3:22-cv-5035-RSL v. 12 STEVEN HOBBS, in his official capacity as Secretary of State of Washington, et al., INTERVENOR-DEFENDANTS' REPLY IN 13 SUPPORT OF THER MOTION TO EXTEND TIME OF, AND ESTABLISH Defendants, 14 PROCEDURES FOR, REMEDIAL **EVIDENTIARY HEARING** and 15 JOSE TREVINO et al., 16 *Intervenor-Defendants.* 17 18 After conferring with counsel for Plaintiffs and reviewing their recent opposition (in-part) 19 to Intervenor-Defendants' requests surrounding the upcoming remedial evidentiary hearing, there 20 appears to be only on true area of disagreement: Whether a half-day is sufficient for purposes of 21 the remedial evidentiary hearing in this matter. It is not. Not only is failure to conduct a sufficient 22 evidentiary hearing contrary to Ninth Circuit precedent, it is a violation of the Due Process rights 23 of Intervenor-Defendants. 24 This Reply will primarily focus length of the hearing but will also touch briefly on a few 25 tangential stones thrown by Plaintiffs in their Response. Intervenor-Defendants have already 26 briefed at length why a remedial evidentiary hearing is not just a good idea but is required in the 27 present remedial posture where clear factual disputes exist between the different remedial experts

INTERVENOR-DEFENDANTS' REPLY IN 1 SUPPORT OF MOTION TO EXTEND TIME OF, AND ESTABLISH PROCEDURES FOR, REMEDIAL HEARING No. 3:22-cv-5035-RSL Chalmers, Adams, Backer & Kaufman, LLC 701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 4200 Seattle, Washington 98104 Phone: (206) 207-3920 2

1

3 4

6

7

5

8 9

10 11

12

13 14

16

15

17

18 19

20 21

22 23

24

25

27

that have filed reports in this matter. (See Dkt. ## 258, 264.) Intervenor-Defendants adopt these arguments by reference herein.

Simply put, the Ninth Circuit requires that a court conduct an evidentiary hearing prior to the entry or continuation of an injunction, and "[o]nly when the facts are not in dispute, or when the adverse party has waived its right to a hearing, can that significant procedural step [i.e., an evidentiary hearing] be eliminated." Charlton v. Estate of Charlton, 841 F.2d 988, 989 (9th Cir. 1988). Here, three expert witnesses—two of whom did not appear in the merits stage of this case will be questioned at the upcoming evidentiary hearing. Principally, Dr. Oskooii, a remedial expert for Plaintiffs and the drawer of proposed Remedial Map 3A—which "the court is leaning towards" (see Hr'g Tr., 30:24-25, Feb. 9, 2024)—will deliver live testimony to the Court for the first time at this evidentiary hearing.

Before Dr. Oskooii's Remedial Map 3A replaces the Enacted Map created through the constitutionally-mandated bi-partisan redistricting process, Intervenor-Defendants would like to ascertain, among other matter, why it is necessary in Remedial Map 3A to: (1) redistrict 526,621 total residents and completely adjust the districts in Eastern Washington in the middle of the decennial redistricting process; (2) adjust partisan leanings in far-flung districts not subject of Plaintiffs' lawsuit—namely LD-12 and LD-17; (3) fail to honor the repeated requests of the Yakama Nation by including off-reservation tribal lands in the same district as the reservation lands—despite this being done by the Redistricting Commission in the Enacted Map; and (4) adjust 13 total enacted districts to effectuate a limit-scope remedy focused solely on the Yakima Valley. (See Expert Report of Sean P. Trende, Ph.D., Dkt. # 251.)

Discussing these matters with Dr. Oskooii on cross-examination will take time. Dr. Oskooii claims all his changes in Remedial Map 3A were necessary to effectuate a remedy—Intervenor-Defendants disagree. Dr. Trende, Intervenor-Defendants' remedial expert, was able to create a map that not only respected the requests of the Yakama Nation, but also performed for

26

Latino-preferred candidates in the Yakima Valley¹—all while only adjusting three of 49 total enacted districts, redistricting only 87,230 residents and providing a higher HCVAP than Plaintiffs' Remedial Map 3A. (*See* Supplemental Expert Report of Sean P. Trende, Ph.D., Dkt. # 273 at 12-13.) Weighty factual disputes exist between the Parties—and, given the benefit of the merits trial in this matter and seeing how the Parties examined expert witnesses there, it is abundantly clear that a single Friday afternoon will *not* provide the Parties sufficient time to adequately examine the three experts who have provided written expert reports during the remedial process.

Lastly, Plaintiffs contend without support that the map discussed by Dr. Trende in his Supplemental Report of February 23, 2024, is untimely and should be stricken from the record. To the contrary, (1) Dr. Oskooii provided five additional maps after the Court's deadline for proposed remedial maps; (2) the Yakama Nation Inclusion Proposed Alterative Map was created by Dr. Trende at a later juncture in the remedial process after the Court had solicited information regarding the Yakama Nation's off-reservation lands—this additional map encompassed much of the work Dr. Trende performed at the request of the Court surrounding the Yakama Nation off-reservation lands; and (3) this map was also created to respond to the unsupported assertions of Plaintiffs' remedial experts that it is necessary to relocate over 526,000 Washington residents, adjust the boundaries 13 enacted districts, and deny the Yakama Nation important off-reservation tribal lands in their legislative district in Remedial Map 3A. It was not until the February 9, 2024, hearing that the Parties learned that the Court was leaning towards Remedial Map 3A—thus, Dr. Trende was not provided a prior opportunity to opine on Remedial Map 3A. (Plaintiffs' additional five proposed remedial maps were not disclosed until January 5, 2024, after Dr. Trende had already submitted his initial report on December 22, 2023.)

At the end of the day, the more data and testimony the Court (and by extension, the Court's Special Master) has, the better the remedial map drawing process will be.

¹ See Expert Report of Dr. Loren Collingwood, Dkt. # 278 at 3 (showing the Latino-preferred candidate would have prevailed in Intervenors' Proposed LD-15 in all eight elections analyzed).

1	DATED this 4th day of March, 2024.	
	DATED this 4th day of March, 2024.	D4C-111'44 - 1
2		Respectfully submitted,
3		s/Andrew R. Stokesbary
4		Andrew R. Stokesbary, WSBA No. 46097 CHALMERS, ADAMS, BACKER & KAUFMAN, LLC
5		701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 4200
6		Seattle, WA 98104
6		T: (206) 813-9322 dstokesbary@chalmersadams.com
7		dstokesoary@enannersadams.com
8		Jason B. Torchinsky (admitted pro hac vice)
		Phillip M. Gordon (admitted pro hac vice) Andrew B. Pardue (admitted pro hac vice)
9		Caleb Acker (admitted pro hac vice)
10		HOLTZMAN VOGEL BARAN
		TORCHINSKY & JOSEFIAK PLLC
11		15405 John Marshall Hwy
12		Haymarket, VA 20169
		T: (540) 341-8808
13		jtorchinsky@holtzmanvogel.com pgordon@holtzmanvogel.com
14		apardue@holtzmanvogel.com
		cacker@holtzmanvogel.com
15		<u> </u>
16		Dallin B. Holt (admitted pro hac vice)
		Brennan A.R. Bowen (admitted pro hac vice) HOLTZMAN VOGEL BARAN
17		TORCHINSKY & JOSEFIAK PLLC
18		Esplanade Tower IV
10		2575 East Camelback Rd
19		Suite 860
20		Phoenix, AZ 85016
		T: (540) 341-8808
21		dholt@holtzmanvogel.com bbowen@holtzmanvogel.com
22		bbowen@nonzmanvogen.com
23		Counsel for Intervenor-Defendants
<i>_J</i>		I certify that this memorandum contains 856
24		words, in compliance with the Local Civil Rules.
25		
26		
27		

1 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** 2 I hereby certify that on this day I electronically filed the foregoing document with the Clerk 3 of the Court of the United States District Court for the Western District of Washington through the 4 Court's CM/ECF System, which will serve a copy of this document upon all counsel of record. 5 DATED this 4th day of March, 2024. Respectfully submitted, 6 7 s/ Andrew R. Stokesbary Andrew R. Stokesbary, WSBA No. 46097 8 Counsel for Intervenor-Defendants 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27