

No. 22-50407  
c/w No. 22-50648

---

---

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT**

---

LEAGUE OF UNITED LATIN AMERICAN CITIZENS, et al.,  
*Plaintiffs-Appellees,*  
SHEILA JACKSON LEE, et al.,  
*Intervenor Plaintiffs-Appellees,*  
v.  
GREG ABBOTT,  
*Defendant,*  
RYAN GUILLEN, TEXAS HOUSE MEMBER, et al.,  
*Movants-Appellants.*

---

LEAGUE OF UNITED LATIN AMERICAN CITIZENS, et al.,  
*Plaintiffs-Appellees,*  
SHEILA JACKSON LEE, et al.,  
*Intervenor Plaintiffs-Appellees,*  
v.  
GREG ABBOTT,  
*Defendant,*  
TODD HUNTER, TEXAS HOUSE MEMBER, et al.,  
*Movants-Appellants.*

---

---

**APPELLANTS' STATUS REPORT**

Pursuant to this Court's June 17, 2022 order, Appellants submit this monthly status report apprising the Court of the status of the district court proceedings.

1. As previously reported, the United States and Private Plaintiffs moved to compel the production of documents, and to “unseal” the deposition transcripts of legislators and staff, that are subject to the legislative privilege. The parties submitted supplemental briefs, discussing how this Court’s decisions in *La Union Del Pueblo Entero v. Abbott* (“*Hughes*”), 68 F.4th 228 (5th Cir. 2023), and *LULAC v. Patrick*, No. 22-50662 (5th Cir. July 18, 2023), govern the pending motions.

2. The district court issued a 360-page order resolving nearly all of the pending discovery motions implicating the legislative privilege. *See* December 21, 2023 Order, ECF 746.<sup>1</sup> The district court observed that the legislative privilege is “necessarily broad,” *id.* at 3, and rejected plaintiffs’ contention that redistricting cases are “extraordinary” civil cases in which the privilege must yield, *id.* at 11-14, as well as their various arguments that certain categories of documents and deposition responses were not privileged, *id.* at 4-9.

3. The district court’s accompanying index is 342 pages and includes thousands of specific rulings on each privilege assertion. *See id.* at 19-360.

4. Appellants will offer a proposal for how these appeals could proceed, if it remains necessary for them to proceed, after they meet and confer with Appellees.

---

<sup>1</sup> The district court has yet to rule on plaintiffs’ motions relating to the legislative-privilege assertions from the third-party depositions of Mr. Adam Kincaid and the National Republican Redistricting Trust.

5. Appellants request that this Court continue to hold these appeals in abeyance so that the parties may confer about these appeals. Appellants will file another monthly status report no later than April 10, 2024.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: March 11, 2024

/s/ Frank H. Chang  
TAYLOR A.R. MEEHAN  
FRANK H. CHANG  
CONSOVOY MCCARTHY PLLC  
1600 Wilson Blvd., Suite 700  
Arlington, Virginia 22209  
(703) 243-9423  
taylor@consovoymccarthy.com  
frank@consovoymccarthy.com

ADAM K. MORTARA  
LAWFAIR LLC  
125 South Wacker, Suite 300  
Chicago, IL 60606  
(773) 750-7154  
mortara@lawfairllc.com

*Counsel for Legislators,  
Movants-Appellants*

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I filed the foregoing with the Court via ECF, which will electronically notify all parties who have appeared in this case. The document has been scanned and is free of viruses.

Dated: March 11, 2024

/s/ Frank H. Chang  
Frank H. Chang

*Counsel for Legislators,  
Movants-Appellants*