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$P R O C E E D N G S$
(Technician read-on.)
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Here begins disk number
$08: 02: 51$
$08: 02: 51$
$08: 03: 38$
$08: 03: 40$
$08: 03: 43$
$08: 03: 46$
$08: 03: 52$
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08:04:42
08:04:47
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the Ohio Attorney General's Office, representing Secretary of State Frank LaRose. With me is Michael Walton from the Attorney General's Office as well.

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The court reporter today is Michelle Yohler, representing Planet Depos.

Would the reporter please swear in the witness.
(WHEREUPON, the witness was duly sworn.)
MR. McGUIRE: All right. By agreement of
discussion between counsel right before we began
the deposition, Mr. DiRossi has in front of him
for today's deposition three of the maps that were
introduced during the redistricting proceedings at
issue here, and he would like to have those for
purposes of illustration.
They are not currently marked as
deposition exhibits; however, we have expressed to
relators' counsel that we -- I don't believe
anybody has any problem with making them exhibits,
if that's appropriate and needed.
The three maps are -- the three drawn maps
are Senate Bill 258, the map that was adopted, in
fact; Senate Bill 237, also sometimes called the

08:05:41
$08: 04: 48$
$08: 04: 50$
$08: 04: 53$
$08: 04: 57$
$08: 05: 04$
$08: 05: 05$
$08: 05: 07$
08:05:07
08:05:07
$08: 05: 07$
$08: 05: 32$
$08: 05: 34$
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08:05:47
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$08: 05: 55$
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$08: 06: 05$
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$08: 06: 17$
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| Yuko-Sykes map; and House -- and HB Senate -- I'm | 08:06:22 |
| :---: | :---: |
| sorry -- HB Map 483, so House Bill Map 483. Those | 08:06:26 |
| are the three maps that he has in front of him. | 08:06:35 |
| And, Counsel, is it correct that we -- and | 08:06:39 |
| nobody has any objection to that? | 08:06:41 |
| MR. KLEIN: That is correct. | 08:06:42 |
| MS. BENDER: That is correct. | 08:06:43 |
| MR. McGulre: All right. Thank you. |  |
| RAYMOND DiROSSI, |  |
| called as a witness herein, having been first duly |  |
| sworn, was examined and testified as follows: |  |
| EXAMINATION | 08:06:56 |
| BY MR. KLEIN: | 08:06:56 |
| Q All right. Good morning. | 08:06:56 |
| My name is Spencer Klein with the Elias | 08:06:56 |
| Law Group, and I am representing Adams Relators in | 08:06:57 |
| this case, and I'm going to be asking you -- I'm | 08:06:58 |
| going to be asking you questions today. | 08:07:05 |
| So can we first get your name for the | 08:07:06 |
| record. | 08:07:09 |
| A Sure. It's Raymond Edward DiRossi. | 08:07:09 |
| Q Okay, Mr. DiRossi. | 08:07:12 |
| First I want to go over a few ground | 08:07:12 |
| rules. You may recall this from the last | 08:07:19 |
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| deposition we did from -- so, first, when you | 08:07:21 |
| :---: | :---: |
| answer my questions today, please do so verbally | 08:07:23 |
| instead of with a hand gesture and a nod because | 08:07:27 |
| of course that cannot be recorded by the court | 08:07:30 |
| reporter. | 08:07:32 |
| I'm going to be asking you questions | 08:07:33 |
| today. And when I start a question, you may know | 08:07:36 |
| the answer immediately, but I'm -- but I'd ask you | 08:07:38 |
| to give me a chance to finish my question because | 08:07:44 |
| if there's a lot of cross-talk, the court reporter | 08:07:46 |
| is not going to be able to record that. | 08:07:49 |
| If you do not understand a question I'm | 08:07:52 |
| asking, just go ahead and let me know, and I can | 08:07:56 |
| simply rephrase. | 08:07:59 |
| So first question, are you represented by | $08: 08: 03$ |
| counsel today? | 08:08:06 |
| A Yes. | 08:08:09 |
| Q Okay. And are they here in the room with | 08:08:10 |
| you right now? | 08:08:12 |
| A Yes. | 08:08:13 |
| Q Okay. And they've already entered their | 08:08:15 |
| names onto the record. | 08:08:17 |
| When I ask you questions, Counsel may | 08:08:18 |
| object. Unless you're instructed not to answer | 08:08:21 |
| the question, please answer the question, despite | 08:08:25 |
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the objections. Unless, of course, you do not understand the question, and then $I$ can, of
course, rephrase. But still, nonetheless, if you
are -- if you -- if you are instructed not to answer, don't answer, but, otherwise, please give an answer.

If you'd like to take a break at some
point during the deposition, we can do so, but I
would ask you to answer any open questions before we take a break.

So is there any reason you cannot provide truthful testimony today?

A No.
Q And what did you do to prepare for today's deposition?

A I printed these three maps so I had them as reference material, and I spoke to Counsel before the -- before today.

Q And did you consult any other materials to prepare for this deposition today, besides what you just mentioned?

A Well, obviously, looking at the enacted maps and the proposed maps that were proposed, there's -- there's other information besides the visual information. So $I$ guess that would've -- I
$08: 08: 27$
08:08:29
$08: 08: 32$
$08: 08: 35$
08:08:40
08:08:42
08:08:42
$08: 08: 45$
$08: 08: 49$
08:08:51
$08: 08: 53$
08:08:57
$08: 08: 58$
08:08:58
08:09:03
08:09:04
08:09:10
$08: 09: 12$
$08: 09: 15$
08:09:17
08:09:20
08:09:29
08:09:33
08:09:36
08:09:39
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would've seen that as well.
Q Okay. Got you.
A And refreshing my memory about the
08:09:42
08:09:43
$08: 09: 45$
08:09:47
08:09:50
08:09:53
$08: 09: 56$
08:09:58
08:09:59
$08: 10: 03$
$08: 10: 07$
$08: 10: 08$
08:10:09
$08: 10: 11$
sitting in the room with you. Is there anyone else in the room aside from the two counsel that
are sitting in the room from you right now -- with
you right now?
A Myself, other than...
Q Right. Of course.
And what devices do you have in front of you?

A Devices? You mean -- what -- I have my laptop.

Q Okay. Excellent.
$08: 10: 17$
08:10:20
$08: 10: 22$
$08: 10: 25$
$08: 10: 25$
$08: 10: 27$
$08: 10: 30$
$08: 10: 32$
$08: 10: 32$
08:10:40
$08: 10: 41$
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A Nothing else electronic.
MR. KLEIN: And on that note, is there a
way we could switch to the feed that actually has
Mr. DiRossi on it with the actual screen in front
of him? Right now I have Mr. DiRossi plus
counsel.
Unless folks would prefer to do it the
other way.
MR. McGUIRE: Well, I guess I have two
responses to that, and $I$ assume Mr. Strigari may
want to say something, having been involved in the
last round of depositions taken from this
location.
Number one was, it was my understanding
that this was the way it was set up, that that was
somehow the preferred method that people wanted to
use, but maybe I wasn't in on -- you know, I
wasn't in on that planning.
But, number two, if we were going to make
a change -- and I'll let Mr. Strigari speak --
we'd need to go find the very nice gentleman from this law firm who was in here earlier. We can't control this thing.

MR. KLEIN: That's all fine then. Do not
worry about it at all. This is perfectly fine.
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THE TECHNICIAN: Counsel, if I may? You
can pin -- if you go to the participant --
MR. KLEIN: Oh, right.
THE TECHNICIAN: -- window and pin. That
should help you there, Counsel.
MR. McGUIRE: To the extent it helps,
Mr. Klein, I'm sure Mr. DiRossi will be more than
happy to tell you that he is not referencing at
any point you want to ask him notes or tips or
helpful hints on his testimony. That's not what's
on the screen in front of him.
MR. KLEIN: Okay.
THE WITNESS: I don't know how to show you
my screen.
MR. KLEIN: All right. Perfect. You got
my next question there. I appreciate that.
(Reporter interruption.)
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:12. We are off the record.
(Technological issues were resolved off
the record.)
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 8:17. We
are on the record.
BY MR. KLEIN:
Q Okay. Just a few more ground rules
$08: 11: 43$
$08: 11: 43$
$08: 11: 43$

08:11:46
08:11:49
08:11:51
08:11:54
$08: 11: 54$
$08: 11: 57$
$08: 11: 58$
$08: 17: 31$
$08: 17: 31$
$08: 17: 35$
08:17:40
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## Transcript of Raymond DiRossi

Conducted on December 7, 2021

| related to the remote deposition. So if anyone | 08:17:42 |
| :---: | :---: |
| comes into the room besides the folks who are | 08:17:46 |
| there right now, I would just ask you to note that | 08:17:48 |
| for the record. Is that okay? | 08:17:51 |
| A Absolutely. | 08:17:52 |
| MR. McGUIRE: Yes. | 08:17:52 |
| BY MR. KLEIN: | 08:17:53 |
| Q And in the event anyone attempts to | 08:17:53 |
| communicate with you during the deposition, I'd | 08:17:58 |
| also ask for you to note that for the record as | 08:18:00 |
| well, all right? | 08:18:05 |
| A Yes. | 08:18:05 |
| Q Okay. And, finally, can I just get your | 08:18:05 |
| agreement that you won't consult with anyone about | 08:18:08 |
| your testimony today until the deposition is over, | 08:18:10 |
| even -- even during breaks? | 08:18:14 |
| A Yes. | 08:18:18 |
| Q Okay. All right. So getting into the | 08:18:21 |
| questions. | 08:18:28 |
| I'm going to start with a few questions | 08:18:28 |
| about your background in redistricting and your | 08:18:31 |
| work in the General Assembly. Now, as you know, | 08:18:33 |
| we covered a lot of this in your deposition for | 08:18:38 |
| the General Assembly case, so I think we can keep | 08:18:39 |
| this relatively brief. | 08:18:42 |

08:17:42
08:17:46
08:17:48
$08: 17: 51$
$08: 17: 52$
$08: 17: 52$
$08: 17: 53$
$08: 17: 53$
08:17:58
$08: 18: 00$
$08: 18: 05$
$08: 18: 05$
08:18:05
$08: 18: 08$
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But, first, just for the record, where are
$08: 18: 43$
$08: 18: 45$
you currently employed, Mr. DiRossi?
08:18:47
08:18:49
08:18:51
08:18:54
08:18:58
08:18:58
08:19:04
08:19:06
08:19:16
08:19:16
first started to work in the Ohio Senate in 1991.
Q Okay. So about 30 years?
08:19:19
08:19:23
A Not -- if you count the break in service.
08:19:25
Q Right. If you count the break in service. Okay.

And how long have you helped draw
redistricting maps in Ohio for?
A This is the third cycle I've been
involved --
Q Third cycle?
08:19:31
08:19:33
$08: 19: 33$
08:19:35
08:19:38
08:19:47
08:19:48
A -- in the process.
Q Okay. Thanks.
And for each of these three cycles, you've
08:19:50
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helped out with both congressional and General Assembly maps; is that correct?

08:19:53
08:19:57
$08: 19: 58$
08:19:58
did you ever play -- did you ever play a
$08: 20: 01$
leadership role?
A It depends on your definition of
"leadership role."
Q Sure. Yeah, so did you have anybody reporting to you during redistricting work?

A I'm thinking through the three cycles.
Q Sure.
A I don't think $I$ ever had anybody reporting $08: 20: 04$
$08: 20: 05$
08:20:11
08:20:12
08:20:14
$08: 20: 16$
$08: 20: 24$
08:20:24
08:20:30
Q Okay. And so through these three cycles,
would it be fair to say that your work has made
you one of the most experienced redistricting map drawers in the state?

A That's certainly not for me to say.
Q Okay. Well, are you familiar with anyone who has more experience drawing Ohio redistricting maps than you?

08:20:31
08:20:34
08:20:37
$08: 20: 40$
$08: 20: 42$
08:20:47
08:20:50
08:20:54
A I mean, both the legislative and congressional processes this time, as I assume everyone is well aware, are new processes that
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| were approved by the voters, and these are the | 08:21:15 |
| :---: | :---: |
| first times they've ever been used. So, I mean, I | 08:21:17 |
| had no experience in this process -- | 08:21:20 |
| Q Sure. | 08:21:22 |
| A -- because these were brand-new processes. | 08:21:22 |
| So I don't know -- that's the best answer I can | 08:21:25 |
| give to your question. | 08:21:28 |
| Q No, for sure. Of course. | 08:21:29 |
| I guess I'm speaking, you know, not about | 08:21:31 |
| the specific new laws necessarily but | 08:21:33 |
| redistricting generally in Ohio, working with the | 08:21:37 |
| state's geography to draw congressional and | 08:21:42 |
| General Assembly maps. Is there anyone you know | 08:21:45 |
| who's more experienced than you at that? | 08:21:48 |
| A I don't know anybody else who was involved | 08:21:50 |
| in those three cycles, but I can't say there | 08:21:55 |
| aren't people that have been involved in other | 08:21:58 |
| cycles. | 08:22:01 |
| Q Okay. And is it true, Mr. DiRossi, that | 08:22:01 |
| you received the Ohio State University's | 08:22:05 |
| Distinguished Service Award one year? | 08:22:07 |
| A I did. Very recently. Yes. | 08:22:10 |
| Q Yeah. When was that? What year? | 08:22:13 |
| A Oh, boy. It wasn't too long ago. It was | 08:22:15 |
| a couple years ago. | 08:22:20 |

a couple years ago.
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Q Yeah, I think it might have been 2018?
A That sounds right.
Q All right.
A That sounds right.
Q And it looks like that was in recognition

08:22:20
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$08: 22: 23$
$08: 22: 25$
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08:22:29
$08: 22: 32$
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time, do you think you have a significant degree
$08: 23: 30$
of familiarity with what Ohio's county, city, and
township borders are?
A Well, I definitely have a general
knowledge of that, but I'm sure the elected
$08: 23: 32$
$08: 23: 36$
$08: 23: 38$
$08: 23: 40$
officials who represent the 132 districts in the
08:23:42
General Assembly have probably an even greater
appreciation for their -- the geography of the state in their districts.

Q Certainly.
A As well as the other statewide elected
officials that are in Ohio.
Q Certainly. Yeah.
But just speaking about you and not in
08:23:45
08:23:48
08:23:51
$08: 23: 53$
$08: 23: 53$
08:23:56
08:23:57
08:24:02
comparison to anybody else, would you say that you
$08: 24: 04$
08:24:07
$08: 24: 09$
$08: 24: 11$
$08: 24: 16$
$08: 24: 20$
$08: 24: 20$
08:24:22
BY MR. KLEIN:
Q You know, would you say that you have a significant amount of familiarity with, say, what
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sort of industry would be prevalent in a given city in Ohio?

A I wouldn't answer that in a general way.
I mean, obviously -- I was -- I was born in Summit
County, so $I$ know Summit County a little better.
And I've worked in the General Assembly for
certain legislators from certain areas, and I
might have a better understanding of those areas,
but the state as a whole, I would not generally
say that.
Q Okay. Okay. So getting into this
redistricting cycle, did you help prepare -- did
you help prepare a congressional redistricting map
for Ohio this year?
A Yes.
Q Okay. And were you asked to work on a map
by someone?
A Yes.
Q And who was that?
A President Huffman directed me to work with Senator McColley on the map that he introduced.

Q Okay. And do you remember when you got this direction?

A It would have been mid to -- mid to late October.
$08: 24: 27$
$08: 24: 30$
$08: 24: 35$
08:24:38
$08: 24: 43$
08:24:46
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Q Okay. Mid to late October. All right.
And -- so you got the direction in mid to
late October. Had you done any work regarding congressional redistricting for the cycle before that time?

A The -- maybe a little but not a lot. The time after -- or the time preceding that was mostly taken up by things that we were doing post legislative redistricting, and that was a major focus of -- and a consumption of time in October
leading up to when $I$ was working with Senator
McColley, among other things.
Q Right. Right. So after you got this instruction, when would you say you got to work on this project to make congressional redistricting maps?

A Probably mid-October.
Q Mid-October. Okay.
Do you have any ballpark on, you know,
when that might be or anything?
A No. Like I said, there were a number of things that were consuming a lot of time in early October and late -- mid to late September, including spending some time with you in previous depositions and other activities. And so we
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really -- I didn't get a chance to start on that
08:27:21
until mid-October.
Q Absolutely. Absolutely.
Okay. And -- and just for the record -- I
08:27:24
08:27:24
$08: 27: 30$
08:27:32
know we covered this last time when we had a
chance to speak to one another -- your work began
for the state legislative maps -- actually --
sorry. I think we can strike that.
For the state legislative maps, your work
began after the release of the census data; is
that correct?
A You know, I know we spoke about this in the previous deposition, so I guess I would just defer to whatever -- whatever I said.

I remember saying that $I$ was focused on the state operating budget that was being put in place for the State of Ohio for two years. That
had to be put in place and signed by the Governor by June 30th. And so everything leading up to June 30 th -- and obviously we didn't have census data then like we were supposed to -- was focused on budget stuff.

So whatever timeline that I answered your questions in the previous deposition is what $I$ would defer to.
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Q Got it. Got it. So I just want to confirm this, though, from the time of the census data release -- which $I$ can represent to you was in August -- up to mid-October, there was no work being done on the congressional maps by you; is that correct?

A Yeah, you're asking me to define what
the -- what "work" means. I mean, did the thought cross my mind of that's next? I'm sure. But the work, as I would define it, started in mid-October.

Q Sure. And I can -- I can break that down a little bit.

So before mid-October, had you consulted any data that you planned to use for congressional redistricting?

A I don't recall doing that. I remember mid-October being the time when it kind of turned my attention to that. Depositions were done, affidavits were filed, all of the documentation that needed to be provided to the Secretary of State on the legislative process was done, and we could turn our attention to the next -- the next task.

Q All right. Did you create any sort of
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| digital files related to congressional | 08:29:47 |
| :---: | :---: |
| redistricting prior to mid-October? | 08:29:51 |
| A None that I recall. None that I recall | 08:29:57 |
| sitting here today. | 08:29:59 |
| Q Did you have any conversations related to | 08:30:05 |
| congressional redistricting -- actually -- strike | 08:30:08 |
| that. | 08:30:08 |
| Did you have any conversations related to | 08:30:17 |
| your creation of a congressional redistricting map | 08:30:18 |
| for this cycle prior to mid-October? | 08:30:21 |
| A Can you say that question again, please? | 08:30:24 |
| Q Sure thing. | 08:30:30 |
| So did you have any conversations related | 08:30:31 |
| to your creation of a congressional redistricting | 08:30:38 |
| map for this cycle prior to mid-October? | 08:30:42 |
| A Yeah, any conversations -- I mean, I'm | 08:30:45 |
| sure there were conversations about timelines and | 08:30:49 |
| when we would, you know, focus on that and when | 08:30:52 |
| the legislative stuff would be done. | 08:30:54 |
| As far as related to -- you're asking the | 08:30:58 |
| creation of it? None that I recall sitting here | 08:31:02 |
| today. None that -- none that I can remember. | 08:31:05 |
| Q Right. So just to -- just so we're clear. | 08:31:07 |
| So you didn't have any conversations that you can | 08:31:12 |
| recall related to the creation of any | 08:31:14 |
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congressional maps prior to mid-October, correct?
A Again, help me understand what "related to the creation of" -- I mean, there were conversations. I remember people would ask, well, when is congressional? And I'd say, we're still working on legislative, we're still doing this, this, this, and this.

But if that's responsive to your question,
then $I$ guess that's the answer. But if you're asking another kind of conversation, help me understand what that is so I can --

Q Right. I guess -- we can talk about this a little bit differently.

Was the first conversation you had about you having an assignment to create congressional maps the conversation you had with Senate President Huffman in mid-October?

A Well, I guess I would say when we started the legislative process, I understood that $I$ would also be working on the congressional process. So that obviously would have happened before October.

But once the legislative stuff was done, mid-October is when $I$ really -- that's when I started working, if you -- however you define that term -- on the next task, the congressional
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districts.
Q Got it. Okay. So when you started your
$08: 32: 34$
$08: 32: 34$
work, was it your goal to have a draft
congressional map finished at a certain point in time?

A I don't know if $I$ ever was working, in my mind, under that specific of a timeline; $I$ was just starting to work. And very soon thereafter, Senator Huffman, President Huffman, said work with Senator McColley on his bill that became the introduced version of Senate Bill 258.

That happened soon thereafter, somewhere near the last week of October. And then that became -- that became the focus.

Q Right. But had you set -- strike that. Had you set a deadline for finishing a draft of a congressional map?

A In my mind, $I$ was just starting the process. I didn't think about deadlines and timelines. That is something that the president of the Senate -- specifically for me as a state of Ohio Senate employee, I would look to him for direction from. I --

Q Okay.
A -- wasn't trying to think or set my own
timelines for this process.
Q Okay. And we can certainly talk about
that later. But just starting at the, sort of,
basics of this process.
To your knowledge, was there anyone
working for the Senate majority also working on
drawing a congressional map?
A Senator McColley.
Q Right. And anyone else besides that?
A Anyone -- can you say that again? Anyone else working as a staffer or did you say anyone?

Q So -- sorry. I should rephrase.
Was there anyone --
A Thank you for repeating the questions for
me. I appreciate it.
Q Oh, no. Totally fine. Happy to.
So was there anyone besides Senator
McColley who worked for the Senate majority who was working on a congressional map?

A Well, I think $I$ previously mentioned
President Huffman.
Q Okay.
A Those are the mains ones. I mean, I --
I'm not -- I'm sure other people -- eventually the
08:34:27
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$08: 34: 36$
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bill was a legislatively enacted bill. So there
$08: 34: 55$
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| were committee hearings. There were Joint | 08:34:58 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Committee hearings. There were testimony. So I'm | 08:35:00 |
| sure there were a number of other staff that | 08:35:02 |
| helped in that traditional process. | 08:35:05 |
| If you want me to itemize those, I can | 08:35:07 |
| certainly try to. | 08:35:10 |
| Q Sure thing. Yeah, let's probably back up | 08:35:11 |
| a little bit. I think we're coming back to this | 08:35:13 |
| issue of the meaning of "work," right? | 08:35:16 |
| So I think -- specifically the work of | 08:35:19 |
| using a computer program to draw district lines, | 08:35:22 |
| was there anyone else working for the Senate | 08:35:28 |
| majority who was doing that besides you? | 08:35:33 |
| A I'm -- I believe I was the only one that | 08:35:35 |
| had Maptitude, which was the software program we | 08:35:41 |
| previously discussed that was used. | 08:35:47 |
| So I'm unaware of anybody else that had | 08:35:48 |
| that, but it doesn't mean that somebody didn't. | 08:35:51 |
| Q Sure. And so just -- and so just to | 08:35:55 |
| confirm, Senator McColley, did he work in | 08:35:58 |
| Maptitude? | 08:36:05 |
| A I can't speak to what he did all the time, | 08:36:06 |
| but when I was working with him, no. | 08:36:12 |
| Q Okay. All right. And now let's sort of | 08:36:16 |
| expand the group of people that we are talking | 08:36:21 |

about here.
$08: 36: 24$
Were there any other legislative staffers
for the Ohio General Assembly working on drawing a map -- actually, strike that.

Did you collaborate with any other
legislative staffers in drawing a congressional
map for this cycle?
A And I just want to make sure I understand.
You said legislative staff, or are you still
asking me about the Senate as previously?
Q Legislative staff for the General
As sembly.
A Well, obviously I mentioned to you that I report to the Chief of Staff of the Ohio Senate, so obviously our Chief of Staff is a legislative
staff person. I obviously consulted my in-house
legal counsel whenever $I$ thought appropriate, legislative staff person.

And then in the House, you know, Blake
Springhetti was working in a similar fashion on his own with the Speaker. So, I mean, obviously
he is legislative staff but now on the House side.
Q Right. And what is Mr. --
Mr. Springhetti's title?
A I -- I mean, I refer to him as the budget
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| director, but $I$ do not know if that is his | 08:37:45 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Official title in the House of Representatives. | 08:37:48 |
| Q Okay. And he is -- and your understanding | 08:37:51 |
| is he is budget director for the House majority; | 08:37:52 |
| is that right? | 08:37:55 |
| A Yes, he has the same position as I do for | 08:37:55 |
| the House majority. | 08:37:58 |
| Q Right. Yeah. Okay. And did you | 08:37:59 |
| collaborate with anybody else aside from that? | 08:38:06 |
| A You're still talking about legislative | 08:38:08 |
| staff? | 08:38:19 |
| Q Yeah, legislative staffers. Sorry about | 08:38:19 |
| that. | 08:38:22 |
| A Yeah, again, collaboratives -- you know, | 08:38:22 |
| the Senate worked -- we worked on -- I worked with | 08:38:24 |
| Senator McColley. | 08:38:28 |
| Q Right. | 08:38:29 |
| A Blake worked on his side, and we were not | 08:38:30 |
| collaborating and we were not working together on | 08:38:31 |
| that. | 08:38:34 |
| So those are the ones that come to mind | 08:38:38 |
| right now -- | 08:38:41 |
| Q Okay. | 08:38:41 |
| A -- I'm sure the House Chief of Staff, I -- | 08:38:41 |
| was involved in the process as well. | 08:38:45 |
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Q Yeah. While you were drawing
08:38:46
congressional maps -- strike that.
Did you ever communicate with John Morgan $08: 38: 51$
$08: 38: 57$
$08: 39: 00$
$08: 39: 03$

MR. McGUIRE: Objection. We're going to
invoke the legislative privilege. We believe
those -- any communications by Mr. DiRossi as a
member of the Senate, President's staff are an
integral part of the deliberative and
communicative process work of the General
Assembly.
Don't answer that question.
$08: 39: 05$
$08: 39: 07$

08:39:10

08:39:13

08:39:17
08:39:19
08:39:22
08:39:22

BY MR. KLEIN:
$08: 39: 24$

Q Okay. And the same question but for Clark
Benson?

MR. McGUIRE: The same objection. We
object and invoke the legislative privilege.
Don't answer that question.
BY MR. KLEIN:

Q Okay. So let's talk about your
08:39:26

08:39:29
08:39:30
08:39:32
$08: 39: 35$
$08: 39: 51$
08:39:51
collaboration with the Chief of Staff. Did you
have any discussions with the Senate Chief of
Staff about the drawing of congressional maps?
A Yeah, I mentioned our Chief of Staff's
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name because $I$ did talk to -- talk to John, but it
08:40:09
was never about the construction of districts or
it was never about the shape -- the shape or the approach that $I$ was taking.

MR. McGUIRE: Well, don't -- again, I'm
going to instruct my witness not to get into the
08:40:11
08:40:15
$08: 40: 17$
$08: 40: 19$
08:40:21
08:40:23
08:40:26
08:40:29
$08: 40: 33$
$08: 40: 36$
$08: 40: 39$
08:40:56
Q Okay. So let's -- let's at least --
rather than the substance of those conversations,
let's -- maybe we'll talk about when those
conversations took place.
Do you remember when you had conversations
related to congressional redistricting with the
Chief of Staff of the senate?
A I cannot recall a specific date of any
specific conversation, no, but -- no.
Q So let's talk about your work with
Mr. Springhetti.
So you said you worked together a bit.
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Did you collaborate on the drawing of maps?
$08: 41: 50$
A I -- I will speak for me, and Blake can
speak for himself. When $I$ was instructed as a
staff person to work with my senator, Senator
McColley, I worked with Senator McColley and
08:41:52
08:41:58
08:42:01
08:42:04
08:42:06
Q Okay. And so was there any instance where
you and Blake Springhetti drew a map together?
A No.
Q Okay. So what was -- back up.
So I think you mentioned you did discuss
congressional redistricting with Mr. Springhetti;
is that right?
A I did have conversations with him, yes --
Q Okay.
A -- mostly about the logistics of
processing a bill through the General Assembly.
Q Okay. So do you remember the -- following when you started work on the congressional maps in mid-October, do you remember the first
conversation you had with Mr. Springhetti on the subject of congressional maps?
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A I don't remember a conversation that $I$
would say was the first -- the first conversation.
And I don't remember a specific date of a
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conversation I had.
Q Okay. Well, let's just --
A Like I said before -- and -- sorry. I was working with Senator McColley, and he was working with whoever the sponsor of the bill in the House was. And those were the silos we were working in, and we were both, I assume, focused on the -that, not working together.

Q Okay. So what conversations do you recall with Mr. Springhetti? And we can go through them one by one. So --

MR. McGUIRE: Objection. Those -- we're
going to object on the basis of legislative privilege. Discussions between Mr. DiRossi and Mr. Springhetti as another member of the staff of General Assembly are integral and part of the deliberative and communicative process and the work of the General Assembly.

Don't answer that.
BY MR. KLEIN:
Q Let's change gears a little bit there.
Where did you draw congressional maps this cycle?

A Well, the -- I mean, help me -- with drawing, I mean, there's thought processes and
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conversations that go into that that happened in the State House, in my Senate office. But I also had, you know, an office where $I$ had the software. To which or all are you referring?

Q So I guess where was the office where you
$08: 45: 03$
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| separate. | 08:46:16 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Q Right. Of course. So you did not have | 08:46:16 |
| Maptitude in the computer or any device in your | 08: $46: 20$ |
| Senate office -- | 08:46:22 |
| A Correct. | 08:46:22 |
| Q -- correct? | 08:46:23 |
| And so is it fair to say that your work | 08:46:24 |
| of -- actually draw -- actually, strike that. | 08:46:29 |
| Sorry. | 08:46:32 |
| Your work in Maptitude, it only took place | 08:46:33 |
| at the William Green Building; is that right? | 08:46:38 |
| A Well, the use of the software took place | 08:46:41 |
| in the William Green Building because that's where | 08:46:43 |
| it was, but I met with Senator McColley in his | 08:46:46 |
| State House office numerous times to get his | 08:46:49 |
| thoughts. | 08:46:52 |
| And so, as I said, that was more important | 08: $46: 52$ |
| than just using the software. That was his | 08:46:55 |
| thoughts and direction. And that was in the State | 08:47:00 |
| House. | 08:47:07 |
| Q Okay. And aside from those two locations, | 08:47:07 |
| were there any other places where you did work | 08:47:09 |
| related to congressional redistricting? | 08:47:12 |
| A Well, certainly phone calls. So I don't | 08:47:17 |
| know how you situs that, but Senator McColley and | 08:47:19 |
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I spoke by phone a number of times.
Q Okay. And this -- and so this office at
the William Green Building, is that the same place
where you drew state legislative maps?
A Well, as we said in the previous
08:47:24
08:47:27
$08: 47: 30$
$08: 47: 32$
$08: 47: 35$
deposition, the work -- using your term --
happened in a lot of places, but the William Green
$08: 47: 40$
08:47:43
Building was the location where my computer that
had the software on it was.
Q Okay. And so sitting here -- you can
strike that.
When you're at the computer where you're
working in Maptitude, did you have one monitor or
08:47:47
08:47:48
$08: 47: 50$
$08: 48: 01$
$08: 48: 01$
$08: 48: 06$
more than one monitor for that computer?
A Yes -- well, I guess you asked two
different questions there. I had two, so I had
more than one.
Q okay. So you had two monitors set up.
And these are both monitors at your desk, right?
A They are on a -- well, "desk" might be a very favorable term for a six-foot folding table.

Q A six-foot -- okay. Fair.
And so -- and are these monitors set up
horizontally?
A Yes.
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Q Okay. And they were side by side; is that correct?

A Yes.

Q And when you were at the William Green
office that we just spoke about, did anyone else work in there day to day?

A Well, as $I$ alluded to and maybe said
before, the legislative process -- and I was going
back in and doing a lot of finance work post
legislative, so my time was split a lot more
evenly between the State House and the William
Green Building. So I'm not even sure I would say
that $I$ was there day to day.
Q Okay. That's fair. I guess let's say, instead of day to day, was there anyone else who worked in that office on at least a weekly basis?

A Well, Blake obviously was there when he
needed to be there and at the Riffe Center when he had other duties.

Q Okay. So Blake also had a desk in that
office?
A Again, you're being very favorable with
the term "desk," but I'll say yes.
Q Right. So I guess Blake also had a
six-foot folding table?
$08: 48: 49$
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A Yes.
Q And were these two separate six-foot
08:50:15
08:50:15
folding tables?
A Yes.
Q Okay. So at least you had that. $08: 50: 18$

08:50:19
08:50:19
And so were you and Blake ever in that
08:50:21
office at the same time?
08:50:30
A Ever?
Q $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hm}$.
A Yes.
Q Okay. All right.
A Much more so in the legislative process,
08:50:34
$08: 50: 34$
08:50:35
08:50:35
08:50:39
like -- like we discussed. Not so much in the
08:50:41
congressional process.
08:50:45
Q Okay. Not so much in the congressional
08:50:45
process. But it did happen from time to time
08:50:48
during the congressional process?
08:50:50
08:50:57
08:50:57
the office together, did you ever talk to one another.

08:51:00
08:51:05
A Yes.
08:51:05
Q Okay. Do you remember any specific dates
08:51:05
of conversations?
A I don't remember specific dates of
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conversations.
Q Okay. Do you remember any conversations
in that office that took place between you and
Mr. Springhetti and someone else?
A I'm trying to pay attention to your -- can
$08: 51: 19$
08:51:24
08:51:26
$08: 51: 31$
$08: 51: 39$
08:51:41
$08: 51: 42$
$08: 51: 42$
$08: 51: 43$
08:51:45
$08: 51: 45$
08:51:50
$08: 51: 52$
$08: 51: 53$
$08: 52: 01$
08:52:03
08:52:04
08:52:07
the William Green Building with the President and
the Speaker and the two Chiefs of Staff.
Q And when you say the two Chiefs of Staff,
who are you referring to there?
A The ones I referred to previously: the
Senate Chief of Staff, John Barron, and the House
Chief of Staff, Christine Morrison.
Q So this was a -- just to confirm, this was
$08: 52: 27$
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| a conversation concerning congressional | 08:52:36 |
| :---: | :---: |
| redistricting, correct? | 08:52:40 |
| A It was. | 08:52:40 |
| Q Okay. And do you recall any other | 08:52:41 |
| conversations at the William Green Building with | 08:52:45 |
| you, Mr. Springhetti, and anybody else? | 08:52:50 |
| A I mean, there were -- there were some | 08:52:51 |
| conversations with counsel at the William Green | 08:52:58 |
| Building. | 08:53:17 |
| We used -- in-house counsel helped us on | 08:53:17 |
| the legislative stuff in October putting together | 08:53:20 |
| the legal descriptions for the legislative stuff. | 08:53:22 |
| So I recall that. That was a multi-week, very | 08:53:26 |
| intricate process with a lot of meetings and a lot | 08:53:30 |
| of conversations. | 08:53:33 |
| Q Okay. | 08:53:34 |
| A I would like to forget that, but I do | 08:53:34 |
| still remember it. | 08:53:37 |
| Q Okay. | 08:53:41 |
| A Not a fun process. | 08:53:41 |
| Q Yeah. So aside from those conversations | 08:53:43 |
| with counsel and the one that we've just | 08:53:46 |
| discussed, do you recall any other conversations | 08:53:48 |
| between you, Mr. Springhetti, and anybody else? | 08:53:49 |
| A I mean, obviously in the legislative | 08:53:51 |
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| process in that -- the William Green Building, we | 08:53:57 |
| :---: | :---: |
| talked about that in a previous deposition. | 08:54:00 |
| MR. McGuIRE: If I can interject to try to | 08:54:02 |
| help this along a little bit. Mr. DiRossi, I | 08:54:04 |
| believe that Mr. Klein is focused on conversations | 08:54:08 |
| that would have been during the congressional | 08:54:09 |
| redistricting process. So I don't think you need | 08:54:11 |
| to revisit the stuff for the State legislative. | 08:54:13 |
| MR. KLEIN: Took the words right out of my | 08:54:17 |
| mouth, Mr. McGuire. | 08:54:19 |
| MR. McGuIRE: Thank you. | 08:54:24 |
| BY MR. KLEIN: | 08:54:24 |
| Q Okay. So -- and when you were working in | 08:54:24 |
| the William Green Building, do you recall what | 08:54:32 |
| sort of computer you had? | 08:54:34 |
| A I -- generally, it's a PC. | 08:54:35 |
| Q Okay. And do you know the brand? | 08:54:42 |
| A I don't know the brand. | 08:54:50 |
| Q That's all right. | 08:54:51 |
| And did you attend any regular -- sorry. | 08:54:56 |
| Strike that. | 08:54:56 |
| Did you attend any regularly scheduled | 08:55:02 |
| meetings concerning congressional maps? | 08:55:05 |
| A Regularly scheduled meetings? Not that I | 08:55:08 |
| recall, no. | 08:55:14 |
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Q Okay. Were there any regularly scheduled phone calls?

A (Inaudible.)
Q Okay. And while you were working --
A No. Sorry. I was shaking my head. I
08:55:14
$08: 55: 16$
08:55:17
08:55:22
$08: 55: 23$
08:55:27
Q Oh, right. Sorry. Yeah, just for the
record --

A I remembered my previous instructions.
Sorry about that.
Q Yeah, I also missed it as well, so that's
on me, too.
MR. KLEIN: So we'll just note for the
08:55:28

08:55:29
08:55:29

08:55:31
$08: 55: 32$
$08: 55: 34$
$08: 55: 35$
record that he shook his head to indicate "no."
BY MR. KLEIN:
Q And so while you were working on the
congressional maps, did you communicate with
anyone via text message about congressional
redistricting?
A Well, again, about congressional
redistricting, I guess that broad of a question,
I'd say yes.
Q Okay. Do you recall what text messages?
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logistics, time -- time -- you know, what I was
08:56:13
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working on, how I was proceeding, timelines, and then asking if people would be available for in-person meetings.

Q And do you recall who those text messages were with?

A It would have been President Huffman
and/or Pres- -- President McColley -- Senator McColley.

Q Okay.
A But, again, those were text messages of --
along the lines of "Hey, are you free later for a meeting or a phone call or something?"

Q Yeah.
A Nothing substantive.
MR. KLEIN: So speaking for a moment to
Mr. McGuire. We'd like to have those -- we would like to have those produced if you can. I don't believe we've had that yet. But speaking to those text messages that Mr. DiRossi just referred to.

MR. McGUIRE: I mean, I'm -- just for the record, I have not been involved. We've got a lot of folks, as you might imagine, under this drill we're all in working on various pieces of this thing.

I have not been involved at all in the
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document production, and I can't speak to
whether -- potentially we have produced those. I
just don't know. I mean, I'm -- I'm not the person to speak to what is or isn't encompassed within agreements about what would be produced, but $I$ hear what you're requesting the record.

MR. KLEIN: All right. I think we have
folks from Nelson on as well, I believe.
MR. McGUIRE: Yes, but they're not -- they
don't have speaking parts today.
MR. KLEIN: Oh, right. That's true.
Fair. Well, we'll then connect off line.
BY MR. KLEIN:
Q All right. So did you communicate with anyone -- strike that.

While you were working on congressional
redistricting, did you commute with -- did you communicate with anyone via instant message about congressional redistricting?

A I'd like to think that I'm up to speed on technology, but help me understand what instant messaging is that isn't text messaging.

Q Right. So this would usually be a mess- -- so this would be a message service that goes to your computer or your laptop, such as
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| Skype for Business or Lync or something of that variety. I don't know if some sort of system like | $08: 58: 37$ $08: 58: 41$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| that exists on your end. | 08:58:47 |
| A I -- yeah, I'm not sure I know what that | 08:58:49 |
| means. I mean, the only time I use like a Zoom | 08:58:52 |
| would have been with counsel. | 08:58:55 |
| Q Okay. Yeah, I'm not really talking about | 08:58:56 |
| Zoom so much as it's a service that allows | 08:58:59 |
| messages to be sent directly to your computer. It | 08:59:03 |
| will pop up in a little box on your computer. | 08:59:07 |
| Does that ring any bells? | 08:59:10 |
| A No. | 08:59:12 |
| Q I'll move along. | 08:59:12 |
| A I'll ask my teenagers. | 08:59:15 |
| Q Right. Fair. | 08:59:16 |
| And did you communicate about | 08:59:19 |
| congressional redistricting through any form of | 08:59:23 |
| written communication other than email? | 08:59:26 |
| A I don't recall -- I don't recall doing | 08:59:36 |
| that, no. | 08:59:39 |
| Q Okay. So when you were working on | 08:59:40 |
| congressional maps, did you consult any population | 08:59:50 |
| data? | 08:59:53 |
| A Yes. | 08:59:59 |
| Q Okay. And was -- what was the source for | 09:00:00 |
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that data or what were the sources for that data?
A The U.S. Census Bureau.
Q Okay. Were there any other sources for
population data that you consulted other than the data from the U.S. Census Bureau?

A No.

Q Okay. And --
A None that $I$ can recall, no.
Q Right. And just to confirm, specifically
which tranches of data -- actually -- strike that.
When we're talking about data from the
U.S. Census Bureau, are we talking about the release from the 2020 results only?

A I'm not sure $I$ understand the question.
Sorry --
Q Sure. I can definitely rephrase.
So when you were consulting census data,
were you only considering the data that was released in the last year?

A I -- what I -- yeah, I -- what $I$ am referring to is, I believe the -- well, the census -- the 2020 census data was released, given to the Ohio University, the joint contractor for the State of Ohio, to put census data and geography together in our bipartisan legislative
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task force and p
Ohioans to use.
That's -- that's what I'm referring to.
Q Okay. And so in terms of population data, the stuff that came from the census, was there any difference between the data you consulted for the congressional process and the data you consulted for the State legislative process?

A You're referring to -- are you referring specifically to population data still?

Q Right. Only population data.
A To my -- my understanding where we start
to get into a little technical area, it was the same -- the same population data was used for that.

Q And when you were drawing congressional maps, did you use any data reflecting the results of any elections in drawing maps?

A Yes.
Q Okay. And do you recall what those
elections were?
A We had access, as we did during the legislative process, to federal election results over the preceding decade and other election results for statewide elections.
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Q Okay. And what other election results did
09:03:02
you have access to?
A The ones that we talked about during the
legislative process. I guess those are statewide
$09: 03: 09$
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for this data?
A I think all of those came from the
Secretary of State's election results.
Q Okay.
A And some of them might have come through
Ohio University through the common unified redistricting data process.

Q Okay. And did you use -- or strike that.
While you were drawing congressional maps,
did you consult partisan indices?
A Yeah, in -- when we were creating the -- a
large number of competitive districts, that
information was helpful to guide us.
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Q Okay. And do you recall what partisan indices you had access to?
$09: 04: 32$
09:04:38
A Help me understand that question a little
more.
Q Sure. So I'm specifically asking about which partisan indices you received the data for.

A Okay. If I -- I think I understand. The
federal election results for President of the
United States and the United States Senate were the ones that we primarily used.

Q Right. But did you have access to --
well, let's actually back up a little bit.
So you said you used these indices. Do
you know what the source for these indices were?
A I believe the Secretary of state produces election results for all elections -- well, maybe not all elections, but statewide elections and legislative and other races. And I think that's the source of the results.

Q Okay. But then when you just have the raw results, you don't have the actual indices, correct?

A Well, help me understand that also. I'm sorry.

Q No. No. For sure. Right.
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| So my understanding is that indices -- | 09:06:03 |
| :---: | :---: |
| right? -- they consist of more than one election | 09:06:08 |
| typically, correct -- or they exist of the results | 09:06:10 |
| for more than one election, correct? | 09:06:14 |
| A Yeah. Sure. Sure. Yeah, if you want to | 09:06:16 |
| look at multiple elections -- I mean multiple U.S. | 09:06:19 |
| Senate races or multiple presidential election | 09:06:22 |
| results, yes, you would have a collection of | 09:06:25 |
| multiple results to -- | 09:06:29 |
| Q Okay. | 09:06:31 |
| A -- produce an index. | 09:06:32 |
| Q Right. And so how -- how were those | 09:06:33 |
| indexes that you consulted produced? | 09:06:39 |
| A Maptitude -- just like in our legislative | 09:06:41 |
| process, Maptitude was able to produce an index | 09:06:47 |
| based on those results. | 09:06:54 |
| Q Yeah. So then -- I guess this makes it a | 09:06:55 |
| little easier, right? | 09:06:58 |
| The -- your process for obtaining the data | 09:07:00 |
| and generating partisan indices was the same as | 09:07:03 |
| between congressional and state legislative | 09:07:07 |
| redistricting; is that right? | 09:07:11 |
| A I want to make sure I understand that. | 09:07:12 |
| Can you say that again -- | 09:07:13 |
| Q Sure. | 09:07:14 |
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A -- so I can...
Q Of course. And maybe $I$ can even say it
better this time.
So your process for generating partisan
indices was the same in the congressional process
as it was in the state legislative process; is
that right?
A Yeah, I -- I'm not sure I understand the process of generating. I mean, in the legislative
process, as you're, I'm sure, familiar within the
previous constitutional section, it directs you to use these. So we had them.

So I didn't -- I didn't do anything
different or new; $I$ just had them.
Q Okay. You just -- yeah. You just had
them. All right.
Okay. So -- and so you had said that you
at least had access to a few indices, correct?
Mr. DiRossi?
A Well, I had access to election results.
Q Right. Right. But that --
A The -- I'm sorry.
Q No, no, no. Go ahead.
A The index that was used was based on the
federal election results that I referenced before,
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since we were now dealing with federal districts.
Q Maybe we'll talk a little bit more about
$09: 08: 43$
09:08:45
what you used in a little bit, but $I$ think what I'm asking now is just what you were at least able
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A Okay. I want to make sure I understand. Can you say that again?

Q Sure.
Was there any partisan --
A Partisan election data?
Q Yeah, right. So this data that we were
just talking about, this election -- these
election results or the indexes created from them,
were there -- was there any data within that
category that you chose not to consider in drawing congressional maps?

A Well, again, let me -- let me first say
that whether I had access to election results for this race or that race was not very consequential in the actual drawing of the districts, but it was something that we used when we were focused on the competitive districts.

And, as I said before, unlike during the
legislative process where we're dealing with state legislative districts and we were using previous elections for statewide offices, now we're dealing with federal districts. And so we were using the federal election results for those districts -federal election results for federal districts.

Q Okay. So by this -- by that answer, do

# Transcript of Raymond DiRossi <br> Conducted on December 7, 2021 

| you mean to say that you were not considering | 09:12:13 |
| :---: | :---: |
| state elections in drawing these districts? | 09:12:16 |
| A Well, like I was referring to before, | 09:12:21 |
| dealing with congressional districts, you're down | 09:12:27 |
| to the person and you're not dealing with a | 09:12:31 |
| 5 percent deviation. So population, balancing out | 09:12:33 |
| the districts, trying to reduce splits to both | 09:12:37 |
| counties and jurisdictions, trying to make the | 09:12:42 |
| districts look visually compact, those are the | 09:12:45 |
| primary objectives. | 09:12:48 |
| The use of the election results we've been | 09:12:49 |
| talking about is -- was really used for the | 09:12:51 |
| competitive districts. | 09:12:54 |
| Q Okay. Right. But -- so when we're | 09:13:00 |
| talking about this -- strike that. | 09:13:02 |
| When you are using the election results, | 09:13:07 |
| were there any election results that you did not | 09:13:12 |
| consider? | 09:13:16 |
| A I thought I answered that. I mean, we | 09:13:16 |
| used federal election results for federal | 09:13:22 |
| districts. So that's -- that's what we used. | 09:13:25 |
| And the other ones we still had, but we | 09:13:28 |
| were focused on the federal election results. | 09:13:33 |
| Q So you were not using state election | 09:13:35 |
| results; is that correct? | 09:13:39 |
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A Yeah, I'm getting hung up on "using." I
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| are you not in a position to testify today that | 09:15:29 |
| :---: | :---: |
| you gave no consideration to state elections in | 09:15:31 |
| drawing congressional maps? | 09:15:35 |
| MR. McGuIRE: I'm going to object to the | 09:15:37 |
| form. | 09:15:38 |
| MR. KLEIN: Okay. | 09:15:42 |
| MR. McGulRe: But you can answer. | 09:15:43 |
| BY THE WITNESS: | 09:15:45 |
| A Yeah, I'm not sure I can answer that. I | 09:15:45 |
| almost feel like it's a double-negative or | 09:15:48 |
| something. I'm just trying -- | 09:15:50 |
| BY MR. KLEIN: | 09:15:51 |
| Q Right. Right. | 09:15:52 |
| A -- (indiscernible) your answer. | 09:15:52 |
| Q Right. I guess we'll phrase it like this: | 09:15:56 |
| Is it your testimony that you gave no | 09:16:17 |
| consideration to state election results in drawing | 09:16:19 |
| congressional maps? | 09:16:21 |
| A Okay. I'm -- we're getting closer. Help | 09:16:28 |
| me understand "no consideration." I mean, I had | 09:16:31 |
| access to them. They were preloaded onto the | 09:16:34 |
| computer from the legislative process. But I | 09:16:36 |
| chose to -- we chose to focus on the federal | 09:16:42 |
| election results that I -- you and I have spoken | 09:16:45 |
| about. | 09:16:47 |

Q Right. So I guess it's getting an
09:16:47
understanding on what you mean by "focus."
09:16:50

A Okay. Fair.
Q So when you say you primarily gave focus
$09: 16: 54$
09:16:55
to federal election results, what do you mean by that?

A Well, I think if you -- you know, Senator
McColley testified in three different committees on his bill, and $I$ know he made other public
commentary. And I know President Huffman made
public commentary about the importance of having competitive districts.

And when I am -- when $I$ am referring to
focusing on the federal election results, I'm talking about using those to score whether or not districts were competitive or not. And ultimately, as you know, we ended up with seven competitive districts using those -- those election results.

Q Okay. So did you use -- strike that.
Did you ever use statewide election -or -- sorry -- strike that.

Did you ever use state election results to score whether districts were competitive or not?

A I think -- yeah, like I said, our focus --
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my focus was on the federal election results, but
I think I did also look at those because I know that some other people were using those, and obviously everybody used very different things to score districts.

But, yes, I think those were also
available and used.
Q Right. So just to put a finer point on that, when you were scoring whether districts were competitive, you did, at least at some points, look at state elections, correct?

A I mean, after the decision was made -- I mean, it was a pretty easy decision to use federal election results for federal districts. It seemed very rational. Those districts, I mean, deal with congressional representatives, they go to

Washington, D.C., they're interacting with our U.S. senators, they're interacting with the President of the United States. So that decision seemed very easy to make.

And once that was made going forward, as you and I have talked about, I had access to those other things and would look at them for comparison, but it did not impact that decision.

Q Okay. So you've mentioned this decision.
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What is that decision that you're referring to there?

A The decision to use federal election results to index, score, or whatever terminology anyone in the world is using at the -- at that moment, on the competitiveness of the districts.

Q Okay. Sitting here, do you have any
reason to believe that federal election data is a
more accurate predictor of federal congressional races than state election data?

A If you're asking me, since we're talking, I would say absolutely. I mean, the federal election results, as $I$ just said, these are for congressional representatives to the United States

Congress. They interact with the United States
senators, they interact with the President of the
United States.
I don't know what the state -- somebody
who votes one way for a state auditor on how they're going to audit a local school district should impact a congressional district out of Washington, D.C., that are -- primarily rise and fall with the winds of the -- of politics in Washington. It just seems very logical to use federal election results.
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| So that's my personal -- | 09:20:37 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Q Right. So was there any data you | 09:20:42 |
| consulted in reaching this conclusion, though? | 09:20:44 |
| A Well, obviously I -- as we talked about, I | 09:20:46 |
| had access to all of these data sources from -- or | 09:20:54 |
| election results, $I$ should say, from the | 09:21:00 |
| legislative process. | 09:21:02 |
| Q Right. I'm -- I'm specifically referring | 09:21:03 |
| to the conclusion that you just stated of federal | 09:21:06 |
| election results being a better predictor for | 09:21:11 |
| actual congressional races. | 09:21:15 |
| What I'm asking is, was there any data | 09:21:16 |
| that you based that conclusion on? | 09:21:20 |
| A Again, help me -- help me with data. I | 09:21:21 |
| told you I had access for the election results | 09:21:30 |
| from all of these -- from the legislative process. | 09:21:33 |
| I don't think I had access to any other | 09:21:35 |
| data, as I -- I'm guessing you're referring to it | 09:21:38 |
| as. | 09:21:41 |
| Q Oh. So I understand you had access to the | 09:21:41 |
| data. I -- the question $I^{\prime}$ m asking is, did that | 09:21:45 |
| data lead you to the conclusion that federal | 09:21:49 |
| election results are a better predictor of | 09:21:52 |
| congressional results? | 09:21:56 |
| Actually, strike that. | 09:21:56 |
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I understand you had access to the data.
The question I'm asking is, did that data lead you
$09: 22: 02$
09:22:05
to the conclusion that federal statewide election
$09: 22: 08$
results are a better predictor of congressional
results?
09:22:10
09:22:14
A I would not say it led me to that. I
thought it was the right decision. That was the
recommendation that $I$ made, and it -- it cuts both ways.

And, again, that's -- for certain
districts, it may be -- it may be a good thing, it
may be a bad thing, but it's the right decision.
Q Got it. Okay. All right. So I want to
09:22:14
09:22:17
09:22:19
$09: 22: 23$
$09: 22: 24$
09:22:26
09:22:29
09:22:35
talk a little bit about Maptitude.
So you already mentioned that you used
Maptitude to draw congressional maps, correct?
A Correct.
Q Okay. And how long have you worked with Maptitude for in your career?

A Well, I would say the last two election cycles, but it makes it sound like 20 years, but I

09:22:38
09:22:41
09:22:42
09:22:44
09:22:45
09:22:47
09:22:51
09:22:54
09:22:57
09:23:00
09:23:02
$09: 23: 03$
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Q So that's the -- so when you say "the last
09:23:05
two cycles," are you including this cycle, 2021?
09:23:11

A Yes, 2021 and 2011.
Q Okay. Thanks.
And when you were working in Maptitude to
$09: 23: 15$
09:23:16
09:23:22
draw congressional maps, you were able to view
data related to the districts as you drew the
maps; is that correct?
A As we talked about in the legislative process, the data was on my left screen, the geography was on the right screen.

Q Right. Got you. And we're going to
rehash a few things from that deposition just to sort of get it on the record here.

All right. So you said the data was on
your left screen and the geography was on your right screen; is that correct?

A That's --
Q Okay. And --
A -- how I personally set it up, right.
Q Right. And so when you say "geography,"
you're talking about the actual map, correct?
A Correct.
Q All right. Okay. And when we're talking about the data, was there more than one data box
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that displayed data, or was it just one?
A I had a -- I mean, I'm not sure of the
technical capabilities of Maptitude, but I had it set up with one data view.

Q Okay.
A One data -- data window.
Q All right. So it was only one data
window. And that one data window contained all of
the data that you were able to see while you were drawing maps; is that right?

A Yes.

Q And did you always have this data view
window open while you were drawing maps?
A It was -- it was always there. I didn't use it all the time, but it was always there.

Q Okay. So by "always there," you mean that the -- that this window was always open and on the screen, correct?

A Yes. I guess why I was -- sometimes I
would have another document open that would be
over it, and I wouldn't be --
Q Right.
A -- able to see that. Yeah. So -- but --
Q Okay. And so was there another window
that would show you changes to a district that
$09: 24: 23$
$09: 24: 25$
$09: 24: 30$
09:24:33
09:24:35
09:24:35
09:24:37
09:24:40
09:24:51
09:24:53
09:24:55
09:24:55
09:24:58
09:25:00
09:25:05
09:25:08
09:25:13
$09: 25: 16$
09:25:17
09:25:25
09:25:27
09:25:29
09:25:29
09:25:32
09:25:40
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would occur if you changed district lines?
A I am aware that Maptitude has that capability, but I've never used that.

Q Okay. But it -- but it is correct that
$09: 25: 44$
09:25:48
09:25:51
09:25:54
09:25:59
09:26:03
09:26:05
09:26:06
09:26:09
09:26:14
09:26:18
09:26:20
09:26:24
09:26:29
09:26:32
09:26:33
09:26:36
09:26:38
09:26:40
09:26:42
09:26:45
09:26:47
09:26:49
09:26:52
09:26:53
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Q Okay. And you did, at points, look to the
09:26:55
data to see what changes were made, correct?
09:27:05

A Yeah, I mean, the vast -- the vast
majority of the time you're adding -- you're
09:27:10
trying to get these districts, as I referenced,
$09: 27: 12$
down to the person without splitting too many
jurisdictions to accomplish that.

So any time you add a precinct, a ward, a
township, a city, a county to a district, it's
going to change the population. You've got to,
for me, glance over and see, okay, now $I$ have way
09:27:29

09:27:32

09:27:37

Q Okay.
A -- that's the value for me, is the population changes.

Q Got you. Okay. And so you were just referencing counties and cities and putting them in different districts. In drawing these maps, you had to consider the lines of subdivisions, like cities, townships, and counties, correct?

09:27:37

09:27:38

09:27:41

09:27:41

09:27:52
09:27:55
$09: 28: 04$
$09: 28: 09$

A Yes.
09:28:13

Q Okay. And did these lines exist in
Maptitude as the program came to you?
A I -- I'm trying to answer your specific
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question. I think the answer is no.
Q All right. So did you have to upload

09:28:28
09:28:29
09:28:32
09:28:35
09:28:35
09:28:41
09:28:44
09:28:50
09:28:52
09:28:54
09:28:56
09:28:59
09:29:02
09:29:07
09:29:16
09:29:17
09:29:19
09:29:23
09:29:25
09:29:32
09:29:34
09:29:39
09:29:45
09:29:48
09:29:50
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Bureau's BBSP, the Block Boundary Suggestion Project, or process.

And I think OU worked with all of the 88
county board of elections to understand the lines
09:29:55
09:30:00
09:30:01
09:30:06
09:30:09
09:30:12
09:30:15
09:30:18
09:30:21
09:30:23
09:30:28
your question of where that came from. It came
from that process.
Q Right. So then is it correct to say that the source for these lines was OU? That's how it came to you? That's what I'm asking.

A Well, I don't think it came from OU. I
think OU was the contractor who provided that
information and took the census information and married it together and $I$ think delivered it to the Legislative Service Commission.

Q And so while you have Maptitude open, are you able to see these various subdivision boundaries?

A You can, if they're -- if they're on.
$09: 30: 30$
$09: 30: 32$
09:30:32
$09: 30: 35$
09:30:41
09:30:43
09:30:47
09:30:49
09:30:52
09:30:57
09:31:03
09:31:05
09:31:09
09:31:10

Q Right. And did you -- let's actually walk
09:31:13
through them one by one.
So were you able -- were you able to see
counties while you worked in Maptitude?
09:31:19
$09: 31: 20$
09:31:23
A Again, if they were on, which I -- I
09:31:24
almost had counties on. You need a good --
Q Right.
A -- point.
Q Okay. So did you ever have counties off?
A I am sure at some point, yes, counties
09:31:29
09:31:30
09:31:31
09:31:32
$09: 31: 36$
would have been off, but...
Q Okay. Is there a specific part of this
process where you want to turn counties off?
A Oh, boy. So when $I$ was -- after the
Senate Democrats and the House Democrats had
introduced their proposals, $I$ was trying to
recreate those on my computer by recreating those
districts. And so to do that, I was trying to
recreate it, and $I$ had counties off for that process.

Q Got you. Okay. And did you also have
09:31:39
09:31:45
09:31:47
09:31:49
09:31:56
09:31:59
$09: 32: 02$
$09: 32: 06$
$09: 32: 10$
09:32:12
$09: 32: 13$
cities on?
A Again, cities -- other layers of
geography, whether it's a precinct, a ward, a city, a municipal corporation, or a township could

09:32:18
09:32:18
09:32:20
09:32:24
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| be on or off, and I would have them on or off at | 09:32:28 |
| :---: | :---: |
| various times. | 09:32:32 |
| Q Right. Yeah, I'm just trying to get a | 09:32:32 |
| sense of what generally was -- was on. | 09:32:36 |
| So you said you almost always had counties | 09:32:37 |
| on. Did you almost always have cities on or | 09:32:41 |
| municipal corporations, let's say? | 09:32:44 |
| A No. No. I mean -- no. If you're | 09:32:45 |
| creating a district and you're adding five or six | 09:32:48 |
| or seven whole counties, I didn't see a need to | 09:32:50 |
| have cities and townships and smaller villages, | 09:32:54 |
| other municipal corporations on when you're just | 09:32:59 |
| adding whole counties and watching the population | 09:33:02 |
| numbers. | 09:33:05 |
| Q Okay. | 09:33:05 |
| A So at times they were on, at times they | 09:33:05 |
| were off. | 09:33:07 |
| Q Okay. What about -- you also mentioned | 09:33:08 |
| city wards. Did you have those on most of the | 09:33:11 |
| time? | 09:33:13 |
| A No, they were off most of the time. But | 09:33:14 |
| they were -- I thought they were a useful -- a | 09:33:20 |
| useful layer to have. | 09:33:21 |
| Q How about township borders? The same | 09:33:22 |
| question. | 09:33:26 |
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A Yeah, the same -- the same thing. On
09:33:26
wards, for instance, I mean, if you were going to
$09: 33: 30$ split major wards and cities, you may want to use
$09: 33: 32$ wards.

Now, as you know, the enacted map doesn't
$09: 33: 35$
$09: 33: 35$
split many, if any, major cities. So wards really
09:33:38
weren't very useful.
Township boundaries, you know, were
useful, because balancing 15 districts to the
person, you're obviously going to have to split
some unit of geography between districts wherever two districts touch and have to balance. I think

09:33:42
09:33:44
09:33:46
09:33:52
$09: 33: 55$
09:33:58
everybody would acknowledge that.
$09: 34: 01$
09:34:02
09:34:04
09:34:07
09:34:09
$09: 34: 09$
09:34:17
09:34:21
$09: 34: 25$
A I have no idea who "they" is to start
09:34:26
with, but --
Q Sorry. The City.
A Yeah, no idea. I mean, the constitution

09:34:31
$09: 34: 32$
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specifically itemizes counties, townships,
municipal corporations, which I understand to be
09:34:37
$09: 34: 40$
09:34:42
$09: 34: 45$
$09: 34: 50$
09:34:52
$09: 34: 56$
09:34:57
09:34:59
$09: 35: 04$
Q All right. So let's -- so turning to the
data view. When you were looking at the data
view, were you able to see compactness scores?
A No.
Q Okay. And I think you mentioned you could
$09: 35: 05$
09:35:23
$09: 35: 26$
09:35:28
09:35:28
see population data there; is that correct?
A Yes.
$09: 35: 30$
09:35:33

Q Were you able to see voting age population
09:35:33
for a district in data view?
09:35:37

A No. Well, what -- I didn't have it set up
$09: 35: 40$
that way. Again, maybe you could have, but I
$09: 35: 45$
didn't. I never used that.
Q Okay. Were you able to view total
09:35:48
09:35:49
registered voters?
A No, I did not use that.
09:35:56
$09: 35: 57$

Q Okay. What about total population?
A Yes.
Q Okay. And what about target population?
A The way I had it set up is, depending
on -- and $I$ know $I$ keep referring to legislative
$09: 35: 59$
$09: 36: 02$
09:36:04
09:36:11
$09: 36: 15$
09:36:17
09:36:19
09:36:22
09:36:28
09:36:31
$09: 36: 35$
09:36:35
$09: 36: 38$
09:36:41
09:36:45
09:36:45
09:36:47
09:36:49
$09: 36: 56$
09:36:57
$09: 36: 57$
09:37:00
09:37:02
09:37:04
$09: 37: 06$
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Q All right. Thank you for clearing that up.

Was there any data besides that in your
09:37:06
09:37:08
09:37:08
09:37:14

A I had the federal election result
$09: 37: 15$
calculation that was visible for the districts.
That was -- that was also visible.

Q Okay. Did you have any other
election-related data in your data view window?
A I think the percentage of the
09:37:32
presidential -- the recent presidential election
09:37:40
was visible. I rarely used it. But I think that
$09: 37: 42$
was visible, both the Republican candidate and the
$09: 37: 46$

Democrat candidate.
And then $I$ think maybe leftover from the
legislative process was also on the screen of the
six-year lookback of election results.

Q So just to -- just to get some clarity on
that, what are you referring to when you're
talking about the six-year lookback?
A So that would be election results from

2020, 2018, and 2016 for state and federal
statewide elections.

Q So, just to confirm, that's a partisan
index for all statewide partisan races for those

09:38:19
09:37:50

09:37:52

09:37:55
$09: 38: 02$

09:38:07

09:38:09
09:38:11
$09: 38: 12$

09:38:27

09:38:27
09:38:31
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four years; is that right -- or over those four
09:38:36
years?
09:38:38
A Yes.
Q Okay. And do you recall any other
09:38:40
09:38:41
election data that you had displayed?
09:39:13
A No, none that $I$ recall.
09:39:13
Q And the election data you've just
described, was it always visi- -- strike that.
The election data you just described, was
it always in the data pane, or did you ever have
it turned off or made not visible?
A You know, thanks for that question. I
think in some cases, yeah, it was turned off.
Q Okay. And so when you were drawing the
state legislative map, you also were able to view election data, correct?

A Yes.
Q Okay. Did you ever use an index in the
state legislative context that contained only
state elections?
A Only contained state elections. I can't recall if one was ever used, like, that would meet that description.

Q Okay.
MR. KLEIN: So we've been going an hour
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Ethan, are you pulling that up?
(WHEREUPON, DiRossi Exhibit No. 1 was
09:51:29
09:51:32
$09: 51: 33$
09:51:33

Q All right. So, Mr. DiRossi, I'm going to $09: 51: 34$

09:51:36

09:51:40
09:51:40
09:51:44
09:51:51
$09: 51: 55$
$09: 52: 00$
$09: 52: 01$
09:52:13
09:52:16
09:52:19
09:52:22
09:52:29
09:52:32
09:52:34
09:52:35
09:52:37
09:52:40
09:52:42
09:52:44
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { a little bit anyway. } \\
& \text { So let me sort of -- yeah, I think I have } \\
& \text { this, right? Yeah. Okay. } \\
& \text { Is this working all right? } \\
& \text { It comes out a little bit. } \\
& \quad \text { So can you see this all right? I'll also }
\end{aligned}
$$

zoom out a little bit so you get a fuller window.
A It's still very, very -- well, let me just start off by saying this looks nothing like how I was set up.

Q Okay. So I think you've already testified
that you already -- that you had a data view
window on another screen, correct?
A Yes.
Q Okay. And did that window have any
similarity -- I'm not asking about the specific data in there. I'm just asking about the -- sort of the kind of shape and structure of it. Did it have any similarity to what you're looking at in this exhibit?

A I mean, this doesn't -- I mean, no. I mean, there is a ton of information here that $I$ never had up on my screen and have no idea what it is.

Q Okay. But did the data window you have

09:52:47
09:52:47
$09: 52: 50$
09:52:50
$09: 53: 00$
$09: 53: 00$
09:53:03
09:53:08
09:53:16
09:53:18
09:53:19
09:53:22
$09: 53: 28$
09:53:30
09:53:33
09:53:40
09:53:42
09:53:44
09:53:47
09:53:51
$09: 53: 52$
09:53:59
09:54:01
09:54:04
09:54:04
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have a -- have a set of rows with data put into it?

09:54:09
09:54:14
09:54:19
09:54:21
09:54:23
09:54:29
Q Got you. Right. But for each column, was
09:54:30
09:54:33
09:54:39

09:54:41
09:54:44
09:54:49
09:54:51
09:54:53
09:54:55

09:54:58
09:54:59
$09: 55: 03$
09:55:07
09:55:09
09:55:12
09:55:13
09:55:16

09:55:19
09:55:22
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Q Okay.
A And that's just the data that $I$ can see.
It looks like it goes off --
09:55:26
09:55:26
09:55:28
Q Yeah, it's --
09:55:30
A -- all the way off the end of the screen.
09:55:31
Q Yeah, and -- yeah, we'll also take a
09:55:32
broader look at that in a minute.
But -- so are there any other differences
between these two, aside from the data that you see in there?

A Well, I mean, that's -- that's the big --
09:55:35
09:55:36
09:55:38
09:55:41
$09: 55: 42$
the big part of it.
09:55:51
Q Right.
A I mean, yes, we're set up with rows and
09:55:53
09:55:54
columns. I will agree to that.
Q Okay. All right. Okay.
And it looks like here we have more than
enough columns that could be contained in one
window present. Was that also the case for when
09:56:11
you were looking at your data view; were there
more columns than you could view in a single
09:56:14
09:56:17
09:56:19
09:56:20
09:56:20
09:56:21
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talked about everything that $I$ had. And on some
09:56:24
09:56:26
09:56:28
09:56:28
09:56:29
09:56:31
09:56:43
09:56:44
09:56:45
09:56:47
09:56:51
09:56:58
09:56:59
09:57:02
09:57:04
$09: 57: 10$
09:57:13
09:57:16
09:57:18
09:57:18
09:57:21
09:57:21
09:57:22
09:57:25
09:57:26
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A So I never -- I don't know what "ID" is --
09:57:27
Q Okay. That's fine.
A -- and I didn't use it.

Q Got you --
A And I don't know what "ID-1" is.
09:57:28

09:57:30

09:57:31
$09: 57: 32$

Q No idea? What about "AREA"?

A Nope.
09:57:37

Q Okay. What about "DISTRICT"?
A "DISTRICT" I had on my screen, yes. I had
the district numbers.
$09: 57: 45$

Q And so just to confirm, this column would
09:57:42

09:57:43

09:57:46
09:57:52

A For me, yeah.
$09: 57: 53$

Q Okay. How about "MEMBERS"?
A No.
Q Okay. What about $\mathrm{T}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{T}-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{P}$, or TOTPOP?
A Yeah, I mean, you skipped "LOCKED" and
"NAME."
Q Right.
A There's no data in them, but $I$ don't know
09:57:54

09:57:59

09:57:59
09:58:09

09:58:11

09:58:12
09:58:13
09:58:15
09:58:17
A Yeah, TOTPOP or TOTPOP, yeah, total
population of the district. Yes, that was
something that I had. That's the population of

09:58:17

09:58:21

09:58:23
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the district per the census.
Q Okay. How about G20R; any idea?
A Nope -- well, I -- I didn't have it as --
I don't think I had it as G20R, but I believe that
09:58:25

09:58:27

09:58:32

09:58:41
09:58:44

09:58:48
09:58:51
$09: 58: 54$

09:58:54

09:58:56

09:58:57

09:58:59

Q Right. But is that data that you recall
$09: 59: 01$
having access to, what's -- what's in this column?
$09: 59: 04$

A Well, again, here's where -- I don't want
get over my skis on technical things, but the
data -- the election results that were in there
from the legislative process are all still in
there. I'm telling you the ones that I used.
Just because it's in there and it's exported in a SHP file doesn't mean that $I$-- I mean, I guess it

09:59:08
09:59:14

09:59:16

09:59:20

09:59:22

09:59:27

09:59:30
was in the computer left over from the legislative
09:59:32
since $I$ used the same computer, but it doesn't
09:59:34

09:59:36

09:59:39
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A -- in this case, I'm pretty sure, knowing
09:59:39
what $I$ know about G20R, I did not use it.
Q Okay. How about G20P_DV?
A So I don't -- General Election 20
President underscore DV, Democrat vote, and
09:59:42
09:59:45
09:59:53
10:00:03
$10: 00: 08$
there's probably an $R V$ somewhere.
I mentioned that the recent presidential
election was one of the data points that $I$ had
open on the screen at some time. I don't remember
if it was this specific format or if it was in
a -- it looks like it's raw votes, and I think
mine was a percentage.
Q Okay. Got you. By the way, are you able
to see these columns okay? They look a little bit
blurry on my end, but $I$ don't know about how it
looks for you.
A They're very blurry, and $I$ have my reading
glasses on --
Q Right.
A -- to help me, and they're still --
Q Sorry. I'm trying to --
A No, that's okay.
Q -- make this relatively visible.
A You're doing the best you can from
500 miles away or wherever you are.
10:00:09
10:00:15
$10: 00: 17$
10:00:19
10:00:27
$10: 00: 33$
10:00:34
10:00:39
10:00:42
10:00:45
$10: 00: 45$
10:00:49
10:00:49
$10: 00: 50$
$10: 00: 53$
$10: 00: 55$
$10: 00: 56$
10:00:58
$10: 01: 00$
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Q Right. Exactly. So -- I think -- so we do have a sort of -- so we do have a backup spreadsheet that we can look at if it -- if it gets too blurry, so we'll -- we'll --

10:01:03

10:01:07

10:01:10
10:01:13

10:01:16

10:01:18

10:01:19

10:01:21

10:01:23

10:01:28
10:01:38

10:01:39

10:01:39

10:01:43

10:01:44
10:01:54

10:02:04

10:02:04

10:02:07

10:02:11

10:02:14
$10: 02: 18$
$10: 02: 26$

10:02:29

10:02:39
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haven't done the calculations in my head, even
though I love numbers. I assume that's Republican
vote plus Democratic vote equals TV, total vote.
Q Okay. Got you.
A But, again, not something that $I$-- you
know, I'm just identifying these for you. Maybe
that's helpful for you or not. I didn't have
these on my display window.
Q Understood. Understood.
Why don't we scroll down to Page 3 on this
PDF.
Let's see how this goes. All right.
So I'm going to represent to you this is
from the same file. We just scrolled over a
little bit, all right? So this is just data a
little bit further down.
Let's start over here on EA51_DV. Would
you be able to tell us what that is?
MR. McGUIRE: I'm going to object at this
point. Is this -- are you asking -- I think he's
testified this wasn't the data that he had up in
front of him. And if you're asking him to
speculate and guess as to what certain initials
and numbers may mean, $I$ guess let's be clear about that.
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I mean, I think he's told you he doesn't -- this isn't what he worked with specifically. I mean --

MR. KLEIN: Right. Okay. So I'll -- so I will ask separately if it's something that you could see. I'm -- I simply am representing here that this is data that came from within the file, and I'm asking Mr. DiRossi whether he knows what this stands for.

BY MR. KLEIN:

Q And if you are unsure, you can simply say that you're unsure. But that is -- that is what this is here. I will ask specifically if I ask -if it was visible.

So on that note, we were on EA51 --
actually, let's move over a little bit. EA51_DV, would you be able to tell us what that is?

A Well, obviously it's a Democrat vote raw number of some calculation of the previous data. I can't recall what that is. I don't believe it's any -- I know it's nothing I ever used for the congressional, and it doesn't look very familiar, like it was used in the legislative.

Q Okay. I think I may -- I think for my own benefit, I'm going to pull up Tab 2, because I'm
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actually having a lot of trouble seeing this. It looked fine on my end. But $I$ think when it opens up in here, it gets a little bit grainy.

MR. KLEIN: So could we pull up Tab 2 and
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at.

But $I$ cannot recall what -- EA51 and EA52,
what subset of election results those were looking at.

Q Okay. Got you.
A But I guess to answer your second
question, not used in congressional. This is just
holdover from legislative stuff, the vast majority of this stuff is.

Q Okay. Got you. So this is the same thing here, RV, Republican vote, TV, total vote, correct?

All right. How about "POPULATION" here?
A Yeah, now population was one -- population was one of the factors that $I$ had in my display window, yes.

Q So -- and just to confirm, that is the population for each district, the -- correct?

A It appears to be, right. On my screen, I would always be able to see the district numbers because $I$ only had a few columns. You know, here we're looking at --

Q Right.
A -- we're into Column BM, which is, you
know, probably like, you know, 40 -- the 40 th
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column or 35 th column. I never had anywhere near that, obviously.

So it -- but, yes, those are the
population numbers, and $I$ assume they are
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Q How about this one here? It also looks like it may have been cut off. But "DISTRICT_N," any sense?

A You know, I'm just thinking as I'm looking
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talked about in the last deposition -- right? -so this is a partisan index representing all statewide elections, 2016 through 2020; is that what you were saying?
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category of data, is it always a partisan index, as you've seen it?

A Well, I think everything we're looking at
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have some counties that have 14-, 15,000 people in them, and just for reference point, I know in Franklin County we have some wards of the City of Columbus that have over 20,000 people in them. So when you're creating a district or putting together a district to see if it balances on population and primarily in a rural area -- and I'm using the building blocks of a district to be whole counties -- this is -- this is where I'm focused on population, and all this other stuff is probably turned off so $I$ can just focus on the population.

Q All right. So -- so you were -- so do I understand correctly the times that you had this off, those were the instances where you were focusing on population considerations, right?

A Well, I just want to be clear. I mean, sometimes $I$ had it on and didn't use it. And when I had it off, obviously $I$ wasn't using it. But just because it was on doesn't mean $I$ was using it.

Q Right.
A So, I mean, look, this is one of the
pieces of information that was in my display window. It's there because it was there for the
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legislative process, but this wasn't the one -especially as you and I have started to talk about the competitive districts that are in the map that
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Q Okay. Right. Okay.
Let's go one over to the right, F_G20P_RV.
Do you know what that stands for?
A Well, we -- you had previously shown me
G20P_RV, which I, you know, guessed was the
general election of 20 presidential Republican
vote raw number.
I don't know what the $F$ underscore means,
but I do -- I'm smart enough to see this looks
like it's in a percentage number. But I don't
know, and this wasn't something that -- this
wasn't something that $I$ had open or had acc- -- or had used.

Q Okay. So this was -- this was not a column that was visible in your data view, correct?

A Well, you're showing me F_G20P_RV. I did not have that column, but I did mention to you that I -- earlier that I had have the Biden percentage and Trump percentage for the most
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recent federal presidential election in my display window.

Q Got you. Okay.
What about E_EA51_RV?
A Yeah, these ones that have these prefixes,
I have no idea what they are. I mean, we
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column is.
A So, as we talked earlier about federal
elections -- using federal elections to produce a
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technical before, hold on -- hold on to your hats.
So the previous column that you showed me,
FEDEA, which is Column BX as I'm looking at it on
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question, just so $I$ have it on the record.
A Oh, sorry.
Q So --
A My apologies.
Q So we are talking about MULTIPLE_F. You
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into some blank --
A Oh, there you go. Perfect.
Okay. MULTIPLE_F, yeah I -- I mean, it
looks like some type of replication of a deviation
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A No, I didn't have anywhere near that many columns.

Q Okay. So you could -- so when you were using these, you could see both at the same time -- or sorry --

A If -- if they were on.
Q Right. Okay. So if they were on. Right.
That was what $I$ was going to clarify.
So just to, you know, put this question
together -- so if these were on, you could see
them both at the same time, correct?
A If they were on and $I$ was looking for them
and using them. I mean, they -- like I said, they
could have been on and $I$ wasn't using them --
Q Okay.
A -- which happens --
Q Yeah. So let's go through and take a look at these specific values in here.

So you had mentioned that -- for FEDEA,
we've got a value of 56.7 in District 7 .
So that is -- and then over in EA53, we've
got -- we've got 57.8. So does that mean that the
Republican -- does this mean that the average vote
share for $F E D E A$ for Republicans is lower in
District 7 than it would be under EA53?
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A Well, that's hard to say because they are using different -- they are using different races to produce those things. And you're asking me to draw a conclusion of what the -- the number is going forward.

I mean, these are all estimations -- past
estimations of past elections. And they are all
very imprecise at predicting the future for so
many different reasons. It's just the best we have, but they --

Q I'm not asking questions --
A -- use different election results to come
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numbers, and then to compare them like that is not something that $I$ would do.

Q Right. So I -- simply what I'm asking --
I think it's two questions -- right? -- so of
course 57.8 is higher than 56.7 , but it is what
these two numbers are telling us, right? What
does 56.7 mean in the context of FEDEA?
A That's your question to me?
Q Yes.
A Okay. What does -- let me make sure I understand that.

What does 56.7 mean in relation to FEDEA?
Q Right.
A Okay. So I guess I would say that is using ten years of federal election results that we previously discussed for President of the United States and a U.S. Senator to Congress, that a score for a generic Republican or a generic Democrat would be about 56.7 percent of the vote, I guess is the best way I can answer your question.

Q All right. So that means -- so that under this index, under FEDEA, a generic Republican performs worse than the generic Republican would perform under EA53 for District 7, correct?
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A Again, I -- I guess I repeat what I said before. I -- if you're asking me about the numbers, obviously 57.8 is a higher number than 56.7 numerically. But $I$ don't feel it's appropriate to compare those two numbers because they're using very different -- one is a six-year lookback, one is a ten-year lookback, one is federal elections only, which $I$ think is the most appropriate one, and one is federal and state. And so I wouldn't compare to then make a conclusion going forward. That's -- that's beyond my capability.

Q All right. So I'll give you a moment to take a look at each of these districts.

Does it look like there are any -- how many instances are there where FEDEA is higher than EA53, the value in FEDEA is higher than EA53?

A Okay. Well, if you're asking me just to look at the numbers and tell me which is greater than or less than, I can do that because I know basic math. I see -- I see at least one or two -I think at least one that the FEDEA is higher, but, again, I don't feel that's appropriate to cast a judgment on going forward.

Q Okay.
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A I just don't think -- I think you're
comparing apples and oranges.
And, I mean, just to point out, even
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| 66 and 67, EA53 is higher -- | 10:31:05 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Q Okay. The same question -- | 10:31:08 |
| A -- by one point. | 10:31:10 |
| Q Right. The same question but for | 10:31:11 |
| District 9. | 10:31:14 |
| A 47.7 and 50.3. 50.3 is higher than 47.7. | 10:31:15 |
| Q Okay. The same question for District 1. | 10:31:24 |
| A Yeah, E- -- well, obviously, as you can | 10:31:27 |
| see, FEDEA only goes to one decimal. | 10:31:32 |
| Q Right. | 10:31:34 |
| A Whereas, EA53 goes to five decimals. 51.5 | 10:31:34 |
| and basically 51.5, those are identical. | 10:31:39 |
| Q Right. This is a matter -- all right. | 10:31:45 |
| So -- | 10:31:47 |
| A I mean, if you want to say which one is | 10:31:48 |
| higher, I guess -- well, I mean, we're talking | 10:31:50 |
| about eight -- one -- ten thousandths of a | 10:31:52 |
| percent. They're identical. | 10:31:57 |
| Q Is it your understanding that the data for | 10:31:59 |
| FEDEA was rounded to the nearest tenths place? | 10:32:02 |
| A I believe that's what I did, yeah. I went | 10:32:06 |
| to one digit post decimal, and that's what I see | 10:32:12 |
| on the screen. | 10:32:15 |
| Q Okay. Thank you. | 10:32:15 |
| All right. We'll keep moving on for | 10:32:20 |
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District 8 .
A I mean, 62 and 62.4, I mean, I'd say those
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call it 53.9. I mean, those are two basis points post decimal difference, but technically, 53.88 is more than 53.7.

Q Okay. How about 5?
A 58.8 and 60.8 -- again, I'm rounding a
little bit with the five decimal points over
here -- that is about two points difference. So
pretty similar but, you know, two points. 60.8 is
two points higher than 58.8.

Q Okay. How about District 10?

A We've got 52.2 and 53.3 rounding down just
slightly. I mean, that's pretty -- pretty close.
1 point -- 1 points' difference, but the EA53 53.3
is mathematically higher.
Q Okay. How about 13?
A So we're comparing 48.6 and 49.2,
rounding. So now we're a differentiation of
about -- about a half a point. So almost similar
in my thought, but 49.23 is higher than 48.6 .
Q Okay. 12?
A Just thinking of the court reporter right now and probably pulling her hair out. Apologies.
61.3 is the FEDEA number, and it is being compared to 63.07, roughly 63.1. So you're about 1.8 points different.
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The EA53 number, 63.07 is a bigger
numerical value.
Q Okay. And how about 11?
A 19.4 and 19.8, these are less than a half
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Q Right. So did you generate any other Maptitude files while you were working on congressional maps other than the one draft?

A There -- I would create other ones that weren't full documents if $I$ needed to kind of do some mathematical analysis on an area, like whether or not a group of counties together would actually, you know, go together without exceeding or being too -- under the deviation.

And so I might pull those out, put them in another map, do those calculations and figure it out so $I$ wasn't working in the master map. And then if it worked, $I$ would put it in the master map. If it didn't, I would just get rid of it and -- because that didn't work.

Q Right. Okay. But -- so there weren't any other maps besides the one master map that you were working on with an intention for it to be presented?

A Well, there --
Q To the General Assembly.
A Well, the Senator McColley map, what I worked with him on, obviously generated a map file. The map that was ultimately enacted was a separate -- a separate file because it changed
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## significantly.

Q Right. Yeah. And we'll -- and we'll come to that in a little bit.

I think starting with that first draft
that you're talking about, that was the master
draft until it was presented, correct?
A Can you say that question again?
Q Sure thing.
So within that timeline before Senator
McColley presented a draft map, the master draft
you're describing, that was the only draft you were actually working on, correct?

A Well, I was asked to work with Senator
McColley. I think we talked about the timeline
before in late October -- you know, the last week of October. I had started getting organized and
started working in mid-October, $I$ think is what $I$ said, because I can't remember the exact dates.

So I had started working on something and, you know, getting organized and making sure I had everything that I needed to go forward. And then I was asked to work with Senator McColley, and
then that became my focus and I worked on Senate Bill 258.

Q Okay. And so -- and so is it correct that
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the House majority also presented a -- presented a map?

A I am aware that there was a map introduced
in the House I think by Senator Oelslager.
Q That's right --
A Former Senator Oel- -- former Senator
Oelslager. I'll now say Representative
Oelslager --
Q Right.
A -- apologies.
Q And did you have any -- did you play any
role in creating that map?
A No.
Q Okay. So you mentioned Senate Bill 258.
That's the -- that's the bill presented by Senator
McColley on November 3rd; is that right? That's the map?

A I don't recall the date of introduction.
Obviously it's part of our legislative General
Assembly record, but that sounds about right.
Q Yeah. Okay. And then you also mentioned that there was a second draft that was presented later on by Senator McColley; is that right?

A A second draft that was presented later
on? Is that -- can you say that again --
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Q Sorry. Let's back up. You had mentioned that you had created another Maptitude file for the version that was ultimately enacted, correct?

A Yes. Yes.
Q Right. And so it's -- that was -- that
was a separate draft from this initial Senate Bill 258 introduced in, let's say, early November?

A Yes.
Q Okay. Okay. Aside from those two drafts, were there any other drafts you worked on that were presented to the General Assembly?

A Well, again, just -- you say "worked on." I mean, I had -- on my computer I had the Senate Democrat map that $I$ was, you know, looking at and trying to understand. I didn't work on it to create it or help produce it, but I had it.

Q Okay.
A The same thing with the House Democrat proposal that was offered. I had that file, I was looking at it, analyzing it, trying to understand it, but $I$ did not do any work to create it or help produce it.

Q Okay. So then turning to the original Senate Bill 258, the map presented in early November, do you recall when you started work on
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this specific draft?
A I remember -- don't hold me to the exact date, but $I$ think it was October 25 th or 26 th is when I had my first phone call with Senator McColley that he had been directed to work with me to produce a bill to be introduced.

Q Okay. So following that time, was it
Senator McColley who primarily supervised your work?

A Yeah, he -- he directed me. He did not have access to Maptitude. So without -- you know, he -- it was his bill, his name was on it, he was in charge.

Q Okay. And so you -- so you were -- so after that time, when did you send to Senator McColley a draft of the map?

A I don't recall ever sending him a copy of it. I met with him in his Senate office and showed him a visual representation of his direction.

Q Okay. And do you recall when that meeting was?

A Well, it was sometime after October 25 th and November 3rd because you said that's when it was introduced. So it was sometime in that six,
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seven, eight days.
Q Do you think --
A It was -- it was earlier than that,
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Don't answer that question.
MR. KLEIN: Okay. I'll say on the record
that we disagree with assertion of privilege under
Ohio law, but that, you know, even -- even
accepting arguendo that that privilege is
applicable, it would not apply to who was in the
conversation and when the conversation took place
and where the conversation took place.
So not inquiring as to the substance of
this conversation, but, rather, asking if you had
discussed the draft map with anyone besides
Senator McColley before it was introduced.
MR. McGUIRE: Okay. That -- that, he can
answer.
BY THE WITNESS:

A Senator McColley's name was on the bill,
he was in charge, but $I$ also did speak to
President Huffman about the draft.
BY MR. KLEIN:
Q Okay. Do you recall when you spoke to
President Huffman.
A The same time frame, October 25 th or $26 t h$ is when $I$ was given direction to work with Senator McColley. The days between the 25 th and the date you said the bill was introduced on November 3rd
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would have been -- all these conversations would have happened in that time frame.

Q Okay. Do you recall speaking with anybody else about the draft map prior to its introduction?

A I would have told Blake that we were doing this, but never discussing the substance of it, so that he would be aware. The Chief of Staff of the Senate, I'm sure, would have -- I would have made the Chief of Staff aware that $I$ was working on this.

Q Okay. So you had -- so --
A And obviously -- and obviously in -- I apologize for cutting you off. But in-house counsel would have been aware of it as well.

Q Okay. All right. So you said you spoke to President Huffman. Was it more than once in this time period between October 25 th and when the map was introduced?

A I can't recall. I remember it being a very fast process from the first instruction to work with Senator McColley to introduction, and it was -- it was an all-time-consuming effort. And I cannot recall how many times I would have interacted with the President during that window
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about it.
Q Okay. Did you think it was more than
once?

A It could have been, but if it was once, it
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A I cannot recall specifically showing it to any of the other people I mentioned. It's possible, but $I$ cannot -- I cannot recall that.

Q So your recollection is, prior to the draft map's introduction, you showed the draft map to Senate President Huffman and Senator McColley, correct?

A Yes. Yes. Those are the two I can
recall. And it was --

Q Okay.
A -- it was a very chaotic time. It --
right -- you -- what was the -- can you -- the time frame you're talking about again --

Q Right. So from when you were told to work with Senator McColley on the map --

A Okay.
Q -- and when the map was presented, that's
the -- that's that time frame. Does that change your answer at all?

A Well, that's the -- you said from the time
I was instructed, which, in my mind, is that
October 25 th/26th day. To when?
Q To --
A Help me understand to when.
Q So to when the map was introduced --
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A Okay.
Q -- in early November.
A Okay. Well, that's very broad. So, I mean, technically, I -- because I'm pretty sure
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introduction with the pro- -- the committee
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counsel knew the map was being drafted or put -the bill was being drafted.

Obviously LSC, who would have been doing the drafting for us, we would have provided a visual of the map to LSC to help them with their preparation of the LSC analysis.

I would have mentioned to Blake that we were doing this, and $I$ think he reciprocated that they were doing something similar on their side.

I cannot recall if $I$ showed him a physical
copy of the map as a courtesy prior to its
introduction, but that is possible.
Those are the -- that's the -- again,
we're talking about a very chaotic six-day period,
seven-day period here. That's what I can
recall --
Q Okay.
A -- right now.
Q Okay. Did you -- prior to the draft map's introduction, did you share a draft of the map with any Democratic elected officials in the Ohio General Assembly?

A So that would not be for me to do. Did I do that? No. But that would not have been part of my job duties. That was communication between
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the President and the other leaders of the
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MR. McGUIRE: All right. 3. Thank you.
BY MR. KLEIN:
Q And we have an email here. I am
representing to you that you -- or, rather, that
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you said "introduced," I should have corrected you that the introduced version is technically the placeholder. The substitute bill that did happen
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of the sub bill.
This would, in words, show and say what units of geography in the state are assigned to what congressional districts. You --

Q Okay.
A -- would not be able to look at this draft
and visually see districts. This is the legal wording of the districts.

Q Okay. And who is this email sent to?
A Theresea Varrasso.
Q Okay. And what is her position?
A I believe she is a legislative aide with one of our office -- she's a legislative aide -senior legislative aide for one of our Senate offices.

Q Okay. Do you have a sense of which Senate office that is?

A I think it is in Senator Gavarone's office, but $I$ could be mistaken.

Q And you write in the email here, in the first line, "Embargoed until further notice."

What do you mean by "embargoed" here?
A There was -- it's been the process of most of our committees to distribute information to the committee members, both the Republican members,
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the Democrat members, members of the press corps, members of the public in a unified way so that everybody gets the information at the same time. And I was giving her this draft on 10:59:45
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So what you're
representing in this
that the senators that
made the decision not
that moment, correct?
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A Yeah, if by second sub bill you mean the one that was -- ultimately ended up being adopted after it was amended into Senate Bill 258; is that --

Q Right.
A -- what you're referring?
Q Right.
A Make sure we are on the same page. The second sub bill, yes, I worked on that.

Q Right. Okay. And so was this plan based on the first sub bill that was introduced, or did you more or less start from scratch?

A Well, it's -- you know, think of all the things that had happened since the -- not only before the first Senate bill of -- the first sub bill of Senate Bill 258 was introduced, but there was also a proposal in legislative form from the Senate Democrats that had been amended and refined. There was a proposal from the House Democrats that had been introduced and was before the legislature. There was a map that was produced by the House Republicans, which you alluded to earlier.

There was a tremendous amount of public testimony that had happened in these weeks that
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we're talking about, public testimony before Senate standing committees, House standing committees, joint -- joint committees. Editorials were writing all kinds of editorials about what they thought the district should look like. And citizens of the state were, you know, talking about what they wanted to the map to look like.

And so the map that was ultimately adopted is the attempt to have, you know, all of -- a lot of that feedback and all of that feedback put into one map.

Q Okay. And when did you start work on the second substitute bill map?

A Well, I don't know if $I$ could put a
timeline on that. I mean, as -- as we've talked about, I started working on stuff in mid-October, but then everything was really put on hold to work on Senate Bill 258 with Senator McColley. And then once that bill had been introduced and all the other hearings were happening, sometime in early November, we were trying to take all of these other proposals and feedbacks that we were getting, that you and I just talked about, into one map.

So that would have happened sometime in
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early November.
Q Okay. So did you start work on this draft after this first draft map was introduced?

A Well, that's why it's hard to say this specific draft of this specific map because it's such a collection of all of these different pieces of other maps and public testimony and other things that, you know, we're incorporating into here.

And each one of those things has their own
distinct timeline. So I -- it's hard to say that
there was, like, a day that this map started to be
worked on. I can't -- I can't give that. It's a
collection of all these other -- other proposals
and feedbacks.

Q Right. So is it possible that you had started work on this map before that first substitute bill was introduced?

A Well, clearly there are some things -- and we can certainly go through them -- that happened through the public testimony process where districts as drawn by the Senate Democrat and/or House Democrat proposals that happened after I started working on getting organized in mid-October.
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So clearly the answer would be it -- with regards to those, no, that didn't happen until obviously those were made public.

Yeah, a number of other things, editorials from local newspapers, other community leaders were sending letters to the presidents that I saw asking for districts to be changed.

So all of this is all happening
simultaneously, but it was really -- you know,
late October, early November is when all that
stuff was really -- really happening leading up to
the enactment of 258 in mid-November.
Q Right. But the actual Maptitude file that contained this second draft map, did you create it after this first draft bill was introduced?

A That -- yeah, that file that became Senate Bill 258 probably was the -- one of the drafts of where the Senator McColley Senate Bill 258 ended.

And I probably copied that and then started putting all this stuff together.

So the exact date that that file was created is -- I have no idea.

Q All right. Do you have any reason to believe you did not start this file prior to the first draft map's introduction?
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A Again, I mean, you're asking about
starting the file and, you know, in my mind that's very different than the actual substance of the proposal that was enacted.

I know there were changes that were being made to this map as late as November 13 th or 14 th. I'm trying to -- you know, we had a very stringent process of going through and trying to make sure that we were minimizing splits to jurisdictions.

So the map was changing, you know, almost
until the very last minute. So, you know, those things certainly didn't happen before Senate Bill 258 was introduced; they happened well at the end of the process.

I'm trying to answer your question. I
hope I have.
Q Right. I'm just trying to -- trying to
get a sense of whether a file was created prior to
November 3rd -- or prior to the introduction of the draft map.

A Well, I -- as we spoke before, I created a file before $I$ was tasked to work with Senator

McColley, but $I$-- I can't remember if -- I think
I used Senate Bill 258 as Senator McColley last amended it as the basis technically of the file.
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```
            But, again, that's a very technical of,
    like, "the file." It's a --
    Q Right. It was --
    A -- the substance of it that's important
```

    was coming from all of these other sources and all
    of these other things throughout this timeline.
    Q So were there two separate files between
    that first and second draft?
    A Help me -- so you're talking about Senate
    Bill 258?
    Q Mm-hm.
    A And what -- and when you say "first and
    second draft," let's make sure --
    Q First --
    A -- I don't make the same mistakes I did --
    Q Right.
    A -- before.
        What you were referring to?
    Q Right. So the first draft that became the
    first substitute bill for Senate Bill 258
    introduced in early November, right? And then the
    second draft being the draft map introduced later
    on that was ultimately adopted.
    A Okay. Yeah, I -- my guess -- are you --
    so the question is -- now I understand. Now,
    
## Transcript of Raymond DiRossi

Conducted on December 7, 2021
what's the question?
Q Right. So were there two separate files
as between those two drafts?
A Yes, I think there were two separate
files, right. Senate Bill 258 as Senator McColley
wanted it is a file, and then the enacted map is a separate file.

Q Right. And then -- yeah. So now that we
understood -- right? -- it's this separate file.
That second file, first, was that created later in
time than the file for the first draft of the map?
A Yeah, I understand your question. I don't
know. I mean, I don't know if I copied the final
Senator McColley 258 and then used that as the
file or if $I$ used something else or I started with
blank and started to put all these pieces
together. I don't recall.
Q Right. But had you made any -- strike
that.
But in terms of the subjective work on the second draft, is it your testimony that that all took place after this first draft of the map was introduced?

A I don't know if $I$ would say all of it.
I -- obviously there was a -- I'm happy to go
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through them. There were a lot of things from public testimony and other maps that were before the General Assembly that were taken and put into the final enacted Senate Bill 258.

But I wouldn't sit here and say everything
from it happened post the introduction of Senate Bill 258.

Q Okay. Right. So there was some
substantive work that happened for this second
draft prior to the introduction of that first draft?

A I'm saying -- I'm saying there could
have -- there could have been, yeah. I'm -- yeah, I'm saying there could have been.

Q There could have been. Okay. Okay.
A But as you know, when you change one district and they're all balanced to the person, it's like throwing a rock in the middle of the pond and, you know, you get these ripple effects and you have to change a lot when you make one change --

Q Okay.
A -- and so it's prob- -- I think it would be fair to say every district changed in some way probably several times through this process.
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Q Right. Did anyone else work with you in drawing this second draft map besides Senator McColley?

A President Huffman. I had a few
conversations with President Huffman about the --
the final map as it was being put together.
Q Is there anybody else?
A Let me think for a minute and go through
that.
So at what level are you -- when you say
"work with," what -- can you expound on that a
little bit to help me understand?
Q Right. Let's say -- let's -- well, we'll
sort of start at -- we'll start with did you have
conversations with anyone besides Senators
McColley and Senate President Huffman about the --
about this revised plan?
A Yes.
Q Yeah. And also just to be clear, we're talking about a time period prior to when it was actually enacted.

Okay. So who did you have conversations
with during that time period?
A So conversations about post -- let me just
make sure $I$ understand before $I$ give you an
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answer.

Q $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hm}$.
A Post the introduction of Senate Bill 258.

Q $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hm}$.

A Before obviously the enacted map was enacted.

Q Yeah, well, let's say -- because, you
know -- because we're not certain when work on the second draft started, let's say between late October and when the map was voted up by the

General Assembly -- right? -- who did you have conversations with regarding this second draft map?

A Now "conversations with," I mean, that's very broad. Are you intentionally -- I mean, anybody I talk to about anything about the map, including the logistics and all that stuff, or are you talking about the substance of what it was going to look like, what concepts we were going to borrow from the Senate Democrat and put into this. Help me understand.

Q Well, let's -- why don't we talk about substance first. Who did you have substantive conversations with regarding this second draft map between -- in the time between late October and
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when the plan was voted up by the General
Assembly?
A Senator Huffman -- President Huffman and

Senator McColley would be the --
Q Okay.
A -- two that would fit that substantive
conversations.

Q Did you speak to anybody else?
A Speak to anybody else? The
same substantive --
Q Sorry. Yeah, right.
A -- qualifiers?
Q Did you -- yeah, did you speak to anyone
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Q All right. So this is, once again, asking not about the substance of the conversation -- or I guess, you know, we'll -- okay. We'll take out the substantive qualifier, all right. We're not asking about the substance; simply the who. Did you have any discussions with John

Morgan regarding -- regarding this second draft plan?

MR. McGUIRE: We're going to raise the
legislative objection -- legislative privilege objection as the identity of folks outside of the General Assembly with whom conversations were had would reflect the deliberative and communicative processes of the General Assembly.

Don't answer that, please.
BY MR. KLEIN:

Q We may circle back to this in a bit, but we'll move on.

Any -- so anyone else you had substantive conversations with regarding the map -- regarding the second draft map?

A So, again, not to try to qualify every word you're saying, but I'm trying to be responsive to your question. Substantive conversations, I mean, help -- help me understand
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what that means. Because the people who I spoke to that had the potential to change the boundaries we've talked about.

Q Okay. Okay.
A I -- if -- you know, logistics, timelines,
things like that. If you want to go through
those, happy to do it.
Q Okay.
A But in my mind, I wouldn't call those
substantive. They may be about a substantive
issue of the bill, but they weren't substantive.
So --
Q Well --
A -- I hope you understand this.
Q Right. No, I'm -- understood. Yeah,
I'm -- I'm talking specifically about, you know, where district lines might be drawn, the impacts of drawing those lines those ways, whether it comes to population or any other redistrict considerations.

A So I don't want to run afoul of anything, but I -- Blake -- at the end, Blake Springhetti would fall under that category.

Q Okay. So you did speak to Blake Springhetti regarding this second draft plan,
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correct?
A At the end -- near the end of the process, yes.

Q Okay. And did he play any role in
creating this plan?
A I mean, "play any role"? The -- I would
say -- I would say no.
Q Okay. Did he ever give any input on the draft map?

A Well, as I -- as I mentioned -- yes, input
and commentary at the meeting that $I$ referenced to you on November 9th, yes.

Q Okay.
A But as $I$ have mentioned to you before,
there was a lot of time and effort that we wanted
to put into -- once we were, you know, working
together -- because he was working on his map with
Representative Oelslager, and I was working on the Senate map with Senator McColley.

Once the leaders had decided on the decisions of the map, we took great care and great process to go through the map at a very fine level to make sure we weren't inadvertently splitting a township or a city that we didn't intend to do.

And through that process that Blake was
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intimately involved in, we were able to find
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lunch break.

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 11:20. We are going off the record.
(WHEREUPON, a recess was had.)
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 11:59. We
are on the record.
MR. McGUIRE: If I could just interject
before the questioning continues very briefly.
During the break, after further discussion and consideration, we would -- we are going to
withdraw our objection to the question posed to
Mr. DiRossi "Did you speak with John Morgan in the" -- whatever -- "in the process of the map making?" But we are going to object to it on the grounds of attorney-client privilege and work product.

Mr. Morgan was retained by outside counsel
for the Speaker and the President, and it is our position that who the outside counsel choose to have any of its witnesses and/or clients, in this case, speak with, a nontestifying consultant -- a person in a nontestifying consultant position, that that reflects the mental impressions of the attorneys and the outside counsel and that that is work product, and we're going to instruct him not
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to answer on that basis.
I just wanted to clarify that.
MR. KLEIN: Okay. Thank you.
BY MR. KLEIN:
Q So what I -- so then let me rephrase the
question slightly. I'm not asking you about any
conversations you've had related to this
litigation. I am simply asking about any
conversations about the map that was ultimately
enacted or the original draft presented to the
General Assembly.
With that caveat, did you have any
conversations with John Morgan concerning
congressional redistricting in Ohio?
MR. McGUIRE: We're going to object on the
basis of attorney-client privilege and attorney
work product and the -- it was well anticipated by
the Speaker and the President, given the public
statements of various groups and simply the way
this -- everyone inevitably knew this would play
out that at the time Mr . Morgan was retained,
there was an anticipation of litigation involving
the redistricting congressional map.
And, again, who -- who outside counsel
chose to have their witnesses speak to, which
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nontestifying consultants or experts, reflects the mental impressions of counsel and is work product privilege.

So we're going to -- do not answer that question.

THE WITNESS: All right.
MR. KLEIN: Just for the record, I'm going
to -- I'm going to note that we dispute that
objection. We do not believe work product or
attorney-client is so capacious as to include
those matters, but we're noting that for the record and we're moving on.

BY MR. KLEIN:
Q And so, Mr. DiRossi, coming back, I think
we covered this to some extent, but just to recap, who did you report to when you were working on
congressional maps for this cycle?
A Well, I am a staff person, so I am always reportable to the President, the Senate, and the Chief of Staff. When I was working with Senator McColley, I was -- it was his bill. I was reporting directly to him.

Q Okay. So is it fair to say that Senator McColley was supervising your work on congressional maps this cycle?
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A I don't know if there -- that has -supervising has some type of significance to it. I was reporting to him. That's the best I can tell you.

Q Okay. So if you were to report to someone on the progress of your work on the maps, would it be Senator McColley?

A Yes.
Q And how did you report the progress of your work on draft congressional maps to Senator McColley?

A Well -- and, again, the progress of my
work was implementing his directions. So, you
know, it -- he was the one -- his bill -- Senate
Bill 258 has his name on it. He's telling me what
counties -- you know, I'm just helping him with
the technical aspects and helping make sure the districts are balanced out.

MR. McGUIRE: I think the question from
Mr. Klein was how, by what methods were you
communicating with -- I think, with Mr. -- with
Senator McColley about the progress of the maps, email, conversations, texts, et cetera. I think that was the question.

MR. KLEIN: Yeah, that is.

12:02:43
12:02:47
12:02:50
12:02:52

12:02:52

12:02:54

12:02:57

12:03:02

12:03:05
12:03:07
12:03:13
12:03:13
12:03:16

12:03:20
12:03:25

12:03:28
12:03:30

12:03:33

12:03:34
$12: 03: 36$
12:03:38

12:03:42
12:03:44

12:03:47
12:03:48

## Transcript of Raymond DiRossi

Conducted on December 7, 2021

BY MR. KLEIN:
Q So we can kind of run through. So did you
send Senator McColley written reports of some sort
on -- on how work was going on the congressional
maps?
A No.

Q Did you send him emails?
A None that $I$ can recall. I don't believe
so.

Q Okay. Texts?
A I -- you know, as we discussed earlier, I
would have sent him text messages about logistics,
like if you're available for a phone call later
and so forth, and that would be the --
Q Okay.
A -- extent of that.

Q Yeah. So based on that, I have a sense
that you gave him reports of how progress was going over the phone?

A In person. I mean, I met with him in his office, as we discussed numerous times.

Q Right. And so was that always the way
that you reported on progress of the congressional maps?

A Well, phone -- phone and in person.

12:03:49

12:03:49

12:03:52
$12: 03: 57$

12:04:04

12:04:04

12:04:04

12:04:10

12:04:12

12:04:12

12:04:13
$12: 04: 16$
12:04:19

12:04:22

12:04:27

12:04:28

12:04:29

12:04:31

12:04:33

12:04:34
12:04:37

12:04:40

12:04:42

12:04:45
12:04:45

## Transcript of Raymond DiRossi

Conducted on December 7, 2021

Q Okay. Did he ever visit your office to see how congressional maps were coming along?

A Which office are you referring to? Sorry.
Q Right. So the -- so I am referring to the
office that you referenced earlier where you had a computer that had Maptitude loaded up.

A No.
Q Okay. So he never visited you there. So
did McColley ever have a chance to look at your Maptitude screen?

A No.
Q Okay. So did you ever get a chance to present a draft map to Senator McColley before it was introduced?

A Well, again, what is -- present -- present
a draft map? I mean, I was implementing his
direction. So obviously --
Q Okay.
A -- he saw it before it was introduced
under his name.
Q Okay. So how did he see it? How did you show him the map?

A I would have showed him a physical printed copy of the map.

Q Okay. And what did -- and what did that
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## printed copy look like?

A I mean, it was -- well, it's very similar
to the 8 -and-a-half-by-11 copies that we have now,
although I think it might have been larger. Just
it was a graphical representation of the districts
as his direction was dictating.
Q Okay. So this graphical representation,
did it show where district lines were?
A Well, as all of the maps that have been
produced, the districts are different colors.
Q Right.
A So --
Q Okay.
A -- I mean, that would show where a
district line would be.
Q And --
A A district border. A district border.
Q Yeah. Did it show any data beyond the map
that was publicly released with the bill, which I
believe you -- I believe you have in front of you,
correct?
A If you're referring to Senate Bill 258 as
Senator McColley --
Q $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hm}$.
A -- directed it, I do not have that in
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front of me.
Q Okay. But in terms of the data that is --
so just in terms of the data that is on it from where -- you know, what sort of lines are actually
visible, is it anything beyond just a map of Ohio
and basic district lines with numbers
superimposed?
A No, that's it. You nailed it. And the
numbers superimposed are the district numbers --
Q Right. Okay.
A -- yeah.
Q All right. And you said that you received instructions from Senator McColley; is that right?

A Yes.
Q All right. Did Senator McColley instruct
you to create maps that were in compliance with Article 19 of the Ohio constitution?

A Yes, he wanted -- he definitely wanted to
make sure that we followed any provisions of the constitution.

Q Okay. Were you instructed to draw compact districts?

MR. McGUIRE: I'm going to object on the
basis of legislative privilege to specific
instructions given by Senator McColley or any
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other member of the General Assembly to
Mr. DiRossi regarding how to -- what to draw into the maps.

MR. KLEIN: Okay. I will note our dispute
of that objection.
I'll also note that this portion is
incomplete since we've asked the one question of
whether it complied with the constitution but have
not discussed any further instructions and,
therefore, we have an incomplete picture of what
instructions Mr. DiRossi was actually given. But
noting that objection and disputing it, we'll move
on.
BY MR. KLEIN:
Q Did Senator McColley give you a deadline for the first drafts of the map?

A Good question. Not -- not $I$ can recall.
I don't -- I don't remember -- I don't -- I can't recall that.

Q Okay. And you said -- strike that.
I believe it was your testimony that you
sent a draft map over to Senator McColley sometime
in late October/early November, correct?
A Yeah, I'm not -- yeah. Well, October 25th
or 26 th is when $I$ was directed to work with him.
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So sometime after that.
Q Okay. And so why did you send the map
over to him when you did; was it just a matter of
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finished product.
So, I mean, I guess I took that as some
deadline, but $I$ don't recall him ever directing me on dates.

Q Okay. Were you ever instructed to prepare
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Q Sorry. Do you recall when that
conversation took place?
A I think it was November 10th -- 10th or 11th.

Q Okay. And who was present for that
conversation?
A The President and the Speaker, the
Governor, the -- myself, Blake from the House.
Q Okay.
A And the two Chiefs of Staff --
Q Okay.
A -- are the people $I$ can recall.
Q So actually, let's -- let's actually back
up a little to the month of October -- or
actually, let's say -- actually, strike that.
Let's back up to before November 1st.
Prior to November 1st, did you have any
discussions with any member of the Ohio
Redistricting Commission concerning congressional
maps, setting aside President Huffman and Speaker
Cupp?
A You said prior to November 1st?
Q Correct.
A No.
Q Okay. So speaking generally -- actually,
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strike that.
Did you ever communicate with any
Democratic members with the state senate about
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Q Okay. Have you attended any trainings on redistricting in the last ten years?

A How I understand trainings, no.
Q Okay. Any sort of event, either online or
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stand for, NCSL?
A That's the National Conference of State
Legislatures.
Q Okay. And any other trainings aside from
that?
A No.
Q Okay. Any sort of event hosted by any
organization other than the Ohio Redistricting
Commission and the General Assembly where
redistricting was discussed?
A Were there any other things or that I
attended?
Q Yeah, that you attended, the same question, in the last ten years.

A So put it all together for me. Say it again, please.

Q So in the last ten years, have you
attended any events where redistricting was discussed other than those hosted by the General

Assembly or the Ohio Redistricting Commission?
A In the last ten years, huh? None that
come to mind right now.
Q Okay. Setting aside Senator McColley,
Senate President Huffman, Speaker Cupp, did you speak any incumbent legislators, whether state or
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federal, about the map?
A About the -- about the congressional map,
about --
Q Yes.
A -- the lines, you mean?
Q $\mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hm}$.
A In what time period are you talking about?
Q Right. Okay. We'll clarify.
So in the time between when you started
working on congressional maps, this cycle, and
when they were approved by the General Assembly,
when the map was approved by the General Assembly,
did you speak to any incumbent legislators about
congressional maps?
A Incumbent legislators about congressional
maps.
Q And, once again, setting aside the people
that we already discussed: Speaker Cupp and
President Huffman and Senator McColley.
A Yeah, I'm just trying to keep all the
qualifiers straight and see -- I apologize. Can
you say it -- say it again?
Q Sure.
I can actually break it down --
A Great.
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Q -- a little bit.
So setting aside President Huffman,
Speaker Cupp, and Senator McColley, after
October 1st, 2021, did you speak to any incumbent
legislators about the congressional maps?
A October 1st. Incumbent legislators,
October 1st. And when you say "about the
congressional maps," you mean --
Q Right.
A -- the substantive --
Q Let's -- let's just say congressional
redistricting generally.
A Well, there was obviously legislators who
were involved in the committee process. So there
would have been conversations with them about the
logistics of producing documents for distribution.
We kind of touched on that a little earlier in the
Senate committee. I don't -- so I guess I would say them.

I -- I'm trying to recall specifically
conversations or -- I'm not just guessing.
Q Maybe I can clarify just a little bit
more.
A Sure. Thank you.
Q Did you speak -- so following October 1st,
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did you speak to any members of the U.S. Congress
about congressional redistricting?
A About the -- how lines were going to look?
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to make the question a little bit more --
A Yes.

Q -- you know, manageable.
A There were some inquiries from members of
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A It says from Ray DiRossi.
Q Right.
MR. KLEIN: So can we pull up Tab 14.
THE TECHNICIAN: This was Tab 14.
MR. KLEIN: Sorry. Right. I meant to say
Tab 15. Apologies. And I'd also like to mark
that as an exhibit.
(WHEREUPON, DiRossi Exhibit No. 5 was
presented to the witness.)
BY MR. KLEIN:

Q So I'm going to represent to you that this
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Q It would have been you. Okay.
And did you send this over to Senator
McColley?
A You know, I don't recall doing it, but if
the previous thing you showed me is the email and this is one of the attachments --

Q All right.
A -- then $I$ would have, but $I$ don't recall
doing that.
Q Yeah, so this appears to be one of the
attachments. It has the next Bates number -we -- but it looks like your counsel has produced it in a format where you can tie the attachments to the email.

We can click back to that email that's
marked as Exhibit 4. Do you see the title
"Substitute Bill Items"?
Is it your understanding that that
document is what we see in Exhibit 5?
A You know, quite honestly -- I mean, I recognize your exhibit -- or the exhibit in Number 5, but $I$ can't say that that is what that -- it's definitely not the graphics referenced, but it -I can't say for sure that it's the other one.

Q Okay. All right. So this appears to be
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an analysis of several proposed congressional
plans; is that correct?
A I mean, it's got a lot of facts on it, yeah --

Q Right. But --
A -- probably a couple sources.
Q But at least in part, we've got an
analysis of several congressional plans, correct?
A Yes.
Q Okay.
MR. KLEIN: And if we could just scroll
down towards the bottom of Page 1.
Scroll up just a little bit.
BY MR. KLEIN:
Q So where it says "McColley (SB 258)," do
you -- does that refer to the plan that was
ultimately enacted by the General Assembly?
A I believe it does, yes.
Q Okay. And what about the House Dems
portion; do you know which proposal that refers to?

A $I$ believe it was their modified version.
They did a -- they did a couple sub bills along
the way as well to refine their -- their map.
Q Okay. And Sykes/Yuko 258?
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A Same.

Q Okay. And then Contest Winning map
Number 1, 3, do you know what that refers to?
A There was a -- public contests that were
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A Yes.

Q And -- all right. And this and -- what
we're seeing here, does this refer to the plan

12:29:31
12:29:31
12:29:37
12:29:39

12:29:43

12:29:43

12:29:44

12:29:49

12:29:53

12:29:53
12:29:54
12:29:59
$12: 30: 01$
$12: 30: 03$

12:30:05

12:30:13

12:30:18
$12: 30: 22$

12:30:22
12:30:27
$12: 30: 30$

12:30:37
$12: 30: 53$

12:30:54

## Transcript of Raymond DiRossi

Conducted on December 7, 2021

BY MR. KLEIN:

Q So at the top it says, "Competitive CDs."

We'll walk through this by word for word.
So what does "Competitive CDs" mean?
A Competitive congressional districts.
Q Okay. And how about plus or minus

4 percent?
A It's referring to a scoring if $50 / 50$ was absolute, perfectly balanced, plus or minus

4 percent up or down. That's what it's referring to.

Q Okay. Got you. And then, finally, "Using FED Data," is that what we just discussed, this -this index that is all of the federal elections from 2012 to 2020?

A Yes.

Q Okay. And so what this is saying here is, these are indices where -- or, rather, these are -- these are districts where there is a -where there is an index that is plus or minus 4, correct?

A Yeah -- well, I think I -- I think I followed you there, yes, it's --

Q Right. So imagine we're looking at FEDEA and the figure 4 at given districts was
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53 percent, would that fall within this definition of competitiveness?

A Yes.
Q If it was 54 percent, it would?
A You said 53.
Q Oh, right. Right. Right. No, that was
just my next questions. If it was --
A Sorry.
Q -- 54 percent, it would also fall within
that definition?
A Yep. We'd be at the outer limit, yes.
Q Okay. So then if it was --
A The same thing -- yeah.
Q Yeah, so then if were 54.1 , it wouldn't, correct?

A Correct.
Q Okay. And so -- and so the numbers listed underneath here on the left side are the number of competitive districts under each plan under this definition, correct?

A Correct.
Q Okay. And then on the right side of the
screen, it says, "Competitive CDs (plus or minus 4
percent) using all data."
So this bit about competitive CDs plus or
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minus 4 percent, the same meaning as we just discussed, right?

A Yes.

Q And what -- and what is meant here by "all data"?

A It is state and federal data.

Q Okay. State and federal data. So that
would be a partisan index that includes state and federal elections?

A Yes.

Q And is that the partisan index that we
discussed earlier that was -- that was -- that was
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MR. McGUIRE: That will be 6?
MR. KLEIN: I believe so. Yeah.
Why don't we scroll through so Mr. DiRossi
can have a chance to see what this document is.
BY THE WITNESS:
A Thank you.
BY MR. KLEIN:
Q Have you had a chance to look at it,
Mr. DiRossi?
A Yeah. I was just looking to see if there was a date on it.

Q Oh, right. Yeah, I'm not sure there is. But have you ever seen this document
before?
A I don't think so.
BY MR. KLEIN:
Q Okay. Well, I'm representing to you this
is -- this is Senator McColley's written testimony
to the Senate Committee on Local Government and
Elections, and it was presented at the
November 16 th hearing.
A Okay.
Q So let's scroll down to -- this would be
PDF Page 2. And let's take a look at this chart.
Does this chart look familiar?
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A Generally, yeah.
Q Okay. Is this the same data that we were just looking at in the document you prepared listing partisan indices for the districts under the enacted plan?

A I mean, it looks like -- without going
back and comparing them to just verify they're the same, they -- they look the same.

Q Yeah, why don't we actually click back to
that. I think we should have that.
THE TECHNICIAN: Where are you directing
me to, Counsel? I apologize.
MR. KLEIN: Yeah, so -- so, yeah, could we
just click back to Exhibit 5, DiRossi 5. And I
think we're going to be going back up to 5603.

BY MR. KLEIN:
Q So I'll give you a chance to look at that,
and we can -- of course we can click back and
forth as you want.
A Yeah. Thank you.
Okay. You can click to the other one.
Q Okay.
A I didn't verify every number, but I looked
at some of them, so -- they look the same.
Q And did Senator McColley get this data
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## from you?

A I'm sure he did.

Q Okay. Okay. So we already talked about
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not I assisted him or not. I mean, there was a
tremendous amount of public testimony about the
existence of competitive districts and what type
of range would be used to determine what was a
competitive district. And I know he had put a lot
of thought into that.
it as I could. I saw him speak about that. I saw him get questioned about it from members of the Senate --

Q Okay.
A -- so, you know, I think that some people testified that competitive districts were as much as plus or minus 5. I think we felt comfortable with plus or minus 4 being an applicable range.

Q So is this definition of competitive
districts, namely plus or minus 4 percent, a definition that you agree with?

A Yes.
Q Okay. And in the last ten years, are you aware of any congressional elections in Ohio where a party won despite being favored by a partisan index of 46 percent or less? And that could be -and that could be whichever partisan index you might be using.
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A You might have to unpack that question --

Q Sure.

A -- for me again. It had a lot of little qualifiers.

Q Right. So in the congressional elections held in Ohio in the last ten years, are you aware of any elections where the party that won was -was running in a district where their party had a congressional -- or had an average vote share of 46 percent or less under a -- under any partisan index?

A I'm still a little confused by the question. I'm sorry.

Q Okay. So taking any partisan index that you're aware of, are you aware of any congressional race in the last ten years where the winning party was running in a district where their average vote share under a given index was 46 percent or less?

A I'm getting tripped up with the average vote share of their -- I mean, are you asking me if there were competitive races in the last decade? Is that what you're asking?

Q Right. So the -- so the question is this: Where on the -- is that -- by this definition --
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hold on -- strike that.
We'll start there, actually. In the last
ten -- strike that.
Under the map currently in effect, the map preceding this one, are there any districts considered competitive under this definition?

A Well, you know, I'm not an expert in political science and the election results. Like, I don't have immediate recall of those elections.

But $I$ think the answer to your question is, very few, if any. And that's the point, that we're trying to draw competitive districts now; whereas, the current map doesn't have them. So I think you're keying in on the right point.

I do know there were some competitive
elections here and there during the last decade,
and I can articulate those if you -- if it's
helpful to your question. But $I$ think the general
answer is, no, there weren't competitive elections
in the last decade, and that's what we're trying
to rectify.
Q Okay. So -- and are you aware of any
elections in the last ten years in Ohio that were competitive under this definition where the party who was -- who had a partisan index of less than
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50 percent in favor of their party won?
A I can't give you a specific example. I
have a couple races in mind over the last decade
that I think meet your fact pattern, but I'm not
sure, and so I don't want to hazard a guess.
Q Okay. Okay.
MR. KLEIN: Let's just turn -- let's turn
to Tab 17.

That should be DiRossi 008948 .
(WHEREUPON, DiRossi Exhibit No. 7 was
presented to the witness.)
MR. KLEIN: All right. Let's scroll
through that so Mr. DiRossi has a chance to take a
look at it.

BY THE WITNESS:

A Thank you.

BY MR. KLEIN:

Q Have you ever seen this document before?
A Yes.

Q Did you prepare this document?
A Yes.

Q When did you prepare this document?
A I mean, if you want me to answer with a date, I cannot.

Q All right. Well, was it before the
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General Assembly voted in favor of the now-enacted plan?

A Yes. Yes.
Q All right. And were you asked to prepare this document?

A I don't recall being asked to prepare
this --

Q Okay.
A -- specific document.
Q Do you know what the purpose was for
preparing this document?
A Well, from listening to Senator McColley
and his public testimony in the General Assembly,
he was touting competitive districts in his
initial proposal and the -- and the final
proposal.
And this was to show him -- or to show
whoever might look at it, that the map that was
adopted by the General Assembly scored very well
when looking at the number of competitive
districts it was creating, even compared to not
only the other legislative caucus maps that were
out there, but the current map. That's the --
Q Okay.
A -- general point of this document.
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Q Right. And did you send this document to Senator McColley?

A I don't recall sending this document -- I
don't have that recollection.
Q Okay. Do you recall sharing this document
with anybody else?
A I remember giving it over to my attorneys for production.

Q Okay. But that's the extent that you
recall sharing this?
A Yes.
Q Okay. Why don't we go through row by row
here. This is mostly just confirming that this is similar to what we saw in Tab 5.

So McColley here, that's the ultimately
enacted plan, correct?
A Yes.
Q And in the next row where it says
"Yuko/Sykes (SB 237)," that is the Senate Democrat proposal of September 29th?

A Yeah, so just to explain why there are two there, that proposal initially when it was introduced, as you probably well know, was
subsequently refined by the Senate Democrat
sponsors. And it -- as you can see at a couple
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points, it changes the number of competitive districts downward.

And so that's why I had the
differentiation of two dates of those two
different maps.
Q I'm sorry, did you say it changed the
number of competitive districts downward?
A Yes.

Q Under your definition?
A Well, this -- this is analysis using both
definitions --
Q Right.
A -- both all data and federal data.
Q But it's only changing competitive
districts downward under the "all data"
definition, right?
A Well, I'd have to -- that's the one that
comes to mind, and it's the one that's obviously
in the top right portion of the document.
I'd have to look through the document to
see if it's in -- shows up anywhere else.
Q Right. But just to confirm what we're
looking at, under the definition of plus or minus
4 for competitive districts using the federal 2012
to 2020 partisan index, it has the same number of
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competitive districts; is that right? The same
number of competitive districts as between
September 29th and November 10th?
A Yes, both of them --
Q Okay.
A -- showed five districts. But using all
data, it went from 5 to 4.
Q Okay. And what about "House Dem"; that's
the same thing that we had discussed before for
the House Democrats' proposal?
A Yes.
Q Okay. And then "Current Map," does that
describe the map now in effect through -- through
the next election or --
A Yes, the one put in place in 2011.
Q Okay. And just confirming here, "FED
data," again, means that all federal partisan
index, right, 2012 to 2020?
A Correct.
Q And then --
A Correct.
Q -- after that -- and then after that, all
data is all statewide elections 2016 to 2020,
corrects?
A Yes, six-year lookback, state and
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federal -- state and federal.
Q Okay. And then this is analyzing it under
the definition that we had discussed, the plus or
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both ways to show that our map was as competitive or more competitive than any other proposal.

Q Got you. Yeah, I was asking strictly
about the -- asking about the definition of
competitive districts, but let's just -- we'll
just focus in on the left side of the top row, right? That left column --

A Okay.
Q -- that is Senator McColley's analysis for
competitive districts and that is the partisan
index he chose, correct?
A Well, yes, the federal thing is what
Senator McColley talked about in his testimony.
But plus or minus 4 isn't just unique to Senator
McColley or other people. There were lots of
testimony about people saying the competitive
districts were up to plus or minus 5.
So obviously there's other people that
would say plus or minus 4 are competitive --
Q Okay.
A -- if they're advocating for plus or minus 5.

Q Yeah. Right. But this was the metric for competitiveness settled on by the Senate majority, correct?
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A Well, I would -- I would ascribe it to

Senator McColley.
Q Okay. I'll -- right. So this was the metric for competitiveness settled on by Senator McColley, correct?

A I would say so, yes.
Q Okay. And did you ever discuss the definition of competitiveness with Senator McColley?

A I mean, I do recall speaking with him
about how well the map was scoring with
competitive districts. And as you can see from
his public commentary, he chose to include that in
his public commentary before all of the -- the
Senate, the House, and the Joint Committee when he gave testimony.

Q Right. And did you explain that it was
scoring well under this specific definition in particular?

MR. McGUIRE: Objection. We're going to
assert the legislative privilege with regard to
specific contents of communications between -- and
conversations between Mr. DiRossi and Senator
McColley.
MR. KLEIN: Once again, I'm going to
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dispute that objection, but we'll move on.
And we'll again note that we have gotten
into this topic and have cut it short because of
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analyzing it at competitiveness being defined as plus or minus 2, right?

A There is.

Q Right. So that will be where a partisan infection is between 48 and 52 percent, correct?

A Yes.

Q And then for plus or minus 2.5, that would be defining competitiveness where there is a partisan index between 47.5 and 52.5, right?

A I'm just doing the math in my head to check you. Yes, plus or minus 2 and a half, 47 and a half, 52 and a half. Yes.

Q Yeah. Yeah. I also had to think about that for a quick second, but $I$ think we both got it right.

A It's easy to get confused. It's easy to get confused.

Q Right. And so it looks like in terms of competitive districts, the number of competitive districts, the map enacted by the General Assembly scores the best when you're using the definition of competitiveness that is at 4 percent using federal data or a definition of competitiveness at plus or minus 3.75 percent; is that right?

MR. KLEIN: And we can scroll up so he can
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see that.

BY THE WITNESS:

A Say it -- you're saying it scores the best
at what data points?
BY MR. KLEIN:
Q Right. So -- well, I'll just ask. Under
which of these analyses does the -- does the map
enacted by the General Assembly score the best?

A Well, if you're asking for my opinion, I'd say the map scores the best on all of them. It
shows that the map as adopted, whether you use all
data or federal data, is as competitive if not
more competitive than any of the other caucus
proposals at -- almost universal on all of these
data points.
Q Right.
A So I --
Q So I should --
A -- know it's -- I know it's very easy to
say, well, this one and this one is better than
that one and this one. But $I$ think wholistically if you look at this, the takeaway I think for me, since you asked, is, at almost any one of these data points and in either metric this map is as
competitive or more competitive than the other
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proposals and way more competitive, if that's a technical term, way more competitive than the current map, which, again --
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that, we're talking about a definition of partisan index and for a competitive district.

For each data point there's a number
listed next to the map name, right?
A Yes.
Q And that's the number of competitive
districts, correct?
A Yes.
Q So when you look at each time McColley is
listed, in which instances on that sheet is it the
highest compared to the other instances where it's listed?

A Well, this -- this kind of goes back to
our previous conversation of comparing federal
election analysis to EA53. And I understand -- I
understand your question, and kind of the same
thing as before. But they -- we've got to
understand that these are different scorings using
different election results from different time
periods, six years or ten years, federal elections
versus state and federal elections.
So I -- I am very hesitant to answer the
question along the lines of which is higher and which is lower because they're different.

And the number may be higher, but it
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doesn't necessarily mean that it's an apples-to-apples comparison.

Q Right. Okay. So let's just -- maybe we 13:01:03

13:01:07
13:01:07
should -- strike that.
So when we are defining competitive
districts as plus or minus 4, which partisan index
gives the McColley map more competitive districts?
Is it federal data or all data?
A Well, again, I mean, you're looking at the same information on the screen that $I$ am. I mean,

I just think they're very different and not fair.
I mean -- but I will -- I will say that using the
federal election lens of ten-year lookback, there
are seven competitive districts, which is the most
of any map that $I$ saw put before the General
As sembly.
And using the other scoring mechanism,
there are an equal amount to the next highest map.
So five. But seven -- $I$ know seven is more than
five, but seven should not be compared to five.
They're different lenses.
Q Okay. All right. So then maybe we'll -why don't we move vertically.

So we see where it says McColley for plus or minus 4, plus or minus 3.75, it says there,
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correct?

A Yes. Under the federal analysis, yes.

Q Yeah, and then under federal analysis, when we go down to plus or minus 3.5 for a definition of competitive districts, we've got six competitive districts for the McColley map, correct?

A That is correct.
Q And why don't we keep scrolling down.
And then if we go down to a definition of
competitiveness of plus or minus 2.5 using federal
data, we've got four competitive districts for
McColley, correct?
A You -- wait. Did you say plus or minus
2. 5?

Q Yeah, 2.5.

A Yes. Okay. Yes, you're right.
Q And then we go down to plus -- then we go
down to plus or minus 2 percent using the federal
partisan index, it's two competitive districts
under the McColley plan, correct?
A Yes.
Q Okay.
MR. KLEIN: Let's pull up Tab 18. I'd
like to mark this as an exhibit. And I just want
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to make sure that $I$ marked the last one, too.
Thanks, Ethan.
(WHEREUPON, DiRossi Exhibit No. 8 was
presented to the witness.)
BY MR. KLEIN:

Q All right. So I am representing to you
that you produced this document to us.
Have you seen this document before?
A Yeah, if you could just scroll through
it --
Q Yeah, I --
A -- very, very fast to make sure I --
because I'm pretty sure it's a big spreadsheet, right?

Yes, it looks familiar.
Q Okay. And so did you prepare this
document?
A Yes.
Q Okay. Do you remember when you prepared
this document?
A I think this was one of the first things I
did after the budget was over in June of this
year, well before the census data was received.
So this is one of my get-organized
documents. Now, there are a couple different
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versions of this, so --
Q Right.
A -- as I'm sure we'll talk about the yellow 13:05:17
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that were just held a couple months ago.
And so these said "vacant," and then once
the congressional election results were done -- or the election was held, I filled in the appropriate information.

And I probably kept them highlighted
because I either messed up and forgot to unhighlight those cells, or $I$ wasn't 100 percent actually sure that $I$ had the correct address and it was kind of a mental note to me to go back and verify that address.

Q Okay. So it's -- so -- all right.
Did you consider this document when you
were drawing congressional maps?
A Well, this document, not specifically.
But one of the things that $I$ knew was important to the senators that $I$ was working with was to avoid pairing of incumbents in districts, whether those were Republican incumbents in the same district or Democrat incumbents in the same district or Republican and Democrat in the same district, to try to avoid those wherever possible.

So obviously in order to do that, you have to have some knowledge of where the residence is. And I know we all know that for Congress, you

13:06:19
13:06:21
13:06:27

13:06:32

13:06:34

13:06:35

13:06:39

13:06:42

13:06:46

13:06:49
13:06:51
13:06:52

13:07:08
13:07:11

13:07:11

13:07:19

13:07:24

13:07:29
13:07:31
13:07:37

13:07:40

13:07:43

13:07:45
13:07:47

13:07:50

## Transcript of Raymond DiRossi

Conducted on December 7, 2021
don't have to live in your congressional district
to be eligible to be a candidate. But
nonetheless, we were taking care to try to avoid
the pairing of or double-bunking of incumbents in
the districts. And so --
Q Okay.
A -- but for the legislative and the
congressional, that's what -- this document was, you know, able to track residency.

Q Yeah. So when you're in Maptitude and
you're looking at the geography portion of the screen, the actual map, are you able to see where incumbent addresses are in Maptitude.

A Yes.
Q And did you have that up all of the time?
A No.
Q Most of the time?
A We're talking about congressional?
Q Yes.
A Yeah, so, look, there's only 15 of them,
right? So it's -- you kind of under- -- you kind of remember. Whereas in the legislative, there's 132, and it's 33 in the Senate and 99 in the

House. So, you know, it was pretty easy to kind . 083
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members lived in.

So it was up early on, and then it wasn't
needed anymore; I had it in memory.
Q Okay. So while you didn't always have incumbent addresses up, you knew where they lived, so it wasn't always necessary?

A Yeah, in order to avoid putting them in the same district where possible, something that we were very good at doing and others were not, other proposals, you know, yeah, I needed to know where they were.

Q Okay.
MR. KLEIN: So I think I'm going to
reserve my time, but $I$ think $I$ can turn it over
to -- to the counsel for the League of Women
Voters.

THE WITNESS: Could we take a five-minute
break?
MR. McGUIRE: I'm sorry, the witness,
Mr. DiRossi, is asking if we can take a very, very quick break before we start the next question.

MR. KLEIN: So I know you said you had a
hard stop at 3:00. We came back a little bit late from the last break as a professional courtesy.

Could we just get seven extra minutes past
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that three-minute -- rather, could we get seven extra minutes past that 3:00 p.m. hard stop?

MR. McGUIRE: I'll go five.
MR. KLEIN: Okay.
MR. McGUIRE: If he can run out -- he just
needs to run to the restroom.
THE WITNESS: I'll go to the bathroom as
quick as possible.
MR. KLEIN: Okay.
MR. McGUIRE: We won't even leave.
MR. KLEIN: Okay. So why don't we go off
the record. We'll come back at 2:15.
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 1:10. We
are off the record.
(WHEREUPON, a recess was had.)
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 1:13. We
are on the record.
EXAMINATION
BY MS. BENDER:
Q Mr. DiRossi, hello. My name is Brady
Bender. I'm here representing the League of Women
Voters Relators today. Thank you for being here.
A Hello. Hello.
Q I wanted to quickly ask you some more questions about DiRossi Exhibit 7 .
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MS. BENDER: So if we could pull that back up.
(Exhibit shared.)

BY MS. BENDER:

Q And apologies if I'm not looking directly
at the camera. I'm going between a couple of
screens here.

So, Mr. DiRossi, can you -- I just want to
confirm this measure on the right-hand side using
all data. All data there is all statewide
elections from the last ten years; is that right?
Or can you clarify?
A I think -- no, that is not right.
Q Okay.

A All data is a six-year lookback of state
and federal statewide races.
Q Okay. Great. And is that the same as

EA53 in your Maptitude set?
A Yes.

Q Okay. And can I ask -- we talked about when you prepared this document, and you said that it was prior to the Senate -- excuse me, prior to voting to enact the final map. Can you give me a little bit more detail on that.

Was that just before? Was it a few days
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before?

A Yeah, that's a great question. I'm racking my brain trying to pinpoint that. I don't think $I$ can give you any more definitive date of when that -- when I created that document.

Q Okay. I'm --
A As is with a lot of legislative process, it's a flurry of activity, and I don't recall.

Q Okay.

MS. BENDER: We can take this down.

BY MS. BENDER:

Q So I want to go back to the discussion of certain indices that you used, which would have included those indices earlier.

You acknowledged earlier that Maptitude
included some of those indices in that those
indices showed certain election results. The
election results data was actually uploaded into
Maptitude; is that correct?
A Okay. So help me understand -- indices, results -- and what was the other term you used in that question to make sure $I$ understand the --

Q Of course. I'll clarify.
So when you get Maptitude, it doesn't come preloaded with election results in it, does it?
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A I do this once every ten years. I don't know -- when I received the license and downloaded and installed Maptitude, it had sample data in it I think from the previous decade, and $I$ don't know if that was just population geography or -- I can't say for sure what that was.

Q So you are not sure whether partisan election results would have been preloaded in Maptitude or loaded thereafter?

A I can't say. I think I said earlier that working with our contractor, Ohio University, and the Common Unified Redistricting Database project, that that's where a lot of the census data and the election results and other things were married together. And ultimately then $I$ had to have a
technical consultant put that stuff into the computer. I'm not capable of doing that.

Q Okay. So you agree that these partisan -or excuse me, these indices of partisan election results show up in Maptitude, as we discussed earlier.

Do you know who created those indices, who decided upon what elections were included in them?

A Are you asking just about the
congressional one, or are you asking about the
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ones that -- the EA53 from the legislative process?

Q Well, let's start with EA53.
A Yeah, I would refer you in large part to
my deposition from that where I had a long discussion about that.

I mean, there was a -- a very long process of the Chief of Staff of the Ohio Senate, the Chief of Staff of the -- the Ohio Senate majority, the Ohio Senate minority, and the Ohio House majority, those three leaders and their staff having a conversation about putting their hands on
publicly available election results and underlying
geography so that there could be some commonality.
And a lot of that, as you -- I'm sure you know, is
controlled by Article 11 and actually referred to
in Article 11 about the -- some of the elections
that you would use.

And so that's -- that's kind of the genesis of what is lovingly called EA53, for whatever reason, in the computer system that was a holdover for congressional.

Q And who would have created the FEDEA index?

A Well, "created" is --
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Q Just a --

A -- it is a collection of election results.
So those election results are just the election results provided by the Secretary of State's

Office who -- is that what your question is, or did you have another question?

Q Who would have decided which election
results from the Secretary of State's Office were included in that index?

A Well, I spoke to the President and Senator
McColley about what index we should be using and --

Q Okay. And so --
A -- why I thought we should be using the federal election results for the federal districts and why that made an abundant amount of sense.

Q And when you say that, you mean the
federal EA index?
A Yeah, federal --
Q You talked about using the federal EA
index?
A Statewide --

MR. McGUIRE: Objection. I'm going to
object on the basis of legislative privilege. This is getting into substantive conversations
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between Mr. DiRossi and members of the General Assembly regarding the legislative process.

MS. BENDER: Okay. Well, I will just respond that we don't agree with that objection, but $I$ will move on for the sake of time. BY MS. BENDER:

Q What other election analyses did you consider -- or, excuse me, election analyses, these indexes -- I think you called them election analyses earlier -- did you consider beyond FEDEA and election -- or -- and EA53?

A Well, as Mr. Klein and I talked about, I mean, there were only a couple that were up on my screen, you know, throughout the process at various points. EA53 was there because it was a holdover from legislative. The federal election analysis you and $I$ now have talked about, that that was on the screen.

The only other data point for election results was the recent presidential election was available both -- I think I had both the Trump number and the Biden number on the screen at the same time when that would be a pair.

Q Okay. All right. I'd like to -- we can move on from that topic. I do want to talk a
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little bit more about Maptitude.

You mentioned earlier that Senator
13:21:05

13:21:06

McColley did not work in Maptitude, but -- and 13:21:08
that you were the only one working for the Senate 13:21:10

13:21:13

So when you were doing Maptitude work,
were you doing -- did you do Maptitude work at Senator McColley's direction?

A Did I?

Q Yes.

A I mean, yes, the -- yes. Yes --
13:21:26

Q And --

A -- the bill was his direction.

Q And he would have provided you guidance on
the maps as you were drawing in Maptitude?
MR. McGUIRE: Objection, we're going to
assert the legislative privilege.

MS. BENDER: Okay. Again, I'll say we
don't agree with the assertion, but we will move on.

BY MS. BENDER:
Q Did he provide feedback on maps that you
drew in Maptitude?
MR. McGUIRE: Objection, we're going to
assert the legislative privilege.
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Don't answer that.
MS. BENDER: Okay. The same response, and

BY MS. BENDER:
13:22:01

Q So regarding both of those questions, if
not for your instruction not to answer those
questions, could you have answered them?
MR. McGUIRE: Objection, we assert the
legislative privilege.
Don't answer that question.
MS. BENDER: Okay. In none of these
13:22:02

13:22:09

13:22:12

13:22:13

13:22:16

13:22:17

13:22:23
questions did I actually ask about content -- or
13:22:25
that specific last question was not asking about
13:22:28
the content nor was $I$ asking about the content of
any guidance or feedback. So, again, we would
disagree with the assertion of the privilege.

MR. McGUIRE: Noted.

BY MS. BENDER:

Q Okay. Besides Senator McColley, did any
other individual see maps that you had drawn in Maptitude as in the -- as you were in the process

13:22:30
$13: 22: 33$

13:22:36
13:22:41
13:22:43
$13: 22: 43$
$13: 22: 46$

13:22:50
of working on them?
A So in the process of working on them, does
that mean -- what -- can you help me narrow down
that timeline that you're looking for?
13:22:53

13:22:54

13:23:05
$13: 23: 08$

Q Okay. There are two questions here. So as the maps were evolving in Maptitude throughout
the time period in which they're evolving in
Maptitude, would there be any others that would
have seen those maps over the broad time period?
A Yeah, I mean, the vast majority of the
time that $I$ was working at my computer, the
computer in the office that has the Maptitude in
the William Green Building, I was alone.
Or on days that Blake would have been
there, he would have been working, you know, on
whatever he was working on.
And you said except for Senator Huffman
and except for Senator McColley?
Q I did not say except for Senator Huffman.
But to clarify, on both of those -- both
of those individuals, are they among the people
that would have been there at some time other than
the time that you just referred to when you were
alone?
A Okay. All right. I --
Q Sorry. That was --
A -- (indiscernible) your question. Yeah,
no problem.
Are you saying were they physically there?
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Or are you saying did they see the map as it was going through its evolution --

Q I'd like to know --
A -- (indiscernible) get to.
Q I'd like to ask both questions.
So, first, did either of them visit --
visit the office in which you were drawing
Maptitude maps while you were drawing them?
A Senat- -- President Huffman, yes. Senator
McColley, no.
Q Okay. And were there any other
individuals then beyond those two and Blake that
visited the office as you were drawing?
A Well, you said "beyond those two." I said
no for Senator McColley.
Q Yeah, beyond --
A I think I mentioned it -- I referenced a
November 9th meeting that the Speaker was there for, the Chiefs of Staff were there for. At various times, I had in-house counsel from the House and Senate that were present there and -and external counsel.

Q Okay. And would any of the -- or which --
would any of these individuals have seen your Maptitude maps on your computer when they visited?
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A I think -- the November 9th meeting, I
don't think the computers were on. I don't recall
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about the partisan leanings of the districts that you were drawing to Senate President Huffman?

MR. McGUIRE: Objection, we're going to
assert the legislative privilege.
BY MS. BENDER:
Q Okay. I'm going to ask the same question
about Speaker Cupp?
MR. McGUIRE: The same objection,
legislative privilege.
BY MS. BENDER:
Q Okay. Representative Oelslager?
MR. McGUIRE: The same objection,
legislative privilege.
BY MS. BENDER:
Q Any other legislative leadership?
MR. McGUIRE: The same objection, we
assert the legislative privilege.
BY MS. BENDER:
Q And any others outside of the legislature?
MR. McGUIRE: We will insert the
legislative privilege to the extent that includes
members of any legislative staff in addition to
legislators.
But you can answer for anyone other than
those two groups.
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BY THE WITNESS:

A Can you -- so you're asking -- can you just repeat the specific question that -BY MS. BENDER:

Q Would you have --
A -- would apply to --
Q -- conveyed --
A -- nonlegislative staff?
Q Did you convey information about the partisan leanings of districts in the maps that you were drawing to any individuals?

I asked obviously more about a broader group, but your counsel instructed you to answer about a narrower group.

MR. McGUIRE: Other than legislators or
the staff members of legislators or -- and counsel. And counsel. I'm sorry.

BY THE WITNESS:
A The only thing $I$ can think of is, the day that Senate Bill 258 was -- the second sub bill happened, there was a distribution that went out to a distribution -- email distribution that included the media and members of the public that included an attachment that included the federal election analysis number for every district that
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was in the bill.
MS. BENDER: Okay. And as to all of those
objections, I would again say that we do not agree
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Columbus and Franklin County.
There's obviously language that talks
about drawing districts from whole counties and whole political subdivisions. There are sections
of the constitution that talk about what $I$ call,
you know, no double-dipping, so to speak. So if
you've got a district that comes into a county, it
has to be contiguous to itself inside that county.
That is a mandatory requirement.
Also, you're not allowed to have two
districts share portions of county population in the same two districts unless those counties are
greater than 400,000. That is a provision of the constitution, which is new to Ohio.

And obviously there are some, you know,
language in the constitution dealing with
compactness, contiguity, you know, districts being
equal as practicable and -- for population
purposes.
And those are the ones that come to mind.
Q And what about partisanship?
A There is language that says -- and I'm
paraphrasing because $I$ am definitely not a
constitutional scholar -- to not unduly favor or
disfavor a political party or their incumbents.
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Q And what was your understanding of the 13:31:37 meaning of that language? 13:31:38

A Yeah, I -- I relied on the advice of 13:31:39
counsel for that. Like I said, I am not a
$13: 31: 42$
constitutional scholar. I can't give you a
definitive answer on that. It's new language, and
I relied on the advice of counsel to understand that and --

Q And what --

A -- to direct that --
$13: 31: 56$

Q Sorry to interrupt.
$13: 31: 56$

And were you given instructions regarding
13:31:44

13:31:51

13:31:54

13:31:55

13:31:55

13:31:59
these requirements beyond the advice of counsel?
A Specifically to draw competitive
districts.
Q Okay. Beyond that?
A So can you help me -- you're asking was I given direction on what?

Q Did you receive --
A (Indiscernible.)
Q -- instructions on how to comply with the
constitutional requirements beyond drawing competitive districts?

MR. McGUIRE: Again, please don't provide
13:32:26

13:32:28

13:32:30
anything that counsel told you or anything
13:32:32
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provided to you by any legislators or their staff,
any direction on that issue.

THE WITNESS: Yeah.

BY THE WITNESS:

A I would say, like I said, I -- I mean, I
am not a lawyer, I'm not a legal scholar, not a
constitutional scholar.

I know that, as I've said before, Senator

McColley gave public testimony in a number of
hearings where he articulated what he thought that
meant, and I -- I listened to that.
MS. BENDER: Counsel, is it your position
that the instructions that would be given to
Mr. DiRossi on how to draft a congressional map
are privileged and immune from discovery in a
legal challenge to the drafting of the map?
MR. McGUIRE: Yes, that's why I'm
asserting the privilege.
MS. BENDER: Okay.
BY MS. BENDER:

Q Mr. DiRossi, just a --
MS. BENDER: Actually, I think I am
actually done for now.
Spencer, do you have any follow-up
questions that you'd like to ask?
$13: 32: 38$
13:32:39

13:32:40

13:32:40

13:32:43

13:32:46

13:32:47

13:32:51

13:32:53
$13: 32: 56$

13:33:04

13:33:06

13:33:08

13:33:11

13:33:16

13:33:18

13:33:20

13:33:21

13:33:22

13:33:22

13:33:36

13:33:36

13:33:36

13:33:38

MR. KLEIN: I do have a few, but if -- you
know, if you want to keep going, that's fine, but
13:33:44
we could also -- $I$ could also jump back in.
MS. BENDER: I'm happy to have you jump
$13: 33: 45$

13:33:48
back in.

MR. KLEIN: Okay.
Can we pull up Tab 6. So not actually --
we were hoping to look at Tab 6, not Exhibit 6.
Sorry about that. I just thought you were pulling
it up, and then I realized you misunderstood.
THE TECHNICIAN: Apologies.
MR. KLEIN: No, that's on me. I should
have been more clear about that.
(WHEREUPON, DiRossi Exhibit No. 10 was presented to the witness.)

FURTHER EXAMINATION

BY MR. KLEIN:
Q Okay. So, Mr. DiRossi, I'm going to represent that this is a screenshot from Maptitude taken after we uploaded the SHP files you produced of the enacted plan to the system. And as you can see, we've also put county borders over it as well as the district borders from the map for the enacted plan.

So let's look down at District 1. That
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includes Cincinnati, correct?
A Yes.
Q And under Article 19, Cincinnati has to be
kept whole, correct?
A Yeah, I would just say the caveat, to the
that, for at least this decade, applies to
Cincinnati and another one or two political
subdivisions in the state.
Q Fair. Fair. So -- and Cincinnati is kept
whole within the 1st District, correct?
A It is.
Q Okay. Now, looking at the 1st District,
does it remain whole within Hamilton County?
A It does not.
Q Okay. And what other counties does it
include?
A It includes all of Warren County.
Q All right. So it includes all of Warren
County.
And this Cincinnati portion of the
district which you keep whole, would you say
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that's a more Democratic or a more Republican section of the district?

A You know, I -- I remember -- I don't know
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that.

Do you know the incumbent who currently represents the lst District? Do you know who that

A The incumbent who currently resides in the
1st District? There are two. I just want to --

Q No. So --

A -- clarify which one you're talking about.

Q So I'm not asking who resides there, but
who represents.
A Oh, yes, I do know. Yeah.
Q All right. Who is that?
13:38:38

A It's Steve Chabot.

Q Okay. And is it your -- strike that.

At the time you drew this map, was it your
understanding that Representative Chabot would
seek re-election in the lst District?

A I did not know.

Q Okay. Did you have any reason to believe
he would not seek re-election in this district?

A I had no indication one way or the other.
Q Okay. And are there any other incumbents
who live in this district as well, as drawn?
13:39:14

A Yes.
13:39:17

Q Okay. And who is that?
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A Also a resident of Cincinnati is
Congressman Wenstrup.
Q Is it your understanding at the time you
drew the map that Wenstrup would seek election in District 1?

A I didn't -- I had no indication one way or the other.

Q Okay. At this moment sitting here, do you have any reason to believe he won't seek
re-election in District 1 ?
A I have no idea what he intends to do, run, not run, move, not move.

Q Okay. Do you know what district
Representative Wenstrup currently represents?
A I believe it's the 2nd District. I don't
have the current congressional map in front of me, but I believe it's the $2 n d$.

Q Okay. And the 1st District, under the partisan indices you looked at, any one of them, were there any where it is less than 50 percent Republican?

A I can speak off the top of my head to the federal election analysis that we've talked about where it's an extremely competitive district. I also know from our previous conversations that one
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```
of the reasons -- that this is a competitive
```

    district. I'm pretty sure that President Biden
    won this district.
    And so, yeah, I guess if you looked at it
    under the Presidential analysis only, you would
    get a sub 50 district.
    Q Right. But just to -- talking about
    indexes, is it your understanding that this is
    greater than 50 percent Republican under the
    federal index?
    A Well, like I said -- I thought you said
    under any indexes am I aware of it being under --
    Q Right --
    A -- 50 .
    Q -- right, right. I'm just --
    A As I said, under -- under the federal
    index, it's 51.5, extremely competitive,
    hypercompetitive, \(I\) think is what some people
    testified about before the --
    Q Right.
    A -- committees.
        And under the Trump index, it's -- Trump
    index, it's a Biden-favoring $I$ think 50.6. And
under the other one, it's -- I think when you and
I went through that list, it's like -- this is the
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one that's, like, 51.49962.
Q Right. Okay.
MR. KLEIN: So let's pull up Tab 8.
(WHEREUPON, DiRossi Exhibit No. 9 was
presented to the witness.)
BY MR. KLEIN:
Q So I'm representing, again, this is a
screenshot from Maptitude of when we -- of --
of -- from when we loaded up the enacted plan to
Maptitude. And we've also put subdivision borders
on top as well for -- for municipal corporations.
So let's look over on the screen. Do you
see where North College Hill is?
A No.
Q Okay. It's -- so if you -- maybe I can
take -- yeah, all right. So --
A Oh, I see your thing.
This is a -- I would just point out here,
you said that you put up the names of the
political subdivisions; is that what you said are on the screen?

Q Correct.
A These -- I do not recognize a lot of
these. I mean, again, the constitution talks about counties obviously --

13:42:22
13:42:26
13:42:34
13: 42:34
13:42:56

13:42:56

13:42:57
13:43:01
13:43:07
13:43:11
13:43:16
13:43:26
13:43:32

13:43:36

13:43:44
13:43:48
13:43:49
13:43:52
13:43:54
13:43:57
13:44:00
13:44:01

13:44:02
13:44:04
13:44:07

## Transcript of Raymond DiRossi

Conducted on December 7, 2021

Q Right.

A -- municipal corporations, which are
incorporated cities or unincorporated villages,
and then townships. And I do see a number of
names of cities, villages, townships that $I$
recognize, but $I$ don't recognize a lot of -- I
mean, $I$ don't recognize a lot of these names.
Like, I don't know what Dunlap, Dry Ridge,
Taylor Creek, White Oak, Dent, Monfort Heights,
Bridgetown -- I don't recognize those. Those do
not look like the names of political subdivisions.
Q What about Forest Park?
A I do see Forest Park, and I do recognize
that, yes --
Q Would you be --
A -- the name of it.
Q Would you be in a position to tell us if
Forest Park is more of a rural suburban or --
rural suburban or -- or urban city?
A I mean, everybody has their own definition of urban, rural, exurb, and outer suburban -- you know, I -- no.

Q Okay. So you would not be in a position.
What about a -- what about Finneytown; any ability to tell us if it's more urban, rural,
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suburban?

A Can you point your cursor to that one on
the map for me?
Q They're a -- yeah, if you can just -- it's
just north of the 1st District border right there.
A Yeah, I'll be honest with you, I would
have said that was Springfield Township, but --
Q Okay.
A -- I have no idea what Finneytown is.
Q All right.
A It's not a political subdivision.
Q Right. Okay. Okay.
MR. KLEIN: All right. Why don't we --
why don't we pull back up the previous exhibit.
(Exhibit shared.)
BY MR. KLEIN:
Q So looking to District 8, would you say
that that's a district that leans for Democratic
or it leans more Republican?
A District 8, you said?
Q That's right.
A Yeah, it leans Republican.
Q Okay. And looking at -- looking at -- and
looking at District 2 , would you say that's more of a Democratic-leaning county or a -- or,

| rather -- sorry. We can -- we can -- strike that. | $13: 47: 05$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Looking at District 2, would you say | $13: 47: 15$ |
| that's a more Democratic or -- would you say | $13: 47: 17$ |
| that's more of a Democratic-leaning district or a | $13: 47: 21$ |
| Republican-leaning district? | $13: 47: 24$ |
| A Yeah, the -- District 2 and 8 both would | $13: 47: 26$ |
| be Republican-leaning districts, and then the -- | $13: 47: 33$ |
| maybe to help you out, District 1, 10, and 15 are | $13: 47: 35$ |
| all three of the competitive districts that I | $13: 47: 39$ |
| referred to earlier. | $13: 47: 41$ |
| Q Right. And that would be competitive | $13: 47: 42$ |
| under this 4654 definition, correct? | $13: 47: 45$ |
| A Yes. | $13: 47: 51$ |
| Q And that would be looking to the federal | $13: 47: 52$ |
| partisan index, that six-year lookback we've been | $13: 47: 56$ |
| talking about, correct? | $13: 47: 59$ |
| A Well, the -- hold on. The federal -- the | $13: 48: 14$ |
| federal is a ten-year lookback. The EA -- | $13: 47: 59$ |
| Q Right, right, right. | $13: 48: 04$ |
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that plus or minus 4 definition of competitive 13:48:16 looking to that federal ten-year lookback?

Thank you for clarifying.
A Yes, but $I$ think all of them, if not two 13:48:19
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are you standing on them such that we're at an
impasse?
MR. McGUIRE: Well, I don't know if it's
an impasse or not. I've asserted the objections,
and I'm not withdrawing them.
MS. BENDER: Okay. Our understanding
of -- if they're not being withdrawn, then we see
this as an impasse. And for that reason, we'd
like to leave the deposition open and reserve the
right to seek relief from the court on this point.
MR. McGUIRE: I guess you can say anything
you'd like on the record. I consider the
deposition concluded, but sure.
MS. BENDER: Okay. That's all for me.
Thank you, Mr. Springhetti -- or thank
you, Mr. DiRossi. Excuse me.
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 1:50. We
are off the record.
(WHEREUPON, the deposition was concluded
at 1:50 p.m. CST)
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| cds <br> 170:2, 170:4, <br> 171:23, 171:25 <br> cells <br> 196:8 <br> census <br> 23:10, 23:20, <br> 24:2, 48:2, <br> 48:5, 48:12, <br> 48:17, 48:22, <br> 48:24, 49:5, <br> 68:7, 68:21, <br> 68:24, 68:25, <br> 69:9, 69:19, <br> 84:1, 194:23, <br> 202:13 <br> center <br> 39:18 <br> certain <br> 21:7, 27:4, <br> 63:10, 87:23, <br> 140:8, 201:13, <br> 201:17, 213:20 <br> certainly <br> 17:19, 19:25, <br> 20:10, 20:13, <br> 28:2, 29:6, <br> 37:24, 107:10, <br> 133:20, 135:12 <br> certified <br> 2:3, 230:3, <br> 231:10 <br> certify <br> 230:5 <br> cetera <br> 149:23 <br> chabot <br> 220:13, 220:16 <br> chair <br> 129:22 <br> challenge <br> 216:16 <br> chamber <br> 158:17 <br> chance $\begin{aligned} & 10: 9, \quad 23: 1, \\ & 23: 6,151: 9, \\ & 151: 12, \quad 173: 4, \end{aligned}$ | 173:8, 174:17, 179:13 <br> change <br> 13:20, 35:21, <br> 66:5, 66:9, <br> 66:14, 66:18, <br> 67:10, 69:9, <br> 74:11, 111:8, <br> 121:18, 138:16, <br> 138:20, 138:21, <br> 143:2, 145:12 <br> changed <br> 66:1, 66:12, <br> 112:25, 134:7, <br> 138:24, 163:16, <br> 163:20, 182:6 <br> changes <br> 65:25, 66:11, <br> 66:17, 66:23, <br> 67:2, 67:16, <br> 135:5, 182:1 <br> changing <br> 19:21, 66:6, <br> 135:10, 182:14 <br> chaotic <br> 121:11, 123:4, <br> 124:14 <br> charge <br> 116:13, 118:17 <br> chart <br> 168:20, 173:24, <br> 173:25 <br> check <br> 188:11, 219:19 <br> chicago <br> 231:2 <br> chief <br> 16:8, 30:14, <br> 30:15, 31:24, <br> $32: 22,32: 23$, <br> 32:25, 33:20, <br> 41:23, 41:24, <br> 119:8, 119:10, <br> 120:11, 120:12, <br> 123:21, 123:22, <br> 148:20, 203:8, <br> 203:9 <br> chiefs <br> 41:19, 41:20, |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| $\begin{aligned} & 169: 8, \quad 169: 14, \\ & 184: 18, \quad 184: 22, \\ & 185: 7 \\ & \text { columns } \\ & 80: 4, \quad 80: 6, \\ & 80: 19, \quad 80: 23, \\ & 80: 24, \quad 81: 15, \\ & 81: 18, \quad 81: 21, \\ & 81: 25, \quad 82: 8, \\ & 82: 18, \quad 85: 14, \\ & 90: 21, \quad 94: 4, \\ & 103: 2 \\ & \text { com } \\ & 3: 11, \quad 3: 19, \\ & 4: 23 \\ & \text { come } \\ & 31: 21, \quad 50: 17, \\ & 54: 12, \quad 104: 12, \\ & 113: 2, \quad 160: 22, \\ & 199: 12, \quad 201: 24, \\ & 214: 20 \\ & \text { comes } \\ & 15: 2, \quad 79: 5, \\ & 143: 19, \quad 182: 18, \\ & 214: 7 \\ & \text { comfortable } \\ & 176: 14 \\ & \text { coming } \\ & 29: 8, \quad 136: 5, \\ & 148: 14, \quad 151: 2 \\ & \text { commands } \\ & 78: 21 \\ & \text { commencement } \\ & 230: 6 \\ & \text { commentary } \\ & 59: 10, \quad 59: 11, \\ & 144: 11, \quad 186: 13, \\ & 186: 14 \\ & \text { commission } \\ & 1: 9,69: 21, \\ & 156: 9, \quad 156: 16, \\ & 157: 19, \quad 160: 9, \\ & 160: 20 \\ & \text { committee } \\ & 29: 1, \quad 29: 2, \\ & 122: 13, \quad 122: 19, \\ & 123: 1, \quad 123: 9, \\ & 126: 23, \quad 126: 24, \end{aligned}$ | $127: 4, \quad 127: 11$, $128: 25, \quad 129: 16$, $155: 23, \quad 155: 24$, $162: 14, \quad 162: 18$, $173: 19, \quad 186: 15$ committees $59: 8, \quad 122: 8$, $128: 24, \quad 132: 2$, $132: 3, \quad 222: 21$ common $50: 18, \quad 68: 5$, $73: 6, \quad 202: 12$ commonality $93: 11, \quad 203: 14$ communicate $15: 9, \quad 32: 3$, $44: 17, \quad 46: 14$, $46: 18, \quad 47: 16$, $156: 15, \quad 158: 2$, $158: 8$ communicating $149: 21$ communication $47: 18, \quad 124: 25$ communications $32: 8, \quad 33: 7$, $117: 21, \quad 186: 22$ communicative $32: 11, \quad 33: 11$, $35: 17, \quad 117: 24$, $142: 13$ communities $20: 18, \quad 72: 20$, $73: 10$ community $134: 5$ commute $46: 17$ compact $56: 9, ~ 153: 21$ compactness $73: 13, \quad 214: 17$ compare $104: 13, \quad 105: 1$, $106: 5, \quad 106: 10$ compared $109: 11, \quad 110: 24$, $180: 21, \quad 190: 9$, |  | $172: 18, \quad 184: 13$, <br> $185: 24, \quad 186: 4$, <br> $186: 8, \quad 187: 23$, <br> $188: 1, \quad 188: 8$, <br> $188: 22, \quad 188: 23$, <br> $193: 11$ <br> complete <br> $229: 5$ <br> compliance <br> $153: 16$ <br> complied <br> $154: 8$ <br> comply <br> $215: 21$ <br> computed <br> $99: 5$ <br> computer <br> $29: 11, \quad 36: 17$, <br> $37: 3, \quad 38: 8$, <br> $38: 12, \quad 38: 14$, <br> $43: 15, \quad 46: 25$, <br> $47: 9, \quad 47: 10$, <br> $57: 4, \quad 58: 22$, <br> $70: 17, \quad 74: 7$, <br> $84: 22, \quad 84: 23$, <br> $115: 13, \quad 151: 6$, <br> $202: 17, \quad 203: 21$, <br> $208: 7, \quad 208: 8$, <br> $209: 25, \quad 219: 9$ <br> computers <br> $210: 2, \quad 210: 3$, <br> $210: 4, \quad 210: 7$, <br> $210: 16$, <br> concepts <br> $140: 17$ <br> $140: 19$ <br> concerning <br> $42: 1, \quad 43: 23$, <br> $147: 13, \quad 157: 19$, <br> $230: 8$ <br> concluded <br> $228: 13, \quad 228: 19$ <br> conclusion <br> $62: 3, \quad 62: 9$, <br> $62: 13$, <br> $62: 22$, <br> $63: 3,104: 4$, <br> $106: 11$, <br> conducting <br> $12: 5$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| conference | constitution | continually | 162:15, 162:21, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12:10, 159:21, | 72:25, 153:17, | 19:20 | 186:23, 204:25, |
| 160:2 | 153:20, 154:8, | continued | 221:25 |
| confirm | 213:17, 214:5, | 3:24, 4:25 | convey |
| 24:2, 29:20, | 214:14, 214:16, | continues | 210:25, 212:9 |
| 36:9, 41:25, | 218:6, 223:24 | 146:8 | conveyed |
| 48:9, 74:16, | constitutional | contractor | 212:7 |
| 75:24, 83:11, | 53:11, 214:24, | 48:23, 68:24, | coontz |
| 90:17, 92:24, | 215:5, 215:22, | 69:18, 202:11 | 5:5, 7:25 |
| 92:25, 182:22, | 216:7 | control | coontz@ohioago |
| 200:9 | construction | 13:23, 78:24, | 5:11 |
| confirming | 33:2, 213:19 | 129:16 | copied |
| 181:13, 183:16 | consult | controlled | 134:19, 137:13 |
| conflating | 11:19, 15:14, | 203:16 | copies |
| 122:25 | 47:22, 50:22 | controls | 152:3 |
| confused | consultant | 78:18 | copy |
| 177:12, 188:16, | 146:21, 146:22, | conversation | 116:17, 124:11, |
| 188:17 | 202:16 | 26:10, 26:14, | 151:24, 152:1 |
| congress | consultants | 26:16, 33:22, | corporation |
| 61:15, 105:17, | 148:1 | 34:21, 34:23, | 36:12, 70:25, |
| 163:1, 163:6, | consulted | 34:24, 35:1, | 72:15 |
| 163:11, 164:5, | 24:14, 30:16, | 42:1, 118:7, | corporations |
| 164:8, 196:25 | 48:4, 49:6, | 118:8, 118:10, | 71:7, 71:12, |
| congressman | 49:7, 52:13, | 142:2, 156:21, | 73:2, 223:11, |
| 221:2 | 62:3 | 157:2, 157:6, | 224:2 |
| connect | consulting | 191:14, 203:12 | corps |
| 46:12 | 48:17 | conversations | 129:1 |
| consequential | consuming | 25:5, 25:8, | correct |
| $55: 14$ | 22:22 | 25:13, 25:16, | 9:4, 9:6, 9:7, |
| consider | consumption | 25:17, 25:24, | 17:2, 17:3, |
| 54:25, 55:10, | 22:10 | 26:4, 33:15, | 23:11, 24:6, |
| 56:18, 67:20, | contained | 33:17, 33:18, | 26:1, 36:18, |
| 196:13, 205:8, | 65:8, 76:19, | 34:14, 35:9, | 37:5, 37:6, |
| 205:10, 228:12 | 76:21, 81:18, | 36:1, 40:24, | 39:2, 42:2, |
| consideration | 127:7, 134:14 | 41:1, 41:2, | 51:22, 52:3, |
| 57:8, 57:10, | content | 41:8, 41:13, | 52:4, 53:18, |
| 57:19, 58:2, | 207:12, 207:14 | 42:5, 42:8, | 56:25, 57:16, |
| 58:17, 58:20, | contents | 42:15, 42:21, | 60:11, 63:16, |
| 146:10 | 186:22, 187:15 | 42:23, 43:5, | 63:17, 64:8, |
| considerations | contest | 119:1, 139:5, | 64:17, 64:22, |
| 95:16, 143:20 | 168:2, 168:6 | 139:15, 139:22, | 64:23, 65:18, |
| considered | contests | 139:24, 140:12, | 66:4, 66:16, |
| 178:6 | 168: 4 | $140: 14, \quad 140: 24,$ | $66: 19,67: 2,$ |
| considering | context | 141:7, 141:16, | 67:21, 69:14, |
| 48:18, 56:1 | 76:19, 105:7 | $\begin{aligned} & 142: 12, ~ 142: 20, \\ & 142: 25, \\ & 147: 7, \end{aligned}$ | $72: 21,73: 16,$ |
| consist | contiguity | $\begin{aligned} & 142: 25, \quad 147: 7, \\ & 147: 9, \quad 147: 13, \end{aligned}$ | $76: 16,79: 13,$ |
| 52:2 | 214:17 contiguous | $149: 23,155: 13 \text {, }$ | $90: 12, \quad 90: 18,$ |
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| ```94:10, 96:21, 97:17, 102:13, 103:11, 105:25, 113:6, 113:12, 113:25, 115:3, 121:7, 127:23, 130:5, 130:25, 144:1, 152:21, 154:23, 157:23, 164:23, 167:2, 167:8, 168:25, 169:13, 170:21, 171:15, 171:16, 171:20, 171:21, 175:16, 175:17, 181:16, 183:19, 183:21, 184:4, 184:14, 185:11, 185:25, 186:5, 188:5, 191:7, 193:1, 193:7, 193:8, 193:13, 193:21, 195:14, 196:9, 201:19, 218:1, 218:4, 218:14, 223:22, 226:12, 226:16, 226:23, 229:4 corrected 127:1 corrections 229:6 correctly 57:17, 57:25, 95:14 corrects 183:24 corresponds 168:14 could 13:3, 24:23, 70:25, 73:15, 73:21, 74:16, 81:18, 81:21, 82:7, 88:6, 89:4, 103:3, 103:4, 103:10, 103:14, 120:4,``` |  |  | ```created 55:8, 102:6, 115:2, 134:22, 135:18, 135:21, 137:10, 201:5, 202:22, 203:23, 203:25 creating 50:23, 71:9, 95:5, 114:12, 144:5, 180:21 creation 25:9, 25:14, 25:21, 25:25, 26:3 creator 93:21 creek 224:9 cross 24:9 cross-talk 10:10, 159:15, 163:23 crr 1:25, 2:3, 231:9 csr 1:25, 2:3, 2:4, 231:9, 231:11 cst 1:15, 228:20 cupp 4:15, 156:14, 157:21, 160:24, 161:18, 162:3, 211:7 current 168:9, 178:13, 180:23, 183:12, 190:3, 190:20, 221:16 currently 8:18, 16:2, 178:4, 220:2, 220:5, 221:14 cursor 107:24, 225:2``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| displaying | 143:17, 152:8, | documentation | downloaded |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 89:24 | 152:15, 152:17, | 24:20 | 202:2 |
| dispute | 153:6, 153:9, | documents | downward |
| 148:8, 154:4, | 169:9, 175:13, | 112:5, 162:16, | 182:2, 182:7, |
| 187:1 | 175:20, 176:5, | 194:25 | 182:15 |
| disputing | 177:8, 177:17, | doing | draft |
| 154:12 | 191:2, 196:19, | 22:8, 24:17, | 27:3, 27:17, |
| disseminated | 196:20, 196:21, | 26:6, 29:13, | 111:14, 111:21, |
| 122:18 | 197:1, 198:8, | 39:9, 47:19, | 111:24, 111:25, |
| distinct | 212:25, 214:7, | 66:21, 85:24, | 112:3, 113:4, |
| 133:11 | 217:23, 217:25, | 93:12, 119:6, | 113:6, 113:10, |
| distinguished | 218:14, 218:16, | 120:7, 124:3, | 113:11, 114:22, |
| 18:21 | 218:25, 219:2, | 124:8, 124:9, | 114:24, 115:6, |
| distribute | 219:24, 220:3, | 166:4, 166:9, | 116:1, 116:16, |
| 128:24, 130:9, | 220:6, 220:17, | 187:21, 188:10, | 117:7, 117:16, |
| 130:15 | 220:20, 220:23, | 198:9, 202:17, | 117:17, 118:11, |
| distributed | 221:5, 221:10, | 206:6, 206:7 | 118:18, 119:4, |
| 129:8, 129:10, | 221:13, 221:15, | domain | 120:21, 121:5, |
| 129:13, 130:4, | $221: 18, ~ 221: 24, ~$ $222: 2,222: 3$, | 168:6 | 124:19, 124:20, |
| 130:7, 130:8 | $222: 2, ~ 222: 3$, $222: 6, ~ 225: 5$, | done | 127:13, 127:21, |
| distribution | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 222: 6, \quad 225: 5, \\ & 225: 17, \quad 225: 18, \end{aligned}\right.$ | 22:3, 24:5, | 127:24, 128:6, |
| 129:7, 129:23, | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll} 225: 17, & 225: 18, \\ 225: 20, & 225: 24, \end{array}\right.$ | $24: 19, \quad 24: 22$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 129: 4, & 130: 14, \\ 133: 2, & 133: 3 \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 162: 16, \quad 212: 21, \\ & 212: 22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 225: 20, ~ 225: 24, \\ & 226: 2,226: 4, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25: 19, \quad 26: 22, \\ & 87: 1, \quad 155: 4, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 133: 2, & 133: 3, \\ 133: 5, & 134: 14 \end{array}$ |
| district | 226:5, 226:6, | 196:3, 216:23 | 134:15, 134:25, |
| 29:11, 61:20, | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 226: 8 \\ & \text { district 1 } \end{aligned}\right.$ | dot | 135:20, 136:8, |
| $61: 21,65: 25$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { district_l } \\ & \text { 102:8 } \end{aligned}$ | 78:9, 82:10 | 136:13, 136:19, |
| 66:1, 66:5, | 102:8 <br> district $n$ | double-bunking | 136:22, 137:11, |
| 66:12, 67:9, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { district_n } \\ & 92: 2, ~ 92: 12 \end{aligned}$ | $197: 4$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 137: 21, & 137: 22, \\ 138: 10, & 138: 11, \end{array}$ |
| 71:9, $73: 19$, | 92:2, 92:12 <br> document | double-dipping \|214:6 | $\begin{aligned} & 138: 10, \quad 138: 11, \\ & 139: 2,140: 9, \end{aligned}$ |
| 74:13, 80:16, | $46: 1,65: 20,$ | 214:6 | $\begin{aligned} & 140: 2, \\ & 140: 12, \quad 140: 24, \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 80: 19, \quad 82: 19, \\ & 83: 8, \quad 83: 9, \end{aligned}$ | 129:15, 165:13, | double-negative $58: 10$ | $141: 22,142: 7,$ |
| 83:10, 83:12, | $\begin{array}{ll} 165: 15, & 165: 21, \\ 165: 24, & 166: 19, \end{array}$ | down | $\begin{aligned} & 142: 21, \quad 143: 25, \\ & 144: 9, \quad 147: 10, \end{aligned}$ |
| 83:24, 84:1, | $\begin{aligned} & 165: 24, ~ 166: 19, \\ & 173: 4, \quad 173: 13, \end{aligned}$ | $24: 12, \quad 56: 4$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll} 144: 9, & 147: 10, \\ 149: 10, & 151: 13, \end{array}\right.$ |
| 90:18, 90:20, | $\begin{array}{ll} 173: 4, & 173: 13, \\ 174: 3, & 179: 18, \end{array}$ | $67: 6, \quad 87: 10,$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll} 149: 10, & 151: 13, \\ 151: 16, & 154: 22, \end{array}\right.$ |
| 92:7, 95:5, | $\begin{aligned} & 174: 3, \quad 179: 18, \\ & 179: 20, \quad 179: 22, \end{aligned}$ | $87: 16, \quad 89: 17,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 151: 16, \quad 154: 22, \\ & 156: 6, \quad 156: 8, \end{aligned}$ |
| 95:6, 95:8, | $\begin{aligned} & 179: 20, \quad 179: 22, \\ & 180: 5, \quad 180: 9, \end{aligned}$ | 110:11, 111:9, | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll} 156: 6, & 156: 8, \\ 158: 4, & 216: 14 \end{array}\right.$ |
| 98:15, 99:4, | $\begin{aligned} & 180: 5, \quad 180: 9, \\ & 180: 11, \quad 180: 25, \end{aligned}$ | $130: 18, \quad 145: 10$ | 158:4, 216:14 <br> drafted |
| 100:5, 100:9, |  | $161: 24,163: 25$ | drafted $124: 1, \quad 124: 2$ |
| 103:20, 103:25, |  | $167: 12,168: 13,$ | 124:1, 124:2 |
| 104:20, 105:25, | $\begin{aligned} & 181: 5,182: 19, \\ & 182: 20, \\ & 187: 21, \end{aligned}$ | $169: 24, \quad 170: 10$ | drafting |
| 107:20, 108:5, |  | 173:23, 187:19, | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 124: 4, \quad 216: 16 \\ & \text { drafts } \end{aligned}\right.$ |
| 108:7, 109:1, |  | $190: 13,193: 4,$ | drafts |
| 109:5, 109:9, | 194:17, 194:20, 196:13, 196:15 | 193:9, 193:10, | 111:13, 111:22, |
| 109:14, 110:10, | $\begin{aligned} & 196: 13, \quad 196: 15, \\ & 197: 8,200: 21, \end{aligned}$ | 193:18, 193:19, | 115:9, 115:10, |
| 127:22, 132:5, | $\begin{aligned} & 197: 8, \quad 200: 21, \\ & 201: 5 \end{aligned}$ | $195: 10,201: 10,$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 134: 17, \quad 137: 3 \\ & 154: 16 \end{aligned}\right.$ |
| 138:17, 138:24, |  | $207: 24,217: 25$ | 154:16 |
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|  | ```during 8:15, 11:8, 15:9, 15:16, 17:10, 40:17, 43:6, 49:22, 50:3, 55:18, 119:25, 120:15, 139:23, 146:9, 178:16 duties 39:19, 124:25 dv 85:5, 89:22``` e 108:8 ea 88:15, 89:18, 90:2, 92:14, 92:15, 92:19, 92:23, 93:19, 93:25, 94:10, 99:7, 99:16, 99:20, 102:12, 102:14, 103:21, 103:25, 105:25, 106:17, 107:21, 108:1, 108:11, 109:22, 110:13, 111:1, 172:13, 191:15, 200:18, 203:1, 203:3, 203:20, 204:18, 204:20, 205:11, 205:15, 226:18 ea_dv 87:17, 88:16, 89:19 ea_rv 97:7 each 16:25, 63:23, 74:13, 80:7, 80:10, 80:15, 90:18, 99:8, 99:10, 104:14, 106:14, 133:10, |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| ```210:5, 210:23 email 6:14, 6:16, 47:18, 126:3, 126:7, 126:12, 127:10, 128:9, 128:20, 130:2, 149:23, 164:19, 164:23, 166:5, 166:14, 166:15, 212:22 emails 150:7 embargoed 128:21, 128:22, 129:19 employed 16:2 employee 27:22, 230:18, 230:19 enact 126:20, 200:23 enacted 6:13, 11:22, 28:25, 72:5, 89:14, 91:5, 112:24, 115:3, 125:11, 127:8, 135:4, 137:6, 138:4, 139:21, 140:5, 140:6, 147:10, 167:17, 169:4, 174:5, 181:16, 188:20, 189:8, 217:21, 217:24, 223:9 enactment 134:12 encompassed 46:4 end 47:3, 81:5, 85:15, 89:2, 89:17, 135:13, 143:22, 144:2 ended 59:17, 131:2,``` | 134:18 enough 19:11, 81:18, 96:14 entered 10:21 entitled 227:21 equal 100:11, 100:18, 192:18, 214:18 equals $87: 3,100: 8$ <br> errata $229: 7$ <br> error <br> 122:24 <br> especially 19:19, 96:2, <br> 158:11 <br> estimations <br> 104:6, 104:7 <br> et $1: 5,1: 9,7: 6,$ $149: 23$ <br> ethan $5: 15,78: 1,$ $175: 6,194: 2$ <br> even $\begin{aligned} & 15: 16, \quad 20: 7, \\ & 39: 12, \quad 53: 2, \\ & 73: 9, \quad 82: 2, \\ & 87: 1, \quad 98: 13, \\ & 107: 3, \quad 118: 4, \\ & 158: 16, \quad 180: 21, \\ & 199: 10 \\ & \text { evenly } \\ & 39: 11 \\ & \text { event } \\ & 15: 8, \quad 159: 4, \\ & 159: 7, \quad 159: 14, \\ & 160: 7 \\ & \text { events } \\ & 160: 18 \\ & \text { eventually } \\ & 28: 24 \\ & \text { ever } \\ & 17: 5, \quad 17: 13, \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| experienced | facts | 107:21, 108:9, | feedback |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17:17, 18:14 | 167:3 | 108:20, 109:16, | 132:10, 155:15, |
| expert | fair | 109:19, 110:23, | 206:22, 207:15 |
| 178:7, 219:6 | 17:16, 19:11, | 169:21, 170:24, | feedbacks |
| experts | 20:21, 37:7, | 203:23, 205:10 | 132:22, 133:15 |
| 148:1 | 38:22, 39:14, | fedea_rdi | feel |
| explain | 46:12, 47:15, | 99:24, 100:14, | 58:10, 106:4, |
| 127:21, 181:21, | 59:3, 107:13, | 101:15 | 106:23, 145:3 |
| 186:17 | 123:12, 138:24, | federal | felt |
| exported | 148:23, 192:11, | 49:23, 51:8, | 176:14 |
| 84:20 | 218:13 | 53:25, 54:1, | few |
| expound | fall | 55:22, 55:23, | 9:24, 12:7, |
| 139:11 | 61:23, 143:23, | 55:24, 56:20, | 14:25, 15:20, |
| expressed | 171:1, 171:9 | 56:23, 57:5, | 53:18, 64:13, |
| 8:19 | familiar | 57:12, 57:13, | 67:12, 81:25, |
| extent | 17:20, 53:10, | 58:23, 59:5, | 90:21, 122:20, |
| 14:6, 148:15, | 88:22, 91:20, | 59:14, 60:1, | 139:4, 178:11, |
| 150:16, 181:9, | 98:12, 98:21, | 60:13, 60:14, | 200:25, 217:1 |
| 211:21, 218:6 | 98:24, 101:22, | 61:3, 61:8, | figure |
| exterior | 173:25, 194:15 | 61:9, 61:12, | 68:12, 112:11, |
| 210:20 | familiarity | 61:25, 62:9, | 130:15, 170:25, |
| external | 19:16, 20:2, | 62:22, 63:3, | 175:19 |
| 209:22, 210:20 | 20:25 | 75:5, 75:22, | figured |
| extra | far | 97:1, 99:2, | 129:7 |
| $198: 25,199: 2$ | 25:20, 210:12 | $\begin{aligned} & 99: 3, \quad 99: 6, \\ & 100: 6, \quad 105: 15, \end{aligned}$ | figuring |
| extracted | farr | $106: 8, \quad 106: 9,$ | 129:9 |
| 89:11 | $4: 18$ | $161: 1,169: 17,$ | file |
| extremely | $\begin{aligned} & \text { fashion } \\ & 30: 20 \end{aligned}$ | $170: 14,172: 6,$ | $82: 10, \quad 82: 12,$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 80: 25, \quad 221: 24, \\ & 222: 17 \end{aligned}$ | fast | $172: 7,172: 9,$ | $84: 21,87: 14,$ |
| exurb | 119:21, 194:12 | 182:13, 182:24, | 88:7, 89:14, |
| 224:21 | favor | $\begin{aligned} & 183: 17, \\ & 184: 18, \\ & 185: 12 \end{aligned}$ | 112:24, 112:25, |
| F | 179:1, 180:1, | 188:23, 189:12, | 115:2, 115:19, |
| f | favorable | 191:14, 191:20, | 134:21, 134:24, |
| 91:18 | 38:21, 39:22 | 191:21, 192:8, | 135:2, 135:18, |
| f_ea_rv | favored | 192:13, 193:2, | $135: 22,135: 25,$ |
| 97:4, 97:14 | $176: 22$ | 193:3, 193:11, | $136: 2,137: 6 \text {, }$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { f_gp_rv } \\ & 96: 7,96: 22 \end{aligned}$ | fed | $\begin{array}{ll} 193: 19, & 200: 16, \\ 204: 15, & 204: 18 \end{array}$ | 137:7, 137:9, |
| f population | 99:6, 169:15, | 204:15, 204:18, | 137:10, 137:11, |
| $9 \overline{8}: 11$ | 170:13, 183:16 | 205:16, 212:24, | $137: 15$ |
| face | fedea 100.3 | 221:23, 222:10, | $24: 20$ |
| 91:11 | $\begin{aligned} & 98: 23, \quad 100: 3, \\ & 102: 17, \quad 103: 19, \end{aligned}$ | 222:16, 226:14, | files |
| fact | $103: 24,105: 7,$ | 226:17, 226:18, | 25:1, 68:3, |
| 8:25, 125:10, | $105: 12,105: 23,$ | 227:2, 227:7 <br> feed | 68:16, 112:2, |
| $126: 21,179: 4$ | $106: 16,106: 17,$ | feed 13:3 | $136: 7, \quad 137: 2,$ |
| factors | $106: 22,107: 7,$ | 13:3 | 137:5, 217:20 |
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| filled | 136:14, 136:19, | follow-up | 122:10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 196:4 | 136:20, 137:10, | 216:24 | francisco |
| final | 137:11, 137:22, | followed | 3:17 |
| 137:13, 138:4, | 138:10, 140:23, | 153:19, 170:23 | frank |
| 139:6, 180:15, | 154:16, 194:21, | following | 5:4, 5:14, 8:2 |
| 200:23 | 209:6 | 34:18, 116:7, | franklin |
| finally | fit | 162:25 | 95:3, 213:23, |
| 15:13, 170:12 | 141:6 | follows | 213:24, 214:1 |
| finance | five | 9:11 | free |
| 16:5, 39:9 | 71:9, 77:8, | force | 45:11 |
| find | 77:10, 77:11, | 49:1 | front |
| 13:21, 93:11, | 108:11, 110:6, | forecasts | 8:13, 9:3, |
| 145:1 | $183: 6,192: 19,$ | 104:19 | 12:21, 13:4, |
| fine | 192:20, 199:3 | foregoing | 14:11, 87:22, |
| 13:24, 13:25, | five-minute | 229:3, 230:10 | 152:20, 153:1, |
| 28:16, 77:2, | 198:17 | forest | 221:16 |
| 83:2, 89:2, | fix | 224:12, 224:13, | full |
| 144:22, 145:21, | 145:16 | 224:18 | 112:5 |
| 145:25, 217:2 | floor | forget | fuller |
| finer | 5:8 | $42: 17$ | 79:7 |
| $60: 8$ | flurry | forgot | fun |
| finish | $201: 8$ | 126:22, 196:7 | 42:20 |
| 10:9, 100:25 | focus | form | fundamental |
| finished | 22:10, 25:18, | 20:20, 47:17, | 111:19 |
| 27:4, 156:1 | 27:14, 58:23, | 58:5, 131:17 | further |
| finishing | 59:2, 59:4, | format | 87:16, 128:21, |
| 27:16 | 59:25, 60:1, | 85:10, 166:13 | 129:20, 146:9, |
| finneytown | 95:11, 111:17, | former | 154:9, 217:16, |
| 224:24, 225:9 | 113:23, 185:6 | 114:6 | 227:15 |
| firm | focused | forth | future |
| 7:23, 12:10, | $23: 15, \quad 23: 21,$ | $117: 4, \quad 150: 14,$ | 104:8 |
| 13:22 | $35: 7,43: 5,$ | $174: 19$ | G |
| first | $\begin{aligned} & 55: 16, \quad 56: 23, \\ & 57: 5, \quad 57: 12, \end{aligned}$ | forward |  |
| $\begin{array}{ll} 9: 10, & 9: 20, \\ 9: 24 . & 10: 1 . \end{array}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll} 57: 5, & 57: 12, \\ 73: 4, & 95: 10, \end{array}\right.$ | $60: 21, \quad 104: 5,$ |  |
| $9: 24, \quad 10: 1,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 73: 4, \quad 95: 10, \\ & 120: 8 \end{aligned}$ | $106: 11, \quad 106: 24$ | garbled |
| $10: 15,12: 9$, $16: 1,16: 13$, | 120:8 <br> focusing | 113:21, 145:4, | 156:24 |
| $16: 1, ~ 16: 13$, $18: 2, ~ 26: 14$, | focusing <br> 59.14 95.16 | 145:7, 213:5 | gavarone's |
| $18: 2, ~ 26: 14$, $34: 20,34: 24$, | 59:14, 95:16 | four | 128:18 |
| $34: 20, ~ 34: 24$, $55: 12, ~ 78: 17$, | folding | 76:1, 193:12 | gave |
| 55:12, 99:10, 99:17, | 38:21, 39:25, | fp | 57:19, 58:2, |
| $99: 10, ~ 99: 17$, $113: 4, ~ 116: 4$, | 40:3 folks | 98:20 | 58:16, 59:4, |
| $\begin{array}{lll} 113: 4, & 116: 4, \\ 117: 7, & 119: 21, \end{array}$ | folks $13: 7,15: 2 \text {, }$ | fpres_rd | 150:18, 186:16, |
| $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll} 117: 7, & 119: 21, \\ 127: 4, & 128: 21, \end{array}\right.$ | $45: 22,46: 8,$ | 98:17, 98:18 | 216:9 |
| 131:11, 131:15, | 77:1, 122:6, | fram | gears |
| 133:3, 133:17, | 142:11, 145:20 | frame | $35: 21,111: 8$ |
| 134:15, 134:25, | follow | 118:22, 119:2, | $15: 22,15: 24,$ |
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| ```17:1, 18:13, 20:4, 20:7, 21:3, 21:6, 30:3, 30:11, 32:11, 33:8, 33:9, 33:12, 34:17, 35:16, 35:18, 84:5, 85:4, 86:19, 86:20, 96:4, 96:11, 112:21, 114:19, 115:11, 117:22, 117:24, 124:22, 125:9, 127:25, 138:3, 140:11, 141:1, 142:12, 142:14, 147:11, 154:1, 160:9, 160:19, 161:11, 161:12, 163:14, 167:17, 169:4, 178:18, 180:1, 180:13, 180:19, 180:25, 188:20, 189:8, 192:15, 197:25, 205:1 general's 5:7, 8:1, 8:3 generally 18:11, 21:9, 43:16, 71:4, 102:22, 157:25, 162:12, 163:7, 174:1, 219:11, 219:13, 219:22 generate 112:1 generated 112:23 generating 52:20, 53:4, 53:9 generic 105:18, 105:23, 105:24 genesis 203:20``` |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| ```84:9, 85:2 grainy 89:3 graphical 152:5, 152:7 graphics 166:23 great 107:19, 144:21, 161:25, 200:17, 201:2 greater 20:7, 106:19, 214:13, 222:9 green 36:8, 36:21, 37:11, 37:13, 38:3, 38:7, 39:4, 39:12, 41:18, 42:5, 42:8, 43:1, 43:14, 208:9 greg 4:23, 7:22 gregory 4:16 ground 9:24, 12:7, 14:25 grounds 146:15 group 4:7, 7:18, 9:17, 29:25, 112:7, 212:13, 212:14 groups 147:19, 168:5, 211:25 guess 11:25, 13:9, 18:9, 23:13, 26:9, 26:12, 26:18, 36:5, 38:15, 39:14, 39:24, 44:21, 50:4, 52:17, 54:22, 57:6,``` | 58:15, $59: 1$, <br> $65: 19,84: 21$, <br> $87: 23, \quad 87: 24$, <br> $90: 6, \quad 97: 8$, <br> $98: 13, \quad 98: 22$, <br> $102: 9,105: 14$, <br> $105: 20,106: 1$, <br> $108: 16, \quad 109: 3$, <br> $136: 24, \quad 142: 3$, <br> $156: 2, \quad 162: 18$, <br> $179: 5, \quad 222: 4$, <br> $228: 11$ <br> guessed <br> $96: 10$ <br> guessing <br> $62: 18$, <br> $162: 21$, <br> guidance <br> $206: 14, \quad 207: 15$ <br> guide <br> $50: 25$ | 134:11 <br> happens <br> 103:16 <br> happy <br> 14:8, 28:16, <br> 137:25, 143:7, <br> 217:4 <br> hard ```104:13, 133:4 104:13, 133:4, 133:11, 198:23, 199:2 hats 100:1 hazard 54:22, 98:13, 179:5``` hb 9:1, 9:2 head 44:5, 44:14, 87:1, 188:10, 221:22 hear 46:6, 57:22, 156:24 hearing 127:12, 173:21 hearings 29:1, 29:2, 132:20, 155:9, 155:24, 216:10 heights 224:9 held 2:1, 177:6, 196:1, 196:4 hello 199:20, 199:23 help 14:5, 21:12, 21:13, 26:2, 26:10, 35:24, 43:4, 46:21, 51:3, 51:23, 58:19, 62:14, 85:20, 115:16, 115:21, 121:24, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| 223:18 | 203:22, 205:16 | 141:3, 156:13, | immediately |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| here's | honest | 156:14, 157:20, | 10:8, 125:13, |
| 84:15 | 225:6 | 160:24, 161:19, | 129:21 |
| hereby | honestly | 162:2, 208:13, | immune |
| 229:2, 230:5 | 166:20 | 208:15, 209:9, | 216:15 |
| herein | hope | 210:3, 211:2 | impact |
| 9:10, 230:9 | 19:23, 100:20, | huh | 60:24, 61:21 |
| hereto | 135:16, 143:14, | 160:21 | impacts |
| 230:21 | 145:8 | hung | 143:17 |
| hereunto | hoping | 57:1 | impasse |
| 231:1 | $217: 8$ | hypercompetitive | $228: 2,228: 4,$ |
| hesitant | horizontally | $222: 18$ | 228:8 |
| 191:22 | 38:24 | I | impeded |
| hey | hosted | id | 227:20 |
| 45:11, 77:13, | 160:7, 160:19 | 82:13, 83:1 | implementing |
| 145:14 | hour | id-1 | 149:13, 151:16 |
| higher | $76: 25$ | $83: 5$ | importance |
| $104: 19, \quad 105: 5,$ | house | idea | $59: 11$ |
| 106:3, 106:16, | $4: 15,9: 1,9: 2$, | 72:22, 72:25, | important |
| 106:17, 106:22, | $30: 19,30: 22$, | 79:23, 83:6, | 37:17, 98:5, |
| 107:8, 107:21, | $31: 2,31: 4$, | 84:2, 93:15, | 136:4, 145:9, |
| 108:1, 108:6, | $31: 7,31: 24$, | 93:16, 93:18, | 196:16 |
| 108:16, 109:4, | $34: 6,35: 5$, | 97:6, 134:22, | imprecise |
| 109:8, 109:13, | 36:2, 37:15, | 221:11, 225:9 | 104:8 |
| 109:17, 109:22, | 37:20, 39:11, | ideal | impressions |
| 110:9, 110:14, | 41:23, 70:15, | $74: 14, \quad 97: 16,$ | $146: 23,148: 2$ |
| 110:19, 191:23, | 114:1, 114:4, | $98: 3, \quad 98: 6$ | in-house |
| 191:25 | 115:18, 131:19, | identical | $30: 16, \quad 42: 10,$ |
| highest | 131:22, 132:2, | $107: 5,108: 12,$ | $119: 14,123: 25,$ |
| 190:8, 191:11, | $\begin{array}{ll} 133: 23, & 157: 8, \\ 158: 13, & 158: 21 \end{array}$ | $108: 18, \quad 109: 16$ | $209: 20,210: 20$ |
| 192:18 | $\begin{aligned} & 158: 13, ~ 158: 21, \\ & 167: 19, ~ 183: 8, \end{aligned}$ | identification | in-person |
| highlighted | 167:19, 183:8, | $92: 7$ | $45: 3$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 195:18, 196:6 } \\ & \text { hip1 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 183: 10, & 186: 15, \\ 190: 19, & 197: 24 \end{array}$ | identifying | inadvertently |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { hill } \\ & \text { 223:13 } \end{aligned}$ | 190:19, 197:24, $203: 10,209: 21$ | $87: 6$ | $144: 23$ |
| 223:13 <br> himself | however | identity | include |
| 34:3 | 8:19, 26:24, | 142:11 | $\begin{aligned} & 148: 10, \quad 186: 13, \\ & 218: 20 \end{aligned}$ |
| hints | 227:10 | $82: 16$ | included |
| 14:10 | huffman | illinois | $201: 14,201: 16,$ |
| history | $4: 15,21: 20$ | $230: 5,231: 3$ | $202: 23,204: 9,$ |
| $126: 15$ | $26: 17,27: 9,$ | illustration | 212:23, 212:24 |
| hm-hm | 28:21, 34:6, | 8:17 | includes |
| 36:24 | 45:6, 59:10, <br> 118:18, 118:21 | images | $172: 8,211: 21,$ |
| hold $100: 1, \quad 116: 2$ | 119:17, 120:24, | 122:5 | $\begin{aligned} & 218: 1, \quad 218: 21, \\ & 218: 22 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 100: 1, \quad 116: 2, \\ & 130: 14, \quad 132: 17, \end{aligned}$ | $121: 6,123: 19,$ | imagine | $\begin{aligned} & 218: 22 \\ & \text { including } \end{aligned}$ |
| 178:1, 226:17 | $125: 7, \quad 139: 4,$ | 45:22, 170:24 immediate | 22:24, 64:2, |
| holdover | 139:5, 139:16, | 178:9 | 100:14, 140:17 |
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| incomplete | indicate | initials | intend |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 154:7, 154:10, | 44:14 | 87:23 | 144:24 |
| 187:5 | indication | input | intends |
| incorporated | 220:21, 221:6 | 144:8, 144:10, | 221:11 |
| 224:3 | indications | 155:16 | intent |
| incorporating | 227:17 | inquired | 126:20 |
| 133:8 | indices | 163:8, 163:15 | intention |
| incumbent | 50:22, 51:2, | inquiries | 112:18 |
| 160:25, 161:13, | 51:6, 51:13, | 164:4 | intentionally |
| 161:15, 162:4, | 51:14, 51:21, | inquiring | 140:15 |
| 162:6, 197:13, | 52:1, 52:20, | 118:9 | interact |
| 198:5, 219:24, | 53:5, 53:18, | insert | 61:15, 61:16 |
| 220:2, 220:5 | 102:11, 170:18, | 211:20 | interacted |
| incumbents | 174:4, 201:13, | inside | 119:25 |
| 196:18, 196:19, | 201:14, 201:16, | 214:8 | interacting |
| 196:20, 197:4, | 201:17, 201:20, | installed | 60:17, 60:18 |
| 214:25, 220:22 | 202:19, 202:22, | 202:3 | interested |
| index | 221:19 | instance | 230:21 |
| 52:11, 52:15, | indirectly | 34:7, 72:2, | interesting |
| 53:24, 61:4, | 230:21 | 100:16 | 98:18 |
| 75:25, 76:18, | individual | instances | interject |
| 93:2, 94:1, | $207: 20$ | 95:15, 106:16, | 43:3, 146:7 |
| 94:10, 99:4, | individuals | 191:10, 191:11 | interrupt |
| 99:13, 99:14, | 208:17, 209:12, | instant | 215:11 |
| 99:19, 104:16, | 209:24, 212:11 | 46:18, 46:21 | interruption |
| 104:17, 105:23, | industry | instead | 14:17 |
| 169:16, 170:14, | 21:1 | $10: 3, \quad 39: 15$ | intimately |
| 170:20, 172:8, | inevitably | instruct | $145: 1$ |
| 172:11, 172:17, | 147:20 | $33: 6,146: 25,$ | intricate |
| 176:23, 176:24, | infection | $153: 15$ | 42:14 |
| 177:11, 177:14, | 188:5 | instructed | introduce |
| $177: 18, ~ 178: 25$, $182: 25,183: 18$, | infinitesimally | 10:24, 11:4, | 111:16 |
| 182:25, 183:18, | 109:4 | 34:3, 121:21, | introduced |
| $185: 11, ~ 188: 9$, $191: 2, ~ 192: 6$, | information | 153:21, 156:5, | 8:15, 21:21, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 191: 2,192: 6, \\ & 193: 20,203: 24, \end{aligned}$ | 11:24, 11:25, | 156:7, 187:7, | 27:11, 70:16, |
| 204:9, 204:11, | 49:1, 50:25, | 212:13 | 114:3, 115:7, |
| 204:18, 204:21, | 69:19, 79:22, | instruction | 116:6, 116:25, |
| 222:10, 222:17, | $80: 25, ~ 94: 8$, $95: 24,101: 13$, | 22:14, 119:21, 207:6 | 117:10, 118:12, |
| 222:22, 222:23, | 125:14, 128:24, | instructions | $121: 25, \quad 122: 5,$ |
| $226: 15$ <br> indexes | 129:3, 129:19, | 44:9, 153:13, | 122:14, 122:20, |
| $52: 13,54: 6$ | 130:9, 192:10, | 153:25, 154:9, | 123:23, 126:17, |
| $55: 8,104: 19,$ | 196:5, 210:25, | 154:11, 213:13, | 126:18, 127:1, |
| 205:9, 222:8, | 212:9 | 215:12, 215:21, | 127:2, 131:11, |
| 222:12 |  | 216:13 | 131:16, 131:20, |
| indexing | initially | integral | 132:19, 133:3, |
| 93:11 | 181:22 | $35: 16, \quad 117: 23$ | 133:18, 134:15, $135: 13, \quad 136: 21$ |
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| ```136:22, 137:23, 151:14, 151:19, 155:8, 155:23, 181:23 introduction 114:18, 119:5, 119:22, 120:20, 121:5, 123:1, 123:6, 123:14, 123:17, 124:12, 124:20, 125:9, 125:13, 134:25, 135:19, 138:6, 138:10, 140:3 invoke 32:7, 32:18 involved 13:11, 16:21, 18:15, 18:17, 31:25, 45:21, 45:25, 145:1, 145:11, 162:14 involving 147:22 issue 8:16, 29:9, 143:11, 216:2 issues 14:20 itemize 29:5, 122:11 itemizes 73:1 items 166:17 itself 214:8 J jasrasaria 4:5 jjasrasaria@elias 4:12 job 1:23, 120:9, 124:25 jogs 126:14``` | ```john 32:3, 33:1, 41:23, 120:14, 141:21, 142:6, 146:12, 147:13 joint 29:1, 48:23, 132:3, 186:15 judgment 106:24 jump 217:3, 217:4 june 23:19, 23:20, 194:22 jurisdiction 219:18 jurisdictions 56:8, 67:7, 135:9 jyoti 4:5 K keep 15:24, 36:25, 74:5, 77:2, 108:25, 161:20, 193:9, 217:2, 218:25 kept 196:6, 218:4, 218:13 keying 74:25, 178:14 kind 24:18, 26:10, 78:24, 79:18, 112:5, 150:2, 155:15, 162:17, 191:13, 191:16, 196:10, 197:21, 197:24, 203:19 kinds 20:18, 132:4 knew 123:22, 124:1, 147:20, 155:6,``` | 155:7, 155:24, 196:16, 198:5 knowing 85:1 knowledge $20: 5, \quad 20: 17,$ $28: 5,54: 11,$ $196: 24$ <br> knows $88: 8$  | ```22:3, 22:23, 113:15, 134:10, 135:6, 140:9, 140:25, 154:23, 198:23 later 28:3, 45:11, 114:23, 114:24, 125:10, 127:7, 136:22, 137:10, 150:13 laughing 93:17 law 4:7, 4:11, 4:12, 7:17, 7:23, 9:17, 12:10, 13:22, 118:4 laws 18:10, 19:19 lawyer 216:6 layer 71:23 layers 70:23 lead 62:22, 63:2, 122:20 leaders 125:1, 134:5, 144:20, 158:11, 203:11 leadership 17:6, 17:8, 211:15 leading 22:11, 23:19, 68:21, 134:11 league 1:3, 3:3, 7:5, 7:21, 198:15, 199:21 lean 219:12, 219:13, 219:16, 219:17 leanings 211:1, 212:10,``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| 219:7 <br> leans <br> 219:22, 225:18, <br> 225:19, 225:22 <br> learn <br> 159:9, 159:12, <br> 159:18 <br> least <br> 33:14, 39:16, <br> 40:5, 53:18, <br> 54:4, 60:10, <br> 106:21, 106:22, <br> 167:7, 218:10 <br> leave <br> 199:10, 228:9 <br> led <br> 63: 6 <br> left <br> 64:10, 64:16, <br> 84:22, 171:18, <br> 185:6, 185:7 <br> left-most <br> 168:21 <br> leftover <br> 75:15 <br> legal <br> 30:17, 42:12, <br> 123:24, 128:7, <br> 216:6, 216:16 <br> legible <br> 89:16 <br> legislative <br> 17:23, 22:9, <br> 23:7, 23:9, <br> 24:22, 25:19, <br> 26:6, 26:19, <br> 26:22, $30: 2$, <br> $30: 6,30: 9$, <br> $30: 11,30: 15$, <br> $30: 18,30: 22$, <br> 31:10, 31:12, <br> 32:7, 32:18, <br> 35:13, 38:4, <br> $39: 8,39: 10$, <br> $40: 12,42: 11$, <br> 42:12, 42:25, <br> $43: 8,48: 25$, <br> 49:8, 49:23, |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { limit } \\ & 171: 11 \\ & \text { line } \\ & 46: 12, \quad 128: 21, \\ & 152: 15, \quad 175: 14 \\ & \text { lines } \\ & 29: 11, \quad 45: 11, \\ & 66: 1, \quad 66: 5, \\ & 66: 12, \quad 66: 18, \\ & 67: 20, \quad 67: 23, \\ & 68: 14, \quad 68: 17, \\ & 69: 4, \quad 69: 6, \\ & 69: 7, \quad 69: 8, \\ & 69: 15, \quad 143: 17, \\ & 143: 18, \quad 145: 13, \\ & 152: 8, \quad 153: 4, \\ & 153: 6, \quad 161: 5, \\ & 163: 3, \quad 168: 20, \\ & 191: 23, \quad 195: 4, \\ & 195: 9, \quad 195: 14 \\ & \text { list } \\ & 222: 25 \\ & \text { listed } \\ & 80: 8, \quad 171: 17, \\ & 172: 16, \quad 175: 15, \\ & 190: 15, \quad 191: 4, \\ & 191: 10, \quad 191: 12 \\ & \text { listened } \\ & 216: 11 \\ & \text { listening } \\ & 180: 12 \\ & \text { listing } \\ & 174: 4 \\ & 1 \text { lists } \\ & 175: 16 \\ & \text { litigation } \\ & 147: 8, \quad 147: 22 \\ & 1 \text { little } \\ & 21: 5, \quad 22: 6, \\ & 24: 13, \quad 26: 13, \\ & 29: 8, \quad 35: 21, \\ & 36: 14, \quad 43: 4, \\ & 47: 10, \quad 49: 13, \\ & 51: 3, \quad 51: 12, \\ & 52: 18, \quad 54: 2, \\ & 54: 3, \quad 54: 13, \\ & 57: 7, \quad 57: 22, \\ & 63: 14, \quad 79: 1, \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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|  |  | ```194:1 married 69:20, 202:14 master 111:14, 112:12, 112:13, 112:17, 113:5, 113:10 matches 92:10 material 11:17 materially 227:20 materials 11:19 math 106:21, 107:10, 188:10 mathematical 112: 6 mathematically 110:14 matt 4:14 matter 7:5, 108:13, 155:3 matters 148:11, 218:6, 230:9 maybe 13:17, 22:6, 33:16, 39:7, 51:16, 53:2, 54:2, 73:21, \(75: 15,87: 6\), 126:14, 162:22, 190:13, 192:3, 192:22, 223:15, 226:8 mccolley 6:19, 21:21, 22:12, 27:10, 28:8, 28:18, 29:20, 31:16, \(34: 5,35: 4\), \(37: 14,37: 25\), \(45: 7,45: 8\),``` |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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|  |  | ```91:10, 94:24, 103:19, 114:14, 114:21, 115:1, 120:21, 121:2, 123:22, 124:7, 130:11, 144:10, 144:14, 206:2, 209:17 mess 46:24 message 44:18, 46:18, 46:24 messages 44:23, 45:4, 45:10, 45:19, 47:9, 150:12 messaging 46:22 messed 196:7 met 37:14, 116:18, 150:20 method 13:16 methods 149:20 metric 185:23, 186:4, 189:24 metrics 187:23 michael 5:6, 8:3 michelle 1:25, 2:2, 8:6, 230:3, 231:9 mid 21:24, 22:1, 22:2, 22:23 mid-november 134:12 mid-october 22:17, 22:18, 23:2, 24:4, 24:11, 24:14, 24:18, 25:2,``` |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| ```193:4, 193:11, 193:14, 193:19, 227:1 minute 81:7, 135:11, 139:8 minutes 77:1, 77:9, 77:12, 145:20, 198:25, 199:2 missed 44:11 mission 3:16 misspoke 123:9 mistaken 128:19 mistakes 136:15 misunderstood 217:10 mm-hm 40:9, 136:11, 140:2, 140:4, 152:24, 161:6, 195:21 modified 167:22 moment 45:15, 57:23, 61:6, 93:13, 106:13, 130:5, 175:9, 221:8 monfort 224:9 monitor 7:9, 38:13, 38:14 monitors 38:18, 38:19, 38:23 month 157:14 months 63:22, 196:1 more 14:7, 14:25,``` |  | $181: 13$ <br> mouth <br> $43: 10$ <br> move <br> $47: 13, \quad 88: 16$, <br> $107: 22, \quad 142: 18$, <br> $154: 12, \quad 187: 1$, <br> $192: 23, \quad 205: 5$, <br> $205: 25, \quad 206: 19$, <br> $207: 3, \quad 213: 5$, <br> $221: 12$ <br> moving <br> $99: 23, \quad 102: 10$, <br> $108: 25, \quad 148: 12$ <br> much <br> $40: 12, \quad 40: 13$, <br> $40: 15, \quad 47: 8$, <br> $145: 6, \quad 145: 13$, <br> $176: 7, \quad 176: 13$ <br> mullins <br> $4: 19, \quad 7: 23$ <br> multi-week <br> $42: 13$ <br> multiple <br> $52: 6, \quad 52: 7$, <br> $52: 9$ <br> multiple_f <br> $101: 5, \quad 101: 8$, <br> $101: 21, \quad 102: 3$, <br> $102: 5$ <br> multiplier <br> $101: 20$ <br> municipal <br> $70: 25, \quad 71: 7$, <br> $71: 12, \quad 72: 15$, <br> $73: 2, \quad 223: 11$, <br> $224: 2$ <br> myself <br> $12: 19, \quad 93: 17$, <br> $157: 8, \quad 210: 19$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| ```213:10, 214:2, 214:15, 223:25 occasions 82:2 occur 66:1 october 21:25, 22:1, 22:3, 22:10, 22:23, 26:21, 27:13, 42:11, 113:15, 113:16, 116:3, 116:23, 117:6, 117:13, 118:22, 119:18, 121:22, 134:10, 140:10, 140:25, 154:23, 154:24, 157:14, 162:4, 162:6, 162:7, 162:25 oel 114: 6 oelslager 114:4, 114:7, 114:8, 144:18, 211:11 offer 104:24 offered 115:19 office 5:7, 8:1, 8:3, 36:2, 36:3, 36:5, 36:7, 36:17, 36:20, 36:21, 36:22, 37:4, 37:15, 38:2, 39:5, 39:16, 39:21, 40:7, 40:20, 41:3, 41:8, 116:18, 128:13, 128:17, 128:19, 129:15, 150:21, 151:1, 151:3, 151:5, 204:5, 204:8, 208:8,``` |  |  | ```operating 23:16 opinion 189:9 opportunity 159:6, 159:9, 159:12, 159:18, 227:22 opposed 89:24 opposite 86:15, 86:20 oranges 107:2 order 196:23, 198:7 organization 160:8 organized 113:16, 113:20, 133:24 orient 98:1 original 115:23, 117:16, 147:10 other 11:19, 11:24, 12:19, 13:8, 18:17, 20:11, 22:12, 22:25, 28:24, 29:3, 30:2, 30:5, 33:8, 36:16, 37:22, 39:19, 42:4, 42:23, 47:18, 48:3, 48:4, 49:24, 50:1, 51:18, 56:22, 59:9, 60:3, 60:23, 62:17, 70:23, 71:12, 75:8, 76:4, 77:3, 81:8, 95:10, 102:25, 104:14, 109:20, 112:1, 112:3, 112:4,``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| ```179:1, 188:5, 188:22, 188:24, 193:19, 196:8, 221:20, 222:9 percentage 75:10, 85:12, 91:21, 92:22, 96:15, 96:25, 98:21, 99:21, 100:4, 100:5, 109:12 percentages 89:25 perfect 14:15, 86:7, 102:2 perfectly 13:25, 78:16, 170:9 perform 105:25 performs 105:24 period 119:18, 124:14, 124:15, 139:20, 139:23, 161:7, 208:3, 208:5 periods 107:14, 191:20 person 30:16, 30:18, 34:4, 46:4, 56:5, 67:6, 72:10, 138:17, 146:22, 148:18, 150:20, 150:25, 158:8, 159:5, 159:8 personal 62:1, 230:13 personally 64:20 phase 68:25 phone 37:24, 38:1, 44:2, 45:12,``` |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| ```95:16, 97:17, 98:7, 102:5, 143:19, 169:8, 202:5, 213:21, 213:25, 214:11, 214:18, 218:9 portion 154:6, 167:20, 182:19, 187:25, 197:11, 218:24 portions 214:11 posed 146:11 position 31:6, 57:18, 58:1, 128:11, 146:19, 146:22, 187:8, 187:14, 216:12, 224:17, 224:23 possible 121:3, 124:12, 133:16, 196:22, 198:8, 199:8 post 22:8, 39:9, 108:22, 110:2, 138:6, 139:24, 140:3 potential 143:2 potentially 46:2 practicable 214:18 preceding 22:7, 49:24, 50:5, 68:21, 178:5 precinct 54:17, 54:20, 67:8, 69:6, 70:24 precincts 145:14 predicting 104:8``` | predictive $104: 16$ predictor $61: 9, \quad 62: 10$, $62: 23, \quad 63: 4$ prefer $13: 7, \quad 219: 8$ preferred $13: 16$ prefixes $97: 5$ preloaded $58: 21, \quad 201: 25$, $202: 8$ preparation $124: 6$ prepare $11: 14, \quad 11: 20$, $21: 12, \quad 21: 13$, $68: 24, \quad 156: 5$, $156: 7, \quad 179: 20$, $179: 22, \quad 180: 4$, $180: 6, \quad 194: 16$ prepared $165: 23, \quad 174: 3$, $194: 19, \quad 200: 21$ preparing $180: 11$ pres $45: 7$ present $5: 13, \quad 81: 19$, $81: 24, \quad 151: 13$, $151: 15, \quad 156: 6$, $156: 8, \quad 157: 5$, $209: 21$ presented $78: 3, \quad 89: 8$, $112: 19, \quad 113: 6$, $113: 10, \quad 114: 1$, $114: 15, \quad 114: 22$, $114: 24, \quad 115: 11$, $115: 24, \quad 117: 15$, $121: 17, \quad 125: 19$, $147: 10, \quad 164: 15$, $165: 9, \quad 172: 25$, $173: 20, \quad 179: 11$, $194: 4, \quad 217: 15$, 1 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| privileged | 84:18, 91:25, | production | provisions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 33:10, 117:25, | 92:17, 94:11, | 46:1, 181:8 | 153:19, 213:18 |
| 216:15 | 96:1, 98:5, | professional | public |
| pro | 102:15, 111:11, | 198:24 | 59:9, 59:11, |
| 123:1 | 117:24, 119:21, | program | 129:2, 131:24, |
| prob | 122:25, 123:2, | 29:11, 29:15, | 132:1, 133:7, |
| 138:23 | 123:4, 123:9, | 66:7, 67:24 | 133:21, 134:3, |
| probably | 125:3, 125:11, | progress | 138:2, 147:18, |
| 20:7, 22:17, | 125:12, 128:23, | 149:6, 149:9, | 168:4, 168:6, |
| 29:7, 54:22, | 129:17, 133:21, | 149:12, 149:22, | 176:2, 176:7, |
| $85: 6, \quad 90: 25$ | 135:8, 135:14, | $150: 18, \quad 150: 23$ | 180:13, 186:13, |
| 91:19, 95:11, | 138:25, 144:2, | project | 186:14, 212:23, |
| 110:22, 122:13, | 144:22, 144:25, | 22:15, 69:2, | 216:9 |
| 123:6, 134:17, | 146:13, 162:14, | 202:12 | publicly |
| 134:19, 138:25, | 163:9, 164:5, | projected | 152:19, 203:13 |
| 167:6, 181:23, | 175:21, 201:7, | 104:20 | pull |
| 196:6 | 203:2, 203:7, | promoting | 77:23, 86:8, |
| problem | 205:2, 205:14, | 57:15 | 86:9, 88:25, |
| 8:21, 208:24 | 207:21, 207:23 | proposal | 89:4, 111:9, |
| proceeding | processes | $115: 19,127: 22,$ | 112:10, 120:17, |
| 45:1 | $17: 24,17: 25,$ | 131:17, 131:19, | $125: 16, \quad 130: 18,$ |
| proceedings | $\begin{aligned} & 18: 5,35: 25, ~ \\ & 142 \cdot 14 \end{aligned}$ | 135:4, 167:20, | 164:12, 165:3, |
| 8:15, 230:14 | processing | 180:15, 180:16, | $\begin{aligned} & 193: 24, \quad 200: 1, \\ & 217: 7, \quad 223: 3, \end{aligned}$ |
| process | $34: 17$ | 181:20, 181:22, | $\begin{aligned} & 217: 7,223: 3, \\ & 225: 14 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll} 12: 4, & 16: 23, \\ 18: 3, & 24: 22, \end{array}\right.$ | produce | $183: 10,185: 2$ proposals | pulling |
| 26:19, 26:20, | $\begin{aligned} & 52: 11, ~ 52: 15, \\ & 99 \cdot 3 \end{aligned}$ | $70: 16, \quad 132: 22,$ | $78: 1, \quad 110: 22,$ |
| 27:19, 28:1, | $\begin{aligned} & 99: 3, \quad 104: 3, \\ & 104: 25, \quad 115: 16, \end{aligned}$ | $133: 14,133: 23,$ | $217: 9$ |
| 28:4, 29:4, | $\begin{aligned} & 104: 25, ~ 115: 16, \\ & 115: 22, ~ 116: 6 \end{aligned}$ | $184: 12,187: 22,$ | purpose |
| 31:25, 32:11, | 115:22, 116:6 produced | $189: 14, \quad 190: 1,$ | $180: 10$ |
| 33:11, 35:17, | produced | $198: 10$ | purposes |
| 39:8, $40: 12$, | $45: 17,46: 2,$ | proposed | 8:17, 214:19 |
| 40:14, 40:16, | $46: 5,52: 13,$ | 11:23, 167:1 | pursuant |
| 40:17, 42:14, | 78:10, 82:11, | provide | 2:2 |
| 42:20, 43:1, | 89:15, 126:5, | $11: 11, \quad 49: 1$ | put |
| 43:7, 49:7, | 131:22, 152:10, | 129:18, 206:22, | $23: 16,23: 18,$ |
| 49:8, 49:23, | $164: 18,165: 12,$ | 215:24 | $48: 24,60: 8,$ |
| 50:4, 50:19, | 166:12, 194:7, | provided | $68: 6,68: 7,$ |
| 52:15, 52:19, | 195:7, 217:20 | 24:21, 69:18, | 80:1, 103:9, |
| 53:4, 53:5, | produces | 73:6, 122:5, | 112:10, 112:13, |
| 53:6, 53:9, | 51:15 | 124:4, 204:4, | 124:1, 132:10, |
| 53:10, 54:10, | producing | 206:14, 216:1 | 132:14, 132:17, |
| 55:19, 57:2, | 162:16 | provides | 137:16, 138:3, |
| 57:4, 58:22, | product | $218: 9$ | 139:6, 140:20, |
| $62: 7,62: 16$, | $\begin{aligned} & 146: 16, ~ 146: 25, \\ & 147: 17,148: 2, \end{aligned}$ | providing | 144:16, 145:4, |
| $64: 10, ~ 69: 2$, $69: 13, ~ 70: 13$, | 147:17, 148:2, | 129:15, 130:13 | 145:7, 155:13, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 69: 13, \\ & 70: 20, \\ & 75: 13, \end{aligned}$ | 148:9, 156:1, $227: 18$ | provision <br> 214•13 | $\begin{aligned} & 155: 14, \quad 160: 15, \\ & 168: 5, \quad 176: 5, \end{aligned}$ |
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|  | $177: 13, \quad 177: 24$, <br> $178: 10,178: 18$, <br> $187: 8,187: 16$, <br> $191: 16, \quad 191: 23$, <br> $198: 21, \quad 201: 2$, <br> $201: 22, \quad 204: 5$, <br> $204: 6, \quad 207: 10$, <br> $207: 13, \quad 208: 23$, <br> $210: 8, \quad 211: 6$, <br> $212: 3$ <br> questioned <br> $176: 9$ <br> questioning <br> $146: 8$ <br> questions <br> $9: 19, \quad 10: 2$, <br> $10: 6,10: 23$, <br> $11: 9,12: 7$, <br> $15: 19, \quad 15: 20$, <br> $23: 24, \quad 28: 14$, <br> $38: 16, \quad 104: 11$, <br> $105: 4,171: 7$, <br> $187: 15, \quad 199: 25$, <br> $207: 5, \quad 207: 7$, <br> $207: 12, \quad 208: 1$, <br> $209: 5$, <br> $216: 25$, <br> $227: 16$ <br> quick <br> $188: 14$, <br> $199: 8$ <br> quickly <br> 198:21, <br> $199: 24$ <br> quite <br> $166: 20$ | raise <br> $142: 9$ <br> raleigh <br> $4: 21$ <br> range <br> $176: 4, \quad 176: 15$ <br> ranging <br> $175: 13$ <br> rarely <br> $75: 12$ <br> rather <br> $33: 15, \quad 68: 15$, <br> $86: 23, \quad 118: 10$, <br> $126: 4, \quad 156: 14$, <br> $170: 18, \quad 199: 1$, <br> $219: 25, \quad 226: 1$ <br> rational <br> $60: 15$ <br> raw <br> $51: 20, \quad 85: 11$, <br> $88: 18, \quad 89: 24$, <br> $92: 21, \quad 94: 6$, <br> $96: 12$ <br> ray <br> $6: 17, \quad 165: 1$ <br> raymond <br> $1: 13,2: 1, \quad 6: 4$, <br> $7: 4, \quad 9: 9, \quad 9: 22$, <br> $229: 2$ <br> rd <br> $98: 20$ <br> rdi <br> $100: 15$ <br> re-election <br> $220: 17, \quad 220: 20$, <br> $221: 10$ <br> reached <br> $175: 19$ <br> reaching <br> $62: 3, \quad 175: 24$ <br> read <br> $175: 9, \quad 229: 3$ <br> read-on <br> $7: 2$ <br> reading <br> $85: 17,129: 5$ <br> realized <br> $217: 10$ <br>  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| ```164:20, 166:4, 166:8, 178:9, 180:6, 181:3, 181:5, 181:10, 186:10, 201:8, 210:2, 210:14 recap 148:15 receive 215:19 received 18:20, 51:6, 153:12, 194:23, 202:2 recent 75:11, 85:7, 97:1, 205:20 recently 18:22 recess 77:20, 146:4, 199:15 reciprocated 124:8 recognition 19:5 recognize 126:7, 165:13, 165:21, 166:21, 223:23, 224:6, 224:7, 224:10, 224:13 recollection 121:4, 181:4 recommendation 63:8 record 9:21, 10:11, 10:22, 14:19, 14:21, 14:23, 15:4, 15:10, 16:1, 23:4, 44:8, 44:14, 45:21, 46:6, 64:14, 77:15, 77:19, 77:22, 101:1, 114:20, 118:2, 145:18,``` |  | ```refer 30:25, 93:20, 93:22, 93:23, 167:16, 168:10, 169:3, 203:4 reference 11:17, 95:2 referenced 53:25, 67:5, 94:16, 130:21, 144:11, 151:5, 166:23, 209:17 referencing 14:8, 67:18 referred 41:22, 45:19, 203:16, 208:19, 226:10 referring 36:4, 36:10, 36:21, 41:21, 48:21, 49:3, 49:9, 56:3, 59:13, 61:1, 62:8, 62:18, 66:8, 69:5, 74:5, 75:19, 131:6, 136:18, 151:3, 151:4, 152:22, 170:8, 170:10 refers 167:20, 168:3, 169:12 refine 167:24 refined 131:19, 181:24 reflect 142:13 reflecting 49:17 reflects 78:8, 146:23, 148:1 refreshing 12:3 regard 186:21``` |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| relief | 230:11 | 94:5, 96:11, | response |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 228:10 | reporter | 99:12, 99:14, | 207:2 |
| remain | 2:4, 8:5, 8:8, | 99:18, 99:21, | responses |
| 218:17 | 10:5, 10:10, | 100:5, 100:10, | 13:10 |
| remember | 14:17, 110:21, | 100:17, 103:23, | responsive |
| 21:22, 23:15, | 230:4, 231:10 | 104:20, 105:18, | $26: 8, \quad 142: 24$ |
| 24:17, 25:22, | reporting | 105:23, 105:24, | rest |
| 26:4, 33:18, | 17:10, 17:13, | 128:25, 196:19, | 187:20 |
| $34: 18,34: 20$, | 148:22, 149:3 | 196:21, 219:1, | restroom |
| 34:23, 34:25, | reports | 219:12, 219:16, | 199:6 |
| 40:23, 40:25, | 150:3, 150:18 | 219:22, 221:21, | result |
| 41:2, 42:18, | represent | 222:9, 225:19, | 66:11, 75:5 |
| 85:9, 113:18, | 7:16, 20:6, | 225:22 | results |
| 116:2, 119:20, | 24:3, 78:6, | republican-leani- | 48:13, 49:17, |
| 120:23, 126:22, | 80:10, 80:15, | ng | $49: 23,49: 25,$ |
| 129:14, 135:23, | 87:13, 99:18, | 226:5, 226:7 | $50: 1, \quad 50: 5,$ |
| 154:18, 181:7, | 164:17, 165:11, | republicans | $50: 10, \quad 50: 15$ |
| 194:19, 197:22, | 217:19 | 103:24, 104:18, | $51: 8,51: 16,$ |
| 197:25, 219:3 | representation | 131:22 | $51: 19, \quad 51: 21,$ |
| remembered | 116:19, 152:5, | requesting | 52:3, 52:8, |
| $44: 9$ | 152:7 | $46: 6$ | 52:9, 52:16, |
| remote | representative | requirement | 53:20, 53:25, |
| 1:13, 15:1 | 114:7, 144:18, | 214:9 | 54:9, 54:12, |
| remotely | 211:11, 220:16, | requirements | 54:19, 55:8, |
| 2:2, 7:14, | 221:14 | 213:8, 215:13, | 55:13, 55:23, |
| $12: 6, \quad 230: 16$ | representatives | $215: 22$ | $55: 24, \quad 56: 11,$ |
| rep 195:19, 195:20 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 31: 2, \quad 60: 16, \\ & 61: 14 \end{aligned}\right.$ | reserve 198:14, 228:9 | $\begin{array}{ll} 56: 16, & 56: 17, \\ 56: 20, & 56: 23, \end{array}$ |
| repeat | represented | residence | 56:25, 57:5, |
| 106:1, 212:3 | 10:15, 100:15, | 196:24 | 57:9, 57:12, |
| repeating | 219:24 | residency | 58:17, 58:24, |
| 28:14 | representing | 197:9 | 59:5, 59:14, |
| rephrase | 7:12, 7:18, | resident | 59:19, 59:23, |
| 10:14, 11:3, | 7:20, 7:23, 8:1, | 221:1 | 60:1, 60:14, |
| 28:12, 48:16, | 8:6, 9:17, 33:9, | resides | 61:4, 61:13, |
| 147:5, 226:24 | 80:18, 80:19, | 220:5, 220:9 | 61:25, 62:6, |
| replace | 82:9, 88:6, | resize | 62:10, 62:15, |
| 127:15 | 89:10, 93:2, | $78: 19$ | $\begin{aligned} & 62: 23,62: 24, \\ & 63: 4 \end{aligned}$ |
| replication | 126:4, 130:2, | resolved | $\begin{aligned} & 63: 4, \quad 63: 5, \\ & 75: 17, \quad 75: 21, \end{aligned}$ |
| 102:4 | 173:17, 194:6, | $14: 20$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 75: 17, & 75: 21, \\ 84: 17, & 89: 21, \end{array}$ |
| report | 199:21, 223:7 represents | respect | $\begin{aligned} & 84: 17, \quad 89: 21, \\ & 90: 3, \quad 92: 18, \end{aligned}$ |
| 16:6, 16:8, | represents <br> 220:3, 220:10, | $80: 18$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 90: 3, & 92: 18, \\ 94: 5, & 99: 22, \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{array}{ll} 30: 14, & 148: 16, \\ 149: 5, & 149: 9 \end{array}$ | $221: 14$ | respond $\text { 205: } 4$ | 100:6, 104:12, |
| reportable | republican | respondent | $105: 15,178: 8,$ |
| $148: 19$ | $75: 13,84: 6$ | $5: 3$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll} 191: 19, & 196: 3, \\ 201: 17, & 201: 18 \end{array}\right.$ |
| reported | $\begin{array}{ll} 86: 21, & 87: 2, \\ 89: 23, & 90: 11, \end{array}$ | respondents | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 201:17, 201:18, } \\ & \text { 201:21, 201:25, } \end{aligned}$ |
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| 35:4, 37:14, 37:25, 45:7, 59:7, 105:17, 111:15, 111:25, 112:22, 113:9, 113:13, 113:22, 114:4, 114:6, 114:15, 114:23, 116:4, 116:8, 116:15, 117:15, 117:17, 117:22, 118:12, 118:16, 118:23, 119:22, 120:9, 120:21, 121:6, 121:15, 123:19, 128:18, 132:18, 134:18, 135:22, 135:24, 137:5, 137:14, 139:2, 141:3, 141:4, 144:19, 148:20, 148:23, 149:7, 149:10, 149:22, 150:3, 151:13, 152:23, 153:13, 153:15, 153:25, 154:15, 154:22, 155:18, 156:13, 160:23, 161:19, 162:3, 166:2, 173:18, 174:25, 175:19, 180:12, 181:2, 184:13, 184:18, 185:9, 185:13, 185:14, 186:2, 186:4, 186:8, 186:23, 204:10, 206:2, 206:8, 207:19, 208:13, 208:14, 208:15, 209:9, 209:15, 216:8 senators $\begin{aligned} & 60: 18, \quad 61: 16, \\ & 129: 16, \quad 129: 22, \\ & 130: 3, \quad 139: 15, \\ & 196: 17 \end{aligned}$ | ```send 116:15, 150:3, 150:7, 155:2, 166:2, 181:1 sending 116:17, 134:6, 164:22, 181:3 senior 128:14 sense 71:4, 86:14, 92:3, 93:13, 94:9, 98:10, 100:22, 128:16, 135:18, 150:17, 175:18, 204:16 sent 47:9, 127:10, 128:9, 150:12, 154:22, 155:4 sentences 175:11 separate 37:1, 40:2, 112:25, 115:6, 136:7, 137:2, 137:4, 137:7, 137:9 separately 88:5 september 22:23, 181:20, 183:3 service 16:12, 16:15, 16:16, 18:21, 46:24, 47:8, 69:21 set 13:15, 27:15, 27:16, 27:25, 38:18, 38:23, 64:20, 65:4, 73:20, 74:4, 74:7, 79:10, 80:1, 81:14, 89:21, 200:18, 231:1``` | setting <br> 156:13, 157:20, <br> 160:23, 161:17, <br> 162:2 <br> settled <br> 185:24, 186:4 <br> seven <br> 59:17, 71:10, <br> 117:1, 192:14, <br> 192:19, 192:20, <br> 198:25, 199:1 <br> seven-day <br> 124:15 <br> several <br> 111:13, 111:21, <br> 117:4, 138:25, <br> 145:2, 163:15, <br> 167:1, 167:8, <br> 190:15, 213:10 <br> shaking <br> 44:5 <br> shape <br> 33:3, 79:18 <br> share <br> 99:12, 99:18, 103:24, 104:18, 104:20, 124:20, 177:9, 177:18, 177:21, 214:11 <br> shared <br> 123:24, 125:7, 200:3, 225:15 sharing <br> 123:18, 181:5, 181:10 <br> sheet <br> 175:15, 190:14, 190:16, 191:10, 229:7 <br> shock <br> 120:5 <br> shook <br> 44:14 <br> shoot <br> 77:11 <br> short <br> 77:5, 187:3 <br> shorthand <br> 2:3, 230:4, | 231:10 shortly 117:5, 122:19 should <br> 14:5, 28:12, 61:21, 62:6, 89:5, 127:1, 132:5, 174:10, 179:9, 189:18, 190:13, 192:4, 192:20, 204:11, 204:14, 213:22, 217:12 shouldn't 213:21 show 14:13, 65:25, 74:12, 74:13, 120:22, 128:2, 151:22, 152:8, 152:14, 152:18, 180:17, 185:1, 202:20 showed 100:2, 116:19, 117:6, 120:21, 121:5, 123:21, 124:10, 151:23, 166:5, 183:6, 201:17 showing 92:20, 94:7, 96:22, 98:7, 99:20, 100:4, 100:13, 100:16, 101:10, 120:23, 121:1, 126:13 shown 96:9, 117:11 shows 89:12, 182:21, 189:11 <br> shp <br> 78:9, 82:10, 84:21, 89:14, 217:20 <br> side <br> 30:22, 31:18, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| 39:1, 124:9, $171: 18, \quad 171: 22$, $185: 6, \quad 200: 9$ signature $229: 10$ signature-p1kal $231: 7$ signed $23: 18, \quad 229: 7$ significance $93: 14, \quad 149: 2$ significant $19: 13, \quad 19: 16$, $20: 1, \quad 20: 16$, $20: 17, \quad 20: 25$ significantly $113: 1$ silo $227: 6$ silos $35: 6$ similar $30: 20, \quad 78: 16$, $109: 16, \quad 110: 8$, $110: 18, \quad 124: 9$, $152: 2, \quad 181: 14$ similarity $78: 13, \quad 78: 16$, $79: 16, \quad 79: 19$ simply $10: 14, \quad 88: 6$, $88: 11, \quad 104: 16$, $105: 3, \quad 130: 2$, $142: 5, \quad 147: 8$, $147: 19, \quad 187: 14$, $227: 16$ simultaneously $130: 10, \quad 134: 9$ since $54: 1, \quad 61: 11$, $84: 23, \quad 125: 2$, $131: 14, \quad 154: 7$, $189: 23, \quad 219: 20$ single $81: 21, \quad 111: 14$ sit $138: 5$ sitting $12: 9, \quad 12: 15$, | $12: 17, \quad 25: 4$, $25: 21, \quad 38: 10$, $61: 7, \quad 210: 24$, $221: 8$ situs $37: 25$ six $63: 22, \quad 71: 9$, $92: 19, \quad 116: 25$, $191: 20, \quad 193: 5$ six-day $124: 14$ six-foot $38: 21, \quad 38: 22$, $39: 25, \quad 40: 2$ six-year $75: 17, \quad 75: 20$, $106: 6, \quad 183: 25$, $200: 15, \quad 226: 15$, $226: 22, \quad 227: 6$ skip $172: 22$ skipped $83: 17$ skis $84: 16$ sklein@elias $4: 11$ skype $47: 1$ slightly $110: 12, \quad 147: 6$ smaller $71: 11$ smart $96: 14$ software $29: 15, \quad 36: 3$, $36: 6, \quad 36: 7$, $36: 10, \quad 37: 12$, $37: 18, \quad 38: 9$ some $11: 7, \quad 22: 24$, $42: 7, \quad 47: 2$, $50: 17, \quad 60: 3$, $60: 10, \quad 70: 10$, $72: 11, \quad 75: 18$, $76: 13, \quad 82: 1$, |  | somewhere <br> 27:12, 85:6 <br> soon <br> 27:8, 27:12, 117:12 <br> sorry $9: 2,19: 13$ <br> 23:8, 28:12, <br> $31: 12,35: 3$, <br> 37:9, 43:20, <br> $44: 5,44: 7$, <br> 44:10, 48:15, <br> 50:8, 51:24, <br> 53:22, 57:23, <br> 59:22, 72:24, <br> 85:21, 91:13, <br> 100:25, 101:2, <br> 103:5, 115:1, <br> 120:18, 141:11, <br> 145:23, 151:3, <br> 157:1, 165:5, <br> 171:8, 177:13, <br> 182:6, 198:19, <br> 208:22, 212:17, <br> 215:11, 217:9, <br> 226:1, 226:21, <br> 226:23 <br> sort <br> 21:1, 24:25, <br> 28:3, 29:24, <br> 43:15, 47:2, <br> 64:14, 68:12, <br> 68:13, 79:2, <br> 79:17, 82:7, <br> 86:2, 129:11, <br> 139:14, 150:3, <br> 153:4, 159:4, <br> 159:7, 160:7, <br> 163:25 <br> sound <br> 63:21 <br> sounded <br> 57:21 <br> sounds $19: 2,19: 4$ <br> 114:20 <br> source <br> 47:25, 50:12, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| 51:14, 51:19, | 68:3, 70:12, | spoke | 148:20, 157:10, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 68:14, 68:16, | 79:16, 80:8, | 11:17, 23:12, | 158:7, 159:24, |
| 68:18, 69:15 | 85:10, 94:22, | $38: 1,39: 5$, | 203:8, 203:9, |
| sources | 99:10, 103:18, | 118:20, 119:16, | 203:11, 209:19, |
| 48:1, 48:3, | 116:1, 117:9, | 120:14, 135:21, | 210:19, 211:22, |
| 50:12, 62:5, | 133:5, 153:24, | 143:1, 204:10 | 212:8, 212:16, |
| 136:5, 167:6 | 179:2, 180:9, | spoken | 213:14, 216:1 |
| southeast | 186:18, 186:22, | 58:24 | staff's |
| 94:25 | 195:19, 207:13, | sponsor | 32:25 |
| speak | 212:3 | 35:5 | staffer |
| 13:20, 23:6, | specifically | sponsors | 28:11, 120:10 |
| 29:22, 34:2, | 27:21, 29:10, | 181:25 | staffers |
| 34:3, 46:1, | 48:9, 49:10, | spreadsheet | 30:2, 30:6, |
| 46:4, 57:10, | 51:5, 62:8, | 6:13, 6:22, | 31:12, 122:8, |
| 118:17, 120:12, | 66:8, 72:18, | 80:4, 86:3, | 122:18 |
| 125:5, 141:8, | 73:1, 88:3, | 89:6, 89:11, | stand |
| 141:9, 141:13, | 88:13, 121:1, | 194:13 | 160:1 |
| 141:21, 143:24, | 123:7, 143:16, | spreadsheet-like | standing |
| 146:12, 146:21, | 162:20, 184:24, | 80:23 | 132:2, 228:1 |
| 147:25, 156:11, | 196:15, 213:24, | springfield | stands |
| 158:12, 160:25, | 215:14, 218:7 | 225:7 | 88:9, 96:8 |
| 161:13, 162:4, | specifics | springhetti | start |
| 162:25, 163:1, | 36:13 | 30:20, 33:24, | 10:7, 15:20, |
| 175:21, 176:8, | specified | 34:8, 34:12, | 23:1, 36:6, |
| 210:11, 214:6, | 230:17 | $34: 21,35: 10$, | 49:12, 72:22, |
| 221:22 | specify | 35:15, 41:4, | 79:9, 87:17, |
| speaker | 57:6 | 41:9, 42:6, | 131:12, 132:12, |
| 4:15, 7:24, | speculate | 42:24, 143:22, | 133:2, 134:24, |
| 30:21, 41:19, | 87:23 | 143:25, 228:15 | 139:14, 178:2, |
| 141:17, 141:18, | speed | springhetti's | 198:21, 203:3 |
| 146:18, 147:18, | 46:20 | 30:24 | started |
| 156:14, 157:7, | spencer | staff | 16:13, 24:10, |
| 157:20, 160:24, | 4:4, 7:17, | 16:8, 29:3, | $26: 18,26: 24$ |
| 161:18, 162:3, | 9:16, 145:21, | 30:9, 30:11, | $27: 2,34: 19,$ |
| 209:18, 210:19, | 216:24 | $30: 14,30: 15$, | 96:2, 113:16, |
| 211:7 | spending | $30: 16,30: 18$, | 113:17, 113:19, |
| speaking | 22:24 | $30: 22,31: 11$, | 115:25, 132:16, |
| 18:9, 20:14, | spent | 31:24, 32:9, | 133:12, 133:17, |
| 45:15, 45:18, | 19:9 | 32:22, 32:24, | 133:24, 134:19, |
| 46:10, 119:3, | split | $33: 8,33: 20$, | 137:15, 137:16, |
| 157:25, 186:10 | 39:10, 72:3, | $34: 4,35: 15$, | 140:9, 161:9 |
| special | 72:6, 72:10, | 41:19, 41:20, | starting |
| 195:25 | 145:14, 145:15, | 41:23, 41:24, | 27:8, 27:18, |
| specific | 213:21, 213:22 | 119:8, 119:10, | 28:3, 113:4, |
| 18:10, 27:7, | splits | 120:12, 122:13, | 135:2 |
| 33:21, 33:22, | 56:7, 135:9, | 122:19, 123:5, | state |
| 34:25, 40:23, | 145:2, 145:4 | $123: 21,123: 22 \text {, }$ | $5: 3,7: 16, \quad 8: 2,$ |
| 40:25, 67:25, | splitting $67: 6,144: 23$ | 127:11, 148:18, | $16: 11, \quad 17: 18,$ |
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| ```18:20, 20:9, 21:9, 23:7, 23:9, 23:16, 23:17, 24:22, 27:21, 36:2, 37:15, 37:19, 38:4, 39:11, 43:8, 48:24, 49:8, 51:15, 52:21, 53:6, 55:19, 56:2, 56:24, 57:8, 57:19, 58:2, 58:17, 59:23, 60:11, 61:10, 61:18, 61:19, 75:22, 76:15, 76:19, 76:20, 76:21, 106:9, 126:21, 128:3, 132:6, 158:3, 158:13, 160:2, 160:25, 163:19, 172:6, 172:7, 172:8, 183:25, 184:1, 191:21, 200:15, 218:12, 227:7, 230:5 state's 18:12, 50:15, 66:14, 74:10, 204:4, 204:8 stated 62:9 statements 147:19 states 51:9, 60:19, 61:14, 61:15, 61:17, 105:17, 158:21, 158:24, 163:12 statewide 20:11, 49:25, 50:4, 51:17, 55:21, 59:21, 63:3, 75:23, 75:25, 93:3,``` |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| 53:8, $53: 10$, <br> $55: 1, \quad 55: 3$, <br> $58: 9, \quad 65: 2$, <br> $66: 22, \quad 68: 20$, <br> $69: 10, \quad 70: 10$, <br> $82: 5, \quad 85: 1$, <br> $91: 9, \quad 101: 25$, <br> $105: 10, \quad 107: 23$, <br> $113: 8, \quad 113: 20$, <br> $119: 9, \quad 122: 4$, <br> $122: 11, \quad 127: 6$, <br> $131: 8, \quad 135: 8$, <br> $136: 13, \quad 139: 25$, <br> $144: 23, \quad 145: 9$, <br> $149: 17, \quad 153: 19$, <br> $161: 23, \quad 162: 24$, <br> $166: 24, \quad 168: 7$, <br> $168: 14, \quad 173: 12$, <br> $175: 2, \quad 177: 2$, <br> $179: 5, \quad 184: 17$, <br> $190: 24, \quad 194: 1$, <br> $194: 12, \quad 194: 13$, <br> $195: 3, \quad 196: 9$, <br> $201: 22, \quad 202: 6$, <br> $202: 7, \quad 203: 15$, <br> $210: 23, \quad 222: 2$, <br> $227: 5$, <br> $227: 9$, <br> $228: 13$ <br> suwanda <br> $3: 6$ <br> swear <br> $8: 8$ <br> switch <br> $13: 3$ <br> sworn <br> $8: 10$,$\quad 9: 11, \quad$$230: 8$ <br> sykes <br> $167: 25, \quad 181: 19$ <br> system <br> $47: 2, \quad 203: 21$, <br> $217: 21$ | ```89:4, 125:16, 164:13, 165:3, 165:4, 165:6, 172:22, 179:8, 181:14, 193:24, 217:7, 217:8, 223:3 table 38:21, 39:25 tables 40:3 take 11:7, 11:10, 77:2, 78:24, 81:6, 103:17, 106:14, 132:21, 142:3, 173:24, 175:9, 179:13, 198:17, 198:20, 201:10, 223:16 takeaway 189:22 taken 13:12, 22:8, 138:3, 217:20, 230:15 taking 7:13, 33:4, 100:8, 145:25, 177:14, 197:3 talk 26:12, 28:2, 32:21, 33:1, 33:16, 33:23, 40:20, 54:2, 63:14, 96:2, 127:6, 129:21, 129:22, 140:16, 140:22, 145:8, 195:3, 205:25, 213:13, 214:5 talked 43:2, 50:3, 60:22, 62:4, 64:9, 82:1, 92:17, 92:25, 93:1, 98:9, 99:2, 113:14,``` |  | ```technically 110:2, 122:4, 122:9, 127:2, 135:25, 145:12 technician 5:15, 7:2, 14:1, 14:4, 165:4, 174:11, 217:11 technological 14:20 technology 46:21 teenagers 47:14 tell 14:8, 82:13, 87:18, 88:17, 89:19, 94:21, 94:22, 98:25, 101:6, 106:19, 107:6, 149:4, 213:7, 224:17, 224:25 telling 84:19, 105:6, 117:10, 129:6, 149:15 tells 104:17 ten 105:15, 108:17, 159:2, 159:10, 159:19, 160:14, 160:17, 160:21, 165:17, 176:20, 177:6, 177:16, 178:3, 178:23, 191:20, 200:11, 202:1, 219:25 ten-year 106:7, 192:13, 226:18, 227:2 tenth 3:8 tenths 108:20 term 26:25, 38:6,``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| 37:21, $38: 15$, <br> $38: 16, \quad 38: 18$, <br> $40: 2, \quad 41: 19$, <br> $41: 20, \quad 63: 20$, <br> $63: 25, \quad 64: 2$, <br> $68: 25, \quad 72: 12$, <br> $80: 20, \quad 81: 9$, <br> $102: 10, \quad 105: 4$, <br> $105: 6, \quad 106: 5$, <br> $106: 21, \quad 107: 8$, <br> $110: 1, \quad 110: 7$, <br> $110: 8, \quad 110: 9$, <br> $115: 9, \quad 121: 8$, <br> $127: 25, \quad 136: 7$, <br> $137: 2, \quad 137: 3$, <br> $137: 4,141: 6$, <br> $145: 14, \quad 157: 10$, <br> $169: 12, \quad 175: 11$, <br> $181: 21, \quad 182: 4$, <br> $190: 18, \quad 193: 20$, <br> $195: 14, \quad 195: 24$, <br> $208: 1, \quad 209: 12$, <br> $209: 14, \quad 211: 25$, <br> $214: 10, \quad 214: 12$, <br> $218: 11, \quad 220: 6$, <br> $227: 4$ <br> two-digit <br> $92: 21$ <br> type <br> $80: 8$, <br> $93: 11$, <br> $92: 7$, <br> $102: 4$, <br> $176: 3$ <br> $149: 2$, <br> typewriting <br> $230: 12$ <br> typically <br> $52: 3$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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|  | ```71:2, 94:17, 122:8, 122:12, 147:19, 184:12, 205:15, 209:20 varrasso 128:10 vast 67:3, 90:8, 208:6 verbal 78:21 verbally 10:2 verify 174:7, 174:23, 196:11, 219:20 version 27:11, 78:9, 115:3, 126:17, 126:18, 126:25, 127:2, 127:8, 127:25, 167:22, 190:19, 190:20, 195:6 versions 190:19, 195:1 versus 7:6, 191:21 vertically 192:23 via 44:18, 46:18 video 7:9, 7:13 videographer 5:16, 7:3, 7:11, 8:5, 14:18, 14:22, \(77: 18,77: 21\), 145:24, 146:2, 146:5, 199:13, 199:16, 228:17 videotaped 1:13, 2:1, 7:4 view 64:6, 65:4, 65:12, 66:19, 73:12, 73:13,``` |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| $144: 15, \quad 147: 2$, $153: 18, \quad 199: 24$, $227: 22$ ward $67: 8, \quad 70: 24$ wards $71: 19, \quad 72: 2$, $72: 3, \quad 72: 4$, $72: 6, \quad 95: 3$ warren $218: 21, \quad 218: 22$, $219: 16, \quad 219: 19$, $219: 21$ washington $3: 9, \quad 4: 9$, $60: 17, \quad 61: 22$, $61: 24$ watched $176: 7$ watching $71: 13$ way $13: 3, \quad 13: 8$, $13: 15, \quad 21: 3$, $61: 19, \quad 66: 10$, $66: 17, \quad 66: 23$, $67: 11,73: 21$, $74: 4$, $81: 5$, $85: 13$, $122: 22$, $125: 20$, $138: 24$, $150: 22$, $147: 19$, $175: 23$, $190: 2$, $190: 1$, $221: 6$ ways $63: 9$, |  | $\begin{aligned} & 187: 22, \quad 191: 17, \\ & 193: 5, \quad 193: 12, \\ & 210: 18, \quad 217: 22, \\ & 221: 23, \quad 223: 10, \\ & 226: 15 \\ & \text { week } \\ & 27: 13, \quad 113: 15 \\ & \text { weekly } \\ & 39: 16 \\ & \text { weeks } \\ & 131: 25 \\ & \text { weighting } \\ & 100: 10 \\ & \text { wenstrup } \\ & 221: 2, \quad 221: 4, \\ & 221: 14 \\ & \text { went } \\ & 108: 21, \quad 117: 4, \\ & 183: 7, \quad 212: 21, \\ & 222: 25 \\ & \text { weren't } \\ & 72: 7, \quad 112: 5, \\ & 112: 16, \quad 143: 11, \\ & 144: 23, \quad 178: 19 \\ & \text { whatever } \\ & 23: 14, \quad 23: 23, \\ & 61: 4,146: 13, \\ & 203: 21, \quad 208: 12 \\ & \text { whenever } \\ & 30: 17, \quad 155: 4 \\ & \text { whereas } \\ & 108: 11, \quad 178: 12, \\ & 197: 22 \\ & \text { whereof } \\ & 231: 1 \\ & \text { whereupon } \\ & 8: 10, ~ 77: 20, \\ & 78: 2,89: 7, \\ & 125: 18, ~ 146: 4, \\ & 164: 14, ~ 165: 8, \\ & 172: 24, ~ 179: 10, \\ & 194: 3, \quad 199: 15, \\ & 217: 14, \quad 223: 4, \\ & 228: 19 \\ & \text { wherever } \\ & 72: 11, \quad 85: 25, \\ & 196: 22 \\ & \text { whether } \\ & 46: 2, \quad 55: 13, \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| winning | 179:1, 222:3 | worked | would've |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 168:2, 168:7, | word | 16:10, 21:6, | 11:25, 12:1 |
| 177:17 | 91:7, 142:23, | 28:18, 31:15, | wouldn't |
| withdraw | 170:3 | 31:18, 33:25, | 21:3, 54:22, |
| 146:11, 227:23, | wording | 34:5, 39:16, | 65:21, 77:4, |
| 227:25 | 128:8 | 63:18, 63:22, | 98:13, 98:22, |
| withdrawing | words | 69:3, 70:4, | 106:10, 120:5, |
| 228:5 | 43:9, 128:2, | 88:2, 112:13, | 138:5, 143:9, |
| withdrawn | 129:19 | 112:23, 113:23, | 171:14 |
| 228:7 | work | 115:10, 115:12, | write |
| within | 15:22, 16:3, | 130:24, 130:25, | 128:20 |
| 20:18, 46:5, | 16:13, 17:10, | 131:9, 133:13 | writing |
| 53:10, 55:9, | 17:16, 19:6, | working | 132:4 |
| 72:20, 80:25, | 19:11, 19:15, | 18:11, 19:9, | written |
| 88:7, 113:9, | 21:16, 21:20, | 22:11, 26:6, | 6:19, 47:18, |
| 125:8, 171:1, | 22:3, 22:14, | 26:20, 26:24, | 150:3, 173:18 |
| 171:9, 218:14, | 23:6, 23:9, | 27:6, 28:6, | Y |
| 218:17, 230:4 | 24:4, 24:8, | $28: 11,28: 19,$ | year |
| without | $24: 10,27: 3,$ | $29: 12, \quad 29: 23,$ | $18: 21,18: 23,$ |
| $67: 6, \quad 112: 8,$ | $27: 8, \quad 27: 9,$ | $\begin{array}{lr} 30: 3, & 30: 20, \\ 31 \cdot 19 & 35 \cdot 4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 10: 21, & 48: 19, \\ 21: 14, \end{array}$ |
| 116:11, 155:25, | 29:9, 29:10, | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 31: 19, \quad 35: 4, \\ & 35: 6 . \quad 35: 8 . \end{aligned}\right.$ | $194: 23$ |
| 168:20, 174:6 | $29: 20,32: 11$, $33: 12,33: 23$, | $\begin{aligned} & 35: 6, \quad 35: 8, \\ & 38: 13, \quad 43: 13 . \end{aligned}$ | years |
| witness | $33: 12,33: 23$, $34: 4,34: 19$, | $44: 4,44: 16$ | 16:14, 18:25, |
| 6:3, 8:9, 8:10, | $34: 4,34: 19$, $35: 18,37: 7$, | $45: 1, \quad 45: 23,$ | 23:17, 63:21, |
| $9: 10, ~ 14: 13$, $33: 6,58: 8$, | $35: 18,37: 7$, $37: 10,37: 22$, | $46: 16, \quad 47: 21$ | 68:21, 76:1, |
| 78:3, 89:8, | $38: 6,39: 6$, | 64:5, 78:14, | $\begin{aligned} & 76: 2, \quad 92: 19, \\ & 105: 15, \quad 159: 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| 118:15, 125:19, | 39:9, 69:9, | $79: 4,111: 12,$ | 159:10, 159:19, |
| 148:6, 163:13, | $\begin{aligned} & 78: 22, \quad 111: 13, \\ & 112: 15, \\ & 113: 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 111: 16, & 111: 20, \\ 111: 21, & 111: 23, \end{array}$ | $159: 20,160: 14$ |
| 163:16, 163:18, | $\begin{array}{ll} 112: 15, & 113: 13, \\ 113: 22, & 115: 15 \end{array}$ | 111:21, 111:23, $112: 2, \quad 112: 12,$ | 160:17, 160:21, |
| 164:15, 165:9, | $\begin{array}{ll} 113: 22, & 115: 15, \\ 115: 21, & 115: 25 \end{array}$ | 112:2, 112:12, $112: 18,113: 12$ | 176:20, 177:6, |
| 172:25, 173:5, | 115:21, 115:25, | 112:18, 113:12, | 177:16, 178:23, |
| 179:11, 179:15, | $116: 5,116: 9$, $118: 23,119: 22$, | $119: 10,130: 3$ | 191:20, 200:11, |
| $189: 2, ~ 194: 4$, $198: 17,198: 19$, | 118:23, 119:22, | $132: 16, \quad 133: 24$ | 202:1, 219:25 |
| $198: 17,198: 19$, $199: 7,212: 1$, | $121: 14, ~ 132: 12$, $132: 17,133: 2$, | $144: 16,144: 17,$ | yellow |
| $199: 7,212: 1$, $212: 18, ~ 213: 16$, | 133:17, 135:22, | 144:18, 148:16, | 195:3, 195:9, |
| 216:3, 216:4, | 137:20, 138:9, | 148:20, 161:10, | 195:14, 195: |
| 217:15, 223:5, | 139:1, 139:11, | 196:17, 202:11, | $86: 25,101: 25,$ |
| 230:7, 231:1 | 140:8, 146:15, | 206:4, 207:22, | $171: 11$ |
| witnesses | $\begin{aligned} & 146: 25, ~ 147: 17, \\ & 148: 2, \quad 148: 9, \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{lll} 207: 23, & 208: 7, \\ 208: 11, & 208: 12 \end{array}\right.$ | yield |
| $\begin{aligned} & 146: 20,147: 25 \\ & \text { women } \end{aligned}$ | 148:24, 149:6, | world | 227:13 |
| 1:3, 3:3, 7:5, | 149:10, 149:13, | 61:5 | yohler |
| 7:21, 198:15, | 150:4, 154:25, | worry | $\begin{aligned} & 1: 25,2: 3,8: 6, \\ & 230: 3,231: 9 \end{aligned}$ |
| 199:21 | 155:12, 206:3, | 13:25 | yuko |
| won | $\begin{aligned} & 206: 6, \quad 206: 7, \\ & 227: 18 \end{aligned}$ | worse $105: 2$ | $167: 25, \quad 181: 19$ |
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| $\begin{aligned} & \text { yuko-sykes } \\ & 9: 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 157: 3, \quad 183: 3, \\ & 199: 13, \quad 217: 14, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 165: 6, \quad 168: 22, \\ & 195: 20, \quad 197: 20, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 63: 21, \quad 84: 2, \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Z | 226:8 | 199:12, 226:8 | 84:4, 84:5, |
| zoom | 100 | 15,000 | 84:9, 85:2, |
| $47: 5,47: 8$ | 100:8, 100:11, | 95:1 | 85:3, 85:4, |
| $78: 24,79: 7$ | 100:18, 196:8 | 16 | 86:10, 86:13, |
| $101: 23$ | 11 | 5:8, 172:22, | 86:15, 86:18, |
|  | 6:14, 6:16, | 173:21 | 86:19, 86:21, |
|  | 111:3, 146:2, | 164 | 86:24, 96:7, |
| . 2872 | 146:5, 152:3, | 6:17 | 96:10, 96:11, |
| 5:10 | 157:4, 195:19, | 165 | 96:22, 98:17, |
| . 3800 | 203:16, 203:17 | 6:18 | 98:18, 98:19, |
| 4:22 | 11,799,000 | 17 | 98:20, 146:2, |
| . 4509 | 74:10 | 14:22, 179:8 | 169:15 |
| 4:10 | 1193 | 172 | 20,000 |
| . 6000 | 1:5 | 6:20 | 95:4 |
| 3:10, 3:18 | $\begin{aligned} & 1198 \\ & 1: 6 \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ | 179 | 200 |
| 0 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 6: 21 \\ & 18 \end{aligned}$ | 4:20 |
| 00 |  |  | 20001 |
| 198:23, 199:2 | 14:18, 86:23, | 193:24 | 3:9 |
| 005598 | 110:20, 169:15 | 19 | 20005 |
| 6:17 | 1210 | 153:17, 218:3 | 4:9 |
| 005599 | 1:7 | 19.4 | 2011 |
| 6:18 | 125 | 111:4, 111:6 | 64:3, 183:15 |
| 005607 | 6:15 | $19.8$ | $2012$ |
| 6:18 | 13 | 111:4, 111:6 | 168:10, 169:17, |
| 006520 | 4:8, 110:15, | 194 | 170:15, 182:24, |
| 6:15 | 135:6, 145:5, | 6:22 | 183:18 |
| 008931 | 199:16 | 199 | 2016 |
| 6:22 | 132 | 6:6 | 75:22, 93:3, |
| 008948 | 20:6, 197:23 | 1991 | 93:5, 183:23 |
| 6:21, 179:9 | 134 | 16:13 | 2018 |
| $008949$ | 195:16 | 1st | 19:1, 75:22, |
| 6:21 | 134134 | 157:16, 157:17, | $93: 5$ |
| 03 | $127: 25$ | $157: 22,162: 4$ | $202.662$ |
| 6:14 | 14 | 162:6, 162:7, | $3: 10$ |
| 04 | 95:1, 109:9, | 162:25, 218:14, | 202.968 |
| 1:15, 7:10 | 135:6, 145:5, | 218:16, 219:24, | $\begin{aligned} & 4: 10 \\ & 2020 \end{aligned}$ |
| 1 | 164:13, 165:3, | 220:3, 220:6, | $2020$ |
| $1$ 199:13, 199:16, | $\begin{aligned} & 165: 4 \\ & 1449 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 220: 17,221: 18, \\ & 225: 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 48: 13, & 48: 22, \\ 75: 22, & 93: 3, \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 199: 13, ~ 199: 16, \\ & 228: 17, \\ & 228: 20 \end{aligned}$ | 7:7 | 2 | 93:5, 169:17, |
| $1.8$ | $15$ |  | $\begin{array}{ll} 170: 15, & 182: 25, \\ 183: 18, & 183: 23 \end{array}$ |
| 110:25 | $\begin{array}{lll}6: 16, & 72: 9, \\ 74.8, & 82.16,\end{array}$ | 199:12 | $2021$ |
| $10$ | $\begin{aligned} & 74: 8, \quad 82: 16, \\ & 82: 17, \quad 82: 23, \end{aligned}$ | 2.5 | $1: 5,1: 6,1: 7,$ |
| 6:24, 110:10, | $\begin{aligned} & 92: 6, \quad 99: 8, \\ & 109: 24, \quad 129: 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{lll} 188: 7, & 193: 11, \\ 193: 15, & 193: 16 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1: 14, \quad 6: 16, \quad 7: 7, \\ & 7: 9,64: 2,64: 3, \end{aligned}$ |
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| 57.8 | 7th |
| :---: | :---: |
| 103:22, 105:5, | 7:8 |
| 106:3 | 8 |
| 57.88 | 8 |
| 104:22 | 1:15, 7:10, |
| 57.88085 | 14:18, 14:22 |
| 99:17 | 8-and-a-half-by |
| 58.8 | 152:3 |
| 110:5, 110:9 | 84 |
| 59 | 2:4, 231:11 |
| 146:5 | 850 |
| 6 | 3:8 |
| 60.8 | 88 |
| 110:5, 110:8 | 69:3 |
| 600 | 89 |
| 4:8 | 6:13 |
| 61.3 | 8th |
| 110:23 | 231:3 |
| 614.466 | 9 |
| 5:10 | 9 |
| 62 | 77:18, 77:21 |
| 109:2, 109:4 | 919.329 |
| 62.4 | 4:22 |
| 109:2 | 94105 |
| 62.46 | 3:17 |
| 109:3 | 99 |
| 63.07 | 74:8, 197:23 |
| 110:24, 111:1 | 9th |
| 63.1 | 141:20, 144:12, |
| 110:24 | 209:18, 210:1 |
| 65.1 |  |
| 109:6, 109:8 |  |
| 66 |  |
| 108:1 |  |
| 66.7 |  |
| 109:6, 109:7 |  |
| 67 |  |
| 108:1 |  |
| 7 |  |
| 700 |  |
| 4:8 |  |
| 78 |  |
| 6:12 |  |
| 786,630 |  |
| 74:21 |  |
| 786630 |  |
| 98:4 |  |
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 | 4 | 67.21 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 9 | 50.312 |
| 1 | 51 |
| 8 | 6 |
| 2 | 6 |
| 14 |  |
| 3 |  |
| 6 |  |
| 15 | 5 |
| 5 | 5 |
| 5 | 6 |
| 10 | 5 |
| 13 | 5 |
| 12 |  |

 | 63.0713 | $\begin{array}{l}0.4903 \\ 0.6532 \\ 0.2030 \\ 0.050 \\ 0\end{array}$ |
| :--- | :--- |

$\square$


| File Edif | Dataview Selection |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| $\pm$＊ | TIGERL |
| $\pm$ © | Land |
| \＃＊ | US Landmark Area |
| $\pm$ ©（a） | Water Area |
| $\pm$（ | us |
| $\pm$（ © | Streets |
| $\pm$（ | River |
| $\pm$（ ） | Census Blo |
| $\pm$＊ | Ralicos |
| $\pm$（ ${ }_{\text {（c）}}$ | Voting Distrit |
| \＃©－ | City Town $^{\text {a }}$ |
| $\pm$－$\square$ | County |
| $\pm$＊＊ | US Land |
| 略吅 | Districts |
| 田｜］｜ | Enacted Plan S8 288 Fina |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | yatow | devatoon | F．obratiol | DISTRTCTM | Eas3］ | F．gazespl | FEEA51．RV｜ | F．EAS2 RVV | IDEAL Valu｜ | Fpopulat | F20PRES．RO｜ | FPEEAI | FeDEAROIMUUTPPEF | ｜ossrect． |  |
|  | 78630 | 0.0000 |  |  | 57.889 | 0.5884 | 0.5899 | 0.5526 | 786630．0000 | 1.0000 | 59.300 | 56.7000 | 54.8000770 |  |  |
|  | 78630 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 4 | 67.2917 | 0.6599 | 0.6816 | 0.6578 | 786630．000 | 1.0000 | 67.000 | 66．0000 | 64．000 410 |  |  |
|  | 786630 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 9 | 50.3142 | 0.5138 | 0.5089 | 0.4888 | 786630．0000 | 1.0000 | 52.300 | 4．7000 | 46.100990 | 90\％ |  |
|  | 78630 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 1 | 51.5499 | 0.4837 | 0.5431 | 0.5037 | 78630.0000 | 1.0000 | 49.1000 | 51.5000 | 50.1000110 | 110\％ |  |
|  | 78630 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 8 | 62.4661 | 0.6067 | 0.6390 | 0.6104 | 786530．0000 | 1.0000 | 61.5000 | 62.0000 | 60.3008810 | 810\％ |  |
|  | 786330 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 2 | 66.6999 | 0.6772 | 0.6617 | 0.6513 | 786530.0000 | 1.0000 | 68.2000 | 65.1000 | 63，3000210 | 20\％ |  |
|  | 78630 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 14 | 54.1365 | 0.5501 | 0.5561 | 0.5228 | 786630.0000 | 1.0000 | 55.700 | 53．2000 | 51.60001410 | 1410\％ |  |
|  | $786630$ | 0．0000 | 0.00000 | 3 | 20．6636 | 0.2641 | 0.3384 | 0.2338 | 766350．0000 | 1.0000 | 26．8000 | 3.4000 50000 | 29．600 ${ }_{\text {ckio }}$ | 310\％ |  |
| \＄ | ${ }_{7}^{786639}$ | ${ }_{0}^{-1.00000}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.000000}$ | ${ }_{15}$ | ${ }_{5}^{53,82895}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.65101}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.56597}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.5545}$ | 7866330．00000 | ${ }_{1}^{1.00000}$ | 52，．9000 | 5.25000 53.700 | $51.300061-1$ 52.2000 150 | 150\％\％ |  |
|  | 786630 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 5 | 60．797 | 0.6185 | ${ }_{0} 0.6107$ | 0.5916 | 786630．0000 | 1.0000 | 62.8000 | 58.8300 | 56.9000510 | 510\％ |  |
|  | 78630 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 10 | ${ }_{5}^{53.3299}$ | 0.5091 | 0.5549 | 0.5197 | 786630．0000 | 1.0000 | 51.8000 | 52.2000 | 50.800001010 | 1010\％ |  |
|  |  |  | 0．0000 | ${ }^{13}$ | 48.2329 | ${ }^{0.44033}$ | ${ }^{0.51655}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 47.20001310 | 1312\％ |  |
|  | ${ }_{7}^{7866539}$ | ${ }^{-1.00000} 0$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.00000}$ | 12 | $\xrightarrow{63.0713} 10$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.6532} 0$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.65211}$ |  | 786330.0000 78630.0000 | ${ }_{1}^{1.00000}$ | ${ }_{20.5000}^{60.300}$ | 61.3000 19.4000 | 59．3000 127 －1 19.0000110 |  |  |
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 65.9000 | 45．7000 |
| :--- |
| 21.30000 |
| 20.7000 |




| Redistriciting Toolbox－introduced |  |  |  | 区 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Traget | New Distritt |  | \％ |  |
| Source | All |  | Selection Layer Census Block |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
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## Redisticting Toolbox－introduced


＊ロロロ（
4ロロロ $f x$ © © 줒 Theme None －回區


| ID | ID:1 | AREA | DISTRICT | MEMBERS | LOCKED | NAME | TOTPOP | G20R | G20V | G20P_DV | G20P_RV | G20P_TV |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 581 | 1979.6718 | 7 | 1 |  |  | 786630 | 530143 | 403363 | 161717.2 | 235706.8 | 403363.1 |
| 2 | 530 | 4663.9536 | 4 | 1 |  |  | 786630 | 532597 | 408059 | 132452.5 | 269261 | 408059.7 |
| 3 | 521 | 3953.5911 | 9 | 1 |  |  | 786630 | 551010 | 388974 | 182464.3 | 199867.5 | 388974.7 |
| 4 | 564 | 654.29028 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 786630 | 558812 | 405285 | 202960.2 | 196045 | 405285.1 |
| 5 | 563 | 2110.7383 | 8 | 1 |  |  | 786630 | 531333 | 393455 | 149054.9 | 238697.3 | 393455.2 |
| 6 | 565 | 6408.4829 | 2 | 1 |  |  | 786630 | 539264 | 396912 | 124503.1 | 266964.3 | 396912.3 |
| 7 | 491 | 3378.0571 | 14 | 1 |  |  | 786630 | 539401 | 420754 | 183788.4 | 231445.1 | 420753.9 |
| 8 | 566 | 205.13959 | 3 | 1 |  |  | 786630 | 525659 | 365287 | 263276 | 96456.08 | 365287.6 |
| 9 | 557 | 4748.4033 | 6 | 1 |  |  | 786629 | 547668 | 400172 | 150885.4 | 244146.5 | 400172.5 |
| 10 | 573 | 2554.4763 | 15 | 1 |  |  | 786630 | 512318 | 383690 | 177697.9 | 199916.1 | 383689.7 |
| 11 | 526 | 5640.6689 | 5 | 1 |  |  | 786630 | 538893 | 397749 | 145570.9 | 245990.8 | 397749 |
| 12 | 562 | 995.65228 | 10 | 1 |  |  | 786630 | 543200 | 391706 | 185644.5 | 199426.6 | 391706 |
| 13 | 574 | 1096.719 | 13 | 1 |  |  | 786630 | 553965 | 422352 | 210060.5 | 207066.9 | 422352.4 |
| 14 | 572 | 5690.8296 | 12 | 1 |  |  | 786629 | 517916 | 384457 | 127432 | 251134.9 | 384457.9 |
| 15 | 418 | 693.073 | 11 | 1 |  |  | 786630 | 551649 | 358168 | 281658.4 | 72712.1 | 358167.8 |


| G14I_DV | G14I_RV | G14I_TV | G14T_DV | G14T_RV | G14T_TV | G14J_DV | G14J_RV | G14J_TV | G16P_DV | G16P_RV | G16P_TV |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 70699.8 | 123099 | 203066 | 63567.43 | 131823.7 | 204613 | 81132.6 | 124990 | 206123 | 140772.5 | 208984.3 | 366926.5 |
| 50830.1 | 146409 | 207116 | 46523.39 | 151673.9 | 207888 | 66778.6 | 141632 | 208411 | 107284.9 | 236954.3 | 361016.5 |
| 86181.5 | 105283 | 203761 | 74811.87 | 120866.5 | 206483 | 101922 | 106645 | 208566 | 171871 | 179501.6 | 371979.7 |
| 72733.4 | 115148 | 197688 | 71554.96 | 118774.3 | 199811 | 81013.2 | 120626 | 201639 | 174398.5 | 181653.3 | 372817.7 |
| 57142.9 | 130868 | 198228 | 54859.52 | 135059.1 | 199194 | 65946.5 | 134617 | 200564 | 128996 | 222782.2 | 367163 |
| 60122.3 | 132955 | 204178 | 57416.19 | 137456.5 | 205265 | 67001.3 | 140165 | 207166 | 108026.1 | 241685.9 | 365079.5 |
| 81172 | 126530 | 217562 | 75344.05 | 131985.9 | 218078 | 94490.7 | 127078 | 221569 | 159645.8 | 204175 | 380718.3 |
| 91315 | 75793.7 | 173511 | 88782.15 | 78852.6 | 174582 | 107095 | 67837.8 | 174933 | 235156.5 | 94300.15 | 345310.5 |
| 103697 | 106835 | 221585 | 86267.04 | 124068.7 | 222269 | 101160 | 123327 | 224487 | 146138.5 | 218276.4 | 379104 |
| 56494.8 | 110851 | 175066 | 51682.53 | 116289.7 | 175910 | 72467 | 104167 | 176634 | 144616.6 | 178349.1 | 339249.6 |
| 65744.2 | 122038 | 198586 | 59222.88 | 131083.1 | 200462 | 75890.1 | 126477 | 202367 | 129048.4 | 215981.2 | 364118.9 |
| 76413.2 | 125482 | 211802 | 72670.21 | 132065.7 | 214073 | 93193.1 | 120635 | 213828 | 166475.2 | 189174.1 | 373016.2 |
| 83174.3 | 115428 | 207206 | 78598.31 | 121137 | 208721 | 99250.5 | 111898 | 211148 | 182679.6 | 184985.2 | 383513.5 |
| 62508.8 | 123735 | 196086 | 57516.48 | 129377.2 | 196676 | 76825.4 | 120959 | 197784 | 114774.6 | 219001.7 | 350467.6 |
| 130588 | 50636.9 | 187774 | 135229.1 | 49615.27 | 191376 | 138558 | 52211.7 | 190769 | 283276.7 | 64674.5 | 358107.3 |


| G16S_DV | G16S_RV | G16S_TV | G18S_DV | G18S_RV | G18S_TV | G18G_DV | G18G_RV | G18G_TV | G18A_DV | G18A_RV |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 118161.5 | 221972.8 | 360464 | 143789.8 | 153159 | 296949 | 126080 | 162038.6 | 297804.2 | 128473.9 | 163870.6 | 292344.6 |
| 86363.27 | 254888.4 | 357528 | 120950.9 | 179908 | 300859 | 99841.96 | 193659.5 | 302383.6 | 97269.86 | 201797.6 | 299067.4 |
| 146293 | 199747.7 | 364555 | 167334.6 | 120761.1 | 288096 | 143835.7 | 135907.8 | 290285.3 | 146120.1 | 139472.7 | 285592.9 |
| 136492.1 | 213438.9 | 365428 | 164608.8 | 144689.7 | 309299 | 147957.4 | 154084 | 310687.7 | 152530.9 | 153833.6 | 306364.5 |
| 101410.2 | 245164.6 | 361479 | 125983.1 | 164031.5 | 290015 | 108593.5 | 172997.2 | 290539.6 | 111022.7 | 173874.2 | 284896.9 |
| 93350.75 | 253028.6 | 361169 | 115918.6 | 173717.1 | 289636 | 98093.54 | 185083.2 | 291659.2 | 99059.97 | 188251.3 | 287311.3 |
| 129873.6 | 219281 | 369874 | 164835.5 | 145710.2 | 310546 | 141506.2 | 160007.9 | 311617.4 | 147549.8 | 159309.8 | 306859.6 |
| 196918.2 | 126643.5 | 337747 | 216092.6 | 72203.2 | 288296 | 202811.5 | 80430.86 | 289826.2 | 197481.1 | 88643.84 | 286124.9 |
| 137938.9 | 212792.5 | 370571 | 153735.9 | 143043.5 | 296779 | 131878.3 | 156789.4 | 297109.4 | 131958.5 | 160276.5 | 292235.1 |
| 117853.4 | 202314.4 | 334552 | 148400.7 | 132055.6 | 280456 | 132843.5 | 142532 | 282411.8 | 127314.8 | 151625.9 | 278940.7 |
| 109049.6 | 228439.4 | 356692 | 137702.1 | 149785.4 | 287488 | 113264.4 | 166653.3 | 289427.7 | 114694.2 | 167093.9 | 281788.1 |
| 132944.1 | 219731.3 | 368766 | 160171.3 | 139817.7 | 299989 | 136342 | 155562 | 301141.9 | 139754.1 | 157426.2 | 297180.4 |
| 148045.6 | 205050.7 | 372802 | 179824.5 | 136118.7 | 315943 | 160414.3 | 147623.3 | 316501.6 | 164015.6 | 145497.7 | 309513.3 |
| 100495.9 | 227827.2 | 345649 | 123373.4 | 156245.9 | 279619 | 104040.9 | 168039.1 | 280717 | 102245.4 | 173223.4 | 275468.9 |
| 239508.1 | 85546.02 | 341801 | 234962.5 | 46011.7 | 280974 | 221782.2 | 54091.87 | 282233.7 | 226466.4 | 51867.38 | 278333.8 |


| G18I_DV | G18I_RV | G18I_TV | G18T_DV | G18T_RV | G18T_TV | G18J_DV | G18J_RV | G18J_TV | EA51_DV | EA51_RV | EA51_TV |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 122793 | 157548 | 292109 | 123901.6 | 163815.8 | 294524 | 123844 | 167582 | 291426 | 143773.6 | 206810 | 350584 |
| 96162.9 | 190781 | 297513 | 97676.91 | 193772.7 | 298495 | 95818.9 | 200159 | 295978 | 113118.6 | 242102.9 | 355222 |
| 135157 | 134586 | 282486 | 140661.7 | 135178.5 | 283962 | 137996 | 144060 | 282055 | 166805.9 | 172824.1 | 339630 |
| 140173 | 150619 | 305297 | 149199.7 | 150984.9 | 307641 | 145645 | 159082 | 304727 | 163550.4 | 194435.9 | 357986 |
| 102323 | 172692 | 287453 | 109979.4 | 171682.2 | 288683 | 108363 | 178436 | 286800 | 123466 | 218531.3 | 341997 |
| 94488.5 | 179687 | 286098 | 98017.87 | 182049.6 | 287140 | 96599.1 | 188426 | 285025 | 116463 | 227822.6 | 344286 |
| 136894 | 151263 | 301855 | 144608.9 | 154572.7 | 306459 | 138273 | 164181 | 302453 | 162559.9 | 203625.7 | 366186 |
| 197994 | 75411 | 283313 | 198206.2 | 81017 | 284981 | 195259 | 87547.8 | 282807 | 216715 | 110841.7 | 327557 |
| 130215 | 148457 | 289778 | 127304.4 | 157940.9 | 292183 | 131408 | 157358 | 288766 | 160707.8 | 187933.1 | 348641 |
| 128562 | 137228 | 276053 | 127692.1 | 143140.2 | 277167 | 124931 | 150071 | 275002 | 144627.5 | 188423.3 | 333051 |
| 107626 | 163094 | 282521 | 112698.9 | 163721.5 | 283804 | 109631 | 172510 | 282141 | 133773.9 | 209814.4 | 343588 |
| 132737 | 150069 | 295690 | 139027.3 | 151415.7 | 297609 | 138043 | 157922 | 295965 | 154180.5 | 192243.4 | 346424 |
| 154509 | 140454 | 307713 | 157736.6 | 146899.8 | 311611 | 154798 | 152692 | 307489 | 178610.4 | 190816.6 | 369427 |
| 112271 | 156264 | 277621 | 103002.5 | 167175.1 | 276921 | 102534 | 172216 | 274750 | 124360.6 | 208226.8 | 332588 |
| 215658 | 48178.8 | 274321 | 221634.6 | 50557.28 | 277583 | 220311 | 55819.6 | 276131 | 246124.3 | 74076.35 | 320201 |


| EA52_DV | EA52_RV | EA52_TV POPULATION | DEVIATION | F_DEVIATIO | DISTRICT_N | EA53 | F_G2OP_RV |  |  |  |  |  |  | F_EA51_RV |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 145641.3 | 187349.1 | 332991 | 786630 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 57.88085 | 0.584354 | 0.589902 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 115539.3 | 222069.8 | 337609 | 786630 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 67.29174 | 0.659857 | 0.681555 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 165214.3 | 157967.4 | 323182 | 786630 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 50.31423 | 0.513832 | 0.50886 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 169849.2 | 172371 | 342220 | 786630 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 51.54992 | 0.483721 | 0.543138 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 126569.5 | 198275.3 | 324845 | 786630 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 62.4661 | 0.60667 | 0.638986 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 113931 | 212812.5 | 326744 | 786630 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 66.69989 | 0.672603 | 0.661726 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 166073.6 | 181922.6 | 347996 | 786630 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 54.13648 | 0.550072 | 0.556072 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 225591.8 | 89388.17 | 314980 | 786630 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 29.66365 | 0.264055 | 0.338389 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 150303.3 | 181160.6 | 331464 | 786629 | -1 | -0.000001 | 6 | 56.29489 | 0.610103 | 0.539045 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 151159.2 | 165011.9 | 316171 | 786630 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 53.88655 | 0.521036 | 0.565749 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 132940.1 | 192594.8 | 325535 | 786630 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 60.78969 | 0.618457 | 0.610656 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 159145.4 | 172184.6 | 331330 | 786630 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 53.32092 | 0.509123 | 0.554937 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 183959.6 | 167825.6 | 351785 | 786630 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 49.2329 | 0.490271 | 0.51652 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 121398.3 | 193898.9 | 315297 | 786629 | -1 | -0.000001 | 12 | 63.07126 | 0.653218 | 0.626081 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 249012.2 | 58532.89 | 307545 | 786630 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 19.79496 | 0.203011 | 0.231343 |  |  |  |  |  |


| F_EA52_RV | IDEAL_VALU | F_POPULATI | F20PRES_RD | FEDEA | FEDEA_RDI | MULTIPLE_F | DISTRICT_L |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 0.562626 | 786630 | 1 | 59.3 | 56.7 | $54.87 \mid 0$ | $7 \mid 0 \%$ |  |
| 0.657772 | 786630 | 1 | 67 | 66 | $644 \mid 0$ | $4 \mid 0 \%$ |  |
| 0.488788 | 786630 | 1 | 52.3 | 47.7 | $46.19 \mid 0$ | $9 \mid 0 \%$ |  |
| 0.503685 | 786630 | 1 | 49.1 | 51.5 | $50.11 \mid 0$ | $1 \mid 0 \%$ |  |
| 0.610369 | 786630 | 1 | 61.6 | 62 | $60.38 \mid 0$ | $8 \mid 0 \%$ |  |
| 0.651314 | 786630 | 1 | 68.2 | 65.1 | $63.32 \mid 0$ | $2 \mid 0 \%$ |  |
| 0.522772 | 786630 | 1 | 55.7 | 53.2 | $51.614 \mid 0$ | $14 \mid 0 \%$ |  |
| 0.28379 | 786630 | 786630 | 1 | 26.8 | 30.4 | $29.63 \mid 0$ | $3 \mid 0 \%$ |
| 0.546547 | 786630 | 1 | 61.8 | 52.9 | $51.36 \mid-1$ | $6 \mid-0 \%$ |  |
| 0.521907 | 786630 | 1 | 52.9 | 53.7 | $52.215 \mid 0$ | $15 \mid 0 \%$ |  |
| 0.591626 | 786630 | 1 | 62.8 | 58.8 | $56.95 \mid 0$ | $5 \mid 0 \%$ |  |
| 0.519677 | 786630 | 1 | 51.8 | 52.2 | $50.810 \mid 0$ | $10 \mid 0 \%$ |  |
| 0.477068 | 786630 | 1 | 49.6 | 48.6 | $47.213 \mid 0$ | $13 \mid 0 \%$ |  |
| 0.614972 | 786630 | 1 | 20.5 | 19.4 | $59.312 \mid-1$ | $12 \mid-0 \%$ |  |
| 0.190323 | 7 | $1911 \mid 0$ | $11 \mid 0 \%$ |  |  |  |  |


| To: | Varrasso, Theresea[Theresea.Varrasso@ohiosenate.gov] |
| :--- | :--- |
| Cc: | Strigari, Frank[Frank.Strigari@ohiosenate.gov] |
| From: | DiRossi, Ray |
| Sent: | Wed 11/3/2021 3:13:42 PM |
| Subject: | Sub SB 258 |
| 134 <br> $2106-2 . p d f$ |  |

Embargoed until further notice
See attached sub bill draft from LSC for SB 258

Thanks

## Ray DiRossi

Director of Finance and Budget
Ohio Senate Majority Caucus
Statehouse, Suite 205
Columbus, Ohio 43215

(O) 614.466 .4947
(C) 614.578 .3848
ray.dirossi@ohiosenate.gov

To: McColley, Robert[Robert.McColley@ohiosenate.gov]
From: DiRossi, Ray
Sent: Mon 11/15/2021 9:05:40 PM
Subject: SB 258 Ray notes
SB 258 Substitute Bill items.docx
graphic.xlsx
See 2 attachments
Ray

## Exhibit \#

- Required to have at least 65 whole counties

Map has 76

- Map only splits 12 counties ( 76 whole)
- Current map splits 23 counties ( 65 whole)
- Most whole counties in at least the last 50+ years
o Whole counties: 1971: 68, 1981: 66, 1991: 68, 2001: 67 and 2011: 65
- Counties with 4 districts - constitutionally prohibited
- 1971: 2, 1981: 0, 1991: 1, 2001: 1 and 2011: 2
- Counties with 3 districts - allowed 5
- 1971: 3, 1981: 1, 1991: 0, 2001: 2 and 2011: 5
- Counties with 2 districts - allowed 18
- Clark, Fairfield, Franklin, Holmes, Lorain, Ross, Shelby, Summit, Washington \& Wood
- Attempt to have 1 whole County per CD (exclude wholly contained) Map achieves
- 2011 map had 2 such (all parts) districts = CD 9 and CD 13
- One person one vote - state population of 11,799,448
- 13 CDs at 786,630 (target) and 2 CDs at 786,629 (-1)


## County splits: Current versions of maps

| McColley (SB 258) | 12 splits | 14 total splits |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| House Dems (HB 483) | 14 splits | 14 total splits |
| Sykes / Yuko 258 (Nov SB 237) | 14 splits | 14 total splits |
| Contest Winning map \#1, 3 | 14 splits | 14 total splits |
| Current map | 23 splits | 32 total splits |

## Subdivision splits: Current versions of maps

McColley (SB 258)

## 14 splits: 8 TWP and 6 Municipal Corps

1. Clark
2. Cuyahoga
3. Cuyahoga
4. Fairfield
5. Franklin
6. Hamilton
7. Hamilton:
8. Holmes
9. Lorain
10. Ross
11. Shelby
12. Summit
13. Washington
14. Wood

Only 3 of top 100 most populous cities are split (Columbus, Cuyahoga Falls \& Rocky River)

Mad River TWP CD 10 / CD 15
Rocky River (\#80) CD 11 / CD 13
Oakwood (\#355) CD 11 / CD 14
Columbus (\#1) CD 12 / CD 15
Columbus (\#1) CD $3 /$ CD 15
Glendale (\#444) CD 1 / CD 8
Sycamore TWP CD $1 / \mathrm{CD} 2$
Berlin TWP CD $7 /$ CD 12
Columbia TWP CD 5/CD 13
Union TWP CD $2 / \mathrm{CD} 15$
Green TWP CD 4/CD 8
Cuyahoga Falls (\#15) CD $7 /$ CD 13
Belpre TWP CD 6/CD 12
Perrysburg TWP CD 5/CD 9
are split (Columbus, Cuyahoga Falls \& Rocky River)

1. Clark:
Springfield
2. Cuyahoga: Berea
3. Guernsey: Cambridge
4. Hamilton: Maderia
5. Hancock: Findlay
6. Franklin: Columbus
7. Franklin: Prairie TWP
8. Greene: Beavercreek
9. Knox: Mount Vernon
10. Lorain: Amherst
11. Mahoning: Campbell
12. Medina: Wooster
13. Stark: Massillon
14. Union: Marysville
15. Union: Millcreek TWP
16. Wood: Bowling Green

House Dems (HB 483)
21 splits: 16 TWP and 5 Municipal Corps

1. Ashland: Lake TWP
2. Clinton: Liberty TWP
3. Clinton: Union TWP
4. Cuyahoga: Seven Hills
5. Franklin: Columbus
6. Franklin: Prairie TWP
7. Greene: Beavercreek
8. Greene: Beavercreek TWP
9. Hamilton: Green TWP
10. Hamilton: Miami TWP
11. Holmes: Walnut Creek TWP
12. Lorain: North Ridgeville
13. Mahoning: Poland TWP
14. Marion: Waldo TWP
15. Ross: Greenfield
16. Ross: Concord TWP
17. Ross: Buckskin TWP
18. Stark: Canton TWP
19. Washington: Fairfield TWP
20. Washington: Dunham TWP
21. Wyandot: Antrim TWP

## County Split Comparison - to last decade

|  | 2011 <br> Enacted | $2021$ <br> Proposal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Athens | Split: 2 CDs |  |
| Clark |  | Split: 2 CDs |
| Cuyahoga | Split: 4 CDs | Split: 3 CDs |
| Erie | Split: 2 CDs |  |
| Fairfield |  | Split: 2 CDs |
| Fayette | Split: 2 CDs |  |
| Franklin | Split: 3 CDs | Split: 2 CDs |
| Hamilton | Split: 2 CDs | Split: 3 CDs |
| Holmes |  | Split: 2 CDs |
| Huron | Split: 2 CDs |  |
| Lorain | Split: 3 CDs | Split: 2 CDs |
| Lucas | Split: 2 CDs |  |
| Mahoning | Split: 2 CDs |  |
| Marion | Split: 2 CDs |  |
| Medina | Split: 2 CDs |  |
| Mercer | Split: 3 CDs |  |
| Muskingum | Split: 2 CDs |  |
| Ottawa | Split: 2 CDs |  |
| Portage | Split: 3 CDs |  |
| Richland | Split: 2 CDs |  |
| Ross | Split: 2 CDs | Split: 2 CDs |
| Scioto | Split: 2 CDs |  |
| Shelby |  | Split: 2 CDs |
| Stark | Split: 3 CDs |  |
| Summit | Split: 4 CDs | Split: 2 CDs |
| Trumbull | Split: 2 CDs |  |
| Tuscarawas | Split: 2 CDs |  |
| Washington |  | Split: 2 CDs |
| Wood |  | Split: 2 CDs |
|  | 23 splits | 12 splits |


| Cuyahoga | Berea |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Cuyahoga | Cleveland | made whole in 2021 |
| Cuyahoga | Parma |  |
| Cuyahoga | Rocky River |  |
| Fayette | Jasper TWP |  |
| Fayette | Union TWP |  |
| Franklin | Columbus | population required split |
| Franklin | Gahanna |  |
| Franklin | Grandview Heights |  |
| Franklin | Grove City |  |
| Franklin | Groveport |  |
| Franklin | New Albany |  |
| Franklin | Obetz |  |
| Franklin | Westerville |  |
| Franklin | Worthington |  |
| Hamilton | Cincinnati |  |
| Lorain | Grafton TWP |  |
| Lucas | Toledo |  |
| Marion | Claridon TWP |  |
| Medina | Brunswick TWP |  |
| Mercer | Butler TWP |  |
| Mercer | Jefferson TWP |  |
| Ottawa | Berlin TWP |  |
| Portage | Brimfield TWP |  |
| Richland | Madison TWP |  |
| Ross | Twin TWP |  |
| Scioto | Rush TWP |  |
| Stark | Canton |  |
| Stark | Canton TWP |  |
| Stark | Lake TWP |  |
| Stark | Perry TWP |  |
| Stark | Plain TWP |  |
| Summit | Akron |  |
| Summit | Cuyahoga Falls |  |
| Summit | Springfield TWP |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |


|  |  | FED |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathbf{1 2 ~ t o ~ 2 0 ~}$ |
| 1 | 786,630 | 51.5 |
| 2 | 786,630 | 65.1 |
| 3 | 786,630 |  |
| 4 | 786,630 |  |
| 5 | 786,630 |  |
| 6 | 786,629 | -1 |
| 7 | 786,630 |  |
| 8 | 786,630 |  |
| 9 | 786,630 |  |
| 10 | 786,630 |  |
| 11 | 786,630 |  |
| 12 | 786,629 | -1 |
| 13 | 786,630 |  |
| 14 | 786,630 |  |
| 15 | 786,630 |  |

7 McColley (SB 258)
$5 \quad$ Yuko Sykes (SB 237) Sept 29 ${ }^{\text {th }}$
$5 \quad$ Yuko Sykes (SB 237) Nov 10 ${ }^{\text {th }}$
5 House Dem (HB 483)
2 Current Map

5 McColley (SB 258)
$5 \quad$ Yuko Sykes (SB 237) Sept 29 ${ }^{\text {th }}$
4 Yuko Sykes (SB 237) Nov 10 ${ }^{\text {th }}$
5 House Dem (HB 483)
2 Current Map

Major cities history......

- Regarding 7 of the Big 8 cities: Akron, Canton, Cincinnati, Cleveland, Dayton, Toledo and Youngstown (Note: Columbus too big to be 1 CD)
- $1^{\text {st }}$ time since at least 1965 that all are major cities (Columbus note) whole / none split

Testimony to add Greene county (Wright Patt) back to the $10^{\text {th }} \quad$ DONE
Testimony to not split Franklin 3 ways DONE
Testimony to not split Toledo / Lucas county DONE
Testimony to unify the Mahoning Valley (Trumbull and Mahoning) DONE
County specific history

## Lucas County

2021 Whole
2011 Split in 2
2001 Split in 2

## Montgomery County

2021 Whole

2011 Whole
2001 Split in 2
1991 Split in 2
1981 Split in 2
1971 Split in 3
1968 Split in 4

## Franklin County

2021 Split in 2
2011 Split in 3
2001 Split in 3

## Summit County

2021 Split in 2
2011 Split in 4
2001 Split in 3

## Stark County

2021 Whole
2011 Split in 3

## Cuyahoga County

2021 Split in 3
2011 Split in 4
2001 Split in 4
1991 Split in 4
1981 Split in 3
1971 Split in 4
1968 Split in 4
1965 Split in 4

Population affected by a split county analysis

| Population of Split counties |  | Big 3 | Other | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| McColley (SB 258) | 12 splits | $3,419,263$ | $1,509,879$ | $4,929,142$ |
| House Dems (HB 483) | 14 splits | $3,419,263$ | $1,447,208$ | $4,866,471$ |
| Sykes / Yuko 258 (Nov SB 237) | 14 splits | $3,419,263$ | $1,708,403$ | $5,127,666$ |
| Contest winning maps \#1, 3 | 14 splits | $3,419,263$ |  |  |
| Current map | 23 splits | $3,419,263$ | $3,263,906$ | $6,683,169$ |

Columbus Franklin county portion $=880,329$
Note: $89.35 \%$ is max score
Columbus in CD $3 \quad=584,813 \quad 66.4 \%$

Columbus and circumscribed area Franklin county portion $=1,019,670$
Note: $77.14 \%$ is max score
Senate REP Area in CD $3 \quad=697,723 \quad 68.42 \%$
House DEM Area in CD $3 \quad=625,181 \quad 61.30 \%$
Senate DEM Area in CD $3 \quad=620,960 \quad 60.80 \%$

## Distance Measurements

2011 CD 6 is 201 miles long
2011 CD 4 is 158 miles long

Senate REP 2021 CD 6 is 159 miles long
Senate REP 2021 CD 5 is 167 miles long

Senate DEM 2021 CD 12 is 175 miles long

House DEM 2021 CD 2 is 151 miles long House DEM 2021 CD 5 is 150 miles long

BASELINE

| 768,550 | 31,084 | $4.22 \%$ | 53.60 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 734,793 | $(2,673)$ | $-0.36 \%$ | 57.20 |
| 809,749 | 72,283 | $9.80 \%$ | 30.20 |
| 716,177 | $(21,289)$ | $-2.89 \%$ | 63.60 |
| 731,052 | $(6,414)$ | $-0.87 \%$ | 59.70 |
| 687,118 | $(50,348)$ | $-6.83 \%$ | 64.20 |
| 737,340 | $(126)$ | $-0.02 \%$ | 61.00 |
| 745,736 | 8,270 | $1.12 \%$ | 66.00 |
| 704,051 | $(33,415)$ | $-4.53 \%$ | 36.30 |
| 729,405 | $(8,061)$ | $-1.09 \%$ | 52.90 |
| 692,589 | $(44,877)$ | $-6.09 \%$ | 18.30 |
| 808,944 | 71,478 | $9.69 \%$ | 55.30 |
| 698,441 | $(39,025)$ | $-5.29 \%$ | 42.00 |
| 730,056 | $(7,410)$ | $-1.00 \%$ | 53.90 |
| 766,337 | 28,871 | $3.91 \%$ | 55.70 |
| 739,110 | 1,644 | $0.22 \%$ | 56.40 |



## Rob McColley

Senate Majority Whip 1st Senate District

Ohio Senate

Senate Building
1 Capitol Square
Columbus, Ohio 43215
(614) 466-8150

Thank you, Chairwoman Gavarone, Vice-Chair O’Brien, Ranking Member Maharath and members of the Local Government and Elections Committee for allowing me to present testimony today for Substitute Senate Bill 258. After considering multiple maps presented by Democrat and Republican Caucuses in both the House and the Senate, and listening to the public's input on all of those maps, we offer this map that is not only constitutionally compliant, but the most competitive map offered by any caucus to date. It is also a map that splits the least counties of any map offered by any caucus, keeps Ohio's largest cities whole, installs compact districts and implements many of the requested changes we heard in testimony.

Article 19, Section 2(B)(5) of the Ohio Constitution describes the process that must be followed when splitting counties in a congressional map. In essence, a map may have up to 23 split counties with up to 18 being split once and up to five being split twice. This map splits only 12 counties with only two of those counties being split twice. The counties that are split once are Clark, Fairfield, Franklin, Holmes, Lorain, Ross, Shelby, Summit, Washington and Wood. The Counties split twice are Hamilton and Cuyahoga. Notably, for the first time since the map passed thirty years ago, Lucas County will be whole and for the first time since the map passed twenty years ago Stark County will be whole. The impact on several of Ohio's other large counties is also minimized by Franklin and Summit County having the least splits since the maps passed thirty years ago. Finally, the map complies with Article 19, Section 2(B)(8) by including an entire county in each district where possible. If passed, this map would have the least counties split in over fifty years. Additionally, this map splits two less counties than both the House and Senate Democrat proposals.

Since the introduction of SB258, we have maintained that it is important to keep Ohio's largest cities whole. With exception to Columbus, which must be split under the Constitution and cities that straddle county lines and, therefore, do not count as a split under the Constitution, 98 of Ohio's 100 largest cities are kept whole in this map (Rocky River, Cuyahoga Falls). In total, only eight townships and six municipalities are split in this proposed map, which more than adequately complies with Article 19, Section 1(C)(3)(b)'s requirement that the general assembly not unduly split governmental units.

Article 19, Section 2(B)(2) also requires that districts be compact. This requirement is not applicable to a four-year map, however, under Section 1(C)(3)(c). In such an instance, the
general assembly shall attempt, but is not required to draw compact districts. Nevertheless, the districts presented before you are compact.

Finally, the map before you is the most competitive map offered by any caucus to date and the most competitive Ohio congressional map in decades. Ohio is subject to swings in voter preferences, particularly in federal elections. Even though, with exception to 2006, Republicans have swept every election for statewide constitutional office since 1994, Ohio has voted for a both a Democrat and a Republican for President in the past four presidential elections and continues to be represented by both a Democrat and Republican in the United States Senate. Clearly, Ohioans are bifurcating between federal and state elections and issues. Therefore, because the map before you is for United States Congressional districts, it makes sense to judge competitiveness based upon statewide federal elections over the last ten years. This allows us to capture the true nature of Ohio's voting tendencies in federal elections and to insulate from outliers. When evaluating these districts in the federal statewide context and defining a competitive district as one with a $46 \%-54 \%$ Republican index, this map has six seats that lean Republican, seven seats that are competitive and two seats that lean Democrat. The indexes are as follows:

| Congressional <br> District \# | Population | Deviation | Federal <br> Statewide <br> Elections <br> 2012-2020 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 786,630 | 0 | 51.5 |
| 2 | 786,630 | 0 | 65.1 |
| 3 | 786,630 | 0 | 30.4 |
| 4 | 786,630 | 0 | 66.0 |
| 5 | 786,630 | 0 | 58.8 |
| 6 | 786,629 | -1 | 52.9 |
| 7 | 786,630 | 0 | 56.7 |
| 8 | 786,630 | 0 | 62.0 |
| 9 | 786,630 | 0 | 0 |
| 47.7 |  |  |  |
| 10 | 786,630 | 0 | 52.2 |
| 11 | 786,630 | 0 | 19.4 |
| 12 | 786,630 | 0 | 61.3 |
| 13 | 786,630 | 0 | 48.6 |
| 14 |  | 53.2 |  |
| 15 |  | 53.7 |  |

Article 19, Section $1(C)(3)(a)$ states that a map shall not unduly favor or disfavor a party or its
incumbents. No sporting event should ever favor or disfavor a team by some predetermined final score before either team walks on the field. A congressional map should not be judged to favor or disfavor either party that way either. Rather, it should be judged based upon how many districts are going to be determined by the various important issues and candidates in that election. This map embodies that belief by ensuring a plurality of the districts will be competitive in any given cycle. Its seven competitive districts are two more than any House or Senate Democrat proposal and five more than the map passed in 2011. Further, this map neither favors nor disfavors either party's incumbents. It accomplishes this by only combining two incumbents, who are required to be combined through the prohibition against splitting Cincinnati.

The map before you complies with the requirements placed upon the General Assembly under the Ohio Constitution. It is the product of a deliberate effort to draw compact districts, minimize county splits, keep Ohio's largest cities whole and ensure a plurality of Ohio’s congressional districts will be competitive. Thank you Chairwoman Gavarone, Vice-Chair O'Brien, Ranking Member Maharath and members of the Local Government and Elections Committee for allowing me to present testimony on Substitute Senate Bill 258 and the proposed congressional district map contained therein. I would be happy to take any questions.
Competitive CDs (+/-4\%) using FED data Competitive CDs (+/-4\%) using all data

| 7 | McColley (SB 258) | 5 | McColley (SB 258) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 5 | Yuko Sykes (SB 237) Sept 29 th | 5 | Yuko Sykes (SB 237) Sept 29 th |
| 5 | Yuko Sykes (SB 237) Nov 10 |  |  |
| 5 | House Dem (HB 483) | 4 | Yuko Sykes (SB 237) Nov 10 |
| 2 | Current Map | 5 | House Dem (HB 483) |
|  |  | 2 | Current Map |
| Competitive CDs (+/-3.75\%) using FED data |  |  |  |
| 7 | McColley (SB 258) | Competitive CDs (+/-3.75\%) using all data |  |
| 5 | Yuko Sykes (SB 237) Sept 29 th | 4 | McColley (SB 258) |
| 5 | Yuko Sykes (SB 237) Nov 10 |  |  |
| 5 | House Dem (HB 483) | 4 | Yuko Sykes (SB 237) Sept 29 |
| 0 | Current Map | 4 | Yuko Sykes (SB 237) Nov 10 |


| Competitive CDs (+/-3.5\%) using FED data |  | Competitive CDs ( $+/-3.5 \%$ ) using all data |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 6 | McColley (SB 258) | 4 | McColley (SB 258) |
| 4 | Yuko Sykes (SB 237) Sept 29 |  |  |
| 4 | Yuko Sykes (SB 237) Nov 10 |  |  |
| 4 | House Dem (HB 483) | 4 | Yuko Sykes (SB 237) Sept 29 |
| 0 | Current Map | 4 | Yuko Sykes (SB 237) Nov 10 |
|  |  | 4 | House Dem (HB 483) |
|  |  | 1 | Current Map |


| Competitive CDs (+/-3\%) using FED data | Competitive CDs (+/-3\%) using all data |
| :---: | :---: |
| 5 McColley (SB 258) | 3 McColley (SB 258) |
| 4 Yuko Sykes (SB 237) Sept 29 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ | 3 Yuko Sykes (SB 237) Sept 29 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ |
| 4 Yuko Sykes (SB 237) Nov 10 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ | 3 Yuko Sykes (SB 237) Nov 10 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ |
| 4 House Dem (HB 483) | 2 House Dem (HB 483) |
| 0 Current Map | 1 Current Map |
| Competitive CDs (+/-2.5\%) using FED data | Competitive CDs (+/-2.5\%) using all data |
| 4 McColley (SB 258) | 3 McColley (SB 258) |
| 3 Yuko Sykes (SB 237) Sept 29 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ | 2 Yuko Sykes (SB 237) Sept 29 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ |
| 3 Yuko Sykes (SB 237) Nov 10 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ | 2 Yuko Sykes (SB 237) Nov 10 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ |
| 3 House Dem (HB 483) | 1 House Dem (HB 483) |
| 0 Current Map | 0 Current Map |

Competitive CDs (+/-2\%) using FED data Competitive CDs (+/-2\%) using all data

| 2 | McColley (SB 258) | 3 | McColley (SB 258) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | Yuko Sykes (SB 237) Sept 29 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ | 2 | Yuko Sykes (SB 237) Sept 29 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ |
| 3 | Yuko Sykes (SB 237) Nov 10 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ | 2 | Yuko Sykes (SB 237) Nov 10 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ |
| 2 | House Dem (HB 483) | 0 | House Dem (HB 483) |
| 0 | Current Map | 0 | Current Map |
|  | itive CDs (+/-1.5\%) using FED data | Competitive CDs (+/-1.5\%) using all data |  |
| 2 | McColley (SB 258) | 2 | McColley (SB 258) |
| 2 | Yuko Sykes (SB 237) Sept 29 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ | 1 | Yuko Sykes (SB 237) Sept 29 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ |
| 2 | Yuko Sykes (SB 237) Nov 10 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ | 1 | Yuko Sykes (SB 237) Nov 10 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ |
| 1 | House Dem (HB 483) | 0 | House Dem (HB 483) |
| 0 | Current Map | 0 | Current Map |


| Office | District | First | Last | Party | Term Limit | Address | City | State | Zip | Zip plus 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rep. | 1 | Scott | Wiggam | (R) | 2026 | 316 E. Beverly Road | Wooster | Ohio | 44691 |  |
| Rep. | 2 | Marilyn | John | (R) | 2028 | 21 Cold Draw Court | Shelby | Ohio | 44875 |  |
| Rep. | 3 | Haraz | Ghanbari | (R) | 2028 | 26811 Dogwood Lane | Perrysburg | Ohio | 43551 |  |
| Rep. | 4 | Robert | Cupp | (R) | 2022 | 3003 W. Hume Road | Lima | Ohio | 45806 | 9452 |
| Rep. | 5 | Timothy | Ginter | (R) | 2022 | 875 Homewood Avenue | Salem | Ohio | 44460 |  |
| Rep. | 6 | Phillip | Robinson | (D) | 2026 | 7099 Longview Drive | Solon | Ohio | 44139 |  |
| Rep. | 7 | Thomas | Patton | (R) | 2024 | 17157 Rabbit Run Drive | Strongsville | Ohio | 44136 | 6243 |
| Rep. | 8 | Kent | Smith | (D) | 2022 | 34 E. 290th Street | Euclid | Ohio | 44123 |  |
| Rep. | 9 | Janine | Boyd | (D) | 2022 | 1366 Cleveland Heights Boulevard | Cleveland Heights | Ohio | 44121 |  |
| Rep. | 10 | Terrence | Upchurch | (D) | 2026 | 1426 Clearaire Road | Cleveland | Ohio | 44110 |  |
| Rep. | 11 | Stephanie | Howse | (D) | 2022 | 1804 E. 93rd Street | Cleveland | Ohio | 44106 |  |
| Rep. | 12 | Juanita | Brent | (D) | 2026 | 16804 Glendale Avenue | Cleveland | Ohio | 44128 |  |
| Rep. | 13 | Michael | Skindell | (D) | 2026 | 16800 Deleware Avenue | Lakewood | Ohio | 44107 | 5517 |
| Rep. | 14 | Bride | Sweeney | (D) | 2026 | 3632 W. 133rd Street | Cleveland | Ohio | 44111 |  |
| Rep. | 15 | Jeffrey | Crossman | (D) | 2026 | 6429 S. Park Boulevard | Parma | Ohio | 44134 |  |
| Rep. | 16 | Monique | Smith | (D) | 2028 | 19793 Coffinberry Boulevard | Fairview Park | Ohio | 44126 |  |
| Rep. | 17 | Adam | Miller | (D) | 2024 | 1600 Roxbury Road | Columbus | Ohio | 43212 |  |
| Rep. | 18 | Kristin | Boggs | (D) | 2024 | 834 Hamlet Street | Columbus | Ohio | 43215 |  |
| Rep. | 19 | Mary | Lightbody | (D) | 2026 | 4948 E. Walnut Street | Westerville | Ohio | 43081 |  |
| Rep. | 20 | Richard | Brown | (D) | 2026 | 7559 Bruns Court | Canal Winchester | Ohio | 43110 |  |
| Rep. | 21 | Beth | Liston | (D) | 2026 | 2193 Stratingham Drive | Dublin | Ohio | 43016 |  |
| Rep. | 22 | David | Leland | (D) | 2022 | 361 Walhalla Road | Columbus | Ohio | 43202 |  |
| Rep. | 23 | Laura | Lanese | (R) | 2024 | 2315 Milligan Grove | Grove City | Ohio | 43123 |  |
| Rep. | 24 | Allison | Russo | (D) | 2026 | 1850 Tewksbury Road | Upper Arlington | Ohio | 43221 |  |
| Rep. | 25 | Dontavius | Jarrells | (D) | 2028 | 1245 Mt. Vernon Avenue Apt. 3H | Columbus | Ohio | 43203 |  |
| Rep. | 26 | VACANT | VACANT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rep. | 27 | Thomas | Brinkman | (R) | 2022 | 3215 Hardisty Avenue | Cincinnati | Ohio | 45208 | 3006 |
| Rep. | 28 | Jessica | Miranda | (D) | 2026 | 11511 Oxfordshire Lane | Cincinnati | Ohio | 45240 |  |
| Rep. | 29 | Cynthia | Abrams | (R) | 2028 | 92 Fawn Drive | Harrison | Ohio | 45030 |  |
| Rep. | 30 | William | Seitz | (R) | 2024 | 2097 Beech Grove Drive | Cincinnati | Ohio | 45233 | 4915 |
| Rep. | 31 | Brigid | Kelly | (D) | 2024 | 3421 Traskwood Circle Apt. D | Cincinnati | Ohio | 45208 |  |


| Office | District | First | Last | Party | Term Limit | Address | City | State | Zip | Zip plus 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rep. | 32 | Catherine | Ingram | (D) | 2024 | 250 Dorchester Avenue | Cincinnati | Ohio | 45219 |  |
| Rep. | 33 | Sedrick | Denson | (D) | 2026 | 8298 Kingsmere Court | Cincinnati | Ohio | 45231 |  |
| Rep. | 34 | Emilia | Sykes | (D) | 2022 | 109 N. Howard Street Unit A | Akron | Ohio | 44308 |  |
| Rep. | 35 | Tavia | Galonski | (D) | 2026 | 1137 Allendale Avenue | Akron | Ohio | 44306 |  |
| Rep. | 36 | Robert | Young | (R) | 2028 | 2037 Greensburg Road | North Canton | Ohio | 44720 |  |
| Rep. | 37 | Casey | Weinstein | (D) | 2026 | 8 N. Westhaven Drive | Hudson | Ohio | 44236 |  |
| Rep. | 38 | William | Roemer | (R) | 2026 | 3616 Southern Road | Richfield | Ohio | 44286 |  |
| Rep. | 39 | Willis | Blackshear | (D) | 2028 | 531 Belmont Park N. Apt. 411 | Dayton | Ohio | 45405 |  |
| Rep. | 40 | Philip | Plummer | (R) | 2026 | 1831 Kershner Road | Dayton | Ohio | 45414 |  |
| Rep. | 41 | Andrea | White | (R) | 2028 | 4744 Bokay Drive | Kettering | Ohio | 45440 |  |
| Rep. | 42 | Tom | Young | (R) | 2028 | 1121 Cedar Creek Circle | Dayton | Ohio | 45459 |  |
| Rep. | 43 | Rodney | Creech | (R) | 2028 | 5062 Bantas Creek Road | West Alexandria | Ohio | 45381 |  |
| Rep. | 44 | Paula | Hicks-Hudson | (D) | 2026 | 2633 Robinwood Avenue | Toledo | Ohio | 43610 |  |
| Rep. | 45 | Lisa | Sobecki | (D) | 2026 | 2714 117th Street | Toledo | Ohio | 43611 |  |
| Rep. | 46 | Michael | Sheehy | (D) | 2022 | 1129 Schmidlin Road | Oregon | Ohio | 43616 |  |
| Rep. | 47 | Derek | Merrin | (R) | 2024 | 4623 Lakeside Drive Unit 3109 | Maumee | Ohio | 43537 |  |
| Rep. | 48 | Scott | Oelslager | (R) | 2026 | 215 North Circle Drive SW. | North Canton | Ohio | 44709 |  |
| Rep. | 49 | Thomas | West | (D) | 2024 | 625 12th Street NW. | Canton | Ohio | 44703 |  |
| Rep. | 50 | Reginald | Stoltzfus | (R) | 2026 | 13789 Telpahak Street SE. | Minerva | Ohio | 44657 |  |
| Rep. | 51 | Sara | Carruthers | (R) | 2026 | 601 Glenway Drive | Hamilton | Ohio | 45013 |  |
| Rep. | 52 | Jennifer | Gross | (R) | 2028 | 7350 Lakota Springs Drive | West Chester | Ohio | 45069 |  |
| Rep. | 53 | Thomas | Hall | (R) | 2028 | 6364 Trenton Franklin Road | Middletown | Ohio | 45042 |  |
| Rep. | 54 | Paul | Zeltwanger | (R) | 2022 | 4607 White Blossom Boulevard | Mason | Ohio | 45040 |  |
| Rep. | 55 | Gayle | Manning | (R) | 2026 | 9436 Foxboro Drive | North Ridgeville | Ohio | 44039 |  |
| Rep. | 56 | Joseph | Miller | (D) | 2026 | 433 Northpointe Boulevard | Amherst | Ohio | 44001 |  |
| Rep. | 57 | Dick | Stein | (R) | 2024 | 2854 State Route 61 | Norwalk | Ohio | 44857 |  |
| Rep. | 58 | Michele | Lepore-Hagan | (D) | 2022 | 562 Madera Avenue | Youngstown | Ohio | 44504 |  |
| Rep. | 59 | AI | Cutrona | (R) | 2028 | 3755 Mercedes Place Unit 9 | Canfield | Ohio | 44406 |  |
| Rep. | 60 | Dan | Troy | (D) | 2028 | 31600 Lakeshore Boulevard Apt. 37 | Willowick | Ohio | 44095 |  |
| Rep. | 61 | Jamie | Callender | (R) | 2026 | 9920 Ashwood Trail | Concord | Ohio | 44060 |  |
| Rep. | 62 | Scott | Lipps | (R) | 2024 | 157 Millard Drive | Franklin | Ohio | 45005 |  |


| Office | District | First | Last | Party | Term Limit | Address | City | State | Zip | Zip plus 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rep. | 63 | Michael | Loychik | (R) | 2028 | 4022 Westlake Road | Cortland | Ohio | 44410 |  |
| Rep. | 64 | Michael | O'Brien | (D) | 2022 | 1849 Edgewood NE. | Warren | Ohio | 44483 |  |
| Rep. | 65 | Jean | Schmidt | (R) | 2028 | 771 Wards Corner Road | Loveland | Ohio | 45140 | 9049 |
| Rep. | 66 | Adam | Bird | (R) | 2028 | 3562 Behymer Road | Cincinnati | Ohio | 45245 |  |
| Rep. | 67 | Kris | Jordan | (R) | 2026 | 7740 Marysville Road | Ostrander | Ohio | 43061 |  |
| Rep. | 68 | Rick | Carfagna | (R) | 2024 | 6155 Baneberry Drive | Westerville | Ohio | 43082 |  |
| Rep. | 69 | Sharon | Ray | (R) | 2028 | 283 Stratford Avenue | Wadsworth | Ohio | 44281 |  |
| Rep. | 70 | Darrell | Kick | (R) | 2024 | 8050 Twp Road 462 | Loudonville | Ohio | 44842 |  |
| Rep. | 71 | Mark | Fraizer | (R) | 2028 | 20 W. North Street | Newark | Ohio | 43055 |  |
| Rep. | 72 | Kevin | Miller | (R) | 2030 | 6170 Pleasant Chapel Road | Newark | Ohio | 43056 |  |
| Rep. | 73 | Brian | Lampton | (R) | 2028 | 960 N Fairfield Road | Beavercreek | Ohio | 45434 |  |
| Rep. | 74 | Bill | Dean | (R) | 2024 | 649 N Monroe Drive | Xenia | Ohio | 45385 |  |
| Rep. | 75 | Gail | Pavliga | (R) | 2028 | 1965 New Milford Road | Atwater | Ohio | 44201 |  |
| Rep. | 76 | Diane | Grendell | (R) | 2028 | 7413 Tattersall Street | Chesterland | Ohio | 44026 | 2036 |
| Rep. | 77 | Jeffrey | LaRe | (R) | 2028 | 7587 Cumberland Circle | Canal Winchester | Ohio | 43110 |  |
| Rep. | 78 | Brian | Stewart | (R) | 2028 | 15075 Home Court | Ashville | Ohio | 43103 |  |
| Rep. | 79 | Kyle | Koehler | (R) | 2022 | 4674 Hominy Ridge Road | Springfield | Ohio | 45502 |  |
| Rep. | 80 | Jena | Powell | (R) | 2026 | 8172 State Route 722 | Arcanum | Ohio | 45304 |  |
| Rep. | 81 | James | Hoops | (R) | 2026 | 195 Old Creek Drive | Napoleon | Ohio | 43545 | 9632 |
| Rep. | 82 | Craig | Riedel | (R) | 2024 | 1246 Hilton Head Court | Defiance | Ohio | 43512 |  |
| Rep. | 83 | Jon | Cross | (R) | 2026 | 16511 Maureen Drive | Kenton | Ohio | 43326 |  |
| Rep. | 84 | Susan | Manchester | (R) | 2026 | 29566 State Route 385 | Lakeview | Ohio | 43331 |  |
| Rep. | 85 | Nino | Vitale | (R) | 2022 | 4940 Benson Road | Urbana | Ohio | 43078 |  |
| Rep. | 86 | Tracy | Richardson | (R) | 2026 | 1807 Chiprock Drive | Marysville | Ohio | 43040 |  |
| Rep. | 87 | Riordan | McClain | (R) | 2024 | 7915 Township Highway 136 | Nevada | Ohio | 44849 |  |
| Rep. | 88 | Gary | Click | (R) | 2028 | 1473 County Road 268 | Vickery | Ohio | 43464 |  |
| Rep. | 89 | DJ | Swearingen | (R) | 2028 | 3806 Lawrence Avenue | Huron | Ohio | 44839 |  |
| Rep. | 90 | Brian | Baldridge | (R) | 2026 | 14475 State Route 136 | Winchester | Ohio | 45697 |  |
| Rep. | 91 | Shane | Wilkin | (R) | 2026 | 4151 E. Danville Road | Hillsboro | Ohio | 45133 |  |
| Rep. | 92 | Mark | Johnson | (R) | 2028 | 330 Red Bud Road | Chillicothe | Ohio | 45601 |  |
| Rep. | 93 | Jason | Stephens | (R) | 2028 | 26 CO. Road 230 | Kitts Hill | Ohio | 45645 |  |


| Office | District | First | Last | Party | Term Limit | Address | City | State | Zip | Zip plus 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rep. | 94 | James | Edwards | (R) | 2024 | 3 Blair Court | Nelsonville | Ohio | 45764 |  |
| Rep. | 95 | Don | Jones | (R) | 2026 | 34755 Jones Road | Freeport | Ohio | 43973 |  |
| Rep. | 96 | Ron | Ferguson | (R) | 2028 | 299 Orlando Manor | Wintersville | Ohio | 43953 |  |
| Rep. | 97 | Adam | Holmes | (R) | 2028 | 5480 Creamery Road | Nashport | Ohio | 43830 |  |
| Rep. | 98 | Brett | Hillyer | (R) | 2026 | 3837 Clay Court SE. | Dennison | Ohio | 44621 |  |
| Rep. | 99 | Sarah | Fowler Arthur | (R) | 2028 | 710 Garrison Road | Ashtabula | Ohio | 44004 |  |
| Sen. | 1 | Robert | McColley | (R) | 2026 | 15 Lemans Drive | Napoleon | Ohio | 43545 |  |
| Sen. | 2 | Theresa | Gavarone | (R) | 2028 | 1537 Cedar Lane | Bowling Green | Ohio | 43402 |  |
| Sen. | 3 | Tina | Maharath | (D) | 2026 | 85 E. Hocking Street | Canal Winchester | Ohio | 43110 |  |
| Sen. | 4 | George | Lang | (R) | 2028 | 7277 St. Ives Place | West Chester | Ohio | 45069 |  |
| Sen. | 5 | Stephen | Huffman | (R) | 2026 | 862 Buckeye Court | Tipp City | Ohio | 45371 |  |
| Sen. | 6 | Niraj | Antani | (R) | 2028 | 8547 White Cedar Drive Apt. 321 | Miamisburg | Ohio | 45342 |  |
| Sen. | 7 | Stephen | Wilson | (R) | 2026 | 4905 Water Stone Lane | Maineville | Ohio | 45039 |  |
| Sen. | 8 | Louis | Blessing | (R) | 2026 | 3378 Dolomar Drive | Cincinnati | Ohio | 45239 |  |
| Sen. | 9 | Cecil | Thomas | (D) | 2024 | 515 Clinton Springs Avenue | Cincinnati | Ohio | 45217 |  |
| Sen. | 10 | Bob | Hackett | (R) | 2024 | 2050 Palouse Drive | London | Ohio | 43140 |  |
| Sen. | 11 | Teresa | Fedor | (D) | 2026 | 3708 S. Beverly Hills Drive | Toledo | Ohio | 43614 |  |
| Sen. | 12 | Matt | Huffman | (R) | 2024 | 2220 Merit Avenue | Lima | Ohio | 45805 |  |
| Sen. | 13 | Nathan | Manning | (R) | 2026 | 38179 Terrell Drive | North Ridgeville | Ohio | 44039 |  |
| Sen. | 14 | Terry | Johnson | (R) | 2028 | 74 A McDaniel Road | McDermott | Ohio | 45652 |  |
| Sen. | 15 | Hearcel | Craig | (D) | 2028 | 1026 Linwood Avenue | Columbus | Ohio | 43206 |  |
| Sen. | 16 | Stephanie | Kunze | (R) | 2024 | 6555 Longshore Street Unit 416 | Dublin | Ohio | 43017 |  |
| Sen. | 17 | Bob | Peterson | (R) | 2022 | 5564 Grassy Branch Road | Sabina | Ohio | 45169 |  |
| Sen. | 18 | Jerry | Cirino | (R) | 2028 | 8651 Kirtland-Chardon Road | Kirtland | Ohio | 44094 |  |
| Sen. | 19 | Andrew | Brenner | (R) | 2026 | 102 W. Lincoln Avenue | Deleware | Ohio | 43015 |  |
| Sen. | 20 | Tim | Schaffer | (R) | 2028 | 1173 Stone Run Court | Lancaster | Ohio | 43130 |  |
| Sen. | 21 | Sandra | Williams | (D) | 2022 | 12518 Fairhill Road | Cleveland | Ohio | 44120 |  |
| Sen. | 22 | Mark | Romanchuk | (R) | 2028 | 3306 Oakstone Drive | Mansfield | Ohio | 44903 |  |
| Sen. | 23 | Nickie | Antonio | (D) | 2026 | 1305 Belle Avenue | Lakewood | Ohio | 44107 |  |
| Sen. | 24 | Matt | Dolan | (R) | 2024 | 515 Solon Road | Chagrin Falls | Ohio | 44022 |  |
| Sen. | 25 | Kenny | Yuko | (D) | 2022 | 479 Pierson Drive | Richmond Heights | Ohio | 44143 |  |


| Office | District | First | Last | Party | Term Limit | Address | City | State | Zip | Zip plus 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sen. | 26 | Bill | Reineke | (R) | 2028 | 5209 S. State Route 231 | Tiffin | Ohio | 44883 |  |
| Sen. | 27 | Kristina | Roegner | (R) | 2026 | 1556 E. Hines Hill Road | Hudson | Ohio | 44236 |  |
| Sen. | 28 | Vernon | Sykes | (D) | 2024 | 133 Furnace Run Drive | Akron | Ohio | 44307 |  |
| Sen. | 29 | Kirk | Schurring | (R) | 2026 | 1817 Devonshire Drive NW | Canton | Ohio | 44708 |  |
| Sen. | 30 | Frank | Hoagland | (R) | 2024 | 5751 Township Road 120 | Adena | Ohio | 43901 |  |
| Sen. | 31 | Jay | Hottinger | (R) | 2022 | 894 Jonathan Lane | Newark | Ohio | 43055 |  |
| Sen. | 32 | Sandra | O'Brien | (R) | 2028 | 3434 Stumpville Road | Rome | Ohio | 44085 |  |
| Sen. | 33 | Michael | Rulli | (R) | 2026 | 402 Lisbon Road | Salem | Ohio | 44460 |  |
| US Rep. | 1 | Steve | Chabot | (R) |  | 3025 Daytona Avenue | Cincinnati | Ohio | 45211 |  |
| US Rep. | 2 | Brad | Wenstrup | (R) |  | 512 Missouri Avenue | Cincinnati | Ohio | 45226 |  |
| US Rep. | 3 | Joyce | Beatty | (D) |  | 1421 Taylor Corners Circle | Blacklick | Ohio | 43004 |  |
| US Rep. | 4 | Jim | Jordan | (R) |  | 1709 S. State Route 560 | Urbana | Ohio | 43078 |  |
| US Rep. | 5 | Bob | Latta | (R) |  | 1528 Muirfield Drive | Bowling Green | Ohio | 43402 |  |
| US Rep. | 6 | Bill | Johnson | (R) |  | 519 5th Street | Marietta | Ohio | 45750 |  |
| US Rep. | 7 | Bob | Gibbs | (R) |  | 13871 Township Road 473 | Lakeville | Ohio | 44638 |  |
| US Rep. | 8 | Warren | Davidson | (R) |  | 18656 Pheasant Point Court | Troy | Ohio | 45373 |  |
| US Rep. | 9 | Marcy | Kaptur | (D) |  | 1841 Dority Road | Toledo | Ohio | 43615 |  |
| US Rep. | 10 | Mike | Turner | (R) |  | 109 N. Main Street Suite 1103 | Dayton | Ohio | 45402 |  |
| US Rep. | 11 | Shontel | Brown | (D) |  | 4660 Belfiore Road | Cleveland | Ohio | 44128 |  |
| US Rep. | 12 | Troy | Balderson | (R) |  | 417 Coventry Circle | Zanesville | Ohio | 43701 |  |
| US Rep. | 13 | Tim | Ryan | (D) |  | 560 Ameber Drive SE | Warren | Ohio | 44484 |  |
| US Rep. | 14 | David | Joyce | (R) |  | 406 Deer Court | Chagrin Falls | Ohio | 44022 |  |
| US Rep. | 15 | Mike | Carey | (R) |  | 1017 City Park Avenue | Columbus | Ohio | 43206 |  |
| US Rep. | 16 | Anthony | Gonzalez | (R) |  | 1150 Homeland Drive | Rocky River | Ohio | 44116 |  |
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(Technician read-on.)
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Here begins disk number
one in the videotaped deposition of Blake
Springhetti in the matter of League of Women
Voters of Ohio versus Governor Mike DeWine,
et al., in the Supreme Court of Ohio, Case No.
2021-1449.
Today's date is Tuesday, December 7th,
2021, and the time on the video monitor is
2:31 p.m.
The videographer today is Madeline Nagy
representing $P l a n e t ~ D e p o s . ~$
This video deposition is taking place
remotely.
Would counsel please voice-identify
themselves and state whom they represent.
MS. BENDER: This is Brady Bender of
Covington \& Burling for the League of Women Voters Relators.

MS. JASRASARIA: This is Jyoti Jasrasaria
with Elias Law Group, LLP, for the Adams Relators.
MR. McGUIRE: This is Greg McGuire with
the Nelson Mullins firm for the Speaker and the
President of the Ohio General Assembly.
MS. COONTZ: This is the Bridget Coontz
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with the Ohio Attorney General's Office on behalf of Secretary of State Frank LaRose. With me is Mike Walton, and soon to be joining is Julie Pfeiffer.

MR. DENUYL: This is David Denuyl of
Covington \& Burling on behalf of the League of Women Voters as well.

MR. THOMSON: This is Alex Thomson of
Covington \& Burling on behalf of League of Women Voters Relators.

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The court reporter
today is Michelle Yohler, representing Planet
Depos.
Will the reporter please swear in the
witness.
(WHEREUPON, the witness was duly sworn.)
BLAKE SPRINGHETTI,
called as a witness herein, having been first duly
sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
EXAMINATION
BY MS. BENDER:
Q Good afternoon, Mr. Springhetti. Thank
you for being here today. As I just said, my name is Brady Bender. I'm an attorney with Covington \& Burling, and I'm representing the League of Women
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Voters Relators in this case. Later on you'll
here from my colleague, Jyoti Jasrasaria, who will
represent the Adams Relators.
Would you please state your full name for
the record.
A Sure. Blake Victor Springhetti.
Q Thank you.
So I know that you were just deposed for a
case related to the General Assembly map, and so
if it's all right with you, I'm not going to
repeat items or repeat basic ground rules that we
covered there, given time constraints.
Is that all right?
MR. McGUIRE: That's fine.
BY THE WITNESS:
A Sure.
BY MS. BENDER:
Q Okay. All right. So I'm just going to
jump right in then. Can you tell me, when did you
start working on the congressional maps?
A I would say sometime in mid to late
October.
Q Okay. And was that before you finished working on the state legislative maps?

A No.
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Q No. Okay.
So let's talk a little bit about the map
drawing process. Did you work with Ray DiRossi
again while working on the congressional maps?
A Could you clarify your question?
Q Did you -- during the General Assembly
redistricting process, I understand you had -- you
had worked with Mr. Ray DiRossi. Did you also
work with him while working on the state -- excuse
me -- on the congressional maps?
A I would say that we did not work in the
same manner that we did during the General
Assembly process.
Q I'm sorry, could you repeat that? I
didn't catch the end of that sentence.
A We did not work in the same manner as we did in the congressional process as we did in the General Assembly process.

Q Can you tell me, how did you work together then?

A Well, for this process there were a lot of
other things happening other than congressional redistricting and, frankly, we didn't spend much time together discussing or deliberating congressional maps throughout the process.
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Q Okay. And just to backtrack a little bit.

Mr. DiRossi was working on drawing maps for the Senate side?

A Yes, that's correct.

Q Okay. And you were working on the House side?

A Correct.

Q And I understand that -- well, did you
work in the same room for the congressional maps as you did while you were working on the General Assembly maps?

A We used the same -- same state office
building that we used for the General Assembly
maps, yes.
Q Okay. And that was the William Green
Building?

A Yes.

Q Okay. Did you work in any other
locations.

A Could you clarify the question?
Q So I understand -- did you work on the
congressional maps from any locations beyond the William Green Building?

A The Maptitude software was stationary in the William Green Building.
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Q So all of your Maptitude work would have taken place in the William Green Building, but potentially conversations or other things might have taken place outside of the William Green Building?

A Yes.
Q Okay. When you were in the William Green Building, did you and Mr. DiRossi work in the same room?

A Again, we worked in the same room in the same state office building, same state office that we used for the General Assembly process.

Q And just to clarify, I understood during the General Assembly process that both of you were -- your computers were in the same room, and that's also the case here in the William Green Building?

A Yes, the computers are in the same spot that they were during that process.

Q And so because you were working in the same room, you could simply talk to one another as you were drawing or as you were working?

A Could that happen, yes. But I'll just say that, again, it was very much not the same type of situation, just due to scheduling. He and I very

PLANET DEPOS
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much were in passing. But, yes, that could happen.

Q And it did happen sometimes?
A Yes, it did happen sometimes.
Q Did you and Mr. DiRossi communicate in any
other ways about the congressional maps, beyond talking while you were in this room?

A No.
Q Okay. So no email?
A There -- we exchanged bill drafts, yes.
So we did exchange some emails related to drafting and block assignment files and things of that --

Q And would those emails have been provided to your counsel for this case?

A I produced them.
MS. BENDER: Okay. I just want to confirm
that any such emails would have been produced to us and request that if they have not yet been produced to us, that they -- that they are produced imminently -- immediately after this deposition.

MR. McGUIRE: All right. Yeah, I'm not aware of any documents responsive to your request for Mr. Springhetti that have not been produced.

MS. BENDER: Okay.
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## BY MS. BENDER:

Q Would you communicate by text message?
A No.

Q And did you ever communicate using a messaging app, like Teams or any other -- Zoom or
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that you previously used in the General Assembly process?

I guess I'll separate that out. The two
of you would have had the same results data?
A What was in my computer was the same data
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Q Okay. And would he have -- he would have seen, similarly, the House map that was proposed, the House Bill 479?

A Could you rephrase that? There was a lag at the beginning of your question.

Q That's okay.
And he would have seen the House map that
was proposed under House Bill 479?
A I don't recall if he actually saw that or
not.

Q Okay. Was there anyone else that worked
with you and Mr. DiRossi on the maps?
A Could you clarify your question?
Q Did anyone else work in Maptitude on maps proposed in the congressional cycle?

A No.
Q Did you consult with anyone else as you
were drawing maps in Maptitude?
A Could you clarify what you mean by
"consult"?
Q Let's -- well, I'll ask about some
specific people, actually.
So did you ever work or consult with John
Morgan on maps that you were drawing in Maptitude?
A No.
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Q The same question as to Clark Benson?
A No.
Q Okay. I want to talk a little bit about
the data that you used in congressional map
drawing. Did you use census population data?
A Yes.
Q And did you use data on subdivision lines
in Ohio?
A Yes.
Q Okay.
A Yeah.
Q Where did that data come from?
A The 2020 census is where we received our
census population data.
Q And then what about the data on the
subdivision lines?
A Well, our Maptitude software came
with -- with subdivision lines.
Q Okay. And did you receive any data from Ohio University?

A For the congressional process?
Q Mm-hm. That would have been used in the congressional process.

A The data and the CURD, Common Unified
Redistricting Database, that was provided by OU in
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```
the General Assembly process was maintained in
Maptitude.
```

Q Okay. Did you use any data about voting
history in drawing these maps?
A Yes.
Q And those would have been voting history
based upon specific elections?
A Yes.
Q And which elections would you have used
data from?
A So when I work -- when $I$ would work on proposals for congressional redistricting, I would
specifically look at '16, '18, and '20 election
data.

Q Any years beyond that?
A Not in particular.
Q I'm sorry, not in particular? No years
beyond that or -- can you clarify that answer?
A Sure.
When $I$ was drafting congressional
proposals, I was looking at '16, '18, and '20
data. I did not --
Q Okay. And which --
A -- reference -- I did not reference any
years prior to that.
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Q Okay. And which elections from 2016, 2018, and 2020?

A Statewide, federal elections, and Ohio-elected office holders, statewide office holders.

Q I'm sorry. If you could -- if you could just repeat the end of that again. I couldn't quite catch the -- I couldn't quite hear you.

A Yes. Statewide elections including state office holders, statewide office holders, and federal elections.

Q Okay. And those statewide office holders
would be -- can you name those offices?
A Sure. Secretary of State, Treasury of
State -- I'm sorry, Treasurer of State, the
Attorney General, the -- who am I leaving out?
Auditor, Treasurer, Secretary of State, Governor.
Q All right. Auditor, Treasurer, Secretary
of State, Governor. Good.
Unless you can -- unless you can think of
anyone else that is not on there.
A Attorney General?
Q Oh, AG. Yeah. Okay. We'll add that.
And where did this election -- sorry. I
missed that.

14:46:37
$14: 46: 46$
14:46:47
14:46:58
14:47:10

14:47:10
14:47:10

14:47:11
14:47:11
14:47:15
14:47:21
14:47:21
$14: 47: 25$
14:47:27
14:47:32

14:47:38

14:47:42

14:47:47
14:47:52
$14: 47: 54$
$14: 47: 56$
14:47:58
$14: 48: 01$

14:48:04
14:48:09

## Transcript of Blake Springhetti

Conducted on December 7, 2021

A Yeah, it's all good.
Q Where did the election data you used come
from?
A The -- the election data comes from the
Secretary of State's Office.
Q Okay. And was it -- was it processed by
anyone beyond -- or was the state -- the election
data that you get from the Secretary of State's
Office, was it then processed by anyone else to
reveal partisan voting preferences from that data?
A So as I stated earlier, the data and the
information that was in my Maptitude computer was
unchanged from the General Assembly and the
redistricting process.
I did not manage that process for the
General Assembly process as far as re- --
obtaining data and things like that.
So my computer was unchanged from the
General Assembly process.
Q Okay. And when was it decided which
elections you would consider voting data from in
this process?
A I'm sorry, could you restate the question?
Q When was it decided which elections you
would consider voting data from in this process?
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A I would say probably the second week of
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assert the legislative privilege.
Mr. Springhetti's communications with any members
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Q So do you know how it was decided which
elections you would consider?
MR. McGUIRE: Once again, I'll assert the
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legislative privilege to the extent that answer would involve him identifying members of the General Assembly or members of their staff. BY THE WITNESS:

A I do not know how it was considered. MS. BENDER: And I'll say, the same
response to the objection as before.
BY MS. BENDER:

Q Did you ever participate in any meetings
about what election data would be considered?
A I'm sorry, could you restate that? There was another lag at the beginning.

MR. McGUIRE: You're breaking up a little
bit occasionally.
MS. BENDER: Oh, sorry about that.
BY MS. BENDER:

Q Did you ever participate in any meetings
about what data would be considered?
A Yes.
Q And who would participate in these
meetings?
A It would have been myself and the Speaker
and the Senate President and Ray and our -- my Chief of Staff and Ray's Chief of Staff.

Q And can you give names for the chiefs of
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staff there?
A The Ohio House Chief of Staff is Christine
Morrison. The Senate Chief of Staff is John

Barron.
Q All right. So once the election data was received, it was loaded into Maptitude. I believe you said earlier that you weren't sure how that happened; is that right?

A The management and facilitation of
obtaining the data was not something that I
managed for the General Assembly process. And I'll just say again that the data and geography
that was in my computer then was unchanged for the
congressional districts.
Q So you don't know how the election results data was -- got into Maptitude?

A For the congressional process?
Q Just in general. It sounds like it was the same data either way.

A Yeah, so for the General Assembly process, we had to upload it to Maptitude, but I was not involved in the attainment of that data.

Q Okay. Do you know who uploaded it into Maptitude?

A For the General Assembly process?
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Q So I understand that this is the same data that's being used in the congressional process. So it was uploaded at the time of the General Assembly process, correct, but it's the same data?

A Yes, it was uploaded for the General
Assembly process, and it is --
Q Okay.
A -- the same data.
Q And then -- so who uploaded it for the
General Assembly process?
A I physically up- -- put all the
information into Maptitude.
Q And who did you get that information from?
A So, again, I didn't manage that process.
I actually got that from Ray.
Q Okay. And do you know who Ray got it from?

A I don't know who sent -- who sent it to
Ray, no.
Q Okay. Once it was in Maptitude, you could view this -- you could view this election data alongside the map that you were drawing; is that right?

A Yes. I had two screens. One had data -a data view on it. The other side has a, what I
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call, a canvass.

Q I'm going to dig in a little bit more on
that maybe later. But before we get to that, I
wanted to ask about your reporting.
Who did you report to regarding your work
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Q Okay. And then the -- in the
redistricting office, which was the office in the Green Building?

A Yes. And just so you know, the Riffe
Center is where the Ohio House office -- offices are.

Q Okay. Great. Thank you. Sorry. I'm not familiar with all the names of the buildings.

A Yeah.
Q And did you ever report to him in any
manner other than in person in one of these
buildings?
A No.
Q Okay. So no -- not by phone?
A Yeah, I don't recall us ever talking about
congressional redistricting without us physically
being in the same -- in the same room.
Q So just to -- I'll just confirm then. So
not by email?
A Yeah, I don't -- I don't recall ever
sending an email about congressional
redistricting.
Q Or text?
A Or text.
Q Okay. So when you met in person
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| sometimes in -- sometimes in the state legislative | 14:58:31 |
| :---: | :---: |
| building, sometimes in the Green Building, when | 14:58:33 |
| you met in the Green Building, in particular, | 14:58:34 |
| would you sometimes show Speaker Cupp the map that | 14:58:36 |
| you were working on? | 14:58:39 |
| A I would, yes. | 14:58:40 |
| Q Okay. And would you show it to him on | 14:58:47 |
| your computer? | 14:58:49 |
| A Yes. | 14:58:49 |
| Q Okay. And did you ever show it to him in | 14:58:49 |
| physical copy? | 14:58:52 |
| A Yeah. | 14:58:52 |
| Q Okay. And have those -- those maps that | 14:58:57 |
| you provided to Speaker Cupp in physical copy been | 14:59:05 |
| produced to your counsel in this case? | 14:59:08 |
| A So those are in Maptitude. So I have -- I | 14:59:10 |
| have them. I don't have physical printouts, no. | 14:59:16 |
| Q But you provided physical printouts to | 14:59:20 |
| Speaker Cupp? | 14:59:23 |
| A Yes. | 14:59:25 |
| Q And those are smart-retained? | 14:59:27 |
| A So I have -- I have those files. I | 14:59:31 |
| just -- | 14:59:35 |
| Q The physical -- | 14:59:35 |
| A They're not -- | 14:59:36 |
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Q -- copies?
A -- they're not letter-sized paper, so
they're not particularly easy to turn over.
Q Okay. Well, we just want to make sure
that any physical copies that have been -- or that
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question.
Q I understand as you're drawing maps, you
would be updating others on your progress or providing them with details about the maps that
you were drawing, the general sort of definition
of reports or what you would think of when you
think of a report.
A Sure. Sure. Well, I didn't know if you
meant something other than just general
correspondence.
So, yeah, I would -- I would generally
correspond with the Speaker, and I would generally
correspond with our Chief of Staff.
Q Okay. And anyone else?
A No.
Q So you never reported to Representative
Oelslager?
A Not in developing of any maps, no.
Q Okay. Was there a -- did you report to
him about anything else?
A I reported to him about a congressional plan that was completed.

Q Okay. So while he was not -- while you
were not in communication -- it sounds like you
were not in communication during the process of
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the map drawing, but there -- but at the end
before he -- before it was -- before the map was
proposed, at that point, you reported to him?
A I did show him a congressional plan that
was completed, yes.
Q And was that the -- what was introduced as
House Bill 479?
A Yes.

Q Okay. And what -- or how did that
report -- or that -- or how did that take place?
What was the means of communication there?
A I physically met with Chairman Oelslager.
Q Okay. And did you show him the map at
that time?
A I did.
Q And did you show it to him on your
computer?
A I did not.
Q How did you show it to him?
A I showed him a printout of -- of the map.
Q Okay. And was there information
accompanying the printout?
A No.
Q And did you convey to him any information
beyond the -- did you provide him any additional
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details on the map beyond the actual image at that
time?
$\quad$ MR. McGUIRE: Objection, we'll assert the
legislative privilege.
Don't answer that question, please.
MS. BENDER: Again, the same answer as
before, we don't believe these are covered by the
legislative privilege, but we will move on.
BY MS. BENDER:
Q Did you talk to anyone else about your
work on redistricting beyond the individuals we've already discussed?

A Yes.
Q Who else?
A I talked to two other members of the
General Assembly.
Q And who is that?
A Representative Swearingen and
Representative Welker (phonetic).
Q And what did you talk to them about?
MR. McGUIRE: Objection. Raise the
legislative privilege.
Don't answer that question.
BY MS. BENDER:
Q Did you show them any maps?
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A I did not show them any maps as $I$ was drafting proposals, no, I did not.

Q And did they come to the Green Building?
A Representative Swearingen came to the
Green Building.
Q Okay. So beyond -- beyond that and
Speaker Cupp, did anyone else come to the Green
Building?
A Well, the other people that I had already
mentioned that came to the Green Building were
obviously there, but other than -- than those people, no.

Q Okay. I'm sorry. I'm going to -- I
apologize if we've already covered this, but it's
hard to keep all the details straight in my head.
If you wouldn't mind just reminding me who those people were.

A Sure.
So a meeting that you previously asked a question about --

Q Sorry about that --
A -- the meeting was myself, Ray DiRossi; the Speaker; the President; my Chief of Staff, Christine Morrison; the Senate Chief of Staff, John Barron. And --
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Q Okay. Okay.
A -- in addition to that, there was
subsequent -- there was a subsequent period of
time where Representative Swearingen came to the
Green Building.
Q Okay. And that was the meeting that we
talked about where you -- or where -- I believe
that was the meeting discussing election -- which
election results were considered -- might be
considered?

MR. McGUIRE: Objection to the form.
Which meeting? The meeting with
Representative Swearingen or the meeting that he described with --

MS. BENDER: Oh, the meeting with the
group, including DiRossi, the Speaker, the
President, and the Chief of Staff.
MR. McGUIRE: Oh, objection, legislative
privilege.
MS. BENDER: Okay.
BY MS. BENDER:
Q Did those individuals come to the Green
Building on any other occasions?
A So could you clarify at this point what
individuals you're referencing.
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Q Any of Ray DiRossi, Speaker Cupp, Senate

President Huffman, or the Chiefs of Staff,

Christine Morrison or John Barron?
A Yeah, I -- I can't -- I can't -- I would
be speculating if $I$-- if $I$ answered for anyone --
anyone other than the Speaker and Christine. So
I'll say that, yes, the Speaker and Christine came
to the Green other than -- to the Green Building
other than that meeting.
Q Okay. But you can't remember an
occasion -- occasions on which Senate President

Huffman came to the Green Building beyond that
meeting?
A Correct. Yeah, I would be speculating on
it. I don't know when he may or may not have been
there. Because, again, I wasn't always there.
Q Okay. All right. Then we will -- oh,
actually, one more thing.
Did you ever work on any written analyses
of maps?
A Yes.
Q And what maps were those analyzing?
A The as-introduced version of House

Bill 479 and the as-introduced version of the -- I
don't recall the bill number, but the House
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Democrat proposal, House Democrat caucus proposal, and ultimately the final map.

Q And those would have been produced to your
counsel, correct?
A I -- yeah, I produced those.
Q Okay.
MS. BENDER: And, Counsel, again, I would
ask that any of those that have not been produced are produced to us, and I will move along.

Sorry. Were you going to say something?
MR. McGUIRE: No, just I understand what
you're saying. I know of nothing again responsive that's not objectionable that hasn't been produced to you.

MS. BENDER: Okay. So it sounds like
there may be certain of those analyses that have been withheld under an objection.

MR. McGUIRE: I don't know that. I'm just
saying $I$-- that would be the only -- one of the few reasons $I$ could think that responsive
documents would not have been produced.
MS. BENDER: Okay.
BY MS. BENDER:
Q All right. So $I$ want to talk a little bit about the map that was introduced as House
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Bill 479 that we discussed earlier.
You were the creator of that map, correct?
A I physically drafted the map in Maptitude, yes.

Q Okay. Did anyone else contribute to
making that map?
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A Could you clarify the question?
Q Did anyone else have any input as you were
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BY MS. BENDER:
$15: 10: 15$

Q Did anyone provide feedback on that map?
A Yes.
Q And who would have provided feedback on
that map?
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A Well, there -- just to name some, I -- the
15:10:26
public provided significant feedback --

Q Aside from the public --
A -- through various -- through various
15:10:31

15:10:35

15:10:37
hearings.
Q Aside from the public. Sorry for
interrupting there.
A No, I don't recall any -- any specific
feedback other than just me tuning into public
hearings and seeing what the public had to say
about it and reading publicly available
newspapers.
Q Did you ever provide any public testimony
on the map?
A I did not give public testimony, no.
Q And why not?
A I was -- I was not instructed by the
Speaker to give public testimony. It's not common
for a legislative staff person to give testimony
on something.
Q Okay. But in the General Assembly
process, there was public testimony on the map --
15:11:38
or on maps introduced, correct?
A Yes.
Q Okay. Were you involved in drawing the
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map that was originally introduced by Senator McColley in the Senate?

A No.
Q Had you seen that map ahead of it being
introduced?
A I don't -- I don't think I saw the final
map before it was introduced.
Q Okay. Did you ever discuss that map with anyone?

A Ray DiRossi.
Q Anyone else?
A The Speaker.
Q Okay. And when would you have discussed that map with Ray DiRossi?

A After it was introduced.
Q Do you have any more detailed time than that, you know, the day it was introduced, the day after?

A Yeah, so I -- there's a legislative record on when it was introduced. I would say that shortly -- like, the day after it was introduced, we had discussion about it.

Q Okay. And what did you discuss?
MR. McGUIRE: Objection, legislative
privilege.
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MS. BENDER: Okay. And the same responses
as before.

BY MS. BENDER:

Q With Speaker Cupp, when did you discuss
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And when did you see that map?
A I first saw that map on November 9th.
Q Okay. And did you discuss that map with
anyone?
A Yes.
Q And with who? Who did you discuss it
with?
A The Speaker, the Senate President, Ray DiRossi, John Barron, and Christine Morrison.

Q And were those separate conversations?
A There was -- there was one discuss- -- one
main discussion on November 9th about that map
before it was introduced.
Q And who was there at that discussion?
A The names that $I$ just -- that $I$ just
mentioned.
Q Okay. All of those folks.
And what was discussed at that meeting?
MR. McGUIRE: Objection, legislative
privilege.
MS. BENDER: Okay. The same response as
before. Again, we don't believe that these are covered by the legislative privilege, and we will
move on.
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BY MS. BENDER:
Q Did you have individual conversations with
any of those individuals about that map?

A Could you -- could you restate the
question.
Q Did you have individual conversations with
any of those individuals about the map?
A Yes.

Q And when would those -- or who would those be with?

A We had discussions, obviously individual
discussions, with the speaker and my Chief of
Staff. And then there were -- also there were
some subsequent meetings and discussions about
that map.
Q And when would you have talked with the Speaker?

A Throughout the process, you know, after
November -- November 9th.
Q So multiple times thereafter?
A Yes.
Q Okay. And were you involved in drawing
any other maps that $I$ haven't mentioned?
A Could you clarify your question?
Q Did you work on any maps beyond House --
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House Bill 7- -- or 479 and the two maps which
you've -- were introduced in the senate that you said you did not work on?

A Yes.
Q And did you produce Maptitude files for
those maps to your counsel?
A I did not provide any Maptitude --
Maptitude files.
Q You did not provide any Maptitude files to
your counsel?
A No.
Q I believe that we have Maptitude files
from you, but those -- you say now that those were
not provided by you?
A I'm sorry. You have block assignment files, but $I$ don't -- I don't know what else you mean other than block assignment files.

Q Okay. We'll get to that in a little while.

Let's talk about how Maptitude works.
When you were drawing -- or you created multiple maps with Maptitude; is that right? You just said there were -- there was more than one?

A Yes.
Q Okay. And those maps, I think you agreed
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earlier, were shared with people that you reported to?

A No. Not all of them, no.
Q Not all of them. But House Bill 479, for instance, was shared with people that you reported to?

A Yes.
Q Okay. And potentially other maps?
A Yes.
Q Okay. And when you shared those maps, you
would share some information about them as well?
A Could you clarify what you mean?
Q Would you share information, for instance, on the map's partisan performance?

MR. McGUIRE: Objection, legislative
privilege.
MS. BENDER: Again, I do not believe that
is covered by legislative privilege, but we will
move on.
BY MS. BENDER:
Q When you shared the maps -- I believe
we've talked about some instances already in which you've shared the maps. Did you ever share any maps by -- in digital copy?

A No.
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Q Okay. And I think we talked about maps that had been printed out before. So you have shared maps in printout, but --

A I have --

Q -- with the Speaker I think we talked about before?

A Yeah, there was a map that I shared via printout, but, you know, the others that were shared, I showed directly on Maptitude.

Q Okay. And when you showed -- or shared those directly on Maptitude, can you tell me -- it sounds like those were shared -- that was shared with the Speaker?

A Yes.
Q With -- never with Representative
Oelslager?
A Correct.

Q Ever with Senator Huffman?
A I don't -- I don't recall ever showing
anything to President Huffman directly on my computer.

Q Okay. What about Senator McColley?
A No.
Q And with Christine Morrison?
A Yes, I showed her things directly on my
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computer.
Q And anyone else?
A Ray DiRossi.
Q Okay. Anyone beyond that?
A No.

Q Okay. So digging in on how Maptitude
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A Yes.
Q Okay. And you can move the district lines
on the map?
A Yes.
Q Maptitude also has data view windows, as
15:21:29
15:21:33
$15: 21: 35$
$15: 21: 36$
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A Yes.

Q So when you -- or in the data view, you
would see, for instance, the partisan voting
leanings of the district; is that right?

A Yes.
Q Okay. So when you moved the district
lines, the data in the data view window about the
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A -- the district lines looked like, what counties were included, what subdivisions were included, what subdivisions are split. That was where the focus was.

Q But the data view was also up on the other
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took control of the screen.
Thank you.
BY MS. BENDER:
Q All right. So does this generally look
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would see a map. This may not be -- you know, have the same district lines or this may not have the same geographical boundaries as you might see, but there would be a map sort of centered somewhat like this?

A No, not at all. My system was not set up at all like this.

Q So you didn't see a -- so you didn't see a map?

A I did see a map, but $I$ will say that my system was not set up at all like this.

Q Okay. Did you see -- over here we have a display manager. Did you see this on your screen?

A Again, my system is set up very different than that. It's -- I don't know what all of those labels mean. I don't know --

Q Would you have a display manager on which you could select various layers of geographical subdivisions? So, for instance, county? For instance, city?

A Yes, I had -- I had a display manager, yes.

Q And then you would have a data view. It sound like, you've said earlier, this data view would not be in the same window as the map, but it
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would be -- you would also have a data view?
A I would have a data view that's not only not on the same window but not actually on the same monitor as well.

Q Okay. Not on the same -- on a different monitor. I'm sorry. I'd like to actually go back because $I$ failed to mention something crucial, obviously.

This -- I'll represent to you that this is
a screenshot of Maptitude that's generated using the files that you produced in this litigation. So the data in the data view that you saw, which would be on a separate screen -- or would the data view look like this when it was on a separate screen?

A No, my data view doesn't look anything like that.

Q How is it different? Generally.
A Everything about it's different. The numbers are structured differently, the data view itself is built out completely different. It's totally different. Other than the colors of the spreadsheet, that's the only thing that's -- that I can clearly recognize that's the same.

Q Can you describe to me what was included
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within your data view.
A Yeah, I had district -- the district
number. I had population. I had the deviation
from the targeted population for a congressional
district, and then $I$ had election results.
Q Okay. So -- and it would just show one
district at a time?

A So -- not always. It would show
whatever -- whatever districts are assigned.
So sometimes that's one if you only have
one. Sometimes it's 15 if you have all 15.
Q Okay. And you would control -- you know,
based upon what districts you were working on at
that moment, you would control what districts appeared in the data view?

A Yeah, anything that's assigned a district number will show up on Maptitude.

Q And so when you had assigned just one district number, it would just be one district; and when you would assign 15 , it would be $15 ?$

A Yes.
Q Okay. So would it appear a little bit more like this over on this -- on the right side here?

A No, my data doesn't look like that either.
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Q Okay. So just to confirm, when you would move a district line, the data -- actually, I think we already confirmed this, so -- but the data in the data view would change?

A Yes, as you -- as you assign district -- a district new geography, the population changes, the deviation changes from, you know -- from the target population, you know, yeah.

Q And the partisan data would also change?
A Yes.
Q Okay. So representing to you that this is all data that is actually pulled from your files in this case, I'd like to talk through what is here. And you can tell me if that data appeared in your data view or if it did not appear in your data view that you used. But I wanted to get an understanding of the data that you had access to as a result of being present in your files.

So apologies in advance, but this is going to be relatively painstaking here. And, actually, if it's all right by you, as you can see, there are lots of columns here and lots of data. We've exported this into an Excel file. That might make it easier to review. So we're going to pull up the same data in an Excel file.
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MS. BENDER: So if you wouldn't mind,

Ethan, pulling up the Excel file titled GEO9

Excel.
(WHEREUPON, Springhetti Exhibit No. 2 was
presented to the witness.)
MS. BENDER: Great. Thank you very much.
BY MS. BENDER:
Q So looking at this Excel, starting in
Column A, do you know what "ID" means?
A So I know what "ID" means in my Maptitude system.

Q Okay. In your Maptitude system, what does
"ID" mean?
A District. District ID. District number.
Q Okay. And it looks like that might not be
the case for this -- for this Excel, but generally
you have -- the district number labeled "ID"?
A In my Maptitude system, yes. I don't
recognize this at all.
Q Okay. Do you have a -- do you know what
"DATA" means?
A No.
Q Okay. That's Column B.
In Column C, we have what is labeled here
as the district number, correct?
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A I don't know what -- I don't know what this is. I don't know what any of this represents but -- yeah, I haven't seen this.

Q So recognizing this is pulled from your data, do you have any reason to think that the column labeled "District" would not be the district number from the map that we're looking at?

A So I don't know if this was pulled from my data or not, but this does not look like anything like my data view.

Q Okay. Well, you know, we can show separately that this was pulled from your data. But do you have any -- accepting that it was pulled from your data, do you have any reason to think that the column labeled "District" would not be the district number?

A So can you -- can you scroll down a little further?

Well, it appears that there's 15 numbers
in Column C. I -- that's my observation.
Q And 15 numbers corresponding to the 15
districts?
A Yeah, there's 15 numbers in Column C, and we have 15 congressional districts in the approved
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plan.

Q Okay. And do you know what "Members"
would mean?
A I would be speculating. I don't know
what -- what that means.
Q Okay. And "Locked"?
A Again, I'll just -- yeah, I don't
recognize that.
Q And "Locked"?
A I don't recognize Column E.
Q Okay. And Column E is "Locked" -- or says
"Locked," correct?
A It does say "Locked," but I --
Q Okay.
A -- don't know what that means.
Q Okay. Column F, "Name"?
A Yeah, again, it says "Name" in Column F,
but I don't know what that means.
Q Okay. Column G, T-O-T-P-O-P, or TOTPOP --
I'm sorry about that.
A Yeah, I recognize TOTPOP.
Q Okay. What is that --
A I don't know what all of the -- again,
this is first time I'm seeing this set up this
way. But I do have a TOT- -- a TOTPOP column on
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my data view.
Q And what does that mean when you have it
in your data view?
A In my data view, the TOTPOP is the total
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A I don't recognize Column J.
Q Okay. So you don't know what it means?
A Correct.
Q G20P_DV?
A I don't recognize that, either.
Q Okay. And when you say you don't

15:37:10
15:37:13
15:37:17
15:37:17
15:37:27
15:37:29
15:37:31

15:37:33

15:37:33

15:37:34

15:37:43
15:37:45

15:37:48
15:37:52

15:37:58

15:38:02
15:38:05
15:38:05

15:38:08
15:38:13
15:38:15
15:38:19
15:38:21

15:38:23
15:38:28

## Transcript of Blake Springhetti

Conducted on December 7, 2021
data view. I don't recognize these numbers --
Q Okay.
A -- but --
Q And what is G20P -- or you said it was
15:38:34
15:38:37
15:38:37
15:38:39

G20_RP in your data view. What does that column
$15: 38: 44$
15:38:49

A So in my data view, G20P is what -- is
15:38:51
15:38:58

15:39:01
15:39:03
Q Okay.
A -- G20P in my data view is the -- is
election results from the 2020 Presidential
election.
Q And what sort of election results; all
election results or Republican Party election
results?
15:39:23
A It's a percentage.
Q A percentage of what?
A It's Republican percentage.
Q Okay. So it sounds like you would have a
column that was general 2020 election Republican
15:39:36
voter percentage?
15:39:42
A That's what $I$ have in my data view, yes.
Q Okay. Okay. So we then repeat the same
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columns we just talked to -- or talked through -actually, one more question. Would you have any other columns corresponding to the general 2020 election?

A Yes, I -- yes.
Q What other columns would those be?
A I would -- I would have a -- the same
percentage for that particular election but for Democrat percentage.

Q Okay. And so for the general 2020, it
would be the Republican percentage, the Democratic
percentage. Any others?
A No.
Q Okay. And so now we go to ones beginning G12P_DV. Do you know what G12P means?

A No, I don't have that in my data view.
Q Do you -- do you have anything -- anything similar to this? Setting aside the data that --

A I don't have anything similar to Column O, no.

Q Okay. Do you have anything -- any data in your Maptitude reflecting the general 2012 election?

A I do not have anything in my data view on the general 2012 election.
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Q Okay. And I just -- actually I want to clarify when you said the general 2020 election Republican and Democratic percentages, did you
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A I did not have anything in my data view just showing 2016 percentages, no.

Q Okay. So not any -- you know, the
Presidential election in 2016?
A I didn't have anything in my data view
that was just 2016 elections.
Q Okay. So no -- no data view -- or no 2016
in your data view as you could see it.
Did you -- then in Column AY, we get to
2018 elections. Did you have anything in your
data view representing 2018 elections?
A I did not have anything in my data view
that was showing just 2018 election results.
Q Okay.
A And so none of this -- I'll say again,
none of this looks familiar to me.
Q Okay. So you would have had your data view set to not reveal any of this information, it sounds like. Can you confirm that?

A This does not look like my data view.
Q So if you had wanted to adjust your data view to reveal other elections beyond the ones that we've discussed thus far, could you?

A It is possible to do in Maptitude, but I have not done it, so I do not know how to do it.
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Q Okay. All right. We're going to do a few more minutes, and then $I$ think take a quick break.

In Column BW, we get to something starting with the heading "EA51." Do you recognize the acronym "EA"?

A Yes.
Q And what does "EA" generally stand for?
Or what do you know it to stand for?
A I don't know what "EA" stands for. I just
know it as EA51.
Q And what do you -- what is EA51?
A So EA51 generally is a ten-year lookback
of election results for statewide state and
federal elections.
But this in this column is not that. This
is -- I actually don't know what EA51_DV is
because $I$ don't have that on my --
Q Okay. So the -- generally you understand
EA51 to be a ten-year lookback of election results
for statewide state and federal elections.
Would you have -- I'm sorry. Would you
have anything in your data view showing
EA51-related data?
A Yes.
Q And what would that be?
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A Well, I have ten-year election results for
15:46:10
statewide state and federal elections in my data
view.
Q Is that in terms of the percentage of
Republican voters under that set of data.
A I would have Republican voters and
Democrat voters.
Q Okay. So there might be two EA -- two
EA-associated columns: one for Republican voters,
one for Democratic voters?
A Yes.
Q Okay. Then moving over, we see on
Column CA, it's titled "EA52."
Do you know what EA52 is?
A Yes.
Q And what is EA52?
A So in my data view, EA52 is a combination
of election results for 2018 and 2020 statewide elections.

Q And -- so that would be state and federal?
A Yes.
Q Okay. And then $I$ see one more EA, EA53 -oh, actually, I'm sorry. To close the loop on that, EA52, did you have columns in your data view corresponding to EA52?
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A Again, my data view looks very different than this, but, yes, I did have columns associated with EA52 in my data view.

Q And would those be the Republican votes
and Democratic voters like we talked about earlier for EA51?

A In my data view, $I$ have for EA52
Republican percentage and Democrat percentage.
Q Okay. And it -- sorry. For EA51, those would also be percents?

A Yes.
Q Okay. All right. Then over in Column CH, we have EA53. Do you know what EA53 would be?

A Yes.
Q And what is that?
A In my data, EA53 is the election
results -- statewide election results for state and federal races in '16, '18, and '20.

Q Okay. And you would have those in terms
of Democratic votes and Republican votes as well?
A In my data view, I have EA53 Republican percentage and Democrat percentage.

Q Good. And -- so these -- these are three different sets of election data. Who came up with these sets of election data?
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A So for the General Assembly process, Ray and I discussed election results that would be useful or good to look at for the General Assembly process.

Q Okay. And so you and Ray decided -- do you mind if $I$ call these index -- indices or indexes of election results?

A Sure.
Q Is there a different way that you would refer to them?

A I would just call them election results.
Q Okay. So just to -- just to clarify and make sure that I'm being clear on the record when we're talking about just election results versus sets or composites of elections results, then I'm just going to call them indices.

But you and Ray would have decided -- or
would have discussed which indices you might
consider?
A In the General Assembly process, we discussed election results that would be helpful for us to have in Maptitude --

Q Okay.
A -- for the General Assembly process, and then --
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Q And those were also --
A -- my data view -- my data view did not
change from that.
Q Okay. All right. Just to move along
then.
We have, in Column CI, we have a percent
G20P_RV. Do you know what that means?
A I do not recognize that column.
Q Okay. So you're not -- you don't know
what it means?
A Yeah, I don't have that in my database.
Q So, so far I think we've discussed four
composites. You had a -- an EA51 percentage of
Democratic votes, percentage of Republican votes.
EA52, same thing. EA53.
Were there any other composites in your
results -- or, I mean, in your data view?
A Well, the fourth one is just 2020.
Q Okay. Just 2020. And no others?
A Correct.
Q Okay. If you'll give me just one minute.
Okay. And did you -- so you didn't have a
composite that was just statewide federal election
results between 2012 and 2020?
A That's correct; I did not have that in my
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> data view.
> Q Okay.

MS. BENDER: I think that we can take down
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| I'm not sure that I formally said that for the | 16:03:09 |
| :---: | :---: |
| last two documents that we've looked at, but also | 16:03:12 |
| those should be marked as exhibits. So this | 16:03:14 |
| should be Exhibit 3. | 16:03:17 |
| So if you could move to Page 6. | 16:03:23 |
| BY MS. BENDER: | 16:03:30 |
| Q Okay. Great. | 16:03:30 |
| Mr. Springhetti, are you familiar with | 16:03:31 |
| this document? | 16:03:31 |
| A Yes. | 16:03:40 |
| Q And this appears to be a memo that's | 16:03:40 |
| analyzing substitute Senate Bill 7- -- or 258; is | 16:03:43 |
| that right? | 16:03:47 |
| A Could you scroll down just so I can see | 16:03:47 |
| the full document? | 16:03:50 |
| Q Yeah, I think that's -- | 16:03:51 |
| A I can only see like the top. | 16:03:53 |
| Q I think actually -- why don't we -- to get | 16:04:02 |
| you acquainted with this, why don't we go to | 16:04:07 |
| the -- no, actually, that's fine. If you want to | 16:04:10 |
| go to the first page and just scroll through the | 16:04:12 |
| whole document. | 16:04:15 |
| (Brief pause in proceedings.) | 16:06:10 |
| BY MS. BENDER: | 16:06:13 |
| Q With that context, does this portion of | 16:06:13 |
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the document -- I'm just looking at this -- what appears to be a memo. Are you familiar with this?

A Yes.
Q Okay. And who wrote this memo?
A I did.
Q Did anyone else help in the writing of
this memo?

A Yes.
Q And who else?
A I worked with legal counsel in drafting
that.
Q Okay. Anyone else?
A No.
Q Did anyone else review it beyond legal
counsel?
A No.
Q And no one else edited it then?
A No.
Q And when did you write this memo?
A I don't -- I don't recall the date that $I$
wrote that.
Q Can you give me approximately --
approximate time period.
A I would say approximately around when --
when -- around when the sub bill became public.
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Q When it became public? So not before it
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Q Do you know if Ms. Morrison sent it to anyone else?

A I believe she did send it to other people, yes.

Q Do you know who she might have sent it to?
A I believe she sent it to members of the (inaudible).
(Reporter clarification.)
BY THE WITNESS:
A Members of the Ohio -- members of the Ohio
General Assembly.
BY MS. BENDER:
Q And do you know which members?
A I do not know which -- which lists or
email lists she used, so I -- I'm not sure.
Q So could you tell me any of the members
that might have -- or that you think received this memo?

A I would be speculating. I don't know
which -- which email list she sent it to because I'm not on that email list, so...

Q Okay. Do you know if anyone beyond
Ms. Morrison would have shared this memo?
A No, I think -- now that $I$ think about it, I did share it with one other person, now that
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I -- now that I remember. I shared a -- I shared

    it with -- after it went out -- after Christine --
    
    after I sent it to Christine, I provided it to
    
    members of the Governor's staff.
    
    Q Okay. Which members of the Governor's
    
    staff?
    
    A Aaron Crooks.
    
    Q And anyone beyond that?
    
    A No.
    
    Q And you're not sure if anyone beyond
    
    Christine would have shared it?
    
    A Yeah, once I sent it to Christine, I'm not
    
    sure where it went after that.
    
    Q Okay. What would it surprise you to learn
    
    to Representative Oelslager had a copy of this
    
    memo?
    
    A No, it wouldn't surprise me.
    
    Q Okay. Just to confirm, that memo does
    
    appear in the production that Representative
    
    Oelslager made in this case at Oelslager00091.
    
    MS. BENDER: Ethan, just to -- just for
    
    clarity, would you mind pulling that up. I think
    
    it's labeled as such.
    
    (WHEREUPON, Springhetti Exhibit No. 4 was
    presented to the witness.)
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## BY MS. BENDER:

$16: 12: 12$
Q But this also appears to be a version of this memo, correct?

A If you could just quickly scroll down to the bottom of it, yeah, I would -- I could see that.

Yeah. That appears to be the same memo, yeah.

MS. BENDER: Okay. So if we could go back
to the -- actually, if we could mark this as
Exhibit 4, please. Thank you.
And then if we could just go back to
Exhibit 3 and stay at Page 6.
BY MS. BENDER:
Q So what was the general purpose of this memo?

A So I put that memo together, which is
pretty typical. When we're dealing with any legislative business, we put, you know, brief summaries together for -- for members of the General Assembly in advance of a floor vote.

Q Okay. So the purpose was to summarize the -- summarize what would be going up on the floor then?

A And to -- I would say the purpose of the
$16: 12: 13$
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$16: 12: 16$
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memo was to give some information to members of the General Assembly on Article 19 and provide them some population information and provide them some -- some general information on the substitute bill.

Q Okay. So let's look at some portions of this memo.

MS. BENDER: Ethan, actually, would you
mind if $I$ took control here.
BY MS. BENDER:
Q So as you noted, there's -- there appears to be some information on Article 19 here. Then there's a section on county and political subdivision splits.

Bullet 2, it says, "Substitute Senate
Bill 258 includes 76 whole counties and 12 split counties, with two counties split between three congressional districts (Cuyahoga and Hamilton)"; that's correct?

A Yes.
Q Okay. Six, Senate Substitute Bill splits 14 subdivisions compared to the House Democrat -Democratic plan. The general gist of that bullet?

A Yeah, it's -- yeah, the substitute bill splits less -- less subdivisions than the House
$16: 13: 53$
$16: 13: 57$
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16:14:04
16:14:11
16:14:11
$16: 14: 15$
16:14:20
$16: 14: 22$
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Democrat plan.
Q Okay. Then we've got some detail about
cities. [As read] "70 percent of Columbus and
subdivisions circumscribed within Columbus, is
within Congressional District C" -- C3, so on and
16:15:33
16:15:34
$16: 15: 40$
$16: 15: 43$
$16: 15: 47$
$16: 15: 51$

That's right?
A Yes, approximately 70 percent of Columbus
is in the 3rd Congressional District.
Q Okay. All right. I'm going to flip ahead
to Page 9 here. Sorry, I'm going too far.
All right. Bullet 2 , it says,
"Congressional districts are considered
competitive if the district leans politically
towards one party by 4 percent or less."
Is that right?
A For the purposes of this memo, yes, it --
Q That's what --

A -- the -- that's how the memo reads, yes.
Q Okay. And where did this 4 percent number
$16: 15: 52$
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$16: 16: 15$
$16: 16: 18$
$16: 16: 19$
$16: 16: 22$
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16:16:32
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A It is a number that was agreed to be considered competitive.

Q And who agreed?
MR. McGUIRE: I'm going to assert the
$16: 16: 43$
$16: 16: 51$
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legislative objective -- legislative privilege
16:17:00
objection to the extent that his answer would
16:17:03
16:17:06
16:17:10
16:17:13
16:17:15
16:17:18
16:17:20
16:17:21
16:17:23
that we do not agree that this is covered by the
16:17:25
legislative privilege and we will move on.
BY MS. BENDER:
Q When was it agreed that 4 percent was the number which you considered things competitive -or district competitive?

A I don't recall the exact time and day when that -- when that was to -- when that was determined, but I can tell you it was sometime between November 9 th and November 15 th.

Q And who did -- or who proposed this
16:17:29
16:17:38
16:17:39
16:17:41
16:17:43
16:17:45
16:17:53
16:17:57
16:18:00
16:18:08
4 percent number?
MR. McGUIRE: Well, I'll object on the
basis of legislative privilege, again, if that answer calls for you to name a member of the

16:18:24
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General Assembly or any staff member. If not, you can answer.

BY THE WITNESS:
A That was -- again, that was deliberated
internally amongst legislative members and staff.
MS. BENDER: Okay. And, again, I'll say
the same thing as before, we do not agree that
this is covered by the legislative privilege, but we will move on.

BY MS. BENDER:
Q So at the next bullet, it says,
"Congressional Districts 1, 6" -- or not the next.
I guess this is two down.
"Congressional Districts 1, 6, 9, 10, 13,
14, and 15 could lean plus or minus 4 percent," correct?

A Yes, that's what it says.
Q Okay. All right. I'd like to go back --
now I'm going to go to Page 2. And this is -- you
know, we have this included in the same document because it appears to be attached to the same email, but this appears to be a separate document.

Are you familiar with -- with this one?
A Could you scroll down a little bit so I can see the full -- the full document.
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Q I think it just repeats itself.
A Yeah.
Q Are you familiar with this document?
A Yes.

Q And this appears to be just highlights of
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Q Okay. So you sent this to her, and she edited it and sent it off?

A Yes, I -- I don't know who she provided the document to. Similar to the other document, I
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Q But you're --
A -- if --
Q -- just not sure exactly?
A Right.
Q Sorry about that. I didn't mean to speak
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## document?

A So the purpose of that document was -- was
to have a little less dense -- although, I don't
think four pages, single space, is dense. But we
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A Yes, that's correct.
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So you were saying the constitutional
16:26:38
facts are added to the highlight -- or added
16:26:40
beyond what is --
16:26:46

A I'm saying --
$16: 26: 47$

Q -- in the highlight brief to -- and that's
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counties, which is more counties than any Ohi
congressional plan approved in over 50 years."
But this little -- or similar to the
information from the memo, right?
A Yeah, I think there will be some similar
$16: 27: 57$
$16: 28: 00$
16:28:03
$16: 28: 05$
$16: 28: 08$
information here --
Q Okay.
A -- between this memo and --
Q Okay. And so in the next section, it
says, [as read] "Senate Substitute Bill 58" -- or
"Substitute Senate Bill 58 splits 14 subdivisions
16:28:26
compared to the House Democratic plan split 21."
16:28:30
Similar to the other memo?
A Yes, the substitute bill splits less
subdivisions than the House Democratic plan.
Q All right. So a lot of this is just
excerpting or providing highlights from the memo, right?

A Yeah, that was the goal of it, was to just provide a simplified document for members to get
acquainted with what was in the substitute bill.
Q Okay. So there's -- there's one thing
that seems to be different here. Under "Partisan Leanings," can you read Bullet 2 to me.

A Bullet 2: "Under this plan in a good
$16: 28: 50$
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$16: 28: 33$
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| election year in which Republicans recruit good | 16:29:19 |
| :---: | :---: |
| candidates, Republicans can win more than 11 of 15 | 16:29:23 |
| districts." | 16:29:28 |
| Q And this wasn't included in the memo? | 16:29:30 |
| A I don't recall if I put that in the other | 16:29:33 |
| memo or not. | 16:29:36 |
| Q Do you want to take a look and see? | 16:29:37 |
| A Sure. So can you go back up there? | 16:29:39 |
| Q Oh, sorry. Oh, you're right. I made it | 16:29:51 |
| -- | 16:29:53 |
| A Yeah. | 16:29:53 |
| Q -- oh, no, I think this -- that was on -- | 16:29:53 |
| there's two versions of the key facts in here. | 16:29:54 |
| This is -- this is -- so this is the memo I think, | 16:29:56 |
| right? | 16:29:59 |
| A If could you scroll down. | 16:29:59 |
| Q Yep. | 16:30:07 |
| A Thanks. | 16:30:07 |
| Okay. Good on Page 1. | 16:30:17 |
| Okay. Yeah, it appears I didn't put it in | 16:30:20 |
| the larger memo. | 16:31:18 |
| Q And do you know, why was that cut out? | 16:31:19 |
| A So the -- the larger memo was actually | 16:31:26 |
| completed first. | 16:31:34 |
| Q $\mathrm{Hm}-\mathrm{mm}$. | 16:31:34 |
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A So the larger memo was completed first. I took excerpts of that into the highlight sheet and
$16: 31: 40$
$16: 31: 44$
then added some things that weren't in the first
$16: 31: 47$
memo to the highlight sheet.
Q Okay. So why did you think this was
important to add?
A Well, I -- I think it's important that
members know that if there are good candidates
that are recruited, if, you know, Republicans, you
know, continue to run on winning issues in Ohio,
that -- that that could happen. It could also not
happen. It was something -- it was some context
that I thought members should see.
Q All right.
A And I think that's -- that's the
$16: 32: 37$
importance of that map, is -- it's very
competitive. So that could happen, that could not
happen, and that's what makes it a good map.
Q All right. I think we'll move on from
this exhibit now.
MS. BENDER: Ethan, would you please pull
up Springhetti000183.
(WHEREUPON, Springhetti Exhibit No. 5 was
presented to the witness.)
MS. BENDER: And if you wouldn't mind, I
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will take control.
BY MS. BENDER:
Q So this appears to be -- well, do you
$16: 33: 22$
$16: 33: 30$
$16: 33: 31$
recognize this document?
$16: 33: 32$

A Could you scroll down for me. Yes, that appears to be a -- some draft of
the larger memo.
Q Okay. And so your role would have been
the same as we discussed earlier; you would have drafted this?

A Yes, this looks like something that I -that I drafted.

Q Okay. And so this would have been --
A But it looks like -- but it looks like --
just to clarify, it looks like it was a draft. I
don't know that that was --
Q Right. So this would have been, like, an earlier iteration of that same memo?

A That's what it -- this appears to be, yeah.

Q Okay. And do you recall sharing drafts like this of the memo with anyone?

A Just legal counsel.
Q Okay. And do you know if you shared this specific version of the memo with anyone?
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A I don't recall sending -- sending any drafts to anyone --

Q Except for legal --
A -- but, again -- but, again -- yeah,
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member of the General Assembly or a member's staff members.

You can answer for anyone other than that.
BY THE WITNESS:
$16: 36: 05$
$16: 36: 10$
$16: 36: 10$
$16: 36: 13$

A So when we discussed 3.75 percent, that
$16: 36: 13$
was an internal deliberation.
MS. BENDER: Okay. I will make the same
response that, again, we don't believe this to be
covered by the legislative privilege, and we can move on.

BY MS. BENDER:
Q When would this 3.75 percent figure have
been discussed?
A Sometime between November 9 th and
November 15 th.
Q Okay. Before the 4 percent figure was decided on?

A Yes. Yes. Before -- before that, yes. MS. BENDER: All right. I think we're
done with this exhibit then. And that would -- if
16:36:19
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please pull up Springhetti00020.
$16: 37: 17$
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Q Okay. Did you have any input into this?
A No.
Q Did you provide any feedback on this?
A No.
Q Okay. Do you know if anyone else had any
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you don't know who else might have had this memo -- or excuse me, this memo -- this document?

A Yeah, I'm not sure. I don't know who else
16:40:00
16:40:02
16:40:05
16:40:08
Q Okay. All right. So let's look at the
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statewide elections. Yes.
Q Federal statewide elections. Okay.
A Yes.
Q Okay. So federal elections from the
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Presidential and U.S. Senate races between 2012
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| that -- that provides the projected -- or the | 16:42:32 |
| :---: | :---: |
| projected Republican share of the vote for each of | 16:42:39 |
| these created districts based upon if it were the | 16:42:42 |
| same as the aggregate of the elections from 2012 | 16:42:45 |
| to 2020? | 16:42:48 |
| A So I would say that this does not -- this | 16:42:49 |
| is not a projection. It's -- | 16:42:53 |
| Q Okay. | 16:42:56 |
| A -- merely a snapshot of election | 16:42:56 |
| results -- | 16:43:01 |
| Q Ah, okay. Good -- | 16:43:01 |
| A -- from the elections -- | 16:43:02 |
| Q -- clarification. | 16:43:02 |
| A -- yeah, from the elections that are noted | 16:43:03 |
| at the bottom -- | 16:43:06 |
| Q Okay. | 16:43:07 |
| A -- in the years that are noted in that | 16:43:07 |
| column. | 16:43:10 |
| It's a snapshot. That's not to say that | 16:43:12 |
| this is a projection for any of these districts. | 16:43:15 |
| Q Okay. Okay. So it's a snapshot if these | 16:43:17 |
| districts under this aggregate had existed, this | 16:43:20 |
| would have been -- or this would have been the | 16:43:22 |
| result. | 16:43:24 |
| And so row 15 says 53.7 , correct? | 16:43:27 |

And so row 15 says 53.7, correct?
16:43:27
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A It does say 53.7.
Q Okay. So let's go to Page 2. On Page 2
at the top of this page, we have seven competitive
CDs, which I'm assuming stands for congressional
districts --
A Yes.
Q -- correct?
Yeah. Okay.
A Yes.
Q But this says . 375 to point -- or to
$3.8--$ or 3.75 to 3.8?
A Yes, that's -- that's what it says.
Q Okay. So it's -- so it's measuring
competitiveness based upon a metric of 3.75 to 3.8
rather than 4 percent?
A Yeah, that's -- that appears to be what this document's doing.

Q And this is -- this is different than
the -- than the 4 percent that we've looked at earlier. And this is also different than the less
than 3.75 percent $I$ think that came up in the
last -- 3.75 percent or less that came up in the
last document, correct?
A It is -- it is a different comparison using different percentages.
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Q And where did this figure come from?
MR. McGUIRE: Objection, I'm going to
assert the legislative privilege. To the extent
16:44:49
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A The measurement of what is competitive is
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anything other than that?
A I think his comments were based on a different data set, but other than that, $I$ think that covers it.

Q Okay. So no other metrics beyond the 5 percent that you just listed in this less than 3.75 percent, 3.75 to 3.8 percent, and 4 percent that you know of?

A I would -- I would just add that there was some public testimony from various groups and from folks that aren't maybe directly affiliated with -- of any types of group or organization that also mention plus or minus 5 percent, plus or minus 4 percent.

There was a lot of public testimony on what is competitive, and we've heard a lot about the numbers that exist in these various documents within plus or minus 5 percent.

Q Okay. So it seems likes, based upon these documents, that there is initially a metric of less than 3.75 percent and then a met of 3.75 to 3.8 percent and then a metric of 4 percent.

Why was there this -- did this shift take place?

MR. McGUIRE: Objection, I'm going to
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assert the legislative privilege to the extent
that the answer would -- your answer would include
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I don't know what rounding mechanisms were used for all of these different things. I don't know if -- if that was just a rounding thing or not,
but --
Q Okay --
A -- I --
Q -- so you don't know why this -- why
there's the difference between those two?
A Yes, I don't know -- yeah, I didn't draft
it so I'm not familiar with that.
Q Okay. All right. So let's look at this
table down here. This appears to be comparing a
few different indexes or aggregates for these
districts. Do -- is that right?
A Yes, it appears to be a comparison, yes.
Q Okay. And looking at the first column
titled "All 16 to 20," this means all statewide
and federal elections between 2016 and 2020,
correct?
A Again --
Q All statewide.
A Yeah, I would -- I would be specu- -- I
didn't draft this, so -- I didn't put this
together.
Q Okay. So you don't know what "All 16 to
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20" means?
A I think $I$ know what it means, but, again,
I just want to caveat, I didn't draft this, so I don't --

Q Okay. What do you think it means, with
that caveat, of course?
A I -- well, $I$ think that it means all
statewide and -- statewide federal elections from
'16, '18, and '20.
Q Okay. So you think it means all
statewide -- I just want to make sure I get this
correctly. All statewide state and federal
elections from 2016, 2018, and 2020?
A Yes. That's what $I$ think that means, yes.
Q Okay. So if we're defining
competitiveness, as this document does -- so it's
plus or minus 3.75 percent to 3.8 percent -- which
in this column of these districts would fall in --
fall in -- within that score?
A I'm sorry, what was the percentage that you -- that you gave?

Q The 3.75 to 3.8 percent that we just discussed that's up on the -- you know, that's further up on this page.

A District -- well, District 1, 9, 10, 13,
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15. I might have -- I just skimmed through, but...

Q So 15 here says 53.9. So that wouldn't be

A Yeah, it's hard to see that.
Q No, that's okay. It's hard to see. I
just wanted to clarify.
So that would be four districts, though,
you said: 1, 9, 10, and 13?
A I'm having trouble seeing -- seeing this,
SO --

Q Let me see if $I$ can zoom in a little bit
more for you.
Does that make it any better?
A Yeah, that's better.

Yeah.

Q Okay. All right. Then let's look at the
16:54:33
second column. Presidential R/D 20 only.
This would just be the 2020 Presidential
election Republican versus Democratic percent?
A Yeah, it appears to be just the Republican
16:54:40

16:54:46
16:54:48
$16: 54: 53$
and Democrats and not including any third party,
from what --
Q Okay. And the same question as before, how many -- or which of these districts fall
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within that 3.75 to 3.8 percent metric here?
A Five.
Q Can you name those?
A 1, 9, 10, 13, 15.
Q Okay.
A It's a real eye exam you're putting me
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```
federal elections from 2012 to 2020?
```

A Yes, that's what I -- what I believe this is, yes.

Q And under the same measure, how many of
those?
A Plus or minus 4 is seven.
Q Plus or minus -- under the same measure, 3.75 to 3.8 .

A There's still seven.
Q Okay. In this District 15 that's now
53.8, wasn't the metric that we considered before,
the 3.75? Would that fall within?
A No.
Q All right.
MS. BENDER: Ethan, would you pull up --
or, actually, I think that I'm all set on this document.

Ethan, would you pull up the document
titled "DiRossi008948."
(Exhibit management discussions were had
off the stenographic record.)
(WHEREUPON, Springhetti Exhibit No. 7 was
presented to the witness.)
MS. BENDER: Do you mind if we zoom in.
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BY MS. BENDER:

Q We can just scan through this.
Mr. Springhetti, are you familiar with
this document?

A No, I'm not.
Q Have you ever seen this document?
A No.

MS. BENDER: Okay. Okay. I believe that
that is all of my questions.

Jyoti, would you like to ask any
questions?

MS. JASRASARIA: Hi. Sorry about that.
MS. BENDER: That's all right.
MS. JASRASARIA: No further questions on
behalf of the Adams Relators, but we did just want
to reiterate Ms. Bender's objection to the
indication to privilege during this deposition but
otherwise are happy to give people some time back.

MS. BENDER: If you wouldn't mind, I'd
like to take just two minutes before releasing
folks just to make sure that I've scanned --
scanned through my notes and got everything that I
wanted to ask you.
MR. McGUIRE: Literally two minutes.
MS. BENDER: Well, we have until -- we
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have three hours, correct?
MR. McGUIRE: Yeah, but you just said you
were finished and the other counsel has passed.
So, you know -- just as quickly as you can figure
out whether you have more questions, is all I'm asking.

MS. BENDER: Okay. I will -- I will be
quick.
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 5:01. We are off the record.
(WHEREUPON, a recess was had.)
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 5:03. We
are on the record.
MS. BENDER: Okay. I just wanted to
reiterate that we find the objections made thus
far unbased and that we believe that they
interfered with our full right to discovery and
within this deposition and, therefore, reserve the
right to challenge it before the Court. And we
would ask that we keep the deposition open for
that purpose.
MR. McGUIRE: I'm not sure that calls for
a response from me but noted, not consented to.
MS. BENDER: Okay. And no withdrawal of
any of your objections?
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MR. McGUIRE: No.
MS. BENDER: Okay. Then we will take that
we're at an impasse, and we can close for this
evening. Thank you.
MR. McGUIRE: All right. Thank you.
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is --

MS. BENDER: Thank you for your time,
Mr. Springhetti.
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: -- 5:04 p.m. We are
off the record.
(WHEREUPON, the deposition was concluded
at 5:04 p.m. CST)
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| 78:21, 82:20, | 104:8 | behalf | 74:9, 74:14, |
| 109:7 | became | 3:3, 4:3, 4:14, | 75:8, 75:10, |
| attachments | 70:25, 71:1, | 5:3, 8:1, 8:6, | $77: 10,77: 13$, |
| 6:13 | $71: 2,71: 3$ | 8:9, 106:15 | $\begin{aligned} & 78: 6, \quad 78: 10, \\ & 87: 21, \quad 87: 25, \end{aligned}$ |
| attainment | because | being | $88: 2, \quad 90: 7,$ |
| $24: 22$ | $12: 20,35: 16,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8: 23, \quad 25: 2, \\ & 27: 17, \quad 39: 4, \end{aligned}$ | $90: 11, \quad 90: 19,$ |
| attorney | $51: 7,63: 17,$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 27: 17, & 39: 4, \\ 53: 18, & 66: 13 \end{array}$ | $91: 3, \quad 91: 7,$ |
| $5: 8,8: 1,8: 24,$ | $72: 20, \quad 78: 21$ | $\begin{aligned} & 53: 18, \quad 66: 13 \\ & \text { believe } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 91: 3, & 91: 7, \\ 91: 9, & 97: 11, \end{array}$ |
| 19:16, 19:22, $110: 19, \quad 110: 20$ | $8: 18,13: 13,$ | 15:14, 22:18, | 97:15, 100:10, |
| auditor | $13: 17,13: 18,$ | 24:6, 32:7, | 100:14, 105:15, |
| 19:17, 19:18 | 13:24, 14:25, | $\begin{aligned} & 34: 7, \quad 41: 22, \\ & 43: 12, \quad 44: 17 \end{aligned}$ | 105:24, 106:1, $106: 8, \quad 106: 13,$ |
| available | 15:10, 17:22, | $\begin{array}{ll} 43: 12, & 44: 17, \\ 44: 21, & 72: 3, \end{array}$ | $106: 19,106: 25,$ |
| $38: 11$ avenue | $\begin{array}{\|ll} 18: 6, & 21: 3, \\ 21: 6, & 23: 22, \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 44: 21,72: 3, \\ & 72: 6,79: 19, \end{aligned}$ | 107:7, 107:14, |
| avenue $4: 20$ | 28:14, 29:5, | 79:24, 80:9, | 107:24, 108:2, |
| aware | 29:15, 35:15, | 80:25, 81:23, | 108:7 |
| 13:23, 29:16 | 36:3, 36:8, | 90:8, 91:25, | bender 's |
| ay | 36:13, 36:17, | $\begin{aligned} & 92: 16, \quad 97: 13, \\ & 100: 11, \quad 105: 2 \end{aligned}$ | benson |
| 62:9 | $36: 21, ~ 45: 2$, $88: 8,88: 13$, | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 100: 11, \quad 105: 2, \\ & 106: 8, \quad 107: 16 \end{aligned}\right.$ | 17:1 |
| B | $\begin{aligned} & 88: 8, \quad 88: 13, \\ & 88: 17, \quad 90: 13 \end{aligned}$ | bender | besides |
| back | $95: 23, \quad 97: 17$ | 3:5, 6:5, 7:17, | 29:20 |
| 51:6, 74:9, | before | 8:21, 8:24, | better |
| 74:12, 78:18, | 2:2, 9:23, | 9:17, 13:16, | 103:14, 103:15 |
| 79:22, 79:24, | $\begin{aligned} & 22: 17,23: 7, \end{aligned}$ | 13:25, 14:1, | between |
| 86:8, 100:15, | 26:3, 31:2, | 22:11, 22:16, | 14:17, 67:24, |
| 106:18 | 32:7, 39:7, | 22:22, 23:6, | $71: 8,71: 12$, |
| backtrack | 40:2, 40:21, | 23:8, 23:15, | 75:17, 77:20, |
| 11:1 | 41:13, 41:22, | 23:16, 26:13, | 80:9, 85:8, |
| barron | 45:2, 45:6, | 26:14, 29:12, | 90:14, 92:13, |
| $\begin{array}{lll} 24: 4, & 33: 25, \\ 35: 3 \end{array}$ | $49: 6,49: 18,$ | $29: 18, \quad 32: 6,$ | $94: 1, \quad 97: 18,$ |
| 35:3, 41:9 | 71:1, 71:3, | 32:9, 32:24, | 101:8, 101:18 |
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| beyond | bottom | C | census |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11:22, 13:6, | 74:5, 94:2, | C | 17:5, 17:13, |
| 14:6, 15:17, | 94:13, 95:15 | 76:5 | 17:14 |
| 18:15, 18:18, | boundaries | c3 | center |
| 20:7, 31:25, | 50:3 | 76:5 | 26:21, 26:24, |
| 32:1, 32:11, | brady | ca | 26:25, 27:5 |
| 33:6, 35:12, | 3:5, 7:17, 8:24 | $64: 13$ | centered |
| 42:25, 46:4, | break | california | $50: 4$ |
| 62:22, 70:14, | $63: 2,68: 6$ | 3:18 | certain |
| 72:22, 73:8, | breaking | call | 36:16 |
| 73:10, 79:15, | 23:13 | $26: 1,66: 6,$ | certainly |
| 81:8, 84:3, | bridget | $66: 11,66: 16$ | 29:15 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 92: 6, \quad 92: 25, \\ & 97: 24, \quad 98: 25, \end{aligned}$ | 5:5, 5:12, 7:25 | called | certified |
| $\begin{aligned} & 97: 24, \quad 98: 25, \\ & 99: 5 \end{aligned}$ | brief 6 6.23, 74.19 | 8:18 | 2:3, 110:3, |
| bill | $\begin{array}{ll} 69: 23, & 74: 19, \\ 83: 12, & 83: 20, \end{array}$ | calls | certify |
| 13:10, 15:15, | 84:5 | came | 110:5 |
| 15:17, 16:3, | broad | 17:17, 33:4, | ch |
| $16: 8,31: 7$, $35: 24,35: 25$, | 5:9 | $33: 10,34: 4$ | $65: 12$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 35: 24, ~ 35: 25, \\ & 37: 1, \quad 43: 1, \end{aligned}$ | buck | $35: 7, \quad 35: 12,$ | chairman |
| $44: 4,69: 12,$ | 80:20 | $65: 24, \quad 96: 21,$ | 31:12, 98:16 |
| 70:25, 71:9, | building | 96:22 | Challenge |
| 75:5, 75:16, | $11: 13, ~ 11: 16$, $11: 23,11: 25$, | can't | 107:19 |
| 75:21, 75:24, | $11: 23,11: 25$, $12: 2, ~ 12: 5$, | $35: 4, ~ 35: 10, ~$ $49.23, ~ 92: 8$ | $53: 4, \quad 53: 9,$ |
| 79:6, 80:13, | $12: 8, \quad 12: 11$ | candidates | $67: 3$ |
| 83:6, 83:7, | $12: 17,27: 3 \text {, }$ | $86: 2,87: 8$ | changes |
| $\begin{aligned} & 83: 9, \quad 84: 25, \\ & 85: 10, \quad 85: 11, \end{aligned}$ | 28:2, 28:3, | canvass | 53:6, 53:7 |
| $85: 14, \quad 85: 21,$ | 33:3, 33:5, | 26:1, 46:12, | chicago |
| $91: 21, \quad 98: 16$ | $33: 8, ~ 33: 10, ~$ $34.5,34: 23$, | 46:13, 47:22, | 111:2 |
| bit | 34:5, 34:23, | 47:24, 48:10 | chief |
| 10:2, 11:1, | 35:8, $35: 12$ | carolina | 23:24, 24:2, |
| 17:3, 23:14, | buildings | 4:21 | 24:3, 30:13, |
| 26:2, 36:24, | $27: 8,27: 12$ built | case | 33:23, 33:24, |
| 52:22, 78:24, | built | 1:5, 7:6, 9:1, | 34:17, 42:12, |
| 93:7, 103:12 | 51:21 | 9:9, 12:16, | 71:21 |
| blake | 11 e | 13:14, 28:15, | chiefs |
| 1:13, 2:1, 6:4, | 75:15, $75: 23$, | 29:9, 53:13, | 23:25, 35:2 |
| 7:3, 8:17, 9:6, | $76: 12, ~ 78: 11$, $85: 24, ~ 85: 25$, | 54:16, 73:20 | christine |
| 109:2 | 85:24, 85:25, | catch | 24:2, 33:24, |
| block | 89:14 | 10:15, 19:8 | 35:3, 35:6, |
| 13:12, 43:15, | burling | caucus | 35:7, 41:9, |
| 43:17 | $3: 8,3: 16, ~$ $7 \cdot 18,8.6,8$, | 36:1 | 45:24, 48:17, |
| bob | $7: 18,8: 6,8: 9$, $8: 25$ | caveat | 71:22, 73:2, |
| $4: 15$ | 8:25 | 100:24, 102:3, | 73:3, 73:11, |
| both | business | 102: 6 | 73:12, 79:18, |
| 12:14, 21:20 | bw | cds | \|79:19, 80:15, $81: 8, \quad 81: 12,$ |
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| 81:19, 81:23, | 56:25, 57:10, | communicative | concluded |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 82:24 | 57:17, 57:25, | 22:4 | 108:11 |
| ci | 58:1, 58:10, | compare | confirm |
| 67:6 | 58:13, 59:5, | 83:19 | 13:16, 27:18, |
| circumscribed | 59:22, 60:19, | compared | 53:1, 62:19, |
| 76:4 | 61:15, 61:21, | 75:22, 85:12 | 73:18 |
| cities | 62:9, 63:3, | comparing | confirmed |
| 76:3 | 63:15, 64:13, | 101:12 | 53:3 |
| city | 65:12, 67:6, | comparison | congressional |
| 50:20 | 67:8, 93:17, | 49:24, 96:24, | $9: 20, \quad 10: 4$ |
| clarification | 94:21, 94:25, | $101: 15$ | 10:10, 10:17, |
| 72:8, 95:13 | 95:18, 101:16, | competitive | 10:22, 10:25, |
| clarify | 102:18, 103:18, | 76:14, 76:23, | 11:9, 11:22, |
| 10:5, 11:20, | 104:11, 104:22 | 77:15, 77:16, | 13:6, 16:15, |
| 12:13, 14:10, | columns | 87:17, 89:16, | 17:4, 17:21, |
| 16:13, 16:19, | 53:22, 60:1, | 93:9, 93:13, | 17:23, 18:12, |
| 18:18, 29:24, | 60:3, 60:6, | 93:14, 96:3, | 18:20, 24:14, |
| 34:24, 37:7, | 64:9, 64:24, | 98:1, 98:9, | 24:17, 25:2, |
| 42:24, 44:12, | 65:2 | 98:18, 98:24, | 26:6, 26:17, |
| 61:2, 61:23, | com | 99:16, 100:16 | 26:19, 27:16, |
| 66:12, 84:8, | 3:12, 3:20, | competitiveness | 27:21, 30:21, |
| 88:15, 103:7 | 4:23 | 93:12, 96:14, | 31:4, 52:4, |
| clarity | combination | 97:24, 98:9, | 55:25, 75:18, |
| 73:22 | 64:17 | 98:17, 102:16 | 76:5, 76:9, |
| clark | come | complete | 76:13, 78:12, |
| 17:1 | 17:12, 20:2, | 109:5 | 78:14, 85:2, |
| clear | 33:3, 33:7, | completed | 89:15, 96:4 |
| 66:13 | 34:22, 76:21, | 30:22, 31:5, | consent |
| clearly | 89:22, 97:1 | 86:24, 87:1 | $83: 6$ |
| 51:24 | comes | completely | consented |
| close | $20: 4$ | $51: 21$ | $107: 23$ |
| 64:23, 108:3 | commencement $110: 6$ | composite | consider $20: 21,20: 25$ |
| colleague | 110: 6 comments | 67:23 | $\begin{array}{ll} 20: 21, & 20: 25, \\ 22: 24, & 66: 19, \end{array}$ |
| 9:2 | $99: 2$ | composites | $98: 12, \quad 98: 14$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { collection } \\ & 29: 13 \end{aligned}$ | commission | $\begin{aligned} & 66: 15, \quad 67: 13, \\ & 67: 16 \end{aligned}$ | considered |
| colors | 1:9 | computer | 21:15, 21:24, |
| 51:22 | common | 15:5, 15:6, | $\begin{aligned} 23: 5, & 23: 10, \\ 23: 18, & 34.9\end{aligned}$ |
| columbus | 17:24, 38:18, | 15:24, 20:12, | $23: 18, ~ 34: 9$, $34: 10$, |
| $5: 10,76: 3$ | $82: 8$ <br> communicate | $20: 18, \quad 24: 13,$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 34: 10, & 76: 13, \\ 76: 23, & 77: 15, \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 76: 4, \quad 76: 8 \\ & \text { column } \end{aligned}$ | communicate $13: 5,14: 2,$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll} 28: 8, & 31: 17, \\ 45: 21, & 46: 1, \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 76: 23, ~ 77: 15, \\ & 89: 15, ~ 97: 24, \end{aligned}$ |
| 54:9, 54:23, | 14:4 | 46:11 | 98:18, 98:19, |
| 54:24, 55:6, | communication | computers | $105: 11$ |
| 55:16, 55:21, | $\begin{array}{ll} 26: 12, & 30: 24, \\ 30: 25, & 31: 11 \end{array}$ | 12:15, 12:18, | considering $21: 4,21: 7,$ |
| $\begin{array}{ll} 55: 24, & 56: 10, \\ 56: 11, & 56: 16, \end{array}$ | communications | $15: 21$ concerning | $21: 8,21: 12,$ |
| 56:17, 56:19, | 22:2 | 110:8 | 21:17 |
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| ```constitutes 110:13 constitutional 84:1, 84:11 constraints 9:12 consult 16:17, 16:20, 16:23 content 6:14, 83:4, 83:5, 83:7, 83:8 context 69:25, 87:12 contextualize 94:6 continue 87:10 continued 3:25, 4:25 contribute 37:5 control 49:1, 52:12, 52:14, 75:9, 88:1, 91:8 conversations 12:3, 41:10, 42:2, 42:6 convey 31:24 conveys 46:16, 46:17, 46:19 coontz 5:5, 7:25 coontz@ohioago 5:12 copies 29:1, 29:5 copy 28:11, 28:14, 44:24, 73:15 correct 11:4, 11:7, 25:4, 35:14, 36:4, 37:2, 38:23, 45:17,``` |  | 87:11, 87:17, $88: 5,89: 16$ <br> 94:23, 94:24 <br> couldn't $19: 7,19: 8$ <br> counsel $5: 15,7: 15$ $13: 14, \quad 28: 15$ $36: 4,36: 7$ $43: 6,43: 10$ $70: 10, \quad 70: 15$ $79: 13,79: 15,$ $81: 10,81: 12,$ $81: 17,81: 21$ $83: 2,83: 3$ $88: 23,89: 5$ $89: 10, \quad 107: 3$ $110: 19, \quad 110: 20$ <br> counties <br> 48:2, 75:16, <br> 75:17, 85:1 <br> county <br> 50:19, 75:13, $110: 4$ <br> course $68: 25,102: 6$ $104: 10$ <br> court $1: 1,7: 6,8: 11,$ $107: 19$ <br> covered $\begin{aligned} & 9: 12, \quad 22: 19, \\ & 32: 7, \quad 33: 14, \\ & 41: 23, \quad 44: 18, \\ & 77: 11, \quad 78: 8, \\ & 90: 9, \quad 97: 13, \\ & 100: 12 \\ & \text { covers } \\ & 99: 4 \\ & \text { covington } \\ & 3: 8, \quad 3: 16, \\ & 7: 18, \quad 8: 6,8: 9, \\ & 8: 24 \end{aligned}$ <br> cramer $4: 5$ <br> create $91: 22$ <br> created $43: 21,91: 24,$ | 91:25, 92:10, 95:3 <br> creating $37: 9, \quad 37: 16$ <br> creator $37: 2$ <br> crooks $73: 7$ <br> crr $1: 25,2: 3$ 111:9 <br> crucial <br> 51:7 <br> csr <br> 1:25, 2:3, 2:4, 111:9, 111:11 cst $1: 15, \quad 108: 12$ <br> cupp <br> 4:15, 28:4, <br> 28:14, 28:19, <br> 29:21, 33:7, <br> 35:1, 40:4, <br> 48:15 <br> curd <br> 17:24 <br> cut <br> 86:22 <br> cuyahoga <br> 75:18 <br> cycle <br> 16:15 <br> D <br> database <br> 17:25, 67:11 <br> date <br> 7:8, 70:20, <br> 109:10 <br> david $3: 14,8: 5$ <br> day <br> 39:17, 39:21, <br> 77:17, 104:10, <br> 111:3 <br> ddenuyl@cov $3: 20$ <br> dealing <br> 74:18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| december | 110:10, 110:15 | dirossi | 47:10, 47:12, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1:14, 7:8, | describe | 6:18, 10:3, | 47:14, 48:1, |
| 111:3 | 51:25 | 10:8, 11:2, | 50:2, 52:2, |
| decided | described | 12:8, 13:5, | 52:5, 52:7, |
| 20:20, 20:24, | 15:17, 34:14 | 14:8, 15:11, | 52:16, 52:19, |
| 21:23, 22:23, | detail | 16:12, 33:22, | 53:2, 53:5, |
| 66:5, 66:17, | 76:2 | 34:16, 35:1, | 53:6, 54:14, |
| 90:17 | detailed | 39:10, 39:14, | 54:17, 54:25, |
| defining | 39:16 | 41:9, 46:3, | $55: 6,55: 7$, |
| 102:15 | details | 48:19, 91:25, | 55:16, 55:17, |
| definition | 30:4, 32:1, | 92:6, 92:25, | 57:7, 76:5, |
| 30:5 | 33:15 | 105:19 | 76:9, 76:14, |
| deliberated | determined | dirossi's | 77:16, 89:16, |
| 78:4 | 77:19 | 15:24 | 94:5, 94:22, |
| deliberating | developing | disantis | 100:20, 102:25, |
| 10:24 | 30:18 | 82:25, 83:2 | 105:10 |
| deliberation | deviation | discovery | districts |
| 90:6 | 52:3, 53:7 | 107:17 | 24:14, 46:8, |
| deliberative | dewine | discuss | $52: 9,52: 13$, $52: 14,55: 23$, |
| 22:4 | 7:5 | 39:8, 39:23, | $\begin{array}{ll} 52: 14, & 55: 23, \\ 55: 25, & 75: 18, \end{array}$ |
| democrat | difference | 40:4, 41:3, | $\begin{array}{ll} 55: 25, & 75: 18, \\ 76: 13, & 78: 12 \end{array}$ |
| 36:1, 60:9, | $101: 8$ | $41: 6,41: 11$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 76: 13, & 78: 12, \\ 78: 14, & 86: 3, \end{array}$ |
| $64: 7,65: 8,$ | different | discussed | $\begin{array}{ll} 78: 14, & 86: 3, \\ 89: 15, & 93: 9, \end{array}$ |
| $65: 22,75: 22,$ | 49:14, 49:15, | $\begin{aligned} & 26: 16, \quad 32: 12, \\ & 37: 1, \quad 39: 13, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 89: 15, & 93: 9, \\ 93: 13, & 93: 15, \end{array}$ |
| $76: 1$ <br> democratic | $\begin{aligned} & 49: 19, \quad 50: 14, \\ & 51: 5, \quad 51: 18, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 37: 1, \quad 39: 13, \\ & 41: 18, \quad 62: 23, \end{aligned}$ | $94: 8, \quad 95: 3,$ |
| 60:11, 61:3, | $51: 5,51: 18$, $51: 19,51: 21$, | 66:2, 66:18, | 95:20, 95:22, |
| 64:10, 65:5, | 51:22, 57:12, | 66:21, 67:12, | $\begin{aligned} & 96: 5, \quad 100: 17, \\ & 101: 14, \quad 102: 18, \end{aligned}$ |
| 65:20, 67:14, | $65: 1,65: 24$ | $\begin{aligned} & 88: 9, \quad 90: 5, \\ & 90: 13, \quad 97: 10, \end{aligned}$ | $103: 8,103: 25$ |
| 75:23, 85:12, | 66:9, $85: 23$, | $\begin{aligned} & 90: 13, \\ & 97: 17, \\ & 97: 10, \end{aligned}$ | document |
| 85:15, 103:20 democrats | 89:19, $96: 18$, $96: 20, ~ 96: 24$, | $100: 9, \quad 102: 23$ | 48:22, 68:20, |
| 103:22 | 96:25, 99:3, | discussing | $\begin{aligned} & 69: 9, \quad 69: 15, \\ & 69: 22, \quad 70: 1, \end{aligned}$ |
| dense | $101: 2, \quad 101: 13$ | $10: 24, \quad 34: 8$ <br> discussion | $\begin{array}{ll} 69: 22, & 70: 1, \\ 71: 10, & 78: 20, \end{array}$ |
| 82:3, 82:4 | differently | discussion $22: 14,39: 22 \text {, }$ | $78: 22, \quad 78: 25$ |
| denuyl | 51:20 | $22: 14, ~ 39: 22, ~$ $41: 12, ~ 41: 14$ | $79: 3,79: 20$ |
| $3: 14, \quad 8: 5$ | dig | 41:12, 41:14 <br> discussions | $79: 22,79: 24,$ |
| deponent 109:1 | 26:2 digging | 42:11, 42:12, | 80:4, 80:6, |
| depos | 46:6 | 42:14, 105:20 | 82:1, 82:2, |
| 7:12, 8:13 | digital | disfavored | 82:19, 83:14, |
| deposed | 44:24 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 89: 17 \\ & \text { disk } \end{aligned}\right.$ | $91: 5,93: 2,$ |
| 9:8 | direction | disk | 96:23, 100:15, |
| deposition | 110:13 |  | 100:25, 102:16, |
| 1:13, 2:1, 7:3, | directly | display $50: 13, \quad 50: 17,$ | 105:17, 105:18, |
| 7:13, 13:21, | $\begin{array}{rr} 45: 9, & 45: 11, \\ 45 \cdot 20 & 45 \cdot 25 \end{array}$ | $50: 13,50: 17,$ $50: 21$ | 106:4, 106:6 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 106: 17, \\ & 107: 20, \\ & 108: 18, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 45: 20, & 45: 25, \\ 99: 11, & 110: 21 \end{array}$ | district | document's |
| 107.20, 108.11, | 99.11, 110.21 | 46:24, 47:2, | 96:17 |
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| documents | due | edited | 62:22, 63:14, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13:23, 29:14, | 12:25 | 70:17, 80:2 | 63:20, 64:2, |
| 36:21, 69:2, | duly | edits | 64:19, 66:15, |
| 99:17, 99:20 | 8:16, 8:18, | 79:14, 79:19, | 93:17, 93:22, |
| doing | 110:7 | 79:23, 79:25 | 93:23, 93:25, |
| 96:17 | during | effect | 94:9, 94:18, |
| done | 10:6, 10:12, | 46:14 | 95:4, 95:12, |
| 62:25, 90:20 | 12:13, 12:19, | either | 95:14, 98:7, |
| down | 30:25, 106:17 | 22:7, 24:19, | 101:18, 102:8, |
| 21:13, 55:18, | E | 52:25, 58:5 | 102:13, 105:1 |
| 68:3, 69:14, | ea | election | elias |
| 74:4, 78:13, | 63:4, 63:5, | 14:11, 14:16, | $4: 7,7: 21$ |
| 78:24, 82:6, | $63: 7,63: 9,$ | 14:19, 14:20, | else |
| 83:13, 86:16, | $63: 10,63: 11,$ | 18:13, 19:24, | 16:11, 16:14, |
| 88:5, 93:20, | $63: 12,63: 19,$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 20: 2, & 20: 4 \\ 20: 7 & 23: 10 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16: 17, \quad 19: 21, \\ & 20: 9, \quad 22: 9, \end{aligned}$ |
| draft | $64: 8,64: 13$ $64: 14, \quad 64: 16$ | $\begin{array}{lll} 20: 7, & 23: 10, \\ 24: 5, & 24: 15, \end{array}$ | $29: 23, \quad 30: 14,$ |
| 88:6, 88:15, | $64: 17, \quad 64: 22$ | 25:21, 34:8, | 30:20, 32:10, |
| 92:7, 100:25, | $64: 24,64: 25$ | 34:9, 52:5, | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 32: 14, \quad 33: 7, \\ & 37 \cdot 5, \end{aligned}\right.$ |
| 101:9, 101:23, | $65: 3,65: 6,$ | $57: 15, ~ 59: 13$, $59: 14,59: 15$, | $\begin{aligned} & 37: 5, \quad 37: 8, \\ & 37: 13, \quad 39: 11, \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 102:3 } \\ & \text { drafted } \end{aligned}$ | 65:7, $65: 9$, | $\begin{array}{ll} 59: 14, & 59: 15, \\ 59: 16, & 59: 22, \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 37: 13, ~ 39: 11, \\ & 43: 16, ~ 46: 2, \end{aligned}$ |
| 37:3, 79:13, | $\begin{aligned} & 65: 13, \\ & 65: 21, \\ & 67: 13, \end{aligned}$ | $60: 4, \quad 60: 8,$ | $70: 6, \quad 70: 9,$ |
| 88:10, 88:12, | 67:15 | 60:23, 60:25, | $70: 12, \quad 70: 14,$ |
| $89: 7$ | ea-associated | $61: 2,61: 4,$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 70: 17, & 71: 24, \\ 71: 25, & 72: 2, \end{array}$ |
| drafting | 64:9 | $62: 13,63: 13,$ | $80: 20, \quad 80: 24$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 13: 11, ~ 18: 20, \\ & 21: 15, \\ & 33: 2, \end{aligned}$ | ea-related | $\begin{array}{ll} 62: 13, & 63: 13, \\ 63: 19, & 64: 1, \end{array}$ | $92: 5,92: 8,$ |
| 70:10, 71:3, | 63:23 ea dv | 64:18, 65:16, | 92:15, 92:21, |
| 71:10 | 63:16 | 65:17, 65:24, | 93:1, 93:3 |
| drafts | each | 65:25, 66:2, | email |
| 13:10, 15:15, | $15: 21,94: 8,$ | 66:7, 66:11, | $\begin{aligned} & 6: 13, \quad 13: 9, \\ & 15: 16 \end{aligned}$ |
| 15:16, 15:18, | $94: 22, \quad 95: 2$ | $66: 14,66: 21,$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 15: 16, & 15: 19, \\ 27: 19, & 27: 21, \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 88: 21, \quad 89: 2, \\ & 89: 8, \quad 89: 12 \end{aligned}$ | earlier | $\begin{array}{ll} 67: 23, & 86: 1, \\ 94: 12, & 95: 9, \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll} 27: 19, & 27: 21, \\ 72: 15, & 72: 20, \end{array}$ |
| 89:8, 89:12 <br> draw | $15: 14, \quad 20: 11,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 94: 12, \quad 95: 9, \\ & 103: 20 \end{aligned}$ | $72: 21, \quad 78: 22,$ |
| 40:18 | 24:7, 37:1, | elections | 80:5, 80:19, |
| drawing | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll} 44: 1, & 47: 6, \\ 50: 24, & 65: 5, \end{array}\right.$ | 18:7, 18:9, | 82:19, 82:21, |
| 10:3, 11:2, | $81: 11,83: 15$ | 19:1, 19:3, | 83:11 |
| 12:22, 14:16, | $88: 9,88: 18,$ | 19:9, 19:11, | emails $13: 11,13: 13 .$ |
| 16:18, 16:24, | $89: 20, \quad 96: 20$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 20: 21, & 20: 24, \\ 21: 10, & 21: 13, \end{array}$ | 13:11, 13:13, 13:17 |
| $17: 5,18: 4$, $25: 22, ~ 29: 20, ~$ | easier | $\begin{array}{ll} 21: 10, & 21: 13, \\ 21: 18, & 21: 21, \end{array}$ | employee |
| $\begin{aligned} & 25: 22, \quad 29: 20, \\ & 30: 2, \quad 30: 5, \end{aligned}$ | 53:24, 93:7 | $\begin{array}{ll} 21: 18, & 21: 21, \\ 21: 23, & 22: 24, \end{array}$ | $110: 18, \quad 110: 19$ |
| 31:1, 38:25, | 5.9 | 61:7, 61:11, | enacted |
| $40: 15, \quad 40: 19,$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 5: 9 \\ & \text { easy } \end{aligned}\right.$ | 61:16, 61:18, | 15:24, 40:17 |
| $42: 22,43: 21,$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { easy } \\ & 29: 3 \end{aligned}\right.$ | 61:25, 62:6, | encompass |
| $46: 25$ | 29:3 | 62:10, 62:11, | 77:3, 77:4 |
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| end | examined | F | 90:16, 97:1, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10:15, 19:7, | 8:19, 109:3 | facilitation | 97:5, 97:16, |
| 31:1 | example | 24:9 | 97:21, 97:22, |
| entire | 98:15 | facts | 107:4 |
| 21:16 | excel | 83:13, 83:17, | file |
| errata | 6:12, 53:23, | 84:2, 86:13 | 53:23, 53:25, |
| 109:7 | 53:25, 54:2, | failed | 54:2 |
| essentially | 54:3, 54:8, | 51:7 | files |
| 46:14 | 54:16 | fall | 13:12, 28:22, |
| et | except | 102:18, 102:19, | 43:5, 43:8, |
| $1: 5,1: 9,7: 6$ | 89:3, 89:5 | $103: 25, \quad 105: 12$ | 43:9, 43:12, |
| ethan | excerpting | familiar | 43:16, 43:17, |
| 5:16, 48:25, | 85:17 | 27:8, 49:5, | 51:11, 53:12, |
| 54:2, 68:4, | excerpts | 62:16, 69:8, | 53:18 |
| 68:19, 73:21, | 87:2 | 70:2, 78:23, | final |
| 75:8, 87:21, | exchange | 79:3, 91:4, | 36:2, 39:6, |
| 90:23, 91:7, | 13:11 | 91:11, 101:10, | 40:10, 40:13, |
| 105:15, 105:18 | exchanged | 106:3 | 40:24 |
| even | 13:10, 15:15 | far | find |
| 29:6, 49:21, | excuse | 20:16, 62:23, | 22:17, 107:15 |
| 49:22, 49:23, | 10:9, 93:2 | $67: 12, \quad 76: 11,$ | fine |
| 82:5, 82:11, | exhibit | $107: 16$ | 9:14, 69:20 |
| 92:21 | 48:23, 54:4, | farr | finished |
| evening | 68:4, 68:22, | 4:18 | 9:23, 107:3 |
| 68:11, 108:4 | 68:25, 69:4, | favored | firm |
| ever | 73:24, 74:11, | 89:17 | 7:23 |
| 14:4, 14:8, | 74:13, 87:20, | fed | first |
| 15:15, 15:16, | 87:23, 90:20, | 94:21 | 8:18, 41:2, |
| 15:20, 16:23, | 90:21, 91:1, | federal | 49:21, 56:24, |
| 23:9, 23:17, | 105:20, 105:22 | 19:3, 19:11, | 69:21, 82:19, |
| 27:10, 27:15, | exhibits | $\begin{aligned} & 21: \\ & 21: 20, \\ & \end{aligned}$ | 86:24, 87:1, |
| 27:20, 28:10, | 6:10, 69:3 | $63: 20, \quad 64: 2$ | 87:3, 92:12, |
| 35:19, 38:13, | exist | $64: 20, \quad 65: 18,$ | 93:6, 101:16 |
| 39:8, 44:23, | 98:4, 99:17 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll} 64: 20, & 03: 10, \\ 67: 23, & 93: 17, \end{array}\right.$ | five |
| 45:18, 45:19, | existed | $\begin{aligned} & 11: 23, \\ & 93: 21, \\ & 93: 23, \end{aligned}$ | 104:2 |
| 106:6 | 95:22 | $93: 25, \quad 94: 9$ | flip |
| everyone's | explained | $94: 25, \quad 101: 18,$ | 76:10 |
| 93:8 | 59:8 | 102:8, 102:12, | floor |
| everything | exported | 104:12, 104:23, | 5:9, 74:21, |
| 51:19, 106:22 | 53:23 | 105:1 | $74: 24,82: 7$ |
| exact | extent | feedback | focus |
| 49:8, 77:17 | 22:6, 23:1, | 37:22, 37:24, | 47:23, 48:4 |
| exactly | 77:2, 89:25, | $38: 2,38: 9,92: 3$ | folks |
| 81:3 | 97:3, 100:1 | few | 41:17, 99:11, |
| exam | eye | $36: 20,63: 1,$ | 106:21 |
| 104:6 | 104:6 | $101: 13$ | follows |
| examination | eyes | figure | 8:19 |
| 8:20, 110:7 | 93:8 | 89:25, 90:12, | foregoing |
|  |  |  | 109:4, 110:10 |
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| form | 25:3, 25:5, | go | gr |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 26:10, 34:11, | 25:10, 30:5, | 51:6, 60:14, | 57:10, 57:13, |
| 40:24 | 30:9, 32:16, | 68:10, 68:13, | 58:16, 59:8 |
| formally | 38:21, 59:22, | 69:19, 69:21, | graph |
| 69:1 | 60:3, 60:10, | $74: 9,74: 12$, | 92:16 |
| four | 60:22, 60:25, | 78:18, 78:19, | great |
| 67:12, 82:4, | 61:2, 66:1, | 86:8, 96:2, | 27:7, 54:6, |
| 103:8 | 66:3, 66:20, | 104:14, 104:23 | 69:7, 71:15, |
| fourth | 66:24, 72:11, | goal | 84:20 |
| 67:18 | 74:15, 74:21, | 85:19 | green |
| fram | 75:2, 75:4, | going | 11:15, 11:23, |
| 3:15 | 75:23, 77:4, | 9:10, 9:18, | $11: 25,12: 2,$ |
| frame | 78:1, 90:1, | 21:25, 26:2, | 12:4, 12:7, |
| 71:14 | 97:4, 100:4, | 33:13, 36:10, | 12:16, 27:3, |
| francisco | 100:5 | 40:14, 48:12, | 28:2, 28:3, |
| 3:18 | general's | 53:19, 53:24, | 33:3, 33:5, |
| frank | 5:8, 8:1 | 63:1, 66:16, | 33:7, 33:10, |
| 5:4, 5:15, 8:2 | generally | 68:10, 74:23, | 34:5, 34:22, |
| frankly | 30:11, 30:12, | 76:10, 76:11, | 35:8, 35:12, |
| 10:23 | 49:4, 51:18, | 76:25, 78:19, | 104:18 |
| full | 54:16, 63:7, | 82:18, 89:23, | greg |
| 9:4, 69:15, | $63: 12,63: 18$ | 97:2, 99:25 | 4:23, 7:22 |
| 78:25, 107:17 | generated | good | gregory |
| further | 51:10 | 8:22, 19:19, | $4: 16$ |
| 55:19, 102:24, | geo9 $6: 12.48: 22$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 20: 1, & 65: 23, \\ 66: 3, & 85: 25 \end{array}$ | ground <br> O.1] |
| 106:14 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 6: 12,48: 22, \\ & 54: 2 \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll} 66: 3, & 85: 25, \\ 86: 1, & 86: 19, \end{array}\right.$ | $9: 11$ |
| G | 54:2 | 86:1, 86:19, | grounds $89: 24$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { g_rp } \\ & 58: 25, \quad 59: 5 \\ & \text { gambhir } \end{aligned}$ | 50:3, 50:18 | 95:11 | group |
|  | geography | gov | 4:7, 7:21, |
|  | 14:20, 15:6, | 5:12 | 22:10, 34:16, |
| gambhir $4: 6$ | 24:12, 46:15, | governor | 77:8, 99:12 |
| gave | 46:19, 53:6 | 7:5, 19:17, | groups |
| $102: 21$ <br> general | gist $75: 23$ | 19:19 governor's | 99:10 |
| 7:24, 9:9, | 75:23 | governor $73: 4,73: 5$ | guess $\text { 15:3, } 78: 13,$ |
| $10: 6,10: 12,$ | $23: 25,38: 15,$ | gP | $81: 10, \quad 93: 20,$ |
| $10: 18, \quad 11: 10,$ | 38:18, 38:19, | 58:13, 58:14, | $94: 6$ |
| 11:13, 12:12, | 67:21, 70:22, | 59:4, 59:7, |  |
| 12:14, 14:22, | 75:1, 82:5, | 59:12, 60:15 | 57:17, 57:24 |
| 18:1, 19:16, | 100:24, 106:18 | 9P_dv | gv_tapr |
| 19:22, 20:13, | $9: 12, \quad 22: 13$ | 58:4, 60:15 gP rp | 57:25 |
| 20:16, 20:19, | $109: 6, \quad 110: 14$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 9P_rp_rp } \\ & 58: 24 \end{aligned}$ | H |
| 22:3, 22:5, | gives | $\begin{aligned} & 58: 24 \\ & \text { gp rv } \end{aligned}$ | hamilton) " |
| 22:7, 23:3, | $8.3: 20$ |  | 75:18 |
| 24:11, 24:18, | giving | $58: 21, \quad 67: 7$ | hand |
| 24:20, 24:25, | 91:8 | gp_tv | 111:2 |
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| happen | herein | 54:13, 54:14, | indication |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12:23, 13:2, | 8:18, 110:9 | 54:17 | 106:17 |
| 13:3, 13:4, | hereto | idea | indices |
| 87:11, 87:12, | 110:21 | 104:21 | 66:6, 66:16, |
| 87:17, 87:18 | hereunto | identifying | 66:18 |
| happened | 111:1 | 23:2 | indirectly |
| 24:8 | hi | illinois | 110:21 |
| happening | 106:12 | 110:5, 111:3 | individual |
| 10:22 | highlight | image | 42:2, 42:6, |
| happy | 83:12, 84:2, | 32:1, 49:9 | 42:11, 46:8 |
| 106:18 | 84:5, 84:10, | imagine | individuals |
| hard | 84:16, 84:22, | $68: 6$ | 32:11, 34:22, |
| $33: 15,49: 22,$ | 87:2, 87:4 | immediately | 34:25, 42:3, |
| 103:5, 103:6 | highlighting | 13:20 | 42:7, 97:7 |
| harleen | 49:16 | imminently | information |
| 4:6 | highlights | 13:20 | 20:12, 25:12, |
| head | 79:5, 85:17 | impasse | 25:13, 31:21, |
| 33:15 | history | 108:3 | 31:24, 44:11, |
| heading | 18:4, 18:6 | importance | 44:13, 47:18, |
| 63:4 | $\mathrm{hm}-\mathrm{mm}$ | $87: 16$ | 62:18, 75:1, |
| hear | 86:25 | important | $75: 3,75: 4$, |
| 19:8 | holders | 82:12, 82:13, | 75:12, 83:8, |
| heard | 19:4, 19:5, | 82:15, 87:6, | 83:9, 83:15, |
| 99:16 | 19:10, 19:12 | 87:7 | 85:4, 85:6 |
| hearings | hours | improper | initially |
| 38:5, 38:10 | 107:1 | 22:18 | $99: 20$ |
| held | house | include | input |
| $2: 2$ | 4:15, 11:5, | $84: 10, \quad 100: 2$ | 37:8, 92:1, |
| help | $16: 2,16: 3,$ | included | 92:6, 100:3 |
| 70:6, 79:11 | $16: 7,16: 8,$ | $48: 2,48: 3,$ | instance |
| helpful | 24:2, 26:7, | 51:25, 78:20, | $14: 12,44: 5$ |
| 66:21 | 26:22, 26:23, | $86: 4$ | $\begin{aligned} & 44: 13, \quad 46: 22, \\ & 47: 9.50: 19 . \end{aligned}$ |
| here | $\begin{array}{ll} 27: 5, & 31: 7, \\ 35: 23 & 35 \cdot 25 \end{array}$ | includes | $\begin{aligned} & 47: 9, \quad 50: 19, \\ & 50: 20, \quad 57: 7 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 7: 2, \quad 8: 23, \quad 9: 2, \\ & 12: 16,50: 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35: 23, \quad 35: 25, \\ & 36: 1, \quad 36: 25, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 75: 16, \quad 84: 25, \\ & 104: 18 \end{aligned}$ | 50:20, 57:7 instances |
| $12: 16, ~ 50: 12$, $52: 24,53: 14$, | 42:25, 43:1, | including | 44:22 |
| 53:20, 53:22, | 44:4, 75:22, | 19:9, 34:16, | instruct |
| 54:24, 58:15, | 75:25, 83:3, | 48:15, 103:22 | $77: 5$ |
| 75:9, 75:12, | 85:12, 85:15, | independent | instructed |
| 76:11, 82:19, | 91:21, 98:16 | 104:17 | 38:17 |
| 84:9, 84:19, | huffman | index | instruction |
| 84:23, 85:6, | 4:15, 35:2, | $66: 6$ | 22:13 |
| 85:23, 86:13, | $35: 12, \quad 45: 18,$ | indexes | integral |
| 93:20, 94:5, | $45: 20$ | 66:7, 101:13 | 22:3 |
| 101:12, 103:3, | I | indicate | interested |
| 104:1 hereby | id $54: 9,54: 10,$ | 61:16 indicated | 110:21 interfered |
| 109:2, 110:5 |  | 98:23 | 107:17 |
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| loaded | M | $37: 2,37: 3$, | maptitude |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24:6 | made | 37:6, 37:9, | 6:11, 11:24, |
| locations | 73:20, 79:19, | $37: 16,37: 22$, | 12:1, 16:14, |
| 11:19, 11:22 | $86: 9,107: 15$ | 37:25, 38:14, | 16:18, 16:24, |
| locked | madeline | 38:22, 39:1, | 17:17, 18:2, |
| 56:6, 56:9, | $5: 17,7: 11$ | $39: 4,39: 7,$ | 20:12, $24: 6$, |
| 56:11, 56:12, | main | $\begin{array}{ll} 39: 8, & 39: 14, \\ 40: 5 \end{array}$ | $24: 16, \quad 24: 21,$ |
| $56: 13$ long | 41:12 | $\begin{aligned} & 40: 5, \quad 40: 16, \\ & 40: 18, \quad 40: 21, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 24: 24, & 25: 12, \\ 25: 20, & 28: 16, \end{array}$ |
| long 68:11 | maintained | $41: 1,41: 2,$ | $37: 3,43: 5,$ |
| longer | \|majority | 41:3, 41:12, | 43:7, 43:8, |
| 21:12 | $47: 24$ | 42:3, 42:7, | 43:9, 43:12, |
| look | make | $\begin{aligned} & 42: 15, \quad 45: 7, \\ & 46: 7,46: 9, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 43: 20, \quad 43: 22, \\ & 45: 9 . \quad 45: 11 . \end{aligned}$ |
| 18:13, 49:4, | $22: 21,29: 4$ | $\begin{aligned} & 46: 7, \quad 46: 9, \\ & 46: 14, \quad 47: 3, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 45: 9, & 45: 11, \\ 46: 6, & 46: 7, \end{array}$ |
| 49:12, 51:14, | 49:23, 53:23, | $\begin{aligned} & 46: 14, ~ 47: 3, \\ & 48: 15, ~ 49: 9, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 46: 6, & 46: 7, \\ 47: 5, & 47: 21, \end{array}$ |
| 51:16, 52:25, | 66:13, 83:24, | $50: 1, \quad 50: 4,$ | $49: 8,49: 10,$ |
| 55:10, $61: 9$, $62: 20, ~ 66: 3$, | 90:7, 93:7, | 50:9, 50:10, | 49:25, 51:10, |
| 75:6, 83:19, | $106: 21$ | 50:25, 55:7, | 52:17, 54:10, |
| 84:21, 86:7, | makes | $\begin{aligned} & 87: 16, \quad 87: 18, \\ & 91: 16, \quad 91: 18, \end{aligned}$ | $54: 12, \quad 54: 18,$ |
| 89:6, 93:5, | 87:18 | $\begin{aligned} & 91: 16, \quad 91: 18, \\ & 91: 20, \quad 94: 8, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{lr} 57: 5, & 60: 22, \\ 62: 24 & 66: 22 \end{array}$ |
| 101:11, 103:17, | making | 91:20, 94:8, $94: 19$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 62:24, } 66: 22 \\ & \text { mark } \end{aligned}$ |
| 100:11 | 37:6 | map's | 68:25, 74:10, |
| 63:12, 63:19 | manage | 44:14 | 90:21 |
| looked | 20:15, 25:14 | maps | marked |
| 48:1, 69:2, | managed | 9:20, 9:24, | 69:3 |
| 89:20, 96:19 | 24:11 | 10:4, 10:10, | matt |
| looking | management | 10:25, 11:2, | 4:14 |
| 18:21, 54:8, | 24:9, 105:20 | 11:9, 11:11, | matter |
| 55:7, 70:1, | manager 50.17 | 11:14, 11:22, | 7:4 |
| 100:15, 101:16 | 50:13, 50:17 | 13:6, 15:18, | matters |
| looks | 50:21 | 15:20, 16:12, | 110:9 |
| 48:9, 48:11, | manner | 16:14, 16:18, | maybe |
| 54:15, 58:15, | $\begin{aligned} & 10: 12, \quad 10: 16, \\ & 27: 11 \end{aligned}$ | 16:24, 18:4, | 26:3, 49:7, |
| 62:16, 65:1, | 27:11 | 21:15, 28:13, | 99:11 |
| 82:21, 88:11, | many $103: 25,105: 4$ | $\begin{array}{ll}30: 2, & 30: 4, \\ 30: 18, & 32: 25,\end{array}$ | mccolley |
| 88:14, 88:15 | 103:25, 105:4 map | $\begin{aligned} & 30: 18,32: 25, \\ & 33: 1,35: 20, \end{aligned}$ | 39:2, 40:14, |
| loop | $9: 9,10: 2,$ | \|33:1, 35:20, $35: 22,38: 23,$ | 40:16, 45:22 |
| 64:23 | 9:9, 10:2, | $35: 22,38: 23,$ | mcguire |
| loose | $\begin{array}{ll} 14: 16, & 15: 16, \\ 15: 24, & 16: 2, \end{array}$ | $42: 23,42: 25,$ | 4:16, 7:22, |
| 98:2 | $16: 7,17: 4$ | $\begin{aligned} & 43: 1, \\ & 43: 22, \\ & 43: 25 \end{aligned}$ | 9:14, 13:22, |
| lot | $25: 22,28: 4,$ | $44: 8, \quad 44: 10,$ | 21:25, 22:25, |
| 10:21, 83:15, | $29: 20,31: 1,$ | $44: 21, \quad 44: 23$ | 23:13, 26:9, |
| 85:16, 99:15, | $31: 2,31: 13,$ | $44: 24,45: 1,$ | 29:11, 32:3, |
| 99:16 | $31: 20,32: 1$, | $45: 3,47: 16,$ | 32:21, 34:11, |
| lots | $36: 2,36: 25$ | $98: 16$ | 34:18, 36:11, |
| 53:22 |  |  | 36:18, 37:17, |
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| morgan | narrower | 52:19, 54:14, | oelslager |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16:24 | 82:11 | 54:17, 54:25, | 6:15, 30:17, |
| morrison | necessarily | 55:7, 55:17, | 31:12, 45:16, |
| 24:3, 33:24, | 84:17 | $76: 20,76: 22$, | 73:15, 73:20, |
| 35:3, 41:9, | nelson | 77:15, 77:22 | 98:17 |
| 45:24, 48:17, | 4:19, 7:23 | numbers | office |
| 71:22, 72:1, | never | 51:20, 55:20, | 5:8, 8:1, |
| 72:23, 80:16, | 30:16, 45:15 | 55:22, 55:24, | 11:12, 12:11, |
| 81:8, 82:24 | new | 59:1, 94:7, | 19:4, 19:10, |
| most | 53:6 | 99:17 | 19:12, 20:5, |
| 82:11, 98:10 | newspapers | nw | 20:9, 26:20, |
| move | 38:12 | 3:9, 4:8 | 27:2, 27:5, |
| 22:20, 32:8, | next | 0 | 111:2 |
| 36:9, 41:24, | 78:11, 78:12, | object | offices |
| 44:19, 47:2, | 85:9 | 77:23 | 19:13, 27:5 |
| 53:2, 67:4, | non-constitution- | objection |  |
| 68:24, 69:5, | al | 21:25, 23:7, | 19:23, 23:15, |
| 77:12, 78:9, | 83:13, 83:16 | 26:9, 32:3, | 34:15, 34:18, |
| 87:19, 90:10, | none | 32:21, 34:11, | 35:17, 64:23, |
| 97:14, 100:13 | 62:15, 62:16 | $34: 18,36: 17,$ | 83:24, 86:9, |
| moved | north | $37: 17,39: 24$ | 86:12 |
| 47:12 | 4:21 | $41: 19,44: 15,$ | ohio |
| moving | nos | $77: 2, \quad 89: 24$ | $1: 1,1: 4,1: 8,$ |
| 64:12 | 1:5 | 97:2, 99:25, | $\begin{array}{lll} 3: 3, & 5: 8, & 5: 10, \\ 7: 5 & 7: 6, & 7: 24 \end{array}$ |
| much $10: 23, \quad 12: 24$ | note | 106:16 | $\begin{array}{lll} 7: 5, & 7: 6, & 7: 24, \\ 8: 1 & 17: 8 . \end{array}$ |
| $13: 1, \quad 54: 6$ | $94: 2$ noted | objectionable $36: 13$ | $17: 20,24: 2$ |
| mullins | $75: 11, \quad 94: 13$ | objections | 27:5, 46:8, |
| $4: 19,7: 23$ | $95: 14, \quad 95: 17,$ | $22: 18, \quad 97: 12,$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 46: 15, & 46: 16 \\ 46: 18, & 46: 22 \end{array}$ |
| multiple | $107: 23$ | $107: 15, \quad 107: 25$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 46: 18, & 46: 22, \\ 72: 10, & 85: 1, \end{array}$ |
| $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 42: 20, \quad 43: 21 \\ & \text { mvself } \end{aligned}\right.$ | notes | objective | $\begin{aligned} & 72: 10, \quad 85: 1, \\ & 87: 10 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { myself } \\ & 23: 22,33: 22, \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 106: 22 \\ & \text { nothing } \end{aligned}\right.$ | $77: 1$ <br> observation | ohio's |
| $79: 12$ | nothing $36: 12$ | 55:21 | 46:19 |
| N | notice | obtaining | ohio-elected |
| $\begin{array}{ll} \text { nagy } \\ 5: 17, & 7: 11 \end{array}$ | 2:2 | $20: 17, \quad 24: 10$ obviously | once |
| name | $21: 2,41: 2 \text {, }$ | $33: 11,42: 11,$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 22: 25, & 24: 5, \\ 25: 20, & 73: 12 \end{array}$ |
| 8:23, 9:4, | 41:12, 42:19, | $51: 8$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25: 20, \quad 73: 12, \\ & 80: 21 \end{aligned}$ |
| 19:13, 38:1, | 71:12, 71:13, | occasion | one |
| 56:16, 56:17, | 77:20, 80:10, | $35: 11$ | $7: 3,12: 21,$ |
| $\begin{array}{ll}77: 25, & 104: 3 \\ \text { names } & \end{array}$ | $82: 22, \quad 90: 14,$ | occasionally | $14: 9,25: 24,$ |
| names $23: 25,27: 8 \text {, }$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 90: 15, & 92: 13, \\ 92: 14, & 97: 18, \end{array}$ | 23:14 occasions | $26: 24, \quad 27: 11,$ |
| $\left[\begin{array}{ll} 23: 25, & 27: 8, \\ 41: 15, & 77: 6 \end{array}\right.$ | $97: 19$ | $34: 23.35: 11$ | 35:18, 36:19, |
| narrowed | number | october | 41:11, 43:23, |
| 21:12, 82:6 | $\begin{aligned} & 7: 2, \quad 35: 25, \\ & 52: 3, \quad 52: 17, \end{aligned}$ | 9:22 | $\begin{aligned} & 46: 12, \quad 47: 22, \\ & 52: 6,52: 10, \end{aligned}$ |
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|  | 72:3, 72:25, 80:4, 80:8, 81:12, 81:22, 82:14, 85:13, 86:5, $90: 3$, 92:18, $97: 7$, 97:12, 97:23, 98:8, 98:12, 98:14, 99:1, 99:3, 99:5, 100:6, 104:19, 107:3 <br> other's <br> 15:21 <br> others $\begin{aligned} & 30: 3, \quad 45: 8, \\ & 48: 15, \quad 60: 12, \\ & 61: 10, \\ & 97: 10 \end{aligned}$ <br> otherwise $106: 18$ <br> ou $17: 25$ <br> out <br> 15:3, 19:16, 45:2, 51:21, 73:2, 80:5, 86:22, 107:5 outcome 110:22 outside $12: 4,22: 10$ $77: 8$ <br> over | 94:3, 96:2, <br> 96:3, 100:23, 102:24 <br> pages <br> 1:24, 82:4 <br> painstaking <br> 53:20 <br> paper <br> 29:2, 29:7 <br> parklake <br> 4:20 <br> part <br> 22:3 <br> participate <br> 23:9, 23:17, <br> 23:20 <br> particular <br> 18:16, 18:17, <br> 28:3, 60:8 <br> particularly <br> 29:3 <br> parties <br> 110:20 <br> partisan <br> 14:15, 20:10, <br> 44:14, 47:9, <br> 47:14, 53:9, <br> 85:23 <br> party <br> 59:16, 76:15, <br> 89:17, 98:7, <br> 103:22 <br> passed <br> 107:3 <br> passing <br> 13:1 <br> paul <br> 82:24, 83:2 <br> pause <br> 69:23 <br> people $\begin{aligned} & 16: 22, \quad 33: 9, \\ & 33: 12, \quad 33: 17, \\ & 44: 1, \quad 44: 5, \\ & 47: 17, \quad 72: 3, \\ & 77: 7, \quad 106: 18 \end{aligned}$ <br> percent <br> 67:6, 76:3, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| physical | 53:6, 53:8, | prior | 13:19, 13:20, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28:11, 28:14, | 57:5, 57:6, 75:3 | 18:25, 21:3 | 13:24, 28:15, |
| 28:17, 28:18, | portion | privilege | 29:6, 29:8, |
| 28:24, 29:5 | 69:25 | 22:1, 22:19, | 29:17, 36:3, |
| physically | portions | 23:1, 32:4, | 36:5, 36:8, |
| 25:11, 26:16, | 75:6, 84:22 | 32:8, 32:22, | 36:9, 36:13, |
| 27:16, 31:12, | possible | 34:19, 37:18, | 36:21, 51:11 |
| 37:3, 40:18 | 62:24 | 39:25, 41:20, | product |
| place | potentially | 41:23, 44:16, | 40:13 |
| 7:13, 12:2, | 12:3, 44:8, | 44:18, 77:1, | production |
| 12:4, 31:10, | 46:17 | 77:12, 77:24, | 29:14, 73:19 |
| 99:24, 100:3, | preferences | 78:8, 89:24, | progress |
| 110:16 | 20:10 | 90:9, 97:3, | 30:3 |
| plain | present | 97:14, 100:1, | projected |
| 48:12 | 5:14, 53:18 | 100:12, 106:17 | 94:19, 95:1, |
| plan | presented | probably | 95:2 |
| 30:22, 31:4, | 48:24, 54:5, | 21:1 | projection |
| 56:1, 75:23, | 68:23, 73:25, | problem | 95:7, 95:20 |
| 76:1, 85:2, | 87:24, 91:2, | $68: 9$ | proposal |
| 85:12, 85:15, | 105:23 | proceedings | 36:1 |
| 85:25 | president | 69:23, 110:14 | proposals |
| planet | 4:14, 7:24, | process | 18:12, 18:21, |
| 7:12, 8:12 | 23:23, 33:23, | 10:3, 10:7, | 33:2 |
| please | 34:17, 35:2, | 10:13, 10:17, | proposed |
| 7:15, 8:14, | 35:11, 41:8, | 10:18, 10:21, | 16:2, 16:8, |
| 9:4, 32:5, | 45:20 | 10:25, 12:12, | 16:15, 31:3, |
| 68:20, 74:11, | presidential | 12:14, 12:19, | 77:21 |
| 87:21, 90:24 | 57:15, 59:13, | 14:17, 14:22, | provide |
| plus | 61:4, 62:4, | 15:2, 15:7, | 22:12, 29:19, |
| 78:15, 93:9, | 94:1, 103:18, | 17:21, 17:23, | 31:25, 37:22, |
| 98:3, 98:5, | 103:19 | 18:1, 20:14, | 38:13, 43:7, |
| 98:24, 99:13, | pretty | 20:15, 20:16, | 43:9, 75:2, |
| 99:18, 102:17, | 68:10, 74:18, | 20:19, 20:22, | $75: 3,77: 5$ |
| 105:6, 105:7 | 89:6 | $20: 25,22: 4$ | 79:14, 85:20, |
| point | previous | $24: 11,24: 17$ | 92:3 |
| 21:8, 21:11, | 82:16, 100:23, | 24:20, 24:25, | provided |
| 21:12, 31:3, | 110:6 | 25:2, 25:4, | 13:13, 17:25, |
| 34:24, 40:6, | previously | $\begin{aligned} 25: 6, & 25: 10, \\ 25: 14, & 30: 25,\end{aligned}$ | 28:14, 28:18, |
| 71:13, 96:10 | 15:1, 33:19 | $\begin{array}{ll} 25: 14, & 30: 25, \\ 38: 22, & 42: 18 \end{array}$ | 29:6, 37:24, |
| points | primarily | 38:22, 42:18, | 38:2, 43:14, |
| 82:12 | $47: 23$ | 66:1, $6665: 4$, 66: | 71:20, 73:3, |
| political | printed | 66:20, 66:24 | 80:3, 80:21, |
| 75:13, 89:17 | 45:2 | processed | 81:23, 89:25, |
| politically | printout | $20: 6, \quad 20: 9$ | 92:17, 97:5 |
| 76:14 | 31:20, 31:22, | produce | provides |
| population | 45:3, 45:8 | 43:5 | 95:1 |
| 17:5, 17:14, | printouts | produced | providing |
| 52:3, 52:4, | 28:17, 28:18 | 13:15, 13:17, | 30:4, 85:17 |
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| public $\begin{aligned} & 38: 2, \quad 38: 3, \\ & 38: 6, \quad 38: 9, \\ & 38: 10, \quad 38: 13, \\ & 38: 15, \quad 38: 18, \\ & 38: 22, \quad 70: 25, \\ & 71: 1, \quad 71: 2, \\ & 71: 4, \quad 98: 23, \\ & 99: 10, \quad 99: 15 \\ & \text { publicly } \\ & 38: 11 \\ & \text { pull } \end{aligned}$ $48: 21,53: 24$ $87: 21, \quad 90: 24$ $105: 15,105: 18$ <br> pulled $\begin{aligned} & 53: 12, \quad 55: 4, \\ & 55: 9, \quad 55: 13, \\ & 55: 15 \\ & \text { pulling } \\ & 54: 2, \quad 68: 19, \\ & 73: 22 \end{aligned}$ <br> purpose <br> 74:15, 74:22, <br> $74: 25,81: 25$, $82: 2, \quad 107: 21$ <br> purposes $76: 17,79: 19$ <br> pursuant 2:2 <br> put <br> 25:11, 74:17, <br> 74:19, 86:5, <br> 86:20, 101:23 <br> putting <br> 104:6 | 94:24, 103:24 questions $\begin{aligned} & 106: 9, \quad 106: 11, \\ & 106: 14, \quad 107: 5 \\ & \text { quick } \\ & 63: 2, \quad 107: 8 \\ & \text { quickly } \\ & 74: 4, \quad 107: 4 \\ & \text { quite } \\ & 19: 8 \end{aligned}$ <br> races <br> 65:18, 94:1, <br> 94:13 <br> raisa <br> 4:5 <br> raise <br> 32:21, 89:23 <br> raleigh <br> 4:21 <br> rather <br> 96:15 <br> ray <br> 10:3, 10:8, <br> 23:23, 25:15, <br> 25:16, 25:19, <br> 33:22, 35:1, <br> 39:10, 39:14, <br> $41: 8,46: 3$, <br> 48:19, 66:1, <br> 66:5, 66:17, <br> 91:25 <br> ray's <br> 23:24 <br> read <br> 76:3, 84:24, <br> 85:10, 85:24, <br> 89:14, 109:3 <br> read-on <br> 7:1 <br> reading <br> 38:11, 83:11 <br> reads <br> 76:19 <br> real <br> 104:6 <br> really <br> 49:22, 80:22, | ```104:9, 104:10 reason 55:5, 55:15 reasons 36:20 recall 15:18, 16:9, 27:15, 27:20, 35:25, 38:8, 45:19, 70:20, 77:17, 79:12, 81:14, 86:5, 88:21, 89:1, 89:7 receive 17:19 received 17:13, 24:6, 72:17, 92:23, 93:4 recess 68:16, 107:11 recognize 51:24, 54:19, 56:8, 56:10, 56:21, 57:18, 58:1, 58:5, 58:7, 58:11, 58:21, 58:23, 59:1, 63:4, 67:8, 88:4 recognizing 55:4 record 9:5, 39:19, 58:12, 66:13, 68:13, 68:15, 68:18, 105:21, 107:10, 107:13, 108:10, 110:13 recruit 86:1 recruited 87:9 redistrict 26:20 redistricting 1:8, 10:7,``` | ```10:23, 17:25, 18:12, 20:14, 26:6, 26:17, 26:20, 27:2, 27:16, 27:22, 32:11 reduced 110:12 refer 66:10 reference 18:24 referenced 98:17 referencing 34:25 reflecting 60:22, 79:22 regarding 26:5 reiterate 106:16, 107:15 related 9:9, 13:11 relative 110:18, 110:19 relatively 53:20, 68:11 relators 1:6, 3:4, 4:3, 7:19, 7:21, 8:10, 9:1, 9:3, 106:15 releasing 106:20 remained 15:7 remember 35:10, 73:1 reminding 33:16 remote 1:13 remotely 2:2, 7:14, 110:16 repeat 9:11, 10:14,``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| ```19:7, 59:25 repeats 79:1 rephrase 16:4, 94:23, 94:24 report 26:5, 26:8, 27:10, 30:7, 30:19, 31:10 reported 1:25, 30:16, \(30: 21,31: 3\), 44:1, 44:5, 47:17, 110:11 reporter 2:4, 8:11, 8:14, 72:8, 110:4, 111:10 reporting 26:4 reports 29:19, 29:25, 30:6 represent 7:16, 9:3, 51:9 representative \(30: 16\), 32:18, 32:19, 33:4, 34:4, 34:13, 45:15, 73:15, 73:19 represented 46:9, 61:7, 61:12 representing 7:12, 8:12, 8:25, 53:11, 61:22, 61:24, 62:11 represents 55:2 republican 59:16, 59:20, 59:22, 60:11, 61:3, 64:5, 64:6, 64:9, 65:4, 65:8,``` |  |  | ```room 11:9, 12:9, 12:10, 12:15, 12:21, 13:7, 27:17 rounding 101:1, 101:3 row 95:25 rules 9:11, 83:21 run 87:10``` S said 8:23, 15:14, 22:17, 24:7, 43:3, 43:22, 47:6, 50:24, 58:19, 59:4, 61:2, 69:1, 80:16, 81:10, 91:10, 97:12, 103:9, 107:2, 110:15 sake 22:20 same 10:12, 10:16, 11:9, 11:12, 12:8, 12:10, 12:11, 12:15, 12:18, 12:21, 12:24, 14:24, 14:25, 15:4, 15:5, 15:10, 17:1, 23:6, 24:19, 25:1, 25:4, 25:8, 27:17, 32:6, 40:1, 41:21, 50:2, 50:3, 50:25, 51:3, 51:4, 51:5, 51:24, 53:25, 59:25, 60:7, 67:15, 74:7, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| split | started | straight | supreme |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 48:3, 75:16, | 71:3, 92:7 | 33:15 | 1:1, 7:6 |
| 75:17, 85:12 | starting | street | sure |
| splits | 54:8, 63:3 | 3:9, 3:17, 4:8, | 9:6, 9:16, |
| 75:14, 75:21, | state | 5:9 | 15:9, 18:19, |
| 75:25, 85:11, | 5:3, 7:16, 8:2, | strigari | 19:14, 22:21, |
| 85:14 | 9:4, 9:24, 10:9, | 5:15 | 24:7, 29:4, |
| sponsored | 11:12, 12:11, | structured | 30:8, 33:18, |
| 98:19 | 19:9, 19:14, | 51:20 | 40:14, 57:19, |
| spot | 19:15, 19:17, | stuff | 66:8, 66:13, |
| 12:18 | 19:19, 20:7, | 104:19 | 69:1, 71:11, |
| spreadsheet | 21:20, 26:22, | sub | 72:15, 73:10, |
| 6:12, 51:23 | 26:23, 28:1, | 70:25, 83:9 | $73: 13, \quad 80: 16,$ |
| springhetti | 46:8, 46:9, | subdivision | $81: 3,83: 12,$ |
| 1:13, 2:1, 6:4, | 46:16, 46:18, | 17:7, 17:16, | 83:25, 86:8, |
| 6:16, 6:17, 7:4, | 46:19, 46:22, | 17:18, 46:21, | 92:8, 92:23, |
| 8:17, 8:22, 9:6, | 46:25, 63:13, | 75:14 | 93:3, 98:9, |
| 13:24, 22:13, | 63:20, 64:2, | subdivisions | 102:11, 106:21, |
| 48:22, 48:23, | 64:20, 65:17, | 48:2, 48:3, | 107:22 |
| 54:4, 68:20, | 98:5, 102:12, | 50:19, 75:22, | surprise |
| 68:21, 68:22, | 110:5 | 75:25, 76:4, | 73:14, 73:17 |
| 69:8, 73:24, | state's | 85:11, 85:15 | suwanda |
| 87:22, 87:23, | 20:5, 20:8 | subject | 3:7 |
| 90:24, 91:1, | stated | 6:14, 83:4, | swear |
| 91:4, 105:22, | 20:11 | $91: 21$ | 8:14 |
| 106:3, 108:8, | statewide | subjective | swearingen |
| 109:2 | 19:3, 19:4, | $98: 2$ | $32: 18,33: 4$ |
| springhetti's | 19:9, 19:10, | subsequent | $34: 4, \quad 34: 13$ |
| 22:2 | 19:12, 21:10, | 34:3, 42:14 | sworn |
| staff | 21:18, 63:13, | substitute | 8:16, 8:19, |
| 23:3, 23:24, | 63:20, 64:2, | $40: 16,69: 12,$ | 110:8 |
| 24:1, 24:2, | 64:18, 65:17, | $71: 9,75: 4,$ | system |
| 24:3, 30:13, | 67:23, 93:22, | $75: 15,75: 21,$ | 14:21, 48:8, |
| 33:23, 33:24, | 93:23, 101:17, | $75: 24, \quad 79: 6,$ | 49:12, 49:15, |
| 34:17, 35:2, | 101:21, 102:8, | 80:12, 83:6, | 49:20, 50:6, |
| 38:19, 42:13, | 102:11, 102:12, | $83: 7,84: 25$ | 50:11, 50:14, |
| 71:21, 73:4, | 104:25 | $85: 10,85: 11,$ | $54: 11, \quad 54: 12,$ |
| 73:6, 77:5, | stationary | $85: 14, \quad 85: 21$ | $54: 18$ |
| 78:1, 78:5, | 11:24 | suggests | systems |
| 82:9, 90:1, | stay | $93: 17$ | $14: 20$ |
| 97:5, 100:4 | $74: 13$ | suite | T |
| staffs | stenographic | $3: 17,4: 8,4: 20$ | t-o-t-p-o-p |
| 22:8 | 105:21 | summaries | 56:19 |
| stand | stenographically | 74:20, 84:11, | table |
| $\begin{aligned} & 63: 7, \quad 63: 8 \\ & \text { stands } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 110: 11 \\ & \text { still } \end{aligned}$ | 84:17 <br> summarize | $101: 12$ <br> take |
| $\begin{aligned} & 63: 9, \quad 96: 4 \\ & \text { start } \end{aligned}$ | $105: 9$ <br> stops | $\begin{aligned} & 74: 22, \quad 74: 23 \\ & \text { summary } \end{aligned}$ | 31:10, 63:2, |
| 9:20 | 80:21 | 83:20 |  |
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| 68:3, 68:6, | 38:18, 38:19, | 55:5, 55:16, | 70:23, 71:7, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 86:7, 88:1, | 38:22, 98:19, | 61:8, 61:9, | 71:13, 77:17, |
| 99:23, 104:25, | 98:23, 99:10, | 63:2, 67:12, | 100:19, 106:18, |
| 106:20, 108:2 | 99:15, 109:4, | 68:3, 68:9, | 107:9, 107:12, |
| taken | 109:6, 110:14 | 69:16, 69:18, | 108:6, 108:7, |
| 12:2, 12:4, | text | 72:17, 72:24, | 110:16 |
| 110:15 | 14:2, 14:6, | 73:22, 79:1, | timeline |
| taking | 27:23, 27:24, | 82:4, 82:14, | 80:7, 81:22 |
| 7:13 | 83:11, 83:12 | 84:15, 84:18, | times |
| talk | th | 85:5, 86:12, | 42:20 |
| 10:2, 12:21, | 4:8, 5:9, | 86:14, 87:5, | titled |
| 17:3, 32:10, | 71:13, 77:20, | 87:7, 87:15, | 48:22, 54:2, |
| 32:20, 36:24, | 80:10, 81:24, | 87:19, 90:19, | 64:13, 68:20, |
| 43:20, 53:13 | 82:22, 90:15, | 91:10, 93:21, | 101:17, 105:19 |
| talked | 92:14, 97:19 | 96:21, 98:15, | today |
| 32:15, 34:7, | thank | 98:16, 99:2, | 7:11, 8:12, |
| 42:16, 44:22, | 8:22, 9:7, | 99:3, 102:2, | 8:23, 98:5 |
| 45:1, 45:5, | 27:7, 49:2, | 102:5, 102:7, | today's |
| 60:1, 65:5, | 54:6, 68:4, | 102:10, 102:14, | 7:8 |
| 94:18 | 74:11, 104:8, | 105:16 | together |
| talking | 108:4, 108:5, | third | 10:19, 10:24, |
| 13:7, 27:15, | 108:7 | $103: 22$ | 74:17, 74:20, |
| 66:14 | thanks | thomas | 101:24 |
| target | 86:18 | 4:18 | took |
| 53:8 | themselves | thomson | 49:1, 75:9, |
| targeted | 7:16 | 3:6, 8:8 | $87: 2, \quad 100: 3$ |
| 52:4 | thereafter | thought | top |
| teams | $42: 20, \quad 110: 12$ | $58: 12,59: 8,$ | $69: 17, \quad 96: 3$ |
| $14: 5$ | therefore | $87: 13$ | tot |
| technician | $107: 18$ | three | $56: 25$ |
| 5:16, 7:1 | thing | $65: 23,75: 17$ | total |
| tell | 35:18, 51:23, | $97: 25,107: 1$ <br> through |  |
| 9:19, 10:19, | 67:15, 78:7, | through | totally |
| 45:11, 49:22, | 82:8, 85:22, | $38: 4,53: 13,$ | $51: 22$ |
| $53: 14, \quad 71: 11,$ | $97: 12,101: 3$ <br> things | $\begin{aligned} & 60: 1, \quad 69: 21, \\ & 103: 1, \quad 104: 7, \end{aligned}$ | totpop |
| $72: 16,77: 19$ ten-year | things $10: 22,12: 3 \text {, }$ | $106: 2, \quad 106: 22$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 56: 19, & 56: 21, \\ 56: 25, & 57: 4 \end{array}$ |
| $63: 12,63: 19$ | $13: 12, \quad 20: 17$ | throughout | towards |
| $64: 1$ | $45: 25, \quad 47: 21$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10: 25, \quad 21: 16, \\ & 42: 18 \end{aligned}$ | $76: 15$ |
| tenth | $\begin{aligned} & 48: 13, \\ & 82: 14: \\ & 84: 15, \end{aligned}$ | 42:18 <br> time | track |
| $3: 9$ terms | $82: 14,84: 16,$ $87: 3, \quad 101: 2$ | $7: 9,9: 12,$ | $22: 21$ |
| terms $64: 4,65: 19$ | think | $10: 24,22: 20,$ | transcript |
| 64:4, 65:19 testified | $19: 20,30: 6$ | $25: 3, \quad 31: 14,$ | 110:10 transcription |
| $8: 19$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll} 30: 7, & 36: 20, \\ 39: 6, & 43: 25 . \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 32: 2, & 34: 4, \\ 39: 16, & 47: 24 \end{array}$ | 109:5 |
| testify | 39:6, 43:25, | 39:16, 47:24, | treasurer |
| ```97:6, 110:8 testimony``` | $\begin{array}{ll} 45: 1, & 45: 5, \\ 49: 6, & 53: 3, \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 52: 7, \quad 56: 24, \\ & 68: 14, \quad 68: 17, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19: 15, \quad 19: 17, \\ & 19: 18 \end{aligned}$ |
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| treasury | 64:5, 85:23, | version | 62:8, 62:11, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19:14 | 85:25, 93:11, | 35:23, 35:24, | 62:12, 62:18, |
| tried | 94:21, 95:22, | 40:10, 74:2, | 62:20, 62:22, |
| 82:5 | 105:4, 105:7, | 79:22, 82:11, | 63:22, 64:3, |
| trouble | 110:12 | 82:16, 88:25 | 64:17, 64:24, |
| 103:10 | understand | versions | 65:1, 65:3, |
| true | 10:7, 11:8, | 86:13 | 65:7, 65:21, |
| 109:4, 110:13 | 11:21, 14:13, | versus | 67:2, 67:17, |
| truth | 14:15, 25:1, | 7:5, 66:14, | 68:1 |
| 110:8 | 30:2, 36:11, | 84:13, 103:20 | viewed |
| trying | 48:8, 63:18 | via | 49:25 |
| 84:9, 84:19 | understanding | 15:18, 45:7 | voice-identify |
| tuesday | 53:17 | victor | 7:15 |
| 1:14, 7:8 | understood | 9:6 | vote |
| tuning | 12:13, 83:25 | video | 74:21, 82:7, |
| 38:9 | unified | 7:9, 7:13 | 94:20, 95:2 |
| turn | 17:24 | videographer | voter |
| 29:3 | university | 5:17, 7:2, | 59:23 |
| two | 17:20 | 7:11, 8:11, | voters |
| 14:17, 14:24, | unless | 68:14, 68:17, | 1:4, 3:3, 7:5, |
| 15:3, 25:24, | 19:20 | 107:9, 107:12, | $7: 18,8: 7,8: 10$, |
| 32:15, 43:1, | unsure | 108:6, 108:9 | 9:1, 64:5, 64:6, |
| 64:8, 69:2, | 15:12 | videotaped | 64:7, 64:9, |
| 75:17, 78:13, | until | 1:13, 2:1, 7:3 | 64:10, 65:5 |
| 83:20, 86:13, | 21:8, 106:25 | view | votes |
| 101:8, 106:20, | updating | $15: 13, \quad 15: 20,$ | 65:4, 65:20, |
| 106:24 | 30:3 | 25:21, 25:25, | 67:14 |
| type | upload | 47:5, 47:8, | voting |
| 12:24 | 24:21 | 47:13, 47:17, | 18:3, 18:6, |
| types | $\begin{aligned} & 24: 23, \quad 25: 3, \\ & 25: 5, \quad 25: 9 \\ & \text { use } \end{aligned}$ | 47:19, 47:21, | 20:10, 20:21, |
| 99:12 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 48: 5, \quad 48: 12, \\ & 48: 14, \quad 50: 23, \end{aligned}$ | 20:25, 47:9 |
| typewriting |  |  | W |
| $110: 12$ |  | $50: 24, \quad 51: 1,$ | wait |
| typical | use 17:5, 17:7, | $51: 2,51: 12,$ | $83: 24$ |
| 74:18 | $\begin{aligned} & 18: 3, \quad 26: 12, \\ & 26: 15, \quad 46: 7, \\ & 68: 6, \quad 79: 20 \end{aligned}$ | $51: 14,51: 16$, | walton |
| U |  |  | 5:6, 8:3 |
| ultimately <br> 36:2, 40:17 | $\begin{aligned} & 68: 6,79: 20 \\ & \text { useful } \end{aligned}$ | $53: 15,53: 16,$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { want } \\ & 13: 16,17: 3, \end{aligned}$ |
| unbased | 66:3 | $55: 11, \quad 57: 1$, | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 13: 10, \\ & 29: 4,36: 24, \end{aligned}\right.$ |
| $107: 16$ | using | 57:3, 57:4, <br> $57: 13,58: 16$ | 61:1, 69:20, |
| unchanged | $\begin{array}{ll} 14: 4, & 15: 11, \\ 51: 10, & 96: 25 \end{array}$ | $59: 1, \quad 59: 5,$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 84: 21, & 86: 7, \\ 102: 3, & 102: 11 \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 14: 21, \quad 15: 8, \\ & 20: 13, \\ & 20: 18, \end{aligned}$ | V | $\begin{aligned} & 59: 7, \quad 59: 12, \\ & 59: 24, \quad 60: 16, \end{aligned}$ | $106: 15$ |
| $24: 13$ <br> uncommon | $\begin{aligned} & \text { various } \\ & 38: 4, \quad 50: 18, \\ & 99: 10, \quad 99: 17 \\ & \text { vast } \end{aligned}$ | $60: 24, \quad 61: 14,$ $61: 18,61: 22,$ | wanted $26: 4,53: 16,$ |
| 82:8 |  | $61: 25,62: 1,$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 62: 21, & 79: 20, \\ 103: 7, & 106: 23 \end{array}$ |
| under |  | 62:5, 62:7, | 103:7, 106:23, |
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| . 375 | 12 | 21:9, 57:10, | 59:13, 59:22, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 96:10 | 60:15, 75:16, | 57:13, 57:17, | 60:3, 60:10, |
| . 3800 | 94:21, 94:25, | 57:24, 57:25, | 61:2, 61:7, |
| 4:22 | 104:12, 104:23 | 58:4, 58:10, | 64:18, 67:18, |
| . 4509 | 1210 | 58:13, 58:14, | 67:19, 67:24, |
| 4:10 | 1:7 | 58:16, 58:20, | 93:18, 94:2, |
| . 6000 | 13 | 58:21, 58:22, | 94:9, 94:14, |
| 3:11, 3:19 | 4:8, 78:14, | 58:24, 58:25, | 94:18, 94:21, |
| 0 | 102:25, 103:9, | 59:4, 59:5, | 94:25, 95:5, |
| 000020 | $104: 4$ 14 | $\begin{aligned} & 59: 7, \quad 59: 8, \\ & 59: 12, \quad 65: 18, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 101: 18, & 102: 13, \\ 103: 19, & 104: 12, \end{array}$ |
| $6: 17$ | $61: 18,61: 19,$ | $67: 7,101: 17$ | $104: 23, \quad 105: 1$ |
| $000183$ | $75: 22,78: 15,$ | 102:1, 102:9, | $2021$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 6: 16, \quad 87: 22 \\ & 00020 \end{aligned}$ | $85: 11$ | $103: 18$ | 1:5, 1:6, 1:7, |
|  | 1449 | 200 | 1:14, 6:13, 7:7, |
| $000217$ | 7:7 | 4:20 | 7:9, 111:3 |
| 68.21 | 15 | 20001 |  |
| 68:21 | 6:13, 52:11, | 3:10 | 85:12 |
| 00091 73.20 | 52:20, 55:20, | 20005 | 2533 |
|  | 55:22, 55:24, | 4:9 | 3:18 |
| 001042 | 55:25, 71:13, | 2012 | 258 |
| 48:22 <br> 008948 | 77:20, 78:15, | 60:22, 60:25, | $69: 12, \quad 75: 16,$ |
| $6: 18,105: 19$ | 80:10, 81:24, | 61:12, 61:14, | $79: 6,84: 25$ |
| 6:18, 105:19 | 82:22, 86:2, | 67:24, 93:18, | 91:21 |
|  | 90:15, 92:14, | 94:1, 94:9, | 27612 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 107: 9 \\ & 02 \end{aligned}$ | 94:5, 95:25, | 94:13, 94:18, | 4:21 |
| 62.17 | 97:19, 100:20, | 95:4, 105:1 | 3 |
| 68:17 03 | 103:1, 103:3, | $2014$ | 3 |
| 107:12 | 104:4, 105:10 | 61:15, 61:16 | 68:14 |
| 04 | $5: 9, \quad 18: 13$ |  | 3.75 |
| 108:9, 108:12 | 5:9, 18:13, $18: 21,21: 9$, | 19:1, $61: 17$, | $\begin{array}{ll} 89: 18, & 90: 5, \\ 90: 12, & 96: 11, \end{array}$ |
| 1 | 65:18, 101:17, | 61:24, 62:2, | $\begin{array}{ll}  \\ 96: 14, & 96: 21, \end{array}$ |
| 10 | 101:25, 102:9 | 62:4, 62:6, | $96: 22, \quad 97: 16$ |
| 78:14, 98:4, | 18 , | 62:7, 101:18, | $99: 7, \quad 99: 21$ |
| 98:6, 102:25, | 18:13, 18:21, | 102:13 | $100: 8, \quad 100: 17,$ |
| 103:9, 104:4 | 21:9, 65:18, | 2018 |  |
| 105 | 102:9 | 19:2, 21:17, | $103: 4,104: 1,$ |
| 6:18 | 19 | 62:10, 62:11, |  |
| 11 | 75:2, 75:12, | 62:13, 64:18, | $3.8$ |
| $6: 13,86: 2$ | 83:21, 84:18 | $102: 13$ | $96: 11,96: 14,$ |
| 111 | 2 | 202. 662 | 97:17, 99:7, |
| 1:24 | 2 | 3:11 | 99:22, 100:8, |
| 1193 | 1:15, 7:10, | 202.968 | 100:17, 102:17, |
| 1:5 | 85:25 | $\text { \| } 4: 10$ | $102: 22, \quad 103: 4$ |
| 1198 | $20$ | $\text { \| } 2020$ | $104: 1, \quad 105: 8$ |
| 1: 6 | 18:13, 18:21, | $\begin{aligned} & 17: 13, \\ & 21: 17, \\ & 27: 16, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 5: 9 \end{aligned}$ |
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| 1 | 995 | 1.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 257 | 52 | 1.0 |
| ${ }^{258}$ | 894 | 1.0 |
| 259 | 2283 | 1.0 |
| 280 | 24115 | 1.0 |
| 261 | 129 | 1.0 |
| ${ }_{513}$ | 928 | 1.0 |
| 514 | 41 | 1.0 |
| 515 | 9410 | 1.0 |
| 769 | 15911 | 1.0 |
| 70 | 25113 | 1.0 |
| $\underset{\substack{71 \\ 1025}}{ }$ | 24814 | 1.0 |
| (1225 | 186 157 | 1.0 1.0 |
| 1282 | 3412 | 1.0 |













## 

Dataiew: Fecords 1-10\%1



[^0]


| ID | DATA | District | Members | Locked | Name | TOTPOP | G2OR | G2OV |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | G20V_TAPR


| G2OP_DV | G2OP_RV | G2OP_TV | G2OP_RP | G12P_DV | G12P_RV | G12P_TV | G12P_RP |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 155391.79 | 235614.82 | 397661.54 | 1499719 | 184752 | 193829.39 | 386080.94 | 1268818 |
| 127719.52 | 264413.52 | 397669.22 | 1156557 | 137105.38 | 216439.72 | 359761.95 | 927776.3 |
| 155780.08 | 250526.6 | 412691.6 | 1175300 | 140573.6 | 211492.41 | 357899.04 | 1053949 |
| 265223.36 | 99515.94 | 370463.59 | 198605.4 | 230705.16 | 113939.38 | 349897.46 | 234530.5 |
| 178439.84 | 201532.97 | 386298.06 | 696637.7 | 160687.51 | 166976.87 | 333292.63 | 620814 |
| 138870.42 | 236174.95 | 381351.98 | 1370119 | 180136.71 | 181950.67 | 369798.11 | 1080492 |
| 142320.77 | 246104.12 | 394113.62 | 866048.6 | 138146.72 | 221115.71 | 364992.76 | 785204.6 |
| 205121.9 | 192720.41 | 404119.54 | 341910.6 | 181110.13 | 187085.33 | 373387.83 | 346478.4 |
| 180980.37 | 210110.48 | 397752.24 | 675897.1 | 183974.41 | 197478.85 | 387831.78 | 633467.1 |
| 279240.18 | 74152.288 | 357187.09 | 169440 | 319877.43 | 70321.321 | 393606.94 | 143931.6 |
| 188347.47 | 212930.27 | 406820.23 | 677381.7 | 203137.68 | 173931.03 | 383507.12 | 548818.6 |
| 198797.25 | 233581.82 | 437650.17 | 459273.8 | 197531.55 | 200984 | 404278.53 | 404399.6 |
| 147637.81 | 241549.44 | 394324.46 | 1322671 | 204853.42 | 173646.41 | 385654.49 | 965200.6 |
| 174740.55 | 224784.72 | 404954.62 | 693401.3 | 177771.23 | 181862.92 | 365645.61 | 598564.2 |
| 140555.43 | 231125.32 | 377329.58 | 1495271 | 185630.72 | 168990.32 | 362045.86 | 1099811 |


| G12S_DV | G12S_RV | G12S_TV | G12S_RP | G14G_DV | G14G_RV | G14G_TV | G14G_RP |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 177499.49 | 183055.24 | 378307.62 | 1227757 | 63537.859 | 137088.71 | 206984.41 | 1576371 |
| 136224.04 | 196649.34 | 350021.09 | 868458.7 | 52604.795 | 146968.53 | 205536.86 | 1109016 |
| 136687.71 | 199242.1 | 352040.19 | 1020097 | 49767.926 | 153311.48 | 208843 | 1284744 |
| 226313.99 | 105162.54 | 343671.73 | 221353.2 | 78788.892 | 88161.887 | 176000.21 | 349081.9 |
| 155936.48 | 155338.26 | 327647.28 | 590781.4 | 52782.6 | 118389.78 | 177031.7 | 813630.1 |
| 173597.27 | 170337.06 | 364637.56 | 1030003 | 66572.112 | 138321.07 | 211316.73 | 1391944 |
| 136392.47 | 205930.82 | 358063.94 | 753751.2 | 50829.467 | 144176.71 | 199720.15 | 927088.4 |
| 180594.02 | 171528.92 | 365469.92 | 325547.5 | 67103.725 | 125706.37 | 199895.88 | 438341.9 |
| 181689.51 | 184744.48 | 381085.48 | 605784.5 | 69008.286 | 143803.64 | 218264.89 | 805678.3 |
| 299816.95 | 63299.143 | 373607.24 | 137744.6 | 108550.32 | 72561.794 | 190357.04 | 308763.9 |
| 199174.29 | 155368.17 | 374857.25 | 505567 | 69937.601 | 127583.54 | 204953.46 | 752251.6 |
| 194571.19 | 178439.68 | 391576.05 | 376104.5 | 67674.744 | 160209.2 | 235672.28 | 552694.7 |
| 206970.25 | 147537.63 | 372826.1 | 854200.4 | 85935.966 | 124914.57 | 218473.87 | 1163242 |
| 176806.69 | 163389.51 | 357415.62 | 559960.9 | 58252.103 | 135262.51 | 200380.16 | 780312.2 |
| 178845.59 | 154409.96 | 354811.86 | 1036960 | 67565.183 | 127472.43 | 201082.66 | 1446760 |


| G14A_DV | G14A_RV | G14A_TV | G14A_RP | G14I_DV | G14I_RV | G14I_TV | G14I_RP |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 73089.075 | 133630.64 | 206719.72 | 1541748 | 68298.482 | 121122.92 | 201529.44 | 1439839 |
| 67984.771 | 138844.85 | 206829.62 | 1065751 | 57675.78 | 134460.52 | 202950.09 | 1026505 |
| 58632.397 | 150173.83 | 208806.23 | 1263917 | 54135.829 | 142825.51 | 206655.85 | 1208920 |
| 91789.479 | 85311.203 | 177100.68 | 335727.1 | 90637.476 | 78063.167 | 175217.52 | 307851.4 |
| 61670.474 | 115655.53 | 177326.01 | 792508.9 | 58500.936 | 109079.53 | 175272.91 | 753811.1 |
| 77503.099 | 133464.85 | 210967.95 | 1347423 | 73098.864 | 122276.68 | 206740.48 | 1258611 |
| 63870.708 | 136288.92 | 200159.63 | 884461.8 | 54437.495 | 133500.27 | 198123.15 | 863770 |
| 87902.885 | 113903.57 | 201806.46 | 394782.6 | 73777.358 | 113720.52 | 197265.84 | 397673.8 |
| 74956.88 | 143516.78 | 218473.66 | 806042.1 | 74084.328 | 130579.59 | 214911.45 | 739164.2 |
| 119625.56 | 71929.041 | 191554.6 | 308301.6 | 129468.04 | 51157.784 | 187233.08 | 212691.9 |
| 80075.847 | 124966.9 | 205042.75 | 738236.2 | 84280.208 | 107873.37 | 201114.94 | 644337.8 |
| 78523.011 | 156953.72 | 235476.73 | 546697.2 | 83662.619 | 135737.39 | 229047.63 | 484162 |
| 99038.55 | 119357.17 | 218395.72 | 1132471 | 98323.498 | 105056.04 | 214166.42 | 1013875 |
| 67097.577 | 132235.38 | 199332.95 | 769155.2 | 71138.257 | 115377.44 | 195529.48 | 688977.3 |
| 76186.134 | 124888.45 | 201074.58 | 1418998 | 77298.764 | 110261.04 | 197458.43 | 1271950 |


| G14T_DV | G14T_RV | G14T_TV | G14T_RP | G14J_DV | G14J_RV | G14J_TV | G14J_RP |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 59640.307 | 134371.29 | 204201.74 | 1556329 | 82667.731 | 123068.92 | 205736.65 | 1456412 |
| 54229.022 | 139541.31 | 204038.91 | 1064730 | 66010.958 | 140189.33 | 206200.29 | 1076899 |
| 49848.796 | 148187.58 | 207508.72 | 1255546 | 71838.761 | 135985.3 | 207824.06 | 1175243 |
| 87927.928 | 81278.925 | 176273.73 | 316826 | 106672.72 | 69908.876 | 176581.59 | 277019.9 |
| 54150.504 | 113510.63 | 175755.85 | 781595.9 | 75898.052 | 100309.99 | 176208.04 | 696110.3 |
| 64162.739 | 133441.71 | 208558.13 | 1350698 | 86515.559 | 124183.66 | 210699.22 | 1263072 |
| 52096.16 | 137642.67 | 199026.74 | 886232.3 | 63211.289 | 137089.82 | 200301.11 | 875348.4 |
| 72725.094 | 117351.65 | 199438.9 | 406895.6 | 81775.99 | 119367.79 | 201143.78 | 418100.4 |
| 70425.958 | 137185.06 | 217207.27 | 769345.1 | 91311.437 | 125656.94 | 216968.38 | 712076.7 |
| 133911.75 | 50255.5 | 190775.12 | 207577.2 | 137474.92 | 52636.692 | 190111.61 | 227731.9 |
| 75790.024 | 116955.25 | 202367.75 | 694685 | 94083.702 | 110220.02 | 204303.72 | 665470.3 |
| 78430.724 | 141433.87 | 230319.75 | 501396.8 | 100196.83 | 133969.31 | 234166.14 | 477074.7 |
| 84812.624 | 119092.97 | 215190.8 | 1124576 | 97342.801 | 119477.72 | 216820.53 | 1145361 |
| 66087.395 | 120840.53 | 196198.15 | 720841.5 | 82519.98 | 116199.1 | 198719.08 | 701939.1 |
| 69807.15 | 119040.91 | 198540.47 | 1366246 | 85202.556 | 115001.38 | 200203.93 | 1335223 |


| G16P_DV | G16P_RV | G16P_TV | G16P_RP | G16S_DV | G16S_RV | G16S_TV |  | G16S_RP |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 142499.31 | 213219.05 | 376509.55 | 1443814 | 121749.55 | 229262.47 | 368661.13 | 1521596 |  |
| 109851.33 | 237604.6 | 363172.16 | 1106110 | 89720.06 | 255809.23 | 360157.19 | 1129166 |  |
| 124132.75 | 220749.26 | 361956.4 | 1151755 | 96252.22 | 247769.32 | 359128.21 | 1251201 |  |
| 235649.26 | 97818.764 | 350029.56 | 205593 | 196748.25 | 131522.27 | 342561.99 | 278323 |  |
| 145606.62 | 177889.67 | 339744.54 | 683787.3 | 121323.34 | 198149.74 | 334677.26 | 746969 |  |
| 130160.68 | 208414.8 | 357462.83 | 1291734 | 112711.28 | 218805.17 | 351698.28 | 1355080 |  |
| 122887.64 | 229034.79 | 367161.05 | 850919 | 96376.709 | 250186.08 | 361516.21 | 914609.7 |  |
| 176899.24 | 178833.61 | 372306.04 | 347121 | 138937.42 | 210661.42 | 364921.27 | 411740.5 |  |
| 161192.15 | 198195.35 | 376795.29 | 669560.3 | 128714.27 | 227658.14 | 372513.09 | 764823 |  |
| 280926.55 | 65951.479 | 357073.3 | 149400 | 237676.78 | 86251.667 | 340758.66 | 200638.5 |  |
| 167078.72 | 188808.19 | 372364.71 | 650322.5 | 140995.12 | 200954.21 | 362743.45 | 688544.4 |  |
| 169721.16 | 208854.41 | 395231.76 | 448367.9 | 134358.58 | 229971.26 | 384028.42 | 496617.5 |  |
| 144133.82 | 219001.25 | 377892.7 | 1258678 | 140373.21 | 208665.42 | 368194.9 | 1194014 |  |
| 149659.32 | 193621.14 | 359649.43 | 664379.5 | 121433.75 | 211895.58 | 352312.28 | 714942.3 |  |
| 132763.07 | 202483.39 | 351240.28 | 1400330 | 117328.49 | 208305.7 | 345203.63 | 1431455 |  |


| G18S_DV | G18S_RV | G18S_TV | G18S_RP | G18G_DV | G18G_RV | G18G_TV | G18G_RP |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 143901 | 147570.62 | 291471.61 | 1282903 | 119388.33 | 165194.93 | 294284.79 | 1413670 |
| 116598.05 | 173997.85 | 290595.89 | 993901.5 | 99000.946 | 184771.71 | 292534.78 | 1050643 |
| 134949.92 | 169995.76 | 304945.68 | 1048167 | 113510.09 | 184726.29 | 306594.1 | 1129300 |
| 218444.52 | 75349.982 | 293794.5 | 185636.2 | 204886.98 | 83878.65 | 295480.07 | 204845.7 |
| 147208.5 | 132256.31 | 279464.81 | 612885.2 | 132039.22 | 141950.48 | 281080.45 | 653892 |
| 136366.61 | 143333.5 | 279700.11 | 1133431 | 114053.67 | 155892.75 | 280662.61 | 1221591 |
| 121179.15 | 168319.75 | 289498.91 | 781973.5 | 103758.24 | 177232.56 | 289985.99 | 823058.2 |
| 166133.33 | 142903.79 | 309037.12 | 330396.3 | 149735.27 | 152127.05 | 310412.38 | 348652.4 |
| 156827.99 | 146444.68 | 303272.67 | 608582.6 | 133073.21 | 162247.98 | 304572.48 | 669498.2 |
| 232922.01 | 46863.241 | 279785.25 | 132939.6 | 219676.88 | 54943.691 | 281038.43 | 155532.8 |
| 166998.61 | 135325.23 | 302323.84 | 566648.4 | 148616.32 | 143857.07 | 302072.63 | 599345 |
| 174841.29 | 151810.84 | 326652.14 | 392132.5 | 150798.55 | 167855.88 | 327866.91 | 430254.4 |
| 151442.99 | 142924.99 | 294367.98 | 1045992 | 130127.02 | 156848.72 | 295181.66 | 1148803 |
| 153346.46 | 140973.53 | 294319.99 | 597253.7 | 133394.65 | 153981.24 | 295997.62 | 638066.8 |
| 136524.64 | 139188.8 | 275713.43 | 1209636 | 117226.63 | 149991.69 | 276582.17 | 1297702 |


| G18A_DV | G18A_RV | G18A_TV | G18A_RP | G18I_DV | G18I_RV | G18I_TV |  | G18I_RP |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 121649.84 | 167330.83 | 288980.67 | 1459466 | 111384.74 | 163535.69 | 287037.91 | 1429975 |  |
| 100747.09 | 188014.56 | 288761.65 | 1089188 | 95198.301 | 179717.55 | 287187.77 | 1035774 |  |
| 109842.92 | 193623.99 | 303466.9 | 1187945 | 110000.7 | 181174.29 | 301891.97 | 1130991 |  |
| 199350.33 | 92293.612 | 291643.94 | 228878.5 | 199838.68 | 78581.033 | 288704.96 | 196153 |  |
| 126122.97 | 150200.97 | 276323.95 | 701321.2 | 130335.35 | 135288.01 | 275240.38 | 631777.1 |  |
| 115609.35 | 160822.04 | 276431.39 | 1281530 | 109714.44 | 153390.35 | 274795.4 | 1223833 |  |
| 105926.75 | 178434.77 | 284361.52 | 851863.1 | 97371.001 | 177333.04 | 287014.51 | 840815.3 |  |
| 154222.05 | 151882.35 | 306104.4 | 354577.4 | 141968.46 | 148618.68 | 305006.12 | 345979.1 |  |
| 136228.13 | 164322.96 | 300551.1 | 688528.2 | 129191.69 | 156820.85 | 298985.36 | 657022.6 |  |
| 224263.68 | 52864.433 | 277128.11 | 153111.7 | 213588.7 | 48983.68 | 273136.28 | 141178.3 |  |
| 150224.14 | 146498.5 | 296722.64 | 626399.8 | 142783.07 | 139598.26 | 295082.57 | 596196 |  |
| 157544.19 | 164789.58 | 322333.78 | 435077.7 | 145698.55 | 157921.98 | 317262.86 | 418287.3 |  |
| 129094.51 | 160599.41 | 289693.92 | 1184401 | 127986.36 | 149043.97 | 287905.94 | 1106724 |  |
| 135956.63 | 151380.67 | 287337.3 | 652302.1 | 128616.45 | 146634.88 | 287156.67 | 627390.4 |  |
| 119175.47 | 153006.52 | 272181.99 | 1348493 | 123887.24 | 139688.8 | 273412.84 | 1207929 |  |


| G18T_DV | G18T_RV | G18T_TV | G18T_RP | G18J_DV | G18J_RV | G18J_TV | G18J_RP |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 117096.44 | 162866.26 | 287720.32 | 1421844 | 114223.95 | 172449.69 | 286673.63 | 1495409 |
| 98799.608 | 182094.67 | 288175.91 | 1054439 | 96981.776 | 189071.18 | 286052.95 | 1091651 |
| 110969.81 | 184700.98 | 302755.53 | 1143565 | 108680.97 | 191538.44 | 300219.41 | 1188847 |
| 199966.73 | 84434.948 | 290344.06 | 209649.5 | 196901.26 | 91160.674 | 288061.93 | 228416.5 |
| 127685.56 | 142446.19 | 276255.64 | 664441.8 | 125115.83 | 149206.89 | 274322.72 | 699412 |
| 112723.92 | 155986.46 | 276360.02 | 1240142 | 110867.19 | 163172.27 | 274039.47 | 1304992 |
| 104954.46 | 176198.4 | 288151.46 | 829007.6 | 103452.29 | 182851.86 | 286304.15 | 861468.3 |
| 150997.32 | 149014.82 | 307406.71 | 344947.6 | 147335.39 | 157125.09 | 304460.48 | 366831.8 |
| 135477.75 | 158228.64 | 300901.36 | 660784.9 | 134430.14 | 164829.37 | 299259.51 | 691105.4 |
| 219570.69 | 51420.331 | 276410.28 | 148149.7 | 218245.07 | 56667.921 | 274913 | 162378.6 |
| 146655.43 | 146075.52 | 299447.52 | 613861.5 | 144673.18 | 150468.65 | 295141.83 | 641108.9 |
| 151087.17 | 162988.41 | 321424.94 | 427748.5 | 145921.07 | 171694.41 | 317615.48 | 453595.8 |
| 126287.66 | 156961.05 | 290165.93 | 1153836 | 129823.66 | 156878.63 | 286702.29 | 1170996 |
| 132670.39 | 150460.69 | 290028.68 | 639259.8 | 129047.47 | 157883.6 | 286931.06 | 670983.8 |
| 116406.62 | 150047.1 | 273213.22 | 1311916 | 117753.31 | 153063.87 | 270817.17 | 1350158 |


| EA51_DV | EA51_RV | EA51_TV | EA51_RP | EA52_DV | EA52_RV | EA52_TV | EA52_RP |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 139447.77 | 206525.6 | 345973.36 | 1480662 | 138588.48 | 190155.35 | 328743.83 | 1453524 |
| 115726.39 | 229375.54 | 345101.93 | 1079585 | 115083.53 | 212496.04 | 327579.56 | 1083956 |
| 125751.13 | 233891.58 | 359642.71 | 1198071 | 131110.5 | 210849.57 | 341960.07 | 1161874 |
| 217534.92 | 115436.85 | 332971.76 | 255879.8 | 227478.42 | 92996.177 | 320474.6 | 211183.4 |
| 147153.78 | 186535.48 | 333689.27 | 715636.7 | 151555.09 | 164597.83 | 316152.92 | 676834.8 |
| 135218.84 | 195788.37 | 331007.22 | 1297935 | 131926.93 | 182298.95 | 314225.87 | 1277789 |
| 118304.11 | 223745.68 | 342049.79 | 871813.2 | 121097.02 | 203598.22 | 324695.24 | 850665.4 |
| 165396.32 | 191869.63 | 357265.95 | 381507.6 | 171667.52 | 169980.6 | 341648.12 | 353779.3 |
| 150457.53 | 200704.85 | 351162.38 | 715946.3 | 155307.08 | 180325.38 | 335632.46 | 676352.2 |
| 244097.03 | 75015.674 | 319112.7 | 190002.5 | 246825.15 | 59596.178 | 306421.32 | 154201.3 |
| 169001.78 | 185444.62 | 354446.4 | 656858.1 | 169892.51 | 167095.96 | 336988.47 | 628022.6 |
| 169730.61 | 213027.96 | 382758.57 | 468906.3 | 175963.35 | 188497.99 | 364461.34 | 438367.5 |
| 157913.89 | 186839.16 | 344753.05 | 1154264 | 147477.61 | 180751.7 | 328229.3 | 1179705 |
| 152117.03 | 198359.02 | 350476.05 | 687941.7 | 155629.27 | 176687.45 | 332316.71 | 656026.7 |
| 140986.97 | 185968.93 | 326955.9 | 1352655 | 136726.29 | 173438.35 | 310164.64 | 1339058 |


| Deviation | \% Deviation | District Number | EA53 | \% G2OP_RV | \% EA51_RV | \% EA52_RV | Ideal Value |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 0 | 5 | 59.08879 | 0.592501 | 0.596941 | 0.57843 | 786630 |
| 0 | 0 | 2 | 66.50253 | 0.664908 | 0.66466 | 0.648685 | 786630 |
| 0 | 0 | 4 | 63.16555 | 0.607055 | 0.650344 | 0.616591 | 786630 |
| 0 | 0 | 3 | 30.33022 | 0.268625 | 0.346687 | 0.290183 | 786630 |
| 0 | 0 | 15 | 53.66239 | 0.521703 | 0.55901 | 0.520627 | 786630 |
| 0 | 0 | 9 | 59.61713 | 0.61931 | 0.591493 | 0.580153 | 786630 |
| 0 | 0 | 8 | 64.14315 | 0.62445 | 0.654132 | 0.627044 | 786630 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 50.90107 | 0.47689 | 0.53705 | 0.497531 | 786630 |
| 0 | 0 | 10 | 55.07358 | 0.528245 | 0.571544 | 0.53727 | 786630 |
| 0 | 0 | 11 | 20.19732 | 0.207601 | 0.235076 | 0.194491 | 786630 |
| 0 | 0 | 13 | 51.19096 | 0.523401 | 0.523195 | 0.495851 | 786630 |
| -1 | -0.00000 | 14 | 53.58486 | 0.533718 | 0.55656 | 0.517196 | 786630 |
| 0 | 0 | 6 | 56.48309 | 0.612565 | 0.541951 | 0.550687 | 786630 |
| -1 | -0.000001 | 7 | 54.88716 | 0.555086 | 0.56597 | 0.531684 | 786630 |
| 0 | 0 | 12 | 57.65107 | 0.612529 | 0.568789 | 0.559182 | 786630 |

District Label
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From: Springhetti, Blake
Sent: Monday, November 15, 2021 6:53 PM
To: Morrison, Christine; Disantis, Paul
Subject: content
Attachments: Substitute Senate Bill 258. HighlightBrief.docx; Substitute Senate Bill 258. HighlightBrief.pdf; Substitute Senate Bill 258.Memo.docx; Substitute Senate Bill 258.Memo.pdf

The highlight brief is skimmed down with non-constitutional facts added. The memo is the same document I sent earlier that has a lot more information.
Blake

## Substitute Senate Bill 258: A Congressional Redistricting Plan

## Highlights and Key Facts

County Splits

- Sub. Senate Bill 258 includes 76 whole counties, which is more whole counties than any Ohio congressionat plan approved in over 50 years.
- Sub. Senate Bill 258 splits less counties than the House Democrat proposal and Senate Democrat proposal.
- The Ohio Constitution allows for 23 split counties, this plan splits only 12 .
- The map approved in 2011 split 23 counties.
- Each congresslonal district that is not wholly contained in a single county includes at least one whole county within the congressional district boundary.


## County Subdivisions Splits

- Sub. Senate Bill 258 splits 14 subdivisions - the House Democrat plan split 21 subdivisions.
- Columbus: Approximately 70 percent of Columbus and the subdivisions circumscribed by Columbus, is within Congressional District 3 . Columbus is larger than one ratio of representation and must be split into at least two congressional districts.
- A higher percentage than both democrat proposals.
- Cleveland: First split in congressional plans dating back to the late 1800 s, Cleveland is wholly contained within Congressional District 11.
- Cincinnati: Wholly contained within one congressional district for the first time in over 100 years.
- Akron; Currently divided into two congressional districts, is wholly contained within Congressional District 13.
- Dayton: Wholly contained within Congressional District 10 and is parred with Wright-Patterson Air Force Base.
- Toledo: Currently divided into two congressional districts, is wholly contained within Congressional district 9 .
- Only three of the top one-hundred most populous cities are split.


## Partisan Leanings

- Sub. Senate Bill 258 could create six republican leaning congressional districts, seven competitive congressional districts and two democrat leaning congressional districts.
- Under this plan, in a good election year in which republicans recruit good candidates, republicans can win more than eleven of fifteen districts.
- Sub. Senate Bill 258 would create more competitive congressional districts than any proposal introduced in the General Assembly.
- In the House democrat proposal, eight of fifteen congressional districts would favor one political party by over 60 percent.
incumbents
- The House democrat proposal paired seven of twelve republican incumbents, clearly unduly disfavoring republican incumberts.
- Cincinnati must be whole and two incumbents live within city limits. Each proposed congressional plan introduced pairs those two incumbents.
- Otherwise, Sub. Senate Bill 258 would not pair any republican or democrat incumbent (that is assumed to be running for reelection to Congress) into a single congressional district.


## Highlights and Key Facts

## County Splits

- Sub. Senate Bill 258 includes 76 whole counties, which is more whole counties than any Ohio congressional plan approved in over 50 years.
- Sub. Senate Bill 258 splits less counties than the House Democrat proposal and Senate Democrat proposal.
- The Ohio Constitution allows for 23 split counties, this plan splits only 12.
- The map approved in 2011 split 23 counties.
- Each congressional district that is not wholly contained in a single county includes at least one whole county within the congressional district boundary.


## County Subdivisions Splits

* Sub. Senate Bll 258 splits 14 subdivisions - the House Democrat plan split 21 subdivisions.
- Columbus: Approximately 70 percent of Columbus and the subdivisions circumscribed by Columbus, is within Congressional District 3. Columbus is larger than one ratio of representation and must be split into at least two congressional districts.
- A higher percentage than both democrat proposals.
- Cleveland: First split in congressional plans dating back to the late 1800 s , Cleveland is wholly contained within Congressional District 11.
- Cincinnati: Wholly contamed within one congressional district for the first time in over 100 years.
- Akron: Currently divided into two congressional districts, is wholly contained within Congressional District 13.
- Dayton: Wholly contained within Congressional District 10 and is paired with Wright-Patterson Air Force Base.
- Toledo: Currently divided into two congressional districts, is wholly contained within Congressional district 9 .
- Only three of the top one-hundred most populous cities are split.


## Partisan Leanings

- Sub. Senate Bill 258 could create six republican leaning congressional districts, seven competitive congressional districts and two democrat leaning congressional districts.
- Under this plan, in a good election year in which republicans recruit good candidates, republicans can win more than eleven of fifteen districts.
- Sub. Senate Bill 258 would create more competitive congressional districts than any proposal introduced in the General Assembly.
- In the House democrat proposal, eight of fifteen congressional districts would favor one political party by over 60 percent.


## incumbents

- The House democrat proposal paired seven of twelve republican incumbents, clearly unduly disfavoring republican incumbents.
- Cincinnati must be whole and two incumbents live within city limits. Each proposed congressional plan introduced pairs those two incumbents.
- Otherwise, Sub. Senate Bill 258 would not pair any republican or democrat incumbent (that is assumed to be running for reelection to Congress) into a single congressional district.


## Substitute Senate Bill 258: A Congressional Redistricting Plan

## Highlights and Key Facts

For at least the next decade, Ohio will have fifteen Representatives to Congress, down from sixteen over the past decade. Ohio's population is $11,799,448$ and when divided by fifteen equals $786,629.8$. To achieve the lowest deviation from $786,629.8$, the plan consists of thirteen congressional districts with a population of 786,630 and two congressional districts (Congressional District 6 and Congressional District 12) with a population of 786,629 .

The Ohio Constitution clarifies county subdivision boundaries that perforate multiple counties are considered to be separate subdivisions and are not split. Example: Dublin City exists in three counties Franklin, Delaware and Union. All three portions of Dublin can be in three different congressional districts and is not considered to be split. The same applies to any noncontiguous portions of a subdivision.

It is important to note that the language referencing statewide partisan elections over the last decade in Article XI , section $6,(\mathrm{~B})$, part of the rules for drawing a legislative redistricting plan does not exist in Article XIX or apply to drawing congressional district plans. Substitute Senate Bill 258 complies with all mandatory requirements of Article XIX of the Ohio Constitution and the Voting Rights Act. The plan also achieves certain aspirational sections of Article XIX.

## Article XIX requirements for drawing Congressional Districts

- Article XIX, section $2,(B)(4)(a)$, specifies a congressional district plan shall attempt to include a "significant" portion of any municipal corporation or township in a single congressional district that qualifies with the criteria in that section, which today only applies to Columbus.
- In determining the population of Columbus, this section specifies that county subdivisions circumscribed by the jurisdiction are to be included in the population count.
- Article XIX, section $2(B)(4)(b)$, which today applies to Cleveland and Cincinnati, specifies that neither city may be split into more than one congressional district.
- Article XIX, section $2(B)(5)$ requires 65 counties be wholly contained in a congressional district and permits eighteen counties to be split once (meaning a portion of the county boundary is included in two congressional districts) and five counties may be split twice (meaning a portion of the county boundary is included in three congressional districts).
- Congressional districts shall be contiguous and compactness shall be attempted.
- If a congressional district contains territory within a split county, the territory within that county must be contiguous.
- No two congressional districts can share territory of more than one county, except for counties with population over 400,000 . This rule does not apply to the following counties - - Franklin, Cuyahoga, Hamilton, Summit, Montgomery and Lucas.
- The authority drawing congressional districts shall attempt to include at least one whole county in each congressional district, except for congressional districts wholly contained within one county or that cannot be drawn in that manner while complying with federal law.
- If passed with a simple majority vote, the plan shall not unduly favor or disfavor a political party or its incumbents.
- The plan shall not unduly split governmental units, giving preference to counties, and then townships and municipal corporations.


## County and Political Subdivision Splits

- Three counties - Franklin, Cuyahoga and Hamilton - all of which have a population greater than one ratio of representation - must be split due to population requirements.
- Sub. Senate Bill 258 includes 76 whole counties and 12 split counties, with two counties split between three congressional districts (Cuyahoga and Hamilton).
- The map approved in 2011 split 23 counties total, with 2 counties split between four congressional districts and 5 counties split between three congressional districts.
- Each congressional district that is not wholly contained in a single county includes at least one whole county within the congressional district boundary.
- Sub. Senate Bill 258 splits less counties than the House Democrat proposal, Senate Democrat proposal and the contest winner map.
- Sub. Senate Bill 258 splits 14 subdivisions - the House Democrat plan split 21 subdivisions.
- Sub. Senate Bill 258 splits the below counties and subdivisions
- Clark County: Mad River Township
- Cuyahoga County: Rocky River and Oakwood
- Fairfield County: Columbus (counts as a split because the city boundary within the county is split between two congressional districts)
- Franklin County: Columbus
- Hamilton County: Glendale and Sycamore Township
- Holmes County: Berlin Township
- Lorain County: Columbia Township
- Ross County: Union Township
- Shelby County: Green Township
- Summit County: Cuyahoga Falls
- Washington County: Belpre Township
- Wood County: Perrysburg Township


## Urban Cities

- Columbus; Approximately 70 percent of Columbus and the subdivisions circumscribed by Columbus, is within Congressional District 3, with a small portion within Congressional District 15. Columbus is larger than one ratio of representation and must be split into at least two congressional districts.
- A higher percentage than both democrat proposals.
- Cleveland: Wholly contained within Congressional District 11.
- Cincinnati: Wholly contained within Congressional District 1.
- Akron: Wholly contained within Congressional District 13.
- Dayton: Wholly contained within Congressional District 10.
- Toledo: Wholly contained within Congressional district 9.


## Ten-year Election Results, Partisan Leanings and Incumbents

Ten-year Election Results

- The below statistics were developed using statewide federal election data over the last ten years.
- Presidential races in 2012 (Obama vs Romney), 2016 (Trump vs Clinton) and 2020 (Trump vs Biden)
- US Senate Races in 2012 (Brown vs Mandel), 2016 (Portman vs Strickland) and 2018 (Brown vs Renacci)

|  |  |  | FED <br> Election |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| District | Population | Deviation | Data |
| 1 | 786,630 | 0 | 51.5 |
| 2 | 786,630 | 0 | 65.1 |
| 3 | 786,630 | 0 | 30.4 |
| 4 | 786,630 | 0 | 66.0 |
| 5 | 786,630 | 0 | 58.8 |
| 6 | 786,629 | -1 | 52.9 |
| 7 | 786,630 | 0 | 56.7 |
| 8 | 786,630 | 0 | 62.0 |
| 9 | 786,630 | 0 | 47.7 |
| 10 | 786,630 | 0 | 52.2 |
| 11 | 786,630 | 0 | 19.4 |
| 12 | 786,629 | -1 | 61.3 |
| 13 | 786,630 | 0 | 48.6 |
| 14 | 786,630 | 0 | 53.2 |
| 15 | 786,630 | 0 | 53.7 |

Note: Uses Federal election data from Presidential and U.S. Senate races between 2012 and 2020 Note: Prior election results do not guarantee future election outcomes

## Partisan Leanings

- Sub. Senate Bill 258 could create six republican leaning congressional districts, seven competitive congressional districts and two democrat leaning congressional districts.
- Congressional districts are considered competitive if the district leans politically toward one party by four percent or less.
- Congressional Districts $2,4,5,7,8$ and 12 could lean republican by 54 percent or more.
- Congressional Districts $1,6,9,10,13,14$, and 1.5 could lean $+/$ - four percent.
- Congressional Districts 3 and 11 could lean democrat by 54 percent or more.
- Sub. Senate Bill 258 would create more competitive congressional districts than any proposal introduced in the General Assembly.


## Incumbents

- Cincinnati must be whole and two incumbents live within city limits. Each proposed congressional plan introduced pairs those two incumbents.
* Otherwise, Sub. Senate Bill 258 would not pair any republican or democrat incumbent (that is assumed to be running for reelection to Congress) into a single congressional district.


## Substitute Senate Bill 258: A Congressional Redistricting Plan

## Highlights and Key Facts

For at least the next decade, Ohio will have fifteen Representatives to Congress, down from sixteen over the past decade. Ohio's population is $11,799,448$ and when divided by fifteen equals $786,629.8$. To achieve the lowest deviation from $786,629.8$, the plan consists of thirteen congressional districts with a population of 786,630 and two congressional districts (Congressional District 6 and Congressional District 12) with a population of 786,629 .

The Ohio Constitution clarifies county subdivision boundaries that perforate multiple counties are considered to be separate subdivisions and are not split. Example: Dublin City exists in three counties Franklin, Delaware and Union. All three portions of Dublin can be in three different congressional districts and is not considered to be split. The same applies to any noncontiguous portions of a subdivision.

It is important to note that the language referencing statewide partisan elections over the last decade in Article XI , section $6,(B)$, part of the rules for drawing a legislative redistricting plan does not exist in Article XIX or apply to drawing congressional district plans, Substitute Senate Bill 258 complies with all mandatory requirements of Article XIX of the Ohio Constitution and the Voting Rights Act. The plan also achieves certain aspirational sections of Article XIX.

## Article XIX requirements for drawing Congressional Districts

- Article XIX, section $2,(B)(4)(a)$, specifies a congressional district plan shall attempt to include a "significant" portion of any municipal corporation or township in a single congressional district that qualifies with the criteria in that section, which today only applies to Columbus.
- In determining the population of Columbus, this section specifies that county subdivisions circumscribed by the jurisdiction are to be included in the population count.
- Article XIX, section $2(B)(4)(b)$, which today applies to Cleveland and Cincinnati, specifies that neither city may be split into more than one congressional district.
- Article XIX, section $2(B)(5)$ requires 65 counties be wholly contained in a congressional district and permits eighteen counties to be split once (meaning a portion of the county boundary is included in two congressional districts) and five counties may be split twice (meaning a portion of the county boundary is included in three congressional districts).
- Congressional districts shall be contiguous and compactness shall be attempted.
- If a congressional district contains territory within a split county, the territory within that county must be contiguous.
- No two congressional districts can share territory of more than one county, except for counties with population over 400,000. This rule does not apply to the following counties -- Franklin, Cuyahoga, Hamilton, Summit, Montgomery and Lucas.
- The authority drawing congressional districts shall attempt to include at least one whole county in each congressional district, except for congressional districts wholly contained within one county or that cannot be drawn in that manner while complying with federal law.
- If passed with a simple majority vote, the plan shall not unduly favor or disfavor a political party or its incumbents.
- The plan shall not unduly split governmental units, giving preference to counties, and then townships and municipal corporations.


## County and Political Subdivision Splits

- Three counties - Franklin, Cuyahoga and Hamilton - all of which have a population greater than one ratio of representation - must be split due to population requirements.
- Sub. Senate Bill 258 includes 76 whole counties and 12 split counties, with two counties split between three congressional districts (Cuyahoga and Hamilton).
- The map approved in 2011 split 23 counties total, with 2 counties split between four congressional districts and 5 counties split between three congressional districts.
- Each congressional district that is not wholly contained in a single county includes at least one whole county within the congressional district boundary.
- Sub. Senate Bill 258 splits less counties than the House Democrat proposal, Senate Democrat proposal and the contest winner map.
- Sub. Senate Bill 258 splits 14 subdivisions - the House Democrat plan split 21 subdivisions.
- Sub. Senate Bill 258 splits the below counties and subdivisions
- Clark County: Mad River Township
- Cuyahoga County: Rocky River and Oakwood
- Fairfield County: Columbus (counts as a split because the city boundary within the county is split between two congressional districts)
- Franklin County: Columbus
- Hamilton County: Glendale and Sycamore Township
- Holmes County: Berlin Township
- Lorain County: Columbia Township
- Ross County: Union Township
- Shelby County: Green Township
- Summit County: Cuyahoga Falls
- Washington County: Belpre Township
- Wood County: Perrysburg Township


## Urban Cities

- Columbus: Approximately 70 percent of Columbus and the subdivisions circumscribed by Columbus, is within Congressional District 3 , with a small portion within Congressional District 15. Columbus is larger than one ratio of representation and must be split into at least two congressional districts.
- A higher percentage than both democrat proposals.
- Cleveland: Wholly contained within Congressional District 11.
- Cincinnati: Wholly contained within Congressional District 1.
- Akron: Wholly contained within Congressional District 13.
- Dayton: Wholly contained within Congressional District 10.
- Toledo: Wholly contained within Congressional district 9.


## Ten-year Election Results, Partisan Leanings and Incumbents

## Ten- year Election Results

- The below statistics were developed using statewide federal election data over the last ten years.
- Presidential races in 2012 (Obama vs Romney), 2016 (Trump vs Clinton) and 2020 (Trump vs Biden)
- US Senate Races in 2012 (Brown vs Mandel), 2016 (Portman vs Strickiand) and 2018 (Brown vs Renacci)

| District | Population | Deviation | FED Election <br> Data |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 786,630 | 0 | 51.5 |
| 2 | 786,630 | 0 | 65.1 |
| 3 | 786,630 | 0 | 30.4 |
| 4 | 786,630 | 0 | 66.0 |
| 5 | 786,630 | 0 | 58.8 |
| 6 | 786,629 | -1 | 52.9 |
| 7 | 786,630 | 0 | 56.7 |
| 8 | 786,630 | 0 | 62.0 |
| 9 | 786,630 | 0 | 47.7 |
| 10 | 786,630 | 0 | 52.2 |
| 11 | 786,630 | 0 | 19.4 |
| 12 | 786,629 | -1 | 61.3 |
| 13 | 786,630 | 0 | 48.6 |
| 14 | 786,630 | 0 | 53.2 |
| 15 | 786,630 | 0 | 53.7 |

Note: Uses Federal election data from Presidential and U.S. Senate races between 2012 and 2020 Note: Prior election results do not guarantee future election autcomes

## Partisan Leanings

- Sub. Senate Bill 258 could create six republican leaning congressional districts, seven competitive congressional districts and two democrat leaning congressional districts.
- Congressional districts are considered competitive if the district leans politically toward one party by four percent or less.
- Congressional Districts 2, 4, 5, 7, 8 and 12 could lean republican by 54 percent or more.
- Congressional Districts $1,6,9,10,13,14$, and 15 could lean $+/$ - four percent.
- Congressional Districts 3 and 11 could lean democrat by 54 percent or more.
- Sub. Senate Bill 258 would create more competitive congressional districts than any proposal introduced in the General Assembly.


## incumbents

- Cincinnati must be whole and two incumbents live within city limits. Each proposed congressional plan introduced pairs those two incumbents.
- Otherwise, Sub. Senate Bill 258 would not pair any republican or democrat incumbent (that is assumed to be running for reelection to Congress) into a single congressional district.


# Substitute Senate Bill 258: A Congressional Redistricting Plan 

## Highlights and Key Facts

For at least the next decade, Ohio will have fifteen Representatives to Congress, down from sixteen over the past decade. Ohio's population is $11,799,448$ and when divided by fifteen equals $786,629.8$. To achieve the lowest deviation from $786,629.8$, the plan consists of thirteen congressional districts with a population of 786,630 and two congressional districts (Congressional District 6 and Congressional District 12) with a population of 786,629 .

The Ohio Constitution clarifies county subdivision boundaries that perforate multiple counties are considered to be separate subdivisions and are not split. Example: Dublin City exists in three counties -Franklin, Delaware and Union. All three portions of Dublin can be in three different congressional districts and is not considered to be split. The same applies to any noncontiguous portions of a subdivision.

It is important to note that the language referencing statewide partisan elections over the last decade in Article $X I$, section $6,(B)$, part of the rules for drawing a legislative redistricting plan does not exist in Article XIX or apply to drawing congressional district plans. Substitute Senate Bill 258 complies with all mandatory requirements of Article XIX of the Ohio Constitution and the Voting Rights Act. The plan also achieves certain aspirational sections of Article XIX.

## Article XIX requirements for drawing Congressional Districts

- Article XIX, section $2,(B)(4)(a)$, specifies a congressional district plan shall attempt to include a "significant" portion of any municipal corporation or township in a single congressional district that qualifies with the criteria in that section, which today only applies to Columbus.
- In determining the population of Columbus, this section specifies that county subdivisions circumscribed by the jurisdiction are to be included in the population count.
- Article XIX, section $2(B)(4)(b)$, which today applies to Cleveland and Cincinnati, specifies that neither city may be split into more than one congressional district.
- Article XIX, section $2(B)(5)$ requires 65 counties be wholly contained in a congressional district and permits eighteen counties to be split once (meaning a portion of the county boundary is included in two congressional districts) and five counties may be split twice (meaning a portion of the county boundary is included in three congressional districts).
- Congressional districts shall be contiguous and compactness shall be attempted.
- If a congressional district contains territory within a split county, the territory within that county must be contiguous.
- No two congressional districts can share territory of more than one county, except for counties with population over 400,000 . This rule does not apply to the following counties --Franklin, Cuyahoga, Hamilton, Summit, Montgomery and Lucas.
- The authority drawing congressional districts shall attempt to include at least one whole county in each congressional district, except for congressional districts wholly contained within one county or that cannot be drawn in that manner while complying with federal law.
- If passed with a simple majority vote, the plan shall not unduly favor or disfavor a political party or its incumbents.
- The plan shall not unduly split governmental units, giving preference to counties, and then townships and municipal corporations.


## County and Political Subdivision Splits

- Three counties - Franklin, Cuyahoga and Hamilton - all of which have a population greater than one ratio of representation - must be split due to population requirements.
- Sub. Senate Bill 258 includes 76 whole counties and 12 split counties, with two counties split between three congressional districts (Cuyahoga and Hamilton).
- The map approved in 2011 split 23 counties total, with 2 counties split between four congressional districts and 5 counties split between three congressional districts.
- Each congressional district that is not wholly contained in a single county includes at least one whole county within the congressional district boundary.
- Sub. Senate Bill 258 splits less counties than the House Democrat proposal, Senate Democrat proposal and the contest winner map.
- Sub. Senate Bill 258 splits 14 subdivisions - the House Democrat plan split 21 subdivisions.
- Sub. Senate Bill 258 splits the below counties and subdivisions
- Clark County: Mad River Township
- Cuyahoga County: Rocky River and Oakwood
- Fairfield County: Columbus (counts as a split because the city boundary within the county is split between two congressional districts)
- Franklin County: Columbus
- Hamilton County: Glendale and Sycamore Township
- Holmes County: Berlin Township
- Lorain County: Columbia Township
- Ross County: Union Township
- Shelby County: Green Township
- Summit County: Cuyahoga Falls
- Washington County: Belpre Township
- Wood County: Perrysburg Township


## Urban Cities

- Columbus: Approximately 70 percent of Columbus and the subdivisions circumscribed by Columbus, is within Congressional District 3, with a small portion within Congressional District 15. Columbus is larger than one ratio of representation and must be split into at least two congressional districts.
- A higher percentage than both democrat proposals.
- Cleveland: Wholly contained within Congressional District 11.
- Cincinnati: Wholly contained within Congressional District 1.
- Akron: Wholly contained within Congressional District 13.
- Dayton: Wholly contained within Congressional District 10.
- Toledo: Wholly contained within Congressional district 9.

Ten-year Election Results, Partisan Leanings and Incumbents
Ten- year Election Results

- The below statistics were developed using statewide federal election data over the last ten years.
- Presidential races in 2012 (Obama vs Romney), 2016 (Trump vs Clinton) and 2020 (Trump vs Biden)
- US Senate Races in 2012 (Brown vs Mandel), 2016 (Portman vs Strickland) and 2018 (Brown vs Renacci)

| District | Population | Deviation | FED Election <br> Data |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 786,630 | 0 | 51.5 |
| 2 | 786,630 | 0 | 65.1 |
| 3 | 786,630 | 0 | 30.4 |
| 4 | 786,630 | 0 | 66.0 |
| 5 | 786,630 | 0 | 58.8 |
| 6 | 786,629 | -1 | 52.9 |
| 7 | 786,630 | 0 | 56.7 |
| 8 | 786,630 | 0 | 62.0 |
| 9 | 786,630 | 0 | 47.7 |
| 10 | 786,630 | 0 | 52.2 |
| 11 | 786,630 | 0 | 19.4 |
| 12 | 786,629 | -1 | 61.3 |
| 13 | 786,630 | 0 | 48.6 |
| 14 | 786,630 | 0 | 53.2 |
| 15 | 786,630 | 0 | 53.7 |

Note: Uses Federal election data from Presidential and U.S. Senate races between 2012 and 2020 Note: Prior election results do not guarantee future election outcomes

## Partisan Leanings

- Sub. Senate Bill 258 could create six republican leaning congressional districts, seven competitive congressional districts and two democrat leaning congressional districts.
- Congressional districts are considered competitive if the district leans politically toward one party by four percent or less.
- Congressional Districts $2,4,5,7,8$ and 12 could lean republican by 54 percent or more.
- Congressional Districts $1,6,9,10,13,14$, and 15 could lean $+/$ - four percent.
- Congressional Districts 3 and 11 could lean democrat by 54 percent or more.
- Sub. Senate Bill 258 would create more competitive congressional districts than any proposal introduced in the General Assembly.

Incumbents

- Cincinnati must be whole and two incumbents live within city limits. Each proposed congressional plan introduced pairs those two incumbents.
- Otherwise, Sub. Senate Bill 258 would not pair any republican or democrat incumbent (that is assumed to be running for reelection to Congress) into a single congressional district.

| CD \# | Population | Deviation | FED <br> 12 to 20 |  |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 786,630 | 0 | 51.5 |  |
| 2 | 786,630 | 0 | 65.1 |  |
| 3 | 786,630 | 0 | 30.4 |  |
| 4 | 786,630 | 0 | 66.0 |  |
| 5 | 786,630 | 0 | 58.8 |  |
| 6 | 786,629 | -1 | 52.9 | $+/-4 \%$ |
| 7 | 786,630 | 0 | 56.7 |  |
| 8 | 786,630 | 0 | 62.0 |  |
| 9 | 786,630 | 0 | 47.7 |  |
| 10 | 786,630 | 0 | 52.2 |  |
| 11 | 786,630 | 0 | 19.4 |  |
| 12 | 786,629 | -1 | 61.3 |  |
| 13 | 786,630 | 0 | 48.6 |  |
| 14 | 786,630 | 0 | 53.2 |  |
| 15 | 786,630 | 0 | 53.7 |  |

Note: Uses Federal election data from Presidential and U.S. Senate races between 2012 and 2020 Note: Prior election results do not guarantee future election outcomes
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## Substitute Senate Bill 258

## Highlights and Key Facts

For at least the next decade, Ohio will have fifteen Representatives to Congress, down from sixteen over the past decade. Ohio's population is $11,799,448$ and when divided by fifteen equals $786,629.8$. To achieve the lowest deviation from $786,629.8$, the plan before you consists of thirteen districts with a population of 786,630 and two districts (district 6 and district 12) with a population of 786,629 .

The Ohio Constitution clarifies subdivision boundaries that perforate multiple counties are considered to be separate subdivisions and are not split. Example: Dublin City exists in three counties - Franklin, Delaware and Union counties. All three pieces of Dublin can be in three different districts and is not considered to be split. The same applies to any noncontiguous portions of a subdivision.

Substitute Senate Bill 258 Complies with all mandatory requirements of Article XIX of the Ohio Constitution. The plan also achleves certain aspirational sections of Article XIX.

## Requirements of Article XIX in drawing Congressional Districts

- Article $X I X$, section $2,(B)(4)(a)$, specifies a congressional district plan shall attempt to include a "significant" portion of any municipal corporation or township in a single district that qualifies with the criteria in that section, which today only applies to Columbus.
- In determining the population of Columbus, this section specifies that county subdivisions circumscribed by the jurisdiction are to be included in the population count.
- Approximately 70 percent of Columbus is within one congressional district, a percentage cited as significant in public testimony before the Ohio Redistricting Commission.
- Article XIX, section $2(B)(4)(b)$, which today applies to Cleveland and Cincinnati, specifies that neither city may be split into more than one congressional district.
- Section 2, (B)(5) of Article XIX requires 65 counties be wholly contained in a congressional district and permits eighteen counties to be split once (meaning a portion of the county boundary is included in two districts) and five counties may be split twice (meaning a portion of the county boundary is included in three districts).
- Districts shall be compact and contiguous.
- If a district contains territory within a split county, any territory within that county must be contiguous.
- No two districts can share territory of more than one county, except for counties with population over 400,000 . This rule does not apply to the following counties $n-$ Franklin, Cuyahoga, Hamilton, Summit, Montgomery and Lucas.
- The authority drawing districts shall attempt to Include at least one whole county in each congressional district, except for district wholly contained within one county or than cannot be drawn in that manner while complying with federal law.
- If passed with a simple majority vote, the plan shall not unduly favor or disfavor a political party or its incumbents.
- The plan shall not unduly split governmental units, giving preference to countles and then townships and municipal corporations.


## County and Political Subdivision Splits

- Three countles - Franklin, Cuyahoga and Hamilton - all of which have a population greater than one ratio of representation -- must be split due to population requirements.
- Sub. Senate Bill 258 includes 76 whole counties and 12 split counties, with two countles split between three districts (Cuyahoga and Hamilton).
- The map approved in 2011 split 23 counties total, with 2 counties split between four districts and 5 counties split between three districts.
- Each congressional district that is not wholly contained in a single county, includes at least one whole county within the district boundary.
- Sub. Senate Bill 258 splits less counties than the House Democrat proposal, Senate Democrat proposal and the Fair Districts Ohio contest winner map.
- Sub. Senate Bill 258 splits 14 subdivisions - the House Democrat plan split 21 subdivisions.
- Three of Ohio's 100 most populous citles are split (Columbus, Cuyahoga Falls and Rocky River)
- Sub. Senate BIII 258 splits the below counties and subdivisions
o Clark County: Mad River Township
- Cuyahoga County: Rocky River and Oakwood
- Falrfield County: Columbus (counts as a split because the city boundary within the county is split between two districts)
- Franklin County: Columbus
- Hamilton County: Glendale and Sycamore Township
- Holmes County: Berlin Township
- Lorain County: Columbia Township
- Ross County: Union Township
- Shelby County: Green Township
- Summit County: Cuyahoga Falls
o Washington County: Belpre Township
- Wood County: Perrysburg Township


## Urban Cities

- A significant portion of Columbus is within Congressional District 3, with a small portion within Congressional District 15. Columbus is larger than one ratio of representation and must be split into at least two districts.
- Cleveland is wholly contained within Congressional District 11.
- Cincinnati is wholly contained within Congressional District 1.
- Akron is wholly contained within Congressional District 13.
- Dayton in wholly contained within Congressional District 10.
- Toledo is wholly contained within Congressional district 9 .


## 10-year Election Results, Partisan Makeup and Incumbents

10 year Election Results

- The below statistics were developed using statewide federal election results and geography over the last ten years.
o Presidential races in 2012 (Obama vs Romney), 2016 (Trump vs Clinton) and 2020 (Trump vs Biden)
- US Senate Races in 2012 (Brown vs Mandel), 2016 (Portman vs Strickland) and 2018 (Brown vs Renacci)
- Include districts specific political measurements here?????

Partisan Makeup

- Sub. Senate Bill 258 could create six republican leaning districts, seven competitive districts and two democrat leaning districts.
- Congressional districts are considered competitive if the district could have favored or disfavored one polltical party by $3.75 \%$ or less.
- Congressional Districts 2, 4, 5, 7, 8 and 12 could lean republican by $53.75 \%$ or more.
- Congressional Districts $1,6,9,10,13,14$, and 15 could lean $+/-3.75 \%$.
- Congressional Districts 3 and 11 could lean democrat by $53.75 \%$ or more.
- Sub. Senate Bill 258 would create more competitive districts than any proposal introduced in the General Assembly.
- Elght of fifteen congressional districts in the House Democrat proposed map could favor one political party by over 60 percent.

Incumbents

- Cincinnati must be whole and two incumbents live within city limits. Each proposed congressional plan introduced pairs those two incumbents.
- Otherwise, sub. Senate Bill 258 would not pair any returning democrat or republican incumbent into a single district.

FED

| CD \# | Population | Deviation | $\mathbf{1 2}$ to $\mathbf{2 0}$ |  |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 786,630 | 0 | 51.5 |  |
| 2 | 786,630 | 0 | 65.1 |  |
| 3 | 786,630 | 0 | 30.4 |  |
| 4 | 786,630 | 0 | 66.0 |  |
| 5 | 786,630 | 0 | 58.8 | 7 Competitive Districts |
| 6 | 786,629 | -1 | 52.9 | $+/-4 \%$ |
| 7 | 786,630 | 0 | 56.7 |  |
| 8 | 786,630 | 0 | 62.0 |  |
| 9 | 786,630 | 0 | 47.7 |  |
| 10 | 786,630 | 0 | 52.2 |  |
| 11 | 786,630 | 0 | 19.4 |  |
| 12 | 786,629 | -1 | 61.3 |  |
| 13 | 786,630 | 0 | 48.6 |  |
| 14 | 786,630 | 0 | 53.2 |  |
| 15 | 786,630 | 0 | 53.7 |  |

Note: Uses Federal election data from Presidential and U.S. Senate races between 2012 and 2020 Note: Prior election results do not guarantee future election outcomes

| CD 7 Gibbs 56.7 | CD 9 Kaptur | 47.7 | CD 11 Brown | 19.4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CD 5 Latta 58.8 | CD 13 Gonz/open | 48.6 | CD 3 Beatty | 30.4 |
| CD 12 Balder 61.3 | CD 1 Chabot | 51.5 |  |  |
| CD 8 Davids 62.0 | CD 10 Turner | 52.2 |  |  |
| CD 2 Wenst 65.1 | CD 6 Johnson | 52.9 |  |  |
| CD 4 Jordan 66.0 | CD 14 Joyce | 53.2 |  |  |


|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { ALL. } \\ 16 \text { to } 20 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PRES R/D } \\ & 20 \text { only } \end{aligned}$ | PRES <br> R/D/I <br> 20 only | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FED } \\ & 12 \text { to } 20 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 786,630 |  | 51.5 | 48.37 | 49.1 | 51.5 |
| 2 | 786,630 |  | 66.7 | 67.26 | 68.2 | 65.1 |
| 3 | 786,630 |  | 29.7 | 26.41 | 26.8 | 30.4 |
| 4 | 786,630 |  | 67.3 | 65.99 | 67.0 | 66.0 |
| 5 | 786,630 |  | 60.8 | 61.85 | 62.8 | 58.8 |
| 6 | 786,629 | -1 | 56.3 | 61.01 | 61.8 | 52.9 |
| 7 | 786,630 |  | 57.9 | 58.44 | 59.3 | 56.7 |
| 8 | 786,630 |  | 62.5 | 60.67 | 61.6 | 62.0 |
| 9 | 786,630 |  | 50.3 | 51.38 | 52.3 | 47.7 |
| 10 | 786,630 |  | 53.3 | 50.91 | 51.8 | 52.2 |
| 11 | 786,630 |  | 19.8 | 20.30 | 20.5 | 19.4 |
| 12 | 786,629 | -1 | 63.1 | 65.32 | 66.3 | 61.3 |
| 13 | 786,630 |  | 49.2 | 49.03 | 49.6 | 48.6 |
| 14 | 786,630 |  | 54.1 | 55.01 | 55.7 | 53.2 |
| 15 | 786,630 |  | 53.9 | 52.11 | 52.9 | 53.8 |

Competitive CDs (+/-4\%) using FED data Competitive CDs (+/-4\%) using all data

| 7 | McColley (SB 258) | 5 | McColley (SB 258) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | Yuko Sykes (SB 237) Sept 29 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ | 5 | Yuko Sykes (SB 237) Sept 29 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ |
| 5 | Yuko Sykes (SB 237) Nov 10 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ | 4 | Yuko Sykes (SB 237) Nov 10 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ |
| 5 | House Dem (HB 483) | 5 | House Dem (HB 483) |
| 2 | Current Map | 2 | Current Map |
|  | itive CDs (+/-3.75\%) using FED data | Competitive CDs (+/-3.75\%) using all data |  |
| 7 | McColley (SB 258) | 4 | McColley (SB 258) |
| 5 | Yuko Sykes (SB 237) Sept 29 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ | 4 | Yuko Sykes (SB 237) Sept 29 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ |
| 5 | Yuko Sykes (SB 237) Nov 10 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ | 4 | Yuko Sykes (SB 237) Nov 10 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ |
| 5 | House Dem (HB 483) | 5 | House Dem (HB 483) |
| 0 | Current Map | 2 | Current Map |


| Competitive CDs (+/-3.5\%) using FED data |  | Competitive CDs ( $+/-3.5 \%$ ) using all data |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 6 | McColley (SB 258) | 4 | McColley (SB 258) |
| 4 | Yuko Sykes (SB 237) Sept 29 |  |  |
| 4 | Yuko Sykes (SB 237) Nov 10 |  |  |
| 4 | House Dem (HB 483) | 4 | Yuko Sykes (SB 237) Sept 29 |
| 0 | Current Map | 4 | Yuko Sykes (SB 237) Nov 10 |
|  |  | 4 | House Dem (HB 483) |
|  |  | 1 | Current Map |


| Competitive CDs (+/-3\%) using FED data | Competitive CDs (+/-3\%) using all data |
| :---: | :---: |
| 5 McColley (SB 258) | 3 McColley (SB 258) |
| 4 Yuko Sykes (SB 237) Sept 29 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ | 3 Yuko Sykes (SB 237) Sept 29 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ |
| 4 Yuko Sykes (SB 237) Nov 10 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ | 3 Yuko Sykes (SB 237) Nov 10 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ |
| 4 House Dem (HB 483) | 2 House Dem (HB 483) |
| 0 Current Map | 1 Current Map |
| Competitive CDs (+/-2.5\%) using FED data | Competitive CDs (+/-2.5\%) using all data |
| 4 McColley (SB 258) | 3 McColley (SB 258) |
| 3 Yuko Sykes (SB 237) Sept 29 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ | 2 Yuko Sykes (SB 237) Sept 29 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ |
| 3 Yuko Sykes (SB 237) Nov 10 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ | 2 Yuko Sykes (SB 237) Nov 10 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ |
| 3 House Dem (HB 483) | 1 House Dem (HB 483) |
| 0 Current Map | 0 Current Map |

Competitive CDs (+/-2\%) using FED data Competitive CDs (+/-2\%) using all data

| 2 | McColley (SB 258) | 3 | McColley (SB 258) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | Yuko Sykes (SB 237) Sept 29 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ | 2 | Yuko Sykes (SB 237) Sept 29 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ |
| 3 | Yuko Sykes (SB 237) Nov 10 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ | 2 | Yuko Sykes (SB 237) Nov 10 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ |
| 2 | House Dem (HB 483) | 0 | House Dem (HB 483) |
| 0 | Current Map | 0 | Current Map |
|  | itive CDs (+/-1.5\%) using FED data | Competitive CDs (+/-1.5\%) using all data |  |
| 2 | McColley (SB 258) | 2 | McColley (SB 258) |
| 2 | Yuko Sykes (SB 237) Sept 29 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ | 1 | Yuko Sykes (SB 237) Sept 29 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ |
| 2 | Yuko Sykes (SB 237) Nov 10 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ | 1 | Yuko Sykes (SB 237) Nov 10 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ |
| 1 | House Dem (HB 483) | 0 | House Dem (HB 483) |
| 0 | Current Map | 0 | Current Map |
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Thomas A. Farr, tom.farr@nelsonmullins.com
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Alyssa M. Riggins, alyssa.riggins@nelsonmullins.com
Counsel for Respondents House Speaker Robert R. Cupp and Senate President Matt Huffman
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