# Regina C. Adams, et al., Case No. 2021-1428 Relators, v. **Original Action Filed Pursuant to** Ohio Const., Art. XIX, Sec. 3(A) Governor Mike De Wine, et al., Respondents. League of Women Voters of Ohio, et al., Case No. 2021-1449 Relators, v. **Original Action Filed Pursuant to** Ohio Const., Art. XIX, Sec. 3(A) Governor Mike DeWine, et al., Respondents.

#### IN THE SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

#### AFFIDAVIT OF FREDA LEVENSON EXHIBITS APPENDIX E -A. PHILIP RANDOLPH INSTITUTE V. SMITH DEPOSITIONS Volume 1 of 3

(Counsel listing on next page)

Abha Khanna (PHV 2189-2021) Ben Stafford (PHV 25433-2021) ELIAS LAW GROUP, LLP 1700 Seventh Ave., Suite 2100 Seattle, WA 98101 (206) 656-0176 akhanna@elias.law

Aria C. Branch (PHV 25435-2021) Jyoti Jasrasaria (PHV 25401-2021) Spencer W. Klein (PHV 25432-2021) Harleen K. Gambhir (PHV 25587-2021) ELIAS LAW GROUP, LLP 10 G St. NE, Suite 600 Washington, DC 20002 (202) 968-4490 abranch@elias.law

Donald J. McTigue (0022849) *Counsel of Record* Derek S. Clinger (0092075) McTIGUE & COLOMBO, LLC 545 East Town Street Columbus, OH 43215 (614) 263-7000 dmctigue@electionlawgroup.com

Counsel for Adams Relators

Freda J. Levenson (0045916) *Counsel of Record* ACLU OF OHIO FOUNDATION, INC. 4506 Chester Avenue Cleveland, OH 44103 (614) 586-1972 x125 flevenson@acluohio.org

David J. Carey (0088787) ACLU OF OHIO FOUNDATION, INC. 1108 City Park Avenue, Suite 203 Columbus, OH 43206 (614) 586-1972 x2004 dcarey@acluohio.org Dave Yost Ohio Attorney General

Bridget C. Coontz (0072919) Julie M. Pfeiffer (0069762) Michael A. Walton (0092201) Assistant Attorneys General Constitutional Offices Section 30 E. Broad Street, 16th Floor Columbus, OH 43215 (614) 466-2872 bridget.coontz@ohioago.gov

Counsel for Respondent Ohio Secretary of State Frank LaRose

Phillip J. Strach (PHV 25444-2021) Thomas A. Farr (PHV 25461-2021) John E. Branch, III (PHV 25460-2021) Alyssa M. Riggins (PHV 25441-2021) NELSON MULLINS RILEY & SCARBOROUGH, LLP 4140 Parklake Ave., Suite 200 Raleigh, NC 27612 (919) 329-3812 phil.strach@nelsonmullins.com

Counsel for Respondents House Speaker Bob Cupp and Senate President Matt Huffman Julie A. Ebenstein (PHV 25423-2021) AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION 125 Broad Street New York, NY 10004 (212) 519-7866 jebenstein@aclu.org

Robert D. Fram (PHV 25414-2021) Donald Brown (PHV 25480-2021) David Denuyl (PHV 25452-2021) Joshua González (PHV 25424-2021) Juliana Goldrosen (PHV 25193-2021) COVINGTON & BURLING, LLP Salesforce Tower 415 Mission Street, Suite 5400 San Francisco, CA 94105-2533 (415) 591-6000 rfram@cov.com

James Smith\*\* L. Brady Bender (PHV 25192-2021) Sarah Suwanda\*\* Alex Thomson (PHV 25462-2021) COVINGTON & BURLING, LLP One CityCenter 850 Tenth Street, NW Washington, DC 20001-4956 (202) 662-6000 jmsmith@cov.com

Anupam Sharma (PHV 25418-2021) Yale Fu (PHV 25419-2021) COVINGTON & BURLING, LLP 3000 El Camino Real 5 Palo Alto Square, 10th Floor Palo Alto, CA 94306-2112 (650) 632-4700 asharma@cov.com

Counsel for League of Women Voters Relators

\*\* Pro Hac Vice Motion Forthcoming

#### **EXHIBITS APPENDIX E - A. PHILLIP RANDOLPH INST. V. SMITH DEPOSITIONS**

#### **INDEX OF DOCUMENTS**

#### Vol. 1 of 3

<u>ITEM</u>	DESCRIPTION	BATES RANGE
1	Deposition Transcript of Clark Bensen	DEPO_SDOH_0001 - 182

#### Case: 1:18-cv-00357-TSB-KNM-MHW Doc #: 230-4 Filed: 02/20/19 Page: 1 of 182 PAGEID #: 12151 Page 1 1 2 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 3 FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO 4 OHIO A. PHILLIP RANDOLPH ) 5 INSTITUTE, et al., ) 6 Plaintiffs, 7 v. 8 RYAN SMITH, Speaker of the Ohio House of Representatives,) 9 et al., ) ) 10 Defendants. ) -----) 11 12 13 Friday, December 7, 2018 14 Deposition of CLARK BENSEN, taken at the offices 15 of Covington & Burling, 850 Tenth Street N.W., 16 Washington, D.C., beginning at 9:01 a.m., before 17 18 Nancy J. Martin, a Registered Merit Reporter, 19 Certified Shorthand Reporter. 20 21 22 23 24 25 Job No. 151503

01		
	5/	

		Page 2
1	CLARK BENSEN	
2	APPEARANCES:	
3		
4	COVINGTON & BURLING	
	BY: ROBERT FRAM, ESQ.	
5	PETER RECHTER, ESQ.	
	850 Tenth Street N.W.	
6	Washington, D.C. 20001	
	Representing Plaintiffs	
7		
8		
9		
10	BAKER & HOSTETLER	
	BY: KATHERINE MCKNIGHT, ESQ.	
11	1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.	
	Washington, D.C. 20036	
12	Representing the Deponent	
13		
14		
15		
	OGLETREE, DEAKINS, NASH, SMOAK & STEWART	
16	BY: ALYSSA RIGGINS, ESQ.	
	4208 Six Forks Road	
17	Raleigh, North Carolina 27609	
	Representing the Legislative Defendants	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
_		

Case: 1:18-cv-00357-TSB-KNM-MHW Doc #: 230-4 Filed: 02/20/19 Page: 3 of 182 PAGEID #: 12153				
1			Page 3	
1 2		INDEX		
			PAGE	
3				
4	EXAMINATION BY MR.	FRAM	6	
5		EXHIBITS		
6	NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	MARKED	
7	Exhibit 1	Curriculum Vitae of	15	
0		Hamilton Bensen, 16 pages		
8	Exhibit 2	Deven Deint Election Dete	41	
9	EXILIDIU Z	Power Point, Election Data for Redistricting, 7 pages	41	
10	Exhibit 3	Invoice No. KJ041, October	68	
		4, 2011, Bensen_0000013, 1		
11		page		
12	Exhibit 4	Invoice No. LB161,	70	
13		Bensen_000001415, 2 pages		
14	Exhibit 5	E-mail string,	73	
		BRADEN000657, 1 page		
15				
16	Exhibit 6	E-mail string, JUDY_0001692	77	
10	Exhibit 7	1696, 5 pages E-mail string,	82	
		BRADEN000782, 1 page	02	
18				
	Exhibit 8	E-mail string,	86	
19		Bensen_0000033 - 38, 7		
20		pages		
	Exhibit 9	E-mail dated September 16,	89	
21		2011, Bensen_0000044, 1		
		page		
22	- 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1		0.1	
23	Exhibit 10	E-mail string, 1 page	91	
	Exhibit 11	Example of Calculations for	95	
24		the Election Averages EA11		
0.5		to EA16, Bensen_000004 -		
25		-000006, 3 pages		

Cas	e: 1:18-cv-003	357-TSB-KNM-MH	W Doc #: 230-4 Filed: 02/20/19 Page: 4 of 1 12154	82 PAGEID #:
				Page 4
1				
2			ΕΧΗΙΒΙΤS	
3			(CONTINUED)	
4	NUMBER		DESCRIPTION	MARKED
5	Exhibit	12	Meta Data, Bensen_000004,	96
C			1 page	
6	Exhibit	1 0	Screen shot of zip file,	118
7	EXILIDIC	T.2	Bates No.	110
-			BLESSING0013211Polidata_201	
8			8.10.04, 1 page	
9	Exhibit	14	File Screen Shot, "11274 >	118
			Document Production > Third	
10			Party > Mann,	
			Heather2018.10.04 >	
11			BLESSING0013211 > Polidata	
1.0			> Clark07-24-11, 1 page	
12		1 -		110
13	Exhibit	12	Screenshot, BLESSING0013211 Polidata_Clark	118
10			07-24-11_ccBlock.cdf,	
14			7 pages	
15	Exhibit	16	Spreadsheet,	131
			BENSEN_0000084, 5 pages	
16				
	Exhibit	17	Example of Calculations for	139
17			Election Averages EA11 to	
1.0			EA16, Bensen_000001 through	
18		1.0	-3, 3 pages	1.4.0
19	Exhibit	18	Maps, BLESSING0013211	142
20			[Polidata > Clark 07-24-11 ccCounty. cdf], 7 pages	
21	Exhibit	19	September 14, 2011,	157
		19	Bensen_0000042, 1 page	107
22				
	Exhibit	20	E-mail string,	160
23			LWVOH_00013802 - 19308,	
			8 pages	
24				
25	Exhibit	21	E-mail string,	161
40			Bensen_000004748,	

			Page
1			
2		EXHIBITS	
3		(CONTINUED)	
4	NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	MARKED
5	Exhibit 22	E-mail dated November 9,	162
		2011, Bensen_0000063 - 64,	
6		2 pages	
7	Exhibit 23	Spreadsheet,	164
		BLESSING00012553, 2 pages	
8			
	Exhibit 24	Spreadsheet,	164
9		BLESSING0013212, 2 pages	
10	Exhibit 25	"december14 >	165
		DVW_aggset_ohcd_2011	
11		_revised-hb369-december14.xl	ls.
12	Exhibit 26	Measures of Compactness,	168
		BENSEN_000086, 1 page	
13			
	Exhibit 27	E-mail string,	170
14		Bensen_0000075 - 76,	
		2 pages	
15			
	Exhibit 28	al Shape Files Test 2_HB369	171
16		as Passed Test 2 shp],	
		BENSEN_0000086, 2 pages	
17			
	Exhibit 29	E-mail string, BRADEN000683	174
18		84, 2 pages	
19	Exhibit 30	E-mail dated December 15,	176
		2011, Bensen_0000077,	
20		1 page	
21	Exhibit 31	E-mail dated December 15,	176
		2011, Follow-up Completed,	
22		Bensen-000078., 1 page	
23			
24			
25			
1			

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Case: 1:18-cv-00357-TSB-KNM-MHW Doc #: 230-4 Filed: 02/20/19 Page: 6 of 182	PAGEID #:
12156	

6

	Page
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	WASHINGTON, D.C., FRIDAY, DECEMBER 7, 2018; 9:01 A.M.
3	
4	
5	CLARK BENSEN,
6	having been first duly sworn,
7	was examined and testified as follows:
8	
9	EXAMINATION
10	BY MR. FRAM:
11	Q. Would you please state your full name for the
12	record.
13	A. Clark Bensen, C-l-a-r-k, B-e-n-s-e-n.
14	Q. Do you have a middle initial?
15	А. Н.
16	Q. It turns out to be relevant on some
17	documents. That's the only reason why I'm being picky
18	there.
19	My name is Robert Fram. My middle initial is
20	D, but I don't know if that matters. I represent the
21	plaintiffs.
22	MR. FRAM: And do the other folks want to say
23	who they are?
24	MS. McKNIGHT: Good morning. Kate McKnight
25	from Baker Hostetler on behalf of Mr. Bensen.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Page	7
------	---

1	CLARK BENSEN
2	MS. RIGGINS: Alyssa Riggins from Ogletree
3	Deakins on behalf of the legislative defendants.
4	MR. RECHTER: Peter Rechter, Covington &
5	Burling for plaintiffs.
б	
7	EXAMINATION
8	BY MR. FRAM:
9	Q. Mr. Bensen, have you been deposed before?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. That will help move things along a little
12	bit. We won't have to go through some of the litany
13	of how depositions work with you. About how many
14	times?
15	A. A dozen either way.
16	Q. And any of them concern redistricting?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. And any concern Congressional redistricting?
19	A. It's hard for me to say. It's kind of a mix
20	of legislative or Congressional.
21	Q. Fair enough.
22	Can you please tell us the depositions that
23	you gave regarding redistricting?
24	A. It would be much easier to read through my
25	CV, but they started in the '90's. So I must have

	Page 8
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	done three or four in the '90's and five or six in the
3	2000s. I think in the 2010 cycle I've only done two
4	depositions. One was Congressional, and one was a
5	local election.
6	Q. So I'm just going to focus on the 2010, 2011
7	cycle.
8	A. Yeah.
9	Q. I'm sorry. Did one of those concern
10	Congressional?
11	A. Well, this.
12	Q. Just this one. Besides this one?
13	A. I'm pretty sure it's been a long decade.
14	I think the only deposition after 2010 was a local
15	legislative.
16	Q. Have any of the other depositions concerned
17	Ohio?
18	A. I was deposed in the 1990 cycle in Ohio,
19	which was, I believe, legislative. And I think
20	yeah, I was deposed in 2000, which was Ohio, which I
21	believe was legislative also. I don't think I was in
22	2000.
23	Q. Do you remember was do you remember
24	anything about the claim in that case, whether it was
25	a Voting Rights Act or a racial discrimination case or

	Page 9
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	a parse gerrymander or anything about it?
3	A. Well, not through a partisan gerrymander.
4	The 2000 case was a racial case, Voter Rights Act, and
5	'90 cycle I think actually that was mostly a Voting
6	Rights Act too.
7	REPORTER MARTIN: A what?
8	THE WITNESS: Voting Rights Act, VRA, we'll
9	be saying that several times.
10	BY MR. FRAM:
11	Q. Sure. Any reason you can't give complete and
12	truthful testimony today, such as medication?
13	A. No.
14	Q. Now, I know well, let me back up. You
15	were served with a document subpoena in this case; is
16	that right?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. What did you do to comply with it?
19	A. I looked at I have multiple computers.
20	Three basic computers that were running at the time.
21	I have only one that was around during the 2010 cycle.
22	So I looked through that for documents and E-mail on
23	that machine.
24	Q. Okay. What E-mail addresses did you look at,
25	please?

1	CLARK BENSEN
2	A. The only one I would have used at the time, I
3	think was my normal one. It was just
4	CLARK@POLIDATA.ORG. P-o-l-i-d-a-t-a. That's on my
5	card there (indicating).
6	Q. Do you have a Gmail account?
7	A. I do now, but I didn't then.
8	Q. Did you have any other E-mail accounts back
9	in 2010, 2011?
10	A. I might have. I mean they were involved in
11	POLIDATA.ORG. I don't like have a data at
12	POLIDATA.ORG, but I suspect almost everything I did
13	would have just been the CLARK@POLYDATA.ORG. It's all
14	on the same Outlook system.
15	Q. And you looked at that E-mail account?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. Did you find some E-mail from that in the
18	2010, 2011 time frame?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. Okay. You provide those to counsel?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. Okay. Let's back up for a minute.
23	For a while you were in some direct
24	communication. You were responding to subpoenas, it
25	seemed like, on your own and not through counsel, and

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

11

	Page
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	I can't I don't know whether the documents, were
3	they produced through counsel, or did you produce them
4	directly yourself?
5	A. The documents were I sent the documents
б	originally to the AG's office so they could figure out
7	what was privileged since they were the ultimate
8	client. So they're the ones that wrote up the
9	privilege log that we discussed.
10	Q. Got it. And the actual documents themselves,
11	did you ship them out on your own, or was that sent by
12	the AG's office?
13	A. No, I did that.
14	Q. Do you know if the AG's office withheld
15	documents on the basis of anything other than
16	privilege?
17	A. I don't know.
18	Q. What did you do, if anything, to prepare for
19	today's deposition?
20	A. I glanced at the subpoena.
21	Q. Anything else?
22	A. I looked up on the Internet who pays for
23	depositions in such cases, who was going to pay me.
24	And I oh, I gave a quick review to the calculation
25	of the PVI. That's P-V-I.

	Page
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	Q. Why don't we say for the record what those
3	letters stand for, please.
4	A. Partisan voting index.
5	Q. And what did you do to look up the meaning of
6	PVI?
7	A. I just wanted to remind myself as to whether
8	if a plus was AD plus or an R plus. It's just a
9	technical thing, which I always get confused.
10	Q. And what did you find?
11	A. I think I found that fact contrary to normal
12	situation. If it was a plus 1, that would be in favor
13	of the Democrats. If it was a minus 1, that would be
14	in favor of the Republicans.
15	Q. Got it. And while we're on the subject,
16	because we'll come back to it later just to keep it
17	simple, what does do you have an understanding of
18	how a PVI number is calculated?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. How is it calculated?
21	A. We'd just compare the district see, the
22	glare is already hitting. You just compare two
23	numbers. It's the district percentage of the Democrat
24	two-party vote, Democrat portion of the two-party vote
25	to the nationwide Democrat two-party vote, and you

1	CLARK BENSEN
2	take that difference, and it's a question of whether
3	it's a plus or minus as to whether like, again,
4	minus 1 would have been a D plus 1, but a plus 1 would
5	have been R plus 1.
б	Q. So if you're looking at a particular
7	Congressional district, let's say it has a we'll
8	say D plus 1 scoring to it, what would that tell us
9	about that district?
10	A. It would say that on average an average of
11	the previous two Presidential elections, that district
12	was 1 point higher than the nationwide Democratic
13	percentage. So it's an Obama election. So it would
14	be that Obama ran 1 point higher in that district than
15	he did nationwide.
16	Q. Right. So it's one point higher for the
17	Presidential elections in that district the last two
18	averages; is that right?
19	A. Yes. Well, yeah. Whether it's average or
20	calculated, but yes, it's the last two.
21	Q. Let's break that down a little bit.
22	Is it your understanding that PVI looks at
23	the preceding two Presidential elections?
24	A. Yes, that's correct.
25	Q. Okay. And now the question of what they do

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	CLARK BENSEN
2	with those two. Do they average them or do they have
3	some other way of using those two election results?
4	A. There are several ways to calculate it, but
5	in essence, they're the same number. It's just a
6	question of concept. To some people it makes more
7	sense to say, "We averaged the two," but in some
8	places they just actually aggregate them all together
9	and then just do one division. It doesn't really
10	matter.
11	Q. In either event, it's a two-party is it a
12	two-party total only? Is that right?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. So one thing they do, which however they do
15	the math, they filter out third-party vote; is that
16	right?
17	A. Yes. That's just not considered.
18	Q. Okay. By the way, did you meet with counsel
19	in preparation for your deposition?
20	A. Did I meet with counsel? Yes.
21	Q. I'm not going to ask you what you talked
22	about, but did you review any documents when you met?
23	A. No.
24	Q. Okay.
25	A. Well, I briefly reviewed the subpoena, but

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

	Page 15
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	yes.
3	Q. That aside, you
4	A. No.
5	Q. Okay. And have you looked at any documents
б	recently that helped you remember events back from
7	2011 that you'd forgotten?
8	A. Only when I was looking for documents.
9	Q. Do you recall which documents helped you
10	remember some things you'd forgotten?
11	A. Just in general sense, which is because I had
12	no recollection of what I actually done. So it kind
13	of helped refresh that I hadn't done much.
14	Q. When did you do that, looking for the
15	documents? Around what time this past few months?
16	A. When did I do it?
17	Q. Yeah.
18	A. I did it I don't know probably two
19	months ago.
20	MR. FRAM: Okay. Why don't we have marked as
21	our first exhibit the curriculum vitae of Clark
22	Hamilton Bensen.
23	(Deposition Exhibit 1 was marked for
24	identification.)
25	BY MR. FRAM:

	Page
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	Q. And I should state for the record this is not
3	a document you actually produced. This is a document
4	we found, and we found it in my understanding is
5	the case of Shickel v. Dilger, which is an Eastern
б	District of Kentucky case. It was filed July 23,
7	2016. So it might be out of date. This is the most
8	recent one we could find.
9	A. I doubt it's out of date much.
10	Q. Why don't you take a quick look at it and
11	tell us if there's anything in the last two years that
12	you've added to your CV. It's a very comprehensive
13	CV.
14	A. I think the only thing since then would have
15	been the there was a case in Georgia and a case in
16	Virginia two cases in Virginia. So one would have
17	been the Sumter County case, which was a local school
18	board election.
19	And the Virginia cases were both legislative
20	cases over the House well, one was over the House
21	delegates, and one was over the state Senate and the
22	House delegates. The first one would have been
23	Bethune-Hill
24	REPORTER MARTIN: What Hill?
25	THE WITNESS: B-e-t-h-u-n-e, -Hill.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

17

	Page
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	And the other was it will come to me.
3	Vesilind, V-e-s-i-l-i-n-d.
4	BY MR. FRAM:
5	Q. Now, were you an expert witness in any of
6	these cases?
7	A. Like I said, I believe in this time frame I
8	probably did I did at least one voter rights case
9	in California, which was city of San Jose. I can't
10	remember the title of the case. Possibly one more in
11	California, but it was local.
12	Q. Was that under state law?
13	A. Yes. Those are both state. California has
14	its own Voting Rights Act.
15	Q. So for any of these cases were you an expert
16	witness?
17	A. Well, this Kentucky case I was. No, none of
18	the others.
19	Q. Okay. And were you a fact what was the
20	subject of your fact witness testimony in
21	Bethune-Hill?
22	A. I didn't testify. I worked I provided
23	data for experts. In fact, in each of those cases, I
24	didn't testify. It was all working from the
25	standpoint of providing data for experts.

1	CLARK BENSEN
2	Q. Did you provide data for experts after the
3	litigation started or part of the actual map drawing
4	before the litigation happened?
5	A. In each well, in Virginia I did work
6	originally on preparing data for the people who drew
7	the plan in 2011, but I didn't participate in the
8	actual line drawing.
9	Q. Uh-huh.
10	A. So aside from that, everything was just after
11	the fact, preparing data for experts for litigation.
12	Q. So you gave them you gave the people
13	generating the maps, you gave them some data, and then
14	they used that to draw the maps in Virginia; is that
15	right?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. Okay.
18	A. Well, let me rephrase that. I provided
19	the what had been the House Republican caucus, I
20	provided them data. They had, basically, a separate
21	system. There wasn't an official legislative drawing
22	system. So my point is the caucus had them. Whether
23	they used that to actually draw the map, I don't know.
24	Q. Right. Do you know the software they used
25	when they got your data to help make use of it for

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

	Page
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	generating maps?
3	A. Well, someone used maps. I do know that
4	much. The legislative thing did not.
5	Q. You've done that in other cases, I take it,
б	provided data that others have used in Maptitude; is
7	that right?
8	A. Yes, frequently.
9	Q. So we're on the same page as we talk about it
10	today, do you refer to that as a layer of data that's
11	used in Maptitude or a data set? I just want to make
12	sure I'm using words that are easy for you for us
13	to communicate.
14	A. First, Maptitude is M-a-p-t-i-t-u-d-e. What
15	I do is I provide the data that the end user will put
16	into their Maptitude files. So layers are distinct
17	levels of geography, and in general, each of those
18	layers will have the same information, the same
19	columns in a sense in the spreadsheet. They would be
20	just different rows.
21	Q. I understand. I think I'm understanding what
22	you're saying. Let's see if I got it right. So you
23	provide some political data, and that might, say,
24	concern past election results within that state; is
25	that right?

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

	Page
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. So statewide election results?
4	A. Generally, yes.
5	Q. Might I say for 2010, '11 cycling strike
6	that.
7	For 2010 and '11 redistricting cycle, did you
8	have a practice of using a certain set of elections?
9	A. Could be whatever the statewide elections
10	were from as far back as we could go, at least through
11	2004, 2002, or in Virginia's case, with odd elections,
12	that in other words, what you want to do is pretty
13	much take the previous decade entirety. Sometimes you
14	don't go quite so far back.
15	Q. And did you tend to look only at the
16	statewide elections?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. Why was that?
19	A. Because it's similar to the whole concept
20	behind PVI. It's a neutral indicator because it
21	doesn't account for district specific or candidate
22	specific factors. Plus it's the only thing that has
23	fungibility because you can look at a statewide vote
24	by any configuration of districts. But if you look at
25	legislative vote or the Congressional vote, half the

21

	Page
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	precincts are in this district and half the precincts
3	are in that district.
4	Q. And then once the people working on
5	generating the maps have this data, if I understood
б	you correctly, they can then see that election data
7	for those previous elections for a variety of
8	different jurisdictional geographic units; is that
9	right?
10	A. Correct.
11	Q. And that can be down to census block unit; is
12	that right?
13	A. That's the lowest level, yes.
14	Q. You understand, in generating maps in
15	Maptitude, one draws district lines around different
16	census blocks?
17	A. Well, ultimately, yes. You may pick a county
18	subdivision or something and it takes off the blocks
19	in there. You don't necessarily pick each of the
20	census blocks. You pick the level of geography that's
21	the most efficient.
22	Q. Okay.
23	A. But if you know you have to split a city,
24	well, then you have to go down to some lower level.
25	Q. And then Maptitude has a way, then, of taking

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	CLARK BENSEN				
2	the information and regarding the various				
3	geographies encompassed in a district and then showing				
4	you the election results data for the district that's				
5	been proposed; is that right?				
б	A. Yes. It's basically just a computerized				
7	adding machine.				
8	Q. Okay. Thank you very much. I hope that will				
9	be helpful as we go forward so we're using the same				
10	vocabulary.				
11	Why don't we go back to your CV a little bit				
12	and just talk about your background. So you I take				
13	it you went to the University of Vermont?				
14	A. Yes.				
15	Q. And graduated in '74?				
16	A. Yes.				
17	Q. And then you went on to Vermont Law School?				
18	A. Yes. I was in western New England for a				
19	year, and I transferred into Vermont when it became				
20	accredited. The new school didn't have ABA				
21	accreditation the first year. So that's why I went				
22	out of state.				
23	Q. And you got your J.D. there in '78; is that				
24	right?				
25	A. Yes.				

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

	Page 2
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	Q. And then what was your let me back up.
3	Before you graduated law school, did you run
4	for the Vermont House of Representatives?
5	A. Yes.
б	Q. And you were elected?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. And you were there for one term?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. Okay. And then what was your first job after
11	you graduated law school?
12	A. Well, I practiced quote/unquote "law" for
13	I did one or two cases, and then I said, "Boy, I
14	really did want to do politics." So I actually ran
15	the George Bush for president primary campaign in
16	1979. Well, strike that.
17	I did have that was my second. I actually
18	did have a research grant that I continued to work on
19	some of the data I worked on while in college to help
20	a professor do a project by Congressional committees.
21	Q. And after you campaigned for late
22	President Bush, what did you do next?
23	A. I'm not sure exactly what I did for a few
24	months there. I did some sort of political analysis
25	in Vermont. A lot of my focus at that point was in

1	CLARK BENSEN			
2	Vermont. We had the legislative redistricting			
3	project. At that point the legislative I was a			
4	consultant to the legislative apportionment board			
5	in I guess that would have been the session of			
б	1981, and then the fall of '81 I came down to D.C.			
7	Q. Okay. And what did you do when you came down			
8	to D.C.?			
9	A. I worked at the Republican National Committee			
10	or RNC.			
11	Q. And what did you do there, please?			
12	A. I was hired as a programmer, as all lawyers			
13	should be, you know.			
14	Q. I do. They throw you in the deep end of the			
15	pool and you learn on the job, or did you have a			
16	little programming experience before you got there?			
17	A. I had done programming in high school, very			
18	early days of computers, late '60's.			
19	Q. Computers changed a bit?			
20	A. Yes. In that period of 10 years, there was a			
21	huge change, but this was still before PCs. So it			
22	wasn't really the deep end for me. It was the easy			
23	way to get in.			
24	Q. I understand. How long did you do that work			
25	as a programmer?			

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	CLARK BENSEN
2	A. Well, I was at the committee from '81 to '89.
3	Pretty much I always did that even though I moved up
4	the chain and I was administrator, I was manager, and
5	senior staff and I did many more things, but I
6	eventually ended up running the computer programmers
7	too. So my mix was kind of as an integrator.
8	Q. And for how many years were you there?
9	A. Eight.
10	Q. During those years did people use software
11	draw districts for Congressional seats, or did they do
12	it on magic markers on white boards?
13	A. Mostly the latter. The degree to which
14	computers in the 1980 cycle and, again, the only
15	state I was involved in redistricting the eighth cycle
16	on my own was in Vermont. And I wrote programs for
17	that, but it was Vermont, not a large amount of data,
18	but we still had to do the programming as if it were.
19	When I got to the RNC, the process that had
20	been used is again, I got there in '81. So the
21	redistricting cycle was half done. Basically then
22	computers were really just the adding machine. You'd
23	have to basically go through and tag every piece of
24	geography to a district, and that was tedious. And
25	plus we didn't have census blocks. So it was still

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Page 26 1 CLARK BENSEN 2 much more inchoate as a process. 3 What were the units of an election -- well, 0. 4 did you have units for election results back then, you 5 didn't have census blocks? 6 Well, yeah, I think they were called Α. 7 enumeration districts were the lowest level of which 8 we had data. I'm not totally sure on that. But I 9 think census blocks were really more en vogue in the 10 '90's cycle. So it was just not as refined. For 11 instance, you probably would have had political 12 information by precinct, but that's probably about all 13 you had. 14 So what would you do if a district split a Ο. 15 precinct? 16 Well, you'd probably just make an estimate of Α. 17 what it was, which depends on the state. Some states 18 were more organized and had better information. So 19 you could actually do something below the precinct. 20 But in the '80's cycle, it was hard to do. 21 So you were doing this, you said, for about 0. seven or eight years, about '80's, late '80's? 22 23 I was there through '89. Α. 24 Then what happened? 0. 25 Well, then I went to do consulting for Α.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

	Page 27				
1	CLARK BENSEN				
2	redistricting for the '90's cycle on my own.				
3	Q. Okay. And you said you were on your own.				
4	Did you form your own company at that time?				
5	A. Well, it had always sort have been there. I				
6	mean I started Polidata in 1974 when I was in college,				
7	just sort of I didn't do very many projects while I				
8	was at the RNC.				
9	Q. And so you were doing business under the name				
10	Polidata starting for the 1990 cycle?				
11	A. Right.				
12	Q. Okay. And now in the 1990 cycle, was there a				
13	particular piece of software that was being used?				
14	A. That cycle was pretty much several customized				
15	programs were being developed. There wasn't a				
16	Maptitude until, I believe, sometime after that. We				
17	had Maptitude for the 2000 cycle. So sometime in that				
18	decade they developed it. In fact, the RNC worked				
19	with Maptitude a lot to develop it.				
20	Q. I see. So they worked with Caliper? That's				
21	the company that developed Maptitude?				
22	A. Yes. And I believe that's what it was called				
23	then too, yes.				
24	Q. Were you part of the project of working with				
25	Caliper to develop Maptitude?				

1	CLARK BENSEN			
2	A. Well, to some degree, but not very much. We			
3	had a person, Tom Hofeller, who was the director for			
4	redistricting either at the RNC or the NRCC at the			
5	time, and he was much more he was similar to me in			
6	a sense. He was a programmer but a political person.			
7	So he was actually more involved in the development of			
8	it.			
9	Q. Okay. Then in the 1990's cycle, you also			
10	looked at election results data while you were			
11	well, let me back up.			
12	In the 1990 cycle were election results data			
13	also looked at in the generation of maps, whether you			
14	did or someone did?			
15	A. Generally, yes.			
16	Q. Did you also provide election results data to			
17	whoever was actually generating the maps at that time?			
18	A. Yes.			
19	Q. Let's go to what happened how long were			
20	you were you on your own consulting ever since			
21	then, or did you pick up another job after the 1990's			
22	cycle?			
23	A. I was back at the RNC for the '94 election			
24	cycle. Other than that, no. I've been working on my			
25	own.			

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Page	29
------	----

	Pag			
1	CLARK BENSEN			
2	Q. Were you working with the late Dr. Hofeller			
3	when you went back?			
4	A. I don't think he was there by that time. I			
5	think he was out in California for a while. I've			
6	worked with Dr. Hofeller for on numerous projects			
7	over the decade, yes.			
8	Q. Do you recall who you were working with in			
9	the 1990's at the RNC?			
10	A. Well, largely, Tom Hofeller. David			
11	Winston again, I'm sketchy as to whether Hofeller			
12	was the NRCC or the RNC. That's H-o-f-e-l-l-e-r. Or			
13	David Winston, W-i-n-s-t-o-n. But basically I was			
14	working with the data people to get data for the			
15	redistricting office or department in whatever it was,			
16	RNC or NRCC.			
17	And at that time we had a I forget the			
18	exact structure of it, but we were providing data to			
19	Republicans and states too, and some minority			
20	coalitions in states too.			
21	Q. You said you were getting data for, let's			
22	just say Republicans. Let's start with that for a			
23	minute.			
24	A. Uh-huh.			
25	Q. Was this data election results data?			

2	Α.	Yes.
	<u> </u>	± C D •

1

A. IES.

3

0. Did you provide any other kinds of data?

A. Well, I would repackage census data, but I wouldn't develop it on my own. But it was almost all general election statewide results.

Q. Do you have an understanding as to why the folks working on the maps in either the '80's or '90's wanted to have election results data?

10 Well, I think I already answered that. Α. My 11 point is that's just a way to assess the political 12 makeup of any district that they're looking at, or not 13 just a district, but in the area. I mean a lot of 14 times they just need -- there are a lot of units in a 15 data set, and even though state political people 16 sometimes know every nook and cranny of the district 17 or the state, a lot of times they don't.

<sup>18</sup> So they just got to look at the county or <sup>19</sup> county subdivision or precinct or something and say, <sup>20</sup> "Is that a Republican or a Democrat area?"

Q. And your experience in the '80's, the people working on the maps wanted to have that information; is that right?

A. Well, pretty much without exception, yeah.
Q. And also the '90s?

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

	Page
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. And also the 2000s?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. And also after the 2010 cycle?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. And pretty much without exception, in your
8	experience?
9	A. I believe the only difference was Florida
10	because their state constitutional provision had a
11	provision about no partisan bias or political
12	favoritism or whatever. But, of course, my question
13	was how are you going to measure that if you don't
14	have the political data.
15	Q. So other than Florida, with that exception,
16	any of the folks working on generating maps that
17	you've worked with wanted to understand the political
18	makeup of the district; is that right?
19	A. In my experience, yes. Well, if the client
20	was a partisan client. If it was a voter rights case,
21	that's not necessarily the issue.
22	Q. Whenever the client was Republican, that was
23	the case?
24	A. In my experience, yes.
25	Q. Like you said, the census information was

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	CLARK BENSEN
2	already in the census. You might have repackaged it.
3	Over the years have you developed a methodology for
4	collecting election results data?
5	A. A methodology?
б	Q. How do you go about doing it?
7	A. That's a pretty cumbersome process. You have
8	to get you have to have a data system ready to
9	input data that you get from states or counties, and
10	you have to have all sorts of routines set up to input
11	it, proof it, compare it with different levels of
12	geography to make sure, in fact, it comports with what
13	the canvas said, and then you basically just have to
14	follow it all the way through, kind of like a chain of
15	evidence thing.
16	So you know this is what you got from the
17	secretary of state or the county board, and you just
18	go all the way through to the point where you can
19	finally play with it enough to get it into the
20	redistricting system.
21	Q. And what's the form let me strike.
22	Starting in 2010, looking at that time period
23	because I know software changes, what was the form in
24	which you would provide this election result data to
25	people working on maps?

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	CLARK BENSEN	
2	A. It would generally be a DBF database file	
3	because that can be easily imported into Maptitude	
4	well, depending on who the client was. If the client	
5	wasn't very computer savvy, I would give it to them in	
б	a CDF format, which is a Maptitude kind of format. So	
7	it was basically just it's just a conversion	
8	routine. So they could just open it up instead of	
9	importing it.	
10	Q. Okay. Do you remember in Ohio if you	
11	provided election results data to Republicans working	
12	on generating maps?	
13	A. In Ohio	
14	Q. In 2010. In the 2010 strike that.	
15	In 2011 did you provide election results data	
16	to Republicans in Ohio working on Congressional	
17	redistricting of maps?	
18	A. I believe so, yes.	
19	Q. Do you recall the form in which you provided	
20	it to them? Did you give it to them in DBF files or	
21	in CDF file?	
22	A. I don't recall, actually. It could have been	
23	either one.	
24	Q. Was that one of the individuals you	
25	provided information to Mr. Ray DiRossi?	

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Page	34
ruge	51

1	CLARK BENSEN
2	A. Well, to Ray or Heather. They were a tag
3	team as far as I'm concerned. One would ask for
4	something. I'd treated them as the same. So pretty
5	much they both got whatever.
6	Q. At that time Heather's last name was Mann,
7	M-a-n-n; is that right?
8	A. I've forgotten if it was Mann or was
9	Blessing.
10	Q. Just for the record, I'll say it's Blessing
11	now and it was Mann.
12	A. Yes. It was Mann.
13	Q. Just to make sure that's consistent with what
14	you remember.
15	A. Right.
16	Q. Other than Mr. DiRossi and Ms. Mann, did you
17	provide election results data to anyone else in Ohio
18	in 2011?
19	A. I believe John Morgan, John B. Morgan was
20	also working with Baker to assist Heather and Ray to
21	understand the ins-and-outs of Maptitude, kind of just
22	the operational aspects of it. So it may in fact be
23	that I gave it to him. He actually helped them
24	install it into their system.
25	Q. What about Mr. Adam Kincaid? Do you know

Page	35
------	----

	Page
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	him?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. Did you do any work with him regarding Ohio
5	redistricting in 2011?
6	A. No.
7	Q. Okay. Do you recall ever providing him with
8	any Ohio election results data?
9	A. No. I recall never giving him any data
10	results.
11	Q. Okay. By the way, you said that, without
12	exception, when you worked for Republicans working on
13	maps, they'd want to have election results data. I
14	just want to get a sense of how many times we're
15	talking about. More than 20 times?
16	A. You mean clients to whom I've provided data
17	for redistricting?
18	Q. Yes. Election result data let me state
19	the question more clearly.
20	The number of times you provided election
21	results data to clients working on redistricting. I
22	want to limit that to Republicans.
23	A. Certainly more than 20, yes.
24	Q. More than 50?
25	A. It's hard to say. In several cycles I've

1	CLARK BENSEN
2	worked on, projects that were nationwide. So whether
3	I actually gave it to them or they ended up getting
4	what I had worked on, I couldn't tell you.
5	Q. In terms of the number of projects that
6	you've actually given Republicans working on
7	redistricting election results data, can you give me a
8	number ballpark?
9	A. Probably closer to 20 to 50.
10	Q. Or 30?
11	A. Could be.
12	Q. Okay. Since the 2000 cycle, have you has
13	Maptitude been the redistricting software of choice in
14	-
15	the redistricting projects you've been involved in?
	A. Well, most of my clients have used Maptitude,
16	but not all.
17	Q. Okay. About how many times have you been
18	involved in projects where Maptitude was the software
19	used?
20	A. Again, a couple dozen maybe. My role differs
21	by the client stuff too. So, in other words, I may
22	have been involved in a project where they were using
23	Maptitude, but I didn't provide them data. I was just
24	helping them review the information or something like
25	that, or I was helping them run reports. But in

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

CLARK	BENSEN

<sup>2</sup> general, it would have been -- most of my clients
<sup>3</sup> would have used Maptitude.

1

Q. How would you describe your role in Ohio in
 2011? I'm going to focus on Congressional
 redistricting.

7 My recollection is I really did two things. Α. 8 One was kind of a follow-up to what we had done for --9 what I had done for the legislative redistricting, 10 which was to provide Ray and Heather with the basic 11 information they needed so as we discussed political 12 data and such like that. And then I helped them on 13 technical things because they were still -- the 14 Congressional operational aspects in Ohio were much 15 easier than the operational aspects of the legislative 16 plans because through legislation we did an entirely 17 separate data set because of geography.

18 Congressional in Ohio was just like in any 19 other state. So it was much easier. But there's 20 still technical questions because they had done it the 21 legislative way, which was convoluted, and that was 22 actually easier. So I helped them on some technical 23 things there. Then that's pretty much all -- again, I 24 may have given some stuff to John Morgan, although I 25 think my recollection was he was much more involved in

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

	Page
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	the legislative thing too.
3	Then I worked with Mark Braden as the counsel
4	to give him information on plans and such that he was
5	having looked at.
6	Q. You said that you gave Mr. DiRossi and
7	Ms. Mann the data they needed. Just so our record is
8	clear, that included election results data; correct?
9	A. I'm pretty sure. Well, that's my
10	recollection.
11	Q. That's all I can ask for.
12	A. Yeah.
13	Q. And do you recall any other data other than
14	election results data that you provided to Mr. DiRossi
15	or Ms. Mann in the 2011 Ohio Congressional
16	redistricting work?
17	A. Only to the extent that I might have also
18	repackaged the census data. Census data is hundreds
19	of fields, and when I say, "repackage," I basically
20	just narrow it down to like 20 fields, and normally I
21	would have that as part of the record to which I would
22	append the political data.
23	Q. By the way, as a mechanical matter, when you
24	provided data to them, did you have a shared FTP site?
25	Did you send them a zip file? The nuts and bolts of

	Page 39
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	how you actually transmit the information.
3	A. Well, I'm pretty sure by 2011 it was not via
4	FedEx. So it would have been if a file was small
5	enough, I would have just E-mailed it as an
6	attachment. If it was 5 or 10 megs, I would have sent
7	them a link to a public not a public, but it's
8	called Sendspace and it's like Dropbox. So I would
9	just send them a link. They could just get it
10	downloaded from there.
11	Q. Did you set up that Dropbox account, or did
12	they set it up and ask you to send it? Do you recall
13	how that got set up?
14	A. It's just a default that I use for all my
15	clients.
16	Q. Is that Dropbox account available today?
17	A. Well, yes.
18	Q. And does it have information from the 2011
19	is information from the 2011 cycle still in it?
20	A. No. It has a limit of 1,000 files. So after
21	you get 1,000 files, you just have to delete it. So
22	it never has hardly even has a year's worth of
23	files in it. It's strictly a temporary kind of flow
24	basis.
25	Q. Sure. Thanks.

Page 40 1 CLARK BENSEN 2 Do you recall providing election results data 3 from 2002 to 2010 to Mr. DiRossi and Ms. Mann? 4 I'm not sure 2002. Probably did. I'm pretty Α. 5 sure it went from '04 on, anyway. Do you recall any discussions as to what the 6 0. 7 parameters of the election results data sets should 8 be? 9 I think it's probably, basically, I Α. No. 10 said, "Here's all your statewide races, and you can 11 pick and choose." I think the only option would have 12 been the judicial races in Ohio, I think, have changed 13 over time. My recollection is that now they still 14 have a partisan primary, but it's a nonpartisan 15 election, general election. 16 But I think in the -- possibly -- at some 17 point in the last 20 years it's changed. So the ones 18 from the '02 to '10 may have been a mix of -- they 19 were actually partisan election. So, frankly, I don't 20 recall if I included them or not, but that would have 21 been about the only discussion. 22 As to whether or not to include the judicial Ο. 23 elections? 24 Right. I think probably I would have Α. 25 processed them anyway, but I just don't recall

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

	Page 41
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	whether yeah.
3	Q. Okay. Did you ever provide block equivalency
4	files to Mr. Mann or excuse me, Ms. Mann or
5	Mr. DiRossi?
б	A. I could have.
7	Q. Just for the record, if you could please
8	explain what a block equivalency file is.
9	A. A block equivalency file or a so-called block
10	assignment file, which could be a BAF or a BEQ, in
11	jargon, it's just one record for each census block in
12	the jurisdiction, and it has only two pieces of
13	information; the block number, which is a long
14	geographic identifier, and then the district to which
15	it's assigned. It's the normal way plan geography is
16	transferred from one system to another.
17	(Deposition Exhibit 2 was marked for
18	identification.)
19	MR. FRAM: I'm going to mark next as
20	Exhibit 2 a document that's got a Bates number on it.
21	LENZO-, L-E-N-Z-O,004434 through -440. And for
22	the record, it's a PowerPoint. The first slide
23	appears to have been entitled "Election Data for
24	Redistricting," got a "Copyright 2010 POLIDATA on it.
25	The slides are in thumbnail form. That's how we

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

12192
Page 42
CLARK BENSEN
received them. I didn't want to alter that, but I
apologize. They're a little smaller than one might
like.
I'd ask you to take a look at this document,
Exhibit 2, please.
(The witness reviewed Exhibit 2.)
THE WITNESS: Okay.
BY MR. FRAM:
Q. Is this a document that you've seen before?
A. Well, yes.
Q. Is this a PowerPoint you created?
A. Yes.
Q. And you used this in giving one or more
presentations?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you recall which presentations where you
may have used this PowerPoint?
A. I know there's a Republican seminar at some
point in 2010 or 2011. I'm pretty sure it was in

2

20 point in 2010 or 2011. I'm pretty sure it was in 21 there. I probably would have sent it to some of my clients or something, but it was probably -- as you 22 23 said, it says, "2010." So that's probably where this 24 It's pretty much a standard thing. iteration came. Ι 25 could have used it at NCSL conferences as well.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Page	43
------	----

	Page
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	Q. Why don't we say for the record what NCLS is.
3	A. National Conference of State Legislatures.
4	Q. Did you also present at any time at an RNC
5	conference?
б	A. I just said that.
7	Q. Not the Republican Congressional committee
8	but the national committee, or it might have been
9	either one?
10	A. Yeah. I couldn't limit it to that. It could
11	have been a combined one. I think it was probably an
12	RNC. So it was basically for umbrella groups. The
13	problem with NRCC is they have a specific pretty
14	narrow focus.
15	Q. You see this was produced by Mr. Lenzo. Is
16	that a name with which you're familiar?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. Who is Mr. Lenzo, please?
19	A. Well, I view him as I can't remember
20	exactly what his title was in 2011, but he works for
21	the House Republicans. Whether he was chief of staff
22	or he was counsel, I don't remember.
23	Q. Do you recall whether he attended any
24	presentation where you used this PowerPoint?
25	A. I don't, but it seems like he must have.

1	CLARK BENSEN
2	Q. Did you see him did you have a chance to
3	talk with Mr. Lenzo at the RNC we'll call it the
4	"RNC conference."
5	A. I don't recall specifically. I know I've
б	seen him at one or two, but I couldn't tell you
7	whether it was an RNC one or an NCSL one.
8	Q. Did you ever talk to Mr. Ray DiRossi at
9	either one of those conferences?
10	A. I don't remember if Ray went. It's more
11	likely I would have the reason I'm confused is
12	because there was so many there was only one RNC
13	conference. There are multiple NCSL conferences. The
14	NCSL had a set of redistricting seminars leading up to
15	the process. So it's much more likely I saw him at
16	one of the NCSL conferences.
17	Q. Do you recall just talking to anybody at any
18	of these conferences about the potential of doing work
19	for Ohio Congressional redistricting in the 2010, 2011
20	cycle?
21	A. Well, not specifically Congressional. We
22	talked about possibly doing well, actually, at that
23	time not really because it would have been pretty much
24	a general conversation. My involvement only came once
25	whoever in Ohio decided to have the project, and they

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

б

Page 4	15
CLARK BENSEN	
contacted Mr. Braden, and then that's how I got	
involved in the case. So I don't remember any	
specific discussions about that part of it at a	
conference.	
Q. Do you recall the first time you were	
contacted regarding doing work for the Ohio	
Congressional redistricting?	
A. No, I really don't.	
Q. Was it in 2011?	
A. Could be. I really don't know.	
Q. Was it after the 2010 mid-terms or before?	
I'm sorry. Yeah. 2010 mid-terms or before.	
A. I'm pretty sure it was afterward because the	
problem is nothing is really happening in Ohio until	
the 2010 mid-terms because they couldn't decide who	
the actual board members were going to be.	
Q. Or who would be controlling the legislature	
in the case of the Congressional redistricting; is	
that right?	
A. Well, that's true but well, that's a good	
point. The apportionment board was really just for	
the legislature, but the problem was none of the	
infrastructure could get going until the 2010	
elections could decide who was on the apportionment	

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

	Page
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	board, and then the Congressional was sort of tagging
3	along to some degree.
4	Q. Okay. Now, so our record is clear, I'm going
5	to be asking I have been asking and will be asking
6	about Ohio Congressional redistricting. I take it,
7	did you do work also on the state legislative
8	redistricting as well?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. Okay. So I might be very specific and
11	explicit about questions regarding the state
12	legislative process, but if I'm not, when I'm just
13	talking about redistricting, I'm asking questions
14	about the Ohio Congressional redistricting. Do you
15	understand that?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. Okay. Would you change any of your answers
18	that you can think of now in the testimony you've
19	given so far based on that clarification?
20	A. No.
21	MS. McKNIGHT: Objection.
22	You can answer.
23	BY MR. FRAM:
24	Q. If it comes to mind that oh, no, that was
25	only about the state apportionment process, then

	Page 47
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	please feel free to let me know.
3	A. Well, as I said, that's what's kind of
4	confused in my mind because at the time, it was very
5	discreet, but now it's, like years later, fuzzy.
6	Q. That's why I'm reinforcing the point. I want
7	to turn to the first substantive slide on -4434 right
8	after the title slide, the one under the heading
9	"Introduction." Do you see that?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. Could you please take a look at that.
12	(The witness reviewed Exhibit 2.)
13	THE WITNESS: Yeah. Yes.
14	BY MR. FRAM
15	Q. If I understand correctly, this was the first
16	substantive slide of your slide set after the title
17	slide; is that right?
18	A. It appears so, yes.
19	Q. So the first thing that you presented was
20	to you said, "However, to estimate the election
21	impact, you will need election data." Do you see
22	that?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. And you communicated that to the people at
25	the RNC conference; is that right?

	Page
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	MS. McKNIGHT: Objection.
3	You may answer.
4	THE WITNESS: I'm not sure that I actually
5	presented this or this was just part of a handout that
6	they provided. I don't have a recollection as to
7	what I know I spoke at the conference, but I'm not
8	sure where I spoke. If I spoke, this would have been
9	the first thing I said, yes.
10	BY MR. FRAM:
11	Q. Okay. And you were a person who could
12	provide election result data that could be used to
13	estimate the election impact of a district; is that
14	right?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. Turning to the next page of the PowerPoint
17	of the exhibit, $-4435$ , and to the slide entitled
18	"Analytical Goals." Do you see that?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. And there are four that are listed. Do you
21	see that?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. Do you see the fourth one is entitled
24	"Partisan Fairness"? Do you see that?
25	A. Yes.

	CLARK	BENSEN
--	-------	--------

Q. What's your understanding of what the term
 "partisan fairness" means?

1

A. There are various metrics that analysts -mostly experts in litigation will use to assess the degree to which the votes break out, and they generally would look at it from a statewide perspective.

Q. And did you -- do you remember talking about
 partisan fairness in your presentation at the RNC?
 A. Unless it's in here, I didn't really see it
 unless I glanced over it. Again, I don't remember
 what I talked about. I'm sure I had another thing on

<sup>14</sup> just partisan fairness. You can add that too.

Q. Do you have an understanding of the term "partisan fairness" as you used it, putting aside the various experts who have their own views?

<sup>18</sup> A. Well, yes. There are different metrics that
 <sup>19</sup> they use.

Q. Is there a metric that you prefer?
A. It depends on what the client is looking for.
Q. Do you recall working with the RNC, is there
a metric the client preferred?
A. Well, the RNC did really do it per se.

Q. Fair enough. Working with any Republican

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	CLARK BENSEN
2	state legislatures or their staff, was there a metric
3	that they had preferred in terms of measuring partisan
4	fairness?
5	A. It would depend on the decade.
6	Q. After 2010.
7	A. It was probably still partisan symmetry at
8	the time as kind of the main focus because we hadn't
9	really had much in the way of litigation. So there
10	really hadn't been much change for the last 20 years.
11	Q. If you could explain for the record what you
12	understand the term "partisan symmetry" to mean,
13	please.
14	A. You basically you take the votes, say for
15	a president or something, statewide race, and you
16	reaggregate that from all the census blocks basically
17	in each district, and you'd come up with how many
18	districts were won by, say, Obama. And you'd say if
19	Obama got 55 percent of the statewide vote but he won
20	65 percent of the districts, that's A.
21	But then you look at the plan and say, "Well,
22	if, in fact, McCain had gotten 65, 55 percent of the
23	vote, would he have gotten 65 percent of the seats."
24	And it's a question of the differential between that.
25	Q. Do you ever recall a conversation about

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

	Page
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	partisan symmetry with anyone in Ohio in the 2010
3	cycle?
4	A. No.
5	Q. Turning to Page -4436. Do you see the slide
6	at the bottom of the page, "Keyline Dataset"?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. Okay. Do you recall discussing that at the
9	RNC conference?
10	A. As I said before, I don't know if I actually
11	presented this or not, but I would have. If I would
12	have presented this, I would have gone through each
13	slide.
14	Q. Just so I understand, looking at this slide,
15	what was what's the "Universe of reported units" or
16	sub units refer to?
17	A. Basically, that would be precincts. Sub unit
18	would be a split precinct.
19	Q. And when you said down further, "Establishes
20	coding scheme," what does that refer to?
21	A. It just means taking it goes back to what
22	I said about developing election scheme, election
23	recording scheme. There's no standardization even
24	amongst counties in one state, but computers, as we
25	know, like zeros and ones. So you have to take

1	CLARK BENSEN
2	whatever information they've given to you and come up
3	with some sort of nomenclature where you would give it
4	a code, which is basically just some series of numbers
5	or letters so that you could have Precinct 1, I'll
6	say, be identified as Precinct 1 each year even though
7	they may call it Adams School one year, and then the
8	next year they call it the Jefferson Firehouse or
9	something like that.
10	Q. And you also developed codes for different
11	elections?
12	A. I'm sorry?
13	Q. Did you also develop code did you ever
14	develop codes for different elections? So, for
15	example, GOAP, or the general election for 2008 for
16	President?
17	A. I wouldn't call that a code, but yeah, it's
18	the same kind of thing. You come up with a pneumonic,
19	basically, that is generally no more than 10
20	characters that just makes it easier to process
21	because there's still certainly in the early days,
22	there was a lot of hand work.
23	Q. Did you ever come up with any pneumonics for
24	elections?
25	A. Well, yeah. When I was at the RNC, we spent

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	CLARK BENSEN
2	time when I got there they had a very basic system,
3	and I spent some time making it a little more
4	extensive because when I was there, they didn't have
5	to deal with redistricting before I got there. So we
6	had to come up with all sorts of codes and look-up
7	tables and nomenclature standards for the data that
8	eventually went into any election system which
9	eventually went into a redistricting system.
10	The redistricting system itself is very
11	simple. It's like Maptitude. But getting the
12	information so that you get it in there is much more
13	complicated because there's just a lot of steps.
14	Q. What were some of the steps?
15	A. Well, that depends on each county and each
16	state. When I say, "steps," the basic point is
17	sometimes a state, even back then in fact, Ohio
18	in I think in well, certainly '90 and 2000 I
19	can't recall 2010. They had for a long period of
20	time they had a very good data file for precinct level
21	data, and it was pretty straightforward.
22	But a lot of states, even at that time, were
23	not very organized, and even now when they're
24	organized, every state has a different way of looking
25	at it. So as part of the operational aspects, it's

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

	Page
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	just sifting through all that to kind of come to the
3	lowest common denominator so that you could actually
4	process it efficiently in a computer system.
5	Q. So you say Ohio had a pretty good
6	precinct-level election result data set; is that
7	right?
8	A. Yes. For a long time. At least a decade or
9	SO.
10	Q. And is that also true in the 2011
11	redistricting?
12	A. Ironically, I don't think that was the case,
13	but then they had received a grant from the election
14	administration commission to clean up their precinct
15	data, which, in my mind, did nothing but kill off the
16	good format that they had.
17	Q. So some problems developed in the 2011 work?
18	A. Yeah. It was much more work than it used to
19	be for Ohio.
20	Q. What was some of the problems you recall?
21	A. Well, it wasn't done by data people. It was
22	done by political people who wanted to get something.
23	In other words, in the past it had been done by,
24	basically, IT professionals, but by this time it was
25	done by the Secretary of State's office, and their

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Page 55 1 CLARK BENSEN focus was not on doing it efficiently from a 2 3 programmer standpoint. 4 So basically you had to re-engineer what they 5 had thought and done. 6 Do you recall some of the problems that were 0. 7 created by virtue of the fact that the Secretary of State with non-IT people had reconfigured the data? 8 9 Α. There weren't any insurmountable things. Ιt was just that it was so much easier to do it the old 10 11 way because they thought the way we thought. But now 12 it was in a different format and you had to re -- you 13 had to go through everything. 14 I mean it just added a few days extra work. 15 That's all. 16 And you did those few days of extra work as 0. 17 regards Ohio in 2011? 18 Α. Yes. 19 For the Congressional redistricting work? 0. 20 Α. Yes. 21 Did you also need to do some work so Q. Okay. that the precinct data, election results data could be 22 23 reflected at the census block level? 24 Well, work needed to be done to do that. I'm Α. 25 not sure I did that. We had a project in the 2011

1	CLARK BENSEN
2	cycle that was doing it for all states well, not
3	all states but including Ohio. And I think probably I
4	got that block-level information from that project.
5	Q. You say, "we." Who is doing
6	A. I don't remember. It was an outside group
7	that the RNC was working with. I don't remember what
8	the group was called, but they did basically, as every
9	state, they didn't do the at-large states. So it was
10	pretty much if it was more than one seat, then they
11	did it. So in reality, I remember I had to convert
12	the election data so I had it in my system, but I
13	think by the time we got to the actual block-level
14	data, I got it from the project.
15	Q. Okay. Now, the project, do you recall any of
16	the persons working on what you described as "the
17	project"?
18	A. Well, Tom Hofeller was involved in some
19	degree. I can't remember what his role was at the
20	time, whether he was still working at the RNC. He
21	would have been the main contact. In other words, he
22	would have known who was I was still at the time
23	working with I was a consultant with the RNC to
24	make sure that the data that went to and came back
25	from the project was met certain qualifications.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

122117
Page 57
CLARK BENSEN
Q. So you received data at the census block
level strike that.
You received election result data at the
census block level from the RNC in 2011; is that
right?
A. Or from the project that they were working
with, yes.
Q. In the directive from the RNC or from what
I'll call "the project"; is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. And then when you received that
information let's just focus on Ohio Congressional
redistricting what would you do with that
information?
MS. McKNIGHT: Objection.
THE WITNESS: But I can still answer?
MS. McKNIGHT: Yes.
THE WITNESS: I would run it through my set
of validations. I would look to see if it added up
vertically to multiple levels of geography. Typical
would have been by county and such to see if in fact
the results that were given to me by block added up.
And then horizontally I'd look and see if, in fact, a
number of votes for Dresident versus votes for U.S.

1	CLARK BENSEN
2	senate, things like that, and run other validation
3	checks just to make sure that it looked like a good
4	data set to use.
5	BY MR. FRAM:
6	Q. Do you recall there being any issues
7	regarding the data set in connection with your work in
8	Ohio Congressional redistricting in 2011?
9	A. I don't recall any. It wasn't very often
10	that I had problems with the data sets. I don't
11	recall anything for Ohio.
12	Q. And then once you run your validation checks,
13	what did you do next?
14	A. Then I would have converted it to get it into
15	Maptitude, which would mean I took the block level
16	data and I would aggregate it up for each of the
17	layers, the geographic levels that would feed into
18	Maptitude. So it would add it up to the precinct
19	level. The precinct would have been the 2010 census
20	VTDs, county subdivision, county and the other levels.
21	I would just add it up from the block and then convert
22	that into a DBF or a CDF so they could import it into
23	Maptitude.
24	Q. Sure. We just entered a new acronym here.
25	We should just say for the record, VTD?

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

	Page
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	A. Voting district. V-T-D.
3	Q. And then Ohio is the precinct, the VTD?
4	A. Well, it may be. Generically, the VTD is
5	supposed to be the census bureau's version of the
б	state's precinct, but the VTD is frozen in time. It's
7	really designed as a delivery mechanism for the census
8	data. So, for instance, this time they would freeze
9	the precincts as of the 2018 election, not literally,
10	but they would freeze it.
11	That's the representation they would give to
12	the census bureau. So when they got the data in 2021,
13	it would be data for the 2018 VTDs or precincts. But
14	there's not a perfect match.
15	Q. Okay. Turning to -4439, the slide at the
16	top. It says, "Block to Precinct Equivalency." Do
17	you see that?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. It says there "Key to linking." Do you see
20	that? Then under that there's sub bullets, "all
21	election over time, election results with 2010
22	census." Do you see that?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. Do you recall what you meant when you wrote
25	those words?

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

3

1

Q. What did you mean?

4 For instance, the file that I got from the Α. project was at block level. So what the project would 5 6 have done is with these precinct maps over time, they 7 would have assigned each block to a precinct for, say, 8 the 2002 election, 2004 election, and so on, and then 9 they would distribute that information from the 10 precinct level for that election for that precinct to 11 the blocks, usually based upon voting age population, 12 and then they'd do that for each county, for each 13 election, for each VTD or precinct.

Q. "They" being the folks working on what you'd call "the project"; is that right?

<sup>16</sup> A. Yes, they would have the geography for the <sup>17</sup> precincts and the block, and then they'd assign each <sup>18</sup> block to a precinct.

Q. Did you ever E-mail back and forth with
 anybody working on the project?

21

A. Well, I'm sure I did.

Q. Did you ever find any of those E-mails when you were looking through your files for this case for producing documents?

25

A. I'm sure I didn't keep those because the

1	CLARK BENSEN
2	only the only reason I had any of the E-mails from
3	Ray and Heather were because I had to segregate them
4	off as they were in a client folder. But there
5	would have been too many E-mails in the other project
6	to keep that. That was just in my in box, and it just
7	disappeared over time. Because that was much more of
8	a day-to-day type of thing.
9	Q. Let me make sure I got the record right on
10	this. Is there a record of when Dr. Hofeller was
11	working on the project?
12	MS. McKNIGHT: Objection.
13	THE WITNESS: He was like a liaison. Again,
14	I think he was at the RNC that cycle. I think that's
15	right. So he would have been liaison between the
16	people actually doing all the precinct matching and
17	that kind of stuff, yes.
18	BY MR. FRAM:
19	Q. Do you recall when you first heard about the
20	project?
21	MS. McKNIGHT: Objection.
22	THE WITNESS: 20 not really. Obviously,
23	late in the decade.
24	BY MR. FRAM:
25	Q. Late in the decade, like between 2000 and

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

	Page 62
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	2010?
3	A. Yeah. So like 2009, '10.
4	Q. The project was not up and running for the
5	2000, 2001 redistricting work; is that right?
6	MS. McKNIGHT: Objection.
7	You can answer.
8	THE WITNESS: There were pretty much
9	different projects in each cycle, but they were just
10	like two-year projects, or it depended. In most cases
11	I think they were pretty much two-year projects.
12	BY MR. FRAM:
13	Q. Do you know who Mr. Chris Jankowski is?
14	A. Yeah, I know who he is.
15	Q. Do you ever communicate with him about
16	redistricting regarding the 2010 cycle?
17	A. No. I did work for him briefly before 2010.
18	Q. Do you ever communicate with Mr. Jankowski
19	regarding the project?
20	MS. McKNIGHT: Objection.
21	THE WITNESS: I had no conversations with him
22	after the 2010 election.
23	BY MR. FRAM:
24	Q. By the way, I'm just using your phrase, "the
25	project." If there's something more specific. I'd be

	Page
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	happy to use that.
3	A. I really can't remember. Again, I was trying
4	to figure that out, and that's because I wasn't
5	actually employed by the project. My client was the
6	RNC. So
7	Q. Did you ever tell Mr. DiRossi or Ms. Mann
8	that you were receiving information from the project
9	for RNC and then validating the data?
10	MS. McKNIGHT: Objection.
11	THE WITNESS: I'm sure I did.
12	MS. RIGGINS: If you're moving on to another
13	exhibit, do you think this would be a good time for a
14	quick break since we've been going a little over an
15	hour?
16	MR. FRAM: It would be, but I'll have one
17	more question for the witness.
18	Q. Having spent a little time with this
19	PowerPoint now, do you have any doubt in your mind
20	this is an authentic copy of the presentation that you
21	either gave or was distributed at RNC conference in
22	the 2010, 2011 time period?
23	MS. McKNIGHT: Objection.
24	THE WITNESS: I don't have any doubt as to
25	its authenticity. I assume it was probably one of the

Page	64
------	----

	r dge
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	things that was in the packet. I don't have any
3	reason to believe it wasn't.
4	BY MR. FRAM:
5	Q. I'm sorry. The last
6	A. I said I don't have any reason to believe it
7	wasn't.
8	Q. There were a couple negatives in there. I
9	just wanted to make sure I got it.
10	A. I'm sorry.
11	Q. That's okay. I do that.
12	MR. FRAM: Why don't we take that break.
13	(A recess was taken from 10:19 a.m.
14	to 10:33 a.m.)
15	BY MR. FRAM:
16	Q. Just so we're talking about this term "the
17	project" before the break, and just so I understand
18	how the information actually came to you. Was it
19	your did you receive information from the RNC or
20	from the separate entity or group called "the
21	project"? You've been calling it "the project."
22	A. I received the precinct-level data from the
23	RNC, and I would receive the block-level data from the
24	project.
25	Q. Understood. Was the RNC copied on those

	Page
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	communications when you received the block-level
3	information?
4	A. Well, it's possible I received it directly
5	from the RNC. It's a question of it really
6	depended on what state they were working on.
7	Q. Okay. For Ohio, do you have a recollection
8	as to whether or not you received information from the
9	RNC, from the project, or from both?
10	A. I have no recollection.
11	Q. Okay. It was one or the other?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. Okay. And was Dr. Hofeller in the loop when
14	you received the information from the RNC or the
15	project?
16	MS. McKNIGHT: Objection.
17	You can answer.
18	THE WITNESS: Well, I wouldn't have received
19	it from Hofeller. I would have received it from
20	someone at the RNC who was working with Hofeller.
21	BY MR. FRAM:
22	Q. I see. And what about similar to the
23	project? Let me back up.
24	The project is staffed by outside consultants
25	not RNC employees; is that right?

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

	Page
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	A. Yes. It's outside consultants.
3	Q. You just don't remember who the consultants
4	were; is that right?
5	A. Well, Magellan Strategies in Colorado was one
6	of the consultants.
7	Q. Anybody else?
8	A. Well, there would have been other individual
9	consultants working with them.
10	Q. Okay. Do you know the name of any of the
11	principals at Magellan?
12	A. David Flaherty.
13	Q. Anybody else?
14	A. His wife, Jennifer.
15	Q. Okay. And their work was a nationwide data
16	set regarding census block strike that.
17	Their work, "they" being the folks at
18	Magellan, were concerned nationwide a nationwide
19	data set for election results at the census block
20	level; is that right?
21	A. They developed block-level data sets for
22	multiple states. It wasn't necessarily nationwide.
23	Q. Okay. Did that include Ohio in 2010?
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. I'm sorry. And 2011?

Page	67
------	----

	Page
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. Okay. And you used their information in your
4	work at Ohio for Congressional redistricting in 2011?
5	A. That's my recollection, yes.
б	Q. By the way, I think you used the word
7	"client" from time to time in connection with your
8	redistricting work. You said it depends upon the
9	client. Do you have an understanding of who your
10	client was in Ohio in 2011 as regards Congressional
11	redistricting?
12	A. Well, my client was Baker Hostetler.
13	Q. Did Baker Hostetler, did they make the first
14	contact to you as regards to getting involved in Ohio
15	Congressional redistricting in 2011?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. And I think before you weren't sure when that
18	was; is that right?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. It was after the 2010 mid-terms as best you
21	can recall; is that right?
22	A. Yes. I'm sure my invoices will refresh my
23	recollection.
24	MR. FRAM: Let's work on that. Let's see
25	here. We've got a few invoices.

1	CLARK BENSEN
2	We'll start with this one as Exhibit 3. I
3	should be clear. We talk about identifier numbers.
4	In litigation they're called Bates numbers at the
5	bottom of the page. These were not produced to us
б	with numbers. I just want the record to be clear, we
7	added these identifier numbers. We just added those
8	Bensen numbers at the bottom of the page just so that
9	we can identify the document.
10	So I'll just state for the record this is
11	Bensen_0000 five zeros. So -0000013.
12	(Deposition Exhibit 3 was marked for
13	identification.)
14	BY MR. FRAM:
15	Q. For the record, this is an invoice from
16	Polidata, LLC, and the date in the upper right-hand
17	corner is 10-4-2011.
18	Mr. Bensen, is this an invoice you submitted
19	to the Baker firm in October 2011?
20	A. It appears to be, yes.
21	Q. Okay. It says here there's a period, I
22	see, where it says, "to September 30." Do you see
23	that?
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. During your practice, your invoices would

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

	Page 69
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	cover a month or more than that?
3	A. Just really depends on how busy I am at the
4	time. There's not I work by myself. So there's no
5	administrative person keeping me on tap. So I will
6	sometimes I would usually do it, A, whenever I find
7	the time to do it, or, B, when I've reached a plateau
8	or something. But it's really at this period of
9	time, it was just happenstance.
10	Q. Well, under you see Q-t-y. That means
11	quantity. Do you see that?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. And then it says, "174"?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. That would be 174 hours?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. And your rate over there is \$200. Do you see
18	that over in the next column?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. So the totals, if you multiply out the 174
21	times the 200; is that right?
22	A. Hopefully.
23	Q. Okay. But do you recall whether or not you
24	spent 174 hours on this project in September, or
25	whether that went back to an earlier period of time?

	Page
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	A. I don't know.
3	Q. Okay. Can you break apart that 174 hours for
4	us in any way in terms of how like much was involved
5	in which aspect of the redistricting work or which
6	was well, let me back up a minute.
7	Of the 174 hours in the summer of 2011, do
8	you have any sense of what percentage of your time was
9	spent on Congressional redistricting as opposed to
10	state?
11	A. For Ohio?
12	Q. Ohio.
13	A. Not from this one invoice, no.
14	Q. By the way, I'm assuming where it says,
15	"Project, 2011-OHLEG-Re," that that covers both the
16	Congressional and the state map work; is that right?
17	A. I can't tell from this invoice alone.
18	Q. Did you provide separate invoices for the
19	Congressional work and the state reapportion work?
20	A. My recollection is there were four invoices,
21	and the first two were mostly legislative, and the
22	second two are mostly Congressional, but I can't tell
23	from the invoice alone.
24	MR. FRAM: Okay.
25	(Deposition Exhibit 4 was marked for

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

	Page
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	identification.)
3	MR. FRAM: I'll mark this next as 4,
4	Bensen_0000014, an invoice dated February 16, 2012.
5	Q. Does this appear to be an invoice that you
6	submitted to the Baker firm?
7	A. That's one of them, yes.
8	Q. And the description here of the work is the
9	same as in Exhibit 3, which is "Redistricting-General
10	Data Development." Do you see that?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. Does that refer to you providing election
13	results data to the individuals working on the
14	redistricting maps in Ohio in 2011?
15	A. Well, that would be that's my general rate
16	for all those types of things. In other words, I
17	would have a lower rate for strictly data and a higher
18	rate for like reports or analysis or something like
19	that. So just, in general, we cover most all kinds of
20	data manipulation or Maptitude stuff.
21	Q. And you have a different rate for litigation,
22	testifying work; is that right?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. Now, just comparing the two invoices, there
25	are 174 hours set forth in Exhibit 3 but only 8 hours

1	CLARK BENSEN
2	in Exhibit 4. Do you have a recollection that the
3	intensity of the project dropped off, say starting in
4	October? Do you remember that?
5	A. Well, my recollection was that the first
б	version of the Congressional plan was adopted in the
7	middle of September, and then nothing happened for, I
8	don't know, a month or something. And then there was
9	another, I guess, bill. So yes, from my recollection,
10	activity dropped off.
11	Q. Okay. Did your work pick up after for the
12	second bill that was introduced? The second
13	Congressional bill?
14	A. Well, I must have done some work during that
15	time, yes.
16	Q. So you did some work you did some work on
17	both bills; is that right?
18	A. Right. But my recollection is it would have
19	been second the revision was just fairly isolated,
20	or I don't exactly remember what the revision was, but
21	I didn't have much to look at, as I recall.
22	Q. Other than Mr. DiRossi and Ms. Mann, and I
23	think you mentioned Mr. Lenzo, did you have occasion
24	to interact with any other individuals in Ohio
25	regarding redistricting in 2011?

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

	Page
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	A. I don't recall anyone, no.
3	Q. Did you ever travel to Ohio in 2011 in
4	connection with any of the work you were doing on
5	redistricting?
б	A. I don't think I did. This was my very busy
7	period, and I rarely traveled because I had too much
8	work in other states.
9	(Deposition Exhibit 5 was marked for
10	identification.)
11	MR. FRAM: I'll mark next as Exhibit 5. It's
12	a document with Bates No. BRADEN000657. It's an
13	E-mail string earlier in time, seems to be Heather
14	Mann to Mark Braden, May 25, 2011, 1:45 p.m. There's
15	a subsequent E-mail from Mark Braden to you,
16	Mr. Bensen, dated June 1, 2011 at what appears to be,
17	I guess, 5:53 p.m.
18	Q. My first question is do you have any reason
19	to believe you did not receive this E-mail back around
20	June 1, 2011 from Mr. Braden?
21	A. All I have to go by is what's on the paper.
22	Q. Around June 1, 2011 do you recall whether you
23	were already working on Ohio redistricting or not?
24	A. I have no recollection.
25	Q. Okay. Do you recall submitting any budget

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

#### 1 CLARK BENSEN 2 estimates or expenses to Mr. Braden as part of your 3 work in the Ohio Congressional redistricting? 4 MS. RIGGINS: I'm going to object because 5 since Mr. Bensen was an expert consultant on the 6 project, we're going to consider a lot of his work and 7 conversations with Mr. Braden covered under both 8 attorney-client and work product privileges, but I'm 9 going to instruct the witness that he can answer the 10 specific question if he can do so without revealing 11 the substance of the conversation. 12 MR. FRAM: Just so we're clear for the 13 record, I had understood that, of course, Ms. McKnight 14 is counsel for Mr. Braden and has played a role in our 15 discovery issues regarding the contours of the 16 privilege. I have yet to have the pleasure of acting 17 with the Ogletree firm on those issues. So I didn't 18 know that you're representing Mr. Braden or had any 19 way, have any standing to assert the privilege on 20 these issues. 21 Of course, if there's new information I don't 22 have and you actually also are counsel for Mr. Braden 23 and are asserting a privilege on his behalf, then 24 please say so on the record so we're on the same page. 25 I'm asserting the privilege on MS. RIGGINS:

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	CLARK BENSEN
2	behalf of the legislative defendants who Mr. Braden
3	represented, including Mr. DiRossi and Ms. Mann.
4	MR. FRAM: My understanding is that the
5	attorney general's office is representing Mr. Braden
6	for that part of the project, and they've asserted
7	privilege from time to time. And as is the Baker
8	firm, Ms. McKnight, I just hadn't known that your firm
9	is also now a third law firm representing Mr. Braden
10	standing on the privilege.
11	I just want to note for the record we don't
12	understand that. We're glad to work with a couple law
13	firms we're already talking to about the privilege,
14	but we didn't realize that you were also asserting the
15	privilege for Mr. Braden.
16	MS. RIGGINS: Yes, on behalf of our client,
17	the legislative defendants, who were his clients.
18	MS. McKNIGHT: And for the record, Baker
19	Hostetler is representing Mr. Braden insofar as there
20	are any issues arising outside of his representation
21	and work for Ohio in 2011. So just to be clear in how
22	you were describing our role.
23	MR. FRAM: I don't think this will
24	necessarily come to anything, but if it ever does, I
25	just want to say that we don't agree that the Ogletree

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

	Page 76
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	firm has standing to assert any privilege whatsoever
3	on this issue. Just we'll just see where it goes.
4	I just don't want not say anything to be taken to mean
5	anything.
б	THE WITNESS: Can I have a moment with my
7	attorneys here?
8	MR. FRAM: You want to talk to your
9	attorneys?
10	THE WITNESS: Yeah.
11	MR. FRAM: Oh, sure. Talk to your attorneys.
12	THE WITNESS: It will be brief.
13	(A recess was taken from 10:52 a.m.
14	to 10:55 a.m.)
15	MR. FRAM: After your legal conversations,
16	anybody want to say anything?
17	MS. RIGGINS: Just for the record, to clear
18	it up, Ogletree Deakins is special counsel to the
19	attorney general's office as we represent the
20	legislative defendants. So Mr. Fram was focusing on
21	the representation of Mark Braden, and I want to make
22	it very clear that Ogletree is here and I am here as
23	special counsel to the attorney general's office
24	representing our clients, the legislative defendants.
25	The privilege is theirs to assert as they were the

	Page
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	clients of Mr. Braden.
3	MR. FRAM: Okay. Well, I didn't see him
4	showing up here today to assert it. So we'll keep
5	going forward. Like I said, I don't know if this will
6	come up or not.
7	Why don't we mark next as Exhibit 6 an
8	E-mail, JUDY_0001692 through -1696.
9	(Deposition Exhibit 6 was marked for
10	identification.)
11	MR. FRAM: It's an E-mail string, the first
12	page of which is an E-mail from Clark Bensen dated
13	August 10, 2011, 1:50 p.m., to Heather Mann, Mike
14	Lenzo, Miranda Thomas, with a "cc" to Mark Braden,
15	Troy Judy and John Barron.
16	Mr. Bensen, please take a quick look at this
17	one.
18	(The witness reviewed Exhibit 6.)
19	THE WITNESS: Okay.
20	BY MR. FRAM:
21	Q. Now, the Outlook E-mail string is in reverse
22	chronological order. The very first page is not the
23	earliest one that starts the string. So I just want
24	to point that out and then say we're going to have to
25	look at how this all gets started, but I guess I'd

1	CLARK BENSEN
2	start with a question for you about the E-mail that
3	you sent on August 10, 2011, 10:13 a.m.
4	A. What page is that?
5	Q. It's JUDY_000163 excuse me0001694
6	to -95. You'll see there's some other numbers on this
7	based on how long the string was when it was printed
8	out or the document was printed out, but this is an
9	E-mail, one coherent E-mail string, as far as we can
10	best tell. This document was part of a large
11	collection of E-mails, multiple strings, some quite
12	unrelated to each other. That's why you have two
13	different kinds of numbers on it.
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. So in any event, you see that E-mail from you
16	to Heather Mann, Miranda Thomas, Mike Lenzo, copy to
17	Mr. Braden, and then also it looks like another copy
18	to Ms. Mann dated August 10, 2011, time start
19	10:13 a.m.
20	A. 10:13 a.m.?
21	Q. Down at the bottom of the page, $-0001694$
22	A. Yes.
23	Q and it carries over to the top of the next
24	page, -1695. Do you see that?
25	A. Yes.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Page	79
------	----

1	CLARK BENSEN
2	Q. Okay. Do you have any reason to doubt that
3	you sent this E-mail to Ms. Mann and others on
4	August 10, 2011?
5	A. No.
6	Q. Okay. Do you see there's a reference in the
7	top of Page -1695 to you being in Cincinnati?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. Is it your recollection you traveled to
10	Cincinnati in the summer of 2011?
11	A. No, because I could have been at an NCSL
12	conference or something else. This doesn't help me
13	figure out that
14	Q. I'm not asking whether you made a special
15	trip just for the Ohio redistricting work. Just
16	whether or not you were in Cincinnati that summer.
17	A. Well, it appears I would be, yeah.
18	Q. Do you recall any conversations with anybody
19	in Cincinnati in the summer of 2011 regarding Ohio
20	redistricting?
21	A. I believe this is actually to attend an RNLA
22	conference in Cincinnati.
23	Q. What's RNLA?
24	A. Republican National Lawyers Association.
25	Q. Did you attend such a conference in

	Page
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	Cincinnati?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. Okay. Did you give a presentation at the
5	conference?
6	A. I don't recall it. I think I did, yes.
7	Q. Okay. Do you recall the contents of the
8	presentation?
9	A. I'm sure it had nothing to do with Ohio.
10	Q. Do you recall, while you were in Cincinnati,
11	talking to anybody about any issue related to
12	redistricting in Ohio?
13	A. No, because I was really, the RNLA
14	conference is just a day of seminars, and my
15	recollection is it was like a two-day seminar or two
16	days of it was the annual meeting. So it was like
17	probably Friday and Saturday. I remember I was really
18	only there for like half a day. I think I came in the
19	first night and spoke in the morning and flew out
20	early afternoon.
21	Q. Okay. Do you recall whether Mr well,
22	were only lawyers in attendance?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. Now, Ms. Mann is a lawyer. Are you aware of
25	that?

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

	Page	81
1	CLARK BENSEN	
2	A. I wasn't.	
3	Q. Do you recall if she was there?	
4	A. No.	
5	Q. Looking beyond just the issue of what was	
6	going on in Cincinnati, you'll see there's a reference	
7	to let me back up a minute.	
8	Do you see there's an E-mail from Mr. Lenzo	
9	to you dated August 10, 2011 at 10:22 in the morning?	
10	Do you see that?	
11	A. 10:22, yes.	
12	Q. Do you have any reason to doubt you received	
13	this E-mail?	
14	A. No.	
15	Q. And he says, "To date no one has instructed	
16	how to proceed with respect to 'Version 3', so it has	
17	not been requested." Do you see that?	
18	A. Yes.	
19	Q. Is he referring to Version 3 of a database	
20	being developed by folks at Cleveland State	
21	University?	
22	A. That's my understanding, which I believe is	
23	all legislative and not Congressional.	
24	Q. Okay. But there was your understanding,	
25	there was a database. Did you use the Cleveland State	

	rage
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	University database in any way in working on the
3	Congressional redistricting?
4	A. Not that I recall.
5	Q. Okay. Is it your understanding that this
б	E-mail string only concerns legislative issues as
7	regard to the legislative redistricting?
8	A. That's my recollection, yes.
9	Q. Is that true of all the other E-mails in the
10	string as you recall?
11	A. Anything relating to the OCURD file would be,
12	as far as I recall, relating to legislative plans.
13	(Deposition Exhibit 7 was marked for
14	identification.)
15	MR. FRAM: I'm going to mark now Exhibit 7, a
16	document Bates numbered BRADEN000782. It's another
17	E-mail string. The top of the first page is from
18	CLARK@POLIDATA.ORG to Heather Mann and then to Mark
19	Braden dated August 11, 2011 around 5:14 p.m., and
20	then there's an earlier-in-timing follow-down from
21	Caliper Technical Support dated Wednesday, August 10,
22	2011 at 1:57 p.m.
23	The first question is going to be whether you
24	have any reason to doubt that you sent the August 11,
25	2011, 5:14 p.m. E-mail to Ms. Mann and Mr. Braden.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Case: 1:18-cv-00357-TSB-KNM-MHW Doc #: 230-4 Filed: 02/20/19 Page: 83 of 182 PAGEID #: Page 83 1 CLARK BENSEN 2 (The witness reviewed Exhibit 7.) 3 THE WITNESS: No. 4 BY MR. FRAM: 5 Okay. That's your E-mail address; is that Q. 6 right? 7 Α. Yes. 8 MS. McKNIGHT: Objection. 9 BY MR. FRAM: 10 CLARK@POLIDATA.ORG, that's your -- that was Ο. 11 your E-mail back in 2011? 12 Α. Yes. 13 Do you see where you say, "Heather, We can Ο. 14 discuss this. It is painless for the Congressional 15 Do you see that? plan." 16 Α. Yes. So this is just released, in part, concerning 17 0. 18 questions as regard to the Congressional 19 redistricting? 20 This is in preparation for when the plan has Α. 21 been approved in getting it ready for the Secretary of 22 State and/or who is going to draft the final bill or 23 I think there were two levels. One was the the law. 24 bill, and then the law may have had a separate 25 requirements. But it was how to transfer the plan

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Page 84 1 CLARK BENSEN 2 geography when the plan was done into whatever 3 requirements the -- whatever legal requirements were 4 required for describing the geography. And the block equivalency files needed to be 5 0. 6 presented in a form that could be used to put the plan 7 into a bill? 8 Well, that would be the starting point, yes. Α. 9 The question was whether they could just reference a 10 block assignment file on file at the Secretary of 11 State's office or if they had to have a listing of 12 different geography within it. 13 What do you recall about that? 0. 14 Only what it says here, which is she's got --Α. 15 it has to be by census track. So a block equivalency 16 file per se would not do it. They would have to have 17 it broken down by different levels of geography. 18 So it would have to be broken down by census Ο. 19 track as well, not just census block; is that right? 20 Well, something along those lines. Α. So in 21 other words, some programming would have to be done to 22 get it to the point where the bill drafters could 23 incorporate it into the bill structure. 24 Is it your understanding that the bill Ο. Okay. 25 drafters needed to work with data at the census track

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

	Page
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	level?
3	A. Only based upon what it says here.
4	Q. Do you have any recollection about that issue
5	as you sit here?
б	A. No.
7	Q. Did you do anything to make the election
8	result data usable at a census tracked level?
9	A. Can you rephrase the question?
10	Q. Did you do anything you said this is for
11	the Congressional plan. You say that. Did you do any
12	painless thing?
13	A. Well, obviously, once I got this, it wasn't
14	painless. It was easy. Painless would be if you just
15	exported a block assignment file. For the
16	legislative, it was much more difficult. So I was
17	just telling her this is not a difficult thing for the
18	Congressional plan. I don't know if I did anything.
19	I assume I probably wrote some program to allow the
20	export of it into the fashion that the bill drafters
21	needed.
22	Q. Okay. And just so I understand correctly,
23	that would have included election result data?
24	A. No, just geography.
25	Q. So it would be correlating particular

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

	Page
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	geography units with the district; is that right?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. Okay. So, for example, correlating in the
5	census tracked different census tracks with the
б	district; is that right?
7	A. Yes. It would normally be arranged by
8	district, and it would say, "These census tracks are
9	in this district." Some of them may have been split.
10	If the track was split, then it would list the blocks
11	they were in.
12	MR. FRAM: Okay. So we have marked
13	Exhibit 8, a document with Bensen_0000033 through -37.
14	(Deposition Exhibit 8 was marked for
15	identification.)
16	BY MR. FRAM:
17	Q. It's another E-mail string. However, this
18	has got some what appear to be redactions.
19	Somebody has blocked somebody's information.
20	So I'm going to start on Page 0000035.
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. E-mail from Heather Mann, Monday, August 15,
23	2011, 7:03 p.m. to Clark Bensen, also Tracy Horgan and
24	also Caliper Technical Support. Do you see that?
25	There's several people cc'd, Ray DiRossi, Troy Judy,

	Page
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	Mark Braden, Lynda Jacobsen, and Mark Lenzo. Do you
3	see that?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. Any reason to doubt you received this E-mail
б	on or about August 15, 2011?
7	A. No.
8	Q. Okay. Now, this comes a few days after the
9	previous E-mail we saw where you were saying it would
10	be, your word, painless, to respond to the need to get
11	the geography files at the track level for the
12	Congressional plan. Is this dealing with the same
13	issue or different issues, this E-mail in Exhibit 8?
14	MS. McKNIGHT: Objection.
15	THE WITNESS: It appears to be the same
16	issue.
17	BY MR. FRAM:
18	Q. Okay. If you go back to the E-mail from
19	Lynda Jacobsen back on August 15, 2011 at 9:38 a.m.
20	at Bensen_0000036, carrying over to the next page,
21	-37. Do you see that E-mail?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. See where she describes some of the issues
24	that folks are running into because they're preparing
25	a bill. Do you see that?

	Page 88
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. Does this help refresh your recollection as
4	to what some of the problems the folks were having as
5	they were trying to create a final bill?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. What were the problems that you remember?
8	A. They didn't understand what the codes were.
9	Q. What was the solution?
10	A. I don't know. I assume I translated it via a
11	program so they could read 31 3910796770011217 or
12	some sort of set of numbers a string of numbers,
13	let's say that, into county track block.
14	Q. Okay. Do you recall doing that?
15	A. I don't recall doing it.
16	Q. Do you recall if anybody else did that?
17	A. No.
18	Q. Now, Ms. Jacobson, she's with the Ohio
19	legislative service commission. Do you see that?
20	There's a signature block at the end of the E-mail.
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. Okay. Do you have any understanding what
23	their function was in the Congressional map
24	redistricting process in 2011?
25	A. I assume they were the ones that put it into

1	CLARK BENSEN
2	bill format. I have no reason to believe I didn't
3	provide them the translation of this into the format
4	for them to do that. I have no recollection of
5	specifically doing it.
б	MR. FRAM: Okay.
7	(Deposition Exhibit 9 was marked for
8	identification.)
9	MR. FRAM: Exhibit 9 is a document with Bates
10	No. Bensen_0000044. It's an E-mail from Heather Mann
11	to Clark Bensen, cc Ray DiRossi dated September 16,
12	2011 at 10:48 p.m.
13	Q. Mr. Bensen, do you have any doubt that you
14	received this E-mail on or about September 16, 2011?
15	A. No.
16	Q. Do you see there's a reference to importing
17	"old maps/plans as SHPE files." Do you see that?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. Do you recall providing that information to
20	Ms. Mann in September 2011 in connection with
21	Congressional redistricting?
22	A. I'm not sure that's what this infers. She's
23	talking about importing maps that she has somehow that
24	are SHPE files.
25	REPORTER MARTIN: What files?

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Page	90
------	----

1       CLARK BENSEN         2       THE WITNESS: SHPE files, just S-H-P-E,         3       files.         4       BY MR. FRAM:         5       Q. Why don't we say for the record what a SHPE         6       file is, please.         7       A. It's just a computer file that has a         8       representation of the outline of any piece of         9       geography. One record, one file per shape.         10       Q. Did you have on your crew SHPE files for the         11       Ohio Congressional district?         12       A. Not that I recall. Almost everything I         13       may have if they sent it to me as a SHPE file, but t	age
files. File Files, just of http://integregation.org/lines. BY MR. FRAM: Q. Why don't we say for the record what a SHPE file is, please. A. It's just a computer file that has a representation of the outline of any piece of geography. One record, one file per shape. Q. Did you have on your crew SHPE files for the Ohio Congressional district? A. Not that I recall. Almost everything I may have if they sent it to me as a SHPE file, but to the second se	
<ul> <li>BY MR. FRAM:</li> <li>Q. Why don't we say for the record what a SHPE</li> <li>file is, please.</li> <li>A. It's just a computer file that has a</li> <li>representation of the outline of any piece of</li> <li>geography. One record, one file per shape.</li> <li>Q. Did you have on your crew SHPE files for the</li> <li>Ohio Congressional district?</li> <li>A. Not that I recall. Almost everything I</li> <li>may have if they sent it to me as a SHPE file, but t</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Q. Why don't we say for the record what a SHPE</li> <li>file is, please.</li> <li>A. It's just a computer file that has a</li> <li>representation of the outline of any piece of</li> <li>geography. One record, one file per shape.</li> <li>Q. Did you have on your crew SHPE files for the</li> <li>Ohio Congressional district?</li> <li>A. Not that I recall. Almost everything I</li> <li>may have if they sent it to me as a SHPE file, but t</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>file is, please.</li> <li>A. It's just a computer file that has a</li> <li>representation of the outline of any piece of</li> <li>geography. One record, one file per shape.</li> <li>Q. Did you have on your crew SHPE files for the</li> <li>Ohio Congressional district?</li> <li>A. Not that I recall. Almost everything I</li> <li>may have if they sent it to me as a SHPE file, but t</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>A. It's just a computer file that has a</li> <li>representation of the outline of any piece of</li> <li>geography. One record, one file per shape.</li> <li>Q. Did you have on your crew SHPE files for the</li> <li>Ohio Congressional district?</li> <li>A. Not that I recall. Almost everything I</li> <li>may have if they sent it to me as a SHPE file, but the</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>representation of the outline of any piece of</li> <li>geography. One record, one file per shape.</li> <li>Q. Did you have on your crew SHPE files for the</li> <li>Ohio Congressional district?</li> <li>A. Not that I recall. Almost everything I</li> <li>may have if they sent it to me as a SHPE file, but t</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>9 geography. One record, one file per shape.</li> <li>10 Q. Did you have on your crew SHPE files for the</li> <li>11 Ohio Congressional district?</li> <li>12 A. Not that I recall. Almost everything I</li> <li>13 may have if they sent it to me as a SHPE file, but t</li> </ul>	
Q. Did you have on your crew SHPE files for the Ohio Congressional district? A. Not that I recall. Almost everything I may have if they sent it to me as a SHPE file, but t	
<ul> <li><sup>11</sup> Ohio Congressional district?</li> <li><sup>12</sup> A. Not that I recall. Almost everything I</li> <li><sup>13</sup> may have if they sent it to me as a SHPE file, but t</li> </ul>	
<sup>12</sup> A. Not that I recall. Almost everything I <sup>13</sup> may have if they sent it to me as a SHPE file, but t	e
$^{13}$ may have if they sent it to me as a SHPE file, but t	
	he
<sup>14</sup> normal way would be that we were transferred geograp	hy
$^{15}$ via a block assignment file. They may have had a SE	PE
<sup>16</sup> file that they got somewhere else. I think the	
$^{17}$ question here was they were trying to deal with the	
<sup>18</sup> Secretary of State in how to provide the information	
<sup>19</sup> whenever the plans were done on Secretary of State's	
$^{20}$ website. So they wanted to have both the block	
<sup>21</sup> equivalency file and a SHPE file.	
<sup>22</sup> So this appears to be they were trying to	
<sup>23</sup> play with both of them because importing them in	
<sup>24</sup> Maptitude is different.	
Q. I'm sorry.	

1	CLARK BENSEN
2	A. Importing a SHPE file into Maptitude is
3	different than importing a block assignment file.
4	Q. Okay. So they didn't want to provide the
5	SHPE files. They wanted some instruction to you as to
б	how to do it; is that right?
7	A. That's my recollection. That's what it
8	appears to be here. I don't have a recollection, but
9	that's the kind of stuff they would have asked me
10	questions like that fairly often. Well, not fairly
11	often, but whenever they arose.
12	MR. FRAM: I understand.
13	(Deposition Exhibit 10 was marked for
14	identification.)
15	MR. FRAM: We're going to look at next, I
16	guess we'll mark it as Exhibit 10 in this deposition.
17	It has a previous sticker on it, Exhibit 11. My
18	understanding is this is it's 11 from a prior
19	litigation. But in any event, it's an E-mail from
20	Clark Bensen to Ray DiRossi, Heather Mann, Troy Judy,
21	Matt Schuler, Benjamin Yoho, Vaughn Flasher, Mike
22	Lenzo, with a "cc" to Mark Braden dated July 10, 2011.
23	That's the physically the first one E-mail on the
24	document that is not the earliest in time. It's
25	another Outlook E-mail string that is the most recent

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

	Page
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	in time.
3	There are other E-mail there's one other
4	E-mail on the string immediately below and is from Ray
5	DiRossi dated Sunday, July 10, 2011 at 4:22 p.m. And
б	it's to Heather Mann, Troy Judy, Matt Schuler,
7	Benjamin Yoho, Vaughn Flasher, Mike Lenzo. Also
8	someone called JODY@CAPITALSTRATEGIESGROUP with a cc
9	to Mark Bensen Mark Braden, I'm sorry, and a cc to
10	you, Clark Bensen.
11	(The witness reviewed Exhibit 10.)
12	THE WITNESS: Okay.
13	BY MR. FRAM:
14	Q. Mr. Bensen, my first question is do you have
15	any reason to doubt that you were copied on the E-mail
16	from Ray DiRossi dated Sunday, July 10, 2011, at
17	4:22 p.m.?
18	A. No.
19	Q. And do you have any reason to doubt that you
20	in fact responded on Sunday July 10, 2011 at
21	4:44 p.m.?
22	A. No.
23	Q. Now, you see in Mr. DiRossi's E-mail, do you
24	see where he's referring to "using the 5 races listed
25	below (equally weighted) to comprise our index number

	Page
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	for apportionment and redistricting." Do you see
3	that?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. And then do you see it says that he's copying
6	you to make sure that they have the races and the
7	political data to be used in Maptitude? Do you see
8	that?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. Do you recall receiving a selection of
11	elections to be used for index for Congressional
12	redistricting in 2011?
13	A. I don't recall it, but I have no reason to
14	think I didn't receive this.
15	Q. Do you see in the elections here he indicates
16	that, in the 2004 Presidential election, the 2006
17	auditor election, 2006 attorney general election, 2008
18	Presidential election, and the 2010 governor election.
19	Do you see those?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. Do you have any recollection that those were
22	elections that were selected by the map drawers in
23	Ohio in 2011 for their index for scoring Congressional
24	districts?
25	A. Do I have any recollection of that?

	Page
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	Q. Right.
3	A. No more than this E-mail.
4	Q. You say at the top of the at the top of
5	the page of the exhibit, in your response you say,
б	"Ray, A good start
7	"Thanks, Clark." Do you see that?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. Did you provide any further information to
10	further iterate the index?
11	A. Not that I recall. My only recollection was
12	they were not specific to this. My general
13	recollection was they were trying to integrate it into
14	their Maptitude data files. In other words, I gave
15	them the basic numbers. He's trying to figure out how
16	to have that into the Maptitude side so they could
17	have it calculated when they're doing their plans.
18	Q. When you said, "a good start," do you have
19	any recollection of what you meant?
20	A. Well, he understood the basic concepts of
21	what needed to be done.
22	Q. And what were the basic concepts, as far as
23	you understood?
24	A. He picked races that appeared to be relevant
25	to him and used the two major party vote.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Page	95
------	----

1CLARK BENSEN2Q. Okay. Did you have any understanding as t3whether or not the races he chose understated or4overstated likely Republican strength?	
<sup>3</sup> whether or not the races he chose understated or	
	÷
<sup>4</sup> overstated likely Republican strength?	÷
	+
<sup>5</sup> A. What was the first part of the question?	+
6 Q. I'll say it again because I'm obviously no	L
<sup>7</sup> getting there.	
<sup>8</sup> Did you have any understanding as to wheth	er
<sup>9</sup> the races he chose overstated or understated likely	
<sup>10</sup> Republican strength in Ohio?	
A. I didn't have any understanding. That isn	't
<sup>12</sup> what I was asked.	
13 (Deposition Exhibit 11 was marked for	
<pre>14 identification.)</pre>	
<sup>15</sup> MR. FRAM: Okay. Why don't we have marked	
<sup>16</sup> next as Exhibit 11 to this deposition a document	
<sup>17</sup> titled Bensen_000000 six zeros -4, and it	
<sup>18</sup> goes through No. 6.	
<sup>19</sup> (The witness reviewed Exhibit 11.)	
20 BY MR. FRAM:	
Q. I'll represent that we added the identifie	r
<sup>22</sup> number, but these came from your document productio	n
<sup>23</sup> in this case.	
24 A. Yes.	
Q. Do you recall seeing this document that yo	u

		Page
1		CLARK BENSEN
2	pulled of	ff your computer?
3	A.	Yes.
4	Q.	This is a document you created?
5	Α.	Yes.
б		MR. FRAM: The document had some meta data
7	with it.	We're going to mark it as Exhibit 12, the
8	meta data	a we've generated.
9		(Deposition Exhibit 12 was marked for
10		identification.)
11	BY MR. FI	RAM:
12	Q.	We generated this meta data, but from the
13	computer	file you gave us. You'll see on Exhibit 12
14	there's a	a reference to the "Date Created." Do you see
15	that?	
16	Α.	Yes.
17	Q.	It's July 22, 2011?
18	Α.	Uh-huh.
19	Q.	Is it consistent with your recollection that
20	you creat	ed Exhibit 11 on or about July 22, 2011?
21		MS. McKNIGHT: Objection.
22		You may answer.
23		THE WITNESS: I assume so.
24	BY MR. FI	RAM:
25	Q.	Do you recall creating Exhibit 11 as part of

	Pa	ge 97
1	CLARK BENSEN	
2	the work you were doing in Ohio redistricting?	
3	A. I remember creating the document, yes.	
4	Q. Do you see in the upper on Exhibit 11, th	.e
5	upper right corner you'll see do you see that?	
6	A. Yes.	
7	Q. That would be for Ohio; is that right?	
8	A. Yes.	
9	Q. And 2002 to 2010, that refers to the time	
10	period for the election results you were looking at;	
11	is that right?	
12	A. Yes.	
13	Q. And under where it says, "State," does that	
14	mean you were looking at statewide election results?	
15	A. No. This is the result for the state totals	•
16	Q. Okay. And then I promised you your initials	
17	would be important. Do you see where it says,	
18	"Author" and meta data 12 "chb"	
19	A. Yes.	
20	Q under "Author." That's your initials?	
21	A. Yes.	
22	Q. Now, turning to Exhibit 11, it says, "Exampl	е
23	of Calculations for Election Averages." Do you see	
24	that, first page?	
25	A. Yes.	

Page	98

	Page
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	Q. Then it says, "EA11 to EA16."
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. Does "EA" stand for election averages?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Okay. Is that a nomenclature that you
7	developed, or is it something that others developed,
8	EA?
9	A. It's my nomenclature.
10	Q. All right. And do you recall if you shared
11	this document, Exhibit 11, with anyone after you
12	created it?
13	A. I don't recall. I assume the reason I did it
14	in this format was so that I could send it to Ray or
15	Heather so they could understand how the averages were
16	calculated.
17	Q. Had they asked you to calculate different
18	averages?
19	A. I don't know I don't recall that they did.
20	Generally I would do it for my clients because these
21	are generic averages, to get them kind of the flavor
22	for what the range is in any piece of geography. So
23	they could pick and choose from these if they thought
24	that's useful. It's more just so they had something
25	handy to work with until they decide what they want

	Page
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	themselves to come up with.
3	Q. Okay. So that was your ordinary practice, to
4	generate different EA scorings and then send it to the
5	client?
6	A. Yes, generally.
7	Q. Do you have any reason to think you did not
8	follow that practice in the case of Ohio Congressional
9	redistricting in 2011?
10	A. No, I think I probably did include these in
11	the data sets when I sent them, yes.
12	Q. Okay. If you look over EA12. Do you see
13	that, the second set of scorings on the first page of
14	Exhibit 11. Do you see that?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. And do you see there are different elections
17	listed in the well, far left column and the
18	immediate to the left column. Do you see that?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. There are five elections. Am I reading that
21	correctly?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. This, by the way, is described as an "(as
24	selected by client)." Do you see that?
25	A. Yes.

	Page 100
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	Q. Is it your recollection let's talk about
3	what those elections were. The "2004 P," does that
4	mean 2004 Presidential?
5	A. It would be the same five that are on that
6	E-mail.
7	Q. That being Exhibit 10, the E-mail from
8	Mr. DiRossi?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. So, once again, you got 2004 Presidential,
11	2006 auditor, 2006 attorney general, 2008
12	Presidential, and 2010 governor. Do you see that?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. Okay. So those are the five client selected
15	elections for the EA12 index; is that right?
16	A. I'm not sure that my use of the term "(as
17	selected by client)" here means this is what they
18	selected as opposed to this is an example of what you
19	could select. In other words, I went through and just
20	picked out close races in the mix versus the following
21	page where I've broken them out by on year and off
22	year.
23	Q. Let's stick with EA 12.
24	A. I don't have a recollection to know whether
25	this means I did this after they selected them or as a

Page 10	) ]	_
---------	-----	---

	Page
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	preparatory.
3	Q. Well, if we compare the date of Mr. DiRossi's
4	E-mail, it's July 10. Do you see that on Exhibit 10?
5	A. Yeah.
6	Q. If you look at the meta data on Exhibit 12,
7	and that has this document being created on July 22,
8	about 12 days later. Do you see that?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. Does that help refresh your recollection
11	that, in fact, "as selected" here means this was the
12	five elections that Mr. DiRossi had selected back on
13	July 10?
14	MS. McKNIGHT: Objection.
15	THE WITNESS: It could well be. I mean I
16	have no recollection per se of that.
17	BY MR. FRAM:
18	Q. Okay. But in any event, it's the same five
19	elections in the E-mail as you testified and on
20	Exhibit 11 for EA12; is that right?
21	A. Well, yes, because it's obvious that those
22	are close races.
23	Q. I'm sorry?
24	A. It's obvious that the ones listed in 10 were
25	close races.

1	CLARK BENSEN
2	Q. Okay. Why don't we go across some of the
3	columns on these because they seem to be the same
4	across the different let me back up a minute.
5	They are EA scorings for five different
б	averages; is that right? Excuse me. Six averages.
7	Six different averages, EA11 through EA16; is that
8	right?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. And the columns appear to be the same in each
11	of those tables; is that right?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. Why don't we go through what the meanings are
14	for each of the columns if we could. Just do it once
15	for all. So for the far left is the "Year." That's
16	the year of the election; is that right?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. Okay. And then under the year, individual
19	years there's a "Sum," S-u-m. Does that stand for
20	adding up all the election results for all those
21	years; is that right?
22	A. It's a total of the records above it, yes.
23	Q. And "Avg," what's that mean?
24	A. The average.
25	Q. And EA is election that stands for, you

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

<b>D</b>	1	0 0
Page		03
	_	~ ~

		Page -	
1		CLARK BENSEN	
2	said, ele	ection average?	
3	Α.	Right.	
4	Q.	Why is EA I'm noticing now that EA is not	
5	the same	number as average; right?	
6	Α.	Correct.	
7	Q.	What's the difference, please?	
8	Α.	It doesn't include the other votes.	
9	Q.	The third-party votes?	
10	Α.	Third minor parties.	
11	Q.	So EA would be the two-party only average; is	
12	that rig	nt?	
13	Α.	Yes.	
14	Q.	Okay. Thank you.	
15		And then "Dem," the next column over, that's	
16	<sup>16</sup> the Democrat votes in that election; is that right?		
17	Α.	Yes.	
18	Q.	And "Rep" is Republican?	
19	Α.	Yes.	
20	Q.	And "Oth" would be the third party or minor	
21	party vo	tes; is that right?	
22	Α.	Whatever's left over.	
23	Q.	And "Tot," the next column over, that's total	
24	votes; is	s that right?	
25	Α.	It's a total of the previous three columns.	

		Page 104		
1		CLARK BENSEN		
2	Q.	And Dem percentage, that's percentage of the		
3	two-party vote or of all the votes?			
4	Α.	Total vote.		
5	Q.	Okay. And Rep percentage similarly is		
6	Republican percentage of the total vote, including			
7	<sup>7</sup> third party?			
8	Α.	Yes.		
9	Q.	And Oth percentage is the minor or		
10	third-party percentage; is that right?			
11	Α.	Yes.		
12	Q.	What does "TotMPV" stand for?		
13	Α.	The total of just Dem and the Rep.		
14	Q.	So just the two-party total, in other words?		
15	Α.	"MPV" is major party vote.		
16	Q.	Major. Thank you.		
17		And DMP percentage, is that the Democratic		
18	percentage of the two-party vote, the major party			
19	vote?			
20	Α.	Right.		
21	Q.	That's the next column over.		
22		And RMP percentage, is that the Republican		
23	percenta	ge of the major party vote; is that right?		
24	Α.	Yes.		
25	Q.	What does "MOV" stand for, the far right		

		Page 105
1		CLARK BENSEN
2	column?	
3	A.	Margin of victory. Just the difference
4	between	the Rep and the Dem percentage.
5	Q.	Other percentage differences?
б	Α.	Yes.
7	Q.	And is that the difference in their
8	percenta	ges in the major party vote?
9	Α.	Yes.
10	Q.	Now I just want to go through the different
11	options	you presented here. EA11 is defined as
12	"top-tic	ket races." Do you see that?
13	Α.	Yes.
14	Q.	That's just President and governor only; is
15	that rig	ht?
16	Α.	Yes.
17	Q.	It says you provided that EA11 applied quota
18	"for MIX	ED years." Do you see that?
19	A.	Yes.
20	Q.	What's "mixed year" mean?
21	Α.	Each election year. It's not broken out by
22	on year	or off year.
23	Q.	Let's just define that for the record so that
24	everyone	understands. What's an on year?
25	Α.	A Presidential year.

1	CLARK BENSEN
2	Q. Okay. And an off year would be a
3	non-presidential year?
4	A. Yes. Or a mid-term year in this case.
5	Q. Did you ever you presented this EA11
б	option. Is there any reason a client would prefer to
7	use the EA11 option? Any advantages?
8	A. Well, it just tells you what the high level
9	is. Those are the races with the highest turnout.
10	It's just the point here is just to give them some
11	range of dynamics in any piece of geography.
12	Q. So EAll would be a high turnout year. That's
13	the reason for considering it; is that right?
14	A. Well, not necessarily the high turnout year,
15	a high turnout on the ballot. This is the top of the
16	ballot regardless of year.
17	Q. I see. I see. Picking the top. Regardless
18	of the year, it would be the highest turnout because
19	those are the top of the tickets in that year?
20	A. Right. There wasn't as much roll-off
21	well, generally, there would be no roll-off from the
22	top of the ticket.
23	Q. Right. Right. It's unusual to have more
24	people vote for local assessor than it is for
25	President. It goes the other way around. Isn't that

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Daara	11	07
Page	ι	JI

	Page
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	right?
3	A. Well, there are far fewer people voting for
4	assessor than there are President, yes.
5	Q. I understand. Like I said, for EA12, it's
б	your understanding that, as you say, close races were
7	chosen; is that right?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. And where it says quota "adjusted to 50/50,
10	does that mean well, what does that mean, "adjusted
11	to 50/50"?
12	A. What I would do in some cases like this is
13	these are close races, but they're not actually 50-50.
14	So I would adjust them down a little bit one way or
15	the other so that they were closer to actually 50-50.
16	So, in other words, there was a 51 percent Democratic
17	race. I would basically take a percent off so as to
18	try to conflate them all into being even closer to
19	50 percent each.
20	Q. Was there a certain amount by which you would
21	bring them closer to 50-50, a certain number of
22	percentage points?
23	A. It just depends on how far away from 50 they
24	were.
25	Q. Would you try to make them all as close to

Page	108

	Fage
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	50-50 as you could?
3	A. Yes. That was the theory here.
4	Q. But if you had a situation well, let's
5	just take the top line. 2004 Presidential. Do you
6	see that? Go over to the DMP percent and the RMP
7	percent columns. Do you see that?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. And the DMP is 48.63. Do you see that?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. And the RMP percent is 51.37. Do you see
12	that?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. Does that reflect the numbers before or after
15	you adjusted them to make them closer to 50-50?
16	A. Before.
17	Q. So the 50-50 it's just simply 50-50. There's
18	nothing or is there some number between these
19	values and 50-50 you would generate?
20	A. No. It would really just be basically, for
21	instance, in that race, 2004 Presidential from a
22	Democratic percentage, it was under 50. So I would
23	raise it up like a point and a half. When you look at
24	the actual numbers, it's not necessarily going to add
25	up in the redistricting database. It may not actually

	rage i
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	add up to 50-50, but it would be closer to 50-50 than
3	48-50 49-51.
4	Q. And you said you would do that in the
5	redistricting database?
6	A. I do it in the data before I put it into the
7	redistrict database. But because of the multiple
8	levels of geography, it wasn't going to give them an
9	exact fact. It was just to give them some sense of if
10	you had a close race but not just one close race, you
11	looked at a mix of them, this is how it might come
12	out.
13	Q. Did you provide the election results as
14	adjusted to 50-50 to Ms. Mann or Mr. DiRossi in 2011?
15	A. My recollection is it was in the database,
16	yes.
17	Q. Do you know if they used that information?
18	A. I have no idea.
19	Q. I want to understand, when I see an EA12
20	scoring value, if I see it here, it's not yet adjusted
21	to 50-50; is that right?
22	A. These numbers are the raw data numbers. They
23	don't reflect the adjustment to 50-50. The difference
24	here versus what was in here is I adjusted 50-50.
25	When Ray in Exhibit 10 was talking about his five

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

	raye
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	races, he wasn't adjusting them. He was just using
3	them as they were.
4	Q. Okay. And so, similarly, here on Exhibit 11
5	for EA12, these numbers are not adjusted. These are
6	just the numbers as they are?
7	A. Everything else well, no. It says on the
8	top of each of the sub tables whether they were
9	adjusted or not. It would have basically been only
10	the close races that I would adjust.
11	Q. That's why I'm asking because it says here,
12	"adjusted to 50-50" for this table on the first page
13	of Exhibit 11, and then we're looking over here at the
14	Democrat and Republican percentages, before I believe
15	you had said they had not been adjusted. So I'm
16	trying to understand whether they were or they weren't
17	just to know I'm reading the document correctly.
18	A. These are the raw numbers. I was trying to
19	illustrate the difference between what the adjustment
20	was, which was basically all 50-50 versus what I had
21	to start before I did the adjustment. In other words,
22	if one was 65 percent they would know that would be a
23	huge adjustment. So what's the point of having it in
24	there. So this is really just to say, "These are the
25	races that were chosen to put into this." The end

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

111

	Page
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	result was they were more or less 50-50.
3	Q. Okay. Turn to EA13. Top of it says, "close
4	races (from EA12) for on years, adjusted to 50/50."
5	Do you see that?
б	A. Yes.
7	Q. And on that one it says well, explain what
8	you were doing here. These are close races from EA12
9	for on years. What do you mean by that?
10	A. It's the same as the table for EA12, but it's
11	only for the Presidential years. One each year.
12	Q. And EA14, similarly, is for the
13	non-presidential years; is that right?
14	A. Just one in each year.
15	Q. Right. I got it. So you have for the A14
16	you just got you have the attorney general and the
17	governor race; is that right?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. Okay. I understand. Then going over to
20	EA15.
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. You've got "good/high GOP performance
23	%btw" I assume is between "55-60 for mixed years,
24	unadjusted." Do you see that?
25	A. Yes.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Case	e: 1:18-cv-00357-TSB-KNM-MHW Doc #: 230-4 Filed: 02/20/19 Page: 112 of 182 PAGEID #: 12262
	Page 112
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	Q. What are the elections that you included
3	there?
4	A. "S" is the U.S. Senator, and "J" is the state
5	treasurer.
6	Q. Those are stronger Republican years; is that
7	right? Stronger as far as elections?

<sup>8</sup> A. Yes.

<sup>9</sup> Q. Republicans had better than 55 percent; is
 <sup>10</sup> that right?

<sup>11</sup> A. Yes.

Q. EA16, conversely, it says, "bad/low GOP performance." Do you see that?

<sup>14</sup> A. Yes.

<sup>15</sup> Q. Okay. Here you're looking at the 2006 senate <sup>16</sup> and the 2006 treasurer; is that right?

<sup>17</sup> A. Yes.

Q. Okay. And then the note at the bottom, you provide clarification as to what adjusted and

<sup>20</sup> unadjusted means; is that right?

<sup>21</sup> A. Yes.

Q. And you make it clear that adjustments would mean different than those listed here?

A. Right, because when you actually implement it
 throughout the whole data set, they're -- first off,

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1:18-cv-00357-TSB-KNM-MHW Doc #: 230-4 Filed: 02/20/19 Page: 113 of 182 PAGEID #: 12263
Page 113
CLARK BENSEN
they're going to be adjusted, and they're still not
going to add up.
Q. Do you know if Ms. Mann or Mr. DiRossi used
the adjusted numbers in the generation of maps for
Ohio in 2011?
A. I don't. It would appear from this,
Exhibit 10, that they probably just used the basic raw
data.
Q. Okay. Did you ever have a conversation with
them as to whether they should be using a high GOP
performance index?
MS. RIGGINS: Objection. Mr. Bensen's
conversation and the substance of those conversations
with Ms. Mann and Mr. DiRossi are covered under the
attorney-client privilege. Mr. Bensen may answer the
precise question asked but not revealing any
MR. FRAM: Just for the record, we've had
lots of testimony about their communications and we've
also had plenty of documents. If that's your
position, our position is that privilege is utterly
and completely waived.
Q. You may answer the question.
A. Would you restate the question.

25 Yeah. Did you talk to them at all about Q.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

CLARK BENSEN They should use a high GOP performance index? MS. McKNIGHT: Just remember to answer the e question. THE WITNESS: Did I have a conversation re time. FRAM: Did you have any communications in any way whether to use a high performance a high GOP mance index or not?
<pre>MS. McKNIGHT: Just remember to answer the e question.   THE WITNESS: Did I have a conversation re time. FRAM:   Did you have any communications in any way whether to use a high performance a high GOP</pre>
e question. THE WITNESS: Did I have a conversation ce time. FRAM: Did you have any communications in any way whether to use a high performance a high GOP
THE WITNESS: Did I have a conversation ce time. FRAM: . Did you have any communications in any way whether to use a high performance a high GOP
re time. FRAM: . Did you have any communications in any way whether to use a high performance a high GOP
FRAM: . Did you have any communications in any way whether to use a high performance a high GOP
. Did you have any communications in any way whether to use a high performance a high GOP
whether to use a high performance a high GOP
mance index or not?
. I had conversation with him about whether to
e. I merely explained what was there.
. What do you recall about that conversation?
MS. RIGGINS: Objection. Covered under the
ey-client privilege. This is substance of the
sation, and it's not just technical advice.
asking about the substance of why one would do
ing over the other.
MR. FRAM: I'm just asking about facts.
. What do you recall about the conversation?
MS. RIGGINS: Objection. Covered under the
MS. RIGGINS: Objection. Covered under the ey-client privilege.
ey-client privilege.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	CLARK BENSEN
2	MS. RIGGINS: Yes.
3	MR. FRAM: Okay.
4	Q. Are you following the lawyer from
5	Ogletree's who's representing you here today?
6	A. That's a good question. She's representing
7	the as far as I understand, the privileges of the
8	actual client, being the legislature or the state or
9	whomever, and Ms. McKnight more or less representing
10	me.
11	Q. Are you following the instruction not to
12	answer the question?
13	A. Well, generally, I will do that. My
14	conversations here were totally technical. So that's
15	all I can say. There's nothing, from what I recall
16	about it, being privileged. In her defense, she's
17	saying it could be privileged. My recollection is it
18	wasn't a conversation that got to that level.
19	Q. So given that testimony, what can you tell me
20	about the conversation since you don't recall anything
21	about it being privileged?
22	A. It was pretty much the same as our colloquy
23	about what was in it.
24	Q. I'm sorry. If you could state for the
25	record we've covered a lot of territory. So I'm

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Page 1	1	6
--------	---	---

	Page
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	trying to focus on what we'd actually discussed with
3	them.
4	A. Just what was in the averages.
5	Q. So you explained the averages to them. Is
б	that what you recall?
7	A. Right.
8	Q. Okay. And was this on a telephone call or in
9	person? Do you recall?
10	A. I hardly ever saw them in person. So I guess
11	it was a telephone call.
12	Q. Okay. And do you recall any questions they
13	asked you about different averages?
14	A. No.
15	Q. Do you recall any from a substantive,
16	technical matter, the pros-and-cons of different
17	averages with them?
18	A. I'm sure I did. Just, again, to the extent
19	these were a range of offerings they could use. If
20	they wanted to get a sense of the dynamics of any
21	piece of geography without calculating it themselves,
22	this gave us something to start with.
23	Q. Do you recall them saying they preferred to
24	go the A12?
25	A. No. And my recollection is they were using

	Fage
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	their own version of it regardless of what I gave
3	them, but that's all I can
4	Q. But the one version they were talking about,
5	would that reflect the elections the data set forth
б	in Mr. DiRossi's E-mail that's been marked as
7	Exhibit 10?
8	A. I have no recollection of it aside from that
9	E-mail. My only recollection was I helped them to
10	calculate something themselves, to calculate what it
11	was. It could have been this. It could have been
12	some other E-mails we haven't seen.
13	Q. Then did you give them EA data so that they
14	could use in Maptitude?
15	A. Excuse me?
16	Q. Did you give them EA data that they could
17	then use in Maptitude?
18	A. My recollection is it was included in the
19	data set I sent them, yes.
20	Q. So the data set you sent them included
21	various EA information; is that right?
22	A. That's my best recollection.
23	Q. And that could not be used to provide an
24	index value for different Congressional districts as
25	each district was drawn; is that right?

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

12268 °	
Page	118
CLARK BENSEN	
A. It could, yes.	
(Deposition Exhibits 13, 14 and 15 were	
marked for identification.)	
MR. FRAM: I'm going to mark the next three	
exhibits; 13, 14, and 15.	
Is that right?	
REPORTER MARTIN: That's correct.	
MR. FRAM: So 13 has got Bates	
No. BLESSING0013211, and it says,	
"Polidata_2018.10.04." It's a screen shot of a zip	
file.	
14 appears to be another screen shot of files	
that this one has the identifier at the top of	
"11274 > Document Production > Third Party > Mann,	
Heather2018.10.04 > BLESSING0013211 > Polidata >	
Clark07-24-11."	
Exhibit 15 has also got No. BLESSING0013211	
Polidata_Clark 07-24-11_ccBlock.cdf. That's 15.	
We'll do those three together.	
(The witness reviewed the documents.)	
BY MR. FRAM:	
Q. Okay. Now, these are documents that were	
produced by Heather Blessing, Mr. Bensen, not by you.	

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

The files are identified -- first one on Exhibit 13.

Page 1		9
--------	--	---

1	
Ţ	CLARK BENSEN
2	See, it says, "Polidata." Then that zip file, it's a
3	screen shot of a zip file. Do you see that?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. It says, "Clark 07-24-11." Do you see that?
6	Then "Clark 08-26-11, 09-05-11,"
7	and then "Clark New Congressional Layers,"
8	and then "Clark 1." Do you see that?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. Followed by a DBF file which appears to have
11	a lot of data in it. Do you see that?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. Do you see they are modified dates on the far
14	right column? Do you see those?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. My question is do you recall, in fact,
17	sending I think before you said election result
18	data to Ms. Mann or Mr. DiRossi. Did you also send
19	them DFF files?
20	MS. McKNIGHT: Objection.
21	THE WITNESS: It just depends on what format
22	I send it to them.
23	BY MR. FRAM:
24	Q. Okay. Do you recall sending them do you
25	recall creating files in late July 2011 for work on

	Page 120
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	the Ohio redistricting?
3	A. I don't recall, but it seems within the time
4	frame, yes.
5	Q. Let's turn to Exhibit 14, which I'll
б	represent is what you see if you click on the
7	Clark 24-11 folder that we see in Exhibit 13. Do you
8	see there's a whole lot of files listed?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. Do you have any understanding of what those
11	files are? It's a long list.
12	A. Those are the files that you need for
13	Maptitude.
14	Q. Do you recall generating those files?
15	A. These would have been ones I would have
16	created. I don't know specifically if I did these,
17	but yes.
18	Q. All right. And then if you go to Exhibit 15,
19	which is what happens if you click on the cc block,
20	that CDF file that's on Exhibit 14.
21	A. If you click on the what now?
22	Q. The third file down. "ccBlock.cdf." Do you
23	see that? I'm just on Exhibit 14.
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. Do you understand what CcBlock.cdf stands

21

	Page 1
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	for?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. What is that?
5	A. This would be the Maptitude file that would
б	have one record per block connected to a SHPE file.
7	So, in other words, there would be like 350,000 or
8	whatever shapes, one for each block, and each record
9	would have the information that's listed on the side
10	of the pages in Exhibit 15 corresponding to that
11	block.
12	Q. Okay. So let's look at Exhibit 15 then.
13	Let's look at, starting on that second page of
14	Exhibit 15 where that information starts being listed.
15	Do you see that?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. So if you go down to there's an at the
18	very top it says name. It says block 4015. Do you
19	see that at the top?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. Does all this information concern census
22	block 4015?
23	A. Yes.
24	MS. McKNIGHT: Objection.
25	You can answer.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	CLADY DENCEN
	CLARK BENSEN
2	MR. FRAM: I'm sorry. Is census block 4015
3	attorney-client privilege?
4	MS. McKNIGHT: To be clear, my objection is
5	to form. He may answer.
6	MR. FRAM: Okay.
7	Q. Does census block 4015 refer to census block?
8	Does block 4015 refer to a census block?
9	A. It refers to a block in a census track.
10	Q. Right. But is that a census block?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. Thank you. And so, in fact, did you generate
13	a file for each census block that has the information
14	set forth on Exhibit 15?
15	A. No, I generated a record for each.
16	Q. You generated a record for each census block
17	that has the information set forth on Exhibit 15 for
18	block 4015?
19	A. Well, actually, I didn't generate a record.
20	I appended information to the records that Maptitude
21	had already created.
22	Q. And you did that for every census block?
23	A. I did it for every census block and
24	apparently other layers too.
25	Q. Now, you've used Maptitude a lot. Do you

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	CLARK BENSEN
2	know how to generate the I don't know if you'd call
3	the stuff on the right a window or a table. Whatever
4	you're comfortable with in terms of how you'd describe
5	it.
б	A. Table.
7	Q. Data?
8	A. No. "Table" is fine.
9	Q. Table?
10	A. Yeah.
11	Q. Do you know how you would view this table in
12	Maptitude?
13	A. Actually, in this format, no, but the data
14	view option, it says at the top at the very top of
15	that, those two columns it says, "Data view, Census
16	Block info."
17	Q. Uh-huh.
18	A. The data view option is a way to actually
19	look at what the data are behind the screen, so to
20	speak. Getting in this actual format, I'm not sure
21	how they did that, but it's normally you look at it
22	across the page. You would have one record and you
23	would have cell after cell after cell, just like an
24	Excel file. They just have somehow managed to do it
25	this way.

		Page 124
1		CLARK BENSEN
2	Q.	This happens to be vertical, but you've seen
3	it horizo	ontal. Is that what you're saying?
4	Α.	I've just never seen it in this format.
5	Q.	Look at the far left of the document. Do you
б	see there	e's icons that go down the page. Do you see
7	that?	
8	A.	Yes.
9	Q.	Do you see there's a little "i"?
10	A.	Yes.
11	Q.	Do you see it's highlighted with blue around
12	it?	
13	Α.	Yes.
14	Q.	Do you see in the middle of the map there's
15	an "i"?	
16	Α.	Yes.
17	Q.	So you're saying that if you click on that
18	"i" icon	and then you hover over the particular census
19	block and	d you click on that and you get your data view
20	table?	
21	Α.	Well, good point. That's probably why this
22	is like	this because normally when I would do that, I
23	would on	ly have a few variables showing up. So the
24	table wor	uld be like 10 lines long. This is apparently
25	just doin	ng the entire thing and going through the

		Page 125
1		CLARK BENSEN
2	entire re	ecord, basically.
3	Q.	So you can customize how much you see in data
4	view; is	that right?
5	Α.	Yes.
б	Q.	I understand. This is just all of it as far
7	as you ca	an tell?
8	Α.	Apparently, yes.
9	Q.	Let's look at some of the documents. Why
10	don't we	start with the third page of the document,
11	which is	marked as 003 at the bottom.
12	Α.	Yes.
13	Q.	You'll see it says G02G_DV. Do you see that?
14	Α.	Yes.
15	Q.	Let's just go through what the nomenclature
16	is there	. So G is general election; is that right?
17	Α.	The first G, yes.
18	Q.	'02 is the year, 2002?
19	Α.	Yes.
20	Q.	And the second "G" is the governor's race; is
21	that righ	nt?
22	Α.	Yes.
23	Q.	And between DV is the Democrat vote; is that
24	right?	
25	Α.	Yes.

	Page 126
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	Q. Okay. And that then says if you go over
3	to the far right, it's got 13,000. Do you see that?
4	Am I reading that correctly, or is it 13?
5	A. 13.
6	Q. So what does 13 stand for there in that
7	column?
8	A. That's the number of votes that we would
9	estimate were cast in that block for the Democratic
10	candidate.
11	Q. Okay. I got it. So 13 Democrat votes in
12	that block. Then under the same election, RV got 4
13	Republican votes; is that right?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. And then if you go down to the total votes,
16	TV is the next one. Is that total votes? Is that
17	right?
18	A. Yes. That would include votes the other
19	votes that aren't listed.
20	Q. In this case it just seems to be adding the
21	13 Democrats and the 4 Republicans. That comes up to
22	17; is that right?
23	A. Right.
24	Q. And then the next one down is GO2G_RP. Do
25	you see that?

Dago	1	2	7
Page	T	4	1

	Page 1
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. Is that Republican percentage of the vote?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. Looking over the far right column, 23.5, that
б	was the percentage of the Republican vote in that
7	census block; is that right?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. By the way, did you come up with these
10	nomenclatures for the elections, or was this something
11	someone else did?
12	A. No. This is based upon my work at the RNC in
13	the '80's.
14	Q. Okay. And then let's just go I'm not
15	going to go through all the numbers, but just so we
16	got a good record here. So the next is GO2A, and
17	that's is that the 2002 well, what is that?
18	A. "A" is for attorney general. I is for
19	auditor. "T" is for Secretary of State. "J" is for
20	state treasurer. "H" is for U.S. House. "Y" is state
21	senate, and "X" is state house.
22	Q. Okay.
23	A. So apparently I did include the legislative
24	races, state house and state senate and Congress.
25	Q. I see here you've got RP values, but I'm not

1	CLARK BENSEN
2	seeing DP values. You just gave the Republican
3	percentage; is that right?
4	A. Well, yes, but that's because the record, the
5	longer the record is, it's just it isn't a problem
6	anymore. It's just easier to have fewer fields. So,
7	for instance, the reason the other votes aren't
8	included but the TV is is you can calculate the other
9	vote from that if you need it.
10	Q. Uh-huh.
11	A. So, likewise, you can calculate the Democrat
12	percentage if you need it, but it just saves fields.
13	Q. Does the RP percentage share the two-party
14	percent, or is it the percentage of everything
15	including the third party?
16	A. I think this is based upon the actual
17	total votes. Once again, you can calculate the
18	percentage of the major party vote from the
19	information given here.
20	Q. All right. I think you testified before that
21	if you knew which census blocks you were including in
22	the district, then Maptitude can aggregate up, let's
23	say, the Republican percentage for the entire district
24	based on all those census blocks; is that right?
25	A. It would add up the raw numbers and calculate

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Page	1	2	9
------	---	---	---

	raye
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	the percentage.
3	Q. Okay. That's how the map works. I
4	understand.
5	A. Right.
6	Q. Fair enough. Let's skip ahead in the
7	document a little bit to Page 6. Do you see EA values
8	appearing on Page 6?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. Then it starts at EA11, and then it goes all
11	the way up to EA41. It's not sequential. There's
12	some gaps. I want to ask you about that. Okay?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. Do these reflect the EA data that you
15	provided to Mr. DiRossi and Ms. Mann?
16	MS. McKNIGHT: Objection.
17	THE WITNESS: It appears to be.
18	BY MR. FRAM:
19	Q. And I see we have EA11 through -16 as we saw
20	back on
21	A. 11.
22	Q. Exhibit 11. Is it your recollection that
23	these EA values stated here reflect the same EA
24	elections set forth on Exhibit 11?
25	MS. McKNIGHT: Objection.

	Page 130
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	You can answer.
3	THE WITNESS: Appears to be, yes.
4	BY MR. FRAM:
5	Q. There's some additional ones beyond 6. That
б	gets us through EA16.
7	A. Uh-huh. Yes.
8	Q. But then this one keeps going a little
9	(indicating). You got EA21. That was not on
10	Exhibit 11. Do you know what that was?
11	A. I'm sure I did. I have no recollection what
12	they are at this time though.
13	Q. So you also had 31 and 41. Do you see those?
14	MS. McKNIGHT: Objection. Form.
15	You can answer.
16	THE WITNESS: Yes. It appears I did them,
17	obviously. I just have no recollection what they were
18	or were not.
19	BY MR. FRAM:
20	Q. Did you ever have any conversation with
21	Mr. DiRossi or Ms. Mann, after you generated
22	Exhibit 11, as to developing additional election
23	averages?
24	A. I don't recall that, but I do frequently have
25	other the way these are set up, the 21, 31, 41,

	rage
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	those were the standardized things that I would do. I
3	just don't remember what they were.
4	Q. Okay. In any event, in Maptitude they had
5	the election data beyond just the five elections that
6	were contained for EA12. Isn't that right?
7	MS. McKNIGHT: Objection.
8	THE WITNESS: Yes.
9	BY MR. FRAM:
10	Q. Okay. And if they wanted to they could have
11	looked at the election results for Congressional
12	district based on a broader set of elections than
13	those contained in the EA12; correct?
14	MS. McKNIGHT: Objection.
15	THE WITNESS: They had the capability of
16	doing that, yes.
17	MR. FRAM: Okay.
18	(Deposition Exhibit 16 was marked for
19	identification.)
20	MR. FRAM: We're going to have marked as
21	Exhibit 16 a document BENSEN_0000084 through
22	actually, it's the only number we have on it. It's a
23	five-page spreadsheet. So that's Exhibit 16.
24	(The witness reviewed Exhibit 16.)
25	BY MR. FRAM:

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	CLARK BENSEN
2	Q. Mr. Bensen, this is a document you produced
3	in this case. The information in the brackets below,
4	that's the file name. We added the number, but the
5	file name that was received, it was September 14
б	"dvw_aggset_ohcd_2011_enacted-" September
7	"-sep14_ohmix_", it looks like "kl15a.xls." Do you
8	see that?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. Do you recognize this document?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. Did you create this document?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. Do you recall why you created this document?
15	A. This is a normal version of the data view
16	which is there's this one record in this case for
17	each district, and then it just lists what appears to
18	be all the fields going across. In other words, each
19	of the variables. They may be a subset of them to the
20	extent that it doesn't appear to be all of the
21	population, the census demographics, but it has a mix
22	of census demographics, and then it has a mix of the
23	political indicators, meaning as just the Dem and the
24	Rep vote for what appears to be all the statewide
25	races, and has some of the election averages towards

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Page	133
------	-----

	Page 1
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	the end of the record or the end of the pages.
3	Q. Now, did you generate this using Maptitude?
4	Is that right?
5	A. Yes. This is one way to export data from
6	Maptitude.
7	Q. You can export data from Maptitude into
8	Excel; is that right?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. This is an .XLS file. That's an Excel file;
11	is that right?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. Okay. So I take it the tool you used to do
14	that, it wouldn't be initially the data view tool, but
15	it would be some other Maptitude button you'd click
16	on?
17	MS. McKNIGHT: Objection.
18	You can answer.
19	THE WITNESS: It would be the data view. It
20	would be this is what would show up on your screen.
21	In the data view window you would then say, "export"
22	or save as an Excel file. There are several other
23	options.
24	BY MR. FRAM:
25	Q. So why don't you see if I got the sequence

	Fage
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	right. You used the info tool to create the data
3	view; is that right?
4	A. No. You don't need the info tool here. The
5	info tool is just a way to look at a particular area.
6	This is as you were normally drawing, the data view
7	shows up at the top of your page well, that's the
8	way I would do it. You can then just, when you're
9	done with the map or if it's just the map you're
10	looking, it shows you the number so you can just
11	export that out so you could do something else with
12	it.
13	Q. So first you see it, but then you export it
14	to Excel. Is that how that works?
15	A. Right. So you can manipulate it or print it
16	out more easily. It's very hard to print stuff out in
17	Maptitude.
18	Q. Understood. And so, like you say, if you
19	look at Exhibit 16, you look starting on the second
20	page, you start seeing all those let me back up.
21	On the far left it's got district, and it's
22	got 1 through 16. Do you see that?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. Those are the 16 districts for which maps are
25	being drawn in 2011; is that right?

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Page	1	3	5
------	---	---	---

	Page 1
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	A. Yes.
3	MS. McKNIGHT: Objection.
4	You can answer.
5	BY MR. FRAM:
6	Q. And then you go on to the second page.
7	Starting at eight columns over, we start seeing our
8	election results is that right? with the
9	GO2G_DV. Do you see that?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. Then we have here all the statewide elections
12	between on that page and Page 3 and page up through
13	the middle of Page 4, we got all the elections 2002
14	through 2010. Do you see that?
15	MS. McKNIGHT: Objection.
16	THE WITNESS: Yes.
17	BY MR. FRAM:
18	Q. Before you weren't sure if you went all the
19	way back to 2002. Does this refresh your recollection
20	to the fact that you did?
21	A. Yes. It appears it went to 2002. So these
22	would be the standard selections of all these
23	statewide races.
24	Q. Fair enough. And the nomenclature here we've
25	already discussed with what the acronyms mean. Now,

1	CLARK BENSEN
2	you don't call them codes. Do you have a name for
3	these things like G02 that you developed at the RNC?
4	MS. McKNIGHT: Objection. Form.
5	You may answer.
6	THE WITNESS: An acronym is fine. I call
7	them race codes or office code or whatever.
8	BY MR. FRAM:
9	Q. I'll use what you use. These are the race
10	codes that you use, and - you developed those race
11	codes when you worked at the RNC in the '90's; is that
12	right?
13	A. In the '80's. In the '80's every space,
14	every byte on a computer was valuable. You didn't use
15	much. This is back when your file names were 8.3. So
16	you had to be concise as such.
17	Q. And it still shows up in Maptitude today?
18	A. Well, it does for me because I'm too old to
19	retrain.
20	Q. But it showed up in 2011 in Ohio?
21	A. Oh, and it will show up in 2021 in the states
22	I work in, yeah.
23	Q. And then we get to the we get to Page 4,
24	and we start seeing the EA code, starting with EA11.
25	Do you see that?

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

137

	Page
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. And here, once again, it's got not just EA
4	going to the next page, but let's see Page 4 and 5.
5	EA11 through EA16, but also, again, through you
6	also have EAs 21, -31, and -41. Do you see that?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. Does looking at this help you remember what
9	those were?
10	A. No. Again, I'm sure I did them because I
11	have multiple sets of them. I just at this point have
12	no recollection because I didn't look at this closely
13	enough to remind me that I should figure out what they
14	were.
15	Q. Okay. This shows the those EA indices,
16	the number of votes. Let me back up. It shows the
17	votes for the election selected for each EA for each
18	Congressional district; is that right?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. So if I look at just a quick one example and
21	I pick District 1 and I go over to EA12_RV, and it
22	says 173298. Do you see that? It's on Page 4. Does
23	that mean there were 173,298 Republican votes in the
24	five elections that were part of EA12?
25	A. Well, in the adjusted version of EA12.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	CLARK BENSEN
2	Q. So there you have that's not the actual
3	let me go back. That's not the actual average for the
4	five elections?
5	A. No. It's the adjusted version.
6	Q. So let's see if I understand correctly. If I
7	look at the Democrat vote, okay, it's 131,240; right?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. So they're not the same. They've been
10	adjusted to pure 50-50. If it's 50-50, wouldn't it be
11	the same?
12	A. No. You're missing a point.
13	Q. I am missing the point.
14	A. It's not to create 50-50 districts. It's
15	using as if you had a 50-50 statewide race. In other
16	words, since most states don't have races that are
17	50-50, they have some that are close, some that are
18	not. So I take the ones that are close and adjust
19	them down to 50-50. So if you look at this and say,
20	"Well, if you had a statewide race that was 50-50,
21	this is how this district would perform.
22	Q. I see. So even if the statewide was 50-50,
23	the Republicans would do better here. Under the
24	Democrats they would do 173,298, and the Democrats
25	would only do 131,240.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

	i dge -
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	A. Right. That's the concept.
3	Q. I see. Now, do you recall whether you
4	provided the information in Exhibit 16 to Mr. DiRossi
5	in this manner?
б	MS. McKNIGHT: Objection.
7	THE WITNESS: This was as a result of the
8	plan they gave me.
9	BY MR. FRAM:
10	Q. So they had this information?
11	A. Well, yes, they had it in their file. So
12	they could have had the same thing. Now, whether they
13	used this data view and never looked at those numbers,
14	I can't tell.
15	Q. Right. But they had it available to them?
16	A. Right.
17	MR. FRAM: I understand. Thank you.
18	(Deposition Exhibit 17 was marked for
19	identification.)
20	MR. FRAM: Why don't we mark next as
21	Exhibit 17 a document, Bensen_000001 through -3. This
22	is entitled "Example of Calculations for Election
23	Averages EA11 to EA16." And the far right says, "OH,
24	"2002-2010,
25	"Adams County."

	Page 140
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	(The witness reviewed Exhibit 17.)
3	THE WITNESS: Yes.
4	BY MR. FRAM:
5	Q. At the bottom there's a file name at the
6	bottom, and that is "[eal1_
7	methods_cy_Adams_kg22a.xlsx, page1." Do you see that?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. Is this a document you created?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. And you did so as part of your work for
12	redistricting Congressional redistricting in Ohio
13	in 2011?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. Do you recall sharing this information with
16	Mr. Mann and Ms Ms. Mann and Mr. DiRossi?
17	A. I assume this was sent to them when I sent
18	the state level one, which is Exhibit 11. I just took
19	the first county and gave them an example of county.
20	Q. Did you also generate EA calculations for
21	each county, or is this just a one-off example with
22	Adams County?
23	A. This is just an example for them for one
24	county.
25	Q. So they could see it?

Case.	1:18-CV-00357-TSB-KNM-MHW Doc #: 230-4 Filed: 02/20/19 Page: 141 of 182 PAGE 12291
	Page
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. I notice there's something a little different
4	about this than the one we went over before, if you
5	compare this to Exhibit 11. Let's take a look.
6	Actually, let me ask you, other than the fact that
7	this is just for county and the other is statewide, is
8	this sort of the same set of information, just broken
9	down by county?
10	A. It should be.
11	Q. Now, looking at these, this information here,
12	you got these see the RNP percentage columns in
13	both 17 and 11?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. Now, I don't see, if I compare that over to
16	Exhibit 16 where I've got there's EA numbers
17	provided. I don't see percentages on that table.
18	A. Right.
19	Q. Can you see the can you generate
20	percentages in Maptitude for, say, the Republicans in
21	a given district in a census block?
22	A. Well, yes. The whole concept of data view is
23	you can put whatever you want in there. If you want
24	to see raw numbers, if you want to calculate, you can
25	calculate. This is just an export of data so that I

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

	rage 1
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	can use it to run customized reports.
3	MR. FRAM: All right. Why don't we mark next
4	as Exhibit 18 a document numbered BLESSING0013211
5	[Polidata > Clark 07-24-11 ccCounty. cdf]. It's a
б	multi-page document. They all have that same number,
7	but they also have identified as up to Page 6. We'll
8	have that marked next as 18.
9	(Deposition Exhibit 18 was marked for
10	identification.)
11	BY MR. FRAM:
12	Q. My first question to you, Mr. Bensen, is
13	what's a do you have an understanding what a
14	ccCounty.cdf file is?
15	A. It's a Maptitude file that has one record of
16	a SHPE file and information for each county.
17	Q. And if you look at the top of the second page
18	where you see "Name" it says, "Adam." Do you see
19	that?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. So would this be information for Adams County
22	on this document?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. And did you and then if you go down
25	starting at the bottom of the second page, you see the

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Case: 1:18-cv-00357-TSB-KNM-MHW Doc #: 230-4 Filed: 02/20/19 Page: 143 of 182	PAGEID #:
12293	

	Page 143
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	various election results are listed there. Do you see
3	that?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. Is that the election results information that
6	you provided in 2011 as part of
7	A. It appears to be.
8	Q. And here, if you go to the GO2 let's see.
9	G_RP on the bottom of Page 2. Do you see that?
10	A. I'm sorry. G02.
11	Q. G_RP. Do you see that?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. That's one, two, three, four, five, six from
14	the bottom on Page 2. Do you see that?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. That would be the Republican percentage in
17	Adams County that appears at 63.4; is that right?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. Okay. And, in fact, for all the elections
20	here on this county report, you see there are RP
21	values that appear, not just raw numbers; is that
22	right?
23	A. RPs appear in addition to the raw numbers,
24	yes.
25	Q. And then if you go over to Page 6, you've got

Case	: 1:18-cv-00357-TSB-KNM-MHW Doc #: 230-4 Filed: 02/20/19 Page: 144 of 182 PAGEID #: 12294
	Page 144
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	the EA values. Do you see that?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. Go to EA Exhibit 12. You'll see an _RP.
5	Do you see that?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. And then that number appears as 60.5.
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. That would be if you use the EA12 index that
10	the Republican percentage is 64.5 percent; is that
11	right?
12	A. For Adams County.
13	Q. And then if you want to do the same, if you
14	wanted to generate a Republican percentage using EA12
15	for an entire district, you could use this information
16	and aggregate it up; is that right?
17	A. Yeah. As I said, you would aggregate up in
18	raw numbers, and then it depends on what you have in
19	your data view as to whether it's calculating. In
20	other words, in some cases if it's not done correctly,
21	this RP number isn't correct because it doesn't add
22	those up. It has to be calculated after the
23	aggregation

Q. Right.

A. -- and because of the way Maptitude is done,

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

	Page
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	it's sometimes easy to screw up on that.
3	Q. So the first thing you would do is add up the
4	raw numbers in the district for total Republican
5	votes, and then Maptitude would calculate the
б	Republican percentage; is that right?
7	A. Well, yes, but there are several steps
8	involved. In other words, this is why it would
9	normally be done initially, but it's not just in the
10	data set. The user has to do it in their Maptitude
11	version as well.
12	Q. Okay.
13	A. But, yes, the process would be it gets all
14	added up automatically by Maptitude. Whether it
15	calculates a percentage depends on what the user says
16	to do.
17	Q. Okay. And then but you could calculate
18	the percentage?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. And then you could make that percentage
21	appear in a little box that could appear over the
22	district, couldn't you?
23	A. Yes. It could show up, and it would, at that
24	point, be just like any other variable that you want
25	to label over.

Case: 1:18-cv-00357-TSB-KNM-MHW Doc #: 230-4 Filed: 02/20/19 Page: 146 of 182 PAGEID #: 12296		
	Page 146	
1	CLARK BENSEN	
2	Q. You could customize the labels you want to	
3	show?	
4	A. Yes.	
5	Q. You don't want to burden the decision maker	
6	with an eight-page table of data, and if you just want	
7	to show what's the Republican percentage for EA12, you	

<sup>8</sup> could just show that in a label?

MS. McKNIGHT: Objection.

10 THE WITNESS: We never want to burden the 11 user or --

<sup>12</sup> BY MR. FRAM:

9

<sup>13</sup> Q. I appreciate that.

A. -- the viewer.

Q. So the label function could help you
 communicate efficiently?

A. Well, and that's part of the trick of getting used to using Maptitude. You got to figure out you, as the line drawer, may have all sorts of stuff on your screen, but when you're showing it to someone else, you want to minimize as much as you can.

Q. I understand. Did you ever see any maps
 concerning the Ohio redistricting in 2011 where labels
 with just certain index percentages were applied?
 A. I never saw any of that.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Case	: 1:18-cv-00357-TSB-KNM-MHW Doc #: 230-4 Filed: 02/20/19 Page: 147 of 182 PAGEID #: 12297
	Page 147
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	MR. FRAM: This might be an okay time to take
3	a short lunch now.
4	(A recess was taken from 12:38 p.m.

4	(A recess was taken from 12:38 p.m.	
5	to 1:05 p.m.)	
6	BY MR. FRAM:	
7	Q. Going back to Exhibit 11, first page, the	
8	EA12 table. Do you see that?	
9	A. Yes.	
10	Q. Bottom row with "Adj," do you see that?	
11	A. Yes.	
12	Q. Does that refer to your adjustment?	
13	A. Yes.	
14	Q. Okay. There's only one value in the	
15	adjustment row, and that's under DMP percentage. Do	
16	you see that?	
17	A. Yes.	
18	Q. So that's the Democratic percentage for the	
19	major party vote; is that right? That column, DMP	
20	percentage.	
21	A. That is, yes.	
22	Q. Right. And then going down to the bottom,	
23	the adjustment is 0.993. Do you see that?	

- <sup>24</sup> A. Yes.
- Q. It's italicized, that number.

148

	Page
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. Why is that number italicized?
4	A. That's just an indication of the degree to
5	which I had to adjust the races that are listed. So,
б	for instance, if you look on the next page, it's like
7	.990. and .984. It's just a question of the degree to
8	which the races were close to 50 or not.
9	Q. I was asking well, thank you. I was
10	trying to decipher a little bit.
11	Is the adjustment, the .993, is that a
12	subtraction or an addition? The .993.
13	A. I think that's just the end result of the
14	degree to which all of these five races were adjusted.
15	Q. Okay. So it shows you that we had a .993
16	adjustment. Let's see if I understand the basis of
17	the adjustment. That's if you add into the mix a
18	50-50 race; is that right? Added that to the EA12, or
19	is that something else, or the degree to which the EA
20	deviated from a 50-50?
21	A. It's more of the latter. It's just really
22	just a way for me to kind of scope out the degree to
23	which there's an adjustment in the numbers.
24	Q. Right. So the mechanics is not that you're
25	adding a sixth election to EA12, a 50-50 race, and

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	CLARK BENSEN	
2	then seeing what the difference would be. It's rather	
3	looking at what EA12 is as compared to a 50-50 race;	
4	is that right? And then that's the adjustment number,	
5	.993?	
6	A. Well, yes, sort of. In other words, if these	
7	weren't very close races and they were closer to 55	
8	than 50, this .99 would be more like .90. In other	
9	words, it's a question of how close the mix came to	
10	being 50-50.	
11	Q. Okay.	
12	A. It doesn't matter except to the extent	
13	it's like a margin of error kind of thing. It's just	
14	a question of there's more adjustment in the in	
15	some races than in others.	
16	Q. So if it was a real high performance race for	
17	one party or the other, that adjustment number would	
18	be bigger right? because it would be farther	
19	away from the the adjustment would have to be much	
20	bigger to get to 50-50. Is that it or the other way	
21	around?	
22	A. The way I did it, from what I can tell here	
23	is it would be lower because it's a question of how	
24	close it was to perfection. So if you made a bigger	
25	adjustment, it would be farther down.	
	TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580	

so reporting wondwide orr roz yse

Page	150
------	-----

1	CLARK BENSEN
2	Q. I see. So this is saying that we are .993
3	away from a 50-50 is what this is telling us. Is that
4	what
5	A. Well, as I said, for the third time, sort of.
б	It's just a quality control thing that I use to kind
7	of scope out the degree to which there's error. So
8	it's only useful to the extent you compare it with the
9	other adjustments that are on the other page.
10	Q. It tells you how far away this index is from
11	50-50. Is that what it tells you?
12	A. No. It tells you how far away the races that
13	were in the selection were away.
14	Q. Right. Therefore, the but what one is
15	doing, I take it, is comparing all the in the case
16	of EA12, all five of the selection against the 50-50.
17	What the five elections in the EA12 would be compared
18	to a 50-50.
19	A. I think this has been asked and answered.
20	That is sort of what it is, but it's not what you're
21	saying it is. It is just a quality control check for
22	me to see the degree to which the adjustments needed
23	to be made as opposed to others in the on year versus
24	the off year.
25	Q. Okay. Needed to be made if one wanted to get

	Page 1
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	to 50-50? Needed to be made to what purpose?
3	A. The point here was to have a hypothetical set
4	of a statewide race that was 50-50.
5	Q. Uh-huh.
6	A. They aren't all 50-50. If they're all 50-50,
7	the adjustment would be 1.00.
8	Q. Uh-huh. Uh-huh.
9	A. But because they're plus or minus, it's less
10	than the 1.00.
11	Q. Got it. Got it. Okay. So what this is
12	saying is that I see. And that makes sense if I
13	look at this particular example. The Democratic
14	two-party vote, major-party percentages 48.63 let's
15	see. If I go down to, actually, the average, it's
16	50.38; is that right?
17	A. Right.
18	Q. Okay. All right. Okay. And if it was
19	exactly 50, then the adjustment would be 1.00?
20	A. Right.
21	Q. Okay. So even though I think I understand
22	where I was falling off the tracks because the
23	Democratic vote's a little higher than 50-50 here, but
24	the adjustments is under 1.0?
25	A. Right. So the reason it's in italics is just

	Page
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	because it's really for my purposes and not for the
3	user's purposes.
4	Q. I see. Let me ask you a question for the
5	user's purpose.
6	If you look over to Exhibit 15. And let's go
7	over to EA15, which was the census block for 41
8	census block 4-1-5; right?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. And let's go to the just pick up the EA12
11	RP_RP. Do you see that?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. Is that with an adjustment or is that without
14	the adjustment, that RP, that Republican percentage?
15	A. I think I've answered this already, which is
16	it may be it would be the unadjusted, but I don't
17	know from this listing whether that's actually
18	calculated correctly. It appears to be from 2 versus
19	25, but I don't know.
20	REPORTER MARTIN: I'm sorry?
21	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. 2 Democrat and 25
22	Republican. Well, no. Well, whatever the numbers
23	are. Don't worry about that. The extra step I said
24	that needed to be calculated, I can't tell from this
25	listing whether the extra step was made or not.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

153

	Page
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	BY MR. FRAM:
3	Q. Okay. Again, these are I wanted to pick
4	out RP. I'm just trying to understand whether or not
5	there had been any adjustment. So you just can't tell
б	from how this whether or not they used the
7	adjustment or not?
8	A. The raw numbers would be at adjusted numbers.
9	I can't say if the RP is correctly calculated.
10	Q. Oh. Interesting. So looking above thank
11	you.
12	So for EA12 RV, do you think that showed an
13	adjustment?
14	A. Yes. The 23 Democrat, the 2 Republican would
15	have bent the adjusted values, and the 25 as well for
16	the total. I just can't tell from this standpoint,
17	even though it looks like 2 divided by 25 and 6.2, I
18	can't tell if in fact the step was made to calculate
19	that RP correctly.
20	Q. Okay.
21	A. It probably was here because this is a block
22	record, but for other levels of geography you can't
23	tell.
24	Q. Okay. But as you said, when you do the whole
25	Congressional district, since you're going to add up

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	CLARK BENSEN
2	all the raw votes from, let's say, a census block and
3	then you'll calculate your percentages?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. You're adding up adjusted numbers?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. So your final Congressional district
8	percentage would be reflect the adjusted numbers.
9	A. Yes. The caveat there that you'll note
10	that the EAs are raw numbers without any decimal
11	points, whereas all the votes are sometimes may
12	have decimal points.
13	Q. Okay.
14	A. So, in other words, there's going to be some
15	slippage in the sense that you're not adding up
16	partial votes.
17	Q. Well, subject is it a matter of rounding
18	the decimal points? Does that have the decimal point
19	that gets
20	A. It's really just a question of when you
21	assign votes to a block, you usually have decimal
22	points because you will round it up at some point.
23	You may not round it up. It's kind of personal
24	preference as to how it's done.
25	Q. Looking at this document, this document being

Page	155
------	-----

	Page 15
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	Exhibit 15, it's your understanding that, in fact,
3	when you use the raw votes for census block, add them
4	up to an entire district, and then calculate the
5	percentages, that those percentages reflect your
6	adjustment?
7	MS. McKNIGHT: Objection.
8	You can answer.
9	THE WITNESS: Yes. They would add up to the
10	adjusted numbers at the block level and at the
11	district level.
12	BY MR. FRAM:
13	Q. Just so we're clear for the record,
14	qualitatively, right at the district level, just so I
15	understand qualitatively, the effect of the
16	adjustment, if I understand correctly, once you've
17	done that, does that make, in fact, the election
18	results closer or less close? Once you've done the
19	adjustment. You've changed the numbers.
20	A. I can't answer that. Can you rephrase that?
21	Q. Sure. You have your numbers, and you adjust
22	it.
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. When you make now we're not just looking
25	at internal QC. We're actually using the adjustment

	Fage -
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	when we're scoring a district. So we're beyond your
3	own QC. You actually use your adjustment to change
4	the numbers; is that right?
5	A. Yes.
б	MS. McKNIGHT: Objection.
7	You may answer.
8	BY MR. FRAM:
9	Q. Okay. I'm just trying to say directionally
10	when you do that, is the net effect to make whatever
11	collection you're doing for your EA, make the
12	elections closer, the scores closer between the
13	Republicans and Democrats or farther apart?
14	MS. McKNIGHT: Objection you can answer.
15	THE WITNESS: It would really depend on what
16	you have in the selection of races you've used. It
17	would bring them all each of those closer to 50-50.
18	That doesn't mean that each district is necessarily
19	closer to 50-50.
20	BY MR. FRAM:
21	Q. No, I do understand that.
22	A. Yeah.
23	Q. Incrementally, of course, the district itself
24	will not become 50-50 by virtue of using your
25	adjustment. That's right?

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

7

	Page 15
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. I get that. But directionally, incrementally
4	the district gets closer to 50-50 by virtue of using
5	the adjustment?
6	A. The numbers in each level of geography become
7	closer because they are adjusted from what they were
8	to 50-50.
9	Q. Right. And then when you generate your
10	district as a whole you're reflecting those adjusted
11	numbers at the smaller units of geography. For
12	example, the census block?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. And, therefore, the district as a whole
15	the district as a whole incrementally moved closer to
16	50-50 incrementally by virtue of using the adjustment?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. Thank you.
19	A. Are we done with 15?
20	Q. I am.
21	(Deposition Exhibit 19 was marked for
22	identification.)
23	MR. FRAM: 19 is a document with Bates
24	No. Bensen_0000042. It's an E-mail from Heather Mann
25	to Clark Bensen, cc to Mike Dittoe, D-i-t-t-o-e, dated

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

	Page 158
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	September 14, 2011 at 4:34 p.m.
3	(The witness reviewed Exhibit 19.)
4	BY MR. FRAM:
5	Q. Any reason to think you did not receive this
б	E-mail on or about September 14, 2011?
7	A. No.
8	Q. Do you see there's a request to you from
9	Heather Mann asking you to it says, "Attached are
10	the files I'd like you to test." Do you see that?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. Do you recall being asked to test files?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. Okay. Do you see she's attaching some the
15	"CONGRESSIONAL PLAN SHAPE FILE.DBF." Do you see that?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. Among others?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. Do you recall what you did to test the files?
20	A. Well, the focus here was to provide for the
21	Secretary of State, I believe see, I shouldn't have
22	had food.
23	The Secretary of State was, I believe, having
24	a website for when a plan was done. In this case it
25	would have been planned as of September 14, and they

1	CLARK BENSEN
2	were providing it in two different formats, a block
3	assignment file and a SHPE file. And a block
4	assignment file, as we discussed well, we didn't.
5	It's a fairly easy export Maptitude, and it's one
б	button and it's done.
7	The SHPE file is likewise easy to do, but
8	whereas the block style file only has two pieces of
9	information, the block code and the district
10	assignment, the SHPE file may contain anything that
11	was in the data view at the time or all that
12	information. So the point here was to provide a SHPE
13	file that had no information except the district
14	number.
15	So the trick is it's tricky in Maptitude to
16	get that so, in fact, it's a clean SHPE file. So
17	they're sending it to me so I can make sure that, in
18	fact, it was a clean SHPE file and had nothing but the
19	bare information.
20	Q. And what did the bare information consist of?
21	A. The barest would be just the district number.
22	It may have had like total population.
23	Q. What information should it not include?
24	A. Well, you don't want it to include the
25	substantive data because that's not the purpose of the

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

	Page
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	SHPE file. If you're going to present data, you
3	present it in another format, which is why you would
4	export the data view to Excel or something and do a
5	table that people could read. You don't just put it
6	into the SHPE file.
7	MR. FRAM: Okay.
8	(Deposition Exhibit 20 was marked for
9	identification.)
10	BY MR. FRAM:
11	Q. Exhibit 20 is an E-mail, LWVOH_00018302.
12	I just want to draw your attention to on the
13	last part of the document there's an E-mail string.
14	It's in Gmail format. So we get the earliest in time
15	on September 2, 2011, 6:41 p.m. from Adam Kincaid to
16	Mr. DiRossi, Ms. Mann, Mr. Tom Whatman. And then we
17	have later in time, I'd like to ask you to look at
18	Page 7 of the document from Heather Mann to Clark
19	Bensen and Mark Braden on September 3, 2011 at
20	8:15 a.m., forwarding some of the other E-mails.
21	Before, I believe you indicated you didn't
22	recall communications with Mr. Kincaid back in 2011.
23	I wonder if you recall receiving any of this E-mail
24	forwarding some of his E-mails back in 2011.
25	A. I don't have a recollection. Where did I get

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

	Page
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	it from him?
3	Q. No. I didn't say you did. Ms. Mann appears
4	to be forwarding his materials. I was wondering
5	whether or not you recall her forwarding you Kincaid
6	E-mails in 2011.
7	A. No, not at all.
8	Q. You don't recall what this is about?
9	A. First time I've ever seen it. Obviously it's
10	not the first time I've seen it. I don't have any
11	recollection of it.
12	MR. FRAM: That's fine.
13	(Deposition Exhibit 21 was marked for
14	identification.)
15	BY MR. FRAM:
16	Q. It's an E-mail from Heather Mann to Clark
17	Bensen as the top E-mail on the page. There are two
18	of them. But it's October 26, 2011 at 9:55 a.m. It's
19	Bensen-0000047.
20	Mr. Bensen, is there any reason to think you
21	did not receive this E-mail on or about October 26,
22	2011?
23	A. No.
24	Q. Okay. Do you recall receiving a request for
25	SHPE files for Congressional districts?

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	CLARK BENSEN
2	A. No. It wouldn't seem that unusual.
3	Q. By this point, HB319, the first map had
4	already been enacted. Do you recall what, if
5	anything, you were doing on any redraft?
6	MS. McKNIGHT: Objection.
7	THE WITNESS: I don't recall. This appears
8	to be simply the fact that what we discussed before
9	about the SHPE file that the Secretary of State was
10	going to have on their website. Warren Glimpse is
11	saying he couldn't find it.
12	REPORTER MARTIN: I'm sorry. Who?
13	THE WITNESS: The E-mail the sender of the
14	E-mail is Warren Glimpse, G-l-i-m-p-s-e, and he was
15	indicating he couldn't find it on the Secretary of
16	States site. So he's asking Heather Mann for a copy.
17	She just asked me to send it to him. I guess
18	that's she asked me to send it to her so
19	presumably, she could respond to this request.
20	(Deposition Exhibit 22 was marked for
21	identification.)
22	MR. FRAM: 22 is an E-mail from Heather Mann
23	to Clark Bensen, cc to Troy Judy. Bensen-0000063.
24	(The witness reviewed Exhibit 22.)
25	BY MR. FRAM:

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

			Page	163
1		CLARK BENSEN		
2	Q.	Is there any reason to think you did not		
3	receive t	this E-mail on or about November 9, 2011?		
4	Α.	No.		
5	Q.	Do you recall receiving a request from		
6	Ms. Mann	to generate EA12 and McCain 08 index scor	ings	
7	for propo	osed Congressional districts?		
8		MS. McKNIGHT: Objection.		
9		You can answer.		
10		THE WITNESS: Not without this E-mail. I	-	
11	have no r	recollection.		
12	BY MR. FF	RAM:		
13	Q.	Okay. "EA12," do you see that there at t	he	
14	bottom	- in the text of the E-mail?		
15	Α.	Yes.		
16	Q.	In the table you see something called		
17	"Unified	Index"?		
18	Α.	Yes.		
19	Q.	Do you recall what you called the phrase		
20	"Unified	Index"?		
21	Α.	That's not my term.		
22	Q.	Do you recall anybody else using it, thou	ıgh,	
23	in 2011?			
24	Α.	I don't, no.		
25	Q.	But "EA12" is one of your terms?		

Deere	101
Page	164
	-

	Page
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	A. EA12 is my term, yes.
3	Q. Do you recall in November let me ask the
4	question.
5	How would you how would loading a DBF file
б	help one calculate an EA12 index scoring for a
7	district?
8	A. Well, I can't tell from this. They sent an
9	attachment called November Modified DBF. I suspect
10	that was a block assignment file. So it was to load
11	it up as a plan and see what the numbers were.
12	Q. Right. So if I understand. So if the DBF
13	files were the block assignment files and you have the
14	EA12 numbers for each census block, then you could
15	figure out which census blocks were in a particular
16	district; correct?
17	A. Right.
18	Q. And then you could use that information to
19	calculate the EA12 scoring for the district; correct?
20	A. Right.
21	Q. I understand.
22	(Deposition Exhibit 23 and 24 were marked
23	for identification.)
24	MR. FRAM: We'll do two exhibits next, one
25	after the other here. So we're up to Exhibit 23. So

			Page	165
1		CLARK BENSEN		
2	Exhibit	23, BLESSING00012553 and, 24, BLESSING0013	3212.	
3		(The witness reviewed Exhibits 23 and 24	. )	
4	BY MR. FI	RAM:		
5	Q.	Have you ever seen either Exhibits 23 or	24	
б	before?			
7	A.	No.		
8		(Deposition Exhibit 25 was marked for		
9		identification.)		
10	BY MR. F	RAM:		
11	Q.	We'll mark next as Exhibit 25, BENSEN_000	00086	
12	with the	file name "december14 > DVW_aggset_ohcd_2	2011	
13	_revised	-hb369-december14.xls."		
14		(The witness reviewed Exhibit 25.)		
15	BY MR. F	RAM:		
16	Q.	Mr. Bensen, did you create this document?	?	
17	Α.	Yes.		
18	Q.	You did so as part of your work on		
19	Congress	ional redistricting in Ohio in 2011?		
20	Α.	Yes.		
21	Q.	And in the ordinary course you shared it	with	
22	Mr. DiRo	ssi and Ms. Mann?		
23	Α.	I don't know.		
24	Q.	Would it have been your practice to do so	>?	
25	Α.	Possibly.		

CLARK BENSEN
Q. Do you have any idea why you created this
document?
A. Well, I created it I would have thought I
created it for my own purposes so I could run my
customized reports. It's similar to the one we had
before, which is just a basic listing of the data.
This is for the December plan.
Q. HB369?
A. Yes.
Q. So do you understand this would have been for
HB369 as enacted; is that right?
A. Or as revised, yes. The second round.
Q. I'm sorry. You said you created it for your
test report?
A. No, so I could run my own reports after. As
I said before, raw numbers are useful. If you want to
count the percentages or something, you would do it
from this set.
Q. Now, this has the numbers for each district
for all the EA scorings from EA11 through -41; is that
right?
A. Yes.
Q. And what why would you be running I'm
sorry. Why would you be running test were you

	1490
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	running test scores off of those EA numbers?
3	A. I didn't say anything about a test.
4	Q. Were you running reports off of those
5	numbers?
б	A. I would take this information and put it into
7	my data system where I would keep track of all the
8	plans I was looking at so we could go through and say,
9	"Okay. What was the pop in District 1 in Plan 319."
10	I'd have it in a database. That's all this was for.
11	Q. So just for your internal purposes for this
12	one?
13	A. Well, in case I had to run some sort of
14	report on it, but basically, for my own internal stuff
15	so I could keep track of it.
16	Q. Do you recall providing any internal reports
17	to anyone in Ohio?
18	A. In Ohio? I don't recall it, no.
19	Q. Why were you keeping track in your own
20	system, your own reports?
21	A. I'm a redistricting consultant. That's what
22	I do. I have a data system where I keep track of
23	everything in a logical fashion so when I need it, I
24	can find it. I don't have to go into Maptitude and
25	regenerate it.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Case	e: 1:18-cv-00357-TSB-KNM-MHW Doc #: 230-4 Filed: 02/20/19 Page: 168 of 182 PAGEID #: 12318
	Page 168
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	Q. Was this generated from Maptitude?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. So was this data available to Mr. DiRossi and
5	Ms. Mann?
6	A. Yes.
7	(Deposition Exhibit 26 was marked for
8	identification.)
9	BY MR. FRAM:
10	Q. I'd like to mark next as 26 a document,
11	BENSEN_0000086. And it has the heading "Measures of
12	Compactness." The file name was
13	ohcd_2011-revised-hb369-december14_ohmix_k I think
14	that's I can't tell if that's 11 or ll5a. Maybe
15	you can tell me.
16	A. kl15a.
17	Q. kl15a. Thank you.
18	MS. McKNIGHT: Could we go off the record
19	just for a moment.
20	MR. FRAM: Sure.
21	(A discussion was held off the record.)
22	MR. FRAM: Okay. Just so we're clear, this
23	document has the same Bates numbers as previous
24	exhibits we looked at, and that is because they were
25	produced in native to us without Bates numbers, and I

	Page 16
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	said earlier Covington added the numbers at the bottom
3	of the documents rather than create a separate slip
4	sheet that indicates the Bates numbers and says
5	"Produced in Native." Okay.
б	Q. So, Mr. Bensen, you produced this document?
7	A. I don't have it yet.
8	(The witness reviewed Exhibit 26.)
9	THE WITNESS: Yes.
10	BY MR. FRAM:
11	Q. Maybe you can explain. Do you have an
12	understanding what the file name is at the top?
13	A. It's just my nomenclature for how I keep
14	track of files.
15	Q. And did you create this on or about
16	December 15, 2011?
17	A. Yes. That's generated from Maptitude
18	software.
19	Q. So the Maptitude software can create
20	compactness scores for redistricting; is that correct?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. Okay. Did anyone ask you to do this?
23	A. Not specifically.
24	Q. Do you remember communicating this to
25	anybody?

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

	Page
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	A. I don't recall. It's a standard thing I run
3	on any plan I get.
4	MR. FRAM: Okay.
5	(Deposition Exhibit 27 was marked for
6	identification.)
7	MR. FRAM:
8	Q. Bensen_0000075, okay, through -76. A couple
9	of E-mails. One is from Heather Mann to Clark Bensen
10	on December 15, 2011 at 9:55 a.m. There's an E-mail
11	earlier, it appears, initially from Clark Bensen to
12	Heather Mann at 4:55 a.m.
13	I should say there's an even earlier E-mail
14	from the night before, December 14, at 10:24 p.m. from
15	Heather Mann. So why don't we take these one at a
16	time.
17	Mr. Bensen, any doubt that you sent the 4:55
18	in the morning E-mail to Ms. Mann?
19	A. No.
20	Q. Any doubt that you received the reply from
21	her at 9:55 that same morning?
22	A. No.
23	Q. And we don't have your name as an
24	addressee I take it back. We do. From Heather
25	Mann, December 14 at 10:25 p.m., do you see there's an

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Page I/I	Page	171
----------	------	-----

	Page
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	E-mail she sent to you then?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. Do you understand she's sending certain
5	attached files to that E-mail?
б	A. It appears so.
7	Q. And there were SHPE files and equivalency
8	files for HB 369 as passed. Do you see that?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. Your E-mail back says let me back up.
11	Do you have an understanding why she sent you
12	those files?
13	A. This gets back to the discussions we had
14	several times throughout the summer about getting
15	stuff ready for the Secretary of State's website.
16	Q. Were you helping with that?
17	A. I was the one that generated it for the
18	Secretary of State, or for their website.
19	MR. FRAM: Okay.
20	(Deposition Exhibit 28 was marked for
21	identification.)
22	Mr. FRAM: Why don't we mark next as 28
23	document BENSEN_0000086 [Congressional Shape Files
24	Test 2_HB369 as Passed Test 2 shp]. The second page
25	of it has an under bar CD15. That's 28.

172

		1	Page
1		CLARK BENSEN	
2		(The witness reviewed Exhibit 28.)	
3	BY MR. FR	AM:	
4	Q.	Mr. Bensen, do you recall doing tests on t	the
5	SHPE file	s of HB369 as enacted?	
6	Α.	I remember doing tests on SHPE files, yes	•
7	Q.	What was the purpose of the test running	ng
8	tests on	the SHPE file?	
9	Α.	As I explained before, it's to make sure w	wе
10	know exac	tly what information is going to be	
11	accompany	ring substantive information would be	
12	accompany	ing the SHPE file. The shape for each	
13	district.		
14	Q.	For District 15, for example, is this	
15	strike th	lat.	
16		Is this an example of that test report for	r
17	District	15?	
18	Α.	I don't have a recollection. It appears	to
19	be.		
20	Q.	Does this appear to be the information the	at
21	would be	on the test that you created?	
22	Α.	Well, since this says, "Test 2" I don't	t
23	have any	recollection as to how many other tests I	did
24	or what t	he theory was as to the selection of this	
25	informati	.on.	

	Page
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	Q. Fair enough. But for Test 2, does this
3	appear to be the information you created?
4	A. It appears that way. Again, I just have no
5	recollection to discuss that.
6	Q. Okay. Again, I'm calling it a test. Do you
7	call it a test or report? What would your preferred
8	wording be?
9	A. We were trying to test here because we were
10	trying to test to make sure that, in fact, the SHPE
11	file had what we wanted in it.
12	Q. And the information is included here. It
13	includes various election result data correct?
14	for CD15?
15	A. It has several, yes.
16	Q. And that includes well, going down on the
17	CD15, do you see there are various rows that begin
18	with F_? Do you see that?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. Going to F_EA12_RV. Do you see that?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. What does the "F" stand for?
23	A. It's an aptitude conversion for percentage.
24	When a Maptitude field is exported, it shows up as an
25	"F." I can see from this file name, though, that I

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

174

	Page
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	didn't create this. This must have been something
3	that she sent to Heather or Ray sent to me.
4	Q. So she either Mr. DiRossi or Ms. Mann, to
5	the best of your understanding, created this; is that
6	right?
7	A. Right.
8	Q. Okay. If you look at this okay. Never
9	mind.
10	So if I look at, again, the F_EA12_RV, and
11	that's .5493. Do you see that?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. Does that mean that the Republican scoring
14	for CD15 would have been 54.93 percent?
15	MS. McKNIGHT: Objection.
16	But you may answer.
17	THE WITNESS: Yes.
18	(Deposition Exhibit 29 was marked for
19	identification.)
20	BY MR. FRAM:
21	Q. The document with Bates No. BRADEN000683
22	through -84, it's an E-mail string, the top of the
23	first page is to Mark Braden to Mark Salling, Heather
24	Mann, Clark Bensen, Michael Lenzo, Ray DiRossi, with a
25	cc to Ellen Cyran. And going down the page there's an

1	CLARK BENSEN
2	E-mail from Mark Salling to let's just back up.
3	The date of this E-mail is July 15, 2011, and
4	the next E-mail is an E-mail from Mark Salling to
5	Heather Mann, Mark Braden, Clark Bensen, Michael
б	Lenzo, Ray DiRossi, cc to Ellen Cyran, and that's
7	July 15 at 4:50 p.m. Do you recall receiving any of
8	these E-mails back and forth involving Mark Salling?
9	A. I have no recollection of it.
10	Q. Okay. So you have no recollection at all of
11	receiving any information from Mark Salling in 2011?
12	A. No. I have a recollection of receiving
13	information from Ohio State. I just don't have any
14	recollection of this (indicating).
15	Q. Okay. Do you have any recollection of any
16	use of the Ohio do you recall Ohio State traded
17	database for the election results?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. In which they tried to estimate election
20	results for different census blocks. Do you recall
21	that?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. Okay. Do you recall any use of that for
24	Congressional redistricting in 2011?
25	A. No. My recollection is we didn't use it at

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

б

Page 176
CLARK BENSEN
all.
MR. FRAM: If we can take just a quick break.
MS. McKNIGHT: Okay.
(A recess was taken from 1:56 p.m.
to 2:03 p.m.)
MR. FRAM: I'm going to mark next exhibits,
29 and 30. Two distinct E-mails. They're both dated
December 15, 2011. Exhibit 29 is 30.
(Deposition Exhibits 30, and 31 were
marked for identification.)
MR. FRAM: So 30 is Bensen-0000077.
And 31 is Bensen-000078. They're E-mails.
They're both from Heather Mann. They're both dated
December 15, 2011. They're both to Clark Bensen.
Difference is Exhibit 30 is a 2:29 p.m., and
Exhibit 31 is a 2:36 p.m. They both have attachments
of "Congressional Shape Files Test 2.zip."
(The witness reviewed the documents.)
BY MR. FRAM:
Q. Mr. Bensen, you produced these documents in
this case?
A. Apparently, yes.
Q. Do you have any reason to think you didn't
get these E-mails from Ms. Mann on December 15, 2011?

	Page 17
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	A. No.
3	Q. Is it your understanding that she was sending
4	you the SHPE files for HB369 as enacted?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. And looking back at Exhibit 28, which was
7	the for CD15, the data on it. Do you see that?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. Does this help refresh your recollection that
10	in fact Exhibit 28 was sent to you by Ms. Mann?
11	MS. McKNIGHT: Objection.
12	THE WITNESS: Yes. I thought that's what I
13	said. Yes, this does appear to be the ones she sent.
14	BY MR. FRAM:
15	Q. Thank you.
16	A. Yeah.
17	Q. I have one question about that. Do you see
18	on 28 towards the bottom, the second down, four from
19	the bottom there's a row that says, "FORMULA." I
20	think it's "FORMULA_F1" or FI. Do you see that?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. And then there's "FORMULA_F1" below that, a
23	couple down, and then "FORMULA_F2." Do you see those?
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. Do you have any understanding what those

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Page 1	L78
--------	-----

	Fage
1	CLARK BENSEN
2	formulas refer to?
3	A. No. I know I helped them calculate I
4	showed them how to calculate things over the course of
5	when they need to. But they're not mine. So
б	MR. FRAM: Okay. Well, thank you.
7	Well, we have no further questions for you
8	subject to follow up if opposing counsel should have
9	questions for you.
10	MS. McKNIGHT: None for me. Thanks.
11	MS. RIGGINS: None for me.
12	MR. FRAM: I hope it makes for an easier
13	drive for you.
14	MS. McKNIGHT: For the record, I'd just like
15	to note we'd like to read and sign. Thank you.
16	(Witness excused.)
17	(Deposition concluded at 2:08 p.m.)
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Page 179

1

2

CERTIFICATE

3	I do hereby certify that the aforesaid testimony		
4	was taken before me, pursuant to notice, at the time		
5	and place indicated; that said deponent was by me duly		
б	sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing		
7	but the truth; that the testimony of said deponent was		
8	correctly recorded in machine shorthand by me and		
9	thereafter transcribed under my supervision with		
10	computer-aided transcription; that the deposition is a		
11	true and correct record of the testimony given by the		
12	witness; and that I am neither of counsel nor kin to		
13	any party in said action, nor interested in the		
14	outcome thereof.		
15	Dated: December 17, 2018		
16			
17	$\bigcirc$		
18	For		
19	<u> </u>		
20	Nancy J. Martin, RMR, CSR		
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

DEPO\_SDOH\_0179

Page 180

#### INSTRUCTIONS TO WITNESS

Please read your deposition over carefully
and make any necessary corrections. You should state
the reason in the appropriate space on the errata
sheet for any corrections that are made.

1

2

3

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

8 After doing so, please sign the errata sheet 9 and date it. You are signing same subject to the 10 changes you have noted on the errata sheet, which will 11 be attached to your deposition. It is imperative that 12 you return the original errata sheet to the deposing 13 attorney within thirty (30) days of receipt of the 14 deposition transcript by you. If you fail to do so, 15 the deposition transcript may be deemed to be accurate 16 and may be used in court.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Case: 1:18-cv-00357-TSB-KNM-MHW Doc #: 230-4 Filed: 02/20/19 Page: 181 of 182	PAGEID #:
10001	

		Page	181
1	ERRATA SHEET		
2	Case Name:		
3	Deposition Date:		
4	Deponent:		
5	Pg. No. Now Reads Should Read Reason		
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21	Signature of Depone	nt	
22	SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN BEFORE ME		
23	THIS DAY OF, 2018.		
24			
25	(Notary Public) MY COMMISSION EXPIRES:		

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

DEPO\_SDOH\_0181

	CLARK BENSEN	
	ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT	
	I, CLARK BENSEN, do hereby certify that I	
have	read the foregoing pages, to,	
and t	that the same is a correct transcription of the	
answers given by me to the questions therein		
propounded, except for the corrections or changes in		
form	or substance, if any, noted in the attached	
Errat	ta Sheet.	
DATE	SIGNATURE	
Subso	cribed and sworn to before me this day	
of	, 20	
My co	ommission expires:	
Notai	ry Public	
	-	

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

DEPO\_SDOH\_0182

Regina C. Adams, et al.,

Relators,

Governor Mike DeWine, et al.,

v.

Respondents.

League of Women Voters of Ohio, et al.,

Relators,

v.

Governor Mike DeWine, et al.,

Respondents.

Case No. 2021-1428

Original Action Filed Pursuant to Ohio Const., Art. XIX, Sec. 3(A)

Case No. 2021-1449

Original Action Filed Pursuant to Ohio Const., Art. XIX, Sec. 3(A)

#### AFFIDAVIT OF FREDA J. LEVENSON RELATORS' EVIDENCE – DISCOVERY AND DEPOSITION FILES

(Counsel listing on next page)

Freda J. Levenson (0045916) *Counsel of Record* ACLU OF OHIO FOUNDATION, INC. 4506 Chester Avenue Cleveland, OH 44103 (614) 586-1972 x125 flevenson@acluohio.org

David J. Carey (0088787) ACLU OF OHIO FOUNDATION, INC. 1108 City Park Avenue, Suite 203 Columbus, OH 43206 (614) 586-1972 x2004 dcarey@acluohio.org

Julie A. Ebenstein (PHV 25423-2021) AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION 125 Broad Street New York, NY 10004 (212) 519-7866 jebenstein@aclu.org

Robert D. Fram (PHV 25414-2021) Donald Brown (PHV 25480-2021) David Denuyl (PHV 25452-2021) Joshua González (PHV 25424-2021) Juliana Goldrosen (PHV 25193-2021) COVINGTON & BURLING, LLP Salesforce Tower 415 Mission Street, Suite 5400 San Francisco, CA 94105-2533 (415) 591-6000 rfram@cov.com

James Smith\*\* L. Brady Bender (PHV 25192-2021) Sarah Suwanda\*\* Alex Thomson (PHV 25462-2021) COVINGTON & BURLING,LLP One CityCenter 850 Tenth Street, NW Washington, DC 20001-4956 (202) 662-6000 jmsmith@cov.com Dave Yost Ohio Attorney General

Bridget C. Coontz (0072919) Julie M. Pfeiffer (0069762) Michael A. Walton (0092201) Assistant Attorneys General Constitutional Offices Section 30 E. Broad Street, 16th Floor Columbus, OH 43215 (614) 466-2872 bridget.coontz@ohioago.gov

Counsel for Respondent Ohio Secretary of State Frank LaRose

Phillip J. Strach (PHV 25444-2021)
Thomas A. Farr (PHV 25461-2021)
John E. Branch, III (PHV 25460-2021)
Alyssa M. Riggins (PHV 25441-2021)
NELSON MULLINS RILEY & SCARBOROUGH, LLP
4140 Parklake Ave., Suite 200
Raleigh, NC 27612
(919) 329-3812
phil.strach@nelsonmullins.com

Counsel for Respondents House Speaker Bob Cupp and Senate President Matt Huffman Anupam Sharma (PHV 25418-2021) Yale Fu (PHV 25419-2021) COVINGTON & BURLING, LLP 3000 El Camino Real 5 Palo Alto Square, 10th Floor Palo Alto, CA 94306-2112 (650) 632-4700 asharma@cov.com

Counsel for League of Women Voters Relators

Abha Khanna (PHV 2189-2021) Ben Stafford (PHV 25433-2021) ELIAS LAW GROUP, LLP 1700 Seventh Ave., Suite 2100 Seattle, WA 98101 (206) 656-0176 akhanna@elias.law

Aria C. Branch (PHV 25435-2021) Jyoti Jasrasaria (PHV 25401-2021) Spencer W. Klein (PHV 25432-2021) Harleen K. Gambhir (PHV 25587-2021) ELIAS LAW GROUP, LLP 10 G St. NE, Suite 600 Washington, DC 20002 (202) 968-4490 abranch@elias.law

Donald J. McTigue (0022849) *Counsel of Record* Derek S. Clinger (0092075) McTIGUE & COLOMBO, LLC 545 East Town Street Columbus, OH 43215 (614) 263-7000 dmctigue@electionlawgroup.com

Counsel for Adams Relators

\*\* Pro Hac Vice Motion Forthcoming

#### Affidavit of Freda J. Levenson

I, Freda J. Levenson, having been duly sworn and cautioned according to law, hereby state that I am over the age of eighteen years and am competent to testify as to the facts set forth below based on my personal knowledge and having personally examined all records referenced in this affidavit, and further state as follows:

- The Ohio Supreme Court has entered an order in each of the above-captioned cases, *League of Women Voters of Ohio v. Ohio Redistricting Commission*, No. 2021-1449, and *Adams v. DeWine*, No. 2021-1428, providing that parties shall file any evidence they intend to present no later than Friday, December 10, 2021.
- I am one of the counsel for Relators in the above-captioned case, Case Number 2021-1449.
- 3. Alongside this affidavit, Relators submit several Appendices of Exhibits. The Index included at the beginning of each Appendix gives a description of each document and states where it appears in that Appendix. Each of the Indexes is also copied below.
- 4. First, in the days after filing the complaint in this matter, Relators in each of the abovecaptioned cases served discovery requests on Respondents for documents, interrogatories, and requests for admissions related to this case. Exhibits Appendix A includes true and correct copies of certified transcripts from depositions taken in this case, as well as exhibits cited in those depositions.
- Second, Exhibits Appendix B includes true and correct copies of files produced by Respondents in discovery.
- 6. Third, Exhibits Appendix C includes true and correct copies of the written discovery responses received by all Relators in response to their discovery requests.

- 7. Fourth, the documents contained in the attached Exhibits Appendix D are true and correct copies of deposition transcripts filed in *League of Women Voters v. Ohio Redistricting Comm'n*, No. 2021-1193.
- Fifth, the documents contained in the attached Exhibits Appendix E are true and correct copies of deposition transcripts filed in *Ohio A. Philip Randolph Institute v. Smith*, No. 1:18-cv-0037-TSB (S.D. Ohio).
- 9. Finally, Relators have delivered to the Court on a physical drive copies of native files produced in discovery.

## EXHIBITS APPENDIX A - DEPOSITIONS Volume 1 of 2

ITEM	<b>DESCRIPTION</b>	BATES RANGE
1	Deposition Transcript of Ray DiRossi	DEPO_CONG_0001 - 284

## EXHIBITS APPENDIX A - DEPOSITIONS Volume 2 of 2

ITEM	DESCRIPTION	BATES RANGE
2	Exhibit 1 to Deposition of Ray DiRossi	DEPO_CONG_0285 - 290
3	Exhibit 2 to Deposition of Ray DiRossi	DEPO_CONG_0291 - 297
4	Exhibit 3 to Deposition of Ray DiRossi	DEPO_CONG_0298
5	Exhibit 4 to Deposition of Ray DiRossi	DEPO_CONG_0299
6	Exhibit 5 to Deposition of Ray DiRossi	DEPO_CONG_0300 - 308
7	Exhibit 6 to Deposition of Ray DiRossi	DEPO_CONG_0309 - 311
8	Exhibit 7 to Deposition of Ray DiRossi	DEPO_CONG_0312 - 313
9	Exhibit 8 to Deposition of Ray DiRossi	DEPO_CONG_0314 - 318
10	Exhibit 9 to Deposition of Ray DiRossi	DEPO_CONG_0319
11	Exhibit 10 to Deposition of Ray DiRossi	DEPO_CONG_0320
12	Deposition Transcript of Blake Springhetti	DEPO_CONG_0321 - 459
13	Exhibit 1 to Deposition of Blake Springhetti	DEPO_CONG_0460 - 462
14	Exhibit 2 to Deposition of Blake Springhetti	DEPO_CONG_0463 - 474
15	Exhibit 3 to Deposition of Blake Springhetti	DEPO_CONG_0475 - 487
16	Exhibit 4 to Deposition of Blake Springhetti	DEPO_CONG_0488 - 494
17	Exhibit 5 to Deposition of Blake Springhetti	DEPO_CONG_0495 - 497
18	Exhibit 6 to Deposition of Blake Springhetti	DEPO_CONG_0498 - 499
19	Exhibit 7 to Deposition of Blake Springhetti	DEPO_CONG_0500 - 501

## EXHIBITS APPENDIX B - DOCUMENTS PRODUCED IN DISCOVERY Volume 1 of 3

ITEM	FILE NAME	BATES RANGE
1	HUFFMAN_000003	HUFFMAN_000003-000008
2	HUFFMAN_000535	HUFFMAN_000535
3	HUFFMAN_000536	HUFFMAN_000536
4	HUFFMAN_000566	HUFFMAN_000566-000569
5	HUFFMAN_000668	HUFFMAN_000668
6	HUFFMAN_000703	HUFFMAN_000703
7	HUFFMAN_000704	HUFFMAN_000704-000705
8	HUFFMAN_000706	HUFFMAN_000706
9	Cupp000027	Cupp000027-000029
10	Cupp000030	Cupp000030-000033
11	Cupp000035	Cupp000035-000043
12	Cupp000044	Cupp000044-000046
13	Cupp000047	Cupp000047-000048
14	Cupp000087	Cupp000087-000088
15	Cupp000089	Cupp000089-000102
16	Cupp000128	Cupp000128-000129
17	Cupp001405	Cupp001405-001407
18	Cupp001839	Cupp001839-001841
19	Cupp001843	Cupp001843
20	Cupp001854	Cupp001854
21	DIROSSI_000014	DIROSSI_000014
22	DIROSSI_001215	DIROSSI_001215
23	DIROSSI_002119	DIROSSI_002119
24	DIROSSI_002120	DIROSSI_002120
25	DIROSSI_002121	DIROSSI_002121
26	DIROSSI_002122	DIROSSI_002122
27	DIROSSI_003324	DIROSSI_003324
28	DIROSSI_003325	DIROSSI_003325-003326
29	DIROSSI_003327	DIROSSI_003327
30	DIROSSI_003328	DIROSSI_003328-003333
31	DIROSSI_003334	DIROSSI_003334
32	DIROSSI_003335	DIROSSI_003335
33	DIROSSI_003348	DIROSSI_003348

34	DIROSSI_004252	DIROSSI_004252
35	DIROSSI_004253	DIROSSI_004253
36	DIROSSI_005492	DIROSSI_005492
37	DIROSSI_005493	DIROSSI_005493
38	DIROSSI_005494	DIROSSI_005494
39	DIROSSI_005598	DIROSSI_005598
40	DIROSSI_005599	DIROSSI_005599-005607
41	DIROSSI_005608	DIROSSI_005608
42	DIROSSI_006520	DIROSSI_006520

## EXHIBITS APPENDIX B - DOCUMENTS PRODUCED IN DISCOVERY Volume 2 of 3

ITEM	FILE NAME	BATES RANGE
1	DIROSSI_007723	DIROSSI_007723
2	DIROSSI_007724	DIROSSI 007724
3	DIROSSI 007725	DIROSSI 007725
4	DIROSSI 007726	DIROSSI 007726
5	DIROSSI_007727	DIROSSI_007727
6	DIROSSI_008928	DIROSSI 008928
7	DIROSSI_008929	DIROSSI_008929
8	DIROSSI_008930	DIROSSI_008930
9	DIROSSI_008931	DIROSSI_008931
10	DIROSSI_008932	DIROSSI_008932-008935
11	DIROSSI_008936	DIROSSI_008936
12	DIROSSI_008937	DIROSSI_008937
13	DIROSSI_008943	DIROSSI_008943
14	DIROSSI_008944	DIROSSI_008944
15	DIROSSI_008947	DIROSSI_008947
16	DIROSSI_008948	DIROSSI_008948-008949
17	DIROSSI_008950	DIROSSI_008950
18	DIROSSI_008951	DIROSSI_008951
19	DIROSSI_008952	DIROSSI_008952
20	DIROSSI_008953	DIROSSI_008953
21	DIROSSI_008954	DIROSSI_008954
22	DIROSSI_008955	DIROSSI_008955
23	DIROSSI_008956	DIROSSI_008956
24	DIROSSI_008957	DIROSSI_008957
25	DIROSSI_008958	DIROSSI_008958
26	DIROSSI_008959	DIROSSI_008959
27	DIROSSI_008965	DIROSSI_008965
28	DIROSSI_008966	DIROSSI_008966
29	DIROSSI_008967	DIROSSI_008967
30	DIROSSI_008968	DIROSSI_008968
31	DIROSSI_008969	DIROSSI_008969
32	DIROSSI_008970	DIROSSI_008970-008976
33	DIROSSI_009881	DIROSSI_009881

35         DIROSSI_009895         DIROSSI_01097         DIROSSI_011097           36         DIROSSI_011097         DIROSSI_011097         DIROSSI_011098           37         DIROSSI_011098         DIROSSI_011098         DIROSSI_011099           38         DIROSSI_011099         DIROSSI_011099         DIROSSI_011099           39         DIROSSI_011106         DIROSSI_011107         DIROSSI_011108           40         DIROSSI_011108         DIROSSI_011108         DIROSSI_011108           41         DIROSSI_011109         DIROSSI_011109         DIROSSI_011109           43         DIROSSI_011109         DIROSSI_011109         DIROSSI_011109           44         GAVARONE_000132         GAVARONE_000132         GAVARONE_000132           45         GAVARONE_000134         GAVARONE_000135         GAVARONE_002936           46         GAVARONE_002936         GAVARONE_002936         GAVARONE_002936           50         GAVARONE_002936         GAVARONE_002936         GAVARONE_002936           51         GAVARONE_004275         GAVARONE_004275         GAVARONE_004276           53         GAVARONE_004276         GAVARONE_004276         54         GAVARONE_004276           54         GAVARONE_006703         GAVARONE_006703         GAVARONE_0	34	DIROSSI 009892	DIROSSI 009892
36         DIROSSI 011097         DIROSSI 011097           37         DIROSSI 011098         DIROSSI 011098           38         DIROSSI 011099         DIROSSI 011099           39         DIROSSI 011106         DIROSSI 011106           40         DIROSSI 011107         DIROSSI 011107           41         DIROSSI 011109         DIROSSI 011107           42         DIROSSI 011109         DIROSSI 011109           43         DIROSSI 011109         DIROSSI 011109           44         GAVARONE_000132         GAVARONE_000133           45         GAVARONE_000133         GAVARONE_000134           46         GAVARONE_002607         GAVARONE_000134           47         GAVARONE_002936         GAVARONE_002936-002938           50         GAVARONE_002074         GAVARONE_003074           51         GAVARONE_004275         GAVARONE 004275           52         GAVARONE_004276         GAVARONE 004275           53         GAVARONE_004277         GAVARONE 004275           54         GAVARONE_004277         GAVARONE 004277           55         GAVARONE_00703         GAVARONE 004275           58         GAVARONE_00707         GAVARONE 006703           58         GAVARONE_00707 <td></td> <td>— —</td> <td>— —</td>		— —	— —
37         DIROSSI_011098         DIROSSI_011099           38         DIROSSI_011099         DIROSSI_011099           39         DIROSSI_011106         DIROSSI_011107           40         DIROSSI_011108         DIROSSI_011108           41         DIROSSI_011109         DIROSSI_011109           42         DIROSSI_011109         DIROSSI_011109           43         DIROSSI_011109         DIROSSI_011109           44         GAVARONE_000132         GAVARONE_000132           45         GAVARONE_000133         GAVARONE_000134           46         GAVARONE_002936         GAVARONE_00200135           47         GAVARONE_002936         GAVARONE_002936           50         GAVARONE_002936         GAVARONE_002936           50         GAVARONE_003075         GAVARONE_003074           51         GAVARONE_004275         GAVARONE_004275           53         GAVARONE_004276         GAVARONE_004277           54         GAVARONE_004277         GAVARONE_004277           55         GAVARONE_006702         GAVARONE_004277           56         GAVARONE_006702         GAVARONE_00702           57         GAVARONE_006703         GAVARONE_006702           58         GAVARONE_006702			
38         DIROSST_011099         DIROSST_011099           39         DIROSST_011106         DIROSST_011106           40         DIROSST_011107         DIROSST_011108           41         DIROSST_011108         DIROSST_011108           42         DIROSST_011109         DIROSST_011109           43         DIROSST_011100         DIROSST_011110           44         GAVARONE_000132         GAVARONE_000132           45         GAVARONE_000133         GAVARONE_000134           46         GAVARONE_002607         GAVARONE_000135           47         GAVARONE_002035         GAVARONE_00207-002610           48         GAVARONE_002367         GAVARONE_002936           48         GAVARONE_003074         GAVARONE_003074           50         GAVARONE_003075         GAVARONE_004275           52         GAVARONE_004275         GAVARONE_004276           54         GAVARONE_004277         GAVARONE_004276           54         GAVARONE_004278         GAVARONE_004278           56         GAVARONE_006702         GAVARONE_006702           57         GAVARONE_006703         GAVARONE_006703           58         GAVARONE_00707         GAVARONE_00707           58         GAVARONE_00707 </td <td></td> <td><b>_</b></td> <td></td>		<b>_</b>	
39         DIROSS_011106         DIROSS_011107           40         DIROSS_011107         DIROSS_011107           41         DIROSS_011108         DIROSS_011108           42         DIROSS_011109         DIROSS_01110           43         DIROSS_01110         DIROSS_00112           44         GAVARONE_000132         GAVARONE_000132           45         GAVARONE_000133         GAVARONE_000133           46         GAVARONE_000134         GAVARONE_000134           47         GAVARONE_0020607         GAVARONE_0020607-002610           49         GAVARONE_002036         GAVARONE_002936           50         GAVARONE_002936         GAVARONE_002936-002938           50         GAVARONE_003074         GAVARONE_003074           51         GAVARONE_003075         GAVARONE_004275           52         GAVARONE_004275         GAVARONE_004276           53         GAVARONE_004277         GAVARONE_004277           55         GAVARONE_006702         GAVARONE_004277           55         GAVARONE_006703         GAVARONE_006703           58         GAVARONE_006703         GAVARONE_006703           59         LAROSE000005         LAROSE000006           61         LAROSE000005		—	— —
40         DIROSSI_011107         DIROSSI_011107           41         DIROSSI_011108         DIROSSI_011108           42         DIROSSI_011109         DIROSSI_011109           43         DIROSSI_01110         DIROSSI_01110           44         GAVARONE_000132         GAVARONE_000132           45         GAVARONE_000133         GAVARONE_000133           46         GAVARONE_000134         GAVARONE_000134           47         GAVARONE_002607         GAVARONE_002607-002610           49         GAVARONE_002936         GAVARONE_002936-002938           50         GAVARONE_003074         GAVARONE_003074           51         GAVARONE_003075         GAVARONE_003075           52         GAVARONE_004275         GAVARONE_004275           53         GAVARONE_004276         GAVARONE_004275           54         GAVARONE_004277         GAVARONE_004276           55         GAVARONE_006702         GAVARONE_004277           55         GAVARONE_006703         GAVARONE_006702           57         GAVARONE_006703         GAVARONE_007607           58         GAVARONE_007607         GAVARONE 007607           59         LAROSE000005         LAROSE000006           64         LAROSE0000		—	—
41         DIROSSI_011108         DIROSSI_011108           42         DIROSSI_011109         DIROSSI_011109           43         DIROSSI_01110         DIROSSI_01110           44         GAVARONE_000132         GAVARONE_000132           45         GAVARONE_000133         GAVARONE_000133           46         GAVARONE_000134         GAVARONE_000134           47         GAVARONE_002607         GAVARONE_002036           48         GAVARONE_002607         GAVARONE_002036-002938           50         GAVARONE_003074         GAVARONE_003074           51         GAVARONE_003075         GAVARONE_003075           52         GAVARONE_004275         GAVARONE_004275           53         GAVARONE_004276         GAVARONE_004276           54         GAVARONE_004277         GAVARONE_004276           55         GAVARONE_004278         GAVARONE_004278           56         GAVARONE_006703         GAVARONE_006703           58         GAVARONE_00707         GAVARONE_006703           59         LAROSE000004         LAROSE000004           60         LAROSE000005         LAROSE000007           61         LAROSE000005         LAROSE000007           62         LAROSE000010 <t< td=""><td>-</td><td>—</td><td>—</td></t<>	-	—	—
42         DIROSST_011109         DIROSST_011109           43         DIROSST_011110         DIROSST_011110           44         GAVARONE_000132         GAVARONE_000132           45         GAVARONE_000133         GAVARONE_000133           46         GAVARONE_000134         GAVARONE_000134           47         GAVARONE_000135         GAVARONE_000135           48         GAVARONE_002607         GAVARONE_002607-002607-0026010           49         GAVARONE_002036         GAVARONE_002936-002938           50         GAVARONE_003074         GAVARONE_003074           51         GAVARONE_003075         GAVARONE_003075           52         GAVARONE_004275         GAVARONE_004275           53         GAVARONE_004276         GAVARONE_004276           54         GAVARONE_004277         GAVARONE_004276           55         GAVARONE_004278         GAVARONE_004278           56         GAVARONE_006702         GAVARONE_006703           57         GAVARONE_00707         GAVARONE_006703           58         GAVARONE_000707         GAVARONE_006703           59         LAROSE000004         LAROSE000004           60         LAROSE000005         LAROSE000007           61         LAR		—	
43         DIROSSI 011110         DIROSSI 011110           44         GAVARONE_000132         GAVARONE_000132           45         GAVARONE_000133         GAVARONE_000133           46         GAVARONE_000134         GAVARONE_000134           47         GAVARONE_000135         GAVARONE_000135           48         GAVARONE_002607         GAVARONE_002607-002610           49         GAVARONE_002936         GAVARONE_002936-002938           50         GAVARONE_003074         GAVARONE_003074           51         GAVARONE_003075         GAVARONE_003074           52         GAVARONE_004275         GAVARONE_004275           53         GAVARONE_004276         GAVARONE_004276           54         GAVARONE_004277         GAVARONE_004276           55         GAVARONE_004277         GAVARONE_004277           56         GAVARONE_006702         GAVARONE_006702           57         GAVARONE_006703         GAVARONE_006703           58         GAVARONE_00707         GAVARONE_00707           59         LAROSE000005         LAROSE000007           61         LAROSE000005         LAROSE000007           62         LAROSE000012         LAROSE000012           64         LAROSE000013 <td></td> <td><u> </u></td> <td></td>		<u> </u>	
44         GAVARONE_000132         GAVARONE_000132           45         GAVARONE_000133         GAVARONE_000133           46         GAVARONE_000134         GAVARONE_000134           47         GAVARONE_000135         GAVARONE_000135           48         GAVARONE_00207         GAVARONE_00207-002610           49         GAVARONE_002936         GAVARONE_002936-002938           50         GAVARONE_003074         GAVARONE_003074           51         GAVARONE_003075         GAVARONE_003074           52         GAVARONE_004275         GAVARONE_004275           53         GAVARONE_004276         GAVARONE_004276           54         GAVARONE_004277         GAVARONE_004276           55         GAVARONE_004277         GAVARONE_004277           54         GAVARONE_006702         GAVARONE_004278           55         GAVARONE_006703         GAVARONE_006703           58         GAVARONE_00707         GAVARONE_00707           59         LAROSE000004         LAROSE000005           61         LAROSE000005         LAROSE000007           62         LAROSE0000012         LAROSE0000012           64         LAROSE000013         LAROSE000012           64         LAROSE000015		—	— —
45         GAVARONE_000133         GAVARONE_000133           46         GAVARONE_000134         GAVARONE_000134           47         GAVARONE_000135         GAVARONE_000135           48         GAVARONE_002607         GAVARONE_002607-002610           49         GAVARONE_002936         GAVARONE_002936-002938           50         GAVARONE_003074         GAVARONE_003074           51         GAVARONE_003075         GAVARONE_003075           52         GAVARONE_004275         GAVARONE_004275           53         GAVARONE_004276         GAVARONE_004276           54         GAVARONE_004277         GAVARONE_004276           54         GAVARONE_004278         GAVARONE_004278           56         GAVARONE_006702         GAVARONE_006702           57         GAVARONE_006703         GAVARONE_006703           58         GAVARONE_007607         GAVARONE_007607           59         LAROSE000004         LAROSE000005           61         LAROSE000005         LAROSE000007           62         LAROSE0000010         LAROSE0000012           64         LAROSE000012         LAROSE000012           65         LAROSE000013         LAROSE000012           66         LAROSE000015			—
46         GAVARONE_000134         GAVARONE_000134           47         GAVARONE_00135         GAVARONE_000135           48         GAVARONE_002607         GAVARONE_002607-002610           49         GAVARONE_002936         GAVARONE_002936-002938           50         GAVARONE_003074         GAVARONE_003074           51         GAVARONE_003075         GAVARONE_003075           52         GAVARONE_004275         GAVARONE_004275           53         GAVARONE_004276         GAVARONE_004276           54         GAVARONE_004277         GAVARONE_004276           54         GAVARONE_004278         GAVARONE_004278           56         GAVARONE_006702         GAVARONE_006702           57         GAVARONE_006703         GAVARONE_006703           58         GAVARONE_007607         GAVARONE_007607           59         LAROSE000004         LAROSE000005           61         LAROSE000005         LAROSE000007           62         LAROSE000007         LAROSE000007           63         LAROSE000012         LAROSE000012           64         LAROSE000013         LAROSE000012           65         LAROSE000013         LAROSE000012           66         LAROSE000015         L		— —	
47         GAVARONE         000135         GAVARONE         000135           48         GAVARONE         002607         GAVARONE         002607-002610           49         GAVARONE         002936         GAVARONE         002936-002938           50         GAVARONE         003074         GAVARONE         003074           51         GAVARONE         003075         GAVARONE         003075           52         GAVARONE         004275         GAVARONE         004275           53         GAVARONE         004276         GAVARONE         004276           54         GAVARONE         004277         GAVARONE         004277           55         GAVARONE         004278         GAVARONE         004278           56         GAVARONE         006702         GAVARONE         006702           57         GAVARONE         006703         GAVARONE         006703           58         GAVARONE         007607         GAVARONE         006703           59         LAROSE000005         LAROSE000005         LAROSE000006           61         LAROSE000007         LAROSE000007         LAROSE000007           63         LAROSE000012         LAROSE000012         LAR		<u> </u>	
48         GAVARONE         002607         GAVARONE         002607-002610           49         GAVARONE         002936         GAVARONE         002936-002938           50         GAVARONE         003074         GAVARONE         003074           51         GAVARONE         003075         GAVARONE         003075           52         GAVARONE         004275         GAVARONE         004275           53         GAVARONE         004276         GAVARONE         004276           54         GAVARONE         004277         GAVARONE         004277           55         GAVARONE         004277         GAVARONE         004278           56         GAVARONE         004278         GAVARONE         004278           56         GAVARONE         006702         GAVARONE         006702           57         GAVARONE         006703         GAVARONE         006703           58         GAVARONE         007607         GAVARONE         007607           59         LAROSE000005         LAROSE000005         LAROSE000006           61         LAROSE000007         LAROSE000007         LAROSE000007           63         LAROSE000012         LAROSE000012         LAR		———————————————————————————————————————	
49         GAVARONE_002936         GAVARONE_002936-002938           50         GAVARONE_003074         GAVARONE_003074           51         GAVARONE_003075         GAVARONE_003075           52         GAVARONE_004275         GAVARONE_004275           53         GAVARONE_004276         GAVARONE_004276           54         GAVARONE_004277         GAVARONE_004277           55         GAVARONE_004278         GAVARONE_004278           56         GAVARONE_006702         GAVARONE_006702           57         GAVARONE_006703         GAVARONE_006703           58         GAVARONE_00707         GAVARONE_00707           59         LAROSE000004         LAROSE000004           60         LAROSE000005         LAROSE000006           61         LAROSE000007         LAROSE000007           63         LAROSE000010         LAROSE000010-111           65         LAROSE000012         LAROSE000012           66         LAROSE000015         LAROSE000012           68         LAROSE000015         LAROSE000015           68         LAROSE000023         LAROSE000023           69         LAROSE000025         LAROSE000025           70         LAROSE000025         LAROSE000025     <		———————————————————————————————————————	
50         GAVARONE_003074         GAVARONE_003074           51         GAVARONE_003075         GAVARONE_003075           52         GAVARONE_004275         GAVARONE_004275           53         GAVARONE_004276         GAVARONE_004276           54         GAVARONE_004277         GAVARONE_004277           55         GAVARONE_004278         GAVARONE_004278           56         GAVARONE_006702         GAVARONE_006702           57         GAVARONE_006703         GAVARONE_006703           58         GAVARONE_007607         GAVARONE_007607           59         LAROSE000004         LAROSE000004           60         LAROSE000005         LAROSE000005           61         LAROSE000007         LAROSE000007           63         LAROSE000012         LAROSE000012           64         LAROSE000013         LAROSE000012           66         LAROSE000015         LAROSE000012           67         LAROSE000013         LAROSE000015           68         LAROSE000023         LAROSE000023           69         LAROSE000025         LAROSE000025           70         LAROSE000025         LAROSE000025           71         LAROSE000026         LAROSE000026 </td <td></td> <td>GAVARONE_002607</td> <td></td>		GAVARONE_002607	
51         GAVARONE_003075         GAVARONE_003075           52         GAVARONE_004275         GAVARONE_004275           53         GAVARONE_004276         GAVARONE_004276           54         GAVARONE_004277         GAVARONE_004277           55         GAVARONE_004278         GAVARONE_004278           56         GAVARONE_006702         GAVARONE_006702           57         GAVARONE_006703         GAVARONE_006703           58         GAVARONE_007607         GAVARONE_007607           59         LAROSE000004         LAROSE000004           60         LAROSE000005         LAROSE000007           61         LAROSE000007         LAROSE000007           62         LAROSE0000010         LAROSE000010-11           65         LAROSE000012         LAROSE000012           66         LAROSE000015         LAROSE000012           67         LAROSE000015         LAROSE000015           68         LAROSE000015         LAROSE000015           69         LAROSE000023         LAROSE000023           69         LAROSE000025         LAROSE000025           70         LAROSE000026         LAROSE000025		GAVARONE_002936	GAVARONE_002936-002938
52         GAVARONE_004275         GAVARONE_004275           53         GAVARONE_004276         GAVARONE_004276           54         GAVARONE_004277         GAVARONE_004277           55         GAVARONE_004278         GAVARONE_004278           56         GAVARONE_006702         GAVARONE_006702           57         GAVARONE_006703         GAVARONE_006703           58         GAVARONE_007607         GAVARONE_007607           59         LAROSE000004         LAROSE000004           60         LAROSE000005         LAROSE000005           61         LAROSE000007         LAROSE000007           63         LAROSE000010         LAROSE000010-11           65         LAROSE000012         LAROSE000012           66         LAROSE000013         LAROSE000012           67         LAROSE000013         LAROSE000012           68         LAROSE000015         LAROSE000015           69         LAROSE000023         LAROSE000023           69         LAROSE000025         LAROSE000025           70         LAROSE000026         LAROSE000026	50	GAVARONE_003074	GAVARONE_003074
53         GAVARONE_004276         GAVARONE_004276           54         GAVARONE_004277         GAVARONE_004277           55         GAVARONE_004278         GAVARONE_004278           56         GAVARONE_006702         GAVARONE_006702           57         GAVARONE_006703         GAVARONE_006703           58         GAVARONE_007607         GAVARONE_00707           59         LAROSE000004         LAROSE000004           60         LAROSE000006         LAROSE000006           62         LAROSE000007         LAROSE000007           63         LAROSE000010         LAROSE000010-11           65         LAROSE000012         LAROSE000012           66         LAROSE000013         LAROSE000012           67         LAROSE000013         LAROSE000015           68         LAROSE000023         LAROSE000023           69         LAROSE000024         LAROSE000024           70         LAROSE000025         LAROSE000025           71         LAROSE000026         LAROSE000026-27		GAVARONE_003075	GAVARONE_003075
54         GAVARONE         004277         GAVARONE         004277           55         GAVARONE         004278         GAVARONE         004278           56         GAVARONE         006702         GAVARONE         006702           57         GAVARONE         006703         GAVARONE         006703           58         GAVARONE         007607         GAVARONE         007607           59         LAROSE000004         LAROSE000004         LAROSE000005           61         LAROSE000005         LAROSE000006         LAROSE000007           62         LAROSE000007         LAROSE000007         LAROSE000007           63         LAROSE000010         LAROSE000010-11         65           64         LAROSE000012         LAROSE000012         LAROSE000012           66         LAROSE000013         LAROSE000013-14         67           67         LAROSE000023         LAROSE000023         68           68         LAROSE000023         LAROSE000023         69           69         LAROSE000024         LAROSE000025         1           70         LAROSE000025         LAROSE000025         71	52	GAVARONE_004275	GAVARONE_004275
55         GAVARONE_004278         GAVARONE_004278           56         GAVARONE_006702         GAVARONE_006702           57         GAVARONE_006703         GAVARONE_006703           58         GAVARONE_007607         GAVARONE_007607           59         LAROSE000004         LAROSE000004           60         LAROSE000005         LAROSE000005           61         LAROSE000006         LAROSE000007           62         LAROSE000007         LAROSE000007           63         LAROSE000010         LAROSE000010           64         LAROSE000012         LAROSE000012           66         LAROSE000013         LAROSE000012           68         LAROSE000023         LAROSE000023           69         LAROSE000024         LAROSE000025           70         LAROSE000026         LAROSE000025           71         LAROSE000026         LAROSE000026-27	53	GAVARONE_004276	GAVARONE_004276
56         GAVARONE_006702         GAVARONE_006702           57         GAVARONE_006703         GAVARONE_006703           58         GAVARONE_007607         GAVARONE_007607           59         LAROSE000004         LAROSE000004           60         LAROSE000006         LAROSE000005           61         LAROSE000006         LAROSE000006           62         LAROSE000007         LAROSE000007           63         LAROSE000010         LAROSE000009           64         LAROSE000012         LAROSE000012           66         LAROSE000013         LAROSE000012           66         LAROSE000015         LAROSE000015           68         LAROSE000023         LAROSE000023           69         LAROSE000025         LAROSE000025           70         LAROSE000026         LAROSE000025           71         LAROSE000026         LAROSE000026-27	54	GAVARONE_004277	GAVARONE_004277
57         GAVARONE_006703         GAVARONE_006703           58         GAVARONE_007607         GAVARONE_007607           59         LAROSE000004         LAROSE000004           60         LAROSE000005         LAROSE000005           61         LAROSE000006         LAROSE000006           62         LAROSE000007         LAROSE000007           63         LAROSE000009         LAROSE000009           64         LAROSE000012         LAROSE000012           66         LAROSE000013         LAROSE000012           66         LAROSE000015         LAROSE000015           68         LAROSE000023         LAROSE000023           69         LAROSE000025         LAROSE000025           70         LAROSE000026         LAROSE000025	55	GAVARONE_004278	GAVARONE_004278
58         GAVARONE_007607         GAVARONE_007607           59         LAROSE000004         LAROSE000004           60         LAROSE000005         LAROSE000005           61         LAROSE000006         LAROSE000006           62         LAROSE000007         LAROSE000007           63         LAROSE000009         LAROSE000009           64         LAROSE000010         LAROSE000012           65         LAROSE000012         LAROSE000012           66         LAROSE000013         LAROSE000013           67         LAROSE000015         LAROSE000015           68         LAROSE000023         LAROSE000023           69         LAROSE000025         LAROSE000025           70         LAROSE000026         LAROSE000025	56	GAVARONE_006702	GAVARONE_006702
59         LAROSE00004         LAROSE00004           60         LAROSE000005         LAROSE000005           61         LAROSE000006         LAROSE000006           62         LAROSE000007         LAROSE000007           63         LAROSE000009         LAROSE000009           64         LAROSE000010         LAROSE000010-11           65         LAROSE000012         LAROSE000012           66         LAROSE000013         LAROSE000013-14           67         LAROSE000023         LAROSE000023           68         LAROSE000023         LAROSE000023           69         LAROSE000024         LAROSE000024           70         LAROSE000025         LAROSE000025           71         LAROSE000026         LAROSE000026-27	57	GAVARONE_006703	GAVARONE_006703
60         LAROSE000005         LAROSE000005           61         LAROSE000006         LAROSE000006           62         LAROSE000007         LAROSE000007           63         LAROSE000009         LAROSE000009           64         LAROSE000010         LAROSE000010-11           65         LAROSE000012         LAROSE000012           66         LAROSE000013         LAROSE000013-14           67         LAROSE000015         LAROSE000015           68         LAROSE000023         LAROSE000023           69         LAROSE000025         LAROSE000025           70         LAROSE000026         LAROSE000025	58	GAVARONE_007607	GAVARONE_007607
61         LAROSE00006         LAROSE00006           62         LAROSE000007         LAROSE000007           63         LAROSE000009         LAROSE00009           64         LAROSE000010         LAROSE000010-11           65         LAROSE000012         LAROSE000012           66         LAROSE000013         LAROSE000013-14           67         LAROSE000015         LAROSE000015           68         LAROSE000023         LAROSE000023           69         LAROSE000024         LAROSE000024           70         LAROSE000025         LAROSE000025           71         LAROSE000026         LAROSE000026-27	59	LAROSE000004	LAROSE000004
62         LAROSE000007         LAROSE000007           63         LAROSE000009         LAROSE000009           64         LAROSE000010         LAROSE000010-11           65         LAROSE000012         LAROSE000012           66         LAROSE000013         LAROSE000013-14           67         LAROSE000015         LAROSE000015           68         LAROSE000023         LAROSE000023           69         LAROSE000025         LAROSE000024           70         LAROSE000025         LAROSE000025           71         LAROSE000026         LAROSE000026-27	60	LAROSE000005	LAROSE000005
63         LAROSE00009         LAROSE00009           64         LAROSE000010         LAROSE000010-11           65         LAROSE000012         LAROSE000012           66         LAROSE000013         LAROSE000013-14           67         LAROSE000015         LAROSE000015           68         LAROSE000023         LAROSE000023           69         LAROSE000024         LAROSE000024           70         LAROSE000025         LAROSE000025           71         LAROSE000026         LAROSE000026-27	61	LAROSE000006	LAROSE000006
64         LAROSE000010         LAROSE000010-11           65         LAROSE000012         LAROSE000012           66         LAROSE000013         LAROSE000013-14           67         LAROSE000015         LAROSE000015           68         LAROSE000023         LAROSE000023           69         LAROSE000024         LAROSE000024           70         LAROSE000025         LAROSE000025           71         LAROSE000026         LAROSE000026-27	62	LAROSE000007	LAROSE000007
65         LAROSE000012         LAROSE000012           66         LAROSE000013         LAROSE000013-14           67         LAROSE000015         LAROSE000015           68         LAROSE000023         LAROSE000023           69         LAROSE000024         LAROSE000024           70         LAROSE000025         LAROSE000025           71         LAROSE000026         LAROSE000026-27	63	LAROSE000009	LAROSE000009
66         LAROSE000013         LAROSE000013-14           67         LAROSE000015         LAROSE000015           68         LAROSE000023         LAROSE000023           69         LAROSE000024         LAROSE000024           70         LAROSE000025         LAROSE000025           71         LAROSE000026         LAROSE000026-27	64	LAROSE000010	LAROSE000010-11
67         LAROSE000015         LAROSE000015           68         LAROSE000023         LAROSE000023           69         LAROSE000024         LAROSE000024           70         LAROSE000025         LAROSE000025           71         LAROSE000026         LAROSE000026-27	65	LAROSE000012	LAROSE000012
68         LAROSE000023         LAROSE000023           69         LAROSE000024         LAROSE000024           70         LAROSE000025         LAROSE000025           71         LAROSE000026         LAROSE000026-27	66	LAROSE000013	LAROSE000013-14
68         LAROSE000023         LAROSE000023           69         LAROSE000024         LAROSE000024           70         LAROSE000025         LAROSE000025           71         LAROSE000026         LAROSE000026-27	67	LAROSE000015	LAROSE000015
69         LAROSE000024         LAROSE000024           70         LAROSE000025         LAROSE000025           71         LAROSE000026         LAROSE000026-27	68	LAROSE000023	LAROSE000023
70         LAROSE000025         LAROSE000025           71         LAROSE000026         LAROSE000026-27		LAROSE000024	LAROSE000024
71 LAROSE000026 LAROSE000026-27	70	LAROSE000025	LAROSE000025
	-		
	72	LAROSE000030	LAROSE000030

73	LAROSE000031	LAROSE000031-34
74	LAROSE000035	LAROSE000035-42
75	LAROSE000043	LAROSE000043-46
76	LAROSE000047	LAROSE000047-50
77	LAROSE000051	LAROSE000051-58
78	LAROSE000059	LAROSE000059-62
79	LAROSE000063	LAROSE000063
80	LAROSE000800	LAROSE000800
81	LAROSE000801	LAROSE000801-15
82	LAROSE000816	LAROSE000816
83	LAROSE000817	LAROSE000817
84	LAROSE000818	LAROSE000818
85	LAROSE000819	LAROSE000819
86	LAROSE000820	LAROSE000820
87	LAROSE000821	LAROSE000821
88	LAROSE000822	LAROSE000822
89	LAROSE000823	LAROSE000823
90	LAROSE000824	LAROSE000824
91	LAROSE000825	LAROSE000825-27
92	LAROSE000828	LAROSE000828
93	LAROSE000829	LAROSE000829
94	LAROSE000830	LAROSE000830
95	LAROSE000831	LAROSE000831
96	LAROSE000832	LAROSE000832
97	LAROSE000833	LAROSE000833
98	LAROSE000834	LAROSE000834
99	LAROSE000835	LAROSE000835
100	LAROSE000836	LAROSE000836
101	LAROSE001573	LAROSE001573-74
102	LAROSE001575	LAROSE001575-76
103	LAROSE001577	LAROSE001577
104	LAROSE001578	LAROSE001578-82
105	LAROSE001583	LAROSE001583-86
106	LAROSE001587	LAROSE001587
107	LAROSE001589	LAROSE001589
108	LAROSE001592	LAROSE001592
109	LAROSE001593	LAROSE001593
110	MCCOLLEY_000005	MCCOLLEY_000005
111	MCCOLLEY_000006	MCCOLLEY_000006

112	MCCOLLEY_000014	MCCOLLEY_000014
113	MCCOLLEY_000015	MCCOLLEY_000015
114	MCCOLLEY_000016	MCCOLLEY_000016
115	MCCOLLEY_000017	MCCOLLEY_000017
116	MCCOLLEY_000018	MCCOLLEY_000018
117	MCCOLLEY 000019	MCCOLLEY 000019
118	MCCOLLEY_000020	MCCOLLEY_000020
119	MCCOLLEY_000021	MCCOLLEY_000021
120	MCCOLLEY_000022	MCCOLLEY_000022
121	MCCOLLEY_000023	MCCOLLEY_000023
122	MCCOLLEY_000024	MCCOLLEY_000024
123	MCCOLLEY_000025	MCCOLLEY_000025
124	MCCOLLEY_000026	MCCOLLEY_000026
125	MCCOLLEY_000027	MCCOLLEY_000027
126	MCCOLLEY_001247	MCCOLLEY_001247
127	MCCOLLEY_001249	MCCOLLEY_001249
128	MCCOLLEY_002450	MCCOLLEY_002450
129	MCCOLLEY_002451	MCCOLLEY_002451-52
130	MCCOLLEY_003655	MCCOLLEY_003655
131	MCCOLLEY_004856	MCCOLLEY_004856
132	MCCOLLEY_004857	MCCOLLEY_004857-65
133	MCCOLLEY_004866	MCCOLLEY_004866
134	MCCOLLEY_004883	MCCOLLEY_004883-97
135	MCCOLLEY_004899	MCCOLLEY_004899-4901
136	MCCOLLEY_004953	MCCOLLEY_004953
137	MCCOLLEY_004954	MCCOLLEY_004954-55
138	MCCOLLEY_004956	MCCOLLEY_004956
139	MCCOLLEY_004957	MCCOLLEY_004957-65
140	MCCOLLEY_004966	MCCOLLEY_004966
141	MCCOLLEY_004967	MCCOLLEY_004967
142	MCCOLLEY_004968	MCCOLLEY_004968-69
143	OELSLAGER_000090	OELSLAGER_000090
	·	

## EXHIBITS APPENDIX B - DOCUMENTS PRODUCED IN DISCOVERY Volume 3 of 3

ITEM	FILE NAME	BATES RANGE
1	Springhetti000001	Springhetti000001-000002
2	Springhetti000003	Springhetti000003-000008
3	Springhetti000009	Springhetti000009-000015
4	Springhetti000016	Springhetti000016-000021
5	Springhetti000022	Springhetti000022-000027
6	Springhetti000035	Springhetti000035-000053
7	Springhetti000054	Springhetti000054-000067
8	Springhetti000068	Springhetti000068
9	Springhetti000070	Springhetti000070
10	Springhetti000071	Springhetti000071-000105
11	Springhetti000106	Springhetti000106-000140
12	Springhetti000141	Springhetti000141-000142
13	Springhetti000143	Springhetti000143-000144
14	Springhetti000145	Springhetti000145-000148
15	Springhetti000158	Springhetti000158-000159
16	Springhetti000162	Springhetti000162
17	Springhetti000163	Springhetti000163-000169
18	Springhetti000170	Springhetti000170-000171
19	Springhetti000183	Springhetti000183-000185
20	Springhetti000194	Springhetti000194
21	Springhetti000198	Springhetti000198-000211
22	Springhetti000212	Springhetti000212-000216
23	Springhetti000217	Springhetti000217-000229
24	Springhetti000230	Springhetti000230-000234
25	Springhetti000323	Springhetti000323-000337
26	Springhetti000338	Springhetti000338-000350
27	Springhetti000366	Springhetti000366-000371
28	Springhetti000712	Springhetti000712-000730
29	WILKIN_000019	WILKIN_000019-000022

## EXHIBITS APPENDIX C - WRITTEN DISCOVERY RESPONSES Volume 1 of 1

ITEM	DESCRIPTION	BATES RANGE	CASE NUMBER
1	Respondent House Speaker Robert R.	RESP_0001 -	2021-1428
	Cupp's Objections and Responses to	<b>RESP_0007</b>	2021-1449
	Relators' Request for Admission		
2	Respondent Senate President Matthew	RESP_0008 -	2021-1428
	Huffman's Objections and Responses to	RESP_0015	2021-1449
	Relators' Requests for Admission		
3	Secretary of State Frank LaRose's Answers	RESP_0016 -	2021-1428
	to Requests for Admission	<b>RESP_0025</b>	2021-1449
4	Respondent House Speaker Robert R.	RESP_0026 -	2021-1428
	Cupp's Responses to Relators First Set of	RESP_0035	2021-1449
	Request for Production		
5	Respondent Senate President Matthew	RESP_0036 -	2021-1428
	Huffman's Responses to Relators First Set	RESP_0047	2021-1449
	of Request for Production of Documents		
6	Secretary of State Frank LaRose's	RESP_0048 -	2021-1428
	Responses to Request for Production of	RESP_0059	2021-1449
	Documents		
7	Respondent House Speaker Robert R.	RESP_0060 -	2021-1428
	Cupp's Responses to Relators First Set of	RESP_0072	2021-1449
	Interrogatories		
8	Respondent Senate President Matthew	RESP_0073 -	2021-1428
	Huffman's Responses to Relators First Set	RESP_0086	2021-1449
	of Interrogatories		
9	Respondent Secretary of State Frank	RESP_0087 -	2021-1428
	LaRose's Answers to Interrogatories	RESP_0094	2021-1449
10	Raymond DiRossi's Objections and	RESP_0095 -	2021-1428
	Responses to Subpoena Duces Tecum	RESP_0104	2021-1449
11	Senator Gavarone's Objections and	RESP_0105 -	2021-1428
	Responses to Subpoena Duces Tecum	RESP_0114	2021-1449
12	Senator Rob McColley's Objections and	RESP_0115 -	2021-1428
	Responses to Subpoena Duces Tecum	RESP_0124	2021-1449
13	Representative Scott Oeslager's Objections	RESP_0125 -	2021-1428
	and Responses to Subpoena Duces Tecum	RESP_0134	2021-1449
14	Blake Springhetti's Objections and	RESP_0135 -	2021-1428
	Responses to Subpoena Duces Tecum	RESP_0144	2021-1449
15	Representative Shane Wilkin's Objections	RESP_0145 -	2021-1428
	and Responses to Subpoena Duces Tecum	RESP_0154	2021-1449

### **INDEX OF DOCUMENTS**

#### **Vol. 1 of 4**

ITEM	<b>DESCRIPTION</b>	BATES RANGE
1	Deposition Transcript of Robert Cupp	DEPO_GA_0001 - 140
2	Exhibit 1 to Deposition of Robert Cupp	DEPO_GA_0141 - 142
3	Deposition Transcript of Frank LaRose	DEPO_GA_0143 - 281

#### **INDEX OF DOCUMENTS**

#### **Vol. 2 of 4**

ITEM	<b>DESCRIPTION</b>	BATES RANGE
1	Exhibit 1 to Deposition of Frank LaRose	DEPO_GA_0282 - 286
2	Exhibit 2 to Deposition of Frank LaRose	DEPO_GA_0287 - 300
3	Deposition Transcript of Ray DiRossi	DEPO_GA_0301 - 563

### **INDEX OF DOCUMENTS**

#### Vol. 3 of 4

<u>ITEM</u>	DESCRIPTION	BATES RANGE
1	Exhibit 1 to Deposition of Ray DiRossi	DEPO_GA_0564
2	Exhibit 2 to Deposition of Ray DiRossi	DEPO_GA_0565 - 566
3	Exhibit 3 to Deposition of Ray DiRossi	DEPO_GA_0567 - 630
4	Exhibit 4 to Deposition of Ray DiRossi	DEPO_GA_0631
5	Exhibit 5 to Deposition of Ray DiRossi	DEPO_GA_0632
6	Exhibit 6 to Deposition of Ray DiRossi	DEPO_GA_0633 - 662
7	Exhibit 7 to Deposition of Ray DiRossi	DEPO_GA_0663
8	Exhibit 8 to Deposition of Ray DiRossi	DEPO_GA_0664 - 681
9	Deposition Transcript of Blake Springhetti	DEPO_GA_0682 - 780
10	Exhibit 1 to Deposition of Blake Springhetti	DEPO_GA_0781 - 784
11	Exhibit 2 to Deposition of Blake Springhetti	DEPO_GA_0785
12	Exhibit 3 to Deposition of Blake Springhetti	DEPO_GA_0786 - 787

### **INDEX OF DOCUMENTS**

#### Vol. 4 of 4

<u>ITEM</u>	DESCRIPTION	BATES RANGE
1	Deposition Transcript of Matt Huffman	DEPO_GA_0788 - 960
2	Exhibit 1 to Deposition of Matt Huffman	DEPO_GA_0961 - 976
3	Exhibit 2 to Deposition of Matt Huffman	DEPO_GA_0977 - 978

### EXHIBITS APPENDIX E - A. PHILIP RANDOLPH INST. V. SMITH DEPOSITIONS

### **INDEX OF DOCUMENTS**

### Vol. 1 of 3

]	ITEM	<b>DESCRIPTION</b>	BATES RANGE
	1	Deposition Transcript of Clark Bensen	DEPO_SDOH_0001 - 182

### EXHIBITS APPENDIX E - A. PHILIP RANDOLPH INST. V. SMITH DEPOSITIONS

### **INDEX OF DOCUMENTS**

#### Vol. 2 of 3

<u>ITEM</u>	<b>DESCRIPTION</b>	BATES RANGE
1	Deposition Transcript of Raymond DiRossi Part 1	DEPO_SDOH_0183 - 471

### EXHIBITS APPENDIX E - A. PHILIP RANDOLPH INST. V. SMITH DEPOSITIONS

### **INDEX OF DOCUMENTS**

#### Vol. 3 of 3

<u>ITEM</u>	DESCRIPTION	BATES RANGE
1	Deposition Transcript of Raymond DiRossi Part 2	DEPO_SDOH_0472 - 531
2	Deposition Transcript of John Morgan	DEPO_SDOH_0532 - 694

Freda J. Levenson

Signed at \_\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_\_. City County State

\_\_\_\_\_

Sworn to and subscribed before me this \_\_\_\_\_ day of December, 2021

Notary Public

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, Freda J. Levenson, hereby certify that on this 10th day of December, 2021, I caused a

true and correct copy of the foregoing affidavit and its associated appendices to be served by

email upon the counsel listed below:

Bridget C. Coontz, bridget.coontz@ohioago.gov Julie M. Pfeiffer, julie.pfeiffer@ohioago.gov Michael Walton, michael.walton@ohioago.gov

#### Counsel for Respondent Ohio Secretary of State LaRose

Phillip J. Strach, phil.strach@nelsonmullins.com Thomas A. Farr, tom.farr@nelsonmullins.com John E. Branch, III, john.branch@nelsonmullins.com Alyssa M. Riggins, alyssa.riggins@nelsonmullins.com

Counsel for Respondents House Speaker Robert R. Cupp and Senate President Matt Huffman

<u>/s/ Freda J. Levenson</u> Freda J. Levenson (0045916) Counsel for Relators

# Regina C. Adams, et al., Case No. 2021-1428 Relators, v. **Original Action Filed Pursuant to** Ohio Const., Art. XIX, Sec. 3(A) Governor Mike De Wine, et al., Respondents. League of Women Voters of Ohio, et al., Case No. 2021-1449 Relators, v. **Original Action Filed Pursuant to** Ohio Const., Art. XIX, Sec. 3(A) Governor Mike DeWine, et al., Respondents.

#### IN THE SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

#### AFFIDAVIT OF FREDA LEVENSON EXHIBITS APPENDIX E -A. PHILIP RANDOLPH INSTITUTE V. SMITH DEPOSITIONS Volume 2 of 3

(Counsel listing on next page)

Abha Khanna (PHV 2189-2021) Ben Stafford (PHV 25433-2021) ELIAS LAW GROUP, LLP 1700 Seventh Ave., Suite 2100 Seattle, WA 98101 (206) 656-0176 akhanna@elias.law

Aria C. Branch (PHV 25435-2021) Jyoti Jasrasaria (PHV 25401-2021) Spencer W. Klein (PHV 25432-2021) Harleen K. Gambhir (PHV 25587-2021) ELIAS LAW GROUP, LLP 10 G St. NE, Suite 600 Washington, DC 20002 (202) 968-4490 abranch@elias.law

Donald J. McTigue (0022849) *Counsel of Record* Derek S. Clinger (0092075) McTIGUE & COLOMBO, LLC 545 East Town Street Columbus, OH 43215 (614) 263-7000 dmctigue@electionlawgroup.com

Counsel for Adams Relators

Freda J. Levenson (0045916) *Counsel of Record* ACLU OF OHIO FOUNDATION, INC. 4506 Chester Avenue Cleveland, OH 44103 (614) 586-1972 x125 flevenson@acluohio.org

David J. Carey (0088787) ACLU OF OHIO FOUNDATION, INC. 1108 City Park Avenue, Suite 203 Columbus, OH 43206 (614) 586-1972 x2004 dcarey@acluohio.org Dave Yost Ohio Attorney General

Bridget C. Coontz (0072919) Julie M. Pfeiffer (0069762) Michael A. Walton (0092201) Assistant Attorneys General Constitutional Offices Section 30 E. Broad Street, 16th Floor Columbus, OH 43215 (614) 466-2872 bridget.coontz@ohioago.gov

Counsel for Respondent Ohio Secretary of State Frank LaRose

Phillip J. Strach (PHV 25444-2021) Thomas A. Farr (PHV 25461-2021) John E. Branch, III (PHV 25460-2021) Alyssa M. Riggins (PHV 25441-2021) NELSON MULLINS RILEY & SCARBOROUGH, LLP 4140 Parklake Ave., Suite 200 Raleigh, NC 27612 (919) 329-3812 phil.strach@nelsonmullins.com

Counsel for Respondents House Speaker Bob Cupp and Senate President Matt Huffman Julie A. Ebenstein (PHV 25423-2021) AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION 125 Broad Street New York, NY 10004 (212) 519-7866 jebenstein@aclu.org

Robert D. Fram (PHV 25414-2021) Donald Brown (PHV 25480-2021) David Denuyl (PHV 25452-2021) Joshua González (PHV 25424-2021) Juliana Goldrosen (PHV 25193-2021) COVINGTON & BURLING, LLP Salesforce Tower 415 Mission Street, Suite 5400 San Francisco, CA 94105-2533 (415) 591-6000 rfram@cov.com

James Smith\*\* L. Brady Bender (PHV 25192-2021) Sarah Suwanda\*\* Alex Thomson (PHV 25462-2021) COVINGTON & BURLING, LLP One CityCenter 850 Tenth Street, NW Washington, DC 20001-4956 (202) 662-6000 jmsmith@cov.com

Anupam Sharma (PHV 25418-2021) Yale Fu (PHV 25419-2021) COVINGTON & BURLING, LLP 3000 El Camino Real 5 Palo Alto Square, 10th Floor Palo Alto, CA 94306-2112 (650) 632-4700 asharma@cov.com

Counsel for League of Women Voters Relators

\*\* Pro Hac Vice Motion Forthcoming

### **EXHIBITS APPENDIX E - A. PHILLIP RANDOLPH INST. V. SMITH DEPOSITIONS**

#### **INDEX OF DOCUMENTS**

#### Vol. 2 of 3

<u>ITEM</u>	DESCRIPTION	BATES RANGE	
1	Deposition Transcript of Raymond DiRossi Part 1	DEPO SDOH 0183 - 471	

Case: 1:18-cv-00357-TSB-KNM-MHW Doc #: 230-12 Filed: 02/20/19 Page: 1 of 349 PAGEID #: 13363

		Page	1
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
2	FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO		
3	* * *		
4	OHIO A. PHILIP RANDOLPH		
5	INSTITUTE, et al.,		
6	Plaintiffs, CASE NO.		
7	vs. 1:18-cv-00357-TSB-KNM-MHW		
8	RYAN SMITH, Speaker of		
9	the Ohio House of		
10	Representatives, et al.,		
11	Defendants.		
12	* * *		
13	Deposition of RAYMOND E. DiROSSI,		
14	Witness herein, called by the Plaintiffs for		
15	cross-examination pursuant to the Rules of Civil		
16	Procedure, taken before me, Christine Gallagher,		
17	a Notary Public in and for the State of Ohio,		
18	at the offices of the Ohio Attorney General,		
19	30 East Broad Street, 14th Floor, Columbus,		
20	Ohio, on Monday, the 22nd day of October, 2018,		
21	at 9:20 a.m.		
22	* * *		
23			
24			
25	Job No. 149781		

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

DEPO\_SDOH\_0183

Case: 1:18-cv-00357-TSB-KNM-MHW Doc	#: 230-12 Filed: 0	)2/20/19 Page: 2 of 349	PAGEID #:

-		$\sim$	$\sim$	
			h	4
_	_			

			Page 2
1	EXAMINATION CONDUCTED	PAGE	
2	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG	17	
3			
4	EXHIBITS MARKED		
5	(Thereupon, Plaintiffs' Exhibit	20	
6	Number 1, Subpoena to Testify at a		
7	Deposition in a Civil Action, was		
8	marked for purposes of identification.)		
9	(Thereupon, Plaintiffs' Exhibit	35	
10	Number 2, Subpoena to Produce		
11	Documents, Information, of Objects or		
12	to Permit Inspection of Premises in a		
13	Civil Action, was marked for purposes		
14	of identification.)		
15	(Thereupon, Plaintiffs' Exhibit	40	
16	Number 3, Documents Bates Stamped		
17	LWVOH_00004033-4034, was marked for		
18	purposes of identification.)		
19	(Thereupon, Plaintiffs' Exhibit	59	
20	Number 4, Document Bates Stamped		
21	LWVOH_00009711, was marked for		
22	purposes of identification.)		
23			
24			
25			

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Case: 1:18-cv-00357-TSB-KNM-MHW Doc #: 230-12 Filed: 02/20/19 Page: 3 of 349 PAGEID #: 13365

			Page 3
1	(Thereupon, Plaintiffs' Exhibit	59	
2	Number 5, Document Bates Stamped		
3	DIROSSI_0000017, was marked for		
4	purposes of identification.)		
5	(Thereupon, Plaintiffs' Exhibit	63	
6	Number 6, Document Bates Stamped		
7	DIROSSI_0000018, was marked for		
8	purposes of identification.)		
9	(Thereupon, Plaintiffs' Exhibit	67	
10	Number 7, Document Bates Stamped		
11	DIROSSI_0000019, was marked for		
12	purposes of identification.)		
13	(Thereupon, Plaintiffs' Exhibit	71	
14	Number 8, Document Bates Stamped		
15	LWVOH_00010555, was marked for		
16	purposes of identification.)		
17	(Thereupon, Plaintiffs' Exhibit	74	
18	Number 9, Documents Bates Stamped		
19	LWVOH_00005475-5477, was marked for		
20	purposes of identification.)		
21	(Thereupon, Plaintiffs' Exhibit	90	
22	Number 10, Document Bates Stamped		
23	DIROSSI_0000527, was marked for		
24	purposes of identification.)		
25			

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Case: 1:18-cv-00357-TSB-KNM-MHW Doc #: 230-12 Filed: 02/20/19 Page: 4 of 349 PAGEID #: 13366

			Page 4
1	(Thereupon, Plaintiffs' Exhibit	99	
2	Number 11, Document Bates Stamped		
3	DIROSSI_0000020, was marked for		
4	purposes of identification.)		
5	(Thereupon, Plaintiffs' Exhibit		
6	Number 12, Document Bates Stamped	100	
7	DIROSSI_0000021, was marked for		
8	purposes of identification.)		
9	(Thereupon, Plaintiffs' Exhibit		
10	Number 13, Document Bates Stamped	101	
11	LWVOH_00009706, was marked for		
12	purposes of identification.)		
13	(Thereupon, Plaintiffs' Exhibit	117	
14	Number 14, Document Entitled Keep it		
15	Secret - Keep it Safe, was marked for		
16	purposes of identification.)		
17	(Thereupon, Plaintiffs' Exhibit	121	
18	Number 15, Document Bates Stamped		
19	DIROSSI_0000038, was marked for		
20	purposes of identification.)		
21	(Thereupon, Plaintiffs' Exhibit	145	
22	Number 16, Document Bates Stamped		
23	LWVOH_00018254, was marked for		
24	purposes of identification.)		
25			

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Case: 1:18-cv-00357-TSB-KNM-MHW Doc #: 230-12 Filed: 02/20/19 Page: 5 of 349 PAGEID #: 13367

			Page 5
1	(Thereupon, Plaintiffs' Exhibit	149	
2	Number 17, Document Bates Stamped		
3	DIROSSI_0000051, was marked for		
4	purposes of identification.)		
5	(Thereupon, Plaintiffs' Exhibit	151	
6	Number 18, Document Bates Stamped		
7	DIROSSI_0000051, was marked for		
8	purposes of identification.)		
9	(Thereupon, Plaintiffs' Exhibit	169	
10	Number 19, Documents Bates Stamped		
11	DIROSSI_0000139-0000141, was marked		
12	for purposes of identification.)		
13	(Thereupon, Plaintiffs' Exhibit	200	
14	Number 20, File Produced in Native		
15	Format Bates Stamped DIROSSI_0000526,		
16	was marked for purposes of		
17	identification.)		
18	(Thereupon, Plaintiffs' Exhibit	219	
19	Number 21, Documents Bates Stamped		
20	DIROSSI_0000470-472, was marked for		
21	purposes of identification.)		
22	(Thereupon, Plaintiffs' Exhibit	232	
23	Number 22, Document Bates Stamped		
24	DIROSSI_0000010, was marked for		
25	purposes of identification.)		

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

	$\mathbf{n}$	$\sim$	റ	
	-	n	Я	
		L JI		

			Page	6
1				
2	(Thereupon, Plaintiffs' Exhibit	244		
3	Number 23, Document Bates Stamped			
4	DIROSSI_0000142, was marked for			
5	purposes of identification.)			
6	(Thereupon, Plaintiffs' Exhibit	248		
7	Number 24, Documents Bates Stamped			
8	GOVPR_008278-8280, was marked for			
9	purposes of identification.)			
10	(Thereupon, Plaintiffs' Exhibit	250		
11	Number 25, Document Bates Stamped			
12	DIROSSI_0000039, was marked for			
13	purposes of identification.)			
14	(Thereupon, Plaintiffs' Exhibit	253		
15	Number 26, Documents Bates Stamped			
16	LWVOH_00018302-18308, was marked for			
17	purposes of identification.)			
18	(Thereupon, Plaintiffs' Exhibit	258		
19	Number 27, Document Bates Stamped			
20	DIROSSI_0000040, was marked for			
21	purposes of identification.)			
22	(Thereupon, Plaintiffs' Exhibit	259		
23	Number 28, Document Bates Stamped			
24	DIROSSI_0000043, was marked for			
25	purposes of identification.)			

	$\sim$	$\sim$	$\sim$	
	<	h	u.	
 _				

			Page 7
1			
2	(Thereupon, Plaintiffs' Exhibit	260	
3	Number 29, Document Bates Stamped		
4	DIROSSI_0000044, was marked for		
5	purposes of identification.)		
б	(Thereupon, Plaintiffs' Exhibit	261	
7	Number 30, Document Bates Stamped		
8	DIROSSI_0000045, was marked for		
9	purposes of identification.)		
10	(Thereupon, Plaintiffs' Exhibit	263	
11	Number 31, Document Bates Stamped		
12	LWVOH_00018310, was marked for		
13	purposes of identification.)		
14	(Thereupon, Plaintiffs' Exhibit	268	
15	Number 32, Document Bates Stamped		
16	LWVOH_00018297, was marked for		
17	purposes of identification.)		
18	(Thereupon, Plaintiffs' Exhibit	274	
19	Number 33, Documents Bates Stamped		
20	LVWOH_00018298-18301, was marked for		
21	purposes of identification.)		
22	(Thereupon, Plaintiffs' Exhibit	280	
23	Number 34, Document Bates Stamped		
24	LWVOH_00018320, was marked for		
25	purposes of identification.)		

- 1		9	-	$\sim$	
	<pre> </pre>				
_	_	-			_

		Page 8
1		
2	(Thereupon, Plaintiffs' Exhibit	288
3	Number 35, Documents Bates Stamped	
4	LWVOH_00018322-18325, was marked for	
5	purposes of identification.)	
6	(Thereupon, Plaintiffs' Exhibit	296
7	Number 36, Document Bates Stamped	
8	DIROSSI_0000046, was marked for	
9	purposes of identification.)	
10	(Thereupon, Plaintiffs' Exhibit	298
11	Number 37, Document Bates Stamped	
12	LWVOH_00018321, was marked for	
13	purposes of identification.)	
14	(Thereupon, Plaintiffs' Exhibit	310
15	Number 38, Documents Bates Stamped	
16	SOS_001010-1011, was marked for	
17	purposes of identification.)	
18	(Thereupon, Plaintiffs' Exhibit	312
19	Number 39, Document Bates Stamped	
20	DIROSSI_0000061, was marked for	
21	purposes of identification.)	
22	(Thereupon, Plaintiffs' Exhibit	314
23	Number 40, Document Bates Stamped	
24	DIROSSI_0000499, was marked for	
25	purposes of identification.)	

1	13	3	7	71	

			Page 9
1			
2	(Thereupon, Plaintiffs' Exhibit	316	
3	Number 41, File Produced in Native		
4	Format Bates Stamped DIROSSI_0000525,		
5	was marked for purposes of		
6	identification.)		
7	(Thereupon, Plaintiffs' Exhibit	323	
8	Number 42, File Produced in Native		
9	Format Bates Stamped DIROSSI_0000518,		
10	was marked for purposes of		
11	identification.)		
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

### Case: 1:18-cv-00357-TSB-KNM-MHW Doc #: 230-12 Filed: 02/20/19 Page: 10 of 349 PAGEID #:

3372

```
1
     APPEARANCES:
2
        On behalf of the Plaintiffs:
3
             American Civil Liberties Union
             Foundation
 4
        By:
            T. Alora Thomas-Lundborg
5
             Attorney at Law
             125 Broad Street
6
             New York, New York 10004
7
8
        By: Freda Levenson
             Attorney at Law
9
             4506 Chester Avenue
             Cleveland, Ohio 44103
10
11
             Covington & Burling
12
        By: Robert Fram
             Attorney at Law
13
             One Front Street
             San Francisco, CA 94111
14
15
        On behalf of the Defendants:
16
             Ogletree, Deakins, Nash, Smoak &
17
             Stewart
             Phillip Strach
18
        By:
             Attorney at Law
19
             4208 Six Forks Road
             Raleigh, North Carolina 27609
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Page 10

# Case: 1:18-cv-00357-TSB-KNM-MHW Doc #: 230-12 Filed: 02/20/19 Page: 11 of 349 PAGEID #: 13373

Page 11

1	Ohio Attorney General
2	By: Steven Voigt
	Principal Assistant Attorney General
3	30 East Broad Street
	Columbus, Ohio 43215
4	
5	
	The Ohio Senate
6	
	By: Frank Strigari
7	Chief Legal Counsel
	Statehouse - Room 205
8	Columbus, Ohio 43215
9	
10	On behalf of the Intervenors:
11	Baker & Hostetler
12	By: Robert Tucker
	Attorney at Law
13	200 Civic Center Drive
	Columbus, Ohio 43215
14	
15	
	ALSO PRESENT:
16	
	Robert L. Miller, Videographer
17	
	* * *
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're on the 2 record. 3 MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG: My name is Alora Thomas, I'm from ACLU National, representing 4 5 the plaintiffs. 6 MR. FRAM: Robert Fram, Covington & 7 Burling, representing the plaintiffs. 8 MS. LEVENSON: Freda Levenson, ACLU 9 of Ohio, plaintiffs. 10 Phil Strach, Ogletree MR. STRACH: 11 Deakins, representing the legislative defendants. 12 MR. VOIGT: Steve Voigt, the Ohio 13 Attorney General's office, representing the 14 defendants. 15 Rob Tucker, Baker MR. TUCKER: 16 Hostetler, representing the intervenors. 17 MR. STRIGARI: Frank Strigari, legal 18 counsel for the Senate, on behalf of the 19 legislative defendants. 20 MR. VOIGT: Okay. And before we 21 begin, counsel had -- we had some discussions 22 about some logistical aspects, and I'm just going 23 to articulate what I think we agreed to, and then 24 Mr. Fram and Ms. Thomas can correct me or let me 25 know if their understanding is different.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Page 13

1 So for this deposition and all 2 depositions going forward, the only objections 3 that are waived at the deposition are -- well, 4 actually, it would be different. Let's say for 5 discovery depositions. We might have a different 6 set of rules for a trial deposition. But the only 7 -- actually, maybe for that, too, I don't know. 8 For discovery depositions, let's just 9 focus on that, discovery depositions, the only 10 objection that would be waived, if not made here, 11 would be an objection to form, and so an example 12 of that would be objection, compound question. 13 Now, it is also acceptable under the rules of 14 civil procedure to simply say objection, form, and 15 then if the counsel asking questions wants further 16 specification they're certainly welcome to ask for 17 it. 18 Now, objections that are preserved 19 and do not need to be made are objections to 20 So, for example, objection, relevance, substance. 21 we do not need to make relevance objections today. 22 Those are preserved until trial. 23 What was the other point we were 24 going to talk about? 25 Time. MR. STRACH:

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Page 14

1 MR. VOIGT: Oh, timing, okay, yes. 2 And we've also agreed that objections do not count 3 toward each side's allotted time, so each side in 4 this case has been allotted a certain amount of 5 time. If Mr. Strach makes an objection today, and 6 let's say there's some discussion about that that 7 lasts five minutes, that five-minute time does not 8 count toward the plaintiffs' overall time. Same 9 thing the other way around, when -- if we are on 10 Cross-Examination and the plaintiffs' attorneys 11 are making an objection, that objection does not 12 count toward the defendants' allotted time. 13 Does that accurately --14 MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG: Yes, it does. 15 MR. FRAM: As far as there are two 16 additions, though, that I wanted to throw in. 17 So Redirect by a party representing 18 the witness or by an intervenor would not count 19 against the party taking the deposition's time and 20 be charged against the other side's time. That's 21 the first thing. 22 And, second, I would ask that the 23 rule on preserving objections apply to all 24 depositions so that we don't disrupt the 25 depositions. Even if someone is calling something

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Page 15

1 a trial deposition, I think we should just agree 2 that there will be a time to submit relevance and 3 hearsay objections after the fact. They're not 4 particularly curable at the deposition anyway. 5 They are what they are. 6 And that way, say, if Phil is asking 7 a question of a witness, I don't have to sit there 8 and object all day on relevance or hearsay. We 9 can just put that on paper to the court down the 10 road at a given time. 11 MR. VOIGT: Let's cross that bridge 12 when we get --13 MR. FRAM: Okay. It's coming up 14 soon, though, because if I'm going to do it for 15 next week's deposition of Ms. Blessing, it would 16 be good to know in advance. My preference is not 17 to be -- not to be objecting all the time like 18 that. 19 I understand, but Phil MR. VOIGT: 20 and I -- I would like to talk about that 21 beforehand, but right now we have a discovery 22 deposition. 23 MR. FRAM: I would appreciate it if 24 you could let me know on Wednesday at the 25 conclusion of the Blessing deposition so we can

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1 plan in advance for Day 2.

2 MR. VOIGT: Understood, understood. 3 And everything else that you said is accurate from 4 my perspective.

5 MR. STRACH: All right. Let me make 6 one more. So this is Phil Strach. The only other 7 thing I wanted to put on the record is we have 8 sent an email to the plaintiffs asking for their 9 consent to amend the protective order to protect 10 the videotape that's taken in these depositions 11 from being used outside the case. The plaintiffs 12 have not had a chance yet to get back to us on 13 that, and so to err on the side of caution, we're 14 designating this deposition as confidential under 15 the protective order and we will do the same for 16 Wednesday's deposition depending on the status of 17 that discussion.

18 MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG: I think we're 19 ready to swear in the witness.

20 Can you please state your name for 21 the -- just swear in the witness.

22 THE NOTARY: If you'll raise your 23 right hand, please. 24

25 of lawful age, Witness herein, having been first

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

RAYMOND E. DiROSSI

### DEPO SDOH 0198

Case: 1:18-cv-00357-TSB-KNM-MHW Doc #: 230-12 Filed: 02/20/19 Page: 17 of 349 PAGEID #: 13379

17

		Page
1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI	
2	duly cautioned and sworn, as hereinafter	
3	certified, was examined and said as follows:	
4	CROSS-EXAMINATION	
5	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:	
6	Q. Good morning.	
7	A. Good morning.	
8	Q. Please state your name for the	
9	record.	
10	A. Raymond Edward DiRossi.	
11	Q. And what is your address?	
12	A. 5732 Springburn Drive, Dublin,	
13	Ohio, 43017.	
14	Q. And do you understand that you're	
15	under oath today?	
16	A. I do.	
17	Q. And you understand that's the same	
18	oath that you would take at a trial?	
19	A. I do.	
20	Q. Okay. We've already introduced	
21	ourselves for the record, so we'll skip over	
22	that part.	
23	Have you been deposed before?	
24	A. Yes.	
25	Q. And when was that?	

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1.3380

Page 18 1 RAYMOND E. DiROSSI 2 2012. Α. 3 And what case was that in? 0. 4 Α. I believe -- I'm not an attorney, 5 but I believe it was Wilson v. Kasich. 6 Okay. So let's go through --0. 7 you're probably aware of the rules of 8 deposition from your prior deposition, but 9 let's just go through some of the ground rules 10 very quickly. 11 I need a verbal response from you 12 for any question. Do you understand that? 13 Α. Yes. 14 0. Also, so the record is clear, 15 let's not talk over one another. And if you 16 don't understand a question of mine, just ask 17 me to repeat it and I will repeat or rephrase 18 the question. 19 Α. Okay. Thank you. 20 0. If you need to take a break, just 21 tell me, and there may be certain questions 22 that your counsel instructs you not to answer 23 today. You should answer my question, if you 24 can, unless it's a question of privilege. 25 Α. Okay.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI
2	Q. Okay. Throughout the deposition
3	
4	All right. Is there any reason
5	why you can't testify today, anything that is
6	hindering your ability to testify truthfully?
7	
	A. No.
8	Q. Are you on any medications, any
9	medications that would affect your ability to
10	testify?
11	A. No.
12	Q. Throughout the deposition I'll be
13	referring to the Ohio redistricting. Unless I
14	specify otherwise, I'm referring to the
15	redistricting that happened in 2011. Do you
16	understand that?
17	A. You're talking about congressional
18	redistricting, not
19	Q. Congressional redistricting, yes.
20	A legislative, okay.
21	Q. Did you meet with your lawyers to
22	prepare today?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. Did you do anything else to
25	prepare?

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI
2	A. I produced documents at the
3	request of either you or the court. I reviewed
4	some of those documents. I met with attorneys.
5	Q. Okay. Great. Do you understand
6	that you're here pursuant to a subpoena?
7	A. Yes.
8	(Thereupon, Plaintiffs' Exhibit
9	Number 1, Subpoena to Testify at a Deposition in a
10	Civil Action, was marked for purposes of
11	identification.)
12	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:
13	Q. If we could turn to Number 1 in
14	your exhibit book. I'm having this document
15	marked as Exhibit 1. It is the subpoena for
16	the testimony of Raymond DiRossi. If you take
17	a quick moment to review, is this subpoena the
18	one that you understand that you're here for?
19	A. Is this the one that I signed?
20	MR. STRACH: No, this is different.
21	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:
22	Q. This is just a subpoena for your
23	testimony. Did you see it before today?
24	A. I mean, I was delivered one
25	Q. Okay.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI
2	A and I signed it. This doesn't
3	have a signature on it, so I don't know if this
4	is exactly the one I signed.
5	Q. Okay. Fair enough. We'll move
6	on. It's already been marked for the record.
7	So let's just go a little bit into
8	your background. I know you've been deposed
9	before, so you should be used to this question.
10	Could you give us a brief summary of your
11	educational background?
12	A. I went to Firestone High School in
13	Akron, Ohio, where I was born and raised, four
14	years in high school. Left Akron to go to Ohio
15	State University, pursued degrees in finance
16	and marketing in the business college, and
17	graduated in '94 with a double major in finance
18	and marketing.
19	Q. Did you do any education after
20	your degrees that you just mentioned in finance
21	and marketing?
22	A. No.
23	Q. Do you hold any special
24	certifications?
25	A. Such as like

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI
2	Q. Such as an accounting
3	certification, a certification for like a CPA,
4	anything that would be a special designation.
5	A. Do not.
6	Q. What jobs have you held since
7	graduating from Ohio State?
8	A. Well, at the time of graduating
9	and during school I was employed in the
10	legislature in a number of capacities, so
11	following graduation I was employed as a
12	legislative aide in the Ohio Senate for a
13	senator from the Dayton area, Montgomery
14	County.
15	Q. And who was that senator?
16	A. Senator Charles Horn.
17	Q. And which party is Charles Horn
18	from?
19	A. He is a he was a member of the
20	Republican party.
21	Q. Okay. And after working for
22	Senator Horn, what did you do next?
23	A. I was promoted, I guess you would
24	say, and moved to the caucus staff where I
25	worked on tax policy and economic development

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Case: 1:18-cv-00357-TSB-KNM-MHW Doc #: 230-12 Filed: 02/20/19 Page: 23 of 349 PAGEID #: 13385

Page 23

1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI
2	policy. Then I became the deputy finance
3	director in the Ohio Senate working on, again,
4	tax policy, budget policy and economic
5	development policy.
6	I left the Ohio Senate in 2005
7	Q. May I interrupt you for a second?
8	A. Sure.
9	Q. Before leaving the Ohio Senate,
10	during those two jobs that you just mentioned
11	at the Senate, which party were you working
12	for?
13	A. I was working for the majority
14	caucus.
15	Q. And the majority caucus at the
16	time was?
17	A. Republican caucus.
18	Q. Okay. You may proceed.
19	A. I went to work there was an
20	opportunity to be a budget director in the
21	House of Representatives and so I took that
22	position for four years from 2005 through 2000
23	and 5, 6, 7 and 8.
24	Q. And who were you working for at
25	the time?

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

2	2	Я	Б	

Page 24 1 RAYMOND E. DiROSSI 2 Α. That would have been the Speaker 3 of the Ohio House. 4 And who was that at the time? 0. 5 Jon Husted. Α. 6 And which party is Mr. Husted Ο. 7 from? 8 Α. Republican. 9 Q. And after working for the speaker, 10 what did you do next? 11 Well, the -- the legislature Α. 12 changed hands after the 2008 elections and the Democrats took control of the Ohio House, so my 13 14 services were no longer needed and so I left 15 and formed my own LLC. 16 And what is the name of that LLC? 0. 17 Α. Capital Advantage, LLC. 18 0. And what did you do as Capital 19 Advantage, LLC? 20 I engaged in consulting --Α. 21 consulting business in and around Capitol 22 Square. 23 Okay. And what type of consulting Q. 24 did you do? 25 I was initially hired to be a Α.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Page 25

1 RAYMOND E. DiROSSI 2 fundraiser for the campaign arm of the Senate. 3 And what did you do as a 0. 4 fundraiser for the campaign arm of the Senate? 5 And by --6 Raising dollars for the campaign Α. 7 committee to run elections. And which campaign committee is 8 0. this? 9 10 Would have been the Republican Α. Senate Campaign Committee. 11 12 And how long did you do that? 0. 13 Well, so it's kind of some starts Α. 14 and stops, so it's kind of hard to say exactly. 15 To the best of your recollection. 0. 16 Α. The question was how long did I do 17 that for? What was your question? Sorry. 18 How long did you work for the 0. 19 fundraising arm as a consultant? 20 Α. Let's see --21 You said you started in 2008. 0. 22 Α. I had starts and stops where I 23 wasn't doing that anymore, but I stopped doing 24 that in 2015, in December of '14. 25 Q. Okay.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DIROSSI
2	A. And then in January of '15 I
3	resumed my or started my current position
4	with the Ohio Senate.
5	Q. So let me ask you a question about
6	these starts and stops. When you would stop
7	working for the campaign arm, what did you do
8	during those periods?
9	A. That was when the well, the
10	State of Ohio was going through its
11	apportionment and redistricting processes that
12	it goes through once every decade.
13	Q. Is that the only time that you
14	stopped?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. And then after you stopped to do
17	apportionment and redistricting, at what point
18	did you start your campaign finance work again?
19	A. I don't recall the specific date
20	of when I started up. It was a very atypical
21	process that didn't have really a defined
22	stopping point.
23	Q. In your recollection did you start
24	immediately after the campaign redistricting
25	and apportionment work was done going back to

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1 RAYMOND E. DiROSSI 2 finance, or was there a break in between those 3 two periods? 4 I don't remember the specific Α. 5 dates. Sorry. 6 Okay. And you said since 2015 Ο. 7 you've been in the Senate; is that correct? 8 Α. Yes. 9 Q. And what have you been doing 10 there? 11 The position was open to be the Α. 12 budget director in charge of the finance tax 13 policy and budgets with the --14 And who do you work -- I'm so 0. 15 sorry. 16 With the Ohio Senate. Α. 17 Q. And is that a job with a 18 particular caucus or is that for the Senate in 19 general? 20 It's a job with the Senate Α. 21 majority caucus. 22 And who is that --0. 23 Α. Who is --24 -- for the record, please? 0. 25 Α. Who is?

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

		Page	28
1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI		
2	Q. Who is the Senate majority caucus		
3	currently that you're working for?		
4	A. The president is Larry Obhof.		
5	Q. Larry Obhof. And which party is		
6	that?		
7	A. I believe he's he's a		
8	Republican.		
9	Q. Thank you.		
10	Have you ever worked for a		
11	Democrat?		
12	A. Yeah, so I at one point when I		
13	was between everything we just talked about, I		
14	was appointed to work for the as a board and		
15	commission member for the Department of		
16	Transportation.		
17	Q. And when was that?		
18	A. Sitting here, I don't recall the		
19	specific dates. It was over four years and the		
20	director was Director Janet Molitoris, who was		
21	appointed by the by Governor Strickland, a		
22	Democrat.		
23	Q. And so you said you had that		
24	position for four years?		
25	A. More or less. Not specifically		

Case: 1:18-cv-00357-TSB-KNM-MHW Doc #: 230-12 Filed: 02/20/19 Page: 29 of 349 PAGEID #:

13391

Page 29

1 RAYMOND E. DiROSSI 2 four years, but more or less four years. 3 Was that before or after you did 0. 4 apportionment and redistricting? 5 Α. I think technically it was before, 6 during and after. 7 Before, during and after? 0. 8 Α. Yes. 9 Q. Okay. And were you appointed to 10 this position or did you apply and then were --11 gained the position that way? 12 I was appointed. Α. 13 0. And who were you appointed by? 14 Α. The Senate president. 15 And who was the Senate president 0. 16 at the time? President Bill Harris. 17 Α. 18 0. And which party is Bill Harris 19 from? 20 He was a member of the Republican Α. 21 party, now deceased. 22 Q. Thank you. 23 So we've talked a little bit about 24 the jobs that you had and we've talked about 25 the break that you took from consulting.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Page 30 1 RAYMOND E. DiROSSI 2 Actually, strike that. 3 Going back to this Department of 4 Transportation position that you had, did you 5 work on consulting while you were in that 6 position or did you take a break from 7 consulting during it? While. 8 Α. 9 Ο. You were consulting while you were 10 in that position, okay. 11 So we've talked about the break 12 that you took from consulting when you were 13 working on redistricting and apportionment in 14 2011. Did you work on redistricting and 15 apportionment at any other period? 16 Α. In the previous decade I worked on both. 17 18 Okay. And so is that the 2001 Ο. 19 redistricting effort? 20 Α. Yes. 21 And can you describe some of the 0. 22 work that you did as part of that effort? 23 Α. Sure. I mean, very, very similar 24 effort against -- I'm trying not to mix, as you 25 said, the apportionment and the redistricting

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI
2	because they're kind of intertwined.
3	Constitutional restrictions that applied to the
4	apportionment, very specific, so in order to
5	produce all of the necessary documentation for
6	the apportionment board to consider to complete
7	its constitutional obligations, and then also
8	preparing proposals for the districts for the
9	legislature to consider in the redistricting.
10	Q. And while you were doing that
11	work, were you working on behalf of a
12	particular party or were you working on a
13	bipartisan basis in 2001?
14	A. I was a member of I was a
15	member of the Senate staff, so I was a state
16	employee at the time.
17	Q. And you were a member of the
18	Senate staff. Which position was that that you
19	held at the time? You held several positions.
20	A. Yeah, I don't recall my specific
21	title at the time.
22	Q. Do you recall and I believe
23	your Senate work was for the majority caucus,
24	though; is that correct, in 2001?
25	A. Yes.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Page	32
------	----

1	RAYMOND E. DIROSSI
2	Q. And that was the Republican caucus
3	at the time?
4	A. (Witness nodded head up and down.)
5	Q. Did you receive any training prior
6	to the 2001 redistricting effort regarding
7	redistricting or apportionment?
8	A. I attended a two- or three-day
9	seminar to learn about GIS software and how we
10	would be using GIS software for the coming
11	decennial processes.
12	Q. Did you have any other training?
13	And again, I'm just talking about the 2001
14	period.
15	A. No.
16	Q. For the 2011 period did you
17	receive any additional training in
18	redistricting and apportionment?
19	A. No.
20	Q. Do you recall that you attended an
21	NCSL training at some point?
22	A. Which decade are you talking
23	about?
24	Q. I believe, and this is just based
25	on prior testimony that you've given, that you

Page 33 1 RAYMOND E. DiROSSI attended a training in 1998. Is that the 2 3 training, the two- or three-day training? 4 1998, I believe, was when I was Α. 5 trained on the GIS software. 6 0. Okay. 7 That was not NCSL. Α. 8 Ο. That was not NCSL. Who gave that 9 training? 10 Α. I don't recall. Boy, that's a 11 long time ago, 20 years ago. 12 Ο. Did you ever attend an NCSL 13 training? 14 Yeah. Well, I've attended NCSL Α. 15 conferences, but I guess I wouldn't call them 16 training. 17 And have any of those conferences Ο. 18 been on redistricting and apportionment? 19 Α. Yes. 20 Do you recall which ones? Ο. 21 I remember attending -- going to Α. 22 Vermont during the '01 process for seminars and 23 speeches and presentations, and that's the only 24 specific one I remember the location of. 25 But there are others that you're Q.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Page 34 1 RAYMOND E. DiROSSI 2 just not recalling today or do you believe that 3 was the only one that you've attended? 4 There are others I cannot recall Α. 5 the locations of. 6 Do you have an idea of roughly how 0. 7 many other NCSL conferences related to 8 redistricting and apportionment that you attended? 9 10 Α. Three, four. 11 And when do you think the latest Ο. 12 one of those was? 13 Would have been sometime prior to Α. 14 the 2011 process. 15 Do you think it was in 2011 or 0. 16 earlier? 17 Α. I couldn't say. 18 Ο. And on those NCLS (sic) 19 conferences, were there subjects other than GIS 20 that you learned about or was it just GIS? 21 There were other -- other subject Α. 22 matters. 23 Do you recall what they would have Q. 24 been? 25 Presentations by the Census Bureau Α.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DIROSSI
2	on how various states were getting ready for
3	the census, if there were any changes that were
4	happening at the federal level on how census
5	data was being collected or disseminated to the
6	states. Endless presentations on what the
7	current legal cases might be that would affect
8	the various states, whether it was their
9	apportionment or redistricting processes.
10	Q. Okay. Were there any other
11	conferences or trainings that you attended
12	where you learned about apportionment or
13	redistricting?
14	A. None that I recall.
15	Q. At any of these trainings did you
16	discuss Ohio constitutional issues or were they
17	only federal in nature?
18	A. I mean, I can't I can't recall
19	specifically if any of the presentations were
20	specific mentioned Ohio.
21	Q. Okay.
22	A. I don't recall.
23	Q. At any of these trainings did you
24	discuss gerrymandering that you recall?
25	A. No.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DIROSSI
2	(Thereupon, Plaintiffs' Exhibit
3	Number 2, Subpoena to Produce Documents,
4	Information, of Objects or to Permit Inspection of
5	Premises in a Civil Action, was marked for
6	purposes of identification.)
7	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:
8	Q. I would like to show you a
9	document that I'm having marked as Exhibit 2
10	for the record. It is a subpoena for documents
11	dated July 13th, 2018. Do you recall having
12	received this subpoena?
13	A. This one looks a little more
14	familiar because I recognize that my address is
15	incorrect.
16	Q. Okay. Did you provide documents
17	in response to this subpoena?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. Now, the subpoena specifically
20	requested documents relating to the 2011
21	redistricting. Did you provide documents
22	related to the 2011 redistricting?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. Did you retain any emails from
25	that period?

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Page 37 1 RAYMOND E. DiROSSI 2 Any that I had in the various Α. 3 electronic and hard copy resources that I 4 searched to be responsive, I turned over. 5 0. And what email addresses did you 6 search? 7 Α. The only one that I would have had 8 at the time, which would be my Gmail, my 9 personal Gmail account. 10 And can you state what that Ο. 11 address is for the record, please? 12 RayDiRossi@Gmail.com. Α. Sure. 13 As you sit here today do you 0. 14 recall having retained any emails from that 15 period? 16 So you're talking from, seven, Α. 17 eight years ago? 18 0. That's correct. 19 Α. That decade? 20 Everyone has different email 0. 21 policies. I just want to know what yours are. 22 Α. Yeah, and I searched and any 23 documents that I had I turned over, but --24 So my question was, do you recall Ο. 25 having had any emails from that period?

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

		Р
1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI	
2	A. When I was complying with the	
3	subpoena?	
4	Q. Yes, that's correct.	
5	A. I don't believe so, no.	
6	Q. Okay. Did you retain any notes	
7	from that period?	
8	A. I don't know what you mean by	
9	notes.	
10	Q. However you would define notes.	
11	A. Anything that I had	
12	Q. Collections of your thoughts.	
13	A. Anything that I had from the	
14	various sources that I reviewed, I turned over.	
15	Q. My question is, do you recall	
16	having in your possession notes from that	
17	period?	
18	MR. STRACH: Objection to form.	
19	Go ahead.	
20	THE WITNESS: What I think of as	
21	notes is like handwritten notes. I didn't have	
22	anything like that.	
23	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:	
24	Q. Did you have any notes on your	
25	computer from that period?	

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Page 39 1 RAYMOND E. DiROSSI 2 MR. STRACH: Objection. Form. 3 THE WITNESS: I mean, if I had it, I 4 turned it over. 5 BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG: 6 Again, do you recall having notes 0. 7 on your computer? 8 MR. STRACH: Objection to form. 9 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I mean, what I 10 think of notes, I did not have notes on my 11 computer. 12 BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG: 13 Okay. Did you retain any draft Ο. 14 maps from that period? 15 Α. Yes. 16 Do you recall how many draft maps Ο. 17 you had? 18 I don't recall the number. Α. They 19 were turned over. 20 Did you retain any political 0. 21 indices from that period? 22 Any documents that I had that Α. 23 related to redistricting, if they included any 24 aspect of redistricting, including any historical election data that I had, I turned 25

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1 RAYMOND E. DiROSSI 2 over. 3 So my question is, do you recall 0. 4 having in your possession when you received the 5 subpoena indices? 6 Α. I believe so, yes. 7 Did you retain any other 0. documentation related to redistricting, and if 8 you did, can you describe it? 9 10 Objection to form. MR. STRACH: 11 THE WITNESS: Can you restate your 12 question? I'm sorry. 13 BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG: 14 So did you have any other Ο. 15 documentation that I haven't already listed 16 related to redistricting in your possession 17 when you received the subpoena? 18 Α. I mean, I had some calendars, 19 calendar items which were produced, I had a 20 number of copies of historical maps and current 21 maps that were produced. Some spreadsheets and 22 Word documents that I had created that I used 23 to help me understand and retain information, 24 those were produced. (Thereupon, Plaintiffs' Exhibit 25

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Page	41
------	----

		Р
1	RAYMOND E. DIROSSI	
2	Number 3, Documents Bates Stamped	
3	LWVOH_00004033-4034, was marked for purposes	
4	of identification.)	
5	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:	
6	Q. All right. I'm going to show you	
7	an exhibit that I'm having marked as Exhibit 3	
8	for the record. It bears Bates number LV or	
9	LWVOH-0004033. It is a letter from the Ohio	
10	Campaign for Accountable Redistricting. It's	
11	dated October 7th, 2011. It is from Jim	
12	Slagle.	
13	So I would like to point you to	
14	the second paragraph. In it, Mr. Slagle says	
15	oh, and do you see that this letter is	
16	strike the last part.	
17	Do you see at the top this letter	
18	is addressed to yourself and another person?	
19	A. I do.	
20	Q. Okay.	
21	MR. STRACH: Can I interrupt for one	ž
22	second? Do you need time to look at this?	
23	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I mean, if you'r	e
24	going to ask me any questions about it.	
25	MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG: Well, I will	

	Pag
1	RAYMOND E. DIROSSI
2	direct him to any portion that I'm going to ask.
3	If you would like to quickly just flip to see that
4	this is a document that is addressed to you and is
5	signed at the back.
6	MR. STRACH: Yeah, I would like him
7	if he needs it, I would like him to have a
8	chance to review the exhibit before he answers
9	questions about it, if he needs it.
10	MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG: Okay. Again, I
11	think anything that I'm going to have him answer
12	to he'll be directed to specifically.
13	MR. STRACH: And that's fine, so long
14	as he's had a chance to review it, and then you
15	can direct him wherever you like.
16	Let her know when you're ready.
17	THE WITNESS: Okay. Depending on
18	your question I might need a little more time, but
19	I'm generally familiar with it now.
20	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:
21	Q. Okay. You have all the time that
22	you need.
23	So I would like to direct you to
24	the second paragraph. In it, Mr. Slagle says,
25	in preparation for this report I'm requesting

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

		Page
1	RAYMOND E. DIROSSI	
2	that you provide copies of the following public	
3	records which pertain to the recently completed	
4	redistricting or reapportionment process.	
5	Do you recall having received a	
6	public records request back in October of 2011?	
7	A. I do.	
8	Q. Okay. Did you provide documents	
9	in response to this public records request?	
10	A. I did.	
11	Q. Did you provide emails in response	
12	to this public record request?	
13	A. I did.	
14	Q. And what email address did you	
15	use?	
16	A. The only one I had,	
17	RayDiRossi@Gmail.com.	
18	Q. And what method did you use to	
19	produce email at that time; do you recall?	
20	A. I don't recall the specific	
21	method. I don't recall.	
22	Q. Were you generally responsible for	
23	helping to coordinate documents related to the	
24	public records request, the production of	
25	documents?	

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1 RAYMOND E. DiROSSI 2 MR. STRACH: Objection to form. 3 You can answer if you can. 4 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't know what 5 I mean, I was asked to provide them so you mean. 6 I obviously searched my records to be responsive, 7 but I -- I don't know. I wasn't the coordinator. 8 BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG: 9 Ο. Okay. Who was the coordinator? 10 I think the staff of the -- the Α. staff of the Ohio House was serving as the 11 12 coordinator for public records requests. 13 Okay. So let's go over just the 0. 14 documents that were requested at the time. Ιf 15 you look at the second bullet - I'm just going 16 to skip over the ones that deal with 17 apportionment - it requests all written 18 communication, including emails, with members 19 of the apportionment board or their staffs 20 relevant to redistricting or apportionment 21 during the period from July 1st, 2011 to October 5th, 2011. Do you see that? 22 23 I do. Α. 24 Did you give documents responsive Ο. 25 to this request? Just this bullet that we were

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DIROSSI
2	talking about.
3	A. Yeah, again, I don't I provided
4	emails. I don't know if they were specifically
5	because of this bullet or some of any of the
6	other bullets on here.
7	Q. Well, did you provide emails with
8	the apportionment board or their staff that
9	related to redistricting?
10	A. So you're using the apportionment
11	board or the redistricting, which I'm confused
12	as to
13	Q. I'm just going with the bullet
14	because the bullet asks for communications with
15	members of the apportionment board or their
16	staffs, but related to redistricting and
17	apportionment.
18	A. Okay. You're just tripping me up
19	because you said you wanted to ignore the
20	apportionment stuff.
21	Q. This particular bullet asked for
22	documents relating to redistricting and
23	apportionment, but it does mention the
24	apportionment board. So did you provide emails
25	that you had with any members of the

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Page 46 1 RAYMOND E. DiROSSI 2 apportionment board related to redistricting? 3 I'm sorry. You're mixing the two. Α. 4 I do not believe the way you're asking the 5 question I would have had any emails 6 specifically to your question. 7 Okay. I'm just asking the Ο. question just in the bullet. 8 9 Α. I know there's a lot of common 10 terms and they're used interchangeably, but 11 they mean certain things obviously. 12 Okay. Did you provide emails to 0. 13 the members of the governor's staff related to 14 redistricting? 15 Can you ask that question again, Α. 16 state that again? Did you provide emails with the 17 0. 18 governor's staff related to redistricting? 19 I can't recall. Α 20 Okay. Did you provide emails with 0. 21 the Secretary of State staff related to 22 redistricting? 23 I can't recall. It was a long Α. 24 time ago. 25 Did you provide emails with the Q.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

		Page 47
1	RAYMOND E. DIROSSI	2
2	president of the Senate staff related to	
3	redistricting?	
4	A. That I that I would have, yes.	
5	Q. Okay. Did you provide emails with	
б	the Speaker of the House's staff related to	
7	redistricting?	
8	A. Yes.	
9	Q. Did you provide emails with the	
10	Senate minority leader related to	
11	redistricting?	
12	A. You're asking specifically about	
13	emails?	
14	Q. Yes.	
15	A. No.	
16	Q. Did you provide emails with the	
17	House minority leader related to redistricting?	
18	A. No.	
19	Q. Okay. The next bullet asks for -	
20	and I'm turning to the next page of the exhibit	
21	- all written communication, including emails,	
22	with members of the Ohio legislature or their	
23	staffs relevant to redistricting or	
24	apportionment during the period from July 1,	
25	2011 to October 5th, 2011. Do you see that?	

1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI
2	A. I do.
3	Q. Did you provide emails responsive
4	to this request?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. The next bullet asks for all
7	documentation, including letters, emails, memos
8	and notes, of comments, suggestions, requests
9	for changes or other input on proposed
10	legislative districts, draft redistricting maps
11	or final maps, other than which was provided
12	during public hearings or meetings. Do you see
13	that request?
14	A. I do.
15	Q. Did you provide documents
16	responsive to this request?
17	MR. STRACH: Objection. Form.
18	THE WITNESS: Yes.
19	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:
20	Q. Okay. I'm going to skip the next
21	bullet because it's not relevant. Then the
22	following bullet says copies of all draft maps
23	and redistricting or apportionment plans,
24	whether for the entire state, portions of the
25	state or individual districts, which were

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

13411

Page 49 1 RAYMOND E. DiROSSI 2 prepared by you or others. Do you see that? 3 Α. I do. 4 Did you provide documents in 0. 5 response to this request? 6 Again, documents could mean many, Α. 7 many things. Just as it's defined in the 8 Ο. bullet. 9 10 Α. Well, that's not exactly precise. 11 I did provide redistricting maps. 12 Okay. The last bullet asks for 0. 13 all documentation pertaining to the 14 dissemination of draft maps or plans and all 15 documentation regarding any response to draft 16 maps or plans. Do you see that? 17 Α. I do. 18 0. Did you provide documents in 19 response to that request? 20 Again, at the time in 2011, Α. 21 anything that would have been responsive to any 22 of these bullet points, the ones you mentioned 23 or the ones you skipped, I turned over. Ι 24 don't recall if I specifically turned anything 25 over with regard to this last bullet.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI
2	Q. Okay. At the time in 2011 did you
3	retain more documents related to redistricting
4	than you have now?
5	A. Can you say that again, please?
6	Q. Yes. When you received this
7	request, did you have more documents in your
8	possession related to redistricting than you do
9	now?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. Going back to the first page, the
12	letter says the Ohio Campaign for Accountable
13	Redistricting will be preparing a transparency
14	report regarding the recently completed
15	congressional and state legislative
16	redistricting process.
17	At the time that you received this
18	request did you understand that the documents
19	you were providing might make it into a report?
20	A. I didn't even think about it. It
21	was a public records request and so I provided
22	whatever was responsive.
23	Q. Did you read the letter before
24	providing the documents?
25	A. Yes.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Page 51 1 RAYMOND E. DiROSSI 2 And the letter states that the 0. 3 documents are for a transparency report, 4 correct? 5 Α. Yeah. I don't know what that 6 At the time I didn't know what that means. 7 meant. 8 Okay. But you did read the Ο. 9 letter? 10 Α. Uh-huh. 11 0. If you could turn the page, 12 please, to the last page. The letter copies a 13 Michael Lenzo. Do you know Michael Lenzo? 14 Α. I do. 15 Who is Michael Lenzo? Ο. 16 The majority legal counsel in the Α. 17 House. 18 0. And what was Michael Lenzo's role 19 in the redistricting process? 20 He was the majority legal counsel Α. 21 in the House. 22 Okay. Did he have any specific 0. 23 job duties during the redistricting process? Not that I could speak to that I 24 Α. 25 would know.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI
2	
	Q. Okay. You mentioned that you were
3	deposed in the past and that that deposition
4	was Wilson v. Kasich; is that right?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. And did that deposition regard
7	apportionment or redistricting?
8	A. It was apportionment.
9	Q. And were there any overlaps
10	between apportionment and redistricting at the
11	time?
12	A. Please clarify in which way
13	overlaps.
14	Q. Did you use any of the same
15	processes as part of apportionment and
16	redistricting as far as drawing the map, for
17	example?
18	MR. STRACH: Objection to form.
19	Go ahead.
20	THE WITNESS: Yeah, you have to
21	clarify a little more. Like I used the same
22	computers.
23	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:
24	Q. You used the same computers. Did
25	you use the same software?

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Case: 1:18-cv-00357-TSB-KNM-MHW Doc #: 230-12 Filed: 02/20/19 Page: 53 of 349	PAGEID #:
10415	

Page 53
---------

		Page
1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI	
2	A. You're talking about this current	
3	decade?	
4	Q. I'm talking about 2011.	
5	A. Yes.	
6	Q. Did you use the same political	
7	indices?	
8	MR. STRACH: Objection to form.	
9	THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's we had	
10	historical election data that we had available to	
11	us.	
12	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:	
13	Q. Okay. For both processes?	
14	A. For both processes.	
15	Q. Were	
16	A. But everybody used and everybody	
17	had their own opinion about what historical	
18	information was relevant, so it really was	
19	messy.	
20	Q. Were the maps drawn in the same	
21	location, apportionment and redistricting?	
22	A. Technically, no, because there	
23	were multiple maps.	
24	Q. Okay. We'll get to that.	
25	During the during the	

1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI
2	deposition, the prior deposition, were you
3	represented by counsel?
4	A. I was.
5	Q. And were you shown documents at
6	that deposition?
7	A. I was.
8	Q. And some of those documents were
9	marked as exhibits?
10	A. I believe so.
11	Q. So kind of moving on from
12	documents, I would like to go back to
13	redistricting and talk a little bit in more
14	detail about the 2011 redistricting. Okay?
15	When did you start working on
16	redistricting in 2011?
17	A. What do you mean by working on?
18	Q. When did you start thinking about
19	and doing things in preparation for the 2011
20	cycle?
21	MR. STRACH: Objection to form.
22	THE WITNESS: Yeah, so when did I
23	start thinking about it? I mean, I
24	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:
25	Q. And doing things in preparation,

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Page 55 1 RAYMOND E. DiROSSI 2 so not just thinking in the abstract, but 3 actually putting some of your thoughts into action. 4 5 MR. STRACH: Objection to form. 6 Yeah, there was a lot THE WITNESS: 7 of logistical thought that went into the processes 8 for both apportionment and redistricting that 9 would be forthcoming that would have happened in 10 2011. 11 BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG: 12 And when did those start? 0. 13 I don't recall specific dates, Α. 14 months, timelines. 15 Okay. Do you recall whether it 0. 16 started in early 2011, let's say January and 17 February of 2011? 18 MR. STRACH: Objection to form. 19 THE WITNESS: I couldn't be that 20 specific. 21 BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG: 22 Okay. Do you recall having 0. 23 attended an NCSL seminar in 2011? 24 I attended an NCSL, but I do not Α. 25 recall if it was in 2011 or earlier.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

13418

Page 56

1 RAYMOND E. DiROSSI 2 Okay. This is -- and I'm not Ο. putting this in the record. This is your prior 3 4 deposition in Wilson v. Kasich. If you can 5 turn to page 42 and I'm looking at lines 10 6 through 11. 7 You said page -- I'm sorry, which Α. 8 page? 9 Page 42. Q. 10 Α. Okay. 11 So you were asked a series of 0. 12 questions here and this is about apportionment, but as we said, there's been some overlap 13 14 between the two, and so just read the question 15 starting at 7 down through the answer on 12. 16 If you want to read the full answer, you can. 17 Α. Okay. 18 Does this refresh your 0. 19 recollection about whether you attended an NCSL 20 training in 2011? 21 Well, so obviously this was seven Α. 22 years ago, so I obviously had better 23 recollection of when and where that NCSL was. 24 So in 2011 when I gave this deposition, January 25 of 2012, if I said it was in Washington D.C. in

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Case: 1:18-cv-00357-TSB-KNM-MHW Doc #: 230-12 Filed: 02/20/19 Page: 57 of 349 PAGEID #:

13419

Page 57 1 RAYMOND E. DiROSSI 2 January, then that's most likely when it was. 3 Okay. Do you recall whether you 0. 4 were paid to go to the seminar? 5 MR. STRACH: And if you need to take 6 time to read forward a little bit --7 Yeah. THE WITNESS: MR. STRACH: -- feel free to do that. 8 9 BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG: 10 You can start at page 43, line 12, Ο. 11 and then I think the answer continues on page 12 44 up to line 2. 13 It sounds like that's your answer. Α. 14 Well, I need the answer for the Ο. 15 Were you paid to go to the NCLS (sic) record. 16 training? 17 MR. STRACH: Objection to form. Be 18 sure and distinguish what you remember now versus 19 what you remembered then, if there's any 20 difference. 21 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I mean, I don't 22 have a specific recollection of that now, but I'm 23 obviously looking at a deposition that I gave 24 seven years ago, so it's -- I mean, I don't recall 25 right now how the -- sitting here without this aid

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Case: 1:18-cv-00357-TSB-KNM-MHW Doc #: 230	-12 Filed: 02/20/19 Page: 58 of 349 PAGEID #:
104	20

Page 5	58
--------	----

1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI
2	that you gave me, I don't recall how the travel
3	was paid for, if I paid for it, if it was
4	reimbursed or who did.
5	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:
6	Q. So this does not refresh your
7	recollection about whether you were paid to go
8	to this training?
9	A. Well, now looking at the
10	deposition, I said seven years ago I don't
11	specifically recall if I paid for it and was
12	reimbursed or if it was paid for on my behalf,
13	so this does not help refresh my recollection.
14	Q. Well, you've read part of it for
15	the record, so I'll go on and read the rest of
16	it. Would it have been a representative
17	organization or some other, is that fair to
18	say, this is the bottom of page 43, and then on
19	the following page, 44, yeah, I believe it was
20	the Republican Senate Campaign Committee.
21	You said at the time that you were
22	a consultant for the Republican Senate Campaign
23	Committee?
24	A. When is this? This is 2000 and
25	Q. '11.

		Page	59
1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI		
2	A. '11, yes.		
3	Q. Okay.		
4	A. I mean, I said seven years ago		
5	I said I believe, so that was the best		
6	recollection of that that I had then. I don't		
7	have any better recollection of it now.		
8	Q. But you were working for the		
9	Republican Senate campaign at the time as a		
10	consultant, correct?		
11	A. Yes.		
12	(Thereupon, Plaintiffs' Exhibit		
13	Number 4, Document Bates Stamped LWVOH_00009711,		
14	was marked for purposes of identification.)		
15	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:		
16	Q. I'm having marked for the record a		
17	document that I'm having marked as Exhibit 4.		
18	It bears Bates numbers LWVOH-0008711, and at		
19	the top it says discussion points for Mark		
20	Braden meeting, Thursday, May 12th, 2011.		
21	Do you recall attending a		
22	redistricting meeting in May 2011?		
23	A. I do not.		
24	(Thereupon, Plaintiffs' Exhibit		
25	Number 5, Document Bates Stamped DIROSSI_0000017,		

	]				
1	RAYMOND E. DIROSSI				
2	was marked for purposes of identification.)				
3	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:				
4	Q. I would like to move to the next				
5	exhibit that I'm having marked for the record.				
6	It bears Bates number DIROSSI-000017. It is				
7	what purports to be a calendar entry, subject,				
8	Redistricting: Software Demo - Maptitude. Do				
9	you see that?				
10	A. I do see that.				
11	Q. Is this Bates number the number				
12	convention, to your knowledge, for documents				
13	that you produced?				
14	A. I don't know what Bates I don't				
15	know what that means.				
16	Q. The number at the bottom. Does				
17	this look like a document that you produced to				
18	us?				
19	MR. STRACH: I can tell you it was.				
20	He has no idea how we Bates numbered them.				
21	MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG: Okay. Thank				
22	you.				
23	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:				
24	Q. So this purports to be a May 31st,				
25	2011 calendar entry. Do you see that?				

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Page 61 1 RAYMOND E. DiROSSI 2 Α. Yes. 3 Do you recall attending a 0. 4 redistricting software demo in May 2011? 5 Α. I don't specifically remember for 6 sure attending this. 7 Okay. This is your calendar Q. 8 entry, correct? 9 It is. Α. 10 0. What is Maptitude? 11 It's a -- it's a GIS based Α. 12 software. 13 And did you use Maptitude as part 0. 14 of the redistricting process? 15 In 2011, yes. Α. 16 Do you recall who would have given Ο. 17 a training like this in May 2011? 18 MR. STRACH: Objection. 19 THE WITNESS: I don't recall. 20 BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG: 21 Okay. Do you recall whether you 0. 22 were officially retained at this point to work 23 on redistricting? 24 I was not. Α. 25 If you did attain -- did attend Q.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Page	62
------	----

	E E
1	RAYMOND E. DIROSSI
2	the training, was that something that you would
3	have been paid for?
4	MR. STRACH: Objection.
5	THE WITNESS: You're asking me
6	hypothetically if I had been retained? I don't
7	understand your question.
8	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:
9	Q. No, I'm asking you we have a
10	calendar entry here from your calendar that
11	says there was a training. If you attended the
12	training as your calendar says, would you have
13	been paid to attend that?
14	MR. STRACH: Objection.
15	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I mean, first of
16	all, just because it was on my calendar doesn't
17	mean it happened. There's plenty of things on my
18	calendar that didn't happen.
19	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:
20	Q. Right. And so my question is, if
21	you attended a training, which you may or may
22	not remember, would you have been paid to do
23	it?
24	MR. STRACH: Objection.
25	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I mean, there's

Page 6	53
--------	----

		ЕС
1	RAYMOND E. DIROSSI	
2	like four different levels of hypothetical there.	
3	I can't answer that.	
4	(Thereupon, Plaintiffs' Exhibit	
5	Number 6, Document Bates Stamped DIROSSI_0000018,	
6	was marked for purposes of identification.)	
7	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:	
8	Q. Okay. Let's turn to the next	
9	exhibit that I'm having marked for the record.	
10	It is DIROSSI_000018. I'm having it marked as	
11	Exhibit 6. It is another calendar entry.	
12	This one says Confirmed:	
13	Legislative Task Force on Redistricting, and	
14	the start date of this calendar entry is June	
15	16, 2011. Do you see that?	
16	A. I do.	
17	Q. Now, this entry says confirmed.	
18	If an entry is confirmed in your calendar is it	
19	more likely that you actually attended it?	
20	A. No.	
21	Q. Okay. Do you know what the	
22	legislative legislative task force on	
23	redistricting was?	
24	A. Absolutely.	
25	Q. Okay. And what was that?	

1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI
2	A. This is the bipartisan task force
3	that was formed in Ohio law to prepare the
4	State of Ohio for both the apportionment and
5	the redistricting processes every decade.
6	Q. And who was a part of that task
7	force?
8	A. There are six members by statute
9	on the board. I don't recall at the times the
10	names of the members who were members of it,
11	but I do know the statute requires that
12	legislative leaders of opposing political
13	parties always are the bipartisan co-chairs of
14	it so that any action the entity takes is
15	bipartisan.
16	Q. And were you a member of the task
17	force?
18	A. When I worked in the Ohio House I
19	was a member of this task force for four years,
20	but at the time of this I was not.
21	Q. You were not. Were you so at
22	the time of this, just to be clear for the
23	record, are you talking about in June or for
24	the whole 2011 redistricting cycle?
25	A. That I'm sorry.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

13427

Page 65 1 RAYMOND E. DiROSSI I just want to clarify. You said 2 0. 3 you were not a member of the task force. Are 4 you talking about in June of 2011, which is the 5 calendar entry, or for the whole 2011 cycle? 6 I was not a member during the Α. 7 whole 2011 cycle. 8 Did you attend any meetings of the 0. 9 task force during the 2011 cycle? 10 Not that I recall. Α. 11 I'm not going to mark this yet for 0. 12 the record. MR. STRACH: What exhibit number is 13 14 this? 15 MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG: It's not an 16 exhibit. It's currently just a document to 17 refresh his recollection. 18 MR. STRACH: Okay. 19 BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG: 20 Have you had a chance to review 0. 21 the document? 22 I am continuing to review it, but Α. I still don't know what it is. 23 24 So if you look at the block code, 0. 25 it says legislature task force on redistricting

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

-	1	
-	L	

RAYMOND E. DiROSSI

and then there are a number of names here, including your name. And my question to you is, does this document refresh your recollection about whether you attended any legislative task force and redistricting meetings?

8 Α. So thank you for giving me this 9 document. I think it's -- it's a little 10 clearer, but I think you're confused. The 11 legislative task force on redistricting is a 12 public body made up of elected officials. Ιt 13 is the mechanism by which the state prepares 14 for the process. It has money appropriated to 15 it by the General Assembly.

16 One of the things that this is, is as people attended public hearings throughout 17 18 the state on apportionment and redistricting, 19 they were reimbursed for mileage. So if the 20 apportionment board or a redistricting 21 committee of the legislature had a regional 22 hearing or a hearing outside of the Statehouse, 23 those were meetings not of the task force, but 24 they were reimbursed for mileage from the task 25 force.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Page 67 1 RAYMOND E. DiROSSI 2 0. Okay. 3 So I think you're confusing the Α. 4 two. 5 Ο. So you're saying that this 6 document is just about reimbursement and not 7 about --8 Based on my review of the Α. documents you've provided, that's -- that's my 9 10 understanding. 11 (Thereupon, Plaintiffs' Exhibit 12 Number 7, Document Bates Stamped DIROSSI\_0000019, 13 was marked for purposes of identification.) 14 BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG: 15 Okay. I would like to move to 0. 16 what I'm having marked as Exhibit 7. This 17 document, for the record, has Bates number 18 DIROSSI\_000019, and the subject matter is 19 Confirmed: President Niehaus call with 20 Congressman LaTourette, and the date is July 21 7th, 2011. Do you see that? 22 MR. STRACH: Just one correction, 23 it's July 5th. 24 MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG: Oh, I'm sorry, 25 July 5th. Thank you.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

		Page	68
1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI		
2	THE WITNESS: I do see the document.		
3	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:		
4	Q. Do you recall having calls with		
5	President Niehaus at this time in July, early		
6	July 2011?		
7	A. That I had phone calls with him?		
8	Q. Yes. Did you have phone calls		
9	with President Niehaus in July of 2011?		
10	A. I mean, I spoke to him. I don't		
11	know if they were by phone or I mean, I		
12	can't recall a specific phone call.		
13	Q. But did you have phone calls in		
14	general with the president at this time? Just		
15	in general in early July did you talk to the		
16	president on the phone?		
17	MR. STRACH: Objection.		
18	THE WITNESS: I don't I don't		
19	recall a specific thing, and if I did, it may not		
20	have had anything to do with redistricting.		
21	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:		
22	Q. Okay. Do you know who Congressman		
23	LaTourette is?		
24	A. I do. He's now deceased.		
25	Q. And who was Congressman		

	Page	69	
RAYMOND E. DIROSSI			
LaTourette?			
A. He was a congressman from Ohio.			
Q. Did you have any conversations at			
any point with Congressman LaTourette about			
redistricting?			
A. None that I can recall.			
Q. Is it possible that you had any			
phone calls with him you're not remembering?			
MR. STRACH: Objection.			
THE WITNESS: I don't recall any			
specific ones.			
BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:			
Q. Do you recall if in early July			
there were there was a draft map for the			
Ohio's congressional districts?			
A. I don't recall.			
Q. At the time in early July of 2011			
did you have phone calls with any sitting			
congresspeople that you recall?			
A. I don't recall. I don't recall			
any specific ones in July.			
Q. Is it possible that you had calls			
with sitting congresspeople at that time?			
MR. STRACH: Objection.			
	<ul> <li>LaTourette?</li> <li>A. He was a congressman from Ohio.</li> <li>Q. Did you have any conversations at any point with Congressman LaTourette about redistricting?</li> <li>A. None that I can recall.</li> <li>Q. Is it possible that you had any phone calls with him you're not remembering? MR. STRACH: Objection. THE WITNESS: I don't recall any</li> <li>Specific ones.</li> <li>BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDEORG:</li> <li>Q. Do you recall if in early July of there were there was a draft map for the ohio's congressional districts?</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. At the time in early July of 2011</li> <li>did you have phone calls with any sitting congress in July.</li> <li>Q. Is it possible that you had calls with sitting congress people at that time?</li> </ul>	RAYMOND E. DIROSSI LaTourette? A. He was a congressman from Ohio. Q. Did you have any conversations at any point with Congressman LaTourette about redistricting? A. None that I can recall. Q. Is it possible that you had any phone calls with him you're not remembering? MR. STRACH: Objection. THE WITNESS: I don't recall any specific ones. BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG: Q. Do you recall if in early July there were there was a draft map for the Ohio's congressional districts? A. I don't recall. Q. At the time in early July of 2011 did you have phone calls with any sitting congresspeople that you recall? A. I don't recall. I don't recall any specific ones in July. Q. Is it possible that you had calls with sitting congresspeople at that time?	

Page 70 1 RAYMOND E. DiROSSI 2 THE WITNESS: I don't recall any 3 specific phone calls. 4 BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG: 5 Not any in particular, just in 0. 6 general, do you recall having any conversations 7 with sitting congresspeople? What time -- what time frame? 8 Α. I'm 9 sorry. 10 Early July. Ο. 11 Α. I don't. 12 Ο. At any point later in the 13 redistricting cycle do you recall? 14 Α. There were a few -- a few 15 instances that I remember -- can remember that 16 far back where I would have had phone calls with sitting congressmen. 17 18 0. And what were those instances? 19 In House Bill 369, which was the Α. 20 congressional map that was adopted, I remember 21 having a number of calls with Congressman Steve 22 Austria telling him that the legislative 23 leaders had decided that the request by the 24 Democratic members of the legislature to have 25 an amendment to the redistricting plan to unify

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

13433

Page 71 1 RAYMOND E. DiROSSI 2 Montgomery County was happening. And I had a 3 number of conversations with him about that, 4 that the leaders had decided to go with what 5 the Democrats and the legislature had asked 6 for. 7 Do you recall any other 0. conversations? 8 9 Α. With --10 0. Sitting congresspeople. 11 By congresspeople, you're saying Α. 12 the congressmen and women? 13 0. Yes, I am. 14 Everybody is looking at me. Α. None 15 that I -- none that I recall. 16 You're the witness. 0. 17 Α. I understand. None more that I 18 can recall sitting here at this moment, no. 19 0. Okay. Let's --20 (Thereupon, Plaintiffs' Exhibit 21 Number 8, Document Bates Stamped LWVOH\_00010555, 22 was marked for purposes of identification.) 23 BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG: 24 For completeness, let's look at Ο. 25 what I'm having marked as Exhibit 8. It bears

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1 RAYMOND E. DiROSSI Bates number LWVOH\_00010555. It is a calendar 2 3 entry with, it looks like, an email, from you 4 to Heather N. Mann. Do you see that? 5 Α. I do. 6 Okay. If you just take a minute Ο. 7 to look over the text. In it there's a 8 discussion of a July 7th, 2011 meeting. Do you 9 see that? 10 I see July 7th, 2011, but I don't Α. know what it's -- I'm still trying to -- I see 11 12 the words July 7th, 2011. 13 Well, the subject says, Re: Hold Ο. for Redistricting Software Meeting and then 14 15 that hold seems to be for a July 7th, 2011 16 meeting, if I'm looking at this correctly, 17 which was sent to you by Heather Mann. You 18 respond accepted, and then I am free from 10:30 19 for the Yost meeting and free the rest of the 20 day as needed, Ray. 21 Α. Okay. I see that. 22 Do you recall there being a Ο. 23 meeting in early July regarding redistricting? 24 I don't recall. Α. 25 Okay. When were you officially Q.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1 RAYMOND E. DiROSSI 2 retained? 3 Α. I believe my contract was signed 4 the first few days of August. 5 MR. STRACH: Can we take a quick We've been going about an hour. 6 break? 7 MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG: Sure. 8 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're off the 9 record. 10 (Recess taken.) 11 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're on the 12 record. 13 MR. TUCKER: Before we get started 14 again, I just want to memorialize the parties' 15 agreement that an objection made by one attorney 16 on one side is good for all parties on that side. 17 So, example, if the intervenors object to a 18 question, that objection is good for defendants, 19 and vice-versa. 20 BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG: 21 All right. Mr. DiRossi, I would 0. 22 like to go back to something we talked about in 23 the very beginning. You said you reviewed 24 documents in preparation for the deposition. 25 Which documents did you review?

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Case: 1:18-cv-00357-TSB-KNM-MHW [	Doc #: 230-12 Filed: 02/20/19	Page: 74 of 349	PAGEID #:
	10100		

13436

Page 74

1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI
2	A. Any of the documents that I
3	produced, so that would have been well, any
4	documents that I produced.
5	Q. Okay. So you reviewed the whole
6	production set?
7	A. Well, I mean, I looked through
8	them. There's a lot.
9	(Thereupon, Plaintiffs' Exhibit
10	Number 9, Documents Bates Stamped
11	LWVOH_00005475-5477, was marked for purposes
12	of identification.)
13	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:
14	Q. I would like to now turn to a
15	document that I'm having marked as Exhibit 9.
16	It's 9 in your binder. For the record, this
17	document begins with Bates number
18	LWVOH_0005475. At the top it says Consulting
19	Agreement. Can you turn to the last page,
20	please?
21	A. (Witness complied.)
22	Q. Do you recognize this signature at
23	the bottom as your signature?
24	A. I do.
25	Q. And if you take a moment to review

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI		
2	the document, is this the consulting agreement		
3	that you entered into when you began work on		
4	redistricting?		
5	A. It is.		
6	Q. Do you recall who retained you to		
7	work on redistricting?		
8	A. I guess I don't understand the		
9	question. Do you mean like who I signed the		
10	contract with or what are you asking?		
11	Q. Yes. Do you recall let me ask		
12	it more specifically. Do you recall being		
13	retained by the Republican caucus to work on		
14	redistricting?		
15	A. Well, so this is another example		
16	we were talking about the legislative task		
17	force on redistricting and demographic		
18	research. So that is something that has been		
19	part of law for a number of decades and it's		
20	set up specifically so that each of the two		
21	caucuses, the Republican caucus and the		
22	Democratic caucus, are each able to make		
23	expenditures using the dollars attributed to		
24	them in equal amounts for anything necessary		
25	for them to go through this very unique		

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Page 76 1 RAYMOND E. DiROSSI 2 process. 3 And were you paid by the Ο. 4 Republican portion of that money? 5 Α. Yes. 6 0. So getting to the money part, if 7 just give me one second. Sorry. Oh, yeah. So 8 if you look at the contract in paragraph --9 numbered paragraph 3 it says consulting 10 payments, and then it says in consideration for 11 the services performed by Capital Advantage 12 pursuant to this agreement, the task force 13 agrees to pay Capital Advantage the sum total 14 of \$75,000. Do you see that? 15 Α. I do. 16 And did you understand that that Ο. 17 was half of the Republican money at the time? 18 Α. I don't have that specific 19 recollection. I don't think that's accurate. 20 You don't think 75,000 is 0. 21 accurate? 22 It's definitely the number here, Α. 23 but you were asking whether it was half of 24 something else and I --25 Yes, half of the money allocated Q.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

3439

Page 77 1 RAYMOND E. DiROSSI 2 to the Republicans, as you explained. 3 I don't -- I can't speak to that. Α. 4 I'm not entering this at this Ο. 5 time. I have put in front of you a document 6 that you produced, and again it's not being 7 entered for the record, but it is 8 DIROSSI 000495. 9 If you look at the second 10 paragraph, numbered paragraph here, does this refresh your recollection of whether you were, 11 12 at least in this contract, allocated half of 13 the Republican money? 14 Well, yeah, I just think you -- I Α. 15 just don't think that's --16 I believe it was later increased. 0. I'm just talking about at the time that you 17 18 signed the contract, was that half of the 19 Republican bucket? Not what it eventually was. 20 Yeah, because this is dated June Α. 21 and the contract was in August, and there were 22 changes that were agreed to by the minority 23 leader of the Ohio Senate and the Speaker of 24 the Ohio House that changed those allocations, 25 so I don't --

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DIROSSI			
2	Q. Okay. So I think this is at			
3	least as far as the production, I'm going to			
4	show you another document to refresh your			
5	recollection. Now, this is the only change			
6	document I've seen and it's dated October and			
7	it is retroactive.			
8	Does this refresh your			
9	recollection of at the time that you signed			
10	your contract, were you going to be paid half			
11	of the Republican allotment?			
12	A. I'm sorry. Is this the new one			
13	you gave me?			
14	Q. I think the new one is dated			
15	October 12th, 2011.			
16	A. Okay. Could you repeat what your			
17	specific question is?			
18	Q. So the question is, at the time			
19	that you signed your consulting agreement were			
20	you being paid half of the Republican money?			
21	A. I don't know the answer, but based			
22	on what I'm seeing here I do not believe that			
23	no.			
24	Q. So even though this document			
25	the document that you've just looked at			

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

13441

Page 79 1 RAYMOND E. DiROSSI 2 postdates your agreement, you believe that 3 there was more money when you signed this 4 contract in August 2011? 5 Objection to form. MR. STRACH: 6 THE WITNESS: Yeah, can you rephrase 7 that, specifically what you're asking? 8 BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG: 9 My question is, there's -- you 0. 10 believe there was more money in August 2011 11 allocated to the Republican caucus? 12 Well, any allocation that would Α. 13 have been made to either caucus would have been 14 made to both caucuses. It was always being 15 done by a Republican and Democrat, which is the 16 way that this entity is set up in the -- so it never would have been that one caucus got money 17 18 that the other caucus didn't get money. 19 0. That part is understood. My 20 question is about your specific payment, 21 whether it was half of the Republican caucus 22 money or whether there was more money at the 23 time allocated to the Republican caucus. 24 MR. STRACH: Objection to form. 25 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I mean, I've

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI
2	answered it a couple different times. Based on
3	what I'm seeing here, I do not believe that to be
4	the case. This is my contract, that is the amount
5	that I was paid, but I mean, you're asking me to
б	I don't believe that that's what this is.
7	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:
8	Q. Okay. Do you have an
9	understanding of how much money was allocated
10	to Republicans at the time that you signed your
11	contract?
12	A. I do not. At the time I signed my
13	contract, I do not.
14	Q. Do you have any documentation
15	related to how much money was allotted to
16	Republicans at the time that you signed your
17	contract?
18	A. I mean, any you're handing me
19	documents that I handed to you by preparing
20	them. So, I mean, these are the documents that
21	I have.
22	Q. Okay.
23	A. This is what I have.
24	Q. Do you have any other documents
25	related to the money allocated to the

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1 RAYMOND E. DiROSSI 2 Republicans at the time that you signed your 3 contract? 4 Anything that I would have had I Α. 5 would have turned over. 6 Okay. Going back to the end of Ο. 7 the document where the signatures are --8 Α. Yes. 9 Ο. -- we've already identified your 10 The top signature is a person by signature. the name of Matthew T. Schuler. Do you know 11 12 who that is? 13 I do. Α. 14 Ο. And who is that? 15 He at the time was the chief of Α. 16 staff of the Ohio Senate. 17 Okay. And was he associated with 0. 18 any particular party? 19 He was a member of the Republican Α. 20 caucus. 21 And the next name is Troy Judy. 0. 22 Do you know who Troy Judy is? 23 Α. I do. 24 And what was Troy Judy's position Ο. 25 at the time?

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

3444

1 RAYMOND E. DiROSSI 2 At the time he was the chief of Α. 3 staff of the House of Representatives. 4 0. Okay. And was Troy Judy 5 associated with any particular party? 6 Yes, so he was a member of the Α. 7 Republican caucus. 8 And, as I mentioned before in 9 response to one of your questions, as was the 10 way this was set up by the minority leader of the Ohio House Democrat, the Speaker of the 11 12 Ohio House Republican, there would be equal 13 amounts of money given to both caucuses, and 14 any contracts, equipment, software, or anything 15 that needed to be expended would be -- you 16 would have to get the signatures of either the 17 two Republican chiefs of staff or the two Democratic chiefs of staff. So that's what 18 19 this is. 20 What was your understanding of the Ο. 21 role that you would play in redistricting when 22 you signed this contract? 23 Well, for the redistricting, that Α. 24 we had to produce a constitutional map and that 25 there were timelines associated with it and

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

DEPO\_SDOH\_0264

Page 82

1 RAYMOND E. DiROSSI 2 that I was going to be working on providing 3 that. 4 And you said working on providing 0. 5 that. What specifically did you do to help 6 work on providing the map? 7 So the -- the legislative Α. congressional -- the redistricting, the 8 9 congressional redistricting, excuse me, is a 10 legislative bill that goes through the Ohio 11 House and the Ohio Senate and is signed by the 12 So we would be working to make Governor. 13 suggestions on what that bill could be so that 14 it could go through the traditional legislative 15 process. 16 Ο. And you said suggestions on what that bill could be. What do you mean by 17 18 suggestions on what that bill could be? 19 Α. Anything that affected the design 20 The fact that the state -- the of the map. 21 State of Ohio was losing two congressional 22 districts was causing significant problems, 23 population deviations of districts, districts 24 were growing, districts were contracting. 25 Anything to produce a map that was

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DIROSSI		
2	constitutional.		
3	Q. Did you work on drawing a map?		
4	A. Yes.		
5	Q. Okay. And how did you go about		
6	drawing the map?		
7	A. Using the computers and software		
8	that we had and using the data that the		
9	legislative task force had contracted with		
10	Cleveland State to provide to everyone in the		
11	state, we produced boundaries of districts and		
12	what could be used.		
13	Q. And the software that you used,		
14	was that Maptitude?		
15	A. In the 2011 process it was		
16	Maptitude, yes.		
17	Q. And you said we used the software.		
18	By we, who are you referring to?		
19	A. Primarily Heather Heather and		
20	I, Heather Mann.		
21	Q. Did anyone else		
22	A. Heather Mann at the time, Heather		
23	Blessing now.		
24	Q. Thank you.		
25	A. Sorry.		

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI		
2	Q. That's fine. Did anyone else work		
3	on the software with you in addition to Heather		
4	Mann, now Ms. Blessing?		
5	A. I believe Troy Judy used the		
6	software as well.		
7	Q. Did anyone else use the software?		
8	A. I mean, a lot of those are the		
9	people that I have knowledge of that used the		
10	software that we purchased. Other people in		
11	the state may have been using it.		
12	Q. Okay. Did you talk to anyone at		
13	the time who was inputting data in the software		
14	while you were using it?		
15	A. Help me understand that question a		
16	little bit.		
17	Q. So you said that Troy Judy,		
18	Heather Mann and yourself used the software.		
19	And so my question is, was anyone else working		
20	with you who was making inputs into the		
21	software?		
22	A. And by inputs into the software,		
23	what do you mean?		
24	Q. I mean making changes on maps in		
25	the Maptitude software.		

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

		Page	86	
1	RAYMOND E. DIROSSI			
2	A. Well, we were getting input from			
3	the legislative leaders.			
4	Q. Okay.			
5	A. But myself, Heather and Troy were			
6	really the only ones sitting at computers to my			
7	knowledge.			
8	Q. And you said you were getting			
9	inputs from legislative leaders. By			
10	legislative leaders, who are you talking about			
11	specifically?			
12	A. For me, I would say it was			
13	President Niehaus.			
14	Q. Anyone else?			
15	A. Well, I'm sure every legislator,			
16	both Republican and Democrat, had ideas, so at			
17	what level are you			
18	Q. I'm talking about people who gave			
19	you suggestions that then you inputted into the			
20	software.			
21	A. Because there are plenty of			
22	instances where people gave suggestions that we			
23	did not do, so			
24	Q. I'm talking about people who gave			
25	you suggestions that then you took and put into			

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI	
2	the software. Can you name those people?	
3	A. Well, President Niehaus. I know	
4	that Speaker Batchelder had input. But in many	
5	cases they were reacting to suggestions that we	
6	were making, so it was more of a two-way street	
7	than them telling us what to do. It was more	
8	of an information exchange.	
9	Q. Okay. So just to circle back to	
10	the contract, if you look at the numbered	
11	paragraph 1, during the term of this agreement	
12	Capital Advantage shall make available Raymond	
13	E. DiRossi to render such consulting services	
14	as may be needed or requested by the Republican	
15	members of the task force to carry out their	
16	duties, and then there is a code cited R.C. 10	
17	103.51. Do you see that?	
18	A. I do.	
19	Q. And so was your understanding that	
20	Capital Advantage was being retained on your	
21	behalf?	
22	A. Yes, I'm the only I'm the owner	
23	and only employee of Capital Advantage at the	
24	time.	
25	Q. Okay. And I think we've already	

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI	
2	discussed this, but was your understanding that	
3	you were being retained by the Republican	
4	members of the task force as outlined here in	
5	this paragraph?	
6	A. Well, again, as I mentioned	
7	before, it was a bipartisan process that was	
8	put in place for those contracts, but the	
9	legislative task force on redistricting and	
10	demographic research is the entity that is	
11	supposed to set the table for redistricting and	
12	apportionment in the state. They don't really	
13	have a role in the effectuation of districts or	
14	the adoption of districts. The apportionment	
15	board would adopt districts for the legislative	
16	districts. The state legislature and the	
17	Governor would adopt districts for the	
18	congressional districts.	
19	So they don't really have a role	
20	in adopting districts. They do all the	
21	logistical stuff to prepare the state for it.	
22	Q. Okay, understood. So you were, in	
23	fact, retained by the Republican members.	
24	Going to the next paragraph, term,	
25	it says the term of this agreement will	

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI
2	commence on August 1st, 2011, and shall expire
3	on December 31st, 2011, unless terminated in
4	accordance with the provisions of Section 8 of
5	this agreement or extended by the task force by
6	agreement of Capital Advantage. Do you see
7	that?
8	A. I do.
9	Q. Okay. Was it your understanding
10	that your term would last from August to
11	December?
12	A. Yeah, I mean, if that's what the
13	contract says, yes, yes.
14	Q. Do you recall whether you, in
15	fact, worked through December of 2011?
16	A. Well, again, so this contract
17	so here's where we're mixing. This contract
18	obviously is for apportionment and
19	redistricting. So through December 2011, yes,
20	I was still working, because within 30 days of
21	the apportionment map being adopted there were
22	lawsuits that were filed and depositions and
23	document production that lasted through
24	through that time, as well as, as we talked
25	earlier, about there being two maps for the

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Page 90 1 RAYMOND E. DiROSSI 2 congressional districts that extended into 3 December as well. 4 (Thereupon, Plaintiffs' Exhibit 5 Number 10, Document Bates Stamped DIROSSI\_0000527, 6 was marked for purposes of identification.) 7 BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG: I would like to move to the next 8 Ο. 9 exhibit that I'm having marked as Exhibit 10. 10 It is -- it has Bates number DIROSSI\_0000527, 11 and the top of the document says Termination 12 Agreement. Do you see that? 13 And this agreement --14 Α. I do. 15 This agreement says that it's 0. 16 pursuant to the termination provision of the 17 contract entered into between the Republican 18 Senate Campaign Committee, RSCC, and Capital 19 Advantage. Do you see that? 20 I do. Α. 21 And what is the Republican 0. 22 campaign committee, Senate campaign committee? 23 That was the entity that I was Α. 24 engaged with prior to the redistricting and 25 apportionment under my contract.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

3453

Page 91 1 RAYMOND E. DiROSSI 2 And what do they do? Ο. 3 They are -- they run elections. Α. 4 0. What do you mean, they run 5 elections? 6 They run elections dealing with Α. 7 Republican Senate candidates. 8 Ο. Do you mean that they do the 9 physical logistics of running elections or are 10 they actually campaigning for elections? 11 Α. Well, I don't control what they do 12 obviously, but --13 I'm just asking what they do. 0. 14 Α. Yeah. 15 Because you said they run 0. 16 elections. I just want clarity on what you 17 mean by they run elections. Are they doing the logistics; i.e., setting up polls, making 18 19 elections run, or are they campaigning for 20 elections? 21 Yeah, they are a legislative Α. 22 campaign fund under Ohio law and they raise 23 money and engage in Republican Senate campaign 24 campaigns. 25 Okay. And what did you do for Q.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI
2	them specifically?
3	A. Prior to terminating pursuant to
4	this, I raised money for the Republican Senate
5	Campaign Committee.
б	Q. And how did you go about that?
7	A. Providing logistical support to
8	the members and candidates of the Republican
9	Senate Campaign Committee in setting up
10	fundraising events in Columbus or in their
11	districts.
12	Q. And I don't have the agreement
13	that this is terminating. Do you still have
14	that in your possession?
15	A. Sitting here, I don't know. I
16	would have to look.
17	Q. Okay. I'm going to request on the
18	record that you do look for that agreement, and
19	if it's in your possession that it be produced.
20	Do you recall why your agreement
21	with the RSCC was terminated on August 1st,
22	2011?
23	A. Yeah, this was this was after
24	consulting with some of the ethics folks that
25	operate in and around Capitol Square. It was

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI
2	recommended that there be a termination of all
3	contracts that I had in place and that I focus
4	solely on the redistricting and apportionment.
5	So this is the bright line of terminating
6	everything and letting the new contracts take
7	effect.
8	Q. And did you have an understanding
9	of why it was why you should terminate all
10	of your existing contracts?
11	A. I don't know. It was the
12	recommendation of the joint legislative
13	inspector general joint legislative ethics
14	officer, apologies.
15	Q. And whose decision was it to ask
16	the ethics individual about whether or not you
17	should terminate your
18	A. I sought I sought his guidance.
19	Q. And why did you do that?
20	A. I just wanted to make sure that I
21	did this correctly. I had been through this in
22	2001, as we talked about, and there were
23	immediately lawsuits after the 2001 process and
24	I just wanted to make sure that I did
25	everything correctly.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Page	94
Fage	ノユ

1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI
2	Q. And staying on the contract that
3	this is terminating, were you paid under your
4	RSCC contract?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Do you have a recollection of how
7	much you were paid?
8	A. I don't sitting here.
9	MR. STRACH: Insert an objection to
10	that question.
11	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:
12	Q. So we've seen a number of
13	documents and we've already kind of talked
14	about Heather Mann, also known as Heather
15	Blessing. Who is Ms. Blessing?
16	A. Who is she now? Who was she then?
17	Q. Who was she during the
18	redistricting process?
19	A. She was for the redistricting
20	process, she was somebody that the I can't
21	remember what her title was in the legislature,
22	but she was somebody that was designated to
23	work on the redistricting on behalf of the
24	Speaker of the House.
25	Q. Okay. And did you work with

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

# Case: 1:18-cv-00357-TSB-KNM-MHW Doc #: 230-12 Filed: 02/20/19 Page: 95 of 349 PAGEID #: 13457

		Page	95
1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI		
2	Ms. Blessing directly?		
3	A. Yes.		
4	Q. And what was the nature of your		
5	working relationship together?		
6	A. And what do you mean by that?		
7	Q. Did you guys between the two of		
8	you did you have roles that were designated		
9	that you performed certain tasks and she		
10	performed other tasks?		
11	A. No. I mean, we were working		
12	simultaneously on both the apportionment and		
13	the redistricting, and so we were sometimes		
14	working on the same concepts or the same		
15	processes and at other times different.		
16	Q. Was there anything that you worked		
17	on specifically that she did not work on?		
18	A. Not that I could recall		
19	specifically.		
20	Q. Is there anything that she worked		
21	on specifically that you did not work on?		
22	MR. STRACH: Objection to form.		
23	THE WITNESS: You can ask her.		
24	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:		
25	Q. To your recollection do you recall		

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Case: 1:18-cv-00357-TSB-KNM-MHW Doc #: 230-12 Filed: 02/20/19 Page: 96 of 349	PAGEID #:
12458	

		Page	96
1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI		
2	her doing something that you were not involved		
3	in?		
4	MR. STRACH: Objection to form.		
5	THE WITNESS: I do not recall		
6	specifics.		
7	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:		
8	Q. Do you recall whether Ms. Blessing		
9	was paid \$75,000 in her contract?		
10	A. Her contract and mine were, I		
11	believe, identical.		
12	Q. Do you recall whether the		
13	combination of your contract and Ms. Blessing's		
14	contract was the money that was allotted to the		
15	Republican caucus?		
16	A. Can you say that again, please?		
17	Q. Do you recall whether the money		
18	that you were paid and the money that she was		
19	paid was the sum total of the money that was		
20	allotted to the Republican caucus?		
21	A. I don't think that's accurate.		
22	Q. And what do you recall other money		
23	being allotted for?		
24	A. Software, computers, office space,		
25	mileage reimbursements, toner, paper, ink, all		

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

		P
1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI	
2	of those things necessary to produce the maps	
3	for the apportionment and the redistricting.	
4	Q. Okay. Was money allotted to pay	
5	anyone else a salary?	
6	A. I don't recall. I don't recall if	
7	that was true or if Heather and I were the only	
8	ones.	
9	Q. All right. I would like to	
10	actually, before we get to the exhibit, do you	
11	recall there being any meetings in early July	
12	of 2011 related to redistricting?	
13	A. Are you specifically asking	
14	meetings that I attended or just meetings that	
15	other people were having?	
16	Q. Meetings that you would have	
17	attended.	
18	A. In July?	
19	Q. In July.	
20	A. Yeah, as we just discussed, my	
21	contract wasn't in effect until and signed	
22	until August. I don't recall, sitting here,	
23	any meetings in July.	
24	Q. Is your recollection that you did	
25	any work related to redistricting in July?	

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI
2	A. Well, I was definitely thinking
3	about the logistics. Having been the one
4	person who had been through this the previous
5	decade, I was thinking a lot about the
б	logistics of what we would do, but that was
7	with myself.
8	Q. Did you attend any meetings in
9	July related to redistricting?
10	A. None that I can specifically
11	recall.
12	Q. Did you do anything else besides
13	thinking to yourself about redistricting in
14	July of 2011?
15	A. Yeah, I'm sure I had conversations
16	with the president of the Senate, Matt Schuler,
17	basically saying these processes are coming,
18	these are once-a-decade processes, they have,
19	especially for the apportionment, timelines
20	that are imbedded in the Constitution that we
21	have to adhere to, and we need to be thinking
22	about all of the logistical things that need to
23	be done to get ready for this. So I'm sure I
24	would have been having conversations along
25	those lines.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Case: 1:18-cv-00357-TSB-KNM-MHW Doc #: 230-12 Filed: 02/20/19 Page: 99 of 349	PAGEID #:
10401	

13461

99

		Page
1	RAYMOND E. DIROSSI	
2	Q. Would you have had conversations	
3	with anyone else in July?	
4	A. With anyone else other than	
5	Q. Niehaus and Schuler you just	
6	mentioned.	
7	MR. STRACH: Objection to form.	
8	THE WITNESS: I would have talked to	
9	Heather about it, I'm sure.	
10	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:	
11	Q. Anyone else?	
12	A. I'm sorry. Who have we named so	
13	far?	
14	Q. I believe, and your counsel can	
15	correct me, we've named President Niehaus and I	
16	believe you named Schuler and you just named	
17	Ms. Blessing.	
18	A. Yeah, no other specific	
19	conversations that I can recall and give you	
20	names names of people right now.	
21	Q. Okay. So let's look at some	
22	documents and they may or may not refresh your	
23	recollection.	
24	(Thereupon, Plaintiffs' Exhibit	
25	Number 11, Document Bates Stamped DIROSSI_0000020,	

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

	Pag
1	RAYMOND E. DIROSSI
2	was marked for purposes of identification.)
3	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:
4	Q. Let's look at what I'm going to
5	have marked as Exhibit 11. It bears DIROSSI
6	I'll just say DIROSSI_20 for shorthand. This
7	is an exhibit that you produced to us. The
8	subject is, 2:45 p.m. Confirmed: Redistricting
9	Training. Do you see that?
10	A. I do.
11	Q. And it looks like this event is
12	scheduled to start on July 7th, 2011. Do you
13	see that?
14	A. I do.
15	Q. Okay. I'm going to just move on
16	to the next document to mark for the record to
17	be efficient.
18	(Thereupon, Plaintiffs' Exhibit
19	Number 12, Document Bates Stamped DIROSSI_0000021,
20	was marked for purposes of identification.)
21	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:
22	Q. This is DIROSSI_21 and the subject
23	is Confirmed: Redistricting Training. Do you
24	see that?
25	A. I do.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Case: 1:18-cv-00357-TSB-KNM-MHW Doc #: 230-12 Filed: 02/20/19 Page: 101 of 349	PAGEID #:
13463	

Page 10	U	1
---------	---	---

1

1	RAYMOND E. DIROSSI
2	Q. And its date is July 8th, 2011.
3	Do you see that?
4	A. I do see that.
5	Q. All right. And as the kind of
6	last
7	A. Just if I could say, I mean, just
8	because it says confirmed doesn't mean that I
9	attended it. That's my way of saying that I
10	believed it was confirmed and was going to
11	happen. But whether or not I attended it, I
12	can't say.
13	Q. Understood.
14	(Thereupon, Plaintiffs' Exhibit
15	Number 13, Document Bates Stamped LWVOH_00008706
16	was marked for purposes of identification.)
17	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:
18	Q. To finish out our trio, I would
19	like to have marked as Exhibit 13 LWVOH_8706.
20	And at the top it says Redistricting Meeting
21	Agenda, Thursday, July 7th, 2011, and Friday,
22	July 8th, 2011. Do you see that?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. Okay. So just flipping back to
25	Exhibit 11, it looks like there is a

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

		Page 102
1	RAYMOND E. DIROSSI	
2	redistricting training for 2:45 to 5:00 p.m.	
3	That seems to coincide with a 2:45 to 5:30 p.m.	
4	training on this agenda in Exhibit 13. Do you	
5	see that?	
6	A. I'm sorry. Help me again. You're	
7	referring to Number 12?	
8	Q. Number 11.	
9	A. Number 11, I'm sorry.	
10	Q. So there's a 2:45 to 5:00 p.m.	
11	training. Do you see that?	
12	A. Number 11, yes.	
13	Q. Yes. And then if you look at	
14	Number 13, the second to last meeting on the	
15	agenda is 2:45 to 5:30 p.m. Do you see that?	
16	A. I do.	
17	Q. Okay. And then on	
18	A. The times aren't exact, but I	
19	yeah.	
20	Q. I think we're going to look at	
21	another one that's not quite exact. If you	
22	look at Exhibit 12, there is a Friday meeting	
23	from 8:00 a.m. to 10:30, and then it looks like	
24	on the agenda there's an 8:00 a.m. to 11:00	
25	a.m. training. Do you see that?	

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

		Page I
1	RAYMOND E. DIROSSI	
2	A. Yes.	
3	Q. Okay. As you look at this agenda	
4	I know you said when you looked at the	
5	calendar entries alone you have no recollection	
6	of going to the meetings. Looking at the	
7	agenda, do you have any recollection of	
8	attending any of these meetings?	
9	A. I do have a recollection of	
10	attending something, but I can't say if it was	
11	one or one or something different.	
12	Q. Okay. The agenda lists a number	
13	of people, including Mark Braden. Do you see	
14	that?	
15	A. I do.	
16	Q. Do you know who Mark Braden is?	
17	A. I do.	
18	Q. Who is Mark Braden?	
19	A. He was the legal counsel that	
20	represented us in the apportionment lawsuit.	
21	Q. By legal counsel that represented	
22	us in the apportionment lawsuit, who is us?	
23	A. The apportionment board members.	
24	Q. Okay. And was it your	
25	understanding that he represented all	

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Case: 1:18-cv-00357-TSB-KNM-MHW Doc #: 230-12 Filed: 02/20/19 Page: 104 of 349 PAGEID #: 13466

		Page	104
1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI		
2	apportionment board members?		
3	A. I don't know that I wouldn't		
4	know the specifics of that. I don't know.		
5	Q. Okay. This meeting, July 7th,		
б	predates the apportionment board lawsuit. Do		
7	you have any recollection of Mr. Braden doing		
8	any work prior to the lawsuit?		
9	A. What do you mean by work that he		
10	did?		
11	Q. I mean work related to		
12	redistricting.		
13	MR. STRACH: Objection.		
14	THE WITNESS: Yeah, we might have		
15	sought his his guidance on legal matters, but	I	
16			
17	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:		
18	Q. Do you have any recollection of		
19	speaking to Mr. Braden prior to the filing of		
20	the lawsuit?		
21	A. Yes.		
22	Q. And when was that?		
23	A. When was the lawsuit filed?		
24	Q. No. When did you speak to him		
25	prior to the filing of the lawsuit?		

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI
2	A. Well, it would have been during
3	the process, during the apportionment and
4	redistricting process.
5	Q. So do you have a recollection of
6	speaking to him during the redistricting and
7	apportionment process?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. Do you have any recollection of
10	when those conversations would have taken
11	place, in the summer, in the fall?
12	A. We inter or I interacted and
13	sought his guidance numerous times through that
14	process, but I can't I don't know a specific
15	like date or time or general month or anything.
16	Q. Okay.
17	A. It was kind of throughout.
18	Q. And is it possible that you spoke
19	to him in July of 2011?
20	MR. STRACH: Objection.
21	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I mean, I I
22	can't speculate. I can't recall a specific July
23	conversation that I had with him.
24	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:
25	Q. All right. The other name listed

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI
2	here is a John Morgan. Do you know who John
3	Morgan is?
4	A. Generally, yes.
5	Q. And who is that?
6	A. He was somebody who who was a
7	resource to us if we had questions specifically
8	about software. As we talked about before, in
9	2001 we used AutoBound software. In 2011 we
10	were switching and using Maptitude software, a
11	software that I had not been trained on and was
12	not familiar with, and there was a lot of
13	things that I just could not understand how
14	this new software ten years later worked.
15	John seemed to have an in-depth
16	knowledge of how the software worked and so he
17	was he was a resource to, at least me, on
18	software issues.
19	Q. And do you recall when you were
20	introduced to Mr. Morgan?
21	A. I don't recall.
22	Q. Do you recall how you were
23	introduced to him?
24	A. I believe it was by email, email
25	and phone.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

#### Case: 1:18-cv-00357-TSB-KNM-MHW Doc #: 230-12 Filed: 02/20/19 Page: 107 of 349 PAGEID #:

3469

Page 107 1 RAYMOND E. DiROSSI 2 And who sent that email? Ο. 3 I can't recall. Α. 4 You said that he was a resource to Ο. 5 By you, who do you mean? you. 6 Α. I'm sorry, by who do I --7 Who was John Morgan a resource Ο. for? 8 9 Α. Me. 10 You specifically. Did he work 0. 11 with anyone else to your knowledge? 12 I had -- I did witness him talking Α. 13 to Heather and I jointly. 14 Are you aware of him having 0. 15 conversations with anyone else related to 16 redistricting in Ohio? 17 I am not, no. Α. 18 Were you aware of who was paying Ο. 19 John Morgan to do his work? 20 Α. I was not. 21 Did John Morgan make any inputs 0. 22 into the Maptitude software? 23 MR. STRACH: Objection. 24 Yeah, so this is -- you THE WITNESS: 25 kind of said inputs into the software and I guess

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

	Pag
1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI
2	I'm struggling what that means. Like he would be
3	the resource when I didn't know how to use the
4	software to do things, he would help with that,
5	but that's not inputs. So I don't know what you
6	mean by inputs into the software again.
7	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:
8	Q. Okay. My question is, did he make
9	any substantive changes to the map while you
10	were working with him?
11	A. None that I can recall.
12	Q. Did he make any technical changes
13	to the map?
14	MR. STRACH: Objection.
15	THE WITNESS: Yeah, none that I can
16	specifically recall.
17	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:
18	Q. Mark Braden and John Morgan are
19	listed on a number of these entries together.
20	Did you understand that there was any
21	relationship between the two?
22	A. I can't recall.
23	Q. During your time working on
24	redistricting, did you ever talk to someone
25	named Adam Kincaid?

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Case: 1:18-cv-00357-TSB-KNM-MHW Doc #	t: 230-12 Filed:	: 02/20/19 Page: 109 of 349	PAGEID #:
	12/71	•	

1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI
2	A. I excuse me, I exchanged emails
3	with him.
4	Q. Okay. And who was Adam Kincaid?
5	A. I believe he was with the RNC,
6	RNCC. I'm not exactly sure how many Cs.
7	Q. Fair enough. There are a lot of
8	Cs around.
9	And what was your understanding of
10	Adam Kincaid's job at the time?
11	A. I guess I don't know what his job
12	was. I mean, I know that he was somebody that
13	I could bounce ideas off of or exchange
14	information with.
15	Q. And what kinds of ideas were you
16	exchanging with Adam Kincaid?
17	A. Yeah, so as I mentioned in the
18	congressional redistricting, we were losing two
19	seats and we were having to significantly
20	change a number of the districts in the state
21	to accomplish those, and other other goals
22	that had been kind of set out. And just we
23	were dealing with a lot of people that had to
24	be put into different districts and so it was
25	just a resource for me on how that might work.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DIROSSI
2	Q. Okay. Did you talk to him about
3	substantive lines at any point, changing a line
4	here or there?
5	A. I don't know if we I don't know
6	about a line, but we talked about the
7	configuration of some districts, the
8	geographical configuration of the districts.
9	Q. Did you talk about anything else
10	beyond geographical configuration of districts?
11	A. Well, again, at any at any
12	point in any particular district there are a
13	number of factors that you might be looking at.
14	So in some instances there would have been
15	minority populations of the district, whether
16	those be African American populations or
17	Hispanic populations, population population
18	deviations, how many political subdivisions
19	were being split, how many counties were being
20	split, and, you know, all of those all of
21	those types of things.
22	Q. Did you ever talk about partisan
23	makeup of districts with Mr. Kincaid?
24	A. So now you're getting into where
25	the historical election data that we had

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

		гa
1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI	
2	available that I helped try to devise so that	
3	everybody would use one set of numbers.	
4	Everybody that we dealt with, especially when	
5	you're talking about the redistricting and not	
6	the apportionment, had their own methodology to	
7	how to look at the historical election data,	
8	and Adam was no different.	
9	Q. So you said Adam was no different	
10	and everyone had a different kind of viewpoint.	
11	What was your understanding of Adam Kincaid's	
12	viewpoint at the time?	
13	MR. STRACH: Objection.	
14	THE WITNESS: With regards	
15	specifically to	
16	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:	
17	Q. With regards to your statement	
18	that everyone had a different opinion about the	
19	historical data and Adam was no different.	
20	A. Yeah.	
21	Q. What was your understanding of his	
22	opinion?	
23	A. Yeah, so the one thing I learned	
24	from the history of this whole whole process	
25	from 2001 and through 2011 is that everybody	
1		

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI
2	had their own ideas of how to look at
3	historical political data or election data.
4	And we had put in place, or I had worked to try
5	to come up with a unified index, which were
6	five historical statewide races that could be
7	used to look at historical election results.
8	And Adam if you talk to any
9	member of Congress, if you talk to any member
10	of the press, if you talk to any member of the
11	legislature, if you talk to anybody, everybody
12	else seemed to have their own way of looking at
13	election data. And so when I said Adam was no
14	different, they had their own way of
15	calculating historical election data that I
16	wasn't familiar with and am still not really
17	familiar with.
18	Q. Okay. So you mentioned this
19	unified index of five historical state
20	elections. Is that something that you came up
21	with by yourself or did you come up with it in
22	conjunction with Ms. Blessing?
23	A. Yeah, it was not something that I
24	did myself, although it's the same exact
25	process generally that we used in the previous

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI
2	decade where we came up with five statewide
3	nonjudicial races and we chose them to try to
4	determine the historical election results of
5	the state.
6	And so in 2011 we identified five
7	races. There were two that the Democrats had
8	won, statewide election results, there were two
9	that the Republicans had won, and there was one
10	that the Republicans won, but did not receive a
11	plurality of the votes. And so we put them
12	altogether in what I called the unified index
13	and then we weighted it to $50/50$ . And that was
14	that was my best guess of how to handle
15	historical election results.
16	Q. So in your answer you've
17	referenced we a few times. By we, who do you
18	mean?
19	A. So it would have been Heather and
20	I.
21	Q. Did anyone else help put together
22	the index?
23	A. Yeah, we sought input from some
24	people who had more knowledge of historical
25	election results.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1 RAYMOND E. DiROSSI 2 And who were those people? 0. 3 Well, it was Vaughn Flasher. Α. 4 And who is that? 0. 5 He was somebody who had been Α. 6 involved in campaign activities in the state 7 for a long period of time. And who did he work for at the 8 0. 9 time? I think he had -- I think he had 10 Α. 11 his own business. He had his own business. 12 And you said he was involved in Ο. 13 campaign activities in the state. Do you know 14 if that was for Republicans or Democrats? 15 It would have been -- well, some Α. 16 of it was statewide campaigns that are 17 nonpartisan, so, I mean, I guess it all 18 depended on who at the time he was working for. 19 Were you aware of him working for 0. 20 any Democrat at the time? 21 Not to my knowledge. Α. 22 Okay. So you mentioned Ο. 23 Mr. Flasher, Ms. Mann -- Ms. Blessing, excuse 24 me. Was there anyone else who helped work on 25 the indices issue?

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI
2	A. There was also somebody in the
3	House that had with similar background as
4	Vaughn did in the Senate, and I sought his
5	guidance was well.
6	Q. And who was that?
7	A. I knew you were going to ask that.
8	I just had it. I'm sorry. The name escapes
9	me.
10	Q. Okay. But you said similar
11	background. Was that someone who also worked
12	with Republicans?
13	A. Well, statewide campaigns, had a
14	knowledge of the history of elections in the
15	state, and if you were trying to come up with a
16	some type of way to measure historical
17	election results they would have good insight
18	to say use this race in it and don't use that
19	race.
20	Q. And this person, to the extent
21	that you recall their background, did this
22	other person work for Democrats to your
23	recollection?
24	A. I don't know.
25	Q. If you do recall the name at any

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1 RAYMOND E. DiROSSI 2 point --3 Sure, yes. Α. 4 -- like if we go to lunch and we 0. 5 come back, tell me. 6 Α. I'll blurt it out. 7 So going back to this everyone had 0. 8 different opinions on how to put together the 9 political data, you mentioned that Mr. Kincaid 10 had a different opinion. 11 Do you recall anyone else having a 12 different opinion on how to put together the 13 historical data? 14 Every member of the press that we Α. 15 interacted with had their own ideas. You 16 mentioned Mr. Slagle with OCAR, the Campaign 17 for Accountable Redistricting. He had his own 18 methodology that he wanted to use. Even some 19 of the Democratic members of Congress that we 20 were having conversations with, they had their 21 own scoring system that they used. And, like I 22 said, literally everybody we talked to seemed 23 to have a different way of looking at 24 historical election results. 25 And so how did you ultimately Q.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

<u>13479</u>

Page 117 1 RAYMOND E. DiROSSI 2 decide which way you were going to adopt? 3 Objection. MR. STRACH: 4 THE WITNESS: So help me understand 5 which way we were going to adopt. 6 BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG: 7 Well, you said you came up with a 0. unified historical index of five --8 9 Α. Correct. 10 -- races and you've named some of 0. 11 the people involved. How did you determine 12 which five races you were going to select? 13 We just decided that those were Α. 14 the five best. 15 And what do you mean by best? 0. 16 Those were the five that would Α. represent historically statewide nonjudicial 17 election results. 18 19 Okay. We may come back to this 0. 20 subject a little bit later, but --21 Α. Sure. 22 -- I would like to move on. 0. I am 23 -- if you could turn to the next exhibit. 24 (Thereupon, Plaintiffs' Exhibit 25 Number 14, Document Entitled Keep it Secret - Keep

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Page 118 1 RAYMOND E. DiROSSI 2 it Safe, was marked for purposes of identification.) 3 4 BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG: 5 This is an exhibit that I'm having 0. 6 marked as Exhibit 14. This may be slightly 7 confusing in that it has an exhibit sticker on 8 it already. It bears the number Exhibit 3 and 9 this is from the deposition that you priorly 10 took in Wilson v. Kasich. 11 Α. Okay. 12 At the top of the document it says Ο. 13 Keep it Secret, Keep it Safe. Now, I've 14 mentioned you were shown this at a prior 15 deposition. But prior to that deposition do 16 you recall having seen this document? 17 So prior to the deposition seven Α. 18 years ago had I -- do I recall having seen it? 19 0. Yes. 20 Objection. MR. STRACH: 21 Go ahead and answer if you can. 22 Yeah, I mean, I think THE WITNESS: 23 at my deposition I said that I did not recall this 24 document and then when I was asked to provide 25 records pursuant to the public records request I

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Case: 1:18-cv-00357-TSB-KNM-MHW Doc #: 230-12 Filed: 02/20/19 Page: 119 of 349 PAGEID #: 13481

F	-	-	$\sim$
Page	- I		ч
LUGC		-	~

	Fag
1	RAYMOND E. DIROSSI
2	came across it and provided it.
3	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:
4	Q. Okay.
5	A. And that was the first
6	recollection of seeing it.
7	Q. And you said that you provided the
8	document as part of the public records request?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. How did the document come to be in
11	your possession?
12	MR. STRACH: Objection to when you
13	say document, it's my understanding this was part
14	of a larger presentation. Are you referring
15	simply to this slide or the entire presentation?
16	MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG: I'm referring
17	to the slide that we're currently looking at.
18	MR. STRACH: All right. Go ahead and
19	answer it.
20	THE WITNESS: Could you restate that,
21	please? I'm sorry.
22	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:
23	Q. Yes. So you said that as part of
24	the public records request you provided this
25	document. How did the document come to be in

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

### Case: 1:18-cv-00357-TSB-KNM-MHW Doc #: 230-12 Filed: 02/20/19 Page: 120 of 349 PAGEID #:

5/\_\_\_\_

Page 120 1 RAYMOND E. DiROSSI 2 your possession? 3 It was emailed to me. Α. 4 0. And do you recall who it was 5 emailed by? 6 I do not recall. Α. 7 Do you recall when it was emailed 0. 8 to you? 9 I do not. Α. 10 0. Just going back to your question 11 -- to my question about who sent you the 12 document, if you could - and you can have this 13 version - turn to page 21, and I'm looking at 14 lines 4 through 6. And you can read around it 15 if you want to just refresh your recollection 16 with what's being asked here. 17 Α. Okay. 18 Looking at your prior testimony, 0. 19 does this refresh your recollection of who 20 would have forwarded the document to you? 21 Objection to the MR. STRACH: 22 document, but go ahead and answer it. 23 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I mean, I said 24 seven years ago in this deposition that I believed 25 the email was forwarded to me, and obviously I say

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Case: 1:18-cv-00357-TSB-KNM-MHW Doc #: 230-12 Filed: 02/20/19 Page: 121 of 349 PAGEID #: 13483

	Page 121
1	RAYMOND E. DIROSSI
2	by Heather, but sitting here today I don't have
3	that specific recollection.
4	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:
5	Q. Okay. Going back to Exhibit 14,
6	at the bottom of the exhibit it says presenter
7	John Morgan. Do you see that?
8	A. I do.
9	Q. Is it your understanding that's
10	the same John Morgan that we were previously
11	discussing?
12	A. Yes, that would be my
13	understanding.
14	(Thereupon, Plaintiffs' Exhibit
15	Number 15, Document Bates Stamped DIROSSI_0000038,
16	was marked for purposes of identification.)
17	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:
18	Q. Okay. Moving on, I would like to
19	look at an exhibit that I'm having marked as
20	Exhibit 15. It's DIROSSI_38 for the record.
21	It is a calendar entry from August 30, 2011,
22	and the subject is Confirmed: Meet with Tom
23	Whatman. Do you see that?
24	A. I do.
25	Q. Who is Tom Whatman?

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

<ul> <li>A. So he was - I don't know if he</li> <li>still is, I assume not - somebody that was</li> <li>close to the Speaker of the United States House</li> <li>of Representatives, John Boehner.</li> <li>Q. And you said he was close to</li> <li>Speaker Boehner. Do you know what the</li> <li>relationship was between Mr. Whatman and</li> <li>Mr. Boehner?</li> <li>A. I don't.</li> <li>Q. And how did you know that or</li> <li>what was your understanding of strike that.</li> <li>Why do you say that he was close</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>close to the Speaker of the United States House</li> <li>of Representatives, John Boehner.</li> <li>Q. And you said he was close to</li> <li>Speaker Boehner. Do you know what the</li> <li>relationship was between Mr. Whatman and</li> <li>Mr. Boehner?</li> <li>A. I don't.</li> <li>Q. And how did you know that or</li> <li>what was your understanding of strike that.</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>of Representatives, John Boehner.</li> <li>Q. And you said he was close to</li> <li>Speaker Boehner. Do you know what the</li> <li>relationship was between Mr. Whatman and</li> <li>Mr. Boehner?</li> <li>A. I don't.</li> <li>Q. And how did you know that or</li> <li>what was your understanding of strike that.</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Q. And you said he was close to</li> <li>Speaker Boehner. Do you know what the</li> <li>relationship was between Mr. Whatman and</li> <li>Mr. Boehner?</li> <li>A. I don't.</li> <li>Q. And how did you know that or</li> <li>what was your understanding of strike that.</li> </ul>	
7 Speaker Boehner. Do you know what the 8 relationship was between Mr. Whatman and 9 Mr. Boehner? 10 A. I don't. 11 Q. And how did you know that or 12 what was your understanding of strike that.	
<pre>8 relationship was between Mr. Whatman and 9 Mr. Boehner? 10 A. I don't. 11 Q. And how did you know that or 12 what was your understanding of strike that.</pre>	
<sup>9</sup> Mr. Boehner? <sup>10</sup> A. I don't. <sup>11</sup> Q. And how did you know that or <sup>12</sup> what was your understanding of strike that.	
A. I don't. Q. And how did you know that or what was your understanding of strike that.	
<sup>11</sup> Q. And how did you know that or <sup>12</sup> what was your understanding of strike that.	
$^{12}$ what was your understanding of strike that.	
what was your anacistanating of strike chat.	
13 Why do you say that he was close	
willy do you say cliat lit was close	
14 to Speaker Boehner?	
A. He was another person who was a	
<sup>16</sup> resource or could you know, I could email	
<sup>17</sup> ideas to or he might email me ideas about the	
<sup>18</sup> congressional redistricting.	
<sup>19</sup> Q. My question is, why did you say he	
<sup>20</sup> was close to Speaker Boehner?	
A. Just because he was the person	
<sup>22</sup> if we wanted feedback, that's who I would have	
23 emailed or	
Q. And feedback	
25 A. He either worked for him in his	

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DIROSSI
2	congressional office or he worked for him in
3	other capacities.
4	Q. Okay. And you said you would
5	email ideas to Mr. Whatman. What type of
6	ideas?
7	A. Again, so there were a lot of
8	as we were going through this process, we were
9	confronted with a lot of big issues that we had
10	to work through. The loss of two seats was a
11	significant challenge for us in reconfiguring
12	the districts. We had a district in Northeast
13	Ohio that was represented by Congresswoman
14	Fudge who we wanted to make sure that the
15	district was drawn to her liking, so we were,
16	you know, communicating back and forth with her
17	and people around her.
18	There was also an intention to
19	since we were losing two districts, we thought
20	or it was thought that we should absorb one
21	Republican incumbent and one Democratic
22	incumbent, and so there was a lot of
23	conversation about how that would happen.
24	There was also the desire among
25	some of the individuals, like Speaker

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI
2	Batchelder, for the first time in the state to
3	create a new district in Franklin County, as it
4	turned out, that could elect a second minority
5	member to Congress.
6	And so there were a lot of big,
7	big issues those were big issues that kind
8	of were the overarching concepts that we were
9	working through. So I just said them in a
10	couple of rambling sentences, but those were
11	big deals, and so I was looking for a lot of
12	interaction and feedback and ideas on how to
13	achieve that.
14	Q. And you requested interaction on
15	those ideas that you just listed from
16	Mr. Whatman?
17	A. I don't recall if I specifically
18	asked for that, but those were a number of the
19	things we would have been talking about.
20	Q. And those are the types of
21	conversations, to be clear for the record, that
22	you would have had with Mr. Whatman?
23	A. I'm not saying exclusively, but
24	yes, that would have been
25	Q. And what was your understanding of

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Case: 1:18-cv-00357-TSB-KNM-MHW Doc #: 230-12 Filed: 02/20/19 Page: 125 of 349 PAGEID #: 13487

Page 125

1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI
2	why Mr. Whatman was involved in the
3	redistricting process?
4	MR. STRACH: Objection.
5	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:
6	Q. Your understanding of why.
7	A. I mean, the Speaker of the United
8	States House of Representatives was a
9	congressman from Ohio, and so President Niehaus
10	cared what his thoughts were.
11	Q. So you said there was a concern
12	about what the speaker's thoughts were. Was
13	there any concern about the thoughts of any
14	other sitting congresspeople at the time?
15	MR. STRACH: Objection.
16	Answer if you know.
17	THE WITNESS: Yeah, so
18	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:
19	Q. You said that President Niehaus
20	was concerned with the speaker's thoughts on
21	redistricting, and my question is was there any
22	concern about any of the other sitting
23	congresspeople?
24	A. Well, as I said, we were losing
25	two seats, so I think every member of Congress

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Page	1	2	б
------	---	---	---

1 RAYMOND E. DiROSSI 2 was eagerly anticipating what the legislature 3 was going to enact. 4 And did you have any conversations 0. 5 with any of these people -- with any of the 6 sitting congresspeople? 7 I would have talked to -- as we Α. 8 previously mentioned, I had talked on a number of occasions with Congressman Austria. 9 10 Ο. Okay. Do you know who Steve Strivers is? 11 12 Α. Yes. 13 And who is that? 0. 14 He is a former state senator and Α. 15 he -- I don't know if at the time he was a 16 sitting member of Congress. 17 Q. Okay. 18 Α. And he currently is a member of 19 Congress. 20 And which party is he from? 0. 21 Α. He's a Republican. 22 And do you recall any Ο. 23 conversations with Steve Strivers at the time? 24 In reviewing documents that I Α. 25 submitted, I think there was an email from me

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Case: 1:18-cv-00357-TSB-KNM-MHW Doc #: 230-12 Filed: 02/20/19 Page: 127 of 349 PAGEID #: 13489

		Page	127
1	RAYMOND E. DIROSSI		
2	to him, but I don't I don't recall		
3	specifically sitting here without looking at it		
4	what that was.		
5	Q. Okay. Do you know who Jim Jordan		
6	is?		
7	A. I do.		
8	Q. Who is Jim Jordan?		
9	A. He's a former state senator and a		
10	current member of the Ohio Congressional		
11	Delegation.		
12	Q. Did you have any conversations		
13	with Jim Jordan?		
14	A. I do not believe so, no.		
15	Q. Okay. Did you have any		
16	conversations about Jim Jordan's district that		
17	you recall?		
18	A. Well, I had as I said, we had		
19	18, we were going to 16, so I would have had		
20	conversations about every district because		
21	every district had to change.		
22	Q. What about Steve Chabot, do you		
23	know who that is?		
24	A. I do.		
25	Q. And who is that?		

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

		Page
1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI	
2	A. He's a current member of the Ohio	
3	Congressional Delegation.	
4	Q. And do you recall if he was a	
5	member then?	
6	A. I don't recall. That's a district	
7	that had bounced back and forth between	
8	Republicans and Democrats and I can't recall at	
9	the time who was the sitting member.	
10	Q. Do you recall having any	
11	conversations with Mr. Chabot about	
12	redistricting?	
13	A. None that I recall.	
14	Q. Do you recall having any	
15	conversations with anyone else that we haven't	
16	mentioned about redistricting?	
17	MR. STRACH: Objection.	
18	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:	
19	Q. And by anyone else, I mean sitting	
20	congresspeople.	
21	A. We had a lot of conversations with	
22	Congresswoman Fudge, Marcy Kaptur, Joyce	
23	Beatty, but	
24	Q. Was Joyce Beatty in Congress at	
25	that point?	

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

		Pag
1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI	
2	A. Yeah, she was not. She is now,	
3	but she was not at the time.	
4	Q. And you had conversations with	
5	her?	
6	A. Yeah, I'm trying to remember	
7	I'm trying to remember your previous question.	
8	Q. My question is, at the time in	
9	2011 do you recall having any conversations	
10	with sitting congresspeople?	
11	A. No, none that I can recall right	
12	now, other than what we've spoken about.	
13	Q. Okay. And I don't think this was	
14	clear on the record, so if we could just go	
15	back to Exhibit 7. This exhibit references a	
16	call with now departed Congressman LaTourette.	
17	Do you recall having any	
18	conversations with Congressman LaTourette at	
19	the time?	
20	A. No. As I mentioned, just because	
21	it says confirmed that means that I believe	
22	that the call happened, doesn't necessarily	
23	mean that I was part of it.	
24	Q. That's fair. I'm asking a	
25	separate question, which is do you recall	

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

	L.
1	RAYMOND E. DIROSSI
2	having any conversation? It doesn't have to be
3	the one in the calendar entry.
4	A. Yeah, no, I do not.
5	Q. Okay. And then by conversation
6	I'm also referring to email. If you could just
7	turn to tab 27. I don't think I'm going to
8	enter it yet, but I just want to know if this
9	actually, it's not tab 27. Sorry. I will
10	tell you in a minute which tab it is. Yeah,
11	37. If you could turn to tab 37 for a second.
12	A. Sure.
13	Q. We'll enter it later.
14	Does this document refresh your
15	recollection of whether you had conversations,
16	either on phone or by email, with any other
17	sitting congresspeople?
18	A. Yeah, this email I am not the
19	sender of this email so it does not it does
20	not refresh my recollection.
21	Q. You were copied on this email,
22	though, correct?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. And your email appears at the top
25	left corner, correct?

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DIROSSI
2	A. Yeah, so you asked if I had
3	conversations with other congress
4	Q. Or were part of conversations in
5	which they were involved.
6	A. Oh, I understood that you asked if
7	I had conversations with them.
8	Q. Were you part of any conversations
9	that we haven't discussed where you were
10	involved and other people were involved with
11	sitting congresspeople?
12	A. I mean, if you're including are
13	there any instances where I was cc'd on an
14	email and that satisfies it, obviously you're
15	showing me an email that I assume is
16	legitimate. So I was cc'd on this email. I
17	don't recall it.
18	Q. Okay.
19	MR. STRACH: Can we take another
20	break? We've been going another hour.
21	MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG: Do we want to
22	just break for lunch?
23	MR. STRACH: Can we go off the record
24	and have that discussion?
25	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're off the

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

		Pa
1	RAYMOND E. DIROSSI	
2	record.	
3	(Lunch recess taken.)	
4	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're on the	
5	record.	
6	MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG: Thank you.	
7	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:	
8	Q. Good afternoon.	
9	A. Good afternoon.	
10	Q. So I would like to follow up on	
11	some of the things that we spoke about earlier	
12	this morning.	
13	A. Okay.	
14	Q. You mentioned having conversations	
15	with Congressman Austria. Do you recall that?	
16	A. I do.	
17	Q. What were the nature of those	
18	conversations?	
19	A. So in House Bill 319, which was	
20	the first map that was adopted by the General	
21	Assembly, Congressman Austria and Congressman	
22	Turner were put into one of the same	
23	district. As I had mentioned, the state was	
24	losing two congressional districts and so we	
25	needed to get rid of two, or absorb two not	

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

RAYMOND	Ε.	Dirossi
-	-	

<sup>2</sup> get rid of, but absorb two.

1

3 In the first map House Bill 319, 4 Congressman Austria and Turner were both in a 5 district where roughly 50 percent of their old 6 district was in the new district, so basically 7 it would be a fair fight for them as they -- if 8 they both chose to run against each other. In 9 House Bill 369 -- now, as you can imagine, 10 Congressman Austria, nor Turner, were excited 11 about that.

12 But in 369, in order to get the 13 map through the legislative process, the 14 Democrats in the legislature specifically made 15 a number of requests to make changes to the map 16 in order to provide votes to support the new 17 map House Bill 369, and specifically the 18 request of the Montgomery County Democrats was 19 that all of Montgomery County be in the 10th 20 district.

This obviously upset the geographical balance being 50 percent from Turner's old district and 50 percent of Austria's old district, and so I was conveying multiple times in multiple conversations with

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DIROSSI
2	Congressman Austria that, in order to get votes
3	from the legislative Democrats, that we were
4	going to adopt this request and it was changing
5	the district in a way that would not be
6	beneficial to him for his previous district;
7	and that that was a decision that the speaker
8	and the president had made in order to secure
9	votes from legislative Democrats, which we
10	ultimately did get a number of from the
11	Montgomery County delegation.
12	And so obviously I was relaying
13	that to Congressman Austria, that that change
14	had occurred and that decision had been made by
15	the speaker and the president, and that it
16	wouldn't be wouldn't be good for him.
17	Q. Now, when you refer to speaker,
18	was that Speaker Batchelder?
19	A. Batchelder (pronouncing), yes.
20	Q. Batchelder, excuse me.
21	A. Thank you for clarifying, yes.
22	Q. Did you have any conversations
23	with Tom Whatman about the changes to
24	Congressman Austria's district?
25	A. I don't recall any specific

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Case: 1:18-cv-00357-TSB-KNM-MHW Doc #: 230-12 Filed: 02/20/19 Page: 135 of 349 PAGEID #: 13497

Page 135

	Fay
1	RAYMOND E. DIROSSI
2	conversations about that with Tom.
3	Q. Did you have any conversations
4	with Adam Kincaid about changing Congressman
5	Austria's district?
6	MR. STRACH: Objection. Do you mean
7	throughout the entire process or as it relates to
8	this House 369 change?
9	MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG: Throughout the
10	whole process.
11	THE WITNESS: Okay. Could you repeat
12	it again?
13	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:
14	Q. Did you have any discussions with
15	Adam Kincaid about Congressman Austria's
16	district?
17	A. None that I can specifically
18	recall exact conversations with him.
19	Q. Did you have any emails, either
20	where you sent it, received it or were copied,
21	with Adam Kincaid about Congressman Austria's
22	district?
23	A. None that I can recall
24	specifically here.
25	Q. And did you have any emails where

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	
1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI
2	you either sent, received or were copied about
3	Congressman Austria's district with Tom
4	Whatman?
5	A. None that I can specifically
6	recall sitting here.
7	Q. Going back to President Niehaus,
8	you said that you received suggestions
9	throughout the process, not just in 369, from
10	him. What were the nature of those
11	suggestions?
12	A. So it was as I mentioned before
13	and mentioned the big the big pillars of
14	what the districts were going to look like,
15	losing two districts, trying to make sure the
16	11th congressional district of Northeast Ohio
17	was proposed in a certain way, these were
18	those were conversations that he and I were
19	having about how what we were going to try
20	to do to achieve those.
21	Q. And the same question for the
22	Speaker of the Ohio House.
23	A. Yeah, I I worked more closely
24	with the president and the Senate so I don't
25	recall any specific conversations I had with

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

2       the Speaker of the Ohio House on those topics.         3       Q. And when the decision was made to         4       change Congressman Austria's district, was         5       anyone else involved in that decision besides         6       the president and the speaker that you recall?         7       A. Well, the the genesis for the         8       change came from the legislative Democrats that         9       ultimately provided their votes to do it, so         10       that's where it would have originated. So they         11       would have been involved because they were the         12       ones asking for the change.         13       Q. And outside of those individuals,         14       the legislative Democrats, was anyone else         15       involved in that decisionmaking process?         16       A. I can't recall the decisionmaking         17       process of who else would have been involved         18       other than the people I articulated.         19       Q. So going back, I would like to go         20       to the kind of map drawing process         21       A. Sure.         22       Q and we've discussed a little         23       bit Ms. Blessing?         24       met Ms. Bless	1	RAYMOND E. DIROSSI
<ul> <li>change Congressman Austria's district, was</li> <li>anyone else involved in that decision besides</li> <li>the president and the speaker that you recall?</li> <li>A. Well, the the genesis for the</li> <li>change came from the legislative Democrats that</li> <li>ultimately provided their votes to do it, so</li> <li>that's where it would have originated. So they</li> <li>would have been involved because they were the</li> <li>ones asking for the change.</li> <li>Q. And outside of those individuals,</li> <li>the legislative Democrats, was anyone else</li> <li>involved in that decisionmaking process?</li> <li>A. I can't recall the decisionmaking</li> <li>process of who else would have been involved</li> <li>other than the people I articulated.</li> <li>Q. So going back, I would like to go</li> <li>to the kind of map drawing process</li> <li>A. Sure.</li> <li>Q and we've discussed a little</li> <li>bit Ms. Blessing. Do you recall when you first</li> </ul>	2	the Speaker of the Ohio House on those topics.
anyone else involved in that decision besides         the president and the speaker that you recall?         A. Well, the the genesis for the         change came from the legislative Democrats that         ultimately provided their votes to do it, so         that's where it would have originated. So they         would have been involved because they were the         ones asking for the change.         Q. And outside of those individuals,         the legislative Democrats, was anyone else         involved in that decisionmaking process?         A. I can't recall the decisionmaking         process of who else would have been involved         other than the people I articulated.         Q. So going back, I would like to go         to the kind of map drawing process         A. Sure.         Q and we've discussed a little         bit Ms. Blessing. Do you recall when you first         met Ms. Blessing?	3	Q. And when the decision was made to
<ul> <li>the president and the speaker that you recall?</li> <li>A. Well, the the genesis for the</li> <li>change came from the legislative Democrats that</li> <li>ultimately provided their votes to do it, so</li> <li>that's where it would have originated. So they</li> <li>would have been involved because they were the</li> <li>ones asking for the change.</li> <li>Q. And outside of those individuals,</li> <li>the legislative Democrats, was anyone else</li> <li>involved in that decisionmaking process?</li> <li>A. I can't recall the decisionmaking</li> <li>process of who else would have been involved</li> <li>other than the people I articulated.</li> <li>Q. So going back, I would like to go</li> <li>to the kind of map drawing process</li> <li>A. Sure.</li> <li>Q and we've discussed a little</li> <li>bit Ms. Blessing. Do you recall when you first</li> <li>met Ms. Blessing?</li> </ul>	4	change Congressman Austria's district, was
7A. Well, the the genesis for the8change came from the legislative Democrats that9ultimately provided their votes to do it, so10that's where it would have originated. So they11would have been involved because they were the12ones asking for the change.13Q. And outside of those individuals,14the legislative Democrats, was anyone else15involved in that decisionmaking process?16A. I can't recall the decisionmaking17process of who else would have been involved18other than the people I articulated.19Q. So going back, I would like to go20to the kind of map drawing process21A. Sure.22Q and we've discussed a little23bit Ms. Blessing. Do you recall when you first24met Ms. Blessing?	5	anyone else involved in that decision besides
<ul> <li>change came from the legislative Democrats that</li> <li>ultimately provided their votes to do it, so</li> <li>that's where it would have originated. So they</li> <li>would have been involved because they were the</li> <li>ones asking for the change.</li> <li>Q. And outside of those individuals,</li> <li>the legislative Democrats, was anyone else</li> <li>involved in that decisionmaking process?</li> <li>A. I can't recall the decisionmaking</li> <li>process of who else would have been involved</li> <li>other than the people I articulated.</li> <li>Q. So going back, I would like to go</li> <li>to the kind of map drawing process</li> <li>A. Sure.</li> <li>Q and we've discussed a little</li> <li>bit Ms. Blessing. Do you recall when you first</li> <li>met Ms. Blessing?</li> </ul>	6	the president and the speaker that you recall?
<ul> <li>ultimately provided their votes to do it, so</li> <li>that's where it would have originated. So they</li> <li>would have been involved because they were the</li> <li>ones asking for the change.</li> <li>Q. And outside of those individuals,</li> <li>the legislative Democrats, was anyone else</li> <li>involved in that decisionmaking process?</li> <li>A. I can't recall the decisionmaking</li> <li>process of who else would have been involved</li> <li>other than the people I articulated.</li> <li>Q. So going back, I would like to go</li> <li>to the kind of map drawing process</li> <li>A. Sure.</li> <li>Q and we've discussed a little</li> <li>bit Ms. Blessing. Do you recall when you first</li> <li>met Ms. Blessing?</li> </ul>	7	A. Well, the the genesis for the
that's where it would have originated. So they would have been involved because they were the ones asking for the change. Q. And outside of those individuals, the legislative Democrats, was anyone else involved in that decisionmaking process? A. I can't recall the decisionmaking process of who else would have been involved other than the people I articulated. Q. So going back, I would like to go to the kind of map drawing process A. Sure. Q and we've discussed a little bit Ms. Blessing. Do you recall when you first met Ms. Blessing?	8	change came from the legislative Democrats that
would have been involved because they were the ones asking for the change. Q. And outside of those individuals, the legislative Democrats, was anyone else involved in that decisionmaking process? A. I can't recall the decisionmaking process of who else would have been involved other than the people I articulated. Q. So going back, I would like to go to the kind of map drawing process A. Sure. Q and we've discussed a little bit Ms. Blessing. Do you recall when you first met Ms. Blessing?	9	ultimately provided their votes to do it, so
<ul> <li>notice have been involved because only, when the</li> <li>ones asking for the change.</li> <li>Q. And outside of those individuals,</li> <li>the legislative Democrats, was anyone else</li> <li>involved in that decisionmaking process?</li> <li>A. I can't recall the decisionmaking</li> <li>process of who else would have been involved</li> <li>other than the people I articulated.</li> <li>Q. So going back, I would like to go</li> <li>to the kind of map drawing process</li> <li>A. Sure.</li> <li>Q and we've discussed a little</li> <li>bit Ms. Blessing. Do you recall when you first</li> <li>met Ms. Blessing?</li> </ul>	10	that's where it would have originated. So they
13Q. And outside of those individuals,14the legislative Democrats, was anyone else15involved in that decisionmaking process?16A. I can't recall the decisionmaking17process of who else would have been involved18other than the people I articulated.19Q. So going back, I would like to go20to the kind of map drawing process21A. Sure.22Q and we've discussed a little23bit Ms. Blessing. Do you recall when you first24met Ms. Blessing?	11	would have been involved because they were the
the legislative Democrats, was anyone else involved in that decisionmaking process? A. I can't recall the decisionmaking process of who else would have been involved other than the people I articulated. Q. So going back, I would like to go to the kind of map drawing process A. Sure. Q and we've discussed a little bit Ms. Blessing. Do you recall when you first met Ms. Blessing?	12	ones asking for the change.
involved in that decisionmaking process? A. I can't recall the decisionmaking process of who else would have been involved other than the people I articulated. Q. So going back, I would like to go to the kind of map drawing process A. Sure. Q and we've discussed a little bit Ms. Blessing. Do you recall when you first met Ms. Blessing?	13	Q. And outside of those individuals,
16A.I can't recall the decisionmaking17process of who else would have been involved18other than the people I articulated.19Q.20to the kind of map drawing process21A.22Q.24met Ms. Blessing.24met Ms. Blessing?	14	the legislative Democrats, was anyone else
<sup>17</sup> process of who else would have been involved other than the people I articulated. <sup>19</sup> Q. So going back, I would like to go to the kind of map drawing process A. Sure. Q and we've discussed a little bit Ms. Blessing. Do you recall when you first met Ms. Blessing?	15	involved in that decisionmaking process?
18other than the people I articulated.19Q. So going back, I would like to go20to the kind of map drawing process21A. Sure.22Q and we've discussed a little23bit Ms. Blessing. Do you recall when you first24met Ms. Blessing?	16	A. I can't recall the decisionmaking
19Q. So going back, I would like to go20to the kind of map drawing process21A. Sure.22Q and we've discussed a little23bit Ms. Blessing. Do you recall when you first24met Ms. Blessing?	17	process of who else would have been involved
to the kind of map drawing process A. Sure. Q and we've discussed a little bit Ms. Blessing. Do you recall when you first met Ms. Blessing?	18	other than the people I articulated.
A. Sure. Q and we've discussed a little bit Ms. Blessing. Do you recall when you first met Ms. Blessing?	19	Q. So going back, I would like to go
Q and we've discussed a little bit Ms. Blessing. Do you recall when you first met Ms. Blessing?	20	to the kind of map drawing process
<sup>23</sup> bit Ms. Blessing. Do you recall when you first <sup>24</sup> met Ms. Blessing?	21	A. Sure.
<pre>24 met Ms. Blessing?</pre>	22	Q and we've discussed a little
	23	bit Ms. Blessing. Do you recall when you first
A. I've known Heather a long time	24	met Ms. Blessing?
	25	A. I've known Heather a long time

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DIROSSI
2	before this process, but I cannot recall when I
3	first met her.
4	Q. And then you said earlier this
5	morning that prior to you being retained you
б	had conversations with President Niehaus and
7	that you were talking about what was needed to
8	get redistricting done.
9	What were the types of things that
10	needed to be done?
11	A. We needed to figure out who was
12	going to be involved in the process, we needed
13	to have hearings of the legislative task force
14	on redistricting and demographic research, we
15	needed to make sure that there was money
16	available to both the Republican and Democratic
17	caucuses so that they could hire consultants,
18	buy equipment, purchase software.
19	We needed to make sure that
20	Cleveland State University and Ohio University,
21	the ones that were contracted with to provide
22	the data to all of the State of Ohio, was
23	happening was happening in a timely manner
24	pursuant to that contract, and we had to start
25	thinking about - specifically on the

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DIROSSI
2	congressional redistricting, since it is a bill
3	that the legislature would have to adopt - when
4	the legislature would have hearings, when the
5	legislature would have votes, and when they
6	could actually pass a bill. Those types of
7	logistical issues.
8	Q. Okay. Now, you listed a number of
9	things. Did any of those things happen before
10	August 1, 2011?
11	A. Are you referring to conversations
12	about those or are you actually saying those
13	did those specific things happen?
14	Q. Did any of those specific things
15	happen before August of 2011? Would you like
16	to take them in turn?
17	A. Sure, that would be helpful.
18	Q. Okay. A decision about who would
19	be involved in redistricting?
20	A. Well, and you're asking if a
21	decision on that happened before the contract
22	was put in place?
23	Q. Yes.
24	A. Well, so the contract made that
25	decision, so that kind of happened the

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Case: 1:18-cv-00357-TSB-KNM-MHW Doc #: 230-12 Filed: 02/20/19 Page: 140 of 349 PAGEID #: 13502

Page 140

1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI
2	contract kind of decided who were going to be
3	the hired people to do that.
4	Q. Okay. A decision about hearing
5	dates and when hearings would take place?
6	A. I can't recall if that was was
7	finalized before or after.
8	Q. A decision about money to the
9	caucuses?
10	A. I don't recall. You provided some
11	documents that had dollar amounts and
12	supplemental dollar amounts. I'm not sure I
13	understand those timelines yet fully.
14	Q. Okay. A decision about Cleveland
15	State's provision of data?
16	A. That, the decision to hire
17	Cleveland State and OU, who also provided the
18	data and were the contractors in '01, had been
19	made prior to my contract being signed in
20	August. Well before, years before.
21	Q. Okay. But you had decisions (sic)
22	about that in 2011 with the president? You had
23	conversations with the president about that in
24	2011?
25	A. Well, those conversations in 2011

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DIROSSI
2	would have been about the ongoing whether or
3	not they were going to meet their timelines and
4	contractual obligations, but the decision to
5	hire them had happened many years before.
6	Q. And those the conversations
7	about whether they were going to meet their
8	timeline, did that happen before August?
9	A. I couldn't say specifically.
10	Those happened over a long period of time,
11	maybe I can't recall the specific
12	conversations.
13	Q. And then the decision about when
14	the legislature the House and the Senate
15	would actually have the map, did those
16	conversations happen before August?
17	A. There were conversations about it,
18	but I do not believe a decision would be made
19	was finally made.
20	Q. Okay. Now, we discussed Maptitude
21	earlier this morning. Did you get licenses to
22	use Maptitude?
23	A. We did.
24	Q. Were there was there one
25	license or was there more than one?

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI
2	A. I don't specifically recall. I
3	don't specifically recall how the licenses were
4	done. I don't recall.
5	Q. Okay. You mentioned that you
6	worked in Maptitude, Ms. Blessing worked in
7	Maptitude and you said Troy Judy also worked in
8	Maptitude?
9	A. (Witness nodded head up and down.)
10	Q. And so to Troy Judy, was he
11	actually in the software changing district
12	lines or what was the nature of his
13	involvement?
14	MR. STRACH: Objection.
15	THE WITNESS: Yeah, he would be
16	better to answer that.
17	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:
18	Q. Well, do you recall whether he was
19	changing district lines or not?
20	A. I don't recall.
21	Q. When it came to the map, where was
22	the map drawn? And let's first start with 319.
23	Where was that drawn?
24	A. Well, the computers that we had
25	purchased through the legislative task force

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

		Pa
1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI	
2	were the computers at which Heather and I spent	
3	our time. So on those computers would have	
4	been the where we were putting together the	
5	proposed districts.	
6	Q. And where were those computers	
7	located?	
8	A. In our office.	
9	Q. And where was your office?	
10	A. The office was at the DoubleTree	
11	hotel.	
12	Q. Okay. And why did you decide to	
13	put your office in the DoubleTree hotel?	
14	A. Yeah, it's actually the same place	
15	that the previous decade we had chosen. It	
16	came from my experience the previous decade, as	
17	we talked about, when I worked for the House.	
18	The redistricting and apportionment process is	
19	very unique to the State of Ohio, it's unique	
20	to every state, and it happens once every	
21	decade. And the amount of time and the hours	
22	that I knew that we would need to put into this	
23	process, I did not feel that in the Ohio House	
24	or in the Senate there was office space that	
25	would be conducive to that environment.	
ł		

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1

RAYMOND E. DiROSSI

2 Specifically having been the 3 budget director in the House in the summer when 4 we were doing budgets, I know that after about 5 6:00 every day the Ohio Building Authority 6 turns off the air-conditioning, and if you want 7 to run air-conditioning on a floor you have to 8 pay about \$300 an hour to run air-conditioning. 9 And so we looked at trying to find 10 office space in the Riffe Center or in the 11 Statehouse and we just did not feel that, 12 either in 2001 or in 2010, that that was 13 conducive to that -- the needs that we had. 14 So we looked for office space on Capitol Square 15 that we could use and that's how we made the 16 decision both decades to use the DoubleTree. 17 Okay. At different points in your 0. 18 answer you said you and we. Who participated 19 in the decision to have the office at the 20 DoubleTree? 21 In this decade, Heather and I were Α. 22 the ones that went and looked at -- those are 23 the people that I remember, we went and looked 24 at what the offices would look like and to see 25 if it would be conducive to what our needs

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1 RAYMOND E. DiROSSI 2 were. 3 (Thereupon, Plaintiffs' Exhibit 4 Number 16, Document Bates Stamped LWVOH\_00018254, 5 was marked for purposes of identification.) 6 BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG: 7 I would like to show you an 0. Okay. 8 exhibit that I'm having marked as Exhibit 16. 9 It has Bates number LWVOH\_18254. Do you see 10 that? 11 I do. Α. 12 Okay. And at the top it says Ο. 13 DoubleTree Suites and Invoice. Do you see 14 that? 15 Α. I do. 16 And under the name of the Ο. 17 customer, the name is Mr. DiRossi. Do you see 18 that? 19 I do. Α. 20 0. Is that your name? 21 Α. It is. 22 Do you recall being the one who Q. 23 was responsible for getting the room at the 24 DoubleTree? 25 I mean, my name is on the invoice. Α.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

13508

Page 146 1 RAYMOND E. DiROSSI 2 I remember talking to Carrie, who was the 3 coordinator for long-term stays, and I 4 obviously was the one who signed the invoice. 5 Okay. And here the date is under 0. 6 miscellaneous, 7/12/2001 (sic). Do you see 7 that? 8 Α. Yes. 9 Ο. Do you recall getting the room, at 10 least in place, for the DoubleTree in early 11 July of 2011? 12 Α. Yes. 13 And then if we look at the first 0. 14 line of quantity, it says one room, guest room, 15 July 17th through October 15th, 2011. Do you 16 see that? 17 Α. I do. 18 0. Do you recall having the room from 19 July 17th through October 15th, 2011? 20 Α. I do. 21 Do you recall who had keys to the 0. 22 hotel room? 23 Α. I know for sure that I had a key 24 and I know that Heather had a key, and beyond 25 that, I do not recall.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI
2	Q. If you could look at page 26 of
3	your prior deposition, and I'm looking at the
4	answer that starts at line 10. If you could
5	read that and let me know if that refreshes
6	your recollection regarding who had keys to the
7	hotel room.
8	A. You want me to read it?
9	Q. You don't have to read it for the
10	record. You can just read it to yourself and
11	tell me if that refreshes
12	A. I didn't know if you wanted me to
13	read it out loud or thank you.
14	Okay, I've read it.
15	Q. Does that refresh your
16	recollection regarding who had keys to the
17	hotel room?
18	A. Well, yeah, it definitely confirms
19	that Heather and I had swipe cards or keys and
20	then I also say I think other a couple other
21	people had keys as well.
22	Q. Okay. And who were the other
23	people who had keys?
24	A. Yeah, so sitting here today I'm
25	not sure I can remember specifically if they

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

# Case: 1:18-cv-00357-TSB-KNM-MHW Doc #: 230-12 Filed: 02/20/19 Page: 148 of 349 PAGEID #: 13510

148

			Page
1	RAY	MOND E. DiROSSI	
2	had keys or	not.	
3	Q.	Okay.	
4	Α.	So did that answer your question?	
5	I'm sorry.		
6	Q.	That answers it. Let me just ask	
7	some names.		
8		At any point did at any point	
9	did the mino	rity leader for the House have keys	
10	to the hotel	room?	
11	Α.	No.	
12	Q.	At any point did anyone on the	
13	minority lea	der's staff have keys and by	
14	minority lea	der I'm just talking about House,	
15	have keys to	the hotel room?	
16	Α.	No.	
17	Q.	At any point did the minority	
18	leader of th	e Senate have keys to the hotel	
19	room?		
20	Α.	No.	
21	Q.	At any point did a member of the	
22	minority lea	der's staff have keys to the hotel	
23	room?		
24	Α.	No. I should be saying not to my	
25	knowledge.	I didn't I did not personally	

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

		Page
1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI	
2	give any of the people you named keys. But as	
3	I mentioned, there were a few other keys, so I	
4	mean, I guess I should be clear that somebody	
5	else could have given them one, but I did not.	
6	Q. Did you ever see any of the	
7	individuals that we just named in the hotel	
8	room?	
9	A. No.	
10	Q. Did anyone who could be identified	
11	as a Democrat have a key to the hotel room?	
12	MR. TUCKER: Objection to form.	
13	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I mean, I've	
14	listed the two people that I know for sure had	
15	keys, in my previous deposition I mentioned two	
16	other people that might have. Other than that,	I
17	don't I don't know if they at any time would	
18	have given keys to other people. I don't know.	
19	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:	
20	Q. Did you ever see anyone who could	
21	be identified as a Democrat in the hotel room?	
22	A. I did not.	
23	(Thereupon, Plaintiffs' Exhibit	
24	Number 17, Document Bates Stamped DIROSSI_000005	1,
25	was marked for purposes of identification.)	

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

		ЕC
1	RAYMOND E. DIROSSI	
2	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:	
3	Q. Okay. I'm going to have marked as	
4	Exhibit 17 DIROSSI_51, and the subject is	
5	Confirmed: Meet with Niehaus at the bunker,	
б	location is redistricting office, and it's	
7	September 15th, 2011. Do you see that?	
8	A. I do.	
9	Q. What is the bunker?	
10	A. Well, that was just the name that	
11	I gave the redistricting office. As you just	
12	read in the email, I referred to the DoubleTree	
13	hotel by a number of names, including	
14	redistricting office and many other things.	
15	Q. And so you were responsible for	
16	the name bunker?	
17	A. Yes.	
18	Q. And how did you come up with that	
19	name?	
20	A. Sorry. The previous decade, 2001,	
21	that is actually where I was on September 11th	
22	working on the 2001 apportionment, and I	
23	referred to it, as as there was so much	
24	happening in the country and the state, as a	
25	bunker, and so I used that term again the next	

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI
2	decade.
3	But as you can see, I referred to
4	it as the hotel, I referred to it as the
5	office, I referred to it as the redistricting
6	office, I referred to it as off site, I
7	referred to it as bunker on occasions. I mean,
8	I referred to this physical place by a number
9	of names.
10	Q. Understood.
11	(Thereupon, Plaintiffs' Exhibit
12	Number 18, Document Bates Stamped DIROSSI_0000051,
13	was marked for purposes of identification.)
14	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:
15	Q. I would like to change to Exhibit
16	18. So this, again, it's going to be a little
17	confusing because this already has an exhibit
18	sticker 17. The exhibit sticker is from your
19	prior deposition. It's also a number of
20	documents put together that I did not
21	disaggregate since they were put together in
22	that deposition. And so let's start with the
23	first document, but we'll go through all three
24	hopefully very quickly.
25	Do you see that this first

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI
2	document on I'll just say the first page of
3	the exhibit since there are different page
4	numbers at the bottom, an email that's from
5	line is RayDiRossi@Gmail.com?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. Is that your email address?
8	A. RayDiRossi@Gmail.com?
9	Q. Yes.
10	A. Yes, it is.
11	Q. Okay. And the email is to a
12	Clinton Morefield. Do you see that?
13	A. I do.
14	Q. Who is that?
15	A. He at the time was the either
16	the IT or the the IT person for the Ohio
17	Senate.
18	Q. Okay. And then the other person
19	that this is to is Heather Mann. We've
20	discussed her, but do you recognize that email
21	address, HeatherMann@Gmail.com?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. And was that Heather Mann's, now
24	Heather Blessing's, Gmail address?
25	A. At least at the time it was one

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

# Case: 1:18-cv-00357-TSB-KNM-MHW Doc #: 230-12 Filed: 02/20/19 Page: 153 of 349 PAGEID #: 13515

Page 153

1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI
2	that I used for her, yes.
3	Q. Okay. The email then copies a Jon
4	Cook. Do you see that?
5	A. I do.
6	Q. Who is that?
7	A. He would be the IT director - I'm
8	sure I'm getting his title right - him, along
9	with Clint, of the Ohio House.
10	Q. Okay. And then in the text of the
11	email you say, We're at the actual hearing at
12	2:30 in Senate finance. We'll be back at the
13	bunker later today after the hearing is over.
14	Do you see that?
15	A. I do.
16	Q. Let's turn to the next page.
17	Again, this was put together by prior counsel,
18	so you can't blame me for that. Do you see in
19	the top right corner your email address?
20	A. We're looking at this one?
21	Q. Yes, we are.
22	A. Yes, I do.
23	Q. Okay. And then do you see in the
24	what seems to be the from line your email
25	address?

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

# Case: 1:18-cv-00357-TSB-KNM-MHW Doc #: 230-12 Filed: 02/20/19 Page: 154 of 349 PAGEID #: 13516

Page 1	.54
--------	-----

		Рa
1	RAYMOND E. DIROSSI	
2	A. Yes.	
3	Q. And then the email to Matt	
4	Schuler, do you see that?	
5	A. I do.	
6	Q. And who was Matt Schuler?	
7	A. He was the chief of staff in the	
8	Senate at the time.	
9	Q. Okay. And then the text of the	
10	email says, I'm free all day today at the	
11	bunker. Do you see that?	
12	A. I do.	
13	Q. Moving to the next page	
14	A. This is the same email that refers	
15	to the headline and subject of the email is	
16	Meeting Tuesday at the Redistricting Office.	
17	So again, this is the meeting was at the	
18	redistricting office and it was me just calling	
19	it by another name in the body of the email.	
20	Q. I see that.	
21	Going to the next page, do you see	
22	your email in the top right corner of this	
23	document?	
24	A. Yes.	
25	Q. And then it looks like your email	

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Case: 1:18-cv-00357-TSB-KNM-MHW Doc #: 230-12 Filed: 02/20/19 Page: 155 of 349 PAGEID #: 13517

		Page	155
1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI		
2	is in the from line. Do you see that?		
3	A. My email is in the from line.		
4	Okay. I see that.		
5	Q. Okay. And this is to a		
6	Vaughn@CapitalStrategiesGroup.com. Do you see		
7	that?		
8	A. I do.		
9	Q. Who is Vaughn?		
10	A. Vaughn Flasher, who we mentioned		
11	earlier.		
12	Q. And Vaughn Flasher, you said,		
13	helped put together the indices?		
14	A. He helped provide guidance on what		
15	statewide nonjudicial election races might be		
16	good to use for putting together historical		
17	election data.		
18	Q. And did Vaughn Flasher have any		
19	other involvement in redistricting?		
20	A. None that I recall. None that I		
21	recall here.		
22	Q. Okay. And then Matt Schuler is		
23	copied here; is that correct?		
24	A. That's true.		
25	Q. And that's the same Matt Schuler		

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

		Page	156
1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI		
2	we just referenced?		
3	A. Yes.		
4	Q. And then just going down so		
5	there's the top email and there's another email		
6	below it, so I'm going to focus on that, with		
7	all the same people involved. And then it		
8	says, I am meeting with Senator Manning at the		
9	bunker today at 3:30 p.m. today if the Senate		
10	session is over. Do you see that?		
11	A. I do.		
12	Q. So now we've looked at three		
13	different emails to various individuals where		
14	you've used the term bunker; is that correct?		
15	A. Yes.		
16	MR. STRACH: Objection.		
17	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:		
18	Q. Was the term bunker commonly used		
19	during that period?		
20	A. I think, as I said, I referred to		
21	this office by a number of names. I just kind		
22	of used them interchangeably.		
23	Q. Well, you didn't define the term		
24	bunker in any of the emails that we looked at,		
25	did you?		

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Page 157 1 RAYMOND E. DiROSSI 2 Α. I don't know what you mean by 3 define it. 4 Well, did you say in any of the 0. 5 emails I'm at the bunker, aka the redistricting office? 6 7 Well, I mean, like in the email we Α. just looked at, the subject is Tuesday at 8 9 Redistricting Office and then I say I'll be 10 over in the bunker --11 0. Okay. What about the --12 -- so I'm using them Α. 13 interchangeably. 14 What about the first document, do Ο. 15 you refer to the redistricting office here? 16 Are you looking at 18 or 17? Α. 17 Ο. 17. I mean, it is our Exhibit 18, 18 but it has the 17 sticker on it. But I'm 19 looking at this email to Clint Morefield, 20 Heather Mann and Jon Cook. Do you refer to the 21 redistricting office in this email? 22 I don't see that I did in this Α. 23 particular instance. 24 Okay. Going to the last page of 0. 25 the exhibit, do you refer to the redistricting

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI
2	office in this email? This is the email to
3	Vaughn and Matt Schuler.
4	A. I did not.
5	Q. Okay. So we've talked about who
6	had keys to the hotel room. Who actually
7	visited the hotel room to your recollection?
8	A. You're specifically asking about
9	the congressional redistricting process?
10	Q. Yes, or whoever visited the hotel
11	room at the time.
12	A. Dave Yost, who was I believe the
13	auditor, was there, President Niehaus was
14	there, Speaker Batchelder, obviously Heather
15	and I and Troy were there.
16	Q. What about Matt Schuler, was he
17	there?
18	A. I don't recall if Matt was ever
19	there. I can't recall.
20	Q. What about Tom Whatman?
21	A. I can't recall if Tom was ever
22	there.
23	Q. What about Adam Kincaid?
24	A. I cannot recall if he was ever
25	there.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

	1
1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI
2	Q. Was anyone else on President
3	Niehaus' staff at the hotel room?
4	A. Clarify for me what you mean by
5	President Niehaus' staff.
6	Q. Anyone who worked with President
7	Niehaus.
8	A. Okay. I do believe, from this
9	previous email, that Clint Morefield came over
10	to help us install the plotter so that we could
11	print and he would have been a member of the
12	Senate staff. Other than that, I cannot recall
13	anybody specifically who would have been there.
14	Q. Okay. What about anyone on the
15	Speaker of the Ohio's House staff?
16	A. I'm trying to remember - this was
17	so long ago - of who was actually members of
18	the staff at the time. Nobody comes to mind.
19	Q. Okay. What about anyone on the
20	Governor's staff?
21	A. Beth Hansen was there at least on
22	one occasion where I was present.
23	Q. What about anyone on the auditor's
24	staff?
25	A. Other than I mentioned the auditor

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Page 160 1 RAYMOND E. DiROSSI 2 himself, I do not recall any members of his staff. 3 4 What about the Secretary of State? 0. 5 Α. The Secretary of State was there 6 once personally, but I cannot remember any 7 members of his staff being present. 8 And again, I just would maybe point out, a lot of these -- I kind of fell 9 10 into the trap. A lot of these are 11 apportionment and are not redistricting, so I 12 apologize if I jumped back and forth there. 13 The Governor, the auditor, the Secretary of 14 State are apportionment board members, and so 15 in many instances when I was referring to them 16 being there, they weren't there to have 17 anything to do with redistricting, they were 18 there for apportionment. Apologies. 19 Ο. Okay. Fair enough. 20 What about Mark Huffman? 21 Mark Huffman? Α. 22 Do you know who Mark Huffman is? Q. 23 That name does not sound familiar. Α. 24 Do you recall there was a Mark Ο. 25 Huffman in the Ohio House at the time?

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Page 161 1 RAYMOND E. DiROSSI 2 As a state representative? That Α. 3 name is not familiar. 4 Do you recall who the sponsor of 0. 5 319 was? 6 I think I do, but it's not Mark Α. 7 Huffman, no. 8 Who is the sponsor that you're Ο. 9 thinking of? 10 Α. I don't want to -- I don't want to 11 I don't want to guess. quess. 12 Ο. Okay. Oh, sorry. I've been 13 corrected. Do you know a Matt Huffman? 14 Α. Oh, state -- current state 15 senator, former state representative, okay, 16 yes, I do know Matt Huffman. 17 Okay. And was Matt Huffman ever 0. 18 at the hotel room? 19 None that I can specifically --Α. 20 not that I can specifically recall. 21 And was Matt Huffman the sponsor 0. 22 of 319? 23 Α. I believe he was, yes. 24 And was Matt Huffman --Ο. 25 Α. In fact, he was, he was.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

#### Case: 1:18-cv-00357-TSB-KNM-MHW Doc #: 230-12 Filed: 02/20/19 Page: 162 of 349 PAGEID #:

							Page
RAY	MOND	E. I	Diross	SI			
Q.	And	was	Matt	Huffman	the	sponsor	

162

I don't know for sure. I can't 4 Α. 5 speak to that.

6 Okay. Do you know a Keith Faber? Q. 7 Α. I do.

And who is Keith Faber? Ο.

9 Α. He was a state senator at the

10 time.

of 369?

1

2

3

8

11 And was Keith Faber ever at the Q. 12 hotel room?

Yes, he was. 13 Α.

14 And how many times? Q.

15 I couldn't tell you specifically Α.

16 how many times.

17 Q. Okay. Was it more than once? 18 Α. Yes. 19 And was Keith Faber the Senate 0. 20 sponsor of the bill?

21 I can't answer that. I don't know Α. 22 whether that senator sponsored the bill or not.

23 Did he sponsor the bill in the Q. 24 Senate? 25

I can't answer that. I don't Α.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

DEPO SDOH 0344

# Case: 1:18-cv-00357-TSB-KNM-MHW Doc #: 230-12 Filed: 02/20/19 Page: 163 of 349 PAGEID #: 13525

Page 163

	F
1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI
2	know. I don't know.
3	Q. Okay. Did you work on the Senate
4	legislative process in your role?
5	A. Can you help me understand the
6	Senate? You mean
7	Q. Did you work on the bill, any of
8	the processes or procedures of getting the bill
9	moved through Senate?
10	A. Very very little. Like I said,
11	my role was to work to get the districts put
12	together in a legislative form so that it could
13	go through the legislative process. Once that
14	started with 319 and 369, I didn't have really
15	much of a role.
16	Q. Okay. Was John Morgan ever at the
17	hotel room?
18	A. I can't recall if he was ever
19	physically there.
20	Q. Was Mark Braden ever in the hotel
21	room?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. And how many times?
24	A. I don't recall a specific number
25	of times.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

			Page	164
1	RAYI	MOND E. DiROSSI	rage	101
2	Q.	More than once?		
3	Α.	Yes.		
4	Q.	And then you mentioned that Troy		
5	Judy was the	re and working on the maps. Do you		
6	recall how ma	any times he was at the hotel room?		
7	А.	In terms of a specific number, I		
8	do not.			
9	Q.	Was it more than once?		
10	Α.	Yes.		
11	Q.	And in this hotel room, just so		
12	the record is	s clear, did you work on both the		
13	redistricting	g congressional map and the		
14	apportionment	t map for the Ohio legislature?		
15	Α.	Yes.		
16	Q.	Okay.		
17	Α.	But if I could clarify that		
18	Q.	Sure.		
19	Α.	as we talked about earlier, not		
20	exclusively,	because there were two maps, 319		
21	and 369, and	by the time 369 was moving through		
22	the legislat	ure we were no longer in the		
23	redistricting	g office.		
24	Q.	Okay. Where were you when 369 was		
25	being worked	on?		

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

		ł
1	RAY	MOND E. DIROSSI
2	Α.	In the Statehouse.
3	Q.	Okay. And where in the
4	Statehouse?	
5	Α.	In the Senate annex building.
6	Q.	And was that room available when
7	you were wor	king on 319?
8	Α.	I don't no, it was not.
9	Q.	And why wasn't it available?
10	Α.	It was housing all of the public
11	records docu	ments from the previous decades and
12	they all had	to be moved out to make room.
13	Q.	And when were those moved?
14	Α.	I don't recall the specific
15	timeline.	
16	Q.	Was it your understanding that
17	those were m	oved specifically so you could use
18	that room?	
19	Α.	Yes.
20	Q.	Okay. Regarding the hotel room,
21	did you go t	o the hotel room every day when you
22	were working	on the map?
23	Α.	No.
24	Q.	How often were you in the hotel
25	room?	

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Page 166 1 RAYMOND E. DiROSSI 2 Often. Α. 3 Was it a few times a week, once a 0. 4 week? 5 MR. STRACH: I'm just going to object 6 and ask if you will clarify, when you say the map 7 do you mean the legislative map or the 8 congressional map? 9 MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG: I'm talking 10 about the congressional map. 11 THE WITNESS: And your question was 12 how often did I --13 BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG: 14 How often were you in the hotel 0. 15 During -room? 16 Α. Often. 17 During a given week, how many Q. 18 times were you in the hotel room? 19 MR. STRACH: Objection. 20 It would depend on the THE WITNESS: 21 week. I mean, there were some weeks when we 22 weren't there a lot and there were other weeks 23 where we were there every day. It would vary 24 depending on the --25 BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

		Fa
1	RAYMOND E. DIROSSI	
2	Q. And when you say we were there	
3	every day, who would be there if you were there	
4	every day?	
5	A. I'm referring to Heather and I.	
6	Q. Was anyone else in the hotel room	
7	as often as you were?	
8	A. No, other than Heather.	
9	Q. When you went to the hotel room,	
10	how long would you be in there typically?	
11	A. Well, typically is nothing	
12	about this was typical, so it was very extended	
13	periods of time. I'm sorry, do you have	
14	what is the question?	
15	Q. The question was how long would	
16	you be in the hotel room when you were there?	
17	A. I mean, a long time.	
18	Q. And what is your definition of a	
19	long time since everyone will define it	
20	differently?	
21	A. Well, I'm sure there were times	
22	with other than going out for lunch or	
23	dinner, that I was there 24 hours straight, and	
24	I'm sure there were other times when I wasn't	
25	there for any time during a 24-hour period.	

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

3530

Page 168 1 RAYMOND E. DiROSSI 2 And other than Heather Mann, was 0. 3 anyone in the hotel room for an extended period of time, like 24 hours? 4 5 Α. I would -- I would say Heather and I were the two -- the two people that were 6 7 there the most --And how --8 0. 9 Α. -- on that question. 10 Q. I'm sorry. How many computers did 11 you have to work on while you were there? 12 Α. Three. 13 And how often were three people 0. 14 working on the computers at any given time? 15 How do you want me to quantify Α. 16 that? I mean --17 You could say once a week, twice a 0. 18 week, three times a week. 19 It varied so -- it varied so much, Α. 20 I mean, I don't know if I could pin that down 21 specifically. 22 Okay. Who else used the computers 0. 23 in the hotel room? 24 I had a computer that I used, Α. 25 Heather had a computer that she used, and then

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

		Pag
1	RAYMOND E. DIROSSI	
2	there was another computer that was there as	
3	needed, that Troy probably Troy Judy would	
4	have used when he was there.	
5	Q. Did anyone other than Troy Judy	
6	use the third computer?	
7	A. Not to my knowledge.	
8	Q. Other than the computer, did you	
9	have other equipment installed at the hotel	
10	room?	
11	A. We had a plotter. We had a	
12	plotter so that we could print maps in color so	
13	that the legislative leaders could share those	
14	maps with who they wanted to share maps with.	
15	We had a network we had a networking switch	
16	that allowed the computers to be connected	
17	directly, and, you know, that was the extent of	
18	the equipment.	
19	Q. Were the computers connected to	
20	the worldwide web?	
21	A. They were not.	
22	(Thereupon, Plaintiffs' Exhibit	
23	Number 19, Documents Bates Stamped	
24	DIROSSI_0000139-0000141, was marked for purposes	
25	of identification.)	

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

			Page	170
1	RAY	MOND E. DiROSSI		
2	BY MS. THOMA	S-LUNDBORG:		
3	Q.	I would like to show you a		
4	document tha	t I'm having marked as Exhibit 19,		
5	and for iden	tification the first page is		
6	DIROSSI_139.	And I'm going to skip the first		
7	page, we'll	come back to that. If you could		
8	move to the	second page of the document,		
9	please.			
10		And at the top of the second page		
11	it says Cong	ressional Redistricting Timeline.		
12	Do you see t	hat?		
13	A.	I do.		
14	Q.	The first entry is Wednesday, July		
15	20th, 2011.	Do you see that?		
16	Α.	I do.		
17	Q.	And let me first ask you		
18	actually, le	t me strike the last question.		
19		Do you recognize this document?		
20	Α.	I do.		
21	Q.	Is this a document you created?		
22	Α.	It is.		
23	Q.	Okay. And why did you put this		
24	document tog	ether?		
25	A.	Which piece of it are you		

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

		ц
1	RAYMOND E. DIROSSI	
2	referring to?	
3	Q. Well, let's deal with this second	
4	page. Why did you put the second page	
5	together?	
6	A. As we had talked about, one of the	
7	things that I was concerned about were the	
8	logistical and the timelines for producing	
9	the legislature to produce a pass a bill	
10	that would become the congressional districts,	
11	and so this was just putting on paper some of	
12	those timelines, including some of the proposed	
13	dates where the legislators would be traveling	
14	the state to get public input.	
15	Q. Okay. So the first entry, it	
16	looks like, was July 20th, 2011; is that	
17	correct?	
18	A. It appears to be, yes.	
19	Q. So does this document predate July	
20	20th, 2011?	
21	A. I don't recall specifically when I	
22	made it.	
23	Q. Okay. The first entry is a series	
24	of two meetings, one is 9:00 a.m. to 12:00 p.m.	
25	in Columbus, and then 3:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m. in	

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1 RAYMOND E. DiROSSI 2 Zanesville. What were these meetings? 3 These were the five locations and Α. 4 dates for the public hearings that the Senate 5 committee on redistricting was going to be 6 having. 7 0. Okay. The first meeting is July 20th, 2011. To your knowledge was there a 8 9 draft map at that point? 10 Α. So when you say -- help me 11 understand a draft map. What does that mean? 12 0. Had anyone started to put together 13 a map at that point? 14 Well, I can't speak for did Α. 15 anyone. I did not. 16 Okay. Had you seen a draft map at Ο. 17 that point? 18 I don't recall if I had seen Α. 19 anybody else's ideas on July 20th. 20 Do you know whether any maps were Ο. 21 shared at this July 20th meeting? 22 I honestly can't tell you if I Α. 23 attended these. I don't recall. 24 You don't recall whether you Ο. 25 attended them?

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DIROSSI
2	A. I don't recall.
3	Q. Okay. We'll start with this one.
4	And we can go through a number of these, but
5	I'll try to keep the number as low as possible.
6	So I'm not entering it in the record. It's
7	just to refresh your recollection. It's a
8	document, DIROSSI_2225 that you produced to us.
9	Does this document refresh your
10	recollection about whether you attended any of
11	these hearings?
12	A. It does not.
13	Q. Okay. I'm showing you another
14	document that is DIROSSI_147, again I'm not
15	entering it, and it's the announcement of the
16	committee hearings. Does this document refresh
17	your recollection about whether you attended
18	any of the hearings?
19	A. It does not.
20	Q. Okay. I'm showing you a document,
21	it's marked DIROSSI_00020. It is a witness
22	information form from 7-20-11, Jim Slagle. It
23	appears to correspond with one of the hearings.
24	Does this document refresh your recollection?
25	MR. STRACH: And just to be clear,

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

	Pag
1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI
2	it's actually DIROSSI_202.
3	MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG: Oh, sorry, 202.
4	THE WITNESS: No, it does not.
5	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:
6	Q. Okay. I think this will be the
7	last. Sorry, I'm just looking for one other.
8	I'll just add one more document, I think. So
9	I'll just represent I have a number of
10	these, but I'll just hand you one now.
11	This is a calendar entry and there
12	are calendar entries corresponding with all of
13	the hearings listed here. Does this document
14	refresh your recollection about whether you
15	attended the hearings?
16	A. No. As I said before, just
17	because it says confirmed just meant that I
18	the hearing was happening, not that I was
19	necessarily attending.
20	Q. Okay. Were you aware of the
21	hearings as they were happening?
22	A. I was I was aware that the
23	hearings were going to be held.
24	Q. Okay. Did you get any feedback,
25	if you didn't attend the hearings, about what

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI
2	happened at each hearing?
3	A. I don't I don't recall. I
4	mean, Jim Slagle with the Ohio Campaign for
5	Accountable Redistricting and I had a couple of
6	conversations throughout this process and I'm
7	sure he would have articulated his
8	reiterated some of the points of his testimony.
9	But other than that, I don't have any specific
10	recollection.
11	Q. Okay. Do you recall why you held
12	on to so many documents related to the hearing?
13	A. Because having been through this
14	the decade before, I knew that lawsuits would
15	be coming and they were public records, and so
16	I kept a lot of this stuff.
17	Q. To your knowledge did any of the
18	feedback from the hearings make it into
19	anything that you worked on?
20	A. Well, and not to mix, but in the
21	apportionment map, absolutely, and in the
22	congressional map, I don't since I can't
23	recall specifically if I got that feedback
24	directly at the hearings, I mean, I couldn't
25	recall a specific instance.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

-	-

RAYMOND E. DiROSSI

2 A lot of the feedback that we were 3 getting about -- again, apologies, we're 4 dealing with the legislative process for the 5 congressional map as opposed to the 6 apportionment process with the apportionment 7 board. A lot of the feedback that we were 8 getting on the congressional map revolved 9 around requests by Democrats in the Ohio House 10 and the Ohio Senate that they wanted to see 11 changes in order for the map to be approved by 12 them, that they would support the map. So 13 that's how we were primarily getting feedback 14 from proposed changes, it was through the 15 elected officials of the citizens. 16 Okay. I just want to stick on the Ο. 17 hearings, though, because there's a 18 congressional hearing timeline and then the 19 next page is an apportionment board timeline. 20 Α. Yes. 21 0. So for the congressional only 22 hearings, did any of that feedback make it into 23 your map? 24 I can't say because I don't recall Α. 25 if I attended and heard directly what that --

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

-		
_		

RAYMOND E. DiROSSI

<sup>2</sup> what that testimony was.

Q. Okay. But to your knowledge did you, whether you were there or someone else told you, make changes based on public opinion to the map at these hearings?

7 Well, right, public feedback we Α. 8 were getting through a number of ways. I don't 9 believe any of the legislative Democrats chose 10 to testify at these hearings to make their 11 requests known. Those were happening through 12 legislative lines of communication through the 13 minority leaders, the leaders of the chambers 14 and other avenues. It wasn't happening through 15 these hearings.

Q. Okay. You've said you were the author of this document. At the bottom of the document it says, and this is the third to last line, hold it in the can until the legislature comes -- comes back in September 13th, 14th.

What does hold it in the can mean? A. Yeah, so it was my -- my words basically saying, as we talked about the process and the logistical problems involved in getting the legislature to produce a map, at

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI
2	the time that I put this document together the
3	legislature didn't have session dates scheduled
4	in order that they would be here to vote to
5	approve a map.
6	So as we were working
7	simultaneously on the apportionment map, as
8	well as the congressional redistricting, we
9	might have to come up with a proposal that the
10	legislature could consider, but wait until they
11	came back so we could have sessions and
12	hearings, and that's what I meant by hold it in
13	the can.
14	Q. Okay. And the it referred to here
15	a draft map?
16	A. Yes, the it here is the proposal
17	of what the congressional redistricting could
18	be.
19	MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG: So I believe we
20	need to take a break so the DVD can be changed,
21	and we'll take a ten-minute break and come back?
22	MR. STRACH: Yeah, five or ten is
23	fine.
24	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're off the
25	record.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Case:	1:18-cv-00357-TSB-KNM-MHW Doc #: 230-12 Filed: 02/20/19 Page: 179 of 34 13541	9 PAGE	EID #:
		Page	179
1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI		
2	(Recess taken.)		
3	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're on the		
4	record.		
5	MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG: Okay. Thank		
6	you.		
7	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:		
8	Q. Good afternoon.		
9	A. Hello again.		
10	Q. Hello again.		
11	I would like to ask you a couple		
12	of follow-up questions regarding what we talked		
13	about earlier.		
14	A. Sure.		
15	Q. You said in our last session that		
16	Montgomery County was kept whole in 369 as a		
17	concession to the Democrats?		
18	A. Yes.		
19	Q. Were there any other concessions		
20	that were made?		
21	A. Yeah, a number. As we discussed,		
22	in Montgomery County we were dealing with the		
23	loss of two congressional districts. This will		
24	take a little explaining. Apologies. We were		
25	dealing with the loss of two congressional		

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

		Pag
1	RAYMOND E. DIROSSI	
2	districts, and the decision was that we were	
3	going to pair two Republicans together and two	
4	Democrats together.	
5	The two Republicans that	
6	ultimately were selected to be paired together	
7	were Turner and Austria, which had Montgomery	
8	County as its base. The two Democrats that	
9	were chosen to be paired together were	
10	Congresswoman Kaptur and Dennis Kucinich, which	
11	had a district that had a base in Toledo and in	
12	the west side of Cleveland.	
13	And in 319 the 9th congressional	
14	district, which is the one up in the north	
15	along the lake, was designed so that there was	
16	roughly 50 percent of the territory of	
17	Congresswoman Kaptur's district in the new 9th	
18	and 50 percent of the home territory of Dennis	
19	Kucinich's old congressional district in the	
20	9th, and there was some in the middle that	
21	neither of them had represented which was kind	
22	of viewed as neutral territory. So that was	
23	two big components of 319.	
24	The Democrats, between the passage	
25	of 319 and the ultimate passage of 369,	

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DIROSSI
2	requested a number of changes that were coming
3	from Congresswoman Kaptur through a number of
4	channels to us. They wanted to tilt the favor
5	so that more and more of the territory of
6	Kaptur's old district would be in the new
7	district, and that involved making the
8	connecters between Cleveland and Toledo
9	skinnier and moving more portions of Toledo
10	into the district at Kaptur's request, and less
11	of the population of Dennis Kucinich's area in
12	the district. This was an effort so that
13	they wanted to tip the scales so that the
14	geography of the district at least favored
15	Kaptur, and that was another major concession
16	in 369.
17	In fact, that one I remember very
18	vividly. That brought forward four votes, one
19	in the Consta Constar Proven than wated for 260

<sup>18</sup> vividly. That brought forward four votes, one <sup>19</sup> in the Senate, Senator Brown then voted for 369 <sup>20</sup> where she previously opposed the 319, and <sup>21</sup> Representative Fedor, Representative Szollisi, <sup>22</sup> who was the number two -- was the minority <sup>23</sup> leader of the House of Representatives, and <sup>24</sup> another Democrat, I believe Representative <sup>25</sup> Ashford. All four people who had voted against

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

I		1
	1	RAYMOND E. DIROSSI
	2	319 then were able to cast their vote for 369.
	3	So that was another major concession that was
	4	happening through the legislative process that
	5	brought Democratic votes to 369, the final map.
	6	There were others throughout the
	7	district, specifically in Franklin County, if
	8	you want to go through those as well.
	9	Q. I would like to hear what they all
	10	were. You can go ahead.
	11	A. So in Franklin County in 319 there
	12	was the proposed creation of a new district,
	13	the hope of which was would elect for the first
	14	time a second minority member to Congress,
	15	whereas the state has historically had no
	16	minority representatives or, at the most, one.
	17	And in 319 to 369 there were
	18	requests that were coming to us through a
	19	number of channels from Joyce Beatty. She
	20	specifically wanted geography that was in 319
	21	out of 369 because one of her potential primary
	22	opponents resided in that district, a Franklin
	23	County Commissioner, Mary Jo Kilroy. There
	24	were also some other geography changes that she
	25	had asked for.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1 RAYMOND E. DiROSSI 2 She also wanted to make sure that 3 we redrew the district so that the percentage 4 of non-Hispanic African American -- voting age 5 African American population was higher than it 6 was in 319, and she also wanted to make sure --7 and again, I'm going to use the word index, but 8 this is her interpretation of what an index 9 was, not mine. She wanted to make sure that 10 the index was better for a Democrat, was more 11 favorable for her. 12 And what was her position at the Ο. 13 time since she wasn't yet in --14 Α. She had a position at Ohio State. 15 I do not recall the title of it. She was 16 working for Ohio State, I believe, at the time, 17 and I knew who she was. 18 And who were those conversations 0. 19 with? Were you having conversations directly 20 with Joyce Beatty or with intermediaries? 21 Α. Well, they came through a number 22 Again, as we talked about, we're of sources. 23 dealing with a legislative process, so my -- I 24 really had two primary ways that I was 25 receiving feedback. One was through President

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DIROSSI
2	Niehaus as the leader of the chamber, but I was
3	also working with Bob Bennett, who was the
4	former chairman of the Republican party, who
5	wasn't at the time, but had a number of
б	longstanding relationships with people,
7	Democratic members of the party infrastructure
8	or Democratic elected officials.
9	And I was working extensively with
10	him between 319 and 369 being adopted and we
11	were he was giving me this feedback that he
12	was getting directly from those congressmen,
13	congresswomen or people around them in their
14	campaign operations.
15	Q. Did you have conversations
16	directly with anyone who could be termed a
17	Democrat at this time?
18	A. In the congressional
19	redistricting, you're asking?
20	Q. Yes, about 319 or 369.
21	A. Yeah, I was I was getting that
22	information from other people, so I was I
23	wasn't the one having those conversations. But
24	that was the feedback that I was getting and so
25	that's why I was making those proposals and

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI
2	those changes and those recommendations for the
3	map, which we ultimately did, and then we
4	ultimately got I think at the end of the day
5	we got I shouldn't say we got. There were,
6	I think, 20 members of the Ohio House minority
7	caucus that voted for the final map, which was
8	of the members that were present from the
9	Democratic caucus was more than half of them.
10	And in 319 I think there were four
11	Democrats that voted for the map in the House
12	and Senate combined. I think that number
13	swelled to almost 25 votes after we made all of
14	these changes, so
15	Q. And were you getting any of this
16	feedback when you were working on 319 or was
17	this feedback just about 369?
18	A. Yeah, most of the feedback or
19	everything that I just described was very
20	inherent in 369. That was obviously the
21	legislative Democrats approached the leadership
22	and said this is what it's going to take for us
23	to provide votes to approve this map, and so
24	that was all post 319 and 369.
25	Q. Okay.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1

RAYMOND E. DiROSSI

2 There were -- in 319, as I Α. 3 mentioned, in the 9th congressional district, 4 there were a lot of conversations that were 5 happening. Congresswoman Fudge, as the map 6 rolled out, was -- it had been relayed to me by 7 a number of people that she did not want to be 8 paired with Dennis Kucinich in a district. She 9 did not want to run against him in a primary by 10 drawing a district completely inside Cuyahoga 11 County.

12 And so during 319's rollout and 13 passage, there were conversations that were 14 happening directly with her or with other 15 people around her about what her preferences 16 were for the 11th congressional district. And as I mentioned before, that's a very important 17 18 district for the state because it's the only 19 district we have in the entire state that has 20 in recent memory elected a minority member to 21 Congress.

Q. Now, you just said there were
 conversations directly with Congresswoman
 Fudge. Did you have those conversations with
 Congresswoman Fudge?

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1 RAYMOND E. DiROSSI 2 I did not. Α. 3 Do you know who had those 0. 4 conversations? 5 Α. I was working with Bob Bennett and I know that other members, I believe Speaker 6 7 Batchelder -- or I know Speaker Batchelder was talking to a number of folks and contacts that 8 9 he had in Northern Ohio about what 10 Congresswoman Fudge wanted. 11 And I do specifically remember 12 preparing two draft maps that we were sending 13 to her through intermediaries that said we can 14 draw the 11th district all in Cuyahoga County, 15 but it will no longer be a majority/minority 16 district, or we can bring the district down 17 into Summit County and retain it as a 18 majority/minority district. And if we kept it 19 in Cuyahoga County she would be paired with 20 Dennis Kucinich, and the feedback that came 21 back down was she would prefer that the 22 district go down into Summit County, which was done in 319 as it was introduced and retained 23 24 in 369.

Q. Okay. You've mentioned Bob

25

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

		Page	188
1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI		
2	Bennett a couple of times.		
3	A. Yes.		
4	Q. What was his role in redistricting		
5	other than being an intermediary?		
6	A. Well, he was not he was a		
7	former chairman of the Republican party and so		
8	he just had been involved in I have been now		
9	involved in two decennial redistricting and		
10	apportionments. Mr. Bennett, as we said now		
11	deceased, probably had been involved in four or		
12	five. He had great contacts throughout the		
13	state, both Republican and Democrat, some great		
14	relationships that he had, and he had the		
15	ability to reach across party lines and get		
16	feedback from both sides of the political		
17	spectrum about what people wanted to see in the		
18	legislative bill.		
19	Q. Did he ever visit the hotel room		
20	that the map was drawn in?		
21	A. To my knowledge, no.		
22	Q. Other than talking to people, did		
23	he do anything else related to the map other		
24	than talking to all these people that you've		
25	mentioned?		

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

		Page	189
1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI		
2	A. Can you say that again? I'm		
3	sorry, other than		
4	Q. So you've mentioned that he served		
5	as an intermediary.		
6	A. Oh, that he did or that I did?		
7	I'm sorry.		
8	Q. That he did. He was an		
9	intermediary to Democrats and Republicans all		
10	over the state.		
11	A. And you're asking if he		
12	Q. Did anything else related to		
13	redistricting.		
14	A. I mean, I worked directly with him		
15	on all the things I just articulated. What		
16	else he was doing, I couldn't say.		
17	Q. Okay. I would like to just turn		
18	back to Exhibit 19 for a second. It should		
19	still be in front of you. You were on the		
20	right page.		
21	A. I'm sorry.		
22	Q. That's fine.		
23	A. I had it. There we go.		
24	Q. And there was a question I hadn't		
25	had a chance to ask you yet. So there is a		

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Case: 1:18-cv-00357-TSB-KNM-MHW Doc #: 230-12 Filed: 02/20/19 Page: 190 of 349	PAGEID #:
13552	

1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI
2	reference here to August 19th. Do you see
3	that?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. And it says target date to get the
б	bill equivalency file to the LSC. What is the
7	LSC?
8	A. Okay. So that is the Legislative
9	Service Commission. It is the entity that
10	produces bills, proposed laws for the General
11	Assembly. It's a nonpartisan entity. And as
12	we had previously discussed, since the
13	legislative congressional redistricting is a
14	bill that goes through the legislature, we had
15	to get to LSC what we wanted the congressional
16	redistricting bill to look like and that's what
17	that is a reference to.
18	Q. Okay. And what is a bill
19	equivalency file?
20	A. So now you're getting down in the
21	technical stuff, but it's basically
22	Q. Yes.
23	A. It's basically the equivalency
24	files that says what census blocks of counties
25	and the geography of the state would be

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

		P
1	RAYMOND E. DIROSSI	
2	assigned to which districts so that you could	
3	put that into a legal form.	
4	Q. And you used census blocks to draw	
5	the district lines?	
6	A. Well, we used a lot of units of	
7	geography, but I think that the common	
8	denominator was census blocks. The lowest	
9	common denominator was census blocks.	
10	Q. In addition to census blocks, what	
11	did you use?	
12	A. Yeah, so you had census blocks,	
13	census tracks, you had political subdivisions,	
14	whether it be a precinct of a city, a precinct	
15	of a township, whole townships, municipalities,	
16	wards, counties. All the units of geography	
17	that the Census Bureau tracks population data	
18	for.	
19	Q. And was there any particular	
20	reason why you were using census blocks, which	
21	you said was the common denominator?	
22	A. Yeah, the to the best of my	
23	knowledge, the court, the U.S. Supreme Court	
24	still said that you had to draw congressional	
25	districts down to zero population deviation.	

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DIROSSI
2	And obviously if you're trying to use a larger
3	unit of geography, the chances that you could
4	ever come up with 16 congressional districts
5	that all had literally identical population or
б	plus or minus one, depending on how many people
7	there were, without going down to that lowest
8	unit of geography you would never be able to
9	achieve the required constitutional population
10	deviations.
11	Q. Was there any other reason that
12	you were using census blocks?
13	A. No, that's the no.
14	Q. Okay. Did you get any benefit
15	other than the one person, one vote that you
16	mentioned from using census blocks?
17	A. No, we got a lot of headaches
18	because we got in there was a lot of when
19	you get down to a unit that small, you run into
20	a ton of inherent software issues with what is
21	called split split blocks. And that is
22	where you have a geography unit that maybe
23	crosses the boundary of a census block, and if
24	there are two people in that block you have to
25	figure out, okay, are these two people both in

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI
2	this part of the split block or in this part of
3	the split block. So actually going down to
4	that level caused a lot of headaches.
5	Q. Okay. And generally how were you
6	able to resolve those headaches?
7	A. We relied on our Cleveland State
8	and OU database and also the this probably
9	would have been one instance or this was one
10	instance where we would have used John Morgan's
11	technical expertise on software and I'm
12	sorry, I misspoke, I said the wrong name. It
13	was Clark Benson who we used on these, not John
14	Morgan.
15	Q. Did anyone else other than Clark
16	Benson help you resolve these issues?
17	A. That's the name that I remember.
18	Q. Okay. So we've spent some time
19	talking about Congresswoman Fudge's district
20	and we've talked about majority/minority
21	districts. Were you concerned about
22	majority/minority districts because of the
23	Voting Rights Act?
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. And what is your understanding of

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI
2	what the Voting Rights Act requires?
3	MR. STRACH: Objection.
4	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I'm obviously not
5	an attorney, but in 2001 the district was drawn so
6	that it was more than 50 percent voting age
7	non-Hispanic African American population. And
8	once we started to get up and running and look at
9	some congressional redistricting ideas, one of the
10	first things that I was looking at was, with the
11	significant population loss that Cleveland was
12	experiencing, when you reconfigured the 11th
13	district was it possible to still draw a district
14	that would be more than 50 percent non-Hispanic
15	voting age African American population.
16	And I mentioned before the way that I
17	had seen the data and was working with it, if the
18	district had stayed in Cuyahoga County that would
19	not have been obtainable. The district would have
20	fallen to somewhere around 48 percent. So that
21	was my understanding of the factors that we were
22	dealing with with regards to the 11th.
23	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:
24	Q. Okay. You said you're not an
25	expert on VRA. Did you receive any and by

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI
2	VRA, I mean Voting Rights Act. Did you receive
3	any training on what the VRA requires at any
4	point?
5	MR. STRACH: And I'm going to object.
6	If you had any training by non-lawyers, you can
7	testify about that, but
8	MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG: I think he can
9	testify to training by a lawyer, too. He doesn't
10	have to say what it was, but he can say, yes, I
11	met with lawyers and they told me what it
12	requires.
13	MR. STRACH: No, no, he's not going
14	to testify about that. I'm going to instruct him
15	not to say anything about any training, meetings
16	or otherwise, about the VRA with lawyers. If
17	there was any training, meetings or otherwise
18	about the VRA with non-lawyers, I'll allow him to
19	answer that.
20	MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG: I mean, the
21	question is not to the substance of what he was
22	told, lawyers said he had to do. The question is
23	did a lawyer tell you there are VRA requirements
24	and that is not a privileged question.
25	MR. STRACH: That is a substantive

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

	Pag
1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI
2	question about what he was being told by lawyers,
3	so no, we're not going to answer that.
4	MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG: I'm not asking
5	what he was told by lawyers. I'm asking did he
6	have conversations with his lawyers.
7	MR. STRACH: You can ask if he had a
8	conversation with a lawyer, period, but not did he
9	have a conversation with a lawyer about the VRA.
10	We will not answer that question.
11	MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG: All right.
12	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:
13	Q. You can go ahead.
14	A. Can you ask the question again?
15	I'm sorry.
16	Q. So there's two questions. One is
17	did you have any non-lawyer trainings about
18	VRA?
19	A. Did I have any non-lawyer
20	training? Again, depending on what training
21	means, other than attending those NCSL things
22	we talked about where there might have been
23	presentations about the Voting Rights Act, I
24	did not have any training with non-lawyers.
25	Q. Okay. And then did you have any

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Case: 1:18-cv-00357-TSB-KNM-MHW Doc #: 230-12 Filed: 02/20/19 Page: 197 of 349 PAGEID #:

13559

Page 197 1 RAYMOND E. DiROSSI 2 conversations with lawyers at this time? 3 Specifically about --Α. 4 MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG: Your attorney 5 has instructed you not to answer about the 6 specifics of did you have conversations with 7 lawyers. 8 MR. STRACH: Did you have 9 conversations with lawyers, as she said, at this 10 time, period. 11 THE WITNESS: And, I'm sorry --12 BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG: 13 At this time, we're talking about Ο. the 2011 redistricting period. We can break 14 15 the question up into 319 and 369. 16 THE WITNESS: And I'm supposed to 17 answer? 18 MR. STRACH: So the question is did 19 you have conversations with lawyers at the time of 20 the redistricting. 21 THE WITNESS: Yes. 22 BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG: 23 Okay. Prior to the 2011 Ο. 24 redistricting period did you have any experience drawing VRA compliant districts? 25

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI
2	A. In the 2001 apportionment, both in
3	apportionment and redistricting, we were
4	drawing a number of districts that were covered
5	by the Voting Rights Act.
6	Q. Okay. Are you at all familiar
7	with the requirements of what the of what a
8	VRA compliant district sorry, let me strike
9	that.
10	Are you familiar with determining
11	the requirements of whether or not a VRA
12	district should be drawn?
13	MR. STRACH: Objection.
14	MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG: I'm asking
15	about his own personal knowledge.
16	MR. STRACH: Well, he's not a lawyer,
17	so it's not it's not that simple.
18	MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG: Well, he did
19	work on drawing the districts. He may or may not
20	have personal knowledge to this, which he is free
21	to answer that he does or does not.
22	MR. STRACH: But he doesn't have
23	lawyer personal knowledge.
24	MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG: I'm asking
25	about his personal knowledge as the person drawing

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

	14
1	RAYMOND E. DIROSSI
2	the district, how did he determine, and if he says
3	I have no personal knowledge then that is his
4	answer.
5	MR. STRACH: All right. If you have
6	strictly personal knowledge you can answer that
7	question, but if it requires you to act like a
8	lawyer then you're not to act like a lawyer.
9	THE WITNESS: If we were drawing
10	and again, I'm in apportionment mostly because in
11	the congressional there's only one district, but
12	in the apportionment there are dozens. If we were
13	working in an area where there were Voting Rights
14	Act impacted districts, I would have sought legal
15	advice as to how to proceed.
16	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:
17	Q. Okay. Are you at all familiar
18	with the term racially polarized voting?
19	MR. STRACH: Objection.
20	MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG: I'm asking if
21	he's familiar with it.
22	THE WITNESS: I've heard the term,
23	but I am I'm not conversant in it in any way.
24	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:
25	Q. Are you at all familiar

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DIROSSI
2	actually, let's just go to a document.
3	(Thereupon, Plaintiffs' Exhibit
4	Number 20, File Produced in Native Format Bates
5	Stamped DIROSSI_0000526, was marked for purposes
6	of identification.)
7	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:
8	Q. This I'm having marked as Exhibit
9	20. This was produced in native format, which
10	was an Excel file, so you'll see the first page
11	is the produced in native cover sheet. It's
12	DIROSSI_526. Do you see that?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. And then the second page is a
15	Excel spreadsheet. Do you see that?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. Okay. Based on your recollection,
18	are you the author of this document?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. The first set of and this is an
21	Excel spreadsheet, so in the left most column
22	there is text that says comply with the Voting
23	Rights Act CD 11. Do you see that?
24	A. I do.
25	Q. And then underneath the first kind

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Case: 1:18-cv-00357-TSB-KNM-MHW Doc #: 230-12 Filed: 02/20/19 Page: 201 of 349	PAGEID #:
13563	

		Page	201
1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI		
2	of populated percentage column it says 22.63		
3	percent unified index is 50/50. Do you see		
4	that?		
5	A. I do.		
6	Q. And what does the 26.63 represent		
7	here?		
8	MR. STRACH: 22.63.		
9	MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG: Yes, 22.63.		
10	THE WITNESS: It would have been the	5	
11	based on the unified index that we spoke about	5	
12	earlier, the numerical representation of all of		
13	the historical election results for those five		
14	races that we talked about earlier.		
15	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:		
16	Q. And is this the percentage of		
17	Republican or what is the 22 percent?		
18	MR. STRACH: Objection. Asked and		
19	answered.		
20	Answer it again.		
21	MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG: He didn't		
22	answer whether it was Republican or Democrat. I		
23	still don't know what 22.63 is.		
24	MR. STRACH: He just said what 22.63	3	
25	percent was and he'll say it again if you want hi	m	

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Page 202 1 RAYMOND E. DiROSSI 2 to. 3 BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG: 4 Can you tell me, is this 22.63 Ο. 5 Republican or Democrat winning the percentage of the election? 6 7 It would be Republican. Α. 8 Ο. Thank you. 9 And then I'm going to skip down, 10 it says draw new minority opportunity district, 11 Franklin County. Do you see that? 12 I do. Α. 13 And it's CD 03. What is -- and 0. 14 then the next line is 37.83 percent. Do you 15 see that? 16 I do. Α. And is that the Republican 17 Ο. 18 percentage in CD 03? 19 Using -- using that scoring of the Α. 20 unified index, yes. 21 Then the last column, and it's not 0. 22 populated, is Slagle/OCAR, state is 52-48 in 23 favor of R's. Do you see that? 24 Α. Yes. 25 What is the purpose of this column Q.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1

RAYMOND E. DiROSSI

<sup>2</sup> here?

3 Yeah, so this document, I created Α. 4 this in response to a media inquiry. And 5 again, it goes back to -- it goes back to 6 everybody had their own way of looking at 7 indexes or historical election results. Ι 8 obviously was trying to use the unified index. 9 A number of people were looking at presidential 10 Mr. Slagle at OCAR had his own scoring index. 11 methodology. And the question was being asked 12 of once you draw these two districts, what does 13 the rest of the state look like historically. 14 And you said a number of people Ο. 15 were using the presidential index. Do you 16 recall who those people were? 17 Yeah, mostly people who cared Α. 18 about national elections or congressional 19 elections, so Republican and Democratic 20 congressmen and Republican and Democratic 21 congresswomen, the NRCC, the DS -- or the DRCC 22 or whatever their name is. The people who run 23 national congressional and national elections 24 tend to look at presidential results and their 25 own scoring system and really kind of looked

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

		1 4 2
1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI	
2	with this favor upon what I was using as the	
3	unified index.	
4	Q. Okay. And why were you looking at	
5	these two districts in particular?	
6	A. It was a media request so I was	
7	just trying to be responsive. As I mentioned,	
8	at any moment in time what somebody cares	
9	about, whether it's geography, how many	
10	incumbents are paired together, an election	
11	data number, how big a district was, you know,	
12	Heather and I were the ones that people were	
13	coming to to ask those questions, and so this	
14	is just one document I created. It doesn't	
15	even look like I finished it. So it was just	
16		
17	Q. Okay. And do you recall which	
18	media entity you got the request from?	
19	A. I don't. I don't.	
20	Q. Do you recall any other specifics	
21	about the request?	
22	A. I don't. Nope, I don't, sorry.	
23	Q. Actually, don't flip it yet.	
24	During your various trainings, aside from	
25	trainings that you had with a lawyer, did any	

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI
2	of your trainings talk about one person, one
3	vote, also known as equal population?
4	A. I don't know what I mean,
5	trainings, I was trained on software
6	Q. Conferences.
7	A two decades ago. Yeah, but I
8	wouldn't call those trainings. I mean, they're
9	just like listening to people pontificate about
10	what they know, and most of it isn't even
11	relevant to Ohio.
12	So can you restate the question,
13	please?
14	Q. So conferences or trainings, did
15	any of them deal with equal population, also
16	known as one person, one vote?
17	A. Nothing specifically that I can
18	recall.
19	Q. Okay. Did you take one person,
20	one vote into consideration when you were
21	drawing your map?
22	A. All of the districts that were
23	drawn for the congressional redistricting were
24	balanced to the person. I believe of the 16
25	districts, eight of them were plus one person

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DIROSSI
2	above the ratio of representation and the
3	others were right on the number. So, I mean, I
4	took that into account that the districts had
5	to be drawn with absolute population, zero
6	deviation.
7	Q. Did equal population affect the
8	substance of any lines that were drawn?
9	A. Help me understand what you mean
10	by substance.
11	Q. Did you move a line from one
12	location to another location because of equal
13	population?
14	A. Absolutely.
15	Q. Okay. And do you recall as you
16	sit here which lines were moved based on equal
17	population?
18	A. I chuckle because it's if
19	you've ever drawn a map like that, you may have
20	a district in the northeast corner of the state
21	of Ohio that is unfortunately three people too
22	many and you have to balance it out, and
23	because of the way the map is all
24	interconnected you may end up having to move
25	people in Southwest Ohio, two or three people

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1 RAYMOND E. DiROSSI

<sup>2</sup> to achieve that.

And so every district I would have made -- Heather and/or I would have made some change very small to the lines in order to achieve zero population deviation. Every single district we would have had to do that in.

9 Q. Beyond these small changes of two
 10 or three people, do you recall any large
 11 changes that were made based on equal
 12 population?

I mean, I recall like the 11th 13 Α. 14 congressional district, it was after losing two 15 seats and I think the districts had to grow by 16 almost 72,000 people, more or less, and I 17 remember that district having lost significant 18 population, tens of thousands, maybe even 19 approaching a hundred thousand, that 20 significant population changes had to be made 21 to bring that district in particular up to its 22 target population. 23 I don't think I -- I don't think

<sup>25</sup> how many people it needed to be adjusted.

any other district was quite that extreme in

24

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DIROSSI
2	Q. Okay. So in addition to equal
3	population and voting rights compliance, did
4	you consider any other factors when you were
5	drawing the map?
6	A. Well, as I mentioned before, there
7	were some high-level points that Speaker
8	Batchelder and President Niehaus wanted to
9	achieve in this legislative map, and that was
10	the elimination of two districts, the pairing
11	of two Republican congressmen or women, the
12	pairing of two Democratic congressmen or women,
13	proposing an 11th congressional district that
14	was to the satisfaction of Congresswoman Fudge,
15	the creation of a new district in Franklin
16	County that would give a minority candidate the
17	ability to be elected. I mean, those were the
18	big the big overarching goals. Then making
19	sure the map was balanced and achieve zero
20	population deviation. Those were the main
21	main components.
22	Q. Okay. Did you consider
23	communities of interest when you were drawing
24	the map?
25	A. So that is a term obviously I

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI
2	have heard that term for decades and I think it
3	means a lot of different things to a lot of
4	different people. So you'll have to help me
5	tell me what you are suggesting it means so
6	that I can try to answer your question.
7	Q. Well, I guess my question back to
8	you is what would you suggest that it means?
9	What is your understanding of what a community
10	of interest is?
11	A. Well, it could be it could be a
12	lot of things and in certain parts of the state
13	we have a very diverse population. We have an
14	extreme we have a large number of rural
15	areas of the state. We have major water, Lake
16	Erie and we also have the Ohio River. We have
17	Southwest Ohio that has a lot of communities of
18	interest. We have minority communities of
19	interest in certain areas, mostly urban areas.
20	We have at least eight major urban areas. I
21	mean, so community of interest in any part of
22	the state could mean a very different thing.
23	Q. Understood. Did any of those
24	definitions make it into your considerations
25	when you were drawing the map?

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI
2	A. I was certainly aware of the ones
3	that I was aware of as we were drawing the map.
4	Q. And what effect did that have on
5	any of your map drawing?
6	A. Well, whenever we depending on
7	if there were other goals that conflicted with
8	it, we would try to preserve those communities
9	as best possible to achieve the other stated
10	goals that I mentioned.
11	Q. What about compactness, are you
12	familiar with the term compactness?
13	A. I'm familiar with the term, I am
14	unfamiliar with anybody's definition of what it
15	what it means.
16	Q. What is your understanding of what
17	compactness is?
18	A. That you have a district that is
19	relatively compact. I'm sorry to use the word
20	in the definition of the word, but that would
21	be my understanding.
22	Q. Did your understanding of
23	compactness affect your map drawing?
24	A. Again, Ohio is very diverse. Look
25	at the 3rd congressional district that was

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DIROSSI
2	created in Franklin County. The footprint of
3	that was 720 some odd thousand people, it's
4	very compact, it is, you know, all within
5	Franklin County; in Southeast Ohio where we
6	have a tremendous number of mostly rural areas
7	where we have entire counties that have a total
8	of 12 or 13,000 people; we have another
9	district that is the same exact population
10	size, but it covers 14, 15 or 16 counties, and
11	it is a very significant geographical area.
12	So, I mean, I would say they're
13	both compact because that's where people in
14	Ohio have chosen to live, but I didn't use any
15	measure.
16	Q. Okay. So when you were drawing
17	the map, though, were you thinking about
18	compactness in drawing the lines?
19	A. I was aware of the concept, yes.
20	Q. Was it operationalized into your
21	map drawing?
22	MR. STRACH: Objection.
23	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I need to
24	understand what you mean by
25	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DIROSSI
2	Q. Did you have any system by which
3	you were making sure districts were compact?
4	MR. STRACH: Objection.
5	THE WITNESS: No, other than visual.
6	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:
7	Q. What about county splits, do you
8	know what how would you define county
9	splits? I think it's fairly self-evident, but
10	I just want to understand your understanding.
11	A. Yeah, it gets a little tricky in
12	the apportionment, but in the congressional
13	redistricting if you have a district that
14	crosses a county boundary and is not
15	encompassing an entire county, I could
16	understand why somebody would say a county is
17	split.
18	Q. Okay. And did county splits
19	what role, if any, did county splits play in
20	your map drawing?
21	A. Well, we're certainly aware of
22	where we were doing it. We had a few unique
23	instances around the state where, due to the
24	geography of the State of Ohio, we have some
25	cities I'll use my home city as an example.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DIROSSI
2	I live in Dublin, Ohio, as I said earlier. The
3	city of Dublin is actually a municipal
4	corporation, but it is in three specific
5	counties; it is in Union County, Franklin
6	County and Delaware County. It's right where
7	the three of them come together.
8	And this presents sometimes a
9	challenge. You could try to keep the community
10	of interest that is Dublin together, but you
11	would be splitting three counties, or you could
12	try to keep the three counties in three
13	separate districts, but then you're splitting
14	Dublin three different ways.
15	So I was always drawing that
16	happens in Northern Ohio, too, with the city
17	of, I believe, Fremont is in three, if not
18	four, counties. I always kept that in mind
19	when we were when I was drawing districts
20	Q. Okay.
21	A or proposing drawing
22	proposals.
23	Q. And did you do anything in your
24	map drawing to limit the number of county
25	splits?

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI
2	A. Generally it was something we were
3	trying to do, but as I mentioned before,
4	eliminating two districts, the method by which
5	we were absorbing two districts, trying to, you
6	know, protect the 11th congressional district
7	and create a new one in the third, and pairing
8	incumbents together, those were more important
9	to our goals than if we split a couple extra
10	counties.
11	Obviously, as I said before, the
12	Democrats in some of the areas made requests to
13	unify a couple counties, in Montgomery County
14	specifically. But to do that I think we had to
15	unify Montgomery County to satisfy the request,
16	but then we split another county because you
17	had to have zero population deviation. So
18	sometimes it was a mixed bag.
19	Q. Okay. What about municipal
20	splits? What do you understand that term to
21	mean?
22	A. Very similar to counties where you
23	have a district that does not include all of
24	the territory of a municipal corporation.
25	Q. And did municipal splits play any

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

		Ρā
1	RAYMOND E. DIROSSI	
2	role in your map drawing?	
3	A. Well, again, all districts had to	
4	be balanced to either plus or minus one person	
5	or zero population deviation, so you were going	
6	to have to split counties, you were going to	
7	have to split cities, you were going to have to	
8	split townships and other units of geography to	
9	make those districts balance out. So that was	
10	done.	
11	Q. Okay. Are you familiar with the	
12	term incumbency?	
13	A. Yes.	
14	Q. Are you familiar with the term	
15	incumbency protection?	
16	A. I've heard the term, but it could	
17	be	
18	Q. What is your	
19	A could mean different things.	
20	Q. Oh, okay, sorry.	
21	A. That's okay.	
22	Q. I don't mean to speak over you.	
23	What does incumbency mean to you?	
24	A. So at any point in time who is the	
25	incumbent of any particular district is what	

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI
2	incumbency means to me.
3	Q. Okay. And an incumbent is?
4	A. The residing the residing
5	office holder of a district.
6	Q. Okay. And did incumbency play any
7	role in your map drawing?
8	A. As I mentioned, we were losing two
9	congressional districts, so the decision was
10	that we would pair two incumbent Democrats
11	together and two incumbent Republicans together
12	forcing them to have a primary and let the
13	voters decide.
14	Q. Outside of this consideration to
15	pair incumbents, did you look at incumbency in
16	any other way?
17	A. Yes, we generally try to avoid
18	pairing districts. I mentioned to you before
19	that it had been sent or the information had
20	gotten to me that Congresswoman Fudge did not
21	want to be paired with Dennis Kucinich, then
22	Congressman Dennis Kucinich, and so the
23	district that we drew intentionally did not do
24	that, so yes.
25	I also mentioned Joyce Beatty

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

		Pag
1	RAYMOND E. DIROSSI	
2	where she specifically wanted to have somebody	
3	who she thought might run against her drawn out	
4	of the district, and so we also did that in	
5	order to get votes.	
6	Q. But was she an incumbent?	
7	A. She was not an incumbent at the	
8	time.	
9	Q. Were there any other incumbents	
10	that you considered during this time?	
11	A. Well, I was aware of where every	
12	incumbent, every Republican, every Democratic	
13	lived, so we intentionally or didn't	
14	unintentionally pair them together.	
15	Q. And how did you do that?	
16	A. I think either through well,	
17	let me I shouldn't say I think. We were	
18	able to obtain the home addresses of all 18	
19	congressmen and women in the state, which we	
20	used.	
21	Q. And how did you use them?	
22	A. We used them with our software to	
23	do what is called geocoding so that you could	
24	put in their address into the software and it	
25	would put a marker on the map so you could mark	

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

	-
1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI
2	where specifically a member of Congress
3	resided.
4	Q. Did partisan makeup of the
5	districts play any role in your map drawing?
6	A. So the historical election
7	information that we had talked about before was
8	one of the things that was in the software just
9	as the population variations, the Hispanic
10	percentages of the district, the African
11	American percentages of the district. We also
12	had incorporated into that the historical
13	election data. So it was one of the things we
14	had.
15	Q. Okay. You had it. Did you use
16	it?
17	A. Yes, it was one of the things that
18	we would have looked at as we were proposing
19	districts, along with all of the other things I
20	just mentioned.
21	Q. Okay. So outside of VRA and equal
22	population, were any of the other factors you
23	considered legally required to your knowledge?
24	A. You said the VRA and what was the
25	other one?

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1 RAYMOND E. DiROSSI 2 Equal population. Ο. 3 Equal population. The districts Α. 4 had to be contiguous. That would have been a 5 requirement. 6 Did you have any hierarchy to Ο. 7 determine which factors were going to be more 8 important or less important as you were drawing 9 the map? 10 I did not. Α. 11 0. How did you determine which 12 factors were going to play a role in any 13 particular district? 14 Well, using those big pillars that Α. 15 we talked about and then interacting with, for 16 me, President Niehaus, and making sure that he 17 could get the votes of the Republicans and 18 Democrats in the legislature, that was the 19 process. 20 (Thereupon, Plaintiffs' Exhibit 21 Number 21, Documents Bates Stamped 22 DIROSSI\_0000470-472, was marked for purposes of 23 identification.) 24 BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG: 25 Let's go to what I'm having marked Q.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Case: 1:18-cv-00357-TSB-KNM-MHW Doc #: 230-12 Filed: 02/20/19 Page: 220 of 349 PAGEID #: 13582

Page 220

1	RAYMOND E. DIROSSI
2	as Exhibit 21. This is a document I actually
3	put together, but it's consecutively Bates
4	stamped, so hopefully it's not an issue and
5	they all seem to be relatively the same. I'm
6	having this marked as Exhibit 21. It's
7	DIROSSI_470.
8	And this appears to be a series,
9	three to be exact, of competition maps. Is
10	that your understanding of what this exhibit
11	is?
12	A. Yes.
13	MR. STRACH: Do you know if these
14	came in color originally or if this is how they
15	MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG: This is how
16	they were produced to us. I copied everything as
17	produced.
18	MR. STRACH: Okay.
19	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:
20	Q. So I'm not going to ask too many
21	questions about this. My first question is,
22	when did you when did you get these maps to
23	your best recollection?
24	A. I don't recall when I would have
25	first had these maps in my possession. I don't

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Page 221 1 RAYMOND E. DiROSSI 2 recall. 3 Would it have been prior to the Ο. introduction of 319? 4 5 Α. It was. Okay. And did anything from the 6 Ο. 7 competition maps make it into your map drawing? 8 And it doesn't have to be these maps in 9 particular. Just in general. 10 Well, again, apologies for Α. Yeah. 11 the long -- the long answer, but there's --12 there are some things in here that did end up 13 in the maps in concept, maybe not identical to 14 the person. 15 0. Okay. 16 But these maps also have some very Α. significant structural problems that rendered 17 18 them, unfortunately, almost useless to me. 19 Which concepts made it into the Ο. 20 319 map? 21 So on the third map that says Tim Α. 22 Clark from Avon Lake, congressional - one of 23 the winning maps, you notice you have generally 24 this concept of a district in Northern Ohio, 25 the 11th congressional district that comes down

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI
2	into Summit County like we've been talking
3	about. So that is not identical, but that
4	general concept is something that Congresswoman
5	Fudge had indicated generally she was
б	interested in.
7	If you note, the other two maps
8	draw the district of the 11th completely in
9	Cuyahoga County, which was something that I was
10	being told she explicitly did not want. So
11	that was one of the main pillars that these
12	maps violated.
13	Same thing on the maps on the 3rd
14	district. One of them, I think the first map
15	in your series, has the general concept of a
16	district inside Franklin County. I'm thinking
17	it's it's somebody's attempt at getting to a
18	second minority district. The map that was
19	adopted is not identical to that, but it kind
20	of refines that concept after we were having
21	conversations with Joyce Beatty about how she
22	would want the district to look.
23	Do you want me to keep going
24	through it, or do you just want me to
25	Q. I'll have questions, but if there

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

		Page	223
1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI		
2	are other things that you remember I would love		
3	to hear it.		
4	A. Maybe more will come to me, but		
5	those are the two specific ones that jump out		
6	at me.		
7	Q. Okay. Regarding the 11th		
8	congressional district, and you referenced Tim		
9	Clark's map		
10	A. Yes.		
11	Q is it your recollection that		
12	the idea came from this map or did the idea		
13	come from somewhere else?		
14	A. I don't know. I can't		
15	specifically answer that. I don't specifically		
16	know the timelines, as I mentioned, of when I		
17	got these and the conversations that were		
18	already underway about I can't answer that.		
19	Q. Okay. About the first map, which		
20	is the Mike Fortner map, you mentioned the 3rd		
21	district. Is your recollection that the idea		
22	came from this map or did the idea come from		
23	somewhere else?		
24	A. For the 1st district, you said?		
25	Q. 3rd.		

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DIROSSI
2	A. 3rd.
3	Q. I think this is the map you said
4	that Franklin County
5	A. I thought you said 1st.
6	Apologies. Yeah, same question, I don't I
7	can't recall the timelines of when I received
8	these and when we were coming up with our own
9	alternatives.
10	Q. Okay.
11	A. But it's worth noting that all
12	three of these maps are drawn by taking the
13	liberty of they're not to zero population
14	deviation. Every one of these maps based on
15	the data underlying data that was provided
16	to me by Mr. Slagle, who I think was in charge
17	of the contest, along with the League of Women
18	Voters and other entities, people were drawing
19	these maps that were plus or minus thousands of
20	people, and obviously that's a no-no with
21	respect to zero population deviation.
22	So that was something, just one
23	thing which jumps out at me, my specific
24	recollection of problems that all of these maps
25	had. They took a lot of liberties that I

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

		Page
1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI	
2	didn't have the luxury of taking.	
3	Q. Okay. There are three maps here.	
4	Did you receive more than three maps or did you	
5	only receive three of the competition maps?	
6	A. There were at least 50 maps that	
7	were part of the competition, but I believe	
8	these were the three winning maps that Slagle	
9	these were the three winning maps that	
10	Slagle provided me.	
11	Q. Did you only so to clarify my	
12	question, did you only receive three maps or	
13	did you receive more than three?	
14	A. I received three.	
15	Q. And did you receive them directly	
16	from Mr. Slagle or did you get them from some	
17	other source?	
18	A. I don't I don't recall.	
19	Q. So going to the map drawing	
20	process, I think you mentioned that you used	
21	Maptitude, correct?	
22	A. Correct.	
23	Q. When did you start inputting data	
24	into Maptitude?	
25	A. I don't recall the dates. I was	

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

# Case: 1:18-cv-00357-TSB-KNM-MHW Doc #: 230-12 Filed: 02/20/19 Page: 226 of 349 PAGEID #: 13588

Page 226

		Ρ
1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI	
2	not doing that. That was being done for us and	
3	so I do not recall the dates.	
4	Q. Who was putting the data for you	
5	into Maptitude?	
6	A. Clark Benson.	
7	Q. When did you start working in	
8	Maptitude?	
9	A. I don't recall a specific date	
10	when we started.	
11	Q. We looked at the invoice for the	
12	bunker which started let me just go back to	
13	it so we're not both working off of our	
14	memories, it's Exhibit 16 which started on	
15	July 17th. Would you have been working in	
16	Maptitude on July 17th?	
17	A. No way.	
18	Q. Would you have been working on	
19	Maptitude a few days after July 17th?	
20	A. I don't recall when. It was a	
21	very first of all, it was a long time ago,	
22	it was very chaotic, and we had a ton of	
23	problems getting the software and the data to	
24	interact, and so I can't recall specific dates	
25	of when we started.	

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI
2	Q. Okay. Do you have a sense of how
3	long it took to have the data inputted? You
4	said there were a ton of problems.
5	A. It was a lengthy time and it was
6	an ongoing process. We would get we would
7	get data that we thought was working and then
8	we would be told, nope, those split blocks and
9	some of the other things were still
10	problematic, and we would have to wait and get
11	another round of data. And so that happened
12	over a significant period of time.
13	Q. Were you working in the data
14	before all these problems were resolved or did
15	you have to wait until after they were
16	resolved?
17	A. We were able to turn the computers
18	on, but then I think we had to start over. We
19	had to start over. The data wasn't correct and
20	wasn't working.
21	Q. But did you start the process of
22	working on maps prior to the data being fixed?
23	A. We tried.
24	Q. And do you recall when that was
25	happening?

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI
2	A. I don't.
3	Q. When you were working in the data,
4	when did you finally have a kind of map that
5	was ready to be shared; do you recall?
6	A. Again, I wasn't working in the
7	data. That is not my background or expertise
8	to understand how to make the data function.
9	I'm an end user of the software, not the data
10	person, so
11	Q. Okay. Do you recall when the
12	data was ready, how long did it take you to get
13	a kind of final map?
14	A. I don't recall. We were working
15	on the apportionment and redistricting
16	simultaneously. I don't recall.
17	Q. Was it a matter of days or weeks?
18	A. I mean, it was not days. It took
19	a while to have all of these conversations.
20	Q. Okay. And do you recall when the
21	map was ready to be shared with anyone outside
22	of you, Ms. Blessing and Troy Judy?
23	A. I don't recall when that was.
24	Q. Do you recall who you showed the
25	map to first?

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Page 229 1 RAYMOND E. DiROSSI 2 MR. TUCKER: I'm just going to object 3 to the phrase map. 4 THE WITNESS: I don't recall. 5 MR. STRACH: Is this a good time to 6 take a quick break? 7 MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG: Sure. MR. STRACH: It's been about an hour. 8 9 Thanks. 10 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're off the 11 record. 12 (Recess taken.) 13 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're on the 14 record. 15 MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG: Great. 16 BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG: 17 I would like to go back to Exhibit Ο. 18 19, if you would. So the first time we went 19 through this exhibit we skipped this first 20 page, and I would like to take some time to 21 look at it now. 22 You've testified before that you 23 used the unified political index, correct? 24 Α. Correct. 25 Does this document represent the Q.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Page 230 1 RAYMOND E. DiROSSI 2 unified political index that you used, the 3 races? 4 Α. Yes, it does. 5 Okay. And where did you get the 0. 6 data to put together this political index? 7 These numbers are publicly Α. 8 available from the Secretary of State, on the 9 Secretary of State's website about who -- what 10 the vote totals were. 11 And did you download the data from 0. 12 the Secretary of State's website? 13 Again, I didn't -- I didn't do the Α. 14 data. 15 Okay. Who in your understanding Ο. 16 was the person who downloaded the data from the 17 Secretary of State's website? 18 Well, I -- I got the top line Α. 19 information from the Secretary of State's 20 website. I believe -- or Clark Benson would 21 have been the one who was doing the data, and 22 whether or not he got it from the Secretary of 23 State's website I couldn't speak to. 24 And you said that you also Ο. Okay. 25 had a contract with Cleveland State and OU.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DIROSSI
2	Was there a reason that Clark Benson got this
3	data for you instead of OU and Cleveland State?
4	A. So the contract with Cleveland
5	State and OU was entered into by the
6	legislative task force on redistricting that we
7	talked about, that bipartisan entity. And so
8	their job was to take the geography of the
9	State of Ohio and, working with all of the ADA
10	county boards of elections that maintain their
11	precinct, ward and municipal boundaries, and
12	putting together the census data and marrying
13	those two pieces of data. That is the role of
14	Cleveland State and OU.
15	Q. Did you specifically contract with
16	Clark Benson or how did he come to work on the
17	historical data?
18	A. I did not contract with him. I
19	don't know how.
20	Q. How were you introduced to Clark
21	Benson?
22	A. I had known Clark from the
23	previous decade when he performed the same
24	function.
25	Q. And how did he come to work in the

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

б

13.34		
	Page 23	32
RAYMOND E. DiROSSI		
2011 redistricting cycle?		
A. I can't remember specifically how		
he was reintroduced to me as, hey, Clark is		
going to be available to help you again.		
Q. Do you know how he was paid?		
A. I do not.		
Q. I would like to look at Exhibit 22		
or it actually hasn't been introduced yet,		
but if you turn to tab 22.		
(Thereupon, Plaintiffs' Exhibit		
Number 22, Document Bates Stamped DIROSSI_000001	.0,	
was marked for purposes of identification.)		
BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:		
Q. I'm having marked for the record		
as Exhibit 22 DIROSSI_10. This is a series of		
charts here. Do you recognize this document?		
A. I do.		
Q. Are you the author of this		
document?		
A. I am.		
Q. Okay. And why did you create this		
document?		
A. As we talked about before, from my		
historical interactions with the redistricting		

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DIROSSI
2	and apportionment in the previous decade, I
3	recalled that when you were doing redistricting
4	and apportionment the first things that
5	everybody wanted from you were maps and
б	indexes, maps and indexes. It doesn't matter
7	if you were talking to the press, if you were
8	talking to legislative senators, state
9	representatives, congressmen, people
10	testifying, citizens, whoever, the first thing
11	that people wanted were historical political
12	indexes and maps.
13	And so this was a document I
14	created trying to keep the the political
15	indexes, the historical election stuff straight
16	so that I could answer those questions.
17	Q. Okay. Am I correct that this top
18	chart seems to show the 18 house districts as
19	they existed from 2002 to 2012?
20	A. Right, so that's a very, very good
21	point. These are the 18 congressional
22	districts from the previous decade using the
23	unified index and some other measures from
24	2011.
25	Q. Okay. So let's start with this

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DIROSSI
2	first column. It says current districts. Do
3	the names here represent the congresspeople as
4	they were at the time in those districts?
5	A. The vast majority of them I'm sure
6	are the incumbents, but I can't speak to
7	whether or not they all are. I can't say.
8	Q. Okay. And then the next column is
9	McCain '08. Is it correct that this would be
10	how much McCain would have gotten in those
11	districts in 2008?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. Okay. And then Bush '04, is that
14	how much Bush would have gotten in 2004 in
15	those districts?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. And then Governor Kasich 2010, is
18	that the percentage that Governor Kasich would
19	have gotten in those districts?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. And then DeWine 2010 AG, is that
22	the number that DeWine would have gotten in
23	each of those districts?
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. Then '06 AG Montgomery, is that

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Case: 1:18-cv-00357-TSB-KNM-MHW Doc #: 230-12 Filed: 02/20/19 Page: 235 of 349 PAGEID #:

Page 235 1 RAYMOND E. DiROSSI 2 the number that Montgomery would have gotten in those districts in 2006? 3 4 Yes. Α. 5 0. And then 2006 AUD, I'm assuming 6 that's auditor --7 Α. Correct. 8 -- Taylor, is that the percentage 0. 9 that Taylor would have gotten in those 10 districts? 11 Α. Yes. 12 Are all the individuals that we Ο. 13 just named Republicans? 14 Α. They are. 15 Included in this chart is 0. Okay. 16 DeWine AG 2010. It doesn't appear in 19. You 17 can look back if you would like to refresh your recollection. 18 19 Α. Yeah, that's what I was looking 20 for. Oh, there it is. Yes, I thank you for 21 I would point out that on this document, that. 22 19, I had both the Republican and the 23 Democratic people who participated in that 24 election. But I remember that was too much 25 information to get into those little cell

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	
1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI
2	headers, so I chopped down and abbreviated the
3	races. That's why those are all the Republican
4	names.
5	Q. Okay. So my question for you is,
6	do you recall why DeWine was part of this
7	document and not part of 19?
8	A. Yeah, as I mentioned, everybody
9	had their own way of looking at a political
10	index or historical election data. I had said
11	and come to the conclusion that these were the
12	five races that we should be looking at. Other
13	people wanted to look at pieces and parts of
14	these five exclusively, specifically the '08
15	McCain numbers. Other people wanted to include
16	the 2010 Attorney General race as a barometer
17	of the historical election results.
18	And so this is me trying to put
19	all of that information into one document so
20	that I could answer all of those questions that
21	I was being bombarded with after the districts
22	were put out. This document was created after
23	319 was adopted by the legislature when we were
24	getting media and member onslaughts of
25	questions.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DIROSSI
2	Q. Okay. There is a column called
3	unified average. Is that the unified index?
4	A. It is.
5	Q. And would the unified index be the
6	five races or would it also include DeWine?
7	A. It would have been the five races
8	and then weighted to 50/50 as Exhibit 19 had.
9	Q. Okay. The last column refers to
10	PVI. What is PVI?
11	A. So this is how the national
12	congressional Democrats and Republicans
13	calculate indexes. They don't use numbers,
14	they use R plus this and D plus this. So I
15	don't know how those are calculated, but I
16	wanted to try to have at my disposal the
17	language that they were talking about so when I
18	was asked about districts I could respond to
19	in that language. So that is the congressional
20	methodology, which I cannot explain what it is.
21	Q. Okay. Do you recall whether you
22	got this information from the Cook's PVI or did
23	you get it somewhere else?
24	A. I don't know what the Cook's PVI
25	is.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

# Case: 1:18-cv-00357-TSB-KNM-MHW Doc #: 230-12 Filed: 02/20/19 Page: 238 of 349 PAGEID #: 13600

Page 238

		Pag
1	RAYMOND E. DIROSSI	
2	Q. Okay.	
3	A. Yeah.	
4	Q. Do you recall where you got the	
5	PVI from?	
6	A. I don't I don't recall. I	
7	would be guessing.	
8	Q. Okay. At the bottom of this first	
9	chart it says R plus 5 is likely Republican.	
10	Do you see that?	
11	A. I do see that.	
12	Q. And do you recall where this R	
13	plus 5 is likely Republican came from?	
14	A. Yeah, again, so this deals with	
15	the R plus, D plus language, and so this would	
16	have come from somebody I was talking to at the	
17	national the national level of how they	
18	would look at districts.	
19	And again, as you pointed out,	
20	everything on the top of this chart deals with	
21	districts that are they're the districts	
22	from 2001 with 2010 election data and 2001	
23	population data. So they're not real, they're	
24	just they're this weird point in time that	
25	aren't really valid.	

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1 RAYMOND E. DiROSSI 2 As we've talked about, some of 3 these districts were tens of thousands, if not 4 hundreds of thousands of people away from the 5 targets, so another reason why these indexes 6 are just kind of almost meaningless. But 7 again, that's what everybody asks for, so I was 8 trying to have it. 9 Well, just sticking on this first 0. 10 chart -- and this is the current districts as they stood at the time, right? This isn't a 11 12 hypothetical district that you're looking at? 13 It's the districts from 2001 that Α. 14 over the decade from 2001 to 2011 had grown --15 some had contracted and some had grown in 16 population and so -- not to mention that we 17 were losing two districts, and so the district 18 targets of their population had to change by 70 19 some thousand people. 20 So yes, they are the district 21 boundaries, but the underlying data that's 22 generating these is not even really relevant to 23 anything because they're just not legitimate. 24 But the underlying data is for the Ο. 25 districts as they stood at the time, correct?

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1 RAYMOND E. DiROSSI 2 MR. STRACH: Objection. 3 (Witness nodded head up and down.) 4 BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG: 5 0. Okay. Moving on, it says up to R 6 plus 5 is swing. Do you see that? 7 I do. Α. 8 Where does that come from? 0. 9 Same source as the language above Α. 10 it. This is -- everything that is R plus and D 11 plus is the federal language of how you look at 12 districts and their historical election 13 returns, and so that would have come from the 14 same source as the language above it. 15 And was that source Adam Kincaid? 0. 16 Α. I can't say for sure. I can't 17 remember. 18 Okay. And then if you look over, 0. 19 there's R plus 5 is likely Republican. Number 20 of districts R plus 5 is 7. Do you see that? 21 I do. Α. 22 Does that mean that there were 7 0. 23 districts that were R plus 5? 24 Α. Yes. 25 And then it says up to R plus 5 is Q.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 241 RAYMOND E. DiROSSI swing, number of districts that are R plus 3 -I wonder if that's a typo - is 9. Yeah, I see that and I see that Α. discrepancy and I'm not sure why -- I don't know how I made -- I don't know why I made that error, if that's material to this whole thing or not. Q. Okay. Α. But yeah, that does not seem to be consistent. But does it appear, just looking, 0. eyeballing the chart, that there are 9 districts that are R plus 3? Yeah, so there are only 8 Α. districts that are R plus 5 and there are 9 districts that are R plus 3, so I'm not sure which one of those is correct. 0. Okay. Going to the next chart, this appears to be the kind of pairing of incumbents that we talked about before. Is your understanding that this was 319? Α. Yes, that's my understanding. This is 319 as enacted.

Q. Okay. And so the first columns

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Case: 1:18-cv-00357-TSB-KNM-MHW Doc #: 230-12 Filed: 02/20/19 Page: 242 of 349 PAGEID #: 13604

Page 242

1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI
2	all seem to be the same as the top column.
3	There is one new column here which is titled
4	Delta. Do you see that?
5	A. I do.
6	Q. What is the Delta?
7	A. Well, this would have been
8	information that was provided to me from that
9	national source that uses that language. You
10	know, I was I was going to say it's the
11	difference between the PVI and the bottom chart
12	to the top chart, but then as I did some spot
13	checking it doesn't match up, so I
14	Q. Which one doesn't match?
15	A. I just started at the bottom and
16	the first one I looked at, the 18th district in
17	the top chart Gibbs is R plus 7, whatever that
18	means, and then this bottom oh, I see, 18 is
19	not 18 at the bottom. No, there's not 18 in
20	the bottom.
21	Q. What about starting from the top?
22	It might be easier to match. I think there are
23	more of them that
24	A. It just goes to show, I don't know
25	what I mean, I never really looked at this

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DIROSSI
2	when I got it. I don't know.
3	Q. I thought you said you were the
4	author of this document.
5	A. I am, but this information came to
6	me from somebody else because I don't know what
7	these scoring things, how you would calculate
8	them, so I would have just got them and typed
9	them into the cell in my spreadsheet to produce
10	this document.
11	Q. Okay. Well, let's go through a
12	few of them. So the first one is Chabot 1 and
13	the top line it's D plus 1 and then in the
14	following chart it's R plus 6, the Delta is
15	plus 7. Does that look like it's Republicans
16	have gone up plus 7?
17	MR. STRACH: Objection.
18	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I mean, I think
19	you could R is Republican, but again, I don't
20	know what 7 I don't know what that means. I
21	don't know that scoring system.
22	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:
23	Q. Okay. So R plus 13 on the top for
24	Schmidt and then on the bottom it's R plus 8
25	and the Delta is negative 5. Do you see that?

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Page 244 1 RAYMOND E. DiROSSI 2 I do. Α. 3 And you have no understanding of 0. 4 whether the Delta negative 5 is R plus 13 minus 5 R plus 8? 6 I mean, that would seem logical, Α. 7 but since I'm not the source of that 8 information, I --9 Q. Okay. 10 (Thereupon, Plaintiffs' Exhibit 11 Number 23, Document Bates Stamped DIROSSI\_0000142, 12 was marked for purposes of identification.) 13 BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG: 14 I would like to move on to a Ο. 15 document that I'm having marked as Exhibit 23, 16 and for identification it's DIROSSI 142. 17 Α. Yes. 18 0. And it has HB 319 unified indexes, 19 proposal unified indexes. Do you see that? 20 Α. I do. 21 Do you recognize this document? 0. 22 Α. Yes. 23 What is it? Ο. 24 It's yet another document that I Α. 25 created so that I could be prepared when I

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

		Page
1	RAYMOND E. DIROSSI	
2	received inquiries about election results about	
3	districts from any source that I would be able	
4	to answer.	
5	Q. Okay. And this document is a	
6	little hard to read. Excuse me. This is just	
7	the best copy that we received. The column	
8	that says HB 319 unified indexes, is that the	
9	unified index that we've been discussing?	
10	A. It is.	
11	Q. And what is the proposal unified	
12	indexes; do you know?	
13	A. So this document is House Bill 369	
14	as introduced.	
15	Q. Okay. And at the bottom of the	
16	the last column in the first chart says	
17	assuming 52.5 percent and higher is a safe R.	
18	Do you see that?	
19	A. I do.	
20	Q. Where did the assumption come from	
21	that 52.5 is a safe R?	
22	A. So there was a lot of debate, both	
23	in the press, the media and among legislators,	
24	about districts and historical political	
25	indexes. And specifically the Jim Slagle	

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DIROSSI
2	and the Campaign for Accountable Redistricting
3	was saying that using his own set of election
4	data and his own scoring, that there were a
5	lack of competitive districts. And so I was
6	trying to use trying to show House Bill 319
7	versus House Bill 369 as introduced just
8	generally what those indexes would be here.
9	Q. Okay. But where did the
10	assumption come from that 52.5 percent was safe
11	R?
12	A. I can't say for I can't say for
13	certain.
14	Q. And then it goes on to say 47.5
15	percent and lower is safe D. Do you know where
16	that assumption came from?
17	A. Yeah, that is Jim Slagle's I
18	was restating what he had been saying in the
19	press about districts.
20	Q. Now, you testified a minute ago
21	that you created these, Exhibit 24 I mean,
22	sorry, Exhibit 22 and Exhibit 23 for media.
23	Did you use a political indices at all prior to
24	the introduction of HB 319?
25	A. Well, it wasn't just for media.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DIROSSI
2	It was for anybody at any moment in time that
3	might ask. As I pointed out, there were
4	congressmen and women who had asked for that
5	information, there were aspiring candidates to
6	run for Congress in the future when we talked
7	about now Congresswoman Joyce Beatty who
8	specifically made a request about indexes of
9	the 3rd congressional district. There were
10	members of the Senate leadership team that were
11	asking, members of the legislature that were
12	asking, President Niehaus who was then asking
13	me, and so all of these documents are
14	me-created so that I could have them handy so
15	that I could answer those questions.
16	Q. And did you create sorry,
17	strike that.
18	Did you use any of the historical
19	data prior to HB 319 being introduced?
20	A. I mean, I used it in the we had
21	that same election data available to us for the
22	apportionment.
23	Q. Did you use it in the drawing of
24	your maps? Did you ever look at a map and see
25	how its measurement on the unified index?

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI
2	A. Okay. You said okay, I thought
3	you said before 319.
4	Q. Yes, before 319 was introduced, so
5	while you were working on drawing the map did
6	you look at the indices?
7	A. Well, the way that we were set up,
8	whenever we would make a change to any
9	district, whether we were working on the whole
10	state or an individual district, the population
11	would change, the African American population
12	would change, the Hispanic population would
13	change, the unified index would change.
14	All these other variables that
15	people were interested changed simultaneously.
16	So we never used any one exclusively, they were
17	all just changing every time we made any type
18	of change.
19	Q. Okay. So you did have the unified
20	index to look at when you were drawing the
21	maps?
22	A. Yeah, along with everything else
23	that I articulated.
24	(Thereupon, Plaintiffs' Exhibit
25	Number 24, Documents Bates Stamped

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI
2	GOVPR_008278-8280, was marked for purposes of
3	identification.)
4	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:
5	Q. Okay. I would like to mark for
б	the record Exhibit 24. It's in tab 24. For
7	identification, the Bates number is GOVPR_8278.
8	This is something that was produced by the
9	Governor. I'm just going to ask you a kind of
10	quick question. It is the it purports to be
11	the bill signing of HB 218 and HB 319. You'll
12	see your name appears as a participant and next
13	to your name it says Senate Redistricting
14	Director. Do you see that?
15	A. I do.
16	Q. What was your role as the Senate
17	Redistricting Director?
18	A. Yeah, so that's probably somebody
19	preparing a document that really doesn't know
20	what was happening. I did not hold such a
21	title or role.
22	Q. Okay. In your work on
23	redistricting you said you talked to President
24	Niehaus. Between you and Ms. Blessing, was
25	there a division of labor between the Senate

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Page 250 1 RAYMOND E. DiROSSI 2 and the House? 3 Nothing specific, no. Α. 4 Okay. Did you both equal -- have Ο. equal amounts of conversations with President 5 6 Niehaus, for example? 7 I don't know what conversations Α. 8 she might have had with him, so I can't compare 9 the two. 10 (Thereupon, Plaintiffs' Exhibit 11 Number 25, Document Bates Stamped DIROSSI\_0000039, 12 was marked for purposes of identification.) 13 BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG: 14 Okay. I'm having marked for the 0. 15 record Exhibit 25. It's DIROSSI\_39 --16 Α. 39? 17 I'm sorry, that's the name of the Ο. 18 It's Exhibit 25. Bates. 19 Α. Got you. 20 And the subject is Confirmed: 0. 21 Meet with Leadership on Redistricting Bill from September 2nd, 2011. Do you see that? 22 23 Α. I do. 24 When here it refers to a meeting Ο. 25 with the leadership, do you recall who would

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI
2	have been included in leadership meetings that
3	you attended at the time?
4	A. Well, President Niehaus was the
5	president of the Senate, so obviously he was a
6	member of leadership, the president. I would
7	be guessing at the other members of leadership
8	specifically. I can't recall his other members
9	of leadership.
10	Q. Okay. Would Democrats have been
11	included in members of leadership?
12	A. The Democrats had the Democratic
13	leadership, so
14	Q. Would they have been included in
15	meetings that you attended on redistricting?
16	A. If they had asked.
17	Q. Do you recall any specific
18	meetings that you attended with Democrats?
19	A. I did attend a meeting at the
20	request of the Democrats for the during one
21	of their caucuses, but I can't say with
22	certainty whether it was for the congressional
23	redistricting bill or if it was when we were
24	working with them to change the process by
25	which we do apportionment districts in the

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DIROSSI
2	state, the constitutional amendment that was
3	just adopted. But I did attend one caucus at
4	their invitation.
5	Q. Okay. Other than that one meeting
6	that you just mentioned, do you recall
7	attending other any other meetings with the
8	Democratic caucus?
9	A. With the Democratic caucus?
10	Q. Or leadership.
11	A. Caucus, no other. Obviously,
12	apologies, during the apportionment Leader
13	Budish was a member of the apportionment board
14	and I did attend all of the apportionment board
15	meetings, and specifically spoke with him
16	extensively about apportionment.
17	Q. If those meetings had been put in
18	your calendar would they have been put in your
19	calendar as apportionment board or meetings
20	with leadership on redistricting bill?
21	A. Probably apportionment board. If
22	it was an actual date that the apportionment
23	board met, I would have I would have put it
24	on my calendar as an apportionment board
25	meeting.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Page	253
------	-----

		Fag
1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI	
2	Q. Okay.	
3	A. I know Leader Budish and I shared	
4	maybe a two-hour discussion during that	
5	apportionment board meeting about districting	
6	and some of the principles.	
7	Q. Okay. Other than that	
8	conversation you had with Leader Budish during	
9	the apportionment board, do you recall any	
10	other conversations with Leader Budish	
11	regarding redistricting?	
12	A. I do not.	
13	(Thereupon, Plaintiffs' Exhibit	
14	Number 26, Documents Bates Stamped	
15	LWVOH_00018302-18308, was marked for purposes of	
16	identification.)	
17	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:	
18	Q. I would like to show you a	
19	document that I'm having marked as Exhibit 26,	
20	and the first page has the Bates number of	
21	LWVOH_18302, and in the top left-hand sorry,	
22	right-hand corner is your email. Do you see	
23	that?	
24	A. I do.	
25	Q. And then the from line appears to	

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

		Pag
1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI	
2	be from Adam Kincaid. Do you see that?	
3	A. I do.	
4	Q. Do you recognize the email address	
5	next to it as Adam Kincaid's email address?	
6	A. I mean, sitting here that would be	
7	his email.	
8	Q. Okay. And the to line is your	
9	email address, we've already established that,	
10	and Heather Mann's, we've established that.	
11	There's then an email address for Tom Whatman	
12	which is TWhatman@TeamBoehner.com. Do you see	
13	that?	
14	A. Yes, Boehner.	
15	Q. Sorry, Boehner. Do you recognize	
16	that as Tom Whatman's email address?	
17	A. Looking at it on this piece of	
18	paper I would recognize it as his email	
19	address, but I	
20	Q. Okay. So the email from Adam	
21	Kincaid, as we were discussing, says revised	
22	attached, let me know your thoughts and I'll	
23	work on it some more in the morning if needed.	
24	Do you see that?	
25	A. Yes.	
1		

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

		Р
1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI	
2	Q. And then there appears to be an	
3	attachment which says New Map Idea Redraft.zip.	
4	Do you see that?	
5	A. I do.	
6	Q. Did you receive from Adam Kincaid	
7	at this point ideas by email?	
8	A. Do you know, is this all one email	
9	chain?	
10	Q. It appears to be, only because it	
11	says Gmail New Idea Draft page 1 of 8, and then	
12	you have page 2 of 8, page 3 of 8, page 4 of 8,	
13	so on and so forth.	
14	A. Yeah.	
15	Q. And that's an internal pagination	
16	to the document.	
17	A. Okay. And your specific question	
18	again? I'm sorry.	
19	Q. It's a general question about	
20	whether you were receiving ideas from Adam	
21	Kincaid at this point.	
22	A. Well, with this document in front	
23	of me, he sent me something called New Idea	
24	Redraft. I can't recall if it was at my	
25	request of him or he was sending it to me, but	

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

	Fa
1	RAYMOND E. DIROSSI
2	obviously on September 2nd at the date and time
3	here, he sent me that email, this email.
4	Q. Okay. Generally do you recall
5	receiving feedback from Adam Kincaid on the
6	draft map?
7	MR. STRACH: Objection.
8	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I recall sharing
9	information and ideas with Adam, but I don't
10	recall the specifics of them.
11	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:
12	Q. Okay. What types of ideas would
13	you be sharing with Mr. Kincaid at this point?
14	A. Well, again, I don't remember any
15	specific ones, but if any member of the
16	legislature, Republican or Democrat, was asking
17	for something and I felt I wanted his opinion
18	on it, I would have asked him for his opinion
19	on it.
20	Q. If you turn to the third page of
21	this document, and I'm in the middle of the
22	page, there's an email from Tom Whatman. We've
23	already established that email address. And in
24	it he says, Adam, all looks good on the
25	surface, key is whether we can improve CD 1 and

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Case: 1:18-cv-00357-TSB-KNM-MHW Doc #: 230-12 Filed: 02/20/19 Page: 257 of 349 PAGEID #: 13619

Page	257	7
------	-----	---

		I
1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI	
2	CD 14 at block level while keeping concepts	
3	intact. Do you see that?	
4	A. I do.	
5	Q. Do you recall whether at this	
6	point Tom Whatman was providing suggestions to	
7	the draft map?	
8	A. Yeah, so this is an email from Tom	
9	to Adam that I'm cc'd on, I guess.	
10	Q. I think it's to you, but obviously	
11		
12	A. But it's from Tom to yeah, I	
13	don't recall. You can ask Tom what he meant by	
14	that. I don't know.	
15	Q. I'm not asking what he meant in	
16	this email. I'm just asking you generally were	
17	you receiving feedback from Tom Whatman on the	
18	draft map?	
19	A. Tom was another resource that we	
20	could I could have an information exchange	
21	with.	
22	Q. And were you exchanging	
23	information at this point in the process?	
24	A. I would yes, because the bill	
25	was within a couple of weeks of being	

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI
2	introduced and voted on, so yes.
3	(Thereupon, Plaintiffs' Exhibit
4	Number 27, Document Bates Stamped DIROSSI_0000040,
5	was marked for purposes of identification.)
6	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:
7	Q. Okay. I would like to move on to
8	an exhibit I'm having marked as Exhibit 27.
9	For the record, it's DIROSSI_40, and the
10	subject is Confirmed: Meet with Speaker and
11	Others re: Redistricting, and it's from
12	September 5th, 2011. Do you recall meeting
13	with the speaker generally during this period?
14	A. I do remember a meeting, but I
15	don't know if I don't know anything about
16	this one. I can't remember what this one was
17	
18	Q. And if the meeting the meeting,
19	just to be clear, would have been with Speaker
20	Batchelder or would it have been with someone
21	else?
22	MR. STRACH: Objection.
23	THE WITNESS: Which one, the one that
24	I recall or this one?
25	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

# Case: 1:18-cv-00357-TSB-KNM-MHW Doc #: 230-12 Filed: 02/20/19 Page: 259 of 349 PAGEID #: 13621

		Page	259
1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI		
2	Q. The one that you recall.		
3	A. The one that I recall, which I		
4	don't know if it is this one, was with Speaker		
5	Batchelder.		
6	Q. Okay. Do you recall anyone else		
7	being at that meeting?		
8	A. President Niehaus.		
9	Q. Anyone else?		
10	A. Myself, Heather.		
11	Q. Anyone else?		
12	A. Those are the four that I		
13	remember, including myself.		
14	Q. And what was the subject of that		
15	meeting that you recall?		
16	A. Generally it was congressional		
17	redistricting and where we were in the process		
18	and how we were moving forward.		
19	Q. Okay. And where were you at that		
20	point in the process?		
21	A. Well, again, we're talking about a		
22	hypothetical meeting or a meeting that I		
23	don't remember when it was. So where we were		
24	in the process, I couldn't say.		
25	(Thereupon, Plaintiffs' Exhibit		

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Page 260 1 RAYMOND E. DiROSSI 2 Number 28, Document Bates Stamped DIROSSI\_0000043, 3 was marked for purposes of identification.) 4 BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG: 5 0. Okay. I would like to turn to an 6 exhibit I'm having marked as Exhibit 28. It's 7 DIROSSI\_43, for the record, and it says Confirmed: Meet with President Niehaus re: 8 9 Apportionment and Redistricting, Tuesday, 10 November -- sorry, September 6th. Do you see 11 that? 12 I do. Α. 13 Do you recall having a meeting 0. 14 with President Niehaus around this time? 15 I mean, so September is right in Α. 16 the heart of the apportionment constitutional 17 timeline that had to be done, the redistricting 18 was also ongoing, so I -- I do remember meeting 19 and talking to President Niehaus a lot. 20 (Thereupon, Plaintiffs' Exhibit 21 Number 29, Document Bates Stamped DIROSSI\_0000044, 22 was marked for purposes of identification.) 23 BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG: 24 I would like to move to an Okay. Ο. 25 exhibit I'm going to have marked as Exhibit 29.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Case: 1:18-cv-00357-TSB-KNM-MHW Doc #: 230-12 Filed: 02/20/19 Page: 261 of 349 PAGEID #: 13623

Page 261

1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI
2	It is Confirmed: Senate Leadership Meeting,
3	and it's DIROSSI_44, for the record.
4	And, to be efficient, I'm also
5	marking for the record Exhibit 30. It's
6	DIROSSI_45. It's another Confirmed: Senate
7	Leadership Meeting from September 9th.
8	(Thereupon, Plaintiffs' Exhibit
9	Number 30, Document Bates Stamped DIROSSI_0000045,
10	was marked for purposes of identification.)
11	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:
12	Q. As you sit here today, do you
13	recall whether you had two separate meetings
14	with the Senate leadership or if this is one
15	meeting with multiple entries?
16	A. Okay. Apologies. You're looking
17	at 30 and 31? I'm sorry.
18	Q. I'm looking at 29 and 30.
19	A. And your question is did these
20	meetings happen?
21	Q. Do you recall whether there were
22	two separate meetings with the Senate
23	leadership or was there just one meeting?
24	A. I mean, I just don't I don't
25	recall if these meetings happened, I don't

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

		Pay
1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI	
2	recall if we did one and not the other. It's	
3	just too long ago. I don't recall.	
4	Q. Okay. And we've already discussed	
5	a little bit about meetings with leadership.	
б	Do you recall whether these meetings would have	
7	been with Democrats or with Republicans?	
8	MR. STRACH: Objection.	
9	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:	
10	Q. As planned, at the minimum there	
11	was a planned meeting?	
12	A. Well, as I said, President Niehaus	
13	was having those conversations with the members	
14	of the Democratic Senate, and so there was	
15	really no need for me to have that level of	
16	interaction. Any information that was	
17	relevant, like the requests from the	
18	legislative Democrats that we did in 369, were	
19	being relayed to me through other other	
20	channels.	
21	Q. If you received a meeting	
22	invitation, would that meeting have been for a	
23	meeting with Republicans and Democrats or just	
24	Republicans?	
25	MR. STRACH: Objection.	

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Page 263 1 RAYMOND E. DiROSSI 2 THE WITNESS: I mean, it could have 3 been -- it could have been both. 4 (Thereupon, Plaintiffs' Exhibit 5 Number 31, Document Bates Stamped LWVOH\_00018310, 6 was marked for purposes of identification.) 7 BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG: 8 I'm moving to what I'm having Ο. 9 marked as DiRossi 31. It has Bates stamp LWVOH 10 and it's 18310. And do you see your email 11 address at the top right corner? 12 I do. Α. 13 0. And then do you see your email 14 address in the from line at the top of the 15 email? 16 I do. Α. 17 Ο. In the to line it says Senator 18 Keith Faber. Do you see that? 19 Α. Yes. 20 And who -- do you recognize this 0. 21 email address? 22 Α. Yes. 23 And whose email address is this? Ο. 24 I believe it is Senator Keith Α. 25 Faber's.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Page 264 1 RAYMOND E. DiROSSI 2 And then in the cc line it is Matt 0. 3 Schuler. Do you recognize this as Matt Schuler's email address? 4 5 Α. Yes. 6 And in the email the top line says 0. 7 Senator Faber, here is your concept put into a 8 Do you see that? map. 9 Α. Yes. 10 0. At any point in the redistricting 11 process did you receive ideas from Senator 12 Faber? 13 Α. Verbally, yes. 14 And what were the nature of his Ο. 15 verbal ideas? 16 So he lives in -- resides in Α. 17 Mercer County and in House Bill 319 and 369 you 18 have multiple congressional districts that were 19 being proposed to come together and balance out 20 on population in Mercer County. 21 And do you have copies of the maps 22 that I can -- I can use? We keep referring to 23 all these maps and I --24 You know, I have a copy of 369, I Ο. 25 believe. Would this be helpful to you?

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DIROSSI
2	A. Yes. So as I was saying thank
3	you for that, that's very helpful. As I was
4	saying, in Mercer County you have three
5	congressional districts that are coming
6	together and are really being balanced out down
7	to that block level, and he, residing in Mercer
8	County, was very interested about what
9	geography was going to be in what district, and
10	so those were the nature of the verbal
11	interactions that I had with him.
12	Q. Okay. I'm going to skip a line.
13	And then the email goes on to, if the other
14	idea that Huffman worked on - we need to get
15	something that you and Huffman agree to by
16	tomorrow when Speaker Batchelder and President
17	Niehaus get together. Do you see that?
18	A. I do.
19	Q. Do you recall there being a
20	disagreement between Mr. Huffman and Mr. Faber?
21	A. I do not recall any disagreement
22	between them.
23	Q. Okay. Do you recall a time where
24	there were discussions between members of the
25	House and members of the Senate about the

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

		5
1	RAYMOND E. DIROSSI	
2	redistricting bill?	
3	A. So you're asking me if I was part	
4	of any conversations with I'm sorry.	
5	Q. With members of the House and	
б	members of the Senate about the bill.	
7	A. Well, this this would be one	
8	example where Senator Faber, senator, Matt	
9	Huffman, House member, and I were talking	
10	about, my recollection Mercer County, and how	
11	the map could be proposed.	
12	Q. Okay. Do you recall if at this	
13	point in September on September 10th if the	
14	map had been introduced yet?	
15	A. I should know that. I don't	
16	recall. I don't recall.	
17	Q. The next line of the email says DC	
18	is increasingly is pushing to put the lid on	
19	this. Do you see that?	
20	A. I do.	
21	Q. Do you recall what the reference	
22	to DC is?	
23	A. I don't I don't recall.	
24	Q. What about put the lid on this,	
25	what does that mean?	

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DIROSSI
2	A. Well, it's to get a map proposed
3	and enacted.
4	Q. Were you getting pressure from
5	anyone to get a map proposed and enacted at
6	this point?
7	A. Well, people were getting pressure
8	from me because we were right in the heart of
9	the constitutionally mandated timeline for the
10	apportionment, which had to be done by
11	September 31st, and I was personally very
12	concerned that we were still working on the
13	congressional redistricting at the same time we
14	were trying to do the apportionment and that we
15	were just it was too much.
16	Q. I understand that you were
17	pressuring people, but this DC, at a minimum,
18	is a reference to someone who is not you,
19	correct?
20	MR. STRACH: Objection.
21	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:
22	Q. Do you refer to yourself in the
23	third person?
24	A. Not usually, but I'm sure I have.
25	Q. Do you refer to yourself by DC?

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI
2	A. No.
3	Q. So, at a minimum, this was someone
4	outside of you?
5	MR. STRACH: Objection.
6	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't remember
7	the specifics of who I was referring to here in
8	this you know, obviously an email from almost
9	seven years ago.
10	(Thereupon, Plaintiffs' Exhibit
11	Number 32, Document Bates Stamped LWVOH_00018297,
12	was marked for purposes of identification.)
13	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:
14	Q. All right. I'm going to move on
15	to an exhibit I'm having marked as 32 and it is
16	LWVOH_18297, and the subject line is
17	Redistricting Tweaks. Do you see your email
18	address in the top right corner?
19	A. I do.
20	Q. Then there is an email in the from
21	line. Do you recognize this email address?
22	A. Are you referring to Senator
23	Niehaus?
24	Q. Yes.
25	A. Yes, I do recognize that.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

# Case: 1:18-cv-00357-TSB-KNM-MHW Doc #: 230-12 Filed: 02/20/19 Page: 269 of 349 PAGEID #: 13631

			Page	269
1	RAYI	MOND E. DiROSSI		
2	Q.	And whose email address is that?		
3	Α.	Senator Niehaus'.		
4	Q.	And in the to line there is a		
5	Whatman@sppg:	rp.com. Do you see that?		
6	Α.	I do.		
7	Q.	Do you recognize that email		
8	address?			
9	Α.	You know, I really don't because		
10	we just look	ed at you asked me before about		
11	a Tom Whatma	n email and that was the one I		
12	recognized.	This one is a different one. I		
13	don't recogn	ize this one.		
14	Q.	Okay. Did you email any Whatmans		
15	at more than	one email address?		
16	Α.	Boy, I don't recall.		
17	Q.	Okay.		
18	Α.	I don't recall.		
19	Q.	Do you recognize your email		
20	address in t	he other to line?		
21	Α.	I do. That is mine.		
22	Q.	Okay. In the first line it says		
23	sorry about	the last-minute tweaks from Faber		
24	and Widener.	Do you see that?		
25	Α.	I do.		

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

### Case: 1:18-cv-00357-TSB-KNM-MHW Doc #: 230-12 Filed: 02/20/19 Page: 270 of 349 PAGEID #:

З	h	З	2	

Page 270 1 RAYMOND E. DiROSSI 2 Did you have an understanding or 0. 3 do you know of who Faber is? I don't think I ever said I didn't 4 Α. 5 know who Faber was. 6 I'm asking who is Faber referenced Ο. 7 here? 8 MR. STRACH: Objection. 9 You can answer that if you can. 10 THE WITNESS: It sounds like a simple 11 question, but I didn't understand it. Apologies. 12 BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG: 13 Yeah. Who is the Faber referenced Ο. 14 here? 15 Senator Faber. Α. 16 And who is the Widener referenced Ο. 17 here? 18 Senator Widener. Α. 19 And I'm skipping to the next line. 0. 20 It says, I'm still committed to getting --21 committed to ending up with a map that Speaker 22 Boehner fully supports with or without the votes from two members in leadership. Do you 23 24 see that? 25 Α. Yes.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI
2	Q. Do you recall at the time there
3	being discussions about Speaker Boehner's
4	support of the map?
5	A. Yeah, I mean, this obviously
6	this is an email from Senator Niehaus that I
7	may have received, but I didn't send, so I
8	don't know what I mean
9	Q. Again, I'm not asking you about
10	this email specifically. I'm asking do you
11	recall there being any discussions which you
12	were a part of where there was talk about
13	Speaker Boehner's support of the map?
14	A. Well, it was it was clear to me
15	from conversations with President Niehaus that
16	President Niehaus was interested in Speaker
17	Boehner's input and thoughts on the map. But
18	ultimately, as we've talked about, this was a
19	bill that was going through the legislature,
20	and anybody who had thoughts or ideas of the
21	map, if we couldn't get I shouldn't say we,
22	because I'm not a member of the legislature, if
23	the president and the speaker of the Ohio House
24	can't get the votes in the legislature, it's
25	irrelevant.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1 RAYMOND E. DiROSSI 2 So yes, it was made clear to me 3 that he was interested in feedback and what 4 Speaker Boehner thought, but he still had the 5 responsibility to work with the Democrats in 6 the legislature and get a bill passed. 7 At the time when 319 was 0. Okay. 8 passed did it have Democratic support? 9 I believe it did. It had much Α. 10 less support than 369, where, as I said, I 11 think there were 25 members of the Democratic 12 House and Senate that voted in support of it, 13 but there were members of the Senate Democratic 14 caucus who voted for 319. They spoke very 15 passionately about the map on the floor of the 16 Ohio Senate. And there were a handful of members of the Ohio House that voted in support 17 18 of 319 on the Ohio House and who also spoke 19 very eloquently about it on the floor of the 20 House. 21 So your recollection is that 0. 22 Democrats spoke in favor of the map, and by the 23 map I mean 319, on the floor of the House? 24 Α. The ones who voted for it, yes, 25 some of them did speak to it on the floor of

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

# Case: 1:18-cv-00357-TSB-KNM-MHW Doc #: 230-12 Filed: 02/20/19 Page: 273 of 349 PAGEID #: 13635

Page 273

1	RAYMOND E. DIROSSI
2	the House and Senate respectively.
3	Q. And when was that?
4	A. I don't recall the specific date
5	that 319 was on the floor of the House and
6	Senate, but whatever date it was.
7	Q. At the bottom of this email it
8	says I spoke with Strivers Sunday morning and
9	know he does not support the Widener changes
10	putting him over to Wright-Patt. Do you see
11	that?
12	A. I do.
13	Q. Do you recall there being
14	discussions at the time with Congressman
15	Strivers?
16	A. Again, this is not an email that I
17	generated. I received it, but I didn't
18	generate it, so I if there are
19	conversations, I wasn't having them.
20	Q. Okay.
21	A. That's not what I was
22	Q. So you did not have any
23	conversations with Congressman Strivers at this
24	time?
25	A. None that I can specifically

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Page 274 1 RAYMOND E. DiROSSI 2 recall. 3 Ο. Okay. 4 Α. I do remember in producing 5 documents there was an email that I believe he 6 and I exchanged, but I cannot recall the 7 specifics of it. 8 Do you recall at all there being a 0. 9 particular concern with Congressman Strivers at 10 this time regarding the draft map? You're talking about 319 or 369 or 11 Α. 12 both? 13 319 because we're in early 0. 14 September. 15 319. Your question again? Α. 16 Do you recall there being any 0. 17 concerns that Congressman Strivers had about 18 319? 19 None that I can articulate or Α. 20 recall. 21 (Thereupon, Plaintiffs' Exhibit 22 Number 33, Documents Bates Stamped LVWOH\_00018298-18301, was marked for purposes of 23 24 identification.) 25 BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI
2	Q. Okay. I'm going to turn to a
3	document I'm having marked as Exhibit 33 and
4	it's LWVOH_18298. And do you see your email
5	address in the top right corner?
6	A. I do.
7	Q. And then in the from line, is that
8	your email address?
9	A. Yes, it is.
10	Q. And then in the to line, is that
11	President Niehaus' email address?
12	A. You know, once again, the previous
13	email that we looked at for his was at fuse dot
14	net and this one is not. That is an email I am
15	unfamiliar with.
16	Q. Okay.
17	A. I'm not sure why there's a
18	different one there.
19	Q. And we've already talked about the
20	other two email addresses. You recognize Keith
21	Faber and Matt Schuler's email address,
22	correct?
23	A. I do.
24	Q. The timestamp of this email is
25	1:00 a.m. on September 12th. Do you see that?

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

## Case: 1:18-cv-00357-TSB-KNM-MHW Doc #: 230-12 Filed: 02/20/19 Page: 276 of 349 PAGEID #: 13638

Page 276 1 RAYMOND E. DiROSSI 2 I do. Α. 3 Do you recall working late during 0. 4 this period? 5 Α. Yes. 6 As part of your work during this 0. 7 period were you emailing with President Niehaus? 8 Well, this email was from me to 9 Α. 10 him at 1:06 a.m. 11 0. Okay. And were you -- we've seen 12 other emails from Keith Faber. Were vou 13 emailing with him during this period? 14 This period being this time of Α. 15 night or this period meaning --16 Ο. Meaning we've seen a couple of 17 emails starting with September 10th. This is 18 September 12th. Do you recall in this period 19 in September emailing with Keith Faber? 20 I recall mid September is the time Α. 21 where the first map House Bill 319 was close to 22 being enacted and it was also the final two 23 weeks of the apportionment, so we would be 24 working around the clock on both -- both items 25 simultaneously. So conversations with

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI
2	President Niehaus about apportionment and
3	redistricting would be very commonplace.
4	Q. What about with Matt Schuler, were
5	you emailing with him regularly during this
6	period?
7	A. Not as regular. I enjoyed a
8	luxury as I mentioned to you before, I had
9	been a part of this process the decade before.
10	I was really the only person that had some
11	historical interaction with this process
12	before. So I was largely, by Matt, who had not
13	been through this process, by President
14	Niehaus, to some extent as well, who had not
15	been through this process, I was they knew
16	that I understood the logistical and time
17	frames and everything and so they I did not
18	get a whole lot of direction from them.
19	Q. Do you recall if the map had been
20	introduced yet at this point?
21	A. I don't recall.
22	Q. Now, looking at the text of the
23	email, you state index for Latta fell two
24	one-hundredth of a point to 51.33. Who is
25	Latta?

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Page 278 1 RAYMOND E. DiROSSI 2 Α. He would have been a congressman, 3 former state senator and congressman from the 4 5th congressional district. 5 And which party was he part of? 0. 6 He was a member of the Republican Α. 7 party. 8 And then the next line says index Ο. 9 for Jordan rose three one-hundredths of a 10 point, 53.26. Do you see that? 11 I do. Α. 12 And who was Jordan? Ο. 13 Α. He is a former state senator, 14 current member of the Ohio Congressional 15 Delegation. 16 And is that Jim Jordan who we've Ο. 17 referenced in the past? 18 It is. Α. 19 Okay. And is the index referred 0. 20 to here the same political index that we 21 discussed earlier? 22 It's the unified index that I was Α. 23 using or I was trying to use, even though that 24 others wanted to use other --25 Okay. Q.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1 RAYMOND E. DiROSSI 2 -- other indexes. Α. And was it your practice at the 3 0. 4 time that when you were making changes to the 5 map that you would reference the index? 6 Well, as I've said, at any moment Α. 7 in time what people would ask about might be 8 geography, might be indexes, might be 9 incumbency, might be a number of things. The 10 very next line in this email that you didn't 11 mention yet, I'm sure you would have, is the 12 geographical changes to the districts for those 13 two things. 14 So I was mentioning the amount of 15 people in Lucas County that each of these two 16 congressional districts would contain in the 17 4th and 5th congressional district, and then I 18 was also showing the effect on the historical 19 political index simultaneously. 20 Ο. Going to the next email in the 21 chain, this one is 7:44 a.m., a more decent 22 time of morning, from President Niehaus to you 23 directly, and it says did Whatman sign off. Do 24 you see that? 25 I do. Α.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

# Case: 1:18-cv-00357-TSB-KNM-MHW Doc #: 230-12 Filed: 02/20/19 Page: 280 of 349 PAGEID #: 13642

Page	280

	Pa	age	
1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI		
2	Q. Did you have an understanding of		
3	who Whatman was?		
4	A. Yes.		
5	Q. Was that Tom Whatman?		
6	A. Yes.		
7	Q. And why was Whatman's sign-off		
8	discussed here?		
9	MR. STRACH: Objection.		
10	Go ahead and answer.		
11	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I mean, this is		
12	an email from Niehaus, so you can ask him - I'm		
13	sure you will - of what he was looking for. But,		
14	as I said before, Tom was somebody that I was		
15	exchanging information on or with.		
16	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:		
17	Q. Sorry, I'm just going to continue		
18	and we may get to		
19	A. That's fine.		
20	Q. I'm just going to move on. I		
21	think the question my colleague has will be		
22	relevant. I'm moving on to exhibit what I'm		
23	going to have marked as Exhibit 34 and it's		
24	LWVOH_18320.		
25	(Thereupon, Plaintiffs' Exhibit		

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Case: 1:18-cv-00357-TSB-KNM-MHW Doc #: 230-12 Filed: 02/20/19 Page: 281 of 349 PAGEID #: 13643

	13943		
	Page 281		
1	RAYMOND E. DIROSSI		
2	Number 34, Document Bates Stamped LWVOH_00018320,		
3	was marked for purposes of identification.)		
4	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:		
5	Q. And do you see your email address		
6	in the top right corner?		
7	A. I do.		
8	Q. And then do you see your email		
9	address in the to line?		
10	A. I do.		
11	Q. And the subject is Stivers Map and		
12	this is from that same date, Monday, the 12th,		
13	and this again is a a more natural time of		
14	morning, 11:22 a.m. Do you see that?		
15	A. I do.		
16	Q. And who is this email to?		
17	A. To Tom Whatman.		
18	Q. And this is the email address that		
19	you recognize as his email address?		
20	A. Yes.		
21	Q. And in the text of the email it		
22	says Strivers '08 Pres goes from 52.64 to 53.1.		
23	Do you see that?		
24	A. I do.		
25	Q. Is that another reference to the		

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

## Case: 1:18-cv-00357-TSB-KNM-MHW Doc #: 230-12 Filed: 02/20/19 Page: 282 of 349 PAGEID #:

Page 282 1 RAYMOND E. DiROSSI 2 unified index? 3 It is. Α. 4 And then it says Stivers --0. 5 Α. I'm sorry. Did you say 52.64 to 6 53.31? 7 Q. Yes. 8 Okay. I misspoke. That is not Α. 9 the unified index. 10 What is that? 0. 11 52.64 to 53.31 was the '08 Α. 12 presidential numbers. And again, this goes to 13 the inherent conflict where I thought 14 historically we should be looking at one 15 number, and other people, federal folks, 16 congressmen, congresswomen had different 17 numbers they wanted to look at. 18 Okay. And then this says Strivers 0. 19 unified index goes from 55.02 to 55.72. Is 20 that a reference to the unified index? 21 It is. Α. 22 Ο. Okay. Thank you for that 23 clarification. 24 The next line is Schmidt 08 Pres 25 goes from 54.62 to 53.99. Who is Schmidt?

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

DEPO SDOH 0464

1	RAYMOND E. DIROSSI
2	A. Congresswoman Schmidt was a she
3	is no longer, but she was an incumbent from one
4	of the Southern Ohio congressional districts.
5	Q. And which party was she from?
6	A. Republican.
7	Q. And is this reference to the
8	presidential '08 index that we discussed?
9	A. It is, yeah, the one that's going
10	down, right. So this is this is a great
11	example of any time you make these changes in
12	the map, one index might be going up, another
13	one might be going down. So, you know, in this
14	case one set of numbers were going up, another
15	one was going down.
16	Q. And the next line says Stivers
17	unified index goes from 57.64 to 56.96. Is
18	that a typo? Do you think that's Schmidt?
19	A. I think I probably made many typos
20	in these emails. Yeah, that probably would
21	have been Schmidt is what I would have meant
22	there.
23	Q. And would this have been a
24	reference to the unified index that we
25	discussed?

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Page 284 1 RAYMOND E. DiROSSI 2 Α. Yes. 3 And then you say I can send the Ο. 4 equivalency file if necessary. Do you see 5 that? 6 I do. Α. 7 Did you have a practice of sending 0. 8 the equivalency files to Tom Whatman at this 9 point? 10 I wouldn't call it a practice. Α. Sometimes I did, sometimes I didn't. 11 12 MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG: Okay. I think 13 we have to go off the record to change the DVD. 14 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're off the 15 record. 16 (Recess taken.) 17 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're on the 18 record. 19 MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG: Thank you. 20 BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG: 21 Good afternoon. 0. 22 Α. Hello again. 23 We're almost there, I promise. 0. 24 I would like to go back to the 25 unified index. Did you share the unified index

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

		Page	285
1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI		
2	numbers with President Niehaus prior to the map		
3	being introduced?		
4	A. You mean how I came to suggest the		
5	specific races that made did I talk to him		
6	about its composition or		
7	Q. I'm talking about the unified		
8	index numbers for districts. Did you share		
9	those numbers with President Niehaus prior to		
10	the introduction of HB 319?		
11	A. I don't recall if I did.		
12	Q. Let's look back at Exhibit 33.		
13	And this is an email, to refresh your		
14	recollection, from you to President Niehaus,		
15	and as we discussed, in the body of the email		
16	you reference Latta and Jordan numbers. Do you		
17	see that?		
18	A. Yes.		
19	Q. Does this refresh your		
20	recollection about whether you shared unified		
21	indices numbers with President Niehaus prior to		
22	the introduction of HB 319?		
23	A. Well, with regards to this		
24	specific email, I mentioned the unified index,		
25	I mentioned the geography changes for that		

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

		Fay
1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI	
2	those two specific districts. I thought you	
3	were asking about all of the districts.	
4	Q. Is your recollection that you only	
5	shared the unified index number for Latta and	
6	Jordan?	
7	A. Before you pointed me to this, I	
8	didn't recall I mean, I obviously sent him	
9	this email, but I don't recall any other	
10	instances when I would have specific	
11	instances where I would have shared that	
12	information.	
13	Q. Would you have shared that	
14	information with anyone that you recall?	
15	A. Well, again, primarily they were	
16	if anybody asked me, I would have shared	
17	them, but I don't recall.	
18	Q. Okay. Do you recall anyone from	
19	the leadership, being the Republican	
20	leadership, asking for the unified index	
21	numbers prior to the introduction of HB 319?	
22	MR. STRACH: Objection.	
23	THE WITNESS: I don't recall. I	
24	think I had lost this battle in the index number	s,
25	the historical election information that I wante	d

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

	P
1	RAYMOND E. DIROSSI
2	to look at, nobody else really seemed to want to
3	look at. They wanted to look at their own
4	their own numbers.
5	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:
6	Q. Okay. So when you look at Exhibit
7	33, that's your index, correct?
8	A. I don't specifically reference
9	that it's the unified index, I just refer to it
10	as an index. So I don't recall if it was the
11	unified index or it was one of the other
12	components thereof or one of the other things
13	that people had asked to look at.
14	Q. Okay. What were the other indices
15	that this could have been in Exhibit 33?
16	A. Like we talked about in some of
17	the other documents, it could have been the '08
18	presidential numbers that a lot of people
19	wanted to use, because these were federal
20	elections so they wanted to use presidential
21	election results. It could have been any of
22	the individual components of the unified index
23	that somebody wanted to look at. We talked
24	about a document where there were some people
25	that said you should be looking at the 2010 AG

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

		Рас					
1	RAYMOND E. DIROSSI						
2	race, a race that I didn't want or didn't						
3	think we should include in the unified index.						
4	So unless I specified the unified index, I						
5	can't remember which one that is for.						
6	Q. Okay. Looking at Exhibit 34,						
7	which we've already gone through, there is a						
8	reference to presidential index and unified						
9	index. Is the unified index discussed here the						
10	unified index						
11	A. Yes.						
12	Q that you created?						
13	A. Yes, so this one I specifically						
14	said what the numbers came from.						
15	Q. Okay.						
16	(Thereupon, Plaintiffs' Exhibit						
17	Number 35, Documents Bates Stamped						
18	LWVOH_00018322-18325, was marked for purposes of						
19	identification.)						
20	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:						
21	Q. I would like to mark what is going						
22	to be Exhibit 35 and it has LWVOH_18322. Do						
23	you see that?						
24	A. 18 18322?						
25	Q. Yes, sorry, I'm just marking it						

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

## Case: 1:18-cv-00357-TSB-KNM-MHW Doc #: 230-12 Filed: 02/20/19 Page: 289 of 349 PAGEID #: 12651

1 0	$\sim$	_ /	
1.2	6	- · ·	
	UJ.		

				Page	289	
1		RAYN	MOND E. DiROSSI			
2	for the	recor	cd.			
3		A.	Oh, yes, I see.			
4		Q.	And do you see your email address			
5	in the t	op ri	ight-hand corner?			
6		A.	I do.			
7		Q.	And then do you see your email			
8	address	in tł	ne from line?			
9		A.	Yes.			
10		Q.	Okay. And the email is to Adam			
11	Kincaid.	Do	you recognize that address?			
12		A.	I do.			
13		Q.	And then it's copying the Heather			
14	Mann add	lress	that you recognize, correct?			
15		A.	Yes.			
16		Q.	And the Tom Whatman email address			
17	that you recognize?					
18		A.	Yes.			
19		Q.	Okay. In the text of the email it			
20	says we	are v	vorking to get sign-off from			
21	Speaker	Batch	nelder and President Niehaus on			
22	this Sti	vers	edit and then we will be done. Do			
23	you see	that?				
24		A.	I do.			
25		Q.	Do you recall there being a			

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, Freda J. Levenson, hereby certify that on this 10th day of December, 2021, I caused a

true and correct copy of this appendix to be served by email upon the counsel listed below:

Bridget C. Coontz, bridget.coontz@ohioago.gov Julie M. Pfeiffer, julie.pfeiffer@ohioago.gov Michael Walton, michael.walton@ohioago.gov

Counsel for Respondent Ohio Secretary of State LaRose

Phillip J. Strach, phil.strach@nelsonmullins.com Thomas A. Farr, tom.farr@nelsonmullins.com John E. Branch, III, john.branch@nelsonmullins.com Alyssa M. Riggins, alyssa.riggins@nelsonmullins.com

*Counsel for Respondents House Speaker Robert R. Cupp and Senate President Matt Huffman* 

<u>/s/Freda J. Levenson</u> Freda J. Levenson (0045916) Counsel for Relators

#### Regina C. Adams, et al., Case No. 2021-1428 Relators, v. **Original Action Filed Pursuant to** Ohio Const., Art. XIX, Sec. 3(A) Governor Mike De Wine, et al., Respondents. League of Women Voters of Ohio, et al., Case No. 2021-1449 Relators, v. **Original Action Filed Pursuant to** Ohio Const., Art. XIX, Sec. 3(A) Governor Mike DeWine, et al., Respondents.

#### IN THE SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

#### AFFIDAVIT OF FREDA LEVENSON EXHIBITS APPENDIX E -A. PHILIP RANDOLPH INSTITUTE V. SMITH DEPOSITIONS Volume 3 of 3

(Counsel listing on next page)

Abha Khanna (PHV 2189-2021) Ben Stafford (PHV 25433-2021) ELIAS LAW GROUP, LLP 1700 Seventh Ave., Suite 2100 Seattle, WA 98101 (206) 656-0176 akhanna@elias.law

Aria C. Branch (PHV 25435-2021) Jyoti Jasrasaria (PHV 25401-2021) Spencer W. Klein (PHV 25432-2021) Harleen K. Gambhir (PHV 25587-2021) ELIAS LAW GROUP, LLP 10 G St. NE, Suite 600 Washington, DC 20002 (202) 968-4490 abranch@elias.law

Donald J. McTigue (0022849) *Counsel of Record* Derek S. Clinger (0092075) McTIGUE & COLOMBO, LLC 545 East Town Street Columbus, OH 43215 (614) 263-7000 dmctigue@electionlawgroup.com

Counsel for Adams Relators

Freda J. Levenson (0045916) *Counsel of Record* ACLU OF OHIO FOUNDATION, INC. 4506 Chester Avenue Cleveland, OH 44103 (614) 586-1972 x125 flevenson@acluohio.org

David J. Carey (0088787) ACLU OF OHIO FOUNDATION, INC. 1108 City Park Avenue, Suite 203 Columbus, OH 43206 (614) 586-1972 x2004 dcarey@acluohio.org Dave Yost Ohio Attorney General

Bridget C. Coontz (0072919) Julie M. Pfeiffer (0069762) Michael A. Walton (0092201) Assistant Attorneys General Constitutional Offices Section 30 E. Broad Street, 16th Floor Columbus, OH 43215 (614) 466-2872 bridget.coontz@ohioago.gov

Counsel for Respondent Ohio Secretary of State Frank LaRose

Phillip J. Strach (PHV 25444-2021) Thomas A. Farr (PHV 25461-2021) John E. Branch, III (PHV 25460-2021) Alyssa M. Riggins (PHV 25441-2021) NELSON MULLINS RILEY & SCARBOROUGH, LLP 4140 Parklake Ave., Suite 200 Raleigh, NC 27612 (919) 329-3812 phil.strach@nelsonmullins.com

Counsel for Respondents House Speaker Bob Cupp and Senate President Matt Huffman Julie A. Ebenstein (PHV 25423-2021) AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION 125 Broad Street New York, NY 10004 (212) 519-7866 jebenstein@aclu.org

Robert D. Fram (PHV 25414-2021) Donald Brown (PHV 25480-2021) David Denuyl (PHV 25452-2021) Joshua González (PHV 25424-2021) Juliana Goldrosen (PHV 25193-2021) COVINGTON & BURLING, LLP Salesforce Tower 415 Mission Street, Suite 5400 San Francisco, CA 94105-2533 (415) 591-6000 rfram@cov.com

James Smith\*\* L. Brady Bender (PHV 25192-2021) Sarah Suwanda\*\* Alex Thomson (PHV 25462-2021) COVINGTON & BURLING, LLP One CityCenter 850 Tenth Street, NW Washington, DC 20001-4956 (202) 662-6000 jmsmith@cov.com

Anupam Sharma (PHV 25418-2021) Yale Fu (PHV 25419-2021) COVINGTON & BURLING, LLP 3000 El Camino Real 5 Palo Alto Square, 10th Floor Palo Alto, CA 94306-2112 (650) 632-4700 asharma@cov.com

Counsel for League of Women Voters Relators

\*\* Pro Hac Vice Motion Forthcoming

#### **EXHIBITS APPENDIX E - A. PHILLIP RANDOLPH INST. V. SMITH DEPOSITIONS**

#### **INDEX OF DOCUMENTS**

#### Vol. 3 of 3

ITEM	DESCRIPTION	BATES RANGE
1	Deposition Transcript of Raymond DiRossi Part 2	DEPO_SDOH_0472 - 531
2	Deposition Transcript of John Morgan	DEPO_SDOH_0532 - 694

		Р
1	RAYMOND E. DIROSSI	
2	Stivers edit at the time?	
3	A. I don't recall. I mean, the title	
4	of this email is Possible Stivers Addition. I	
5	can't recall what it was or if it was included	
6	or not.	
7	Q. Okay. I would like to turn to the	
8	next page. I'm sorry, the next page in the	
9	same exhibit. This is an email from Tom	
10	Whatman at an address that we've already said	
11	for the record you recognize, to you, Adam	
12	Kincaid and Heather Mann, and the text of the	
13	Tom Whatman email says, guys, really sorry to	
14	ask, but can we do a small carveout of 77 in	
15	Canton and put Timken HQ in the 16th. Do you	
16	see that?	
17	A. I do.	
18	Q. Do you recall receiving a request	
19	from Tom Whatman at this time?	
20	A. I do.	
21	Q. And what was the nature of that	
22	request?	
23	A. He was asking, very much as the	
24	text reads here, of whether or not we could	
25	make a geography change and include some	

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Page	291
------	-----

1 RAYMOND E. DiROSSI 2 geography that wasn't currently proposed in the 16th into the 16th. 3 4 And did you have an understanding 0. 5 of why that request was being made? 6 I mean, other than what I just Α. 7 said, he wanted to include this area in the 16th. 8 9 And you had no understanding of Q. 10 why? 11 Α. No. 12 Did you make that change? Ο. 13 Α. I believe later in the email I 14 asked Adam Kincaid if he would make the change 15 and send me an equivalency file so that I could 16 review it to understand it a little better. 17 Was it your practice to make 0. 18 changes to geography that you didn't 19 understand? 20 MR. STRACH: Objection. 21 I mean, we didn't make THE WITNESS: 22 Based on this email, they -- I asked the change. 23 them to make the change and send it to me so that 24 I could review it, and then with doing that we 25 made the change.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

		Pag
1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI	
2	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:	
3	Q. Okay. And why did you make the	
4	change?	
5	A. Well, this is not this was,	
6	again, not uncommon that we would make changes	
7	for geography at the request of either	
8	congressmen or congresswomen to add geography	
9	or add territory into the district. This was	
10	kind of a common request.	
11	Q. Okay. And at any point when you	
12	received requests like this, did you question	
13	why you were receiving the request?	
14	A. In some cases.	
15	Q. Do you recall any specific cases?	
16	A. I recall I recall that we had a	
17	specific request from Congresswoman Kaptur to	
18	include physical property in the 9th	
19	congressional district. She wanted	
20	specifically for the NASA Lewis Research Center	
21	in Cleveland and Brook Park, Ohio to be	
22	included in the district. She also wanted the	
23	Plum Brook Station that was in Sandusky, Ohio	
24	to be included in her district. And I did not	
25	understand the reason for that and so I asked,	

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

		Pa
1	RAYMOND E. DIROSSI	
2	and I got an answer and then I recommended the	
3	change.	
4	Q. Okay. Are there any other changes	
5	to geography that you recall making?	
б	A. We may have talked about it	
7	before, but in the 3rd congressional district,	
8	not congresswoman at the time, but Joyce Beatty	
9	specifically asked for some territory to be	
10	excluded from 319 into what became 369. And I	
11	didn't really appreciate or understand the	
12	reason why, and after asking some questions it	
13	was relayed to me the reason why and then we	
14	made that change.	
15	Q. Are there any other requests that	
16	you recall?	
17	A. Those three are the three specific	
18	ones I recall about very specific geographical	
19	areas. There were generally people who said	
20	put this county in a district, take this county	
21	out of a district, but those were the three	
22	very, very specific geography ones that we	
23	received, and I think all three of them we did.	
24	Q. Okay. You just mentioned there	
25	were requests about putting counties in certain	

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI
2	districts. Do you recall any of those?
3	A. There was Clark County, I
4	remember there being a lot of conversations
5	about making Clark County whole when it was
6	proposed in 319 to be split between two
7	districts, and 319 as it was adopted did not do
8	that change. But later when we did 369, the
9	map that we've talked a little bit about, Clark
10	County was made whole in the 8th in the 8th
11	congressional district.
12	Q. And who was the congressperson in
13	the 8th?
14	A. That was Speaker Boehner.
15	Q. And did that request come directly
16	from Speaker Boehner?
17	A. No, the request was from Senator
18	Widener who wanted to see Clark County made
19	whole in one district. He really didn't
20	specify which, but he wanted Clark County to be
21	whole, didn't want it to be split.
22	Q. And which party was Senator
23	Widener part of?
24	A. Widener was a Republican member of
25	the state Senate.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI
2	Q. Do you recall any other requests
3	related to counties?
4	A. Well, we've talked about you
5	mean a whole county or just geography?
б	Q. I'm talking about geography.
7	A. So we talked a little bit about
8	them, but the
9	Q. Separate from what we've already
10	discussed, any other ones that you recall.
11	A. Okay. Let's see, we talked about
12	the Congresswoman Kaptur, changes for Lucas
13	County and Cuyahoga County, we talked about
14	Fudge changes for the 11th.
15	Oh, I do specifically remember
16	Congresswoman Schmidt from Southern Ohio, she
17	lived in Loveland, Ohio, which she lived in
18	Clermont County in Loveland. And I think one
19	of the earlier questions that you asked me was
20	about communities of interest and I gave
21	examples about political subdivisions like
22	cities that cross cross county boundaries.
23	This was one instance where she lived in
24	Loveland in Clermont County, but Loveland also
25	extended into Hamilton County, and so at her

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DIROSSI
2	request she wanted Loveland to be whole in the
3	congressional district. And so in I believe
4	in 319 and 369 we unified the city of Loveland
5	even though they were across political
6	subdivision boundaries or across county
7	boundaries, I apologize.
8	Q. Okay. Anything else that you
9	recall separate from what we've already
10	discussed?
11	A. Nothing nothing else that jumps
12	out at me. Those were the big ones that were
13	focused on geography. Some Republicans, some
14	Democrat.
15	Q. Okay.
16	(Thereupon, Plaintiffs' Exhibit
17	Number 36, Document Bates Stamped DIROSSI_0000046,
18	was marked for purposes of identification.)
19	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:
20	Q. I would like to mark what is going
21	to be Exhibit 36. It's DIROSSI_46 for
22	identification. The subject is Confirmed:
23	Meet at the Bunker about Rollout, and it's from
24	September 12th, 2011.
25	Do you recall whether the map was

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI
2	public in September on September 12th?
3	A. That is close to the date that I
4	believe that the map was adopted, 319 was
5	adopted. I don't know specifically if it was
6	public by then, but it's close to that date.
7	Q. Do you recall whether the map was
8	introduced on the 13th?
9	A. I don't recall.
10	Q. Okay.
11	A. And again, this email is funny
12	because it's almost the opposite of a previous
13	email that you asked me to look at where in
14	this one I say the location of this meeting is
15	in the redistricting office, but in the subject
16	matter I say we're having a meeting at the
17	bunker about the rollout. In the previous
18	email the location was the bunker, but the
19	subject matter was redistricting office. So I
20	just used those interchangeably.
21	Q. Do you have any recollection of
22	what rollout would have been referenced here?
23	A. Yeah, this may have been about the
24	process it could have been well, I guess
25	I should stop. This could have been about

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI
2	apportionment or it could have been about
3	redistricting, so I'm not totally sure which
4	one it's about.
5	Q. Okay. During this time in the
6	process were you working on the rollout for the
7	apportionment map?
8	A. So the apportionment map
9	constitutionally had to be adopted by the end
10	of September. And so I can't remember the
11	exact timelines of when the proposed maps
12	rolled out, but they were in September.
13	Q. Okay.
14	A. They were around this time. So
15	I'm not sure which one is which here.
16	(Thereupon, Plaintiffs' Exhibit
17	Number 37, Document Bates Stamped LWVOH_00018321,
18	was marked for purposes of identification.)
19	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:
20	Q. Okay. I'm going to move on to
21	what I'm having marked as Exhibit 37. It's
22	LWVOH and it's 18321 for the record. Do you
23	see your email address in the top right corner?
24	A. I do.
25	Q. And then in the from line it

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

#### Case: 1:18-cv-00357-TSB-KNM-MHW Doc #: 230-12 Filed: 02/20/19 Page: 299 of 349 PAGEID #: 12661

- <b>X</b>	h	hi	

Page 299 1 RAYMOND E. DiROSSI 2 appears to be an email from Heather Mann at the 3 email address that you recognize, correct? 4 Α. Yes. 5 And then who is in the to line? 0. 6 Do you recognize that email? 7 That was an email address for Jim Α. Renacci. 8 9 Q. And who is that? 10 Α. He was a congressman from Northern 11 -- Northeast Ohio. 12 And then your email address is Ο. 13 copied; is that correct? 14 Α. Yes, I am cc'd. 15 And in the text of the email it Ο. 16 says, per your request, here are the population 17 numbers and percentages of Congresswoman Sutton's current district that would be 18 19 contained in the proposed districts. Do you 20 see that? 21 I do. Α. 22 Who is Congresswoman Sutton? Ο. 23 She is also a -- at the time was a Α. 24 sitting incumbent of a Northeast Ohio 25 congressional district.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

2Q. Okay. To the extent that you3know, why was why were the percentages from4Congressman (sic) Sutton's district being5shared with Congressman Renacci?6A. Well, obviously this is an email7from Heather to Congressman Renacci and I was8just cc'd, so I don't know the specific genesis9of it or what specifically she was trying to10convey.11Q. Okay. At any point did you share12numbers like this with sitting congresspeople?13A. I don't recall. If asked, I would14have, but I can't recall. You know this15maybe I should say, a lot of this in Northeast16Ohio has to do I guess call it the ripple17effect. When the decision was made with18Congresswoman Fudge that the 11th district19would be structured in a way that started in20Cuyahoga and came down to Summit County, it21really kind of split Northeast Ohio into two22separate halves, and so there were a lot of23geographical changes that were happening in24Northeast Ohio because of the configuration of25the 11th.	1	RAYMOND E. DIROSSI
<ul> <li>Congressman (sic) Sutton's district being</li> <li>shared with Congressman Renacci?</li> <li>A. Well, obviously this is an email</li> <li>from Heather to Congressman Renacci and I was</li> <li>just cc'd, so I don't know the specific genesis</li> <li>of it or what specifically she was trying to</li> <li>convey.</li> <li>Q. Okay. At any point did you share</li> <li>numbers like this with sitting congresspeople?</li> <li>A. I don't recall. If asked, I would</li> <li>have, but I can't recall. You know this</li> <li>maybe I should say, a lot of this in Northeast</li> <li>Ohio has to do I guess call it the ripple</li> <li>effect. When the decision was made with</li> <li>Congresswoman Fudge that the llth district</li> <li>would be structured in a way that started in</li> <li>Cuyahoga and came down to Summit County, it</li> <li>really kind of split Northeast Ohio into two</li> <li>separate halves, and so there were a lot of</li> <li>geographical changes that were happening in</li> <li>Northeast Ohio because of the configuration of</li> </ul>	2	Q. Okay. To the extent that you
<ul> <li>shared with Congressman Renacci?</li> <li>A. Well, obviously this is an email</li> <li>from Heather to Congressman Renacci and I was</li> <li>just cc'd, so I don't know the specific genesis</li> <li>of it or what specifically she was trying to</li> <li>convey.</li> <li>Q. Okay. At any point did you share</li> <li>numbers like this with sitting congresspeople?</li> <li>A. I don't recall. If asked, I would</li> <li>have, but I can't recall. You know this</li> <li>maybe I should say, a lot of this in Northeast</li> <li>Ohio has to do I guess call it the ripple</li> <li>effect. When the decision was made with</li> <li>Congresswoman Fudge that the 11th district</li> <li>would be structured in a way that started in</li> <li>Cuyahoga and came down to Summit County, it</li> <li>really kind of split Northeast Ohio into two</li> <li>separate halves, and so there were a lot of</li> <li>geographical changes that were happening in</li> <li>Northeast Ohio because of the configuration of</li> </ul>	3	know, why was why were the percentages from
6A. Well, obviously this is an email7from Heather to Congressman Renacci and I was8just cc'd, so I don't know the specific genesis9of it or what specifically she was trying to10convey.11Q. Okay. At any point did you share12numbers like this with sitting congresspeople?13A. I don't recall. If asked, I would14have, but I can't recall. You know this15maybe I should say, a lot of this in Northeast16Ohio has to do I guess call it the ripple17effect. When the decision was made with18Congresswoman Fudge that the 11th district19would be structured in a way that started in20Cuyahoga and came down to Summit County, it21really kind of split Northeast Ohio into two22separate halves, and so there were a lot of23geographical changes that were happening in24Northeast Ohio because of the configuration of	4	Congressman (sic) Sutton's district being
from Heather to Congressman Renacci and I was just cc'd, so I don't know the specific genesis of it or what specifically she was trying to convey. Q. Okay. At any point did you share numbers like this with sitting congresspeople? A. I don't recall. If asked, I would have, but I can't recall. If asked, I would have, but I can't recall. You know this maybe I should say, a lot of this in Northeast Ohio has to do I guess call it the ripple effect. When the decision was made with Congresswoman Fudge that the 11th district would be structured in a way that started in Cuyahoga and came down to Summit County, it really kind of split Northeast Ohio into two separate halves, and so there were a lot of peographical changes that were happening in Northeast Ohio because of the configuration of	5	shared with Congressman Renacci?
<ul> <li>just cc'd, so I don't know the specific genesis</li> <li>of it or what specifically she was trying to</li> <li>convey.</li> <li>Q. Okay. At any point did you share</li> <li>numbers like this with sitting congresspeople?</li> <li>A. I don't recall. If asked, I would</li> <li>have, but I can't recall. You know this</li> <li>maybe I should say, a lot of this in Northeast</li> <li>Ohio has to do I guess call it the ripple</li> <li>effect. When the decision was made with</li> <li>Congresswoman Fudge that the 11th district</li> <li>would be structured in a way that started in</li> <li>Cuyahoga and came down to Summit County, it</li> <li>really kind of split Northeast Ohio into two</li> <li>separate halves, and so there were a lot of</li> <li>geographical changes that were happening in</li> <li>Northeast Ohio because of the configuration of</li> </ul>	6	A. Well, obviously this is an email
<ul> <li>9 of it or what specifically she was trying to</li> <li>10 convey.</li> <li>11 Q. Okay. At any point did you share</li> <li>12 numbers like this with sitting congresspeople?</li> <li>13 A. I don't recall. If asked, I would</li> <li>14 have, but I can't recall. You know this</li> <li>15 maybe I should say, a lot of this in Northeast</li> <li>16 Ohio has to do I guess call it the ripple</li> <li>17 effect. When the decision was made with</li> <li>18 Congresswoman Fudge that the 11th district</li> <li>19 would be structured in a way that started in</li> <li>20 Cuyahoga and came down to Summit County, it</li> <li>21 really kind of split Northeast Ohio into two</li> <li>22 separate halves, and so there were a lot of</li> <li>23 geographical changes that were happening in</li> <li>24 Northeast Ohio because of the configuration of</li> </ul>	7	from Heather to Congressman Renacci and I was
<ul> <li>convey.</li> <li>Q. Okay. At any point did you share</li> <li>numbers like this with sitting congresspeople?</li> <li>A. I don't recall. If asked, I would</li> <li>have, but I can't recall. You know this</li> <li>maybe I should say, a lot of this in Northeast</li> <li>Ohio has to do I guess call it the ripple</li> <li>effect. When the decision was made with</li> <li>Congresswoman Fudge that the 11th district</li> <li>would be structured in a way that started in</li> <li>Cuyahoga and came down to Summit County, it</li> <li>really kind of split Northeast Ohio into two</li> <li>separate halves, and so there were a lot of</li> <li>geographical changes that were happening in</li> <li>Northeast Ohio because of the configuration of</li> </ul>	8	just cc'd, so I don't know the specific genesis
11Q. Okay. At any point did you share12numbers like this with sitting congresspeople?13A. I don't recall. If asked, I would14have, but I can't recall. You know this15maybe I should say, a lot of this in Northeast16Ohio has to do I guess call it the ripple17effect. When the decision was made with18Congresswoman Fudge that the 11th district19would be structured in a way that started in20Cuyahoga and came down to Summit County, it21really kind of split Northeast Ohio into two22separate halves, and so there were a lot of23geographical changes that were happening in24Northeast Ohio because of the configuration of	9	of it or what specifically she was trying to
<sup>12</sup> numbers like this with sitting congresspeople? <sup>13</sup> A. I don't recall. If asked, I would <sup>14</sup> have, but I can't recall. You know this <sup>15</sup> maybe I should say, a lot of this in Northeast <sup>16</sup> Ohio has to do I guess call it the ripple <sup>17</sup> effect. When the decision was made with <sup>18</sup> Congresswoman Fudge that the 11th district <sup>19</sup> would be structured in a way that started in <sup>20</sup> Cuyahoga and came down to Summit County, it <sup>21</sup> really kind of split Northeast Ohio into two <sup>22</sup> separate halves, and so there were a lot of <sup>23</sup> geographical changes that were happening in <sup>24</sup> Northeast Ohio because of the configuration of	10	convey.
A. I don't recall. If asked, I would have, but I can't recall. You know this maybe I should say, a lot of this in Northeast Ohio has to do I guess call it the ripple effect. When the decision was made with Congresswoman Fudge that the 11th district would be structured in a way that started in Cuyahoga and came down to Summit County, it really kind of split Northeast Ohio into two separate halves, and so there were a lot of geographical changes that were happening in Northeast Ohio because of the configuration of	11	Q. Okay. At any point did you share
<sup>14</sup> have, but I can't recall. You know this <sup>15</sup> maybe I should say, a lot of this in Northeast <sup>16</sup> Ohio has to do I guess call it the ripple <sup>17</sup> effect. When the decision was made with <sup>18</sup> Congresswoman Fudge that the 11th district <sup>19</sup> would be structured in a way that started in <sup>20</sup> Cuyahoga and came down to Summit County, it <sup>21</sup> really kind of split Northeast Ohio into two <sup>22</sup> separate halves, and so there were a lot of <sup>23</sup> geographical changes that were happening in <sup>24</sup> Northeast Ohio because of the configuration of	12	numbers like this with sitting congresspeople?
<sup>15</sup> maybe I should say, a lot of this in Northeast <sup>16</sup> Ohio has to do I guess call it the ripple <sup>17</sup> effect. When the decision was made with <sup>18</sup> Congresswoman Fudge that the 11th district <sup>19</sup> would be structured in a way that started in <sup>20</sup> Cuyahoga and came down to Summit County, it <sup>21</sup> really kind of split Northeast Ohio into two <sup>22</sup> separate halves, and so there were a lot of <sup>23</sup> geographical changes that were happening in <sup>24</sup> Northeast Ohio because of the configuration of	13	A. I don't recall. If asked, I would
Ohio has to do I guess call it the ripple effect. When the decision was made with Congresswoman Fudge that the 11th district would be structured in a way that started in Cuyahoga and came down to Summit County, it really kind of split Northeast Ohio into two separate halves, and so there were a lot of geographical changes that were happening in Northeast Ohio because of the configuration of	14	have, but I can't recall. You know this
effect. When the decision was made with Congresswoman Fudge that the 11th district would be structured in a way that started in Cuyahoga and came down to Summit County, it really kind of split Northeast Ohio into two separate halves, and so there were a lot of geographical changes that were happening in Northeast Ohio because of the configuration of	15	maybe I should say, a lot of this in Northeast
Congresswoman Fudge that the 11th district Northeast Ohio because of the configuration of	16	Ohio has to do I guess call it the ripple
<sup>19</sup> would be structured in a way that started in <sup>20</sup> Cuyahoga and came down to Summit County, it <sup>21</sup> really kind of split Northeast Ohio into two <sup>22</sup> separate halves, and so there were a lot of <sup>23</sup> geographical changes that were happening in <sup>24</sup> Northeast Ohio because of the configuration of	17	effect. When the decision was made with
Cuyahoga and came down to Summit County, it really kind of split Northeast Ohio into two separate halves, and so there were a lot of geographical changes that were happening in Northeast Ohio because of the configuration of	18	Congresswoman Fudge that the 11th district
really kind of split Northeast Ohio into two separate halves, and so there were a lot of geographical changes that were happening in Northeast Ohio because of the configuration of	19	would be structured in a way that started in
<ul> <li>separate halves, and so there were a lot of</li> <li>geographical changes that were happening in</li> <li>Northeast Ohio because of the configuration of</li> </ul>	20	Cuyahoga and came down to Summit County, it
<ul> <li>geographical changes that were happening in</li> <li>Northeast Ohio because of the configuration of</li> </ul>	21	really kind of split Northeast Ohio into two
<sup>24</sup> Northeast Ohio because of the configuration of	22	separate halves, and so there were a lot of
Norenease onre secarse or one conrigaración or	23	geographical changes that were happening in
<sup>25</sup> the 11th.	24	Northeast Ohio because of the configuration of
	25	the 11th.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI
2	And this is one of the districts
3	that was kind of absorbed into six or seven
4	different surrounding districts, but it all
5	kind of started with the decision to draw the
6	11th district the way that it was drawn.
7	Q. Okay.
8	A. So that's the background.
9	Q. And were you a part of those
10	conversations about the changes to this section
11	of the map?
12	A. Heather and I would have worked
13	jointly on those throughout the process.
14	Q. And this is an email to a
15	particular congressperson. Were there emails
16	or phone conversations with other
17	congresspeople about this section of the map?
18	MR. STRACH: Objection.
19	THE WITNESS: You're asking if I or
20	Heather or
21	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:
22	Q. Let's take it in two parts.
23	A. Okay.
24	Q. As far as you're aware, were there
25	other emails with sitting congresspeople about

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Case: 1:18-cv-00357-TSB-KNM-MHW Doc #: 230-12 Filed: 02/20/19 Page: 302 of 349 PAGEID #: 13664

		Page	302
1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI		
2	this portion of the map that you just		
3	described?		
4	A. I can't say there weren't, but		
5	none that I specifically recall.		
6	Q. Okay. Were there phone		
7	conversations about this portion of the map		
8	with sitting congresspeople?		
9	MR. STRACH: Objection.		
10	THE WITNESS: None that I recall		
11	having.		
12	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:		
13	Q. Okay. Do you recall having any		
14	conversations with the members of the staff of		
15	sitting congresspeople about this portion of		
16	the map?		
17	A. None that I can specifically		
18	recall.		
19	Q. We've gone through a lot of		
20	calendar entries. Did you produce all of the		
21	calendar entries that you retained from that		
22	period?		
23	A. Yes.		
24	Q. Were you part of the process of		
25	revising the map after 319 in preparation for		

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

3

		Page	303
1	RAYMOND E. DIROSSI		
2	369?		
3	A. Yes.		
4	Q. And what was your role in that		
5	process?		
6	A. So at this point we had moved out		
7	of the redistricting office and I was working		
8	in the Statehouse, as we talked about earlier,		
9	and so there were, especially in 369 the map		
10	for 319 for a number of reasons, we can discuss		
11	them if you want, had stalled and it was		
12	obvious that it wasn't going to be enacted.		
13	There were a lot of conversations happening at		
14	multiple levels with the Democrats in the		
15	Senate and in the House of Representatives		
16	about what changes would need to be made to 369		
17	in order to get the votes necessary to pass the		
18	map.		
19	And so as that information was		
20	filtering back down to me from a number of		
21	sources, I was working independently of		
22	Heather, as she was working independently of		
23	me, to try to put those together in a map that		
24	would balance out the population and try to		
25	adhere to as many of these other principles as		

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI
2	I've articulated, and that's what we were doing
3	in preparation for 369 to be adopted.
4	Q. And you said you were working
5	independently of Heather?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. Is that different than the process
8	during 319?
9	A. Well, in 319 well, for most of
10	the process in 319, if not all of the process,
11	we worked together in the redistricting office.
12	Once we had closed the redistricting office
13	when 319 had been adopted and we thought that
14	would be the map, we thought we were done, and
15	so we both kind of went our separate ways.
16	But then when a second map needed
17	to be done, she was working out of her office
18	and I was working out of the Statehouse, and by
19	independently I meant physically apart from
20	each other.
21	Q. Okay. Were you having
22	conversations about the work that you were
23	doing?
24	A. Some. Not nearly as much as
25	before, but Heather and I did talk

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI
2	occasionally, yes.
3	Q. And when you separated your work,
4	were you given individual responsibilities?
5	How was the work divided between you and
6	Ms. Blessing?
7	A. There was no delineation of you do
8	this, I do this. It was we're both working on
9	what our leaders, for me President Niehaus, and
10	for her Speaker Batchelder, thought we needed
11	to do to get the appropriate number of
12	Democratic votes to pass a map.
13	Q. Okay. And when it came to drawing
14	district lines did you work together or
15	separately?
16	A. Mostly separately, but on occasion
17	we would have talked and shared what are you
18	working on, this is what I'm working on.
19	Q. And at the end of the process did
20	you have two separate maps or was it combined
21	into one map?
22	A. Well, at the very end of the
23	process House Bill 369 was introduced in the
24	Ohio House, so she would have been the one who
25	sent the final map to the Legislative Service

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI
2	Commission to be drafted into a bill so that it
3	could undergo the hearing process. But we
4	would have had to put any pieces and parts of
5	what we had been working on together for her to
6	do that.
7	Q. Okay. And when did the process of
8	putting it together happen?
9	A. You know, I don't recall. There
10	was a long period of time after the adoption of
11	319 where there was just no movement on on
12	the map and we were just kind of stuck in
13	neutral. And then we were able to get House
14	Bill enough Democratic input that we could
15	get 369 introduced, and we thought that we had
16	enough to move the bill and we didn't, and then
17	we were back into neutral.
18	And then finally there was a
19	breakthrough, probably more that Heather was
20	involved in than I, that kind of broke broke
21	through and the deal was struck that the
22	legislative Democrats were comfortable, and
23	then we moved forward with the process and the
24	map was adopted.
25	Q. Going back to 369 prior to

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI
2	introduction and the two separate maps, you had
3	a map and Heather had a map, correct?
4	A. Well, I don't know if we had full
5	maps. We obviously had 319 because that's
6	where we left off, but I think well, I
7	shouldn't speak for her. I was having
8	conversations oops, sorry if I hit the
9	microphone. I was having conversations with
10	Bob Bennett, I was having conversations with
11	Tom Niehaus, he was having conversations with
12	anybody who was giving me input about what the
13	requests of the Democrats in the House and
14	Senate were in order for them to provide their
15	votes. And so I was working on maybe not a
16	whole map, maybe I was just working on one
17	district to try to make the changes to
18	accommodate the Democratic requests.
19	Q. And then at some point the changes
20	you made and the changes that Ms. Blessing made
21	were integrated, correct?
22	A. Yes, they would have been to
23	produce House Bill 369 as introduced.
24	Q. Okay. And do you recall when that
25	took place?

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

RAYMOND E. DiROSSI

A. I don't. I don't recall when it
 <sup>3</sup> was introduced.

Q. And when you were working on combining the pieces that you were working -that you each were separately working on, did you have a process of deciding what would happen if there was a conflict?

9 We didn't have a set process and I Α. 10 can't recall any conflicts that arose. I mean, 11 I think when the Democrats were making a 12 request like we talked about in Montgomery 13 County, that request was being made to the -the House and the Senate kind of together and 14 15 so there wasn't a conflict. It was kind of 16 like we're either doing what they want to do or 17 we're not.

Q. Okay. And you mentioned after 369 was introduced it stalled and then there was a breakthrough. What was that breakthrough?

A. And again, so here's where I wasn't as involved. That was more on the House side. But I think it all -- or I know it all revolved around Joyce Beatty making some final requests, last-minute changes to the 3rd

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

		rage
1	RAYMOND E. DIROSSI	
2	congressional district. And once those were	
3	made, the votes were secure and the map could	
4	proceed.	
5	Q. Okay. And you don't recall what	
6	the change was to the 3rd district?	
7	A. I mean, I can speak to I	
8	couldn't speak to the specific geography, but I	
9	know specifically she wanted to change a little	
10	bit of the geography, and I'm referring to	
11	Joyce Beatty, so that one of her potential	
12	primary opponents wouldn't be in the 3rd	
13	district and they would be in one of the other	
14	Franklin County districts. So there was some	
15	geography changes that Heather would be able to	
16	speak to to accomplish to accomplish that	
17	request.	
18	Q. Okay. And your recollection is	
19	that happened after HB 369 was introduced?	
20	A. Yes, those were the final changes.	
21	369 was then amended and then passed. She also	
22	wanted to ensure that the the non-Hispanic	
23	African American voting age population of the	
24	3rd congressional district, to see if it could	
25	be made higher, and she also wanted to make	

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

		Pa
1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI	
2	sure that the index - and again, that's the	
3	index that she was looking at, not necessarily	
4	the unified index - was as favorable to her as	
5	possible.	
б	Q. Okay. Do you know which index she	
7	was looking at?	
8	A. You know, I don't know.	
9	Q. Okay. And you said none of those	
10	conversations happened directly with you?	
11	A. That is correct.	
12	Q. And how did you learn of those	
13	conversations?	
14	A. From conversations with Heather,	
15	from conversations with Bob Bennett and	
16	conversations with Tom Niehaus.	
17	(Thereupon, Plaintiffs' Exhibit	
18	Number 38, Documents Bates Stamped	
19	SOS_001010-1011, was marked for purposes of	
20	identification.)	
21	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:	
22	Q. Okay. I don't think we're going	
23	to spend that much time on this. I just want	
24	to look at Exhibit what I'm going to have	
25	marked as Exhibit 38, and it's SOS_1010 for the	

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI
2	record.
3	Do you see your email address at
4	the top?
5	A. I do.
6	Q. And then this is to Halle Pegler
7	(sic). Do you see that?
8	A. Halle Pelger (pronouncing).
9	Q. Sorry.
10	A. That's okay. If you're not from
11	Ohio, you wouldn't know who she is. No
12	problem.
13	Q. Well, who is she?
14	A. She worked for the Secretary of
15	State's office.
16	Q. And did she have any involvement
17	in the redistricting process?
18	A. So her only involvement for the
19	redistricting process was after the maps were
20	adopted, the Secretary of State in Ohio is in
21	charge of obviously effectuating the elections.
22	And one of the things that needed to be done in
23	both decades, and always needs to be done, is
24	people need to file take out petitions to
25	file to run for office.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI
2	And in a congressional district
3	the Ohio law is that you have to file in the
4	most populus county in your district. So if
5	you if you wanted to run in a district that
6	was in two counties, depending on which county
7	had the most population in your congressional
8	district is which county Board of Elections you
9	would need to file on.
10	The Secretary of State was
11	responsible for letting all 88 county Board of
12	Elections know for congressional districts
13	where candidates would need to file, and this
14	was happening very quickly on the heels of
15	these maps. So my interaction with her, with
16	Halle as a representative of the Secretary of
17	State's office, was letting her know here are
18	the population breakdowns of the district
19	Q. Okay.
20	A so that they could do that
21	process in a timely manner.
22	(Thereupon, Plaintiffs' Exhibit
23	Number 39, Document Bates Stamped DIROSSI_0000061,
24	was marked for purposes of identification.)
25	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI
2	Q. Okay. Let's move on to an exhibit
3	I'm going to have marked as Exhibit 39. It's
4	DIROSSI_61 and the subject is Confirmed: Brief
5	Leadership on Congressional Maps. Its date is
6	November 2nd, 2011. Do you see that?
7	A. I do.
8	Q. Do you recall whether you had any
9	briefings with leadership at this time on
10	congressional maps?
11	A. I don't recall if this one if I
12	attended this one, but I do recall having
13	meetings with the leadership to update them on
14	where we were in this process.
15	Q. And would you have had those
16	meetings before HB 369 was introduced?
17	MR. STRACH: Objection.
18	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I can't recall.
19	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:
20	Q. Okay.
21	A. I definitely had meetings with the
22	leadership as 369 was pending, but I don't know
23	about before it was introduced.
24	Q. Okay. When you met with the
25	leadership would that have been the Republican

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI
2	leadership and the Democratic leadership?
3	A. This would have been with the
4	Republican leadership. Obviously if the
5	Democrats had asked, at this point we were
б	working very closely with them in the House and
7	in the Senate to change the map for their
8	for their requests. So if they had asked, I
9	would have obviously met with them.
10	(Thereupon, Plaintiffs' Exhibit
11	Number 40, Document Bates Stamped DIROSSI_0000499,
12	was marked for purposes of identification.)
13	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:
14	Q. Okay. I would like to move on to
15	an exhibit I'm going to have marked as Exhibit
16	40. It's DIROSSI_499. Do you see that?
17	A. Yes, I do.
18	Q. Okay. So this was an exhibit that
19	you produced. It says that it's provided by
20	Gongwer.
21	A. Gongwer (pronouncing).
22	Q. And it's Political Indexes -
23	Proposed Congressional Districts. Do you
24	recognize this document?
25	A. Generally.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

	1
1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI
2	Q. And what is it?
3	A. Well, I am not the source of this
4	document. I produced it because I had it in my
5	possession, but I am not the author or the
6	creator of it.
7	Q. And how did it come to be in your
8	possession?
9	A. Gongwer is a Statehouse news
10	service reporting agency that reports on
11	happenings in and around the Statehouse, and at
12	some point this document would have been in
13	Gongwer and I would have thought it to be of
14	some value and so I would have kept a copy of
15	it in my files.
16	Q. Okay. Do you recall having seen
17	this document before?
18	A. Until I produced it again, I
19	really didn't remember that I had it.
20	Q. Do you know what political indices
21	was being used here?
22	A. Yeah, so the source of this is
23	Jim Slagle and the Ohio Campaign for
24	Accountable Redistricting, as I mentioned, he
25	had his own methodology and so I can't speak to

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI
2	what he I can't speak to how the methodology
3	he was using.
4	(Thereupon, Plaintiffs' Exhibit
5	Number 41, File Produced in Native Format Bates
6	Stamped DIROSSI_0000525, was marked for purposes
7	of identification.)
8	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:
9	Q. Okay. I would like to turn to an
10	exhibit that I'm going to have marked as
11	Exhibit 41. This is another exhibit that was
12	produced in native, it's an Excel file. So
13	there is the cover sheet which says it was
14	produced in native and it's DIROSSI_525. And
15	because it's an Excel sheet, unfortunately the
16	columns break onto different pages.
17	And are you familiar with the term
18	metadata?
19	A. I have heard I have heard the
20	term. I've heard the term.
21	Q. Okay. Do you understand that when
22	you produce something in native there is a
23	there's data associated with when the document
24	was created?
25	A. Yeah, I'm not familiar with

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DIROSSI
2	native. I'm sorry.
3	Q. So this says that the file was
4	produced in native format, meaning we received
5	an Excel spreadsheet.
6	A. Oh, okay, so okay, thank you.
7	Q. So I think there's no point in us
8	kind of having a back and forth about what
9	metadata means, but I will represent for the
10	record that the metadata says that this
11	document was created on November 2nd, 2011.
12	Does that generally seem right to
13	you, that you would have been working on
14	documents like this in early November?
15	A. You said November of 2011?
16	Q. Yeah, November 2nd, 2011.
17	A. I mean, generally this is yet
18	another example of a document that I would have
19	created because at any moment in time who knows
20	what question I would be asked. This one, for
21	whatever reason at the time, I was going back
22	to 2001 and trying to show two decades of
23	changes. That's what threw me, that there's 18
24	districts here in the first column, but
25	Q. Okay. I just want to go through

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

		Pa
1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI	
2	each of these columns to make sure I understand	
3	what they mean. The first column is 2001	
4	member districts. Do you see that?	
5	A. I do.	
б	Q. And what is your understanding of	
7	what this column is?	
8	A. Yeah, without without saying I	
9	was accurate, I assume what I was saying is who	
10	were the incumbent members of each of the 18	
11	congressional districts in 2001.	
12	Q. Okay. And 2001 unified index, do	
13	you see that?	
14	A. I do.	
15	Q. Is that the index that you	
16	created?	
17	A. Yes, but I can't recall, to be	
18	honest, if it was the unified index that I	
19	created in 2001 or if it was the unified index	
20	I created in 2011 superimposed on 2001	
21	districts.	
22	Q. Okay. 2011 member districts, do	
23	you see that?	
24	A. I do.	
25	Q. And is this the proposal for the	

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Case: 1:18-cv-00357-TSB-KNM-MHW Doc #: 230-12 Filed: 02/20/19 Page: 319 of 349 PAGEID #: 13681

		Page 319
1	RAYMOND E. DIROSSI	
2	districts for 319 or 369?	
3	A. Yeah, that is a great question.	
4	This is the first example where in this	
5	document I can't recall you said November of	
6	'11?	
7	Q. Yes. I'm just talking about this	
8	column, members and districts.	
9	A. Yeah, but you asked if it was from	
10	319 or 369.	
11	Q. Right. Were there any changes in	
12	the pairing of members and districts between	
13	319 and 369?	
14	A. No.	
15	Q. Okay. Then it says 2011 unified	
16	index. Do you see that?	
17	A. I do.	
18	Q. Would that have been the unified	
19	index that you created?	
20	A. Sounds right, yes.	
21	Q. And then 2008 Pres index with 2011	
22	districts. Do you see that?	
23	A. Yes.	
24	Q. And would that be the 2008	
25	presidential index that we've been discussing?	

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

		rα
1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI	
2	A. Yeah, that was an alternative that	
3	other people wanted to use, so I was including	
4	it on my documents so I could have it handy.	
5	Q. And then the next column is 2011	
6	VA AA. Do you see that?	
7	A. I do.	
8	Q. What does that column represent?	
9	A. It would be 2011 voting age	
10	African American population percentages.	
11	Q. Okay. And then the next column is	
12	2011 NHB VA AA. What does that column	
13	represent?	
14	A. That's non-Hispanic black voting	
15	age African American population percentages.	
16	Q. Okay. So the first the prior	
17	column includes Hispanic blacks and then the	
18	following column is non-Hispanic blacks?	
19	A. Yes.	
20	Q. Okay. Then there's a column	
21	entitled REDRAW 2011 unified index. Do you see	
22	that?	
23	A. I do.	
24	Q. And what does that column	
25	represent?	

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

```
Page 321
```

1	RAYMOND E. DIROSSI
2	A. That's a good question. I cannot
3	remember what REDRAW meant and why I have it
4	capitalized, if that mean
5	Q. So it possible that this is the
б	change from 319 to 369?
7	A. It's possible, but I can't say
8	that it is. I don't I don't know in this
9	last column what I was trying to compare. I
10	can't say.
11	Q. Okay. Going to the last page, the
12	first column is just a continuation of the
13	first column we saw on the first page, and then
14	the following column is change 2011 unified
15	index. Do you see that?
16	A. I do.
17	Q. Just correct me if I'm wrong.
18	Does that appear to be the change between the
19	2011 unified index on this page and the REDRAW
20	2011 unified index?
21	A. If I take a second and try to get
22	oriented.
23	Q. Sure.
24	A. Okay. I'm sorry. I was just
25	trying to get oriented. Your question again

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1 RAYMOND E. DiROSSI 2 was? 3 Oh, whether this change unified --0. 4 2001 unified index, if this column represents 5 the difference between 2011 unified index and the REDRAW 2011 unified index. 6 7 So, for example, if you look at the first -- the first row of Chabot, does that 8 9 appear to be the difference between 2011 10 unified index and REDRAW 2011 unified index? 11 MR. STRACH: Objection. 12 THE WITNESS: I'm just trying to 13 remember. I can't remember what the REDRAW meant. 14 BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG: 15 That's not my question. Ο. My 16 question is, is this --It would help me understand --17 Α. 18 understand this document and why I even created 19 it. Okay. 20 Okay. I'm just asking, looking at Ο. 21 the numbers themselves, does 2011 unified 22 index, REDRAW 2011 unified index, the 23 difference between the two appear to be a 24 change 2011 unified index? 25 Objection. MR. STRACH:

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI
2	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I can't remember.
3	It's possible, but I can't say for sure that
4	that's what it is.
5	(Thereupon, Plaintiffs' Exhibit
6	Number 42, File Produced in Native Format Bates
7	Stamped DIROSSI_0000518, was marked for purposes
8	of identification.)
9	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:
10	Q. Okay. I would like to move on to
11	a document I'm having marked as Exhibit 42.
12	It's another document that you produced in
13	native, meaning we got the Excel sheet, which
14	is why we have file produced in native cover
15	sheet, and it's DIROSSI_518, for the record,
16	and the metadata for this document is November
17	15th, 2011.
18	Are you the author of this
19	document?
20	A. You know, this one I'm not sure if
21	I can't say for certain like all the other
22	ones that I am the author of this one. This
23	one does not look like the style that I would
24	have created.
25	Q. Okay.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Page 324 1 RAYMOND E. DiROSSI 2 It's similar, but I can't say that Α. 3 I am the author. 4 If you weren't the author, who 0. 5 would you have received this document from? 6 MR. STRACH: Objection. 7 THE WITNESS: You said I produced it? 8 BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG: 9 Yes, you produced it in native, 0. 10 meaning you produced an Excel spreadsheet to 11 us. 12 Α. I can't recall who would have 13 given this to me. I don't know. 14 Do you recall having looked at a 0. 15 document like this? 16 Until I turned the page, it did Α. not look familiar. 17 18 Okay. Do you recall during the 0. 19 time that you were working on drafting HB 369, 20 did you look at the unified index? 21 Yeah, as we talked about before, Α. 22 on -- the way we had our computers set up, 23 whenever a geographic change was made in the 24 map the population data would change, the Hispanic concentrations of the district would 25

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DIROSSI
2	change, the African American populations would
3	change and the unified index would change. And
4	we also included, I think, the '08 presidential
5	number as a standalone late in the process
6	because a lot of people were saying you're
7	wrong, you've got to use this one. So any time
8	we made a change, all of those things would
9	have changed.
10	Q. Okay. Do you recall when you were
11	working on HB 369 before it was enacted whether
12	any district changed significantly on the
13	unified index? And it's however you would
14	define significant.
15	MR. STRACH: Objection.
16	THE WITNESS: In 369?
17	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:
18	Q. Yes, from 369 as enacted to 319,
19	were there any significant changes on the
20	unified index?
21	MR. STRACH: Objection. I think you
22	mean from 319 to 369.
23	MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG: From 319 to
24	369, yes.
25	THE WITNESS: Well, the 10th district

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

	Pag
1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI
2	specifically, I remember that that was a function
3	of the legislative Democrats in Montgomery County
4	asking that Montgomery County be made whole
5	significantly changed the index of that district.
6	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:
7	Q. And what was the change, if you
8	recall?
9	A. It was about three and a half
10	points.
11	Q. Okay. Did any other district
12	change significantly?
13	A. That's the one that's the one
14	example that I can remember sitting here today.
15	Q. Okay. Do you recall whether there
16	was between we just talked about 369 as
17	introduced. Do you recall whether there were
18	any significant changes between 319 as passed
19	and 369 as passed to the unified index?
20	A. Well, from 319 to 369 as
21	introduced?
22	Q. We've already discussed 369 as
23	introduced. Now I'm asking 369 as passed.
24	A. Compared to
25	Q. 319.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI
2	A. 369 as passed to 319, okay. And
3	you're specifically asking if the unified index
4	changed in any of those?
5	Q. In any significant way.
6	A. Well, obviously the 10th, as we
7	just talked about, changed.
8	Q. Yes.
9	A. There was significant geography
10	changes to the 9th congressional district that
11	we talked about that Congresswoman Kaptur was
12	asking for. I can't recall if those impacted
13	the unified indexes, but they were significant
14	geography changes, tens of thousands, if not
15	hundreds of thousands of people moving in and
16	out of those districts.
17	The changes we talked about in the
18	3rd were not substantial geography size
19	changes, so I can't imagine that those would
20	have changed the unified index. So I think the
21	10th and potentially the 9th would be the two
22	that could fit that that would have had
23	significant changes.
24	Q. Okay. So before I introduce this
25	document, I just want to ask you a question and

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Page 328 1 RAYMOND E. DiROSSI then we'll decide if it gets introduced or not. 2 3 Do you recognize this document? 4 Α. I have a vague recollection of 5 this document. 6 Are you the author of this Ο. 7 document? I don't believe -- I don't believe 8 Α. 9 that I am. 10 Okay. Did you see this document 0. 11 before you prepared for your testimony today? 12 I did not. Α. 13 Okay. So then I'll just ask you 0. 14 general questions. You talked about the 15 changes in the numbers of African Americans in 16 the 3rd district, for example. Do you recall 17 by what percentage African American numbers 18 changed from 319 to 369? 19 MR. STRACH: Objection. 20 THE WITNESS: From 319 to 369? 21 BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG: 22 Ο. Yes. 23 Α. I don't recall. 24 Do you recall what numbers the Ο. 25 African American percentage changed in CD 9

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Page	3	2	9
------	---	---	---

1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI
2	from 319 to 369?
3	A. I don't recall for the 9th either.
4	Q. Okay. Do you recall any other
5	district where
6	A. There's a much more significant
7	African American population in the 3rd than in
8	the 9th, so I'm not sure there's the 9th is
9	in west Cleveland and goes to Toledo and
10	doesn't have all of Toledo, so there's not a
11	significant African American population.
12	Q. Okay.
13	A. So I don't think there would have
14	been significant changes.
15	Q. Do you recall whether the
16	percentage of African Americans changed in
17	district 11 from 319 to 369?
18	A. I don't recall any changes to the
19	geography of 11 at all specifically after 319
20	was introduced. I got the impression that
21	Congresswoman Fudge was very happy with how the
22	district was proposed in 319. As we talked
23	about, she specifically didn't want to be in
24	Cuyahoga County and paired with Dennis Kucinich
25	and she wanted it to remain a majority/minority

		Page	330
1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI		
2	district. So I don't I don't believe we		
3	made any changes to the 11th from 319 to 369 as		
4	enacted.		
5	Q. Okay. Did the African American		
6	population change from 319 to 369 in any other		
7	district that we haven't discussed?		
8	A. Did it change at all, you're		
9	asking? Did it change at all? Again, you're		
10	asking from 319 to 369?		
11	Q. Yes.		
12	MR. STRACH: Objection. 369 as		
13	introduced or as passed?		
14	THE WITNESS: That's a good point,		
15	yeah.		
16	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:		
17	Q. If there are changes from it as		
18	introduced we can start there and then we can		
19	go to as passed.		
20	A. So before you asked if there were		
21	significant as I defined it, and now you're		
22	asking for any		
23	Q. Yes.		
24	A any change? Okay. I just want		
25	to make sure I have that right.		

		Page
1	RAYMOND E. DIROSSI	
2	I mean, I believe the 10th, the	
3	10th district, as we talked about, from 319 to	
4	369 as introduced and 369 as enacted would have	
5	had changes to the minority population after	
6	the change that the legislative Democrats asked	
7	for.	
8	Q. And do you recall what was the	
9	nature of that change as introduced?	
10	A. You mean am I able to quantify the	
11	change?	
12	Q. Yes.	
13	A. I am not, not offhand.	
14	Q. And as enacted?	
15	A. No.	
16	Q. Are there any other districts	
17	where the African American population changed?	
18	A. We talked about the 11th, we	
19	talked about the 9th, we talked about the 3rd,	
20	we talked about the 10th. I mean, it is	
21	possible anywhere there was any legislative	
22	change, obviously there could have been a very	
23	insignificant change, small change in those,	
24	but I've articulated the ones I can recall.	
25	MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG: Okay. I thin	ζ

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Case: 1:18-cv-00357-TSB-KNM-MHW Doc #: 230-12 Filed: 02/20/19 Page: 332 of 349	PAGEID #:
13604	

Page 332 1 RAYMOND E. DiROSSI I've gone through my major questions, so maybe if 2 3 we just take a five-minute break and I might have 4 something short. 5 MR. STRACH: Okay. 6 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're off the 7 record. 8 (Recess taken.) 9 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're on the 10 record. BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG: 11 12 We're almost done. Good evening, Ο. 13 I think it is now. 14 Α. Good evening. 15 Ο. So I would like to just ask you a 16 few follow-up questions about things that you 17 testified to earlier. 18 We talked a lot about the unified 19 index and you said that at some point you lost 20 the war over the unified index. Could you 21 clarify the war regarding the unified index? 22 Yeah, so probably a poor choice of Α. 23 words. It was the -- from my experience the 24 previous decade, that was the index when we 25 were looking at historical election data that I

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DIROSSI
2	thought we should use. But especially using
3	when we were in congressional redistricting,
4	everybody else had their own standard and
5	nobody really thought necessarily that the
6	unified index was the best one.
7	I still tried to use it, but
8	anybody at the national level, the congressmen
9	and women that we were dealing with that were
10	incumbents or aspiring candidates, they had
11	their own they had their own standard, and
12	then many people wanted to use the '08
13	presidential as a single race to look at races,
14	and I just was kind of wanting to use the
15	unified index.
16	Q. Okay. Let's take those in kind of
17	pieces. Did President Niehaus have a different
18	index that he wanted to use?
19	A. Not that he ever conveyed to me,
20	no.
21	Q. Did Speaker Batchelder have
22	another index that he wanted to use?
23	A. No.
24	Q. What about Matt Huffman, did he
25	have another index that he wanted to use?

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

		Pa
1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI	
2	A. Not to my recollection.	
3	Q. What about Keith Faber, did he	
4	have another index that he wanted to use?	
5	A. No.	
6	Q. You mentioned national people had	
7	different indexes. Did Adam Kincaid have	
8	another index that he wanted to use?	
9	A. Yes.	
10	Q. And what index was that?	
11	A. That was the PVI or R plus 1, D	
12	plus 1 system.	
13	Q. Okay. And did he tell you why he	
14	wanted to use that system?	
15	A. I guess that is a common common	
16	used national national description of	
17	districts.	
18	Q. And did you share with him PVI	
19	numbers prior to the introduction of HB 319?	
20	A. So I would never be able to share	
21	with anybody PVI because I don't know how to	
22	calculate it or couldn't generate it on my own.	
23	It would have to be something that was provided	
24	by somebody else and given to me.	
25	Q. Did you have discussions with Adam	

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

		Page	335
1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI		
2	Kincaid about the PVI prior to the introduction		
3	of HB 319?		
4	MR. STRACH: Objection.		
5	THE WITNESS: Yeah, not that I no	ot	
б	that I recall.		
7	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:		
8	Q. Okay. Did you have discussions		
9	with Adam Kincaid about the PVI prior to the		
10	introduction of HB 369?		
11	MR. STRACH: Objection.		
12	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't recall.		
13	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:		
14	Q. Okay. What about Tom Whatman, did		
15	he have a system that he wanted to use?		
16	A. None that he particularly		
17	articulated to me, but I just got the		
18	impression he didn't think the unified index		
19	was the was the best way to look at those		
20	districts.		
21	Q. Okay. Did he make suggestions		
22	about other ways to look at those districts?		
23	A. I can't recall specifically. I		
24	can't recall specifically if he suggested if		
25	he was the one that suggested using '08		

Case: 1:18-cv-00357-TSB-KNM-MHW Doc #: 230-12 Filed: 02/20/19 Page: 336 of 349 PAGEID #: 13698

		Page	336
1	RAYMOND E. DIROSSI		
2	presidential numbers.		
3	Q. Okay. Did you receive any		
4	suggestions from President Niehaus regarding		
5	the partisan composition of districts		
6	MR. STRACH: Objection.		
7	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:		
8	Q prior to the introduction of HB $$		
9	319?		
10	MR. STRACH: Objection.		
11	THE WITNESS: None none that I		
12	recall.		
13	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:		
14	Q. Did you receive any strike		
15	that.		
16	Did you share draft maps with		
17	President Niehaus prior to the introduction of		
18	HB 319?		
19	A. I'm sure I did.		
20	Q. And did you share draft maps with		
21	President Niehaus of HB 369 prior to its		
22	introduction?		
23	A. I'm sure I would have and did.		
24	Q. Did you and do you recall how		
25	many draft maps you would have shared with him?		

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DIROSSI
2	A. I don't recall.
3	Q. Did you have a process for sharing
4	draft maps with President Niehaus?
5	A. Did not have a process.
6	Q. Did you share any draft maps with
7	Speaker Batchelder prior to the introduction of
8	HB 319?
9	A. Heather and I together would have.
10	Q. And do you recall how many draft
11	maps you shared with him?
12	A. I don't recall. And again, to
13	clarify draft maps, when you're saying that in
14	my mind I'm thinking the maps that became House
15	Bill 319 as introduced, the maps that became
16	House Bill 369 as introduced. They weren't
17	necessarily drafts of like other iterations.
18	The ones that I'm specifically recalling are
19	sharing with them the maps that ended up being
20	the introduced versions.
21	Q. Okay. Did you share I think we
22	talked about the speaker related to HB 319.
23	Did you share drafts of HB 369?
24	A. With Speaker Batchelder?
25	Q. Yes.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI
2	A. I would I believe Heather and I
3	would have, absolutely.
4	Q. And when you were sharing drafts
5	with President Niehaus and Speaker Batchelder,
б	what information was included in those drafts?
7	A. Well, Speaker Batchelder is an
8	amazing individual. He asked us to fax him
9	copies of maps. And so I believe your specific
10	question is about Speaker Batchelder, we would
11	have Heather and/or I, or another member of
12	his staff, would have faxed him maps. It would
13	have been the maps is my recollection of what
14	we would have shared with him, which we all
15	joked was worthless because the maps were going
16	to print out at the other end of the fax
17	machine in black and white and you would not be
18	able to tell what you were looking at.
19	Q. And were you just sharing the
20	picture of the map or were you sharing any
21	underlying data regarding the map?
22	A. We were faxing him the maps.
23	Q. So the picture of the map?
24	A. The picture of the map is
25	specifically what I recall.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DIROSSI
2	Q. Okay. And President Niehaus, what
3	were you sharing with him?
4	A. He would have been potentially
5	over at the office so he could actually see the
6	map on the screen that we were talking about.
7	And then so obviously all of the demographic
8	data that I mentioned before that would be on
9	the screen for any map that we were working on
10	would have been available for him to look at.
11	Q. And the demographic data that
12	would be available is demographic data and you
13	said also the index would be available?
14	A. Yeah, population data, minority
15	concentrations, population deviations, indexes,
16	yes.
17	Q. Okay. And Mark Huffman, did you
18	share drafts
19	A. Matt.
20	Q. I'm sorry, Matt.
21	A. No problem.
22	Q. Matt Huffman, did you share drafts
23	of the map with Matt Huffman
24	MR. STRACH: Objection.
25	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

	Pag
1	RAYMOND E. DIROSSI
2	Q prior
3	MR. STRACH: Sorry, I just want to
4	make clear you're still working off of his
5	definition of draft, which is draft of the map as
6	introduced.
7	MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG: Yes, of HB 319.
8	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I remember
9	sharing a map that became 319 with Representative
10	Huffman.
11	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:
12	Q. Okay. To your counsel's
13	clarification, were there any iterations of
14	versions of the map that were shared that
15	predate the map that was introduced?
16	MR. STRACH: Objection.
17	THE WITNESS: I'm trying to recall
18	what specific ones those would be. I just I
19	just can't remember that far back of what
20	specifically would have been shared.
21	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:
22	Q. Okay. With Matt Huffman you did
23	share a draft of HB 319?
24	A. Yes, because I believed he was
25	going to be the sponsor, so I believe Heather

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI
2	specifically had said that we needed to make
3	sure that he had an understanding of what he
4	would be introducing to start the legislative
5	process.
6	Q. And did you share a draft of HB
7	369 with Matt Huffman before it was introduced?
8	MR. STRACH: I'm sorry, objection.
9	Of the as introduced version before it was
10	introduced or of something different than the as
11	introduced version?
12	MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG: He can define
13	in his answer what draft and what it was that was
14	shared with Matt Huffman.
15	THE WITNESS: So in all of these
16	questions I'm referring to the map that became the
17	introduced versions. I have specific
18	recollections of sharing the maps that became the
19	introduced versions. I don't have specific
20	recollections of other ideas, concepts or drafts
21	that ended up not being the introduced versions.
22	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:
23	Q. Okay. So with Matt Huffman do you
24	have a recollection of sharing the map as
25	you're defining it of 369?

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1 2 RAYMOND E. DiROSSI

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. And Keith Faber, did you share a version of the map, and you can clarify in your answer what you mean, of HB 319 prior to introduction?

A. I don't specifically recall with
 <sup>8</sup> Senator Faber. I don't recall. The bill was
 <sup>9</sup> being introduced in the house, not the Senate.
 <sup>10</sup> So there was more focus on the House members.

Q. Okay. Do you recall whether a
 version of HB 369 was shared with Senator Faber
 prior to its introduction?

A. As we discussed earlier, he and I did spend some time at the office looking at specifically the geography in Mercer County where we have three congressional districts coming together, so, you know, some of those versions may have found their way into 319 and/or 369 and some of them may not have.

Q. Okay. So just to go back to make sure the record is clean, with Senator Faber did you discuss the map in draft form prior to the introduction of 319?

25

A. I don't have a specific

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

	Pag
1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI
2	recollection of that.
3	Q. Okay. When we looked at and we
4	can go back to the exhibit
5	A. Other than the Mercer County
6	other than the Mercer County geography issue
7	that we just talked about.
8	Q. Okay. Did you share a draft map
9	with anyone else prior to the introduction of
10	HB 319? And you can define what draft means in
11	your answer.
12	MR. STRACH: Objection. You need to
13	define whatever you're talking about.
14	THE WITNESS: Yeah, yeah, I mean it's
15	you know, the people I've articulated I have
16	specific memories of sharing the maps with them
17	that were introduced and, you know, we've talked
18	today about some of the other people who had
19	looked at maps. I think I've exhausted every
20	all of the people that I can specifically remember
21	sharing maps with.
22	BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG:
23	Q. Okay. In regards to HB 369, do
24	you recall sharing the map with anyone else?
25	MR. STRACH: Same objection, same

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Page 344 1 RAYMOND E. DiROSSI 2 instruction. 3 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I mean, the only 4 person, Bob Bennett. Bob Bennett and I, I mean, 5 I've said his name before, but he would have 6 looked at maps that became House Bill 369, at 7 least pieces of -- pieces of it. 8 BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG: 9 Ο. Did he look at maps that would 10 have become 319? 11 I don't -- I don't have those Α. 12 recollections. I don't recall. 13 Oh, I'm getting --0. 14 MR. FRAM: We're improving. 15 BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG: 16 You said that you shared the maps Ο. 17 as defined as the map as introduced. Did you 18 share any of the demographic data with anyone 19 prior to the introduction of HB 319? 20 MR. STRACH: Objection. 21 BY MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG: 22 And I'm using your term Ο. 23 demographic data that you used in a prior 24 response. 25 Well, I mean, like I said, the Α.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	RAYMOND E. DIROSSI
2	people that were at the office would have seen
3	it on the screen, the people that we were
4	faxing maps to would not have had access to it.
5	I don't recall I mean, that is just such a
6	hectic, crazy time, and I don't recall any more
7	details about who we would have shared it with,
8	who I would have shared it with and what I
9	would have shared, other than what I've said.
10	Q. Okay. As you sit here today is
11	your recollection that the primary way that you
12	shared demographic data was by showing it on
13	the computer screens in the office?
14	MR. STRACH: Objection.
15	THE WITNESS: I mean, that was if
16	people were there, that was certainly the easiest
17	way to share it with them, but we've gone through
18	a couple examples where it was done by email.
19	MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG: Okay. I have
20	no further questions at this point.
21	MR. STRACH: All right. We don't
22	have any this is Phil Strach. We don't have
23	any questions at this time.
24	MR. TUCKER: Intervenors don't have
25	any questions at this time.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

		Page	346
1	RAYMOND E. DiROSSI		
2	MR. STRACH: Are we off the record?		
3	MS. THOMAS-LUNDBORG: We're off the		
4	record. Good night, everyone.		
5	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record.		
6	(Thereupon, signature was not waived	ł	
7	by the witness.)		
8	(Thereupon, the deposition was		
9	concluded at 6:10 p.m.)		
10	* * *		
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

Case: 1:18-cv-00357-TSB-KNM-MHW Doc #: 230-12 Filed: 02/20/19 Page: 347 of 349	PAGEID #:
12700	

		Page	347
1	I, RAYMOND E. DiROSSI, do hereby certify		
2	that the foregoing is a true and accurate		
3	transcription of my testimony.		
4			
5			
б			
7			
8	Dated		
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1	STATE OF OHIO )
2	COUNTY OF MONTGOMERY ) SS: CERTIFICATE
3	I, Christine Gallagher, a Notary
4	Public within and for the State of Ohio, duly
5	commissioned and qualified,
6	DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the
7	above-named RAYMOND E. DiROSSI, was by me first
8	duly sworn to testify the truth, the whole truth
9	and nothing but the truth.
10	Said testimony was reduced to
11	writing by me stenographically in the presence
12	of the witness and thereafter reduced to
13	typewriting.
14	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a
15	relative or Attorney of either party, in any
16	manner interested in the event of this action,
17	nor am I, or the court reporting firm with which
18	I am affiliated, under a contract as defined in
19	Civil Rule 28(D).
20	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my
21	hand and seal of office at Dayton, Ohio, on this
22	31st day of October, 2018.
23	
	CHRISTINE GALLAGHER
24	NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF OHIO
25	My Commission expires 8-28-2023

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Case: 1:18-cv-00357-TSB-KNM-MHW Doc #: 230	-12 Filed: 02/20/19 Page: 349 of 349 PAGEID #:
13	711

		Page	349
1	ERRATA SHEET		
2	Case Name:		
3	Deposition Date:		
4	Deponent:		
5	Pg. No. Now Reads Should Read Reason		
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
	Signature of Depon	ent	
22			
	SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN BEFORE ME		
23	THIS DAY OF, 2018.		
24			
25	(Notary Public) MY COMMISSION EXPIRES:		

Case: 1:18-cv-00357-TSB-KNM-MHW Doc #: 230-34 Filed: 02/20/19 Page: 1 of 163 PAGEID #: 16850	
	Page 1
1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
3	
4	X
5	OHIO A. PHILIP RANDOLPH INSTITUTE, No. 1:18-cv-00357-TSB
6	et al.,
7	Plaintiffs,
8	V.
9	RYAN SMITH, Speaker of the Ohio
10	House of Representatives, et al.,
11	Defendants.
12	X
13	
14	
15	
16	DEPOSITION OF JOHN MORGAN
17	Washington, DC
18	November 28, 2018
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	Reporter: Linda Kinkade
25	Job No. 149798

# Case: 1:18-cv-00357-TSB-KNM-MHW Doc #: 230-34 Filed: 02/20/19 Page: 2 of 163 PAGEID #: 16851

	100.11		
		Page	2
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6	November 28, 2018		
7	9:09 a.m.		
8			
9			
10			
11			
12	The following is the transcript of the		
13	deposition of JOHN MORGAN held at the offices of		
14	Covington & Burling LLP, One CityCenter, 850 Tenth		
15	Street, NW, Washington, DC 20001.		
16			
17			
18			
19	Reported by: Linda S. Kinkade RDR CRR RMR RPR CSR		
20			
21	Registered Diplomate Reporter, Nationally Certified	f	
22	Realtime Reporter, Registered Merit Reporter,		
23	Registered Professional Reporter, Certified Shortha	and	
24	Reporter, in and for the State of California, Notar	ry	
25	Public, within and for the District of Columbia		

Page 3 1 A P P E A R A N C E S: 2 3 Covington & Burling 4 On Behalf of Plaintiffs OHIO A. PHILIP 5 RANDOLPH INSTITUTE, et al. 6 BY: Robert Fram, Esq. 7 One Front Street 8 San Francisco, California 94111 9 10 11 -and-12 13 Covington & Burling 14 On Behalf of Plaintiffs OHIO A. PHILIP 15 RANDOLPH INSTITUTE, et al. 16 BY: Peter Rechter, Esq. 17 One CityCenter 18 850 Tenth Street, NW 19 Washington, DC 20001 20 21 22 23 24 25

	Page 4
1	A P P E A R A N C E S (continued):
2	
3	
4	Baker & Hostetler
5	On Behalf of Deponent John Morgan
6	BY: Katherine McKnight, Esq.
7	1050 Connecticut Avenue, NW
8	Washington, DC 20036
9	
10	
11	
12	Ogletree, Deakins, Nash, Smoak & Stewart
13	On Behalf of Legislative Defendants
14	BY: Alyssa Riggins, Esq.
15	4208 Six Forks Road
16	Raleigh, North Carolina 27609
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Case: 1	1:18-cv-00357-TSB-KNM-MHW Doc #: 230-34 Filed: 02/20/19 Pag 16854	e: 5 of 163 PAGEID #:
		Page 5
1	INDEX OF EXAMINATION	
2		
3	EXAMINATION OF JOHN MORGAN	PAGE
4	BY MR. FRAM	7
5	BY MS. MCKNIGHT	
6	BY MS. RIGGINS	
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

Page 6 1 J. MORGAN 2 PROCEEDINGS 3 THE REPORTER: Good morning, counsel. Ιf 4 you would state your appearances for the record, 5 please. 6 MR. FRAM: Sure. Rob Fram, 7 Covington & Burling, for the plaintiffs. 8 MR. RECHTER: Peter Rechter, Covington & 9 Burling, for the plaintiffs. 10 MS. MCKNIGHT: Good morning. Katherine 11 McKnight, BakerHostetler, for John Morgan. 12 JOHN MORGAN, 13 having been first duly sworn, was thereafter 14 examined and testified as follows: 15 MR. FRAM: I was about to start by saying 16 the stipulations that we've had in the prior 17 depositions will apply, and there are basically two 18 of them, and that is that objections other than to 19 form are preserved, and the other one may or may not 20 be necessary today, but an objection made by one 21 attorney here counts for the other attorneys as well. 22 Ms. McKnight is the only attorney here, and 23 certainly -- I don't know what we do about that. We 24 haven't had this -- I haven't been in a deposition 25 before where they weren't here, but, as far as I'm

	Page 7
1	J. MORGAN
2	concerned, the stipulation is fine.
3	EXAMINATION
4	BY MR. FRAM:
5	Q. So, Mr. Morgan, have you been deposed
6	before?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. How many times?
9	A. I don't know off the top of my head. Six
10	or seven times maybe.
11	Q. Thank you. That's a fair number. Well,
12	that will help move things along here. I'll try not
13	to bore you with some of the usual things lawyers say
14	at the beginning of depositions, but just a couple of
15	things.
16	The most important thing I think we tend to
17	say is that I will do my best to try not to interrupt
18	you, my best, and not fill in your sentences. And
19	I'll ask you to do the same just so that the court
20	reporter here can get a clean booklet of what we're
21	saying here for later when people read this. Is that
22	okay?
23	A. Okay.
24	Q. Of course, if you need to take a break,
25	feel free. I'll ask you try not to do that when I've

	Pag
1	J. MORGAN
2	asked you a question that you haven't answered yet,
3	unless you need to talk to your lawyer about an
4	attorney-client privilege question, and, of course,
5	that's always fine. But, other than that, I'd ask
6	you to please not take a break while the question is
7	hanging out there. Do you understand that?
8	A. Okay.
9	Q. Any reason you can't give complete and
10	truthful testimony today, any medications or
11	anything?
12	A. No, nothing like that.
13	Q. Okay. I'd like to show you a document we
14	premarked as Exhibit 1, which is the subpoena in this
15	case that was served on you. Please take a look at
16	it.
17	(Exhibit 1 marked for
18	identification: Subpoena to Produce
19	Documents, Information or Objects)
20	Q. After you take a look at it, I'll ask
21	whether you recall seeing it before?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. Do you recall when you saw it?
24	A. Sometime in the summertime.
25	Q. Okay. And did you go look for documents

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	J. MORGAN
2	in response to this subpoena, Exhibit 1?
3	A. I shared this with the attorneys that are
4	representing me here, and then, after discussing it
5	with them, I looked for documents, yes.
6	Q. Okay. And the documents you produced in
7	this case, did you look on a computer to find some of
8	them?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. Okay. And was that a computer that a
11	personal computer or work computer, do you recall?
12	A. It's primarily a work computer.
13	Q. Do you recall, other than the computer, do
14	you recall looking for documents any other place?
15	A. I would have looked through some files as
16	well, like physical files.
17	Q. Did you find some documents in the
18	physical in the paper files?
19	A. No.
20	Q. Okay. So the only documents you produced
21	in this case, they were from the computer; is that
22	right?
23	A. That's right.
24	Q. And did you look in email accounts when
25	you were looking on the computer?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 10 J. MORGAN Α. Yes. Do you recall which email accounts? Ο. All the email accounts that I have access Α. to. Now would one of them be jmorgan@ Ο. Okay. appliedresearchcoordinates.com? Α. Yes. Any others that you have access to that 0. you can recall? morgangop@comcast.net, jmorgan4@cox.net, Α. and I also have redistrictingexpert@gmail.com, which I don't think was active at the time of this redistricting work that I did. Were the other three email accounts active Ο. back in 2011? Α. I believe so. You mentioned you've been deposed a few 0. other times. Did any of those depositions involve redistricting? Α. Yes. I'm going to make a point here. When we Ο. talk about redistricting in this deposition, it will be a couple different ways. I'm going to ask you some questions about your general redistricting

	Page
1	J. MORGAN
2	background, but, when I ask you about Ohio, I'm going
3	to be asking you about redistricting as it concerns
4	congressional redistricting, not the state
5	legislative redistricting. Do you understand that?
6	A. Okay.
7	Q. And if it's not clear, please let me know,
8	and I will try and clarify just so you understand.
9	So you said some of these depositions
10	concerned redistricting; is that right?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. Do you recall what states they concerned?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. Could you please let me know?
15	A. North Carolina, Virginia, New Mexico,
16	Georgia. I think that's all.
17	Q. Okay. Did any of them concern challenges
18	to maps drawn in 2011 as regards the congressional
19	redistricting?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. Do you recall which one?
22	A. Virginia.
23	Q. Any of the others?
24	A. Not that I recall.
25	Q. In preparing for today's deposition

	Page 1
1	J. MORGAN
2	well, let me back up. Did you do anything to prepare
3	for today's deposition?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. And what did you do, please?
6	A. I met with Ms. McKnight yesterday.
7	Q. Anything else?
8	A. That's basically all I did for
9	preparation.
10	Q. Did you review any documents?
11	A. I looked at an invoice yesterday.
12	Q. Anything else?
13	A. I think there was another document that
14	Ms. McKnight shared with me.
15	Q. Did it help you remember something you
16	hadn't remembered before you saw it?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. Okay. Well, then I would ask you, what
19	was that document, please?
20	A. It was about a meeting in July of 2011.
21	Q. In Ohio?
22	A. In Ohio.
23	Q. Around July 7 or 8?
24	A. I think so.
25	Q. 2011?

Page 13 1 J. MORGAN 2 I think so. Α. 3 Okay. We'll look at some of that. 0. 4 Anything else? 5 That's it. Α. 6 0. Okay. At any time have you looked at the 7 complaint in this case? 8 Α. No, not really. 9 0. You say "not really." I got to warn you. 10 As a lawyer, when anyone says "not really," they 11 always ask, well, in any way. 12 Α. No. 13 It's sort of a red flag for us. 0. Okay. 14 I haven't -- I haven't seen a document Α. 15 with the complaint. 16 Okay. I take it you heard about it; you Ο. 17 just haven't read it. 18 Α. Correct. 19 I understand. We'll mark next a document. 0. 20 It's a version of your CV. We pulled it off the 21 Internet. It was attached to a proposal of Applied 22 Research Coordinates for the City of Placentia, but 23 I'm not going to ask about the proposal. It just 24 happens to be the document we have with your CV. So 25 I just want to make that clear for the record.

	rage
1	J. MORGAN
2	We'll have this as Exhibit 2. I'm just going
3	to ask you about your CV.
4	(Exhibit 2 marked for
5	identification: Re Proposal for
6	services 2017 (City of Placentia)
7	with attached CV)
8	Q. Some of the questions I'll ask right now
9	you'll need to look at the CV, some you won't, you'll
10	probably just know off the top of your head, but if
11	you need to look at it, feel free.
12	I guess, if you could please state your
13	education since high school.
14	A. I graduated from the University of Chicago
15	with a B.A. in history.
16	Q. And, yes, I see from your CV you did an
17	Honors Thesis, didn't you.
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. And that was entitled, quote, "The Net
20	Effects of Gerrymandering 1896 to 1932"; is that
21	right?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. And what was the was there any theme
24	you recall about the net effects of gerrymandering in
25	your Honors Thesis?

1	J. MORGAN
2	A. The time period under consideration was a
3	defined political time period in U.S. history. So
4	that's the 1896 to 1932 is a recognized time
5	period. So I looked at the redistricting that
б	occurred in 1900, 1910, 1920 and 1930, and I was
7	looking at the balance of seats between the parties
8	as a result of those elections.
9	Q. And did you find there was a net effect of
10	gerrymandering in any one of those cycles?
11	A. I would say that, in general, first off, I
12	need to say that the 1920 reapportionment cycle,
13	there was no redistricting or apportionment of seats
14	conducted after 1920. It would be unusual, and, as
15	far as I know, it's the only time when the House of
16	Representatives did not reapportion its seats.
17	So, in a sense, there wasn't a redistricting
18	following the 1920 census in the way that we would
19	understand seats the number of seats changing
20	based on the population every ten years. In 1920
21	there was no adjustment made for that.
22	So, with that in mind, I looked at the
23	redistricting cycles in that time period. And, I
24	guess, the general conclusion was that any
25	redistricting effects were fairly balanced or any

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

	1490
1	J. MORGAN
2	advantage from redistricting, any of the effects from
3	the parties, were fairly balanced. With the
4	Republicans in the North and the Midwest, if they had
5	an advantage, it was canceled effectively by the
6	Democratic strength in the South and the Southwest.
7	Q. Now when you say it was balanced, just so
8	I understand, that the parties in their respective
9	regions, they did seek an advantage, they just is
10	that right?
11	A. That was my analysis in that paper, yes.
12	Q. And they, in fact, regionally did obtain
13	one; is that right?
14	A. That's what I discussed in the paper.
15	Q. I see. Okay. I'm going to bring you
16	forward from 1932 a little bit.
17	A. Sure.
18	Q. Let's see if we can get up to your
19	employment after you graduated. Will you please
20	describe that? What was your first job?
21	A. Sure. Well, after college, I went to work
22	for my father's company, Applied Research
23	Coordinates, and I worked with him in that company.
24	Q. And did any of that work concern
25	redistricting?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

0.

Page 17 J. MORGAN Yes. Α. What time period, please? 0. Right after college. I graduated in June Α. of 1991, and the next day I began work in Michigan on redistricting. You say "began work." Were you drawing Ο. maps? Α. Yes. Do you recall back then what the -- did Ο. you use software back then to draw maps? Sometimes yes; sometimes no. Α. Yes. 0. Do you recall what software you were using back then? Α. I don't recall the name of it then, no. Was it a GIS program or was GIS around 0. back then? It was primitive back then, but it was Α. around, yes. And then after that work on the Michigan 0. maps, what did you do next? I had also worked in Indiana before I left Α. college, so I worked in Indiana and Michigan. Ι worked in a handful of other states in 1991 and 1992.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

And were you also drawing maps in Indiana?

Page	18
------	----

	Page
1	J. MORGAN
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. And then after that cycle, then, the 1991
4	cycle, what was your next job?
5	A. Well, I continued to work with my father's
6	company in 1991, '92, '93, '94, and I assisted him
7	also for the rest of the '90s.
8	Q. Now after '91, what were you doing I
9	take it let me back up. So after '91 did any of
10	your work concern redistricting during the '90s?
11	A. Yes. In 1992 I continued to work on
12	redistricting.
13	Q. And where was that, please?
14	A. I did work in Pennsylvania, New York,
15	New Jersey, Florida, Illinois, Wisconsin. I think
16	that's most of the work that I personally did in the
17	1990s cycle.
18	Q. Now I'm asking about after '91. So were
19	these state legislative maps or were they
20	congressional maps?
21	A. So to clarify, I worked in '91 and '92 on
22	redistricting for state legislative and congressional
23	redistricting in various combinations in those
24	states.
25	Q. I see. And then after '92, what were you

	Page
1	J. MORGAN
2	working on?
3	A. I would work with my father's company,
4	Applied Research Coordinates, on campaigns,
5	elections, things like that.
6	Q. What did you do on campaigns and elections
7	in the '90s?
8	A. I would look at past election results and
9	come up with vote models or just analyze demographics
10	and election history for campaign work.
11	Q. When you say "vote models," could you
12	please explain what you mean by that?
13	A. Sure. In the '90s I would help create
14	what I would call margin goals or expectations for
15	statewide or district-based elections.
16	Q. So you say expectations for, let's say, a
17	congressional district election. Would that mean
18	some sort of a prediction or expectation as to how
19	that district might vote based on how the map was
20	drawn?
21	A. I would say that it was campaign-specific.
22	So if I had a congressional candidate as a client, I
23	would try to anticipate what votes were necessary to
24	win the upcoming election.
25	And so in my discussion of terms, I would call

	Page
1	J. MORGAN
2	that margin goals so that the candidate would have
3	some idea of what it would take to win.
4	Q. And what would you base that on, what
5	sorts of information or data?
б	A. I would use past elections. I would use
7	demographic data. I would use candidate-specific
8	information, such as background profiles, you know,
9	bios of candidates. Polling information would also
10	go into that.
11	Q. Now you say "past elections." Did you
12	ever come to view what collection of past elections
13	were most reliable for some of these expectations, as
14	you called them?
15	A. Actually I would have most of the time
16	available multiple elections for a series of years
17	beforehand, and typically I would put the election
18	data on a series of maps that I would then show to
19	the campaigns, and these maps would be specific to
20	whatever district was being analyzed.
21	Q. And did you have any did you have a
22	view as to whether or not you should have more than
23	one election, more than two, any certain number of
24	elections you should have?
25	A. I would produce a lot of election
L	

	Page
1	J. MORGAN
2	material, and what was most useful varied depending
3	on the nature of the election that was being
4	conducted.
5	Q. Just so I understand, if you can give me
б	an example of a campaign where you did this.
7	A. In that time period?
8	Q. Sure.
9	A. I would have let me think of a specific
10	campaign. In the New Jersey legislative elections, I
11	would have worked I did work for the State
12	Assembly and the State Senate Republican caucuses in
13	the 1991 and 1993 election cycles.
14	Q. Did you do any of this work for any
15	congressional campaigns?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. Could you name one for me, please.
18	A. I believe I did work I did work for
19	Wisconsin congressional district 1 in a special
20	election, and then a general election, I believe, in
21	1994. There were other congressional elections in
22	that cycle.
23	I did work for a New Jersey congressional
24	candidate in 1994 in district 8. I did work for
25	New Jersey congressional candidates in 1992 in

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

	Pag
1	J. MORGAN
2	district 6 and district 2. There are others as well.
3	(Counsel, Ms. Riggins, joined the deposition.)
4	Q. In the congressional district races, do
5	you recall the kinds of election data that you would
6	look at in terms of setting expectations? How many
7	elections?
8	A. It really varies by state. In the case of
9	New Jersey, I would have I did look at
10	gubernatorial elections, presidential elections, and
11	U.S. Senate elections. Those are the only statewide
12	elections in New Jersey, but in other states there
13	were more elections available.
14	So in Wisconsin I looked at elections for
15	governor, treasurer, attorney general, secretary of
16	state, president, Senate. Because there are more
17	elections available in Wisconsin. Each state has a
18	different slate of elections available.
19	Q. I notice you're including statewide
20	elections with the elections you identified. Do I
21	have that right?
22	A. Yes. I looked at statewide elections. I
23	also looked at congressional election results too.
24	Q. Okay. Did you tend to stay away from
25	judicial elections?

	Page
1	J. MORGAN
2	A. In those cases, yes.
3	Q. Congressional have you ever used
4	judicial elections looking at a congressional
5	A. Yes.
б	Q. Okay. Which one, do you recall?
7	A. I looked at them in New Mexico, definitely
8	in New Mexico. There are other times when I've used
9	them. It just depends on the state and the elections
10	that are available.
11	Q. Looking at those elections that you were
12	looking at, did you ever create averages or indices?
13	A. I have done that. I don't tend to use
14	them in this context.
15	Q. Do you use them in any context?
16	A. If a client requests them, yes.
17	Q. In what context, can you recall? If you
18	ever have used them, in what context, can you recall?
19	A. In New Mexico there was an election
20	average that was used by the legislature. And in the
21	redistricting litigation around New Mexico's
22	legislative elections, that average was used
23	throughout.
24	Q. Have you ever used averages in any other
25	work you've done?

Page	24
------	----

	Page
1	J. MORGAN
2	A. Yes, again, if the client requests it,
3	yes.
4	Q. Can you recall where?
5	A. It would be state by state.
б	Q. Do you recall any states where you did?
7	A. Again, New Mexico, possibly Pennsylvania,
8	possibly Ohio.
9	Q. Okay. Thank you for answering that way
10	because I was going to clarify.
11	When asking about these averages, I was asking
12	about beyond just the '90s, if that helps, but I
13	think your answer is I take it the work in
14	Pennsylvania and Ohio, that was after the '90s; is
15	that right?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. For election averages, have you ever heard
18	something abbreviated EA? Have you ever seen that
19	software?
20	A. I have seen election averages abbreviated
21	as EA, yes.
22	Q. So we were talking about your work in the
23	'90s. At some point did you leave Applied Research
24	Coordinates?
25	A. Yes.

Page	25
------	----

	- 5
1	J. MORGAN
2	Q. And where did you go to, what job?
3	A. I worked for an organization called GOPAC
4	starting in 1995.
5	Q. And what did you do there?
б	A. I was the national field director, and so
7	I would I did a lot of things. I worked on
8	candidate training classes. I would analyze
9	elections and help the political team determine where
10	to spend resources on legislative and congressional
11	elections.
12	Q. How long did you have that job?
13	A. I was full-time employed at GOPAC for
14	about two years in 1997, and I continued as a
15	consultant after that for a time period.
16	Q. Until about '99?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. Okay. And then after '99 what did you do?
19	A. I went back to work with my father's
20	company, Applied Research Coordinates.
21	Q. And how long were you there?
22	A. I worked there until I took another
23	position with GOPAC in 2004.
24	Q. So roughly '99 to 2004?
25	A. That's right.

	Fage
1	J. MORGAN
2	Q. Okay. And what were you doing, on your
3	return to Applied Research, what were you doing?
4	A. I did campaign work, as we discussed a
5	little bit, and I also did redistricting work in 2001
б	and 2002.
7	Q. And do you recall what states you did
8	redistricting work in the 2001 cycle?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. Which were they, please?
11	A. Rhode Island, New Jersey, Pennsylvania,
12	Virginia, North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia,
13	Indiana, Wisconsin. There may have been one or two
14	others.
15	Q. Did any of these concern congressional
16	were these all congressional redistricting?
17	A. They were a mix of legislative and
18	congressional.
19	Q. Which ones were congressional, please?
20	A. Virginia, I think Pennsylvania and
21	New Jersey. I don't know. I don't know some of the
22	others.
23	Q. And did you actually draw maps?
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. And did you use software in the 2001 cycle

	Page
1	J. MORGAN
2	to draw maps?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. What was the software that was in use at
5	that time?
6	A. It varied by state, depending on what was
7	available. The two software that I recall are
8	Maptitude and ArcGIS, or sometimes their product is
9	called AutoBound, but some states have their own
10	proprietary software as well.
11	Q. What was the first state you employed
12	Maptitude in?
13	A. I think it was used in Georgia, possibly
14	Wisconsin, New Jersey, Virginia, North Carolina.
15	Q. So I think you said that Virginia was a
16	congressional redistricting; is that right?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. And so did you use Maptitude to draw maps
19	in Virginia's congressional redistricting in 2001?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. And also New Jersey's congressional
22	redistricting in 2001, did you use Maptitude?
23	A. I believe so. I don't know that I was as
24	involved in that as my father was.
25	Q. Okay. And what about Pennsylvania? Do

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	J. MORGAN
2	you recall what you did there, which software?
3	A. I don't. That may have been more
4	legislative. There I worked primarily for the state
5	Senate caucus.
6	Q. When you used Maptitude to draw lines back
7	then, did you go through any training to learn how to
8	use it?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. What was the training?
11	A. I think there was a training session
12	sponsored by Maptitude in conjunction with the
13	Republican National Committee, and I also did
14	Maptitude training at the NCSL, the National Council
15	of State Legislators. There may have been more
16	training than that, but those are two that I recall.
17	Q. Do you recall who led the training at the
18	RNC?
19	A. At the RNC training, it would have been
20	Dave Flaherty and Tom Hofeller. I think those two
21	were the ones that did that training. At NCSL, I
22	don't recall.
23	Q. Okay. Do you recall anything about, like,
24	how long the training took place? Was it a couple of
25	days?

	Fage
1	J. MORGAN
2	A. My portion was probably half a day.
3	Q. And was it here in Washington?
4	A. It was in the Washington area.
5	Q. Okay. Did anybody from the Caliper
б	Company come to help in the training?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. And just for the record, Caliper, you
9	understand, is the company that produced Maptitude?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. Have you stayed current with different
12	versions of Maptitude as it's developed over the
13	years, different versions?
14	A. I've stayed fairly current, yes.
15	Q. And you used it again in the 2011 cycle;
16	is that right?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. And are you familiar with it today?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. Did you have to go through any new
21	training on Maptitude as it's gone through different
22	versions?
23	A. Yes. I did a training session in 2011 or
24	2010. I don't remember which year it was.
25	Q. And do you recall anything about any new

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

	Page
1	J. MORGAN
2	features of Maptitude in 2010 or 2011 that were
3	subject to the training?
4	A. No, I don't really. It just blurs
5	together.
6	Q. Do you recall any new features in
7	Maptitude that have evolved since the first time you
8	used it?
9	A. Yes. There was a new feature that allowed
10	Maptitude users to bring in a Google Earth view
11	underneath the maps. I think there was more
12	functionality with the data side of the GIS work
13	engine.
14	Q. When you say the data side of the GIS
15	engine, can you explain to me a little more what that
16	involves?
17	A. Sure. The GIS software primarily takes
18	the geospatial information and marries it with what
19	you would consider to be something closer to
20	spreadsheet data or database programs, and I think
21	there was more functionality with the database side
22	in 2011 than there would have been in 2001.
23	Q. Do you remember something called the
24	DataView 1 table or window?
25	A. Yes.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

	Page
1	J. MORGAN
2	Q. The Info Tool?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. Do you remember you could click on the
5	Info Tool and then scroll over a portion of a map and
б	then click on it, and the DataView 1 table would show
7	up or a window would pop up?
8	A. I'm not exactly sure that it works that
9	way.
10	Q. What's your understanding of how the Info
11	Tool works or worked in 2011, to be specific?
12	A. I just don't know if it calls up the
13	DataView 1 table when you do the procedure you were
14	describing.
15	Q. But you saw the DataView you were able
16	to call up the DataView are you comfortable with
17	calling it the DataView 1 window or DataView 1 table?
18	A. I'm not sure what you're referring to
19	exactly when you say that. When I think of DataView
20	1, I'm thinking of the District Summary view, which
21	is different than what you might be describing. I
22	think our terms are crossed here.
23	Q. Okay. In the DataView 1 table, could you
24	see different election results?
25	A. I'm not sure I understand what the

	Page
1	J. MORGAN
2	DataView 1 table is.
3	Q. Okay. Is there a way of using the Info
4	Tool to see election results?
5	A. Yes.
б	Q. Okay. And you could see that on, say, the
7	right side you could see a map in the middle of
8	the screen and then you could see the window could
9	appear on the right side?
10	A. The placement of windows is entirely
11	configurable.
12	Q. Fair enough. But you could see it on the
13	same screen?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. Okay. What about exporting the election
16	results to an Excel sheet? Would Maptitude have that
17	capability?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. Okay. Did it have that ability in 2011?
20	A. Yes, that ability was there to export
21	different geographical tables
22	in an Excel spreadsheet.
23	Q. Okay. Has the ability to export let me
24	back up.
25	Has the ability to view election results in a

	Page
1	J. MORGAN
2	window or table changed in Maptitude since 2011?
3	A. I don't know.
4	I'm going to get a little water.
5	Q. Oh, sure, sure.
6	MR. FRAM: For the record, do you want to
7	say hi?
8	(Alyssa M. Riggins, Esq. now present)
9	BY MR. FRAM:
10	Q. We were talking about 2001 a little bit.
11	I think you actually said I want to make sure I
12	got it right. You actually did draw maps in 2001?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. And for congressional districts?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. Okay. And when you did it, did you have
17	election result data available?
18	A. Yes, election data current through 2000
19	yeah, 2000, and very rarely would have been 2001,
20	probably just through 2000.
21	Q. And using Maptitude were you able to
22	tell have the election results data at the census
23	block level?
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. And then, do I have it right, that the way

	rage rage
1	J. MORGAN
2	you draw a map in Maptitude is you draw a line around
3	a group of census blocks; is that right?
4	A. There are many different ways to draw
5	maps. One way is as you're describing.
6	Q. What are the other ways?
7	A. Primarily you would do a point-and-click
8	like you're describing. You can lasso where you draw
9	an outline around census blocks. You can also use
10	other levels of geography to point and click.
11	You can also select by other attributes. You
12	could select by, say, a search of a code. Like you
13	could select something based on its geography code.
14	So I could select a single county, not by clicking on
15	it, but by putting in its county ID. So there's just
16	multiple ways to select things. But point-and-click
17	and also lassoing and things like that.
18	Q. When you say "point-and-click," just so
19	that we're on the same page here, and "lassoing,"
20	does lassoing involve circling a certain, let's say,
21	number of census blocks, as an example?
22	A. As an example, you could circle census
23	blocks. The sensitivity depends on what you actually
24	encompass, whether the program is set to select only
25	things enclosed within the polygon or whether things
	TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

	Page
1	J. MORGAN
2	that touch the polygon. There's many different ways
3	to do this.
4	Q. Okay. And what's point-and-click, your
5	phrase?
6	A. If you were to have the settings in
7	Maptitude configured in such a way that you would
8	click on a geographic unit, it would select it for
9	inclusion in a proposed district.
10	Q. Okay. And do you recall, did you prefer
11	one method or the other when you were drawing maps,
12	congressional district maps, in 2001?
13	A. I don't have a preference per se on that.
14	I would work with the clients to configure what they
15	want to use.
16	Q. But either way you would, once you either
17	lassoed or point and click, you would have available
18	the prior election results; is that right?
19	A. Generally speaking, for whatever you
20	selected, you could get election results on that
21	selected portion. So if one were to select a town,
22	you would potentially have election results for that
23	town, or for the new proposed district, which would
24	include that town, depending on the settings.
25	Q. Now to get the election results data

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

	rage
1	J. MORGAN
2	well, I'll ask you. How did you get the election
3	results data? What was the source of it?
4	A. Generally speaking, the source of the
5	election results data would be the secretaries of
6	states from the various states, the election
7	authorities, division of elections or secretary of
8	states in South Carolina or Wisconsin or Indiana,
9	depending.
10	Q. Did the secretary of states have election
11	data broken down to the census block level?
12	A. In my experience most secretaries of
13	states do not but some election authorities do.
14	Q. Okay. If they didn't, was there another
15	source you would need to use or did use?
16	A. Well, ultimately, when you talk about the
17	source, the source is ultimately going to be the
18	secretary of state or election division. The
19	election results are reported at different levels.
20	Generally, they would be reported at the precinct
21	level, possibly a town or county level, and so that's
22	the source material.
23	Q. Right. That's the ultimate source
24	material
25	A. Yes.

1	J. MORGAN
2	Q but it might be if the secretary of
3	state, let's say, for example, provided the election
4	results at the precinct level, not a census block
5	level, have you ever run into a state where that was
6	the case?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. For Maptitude, you might want to have the
9	election results at the census block level. Have you
10	ever run into that where you only had precinct data
11	from the secretary of state but you needed to get
12	census block election results from Maptitude?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. Do you remember an example of where that
15	happened?
16	A. That would be fairly standard, yes.
17	Q. Okay. And so to get from that secretary
18	of state precinct-level information to the
19	census-block level to use in Maptitude, how was that
20	translation effected?
21	MS. MCKNIGHT: Objection.
22	Q. How did you fair enough.
23	How did you get from secretary of state
24	precinct-level data to census-block-level data?
25	A. In general, the precinct-level data would

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

	Page 3
1	J. MORGAN
2	be allocated by the underlying census blocks to the
3	extent that the census-block boundaries were
4	congruent with the precinct boundaries.
5	Q. Did somebody have to figure that out?
6	A. Well, not always, because the census
7	geography sometimes aligns with the precinct
8	geography, so, in a sense, that information is
9	available.
10	Q. Okay. Is it already just geocoded in by
11	the secretary of state or someone needs to do that
12	work?
13	A. It depends on the state. Again, the
14	there is a lot of additional data work that can be
15	done to make this process more efficient.
16	Q. And sometimes precincts split census
17	blocks; is that right?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. And then someone has to figure out what to
20	do about that; is that right?
21	A. In those cases, yes, if it's a requirement
22	for redistricting.
23	Q. Did you do that work yourself or did you
24	work with some other person or expert to help on
25	that?

	Page
1	J. MORGAN
2	MS. MCKNIGHT: Objection.
3	A. In general, I do some work by myself. I
4	work with others. I use the data that's provided for
5	me.
б	Q. Who are the others you might have worked
7	with or you did work with in the past on
8	congressional redistricting with this sort of data?
9	A. I've worked with Clark Benson. I've
10	worked with Dave Flaherty, John Diaz. I've also
11	worked with Jennifer Flaherty. There have been other
12	people that have provided data like this, but those
13	are the people I remember working with.
14	Q. Do you recall the elections in which you
15	worked with Clark Benson?
16	A. I worked with Clark Benson on many
17	elections.
18	Q. Okay. Do you recall any congressional
19	districting projects where you worked with Clark
20	Benson?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. Which ones, please?
23	A. I've worked with him as far as election
24	data he provides datasets for dozens of states
25	that I've worked in. I've gotten data from him for

	Page
1	J. MORGAN
2	Connecticut, New York, Pennsylvania, New Jersey,
3	Maryland, Virginia, North Carolina, South Carolina,
4	Georgia, Florida, Tennessee, Ohio, Michigan, Indiana,
5	Wisconsin, Missouri, Iowa, Louisiana, New Mexico,
б	California, Nevada.
7	These are instances where I've gotten election
8	data in the way that you're describing from Clark.
9	There may be others.
10	Q. Did you get any you mentioned Ohio, and
11	you also mentioned some other experts you worked with
12	in terms of election data. Anybody else besides
13	Mr. Benson that you worked with for Ohio?
14	A. Primarily for Ohio, for this type of
15	election work, I worked with Mr. Benson, and I
16	believe that there was an Ohio university that was
17	involved in some of this data collection.
18	Q. Does the name Mark Salem ring a bell?
19	A. No.
20	Q. Does Cleveland State University ring a
21	bell?
22	A. That sounds right.
23	Q. Okay. You said you were back with Applied
24	Research Coordinates, I think you said until 2004,
25	and then you went back to GOPAC; is that right?

Page	41

	Page
1	J. MORGAN
2	A. Yes. I started work as GOPAC executive
3	director in July of 2004.
4	Q. And what did you do then?
5	A. I was the leader of the organization. I
6	was the executive director from 2004 through 2007
7	working with the chairman, J.C. Watts, who was a
8	former member of Congress.
9	Q. What were your responsibilities?
10	A. I was responsible for all aspects of the
11	organization.
12	Q. Did any of it have to do with
13	redistricting?
14	A. During that time period, to the extent
15	that redistricting was discussed, I might have said
16	something about it.
17	Q. Do you recall anything?
18	A. Not specifically.
19	Q. Now, I'm sorry, you were there until about
20	2007?
21	A. That's right. I left my position
22	officially in March of 2007. I continued on as a
23	consultant for a few more months.
24	Q. And then what did you do after that?
25	A. I took over ownership of Applied Research

	Page 42
1	J. MORGAN
2	Coordinates. I acquired that from my father.
3	Q. And that's your present job to this day?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. Now Applied Research Coordinates, that's
б	over in Fairfax, Virginia; is that right?
7	A. At this time it was. I currently am in
8	Springfield.
9	Q. I'm sorry. We should get our time right.
10	In 2011 was it in Virginia?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. In Fairfax?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. Okay. And how many people work at Applied
15	Research Coordinates in 2011?
16	A. Typically it would be one or two.
17	Q. I see in your résumé one of the clients
18	you listed was Republican State Leadership Committee.
19	Was that the case in 2011?
20	A. I'm sorry. Where are you directing
21	Q. On your résumé, which we have here as
22	Exhibit 2, I think you've got here under, let's see,
23	the second bullet under Employment, on page 1 of your
24	CV, Republican State Leadership Committee.
25	A. I see that.

	Pag	je 4
1	J. MORGAN	
2	Q. Was that a client in 2011?	
3	A. No.	
4	Q. Okay. When did you work with the	
5	Republican State Leadership Committee?	
б	A. In 2014.	
7	Q. Okay. Did you ever work with a person	
8	called Chris Jankowski?	
9	A. I have worked with him, yes.	
10	Q. Did you do any work with him in 2010?	
11	A. No.	
12	Q. 2011?	
13	A. No.	
14	Q. Okay. 2009?	
15	A. No.	
16	Q. Did you work with anybody did you ever	
17	work with someone called Ed Gillespie?	
18	A. No.	
19	Q. We can get into specifics in just a little	ž
20	oit, but I think you mentioned Ohio. So you worked	
21	on Ohio redistricting in 2011, correct?	
22	A. Yes.	
23	Q. And at that time you worked with	
24	Maptitude?	
25	A. Yes.	

	Page
1	J. MORGAN
2	Q. And the work you did with Maptitude
3	involved having access to election results data, is
4	that right, in 2011 in Ohio?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. And you had that information at the
7	census-block level?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. Do you recall the elections as to which
10	you had election results data when you did your work
11	in Ohio in 2011?
12	A. I had election results from 2002, 2004,
13	2006, 2008 and 2010, as I recall.
14	Q. Statewide?
15	A. I believe so.
16	Q. Nonjudicial?
17	A. I believe so.
18	Q. Do you recall using any averages of those
19	elections?
20	MS. MCKNIGHT: Objection.
21	A. I don't specifically recall that, no.
22	Q. How would you use these election results?
23	If you didn't average them, you just had a whole
24	array of elections, how would you use that
25	information?

Page 45 1 J. MORGAN 2 MS. MCKNIGHT: Objection. 3 Would you reduce it -- if you didn't Ο. 4 reduce it down to a single number of an average? 5 Objection. MS. MCKNIGHT: 6 The election data can be used in many Α. 7 different ways. I could look at any specific election that's in the entire dataset of elections 8 9 that are available. For example, there's a 10 governor's race in 2010, so that would be one 11 election you could look at. 12 Now before, you said, you worked on a 0. 13 congressional campaign, you sometimes would try to 14 figure out, I think you used the word, what the 15 expectations would be for a district based upon past 16 election results. Did you ever do that doing 17 redistricting, to figure out what an expectation 18 would be for how a district would vote? 19 MS. MCKNIGHT: Objection. 20 Α. I'm not sure what you're asking. 21 Ο. You have all sorts -- let me back up. In 22 Ohio, in 2010-2011 cycle, did you do any map drawing? 23 MS. MCKNIGHT: Objection. 24 I did some map drawing in 2011 on the two Α. 25 times that I came out to visit.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 46 J. MORGAN And when you drew, did the map 0. Okay. drawing, were you able -- did you have access to election data when you drew the maps? Α. Yes. Okay. And using that election data, would Ο. you have some expectation as to how a particular congressional district would vote? MS. MCKNIGHT: Objection. I don't recall doing that. Α. How would you -- how would you use, if you Ο. used it at all, the election data? It depends on what the clients want to Α. In my case, you know, I would have all the see. election data available. And in Ohio in 2011, do you recall what Ο. the clients wanted to see? Α. No. Do you recall any way you used the 0. election data in 2011? I mean, there was a lot of election data Α. available. I looked at the election data on the screen, and I, you know, worked with what was available.

Q. And did you present that -- in 2011 in

	Page
1	J. MORGAN
2	Ohio, who was the client, please?
3	A. I worked with Mark Braden. He brought me
4	in for the legislative reapportionment panel on the
5	congressional redistricting efforts.
6	Q. Was he the client, as far as you
7	understood?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. Okay. And did you present election data
10	to anybody other than well, let me back up.
11	Did you present election data to Mark Braden
12	in 2011?
13	A. I didn't present election data in the
14	manner you're describing.
15	Q. Did you present it to anyone? Well, you
16	had the data. Did you show it to anybody?
17	A. I don't understand.
18	Q. Well, you said you had a broad array of
19	election data. My question is: What did you do with
20	it?
21	A. It was all available on the Maptitude
22	system, and it was available at multiple levels, at
23	the precinct, the town, the county level. All that
24	data was available, and I used that data to set up
25	the map drawers so they could draw maps.

48

		Page
1		J. MORGAN
2	Q.	I see. Do you recall who you helped set
3	up on Map	titude so that they could draw maps?
4	Α.	Yes.
5	Q.	Who was that, please?
б	Α.	I worked with Ray DiRossi and Heather
7	Mann.	
8	Q.	What about Adam Kincaid?
9	Α.	No.
10	Q.	Did you ever work with Adam Kincaid?
11	Α.	I know who he is, and I've talked to him.
12	I can't s	ay I've worked directly for him or with him.
13	Q.	Did you show Ray DiRossi and Heather Mann
14	how to ac	cess election data in Maptitude?
15	Α.	Yes.
16	Q.	Did you show them how to do it at the
17	census-bl	ock level?
18	Α.	I don't recall.
19	Q.	What do you recall, if anything, about how
20	you showe	d them how to access election data?
21	Α.	I showed them how to use the tools in
22	Maptitude	to display the election data they wanted to
23	see.	
24	Q.	Were one of those tools the info tool?
25	Α.	That would have been one of the tools,

es.

2	
3	

	-
3	Q. Do you recall any of the other tools?
4	A. I would say that one of the tools I would
5	use would be the labeling tool so that you could
6	display, say, the county name and then some political
7	or demographic data, such as the population or some
8	election result, on the label for a geography.
9	Q. Any other tools you recall?
10	A. I used the district summary tool, if you
11	will, and the it's called, I think, the pending
12	changes window, so it allows you to look at a
13	proposed district based on a selection that has not
14	been added yet. So I used that tool as well.
15	Q. Did any of these tools involve the export
16	of data to Excel, election data, to Excel?
17	A. I don't recall.
18	Q. Do you recall ever seeing the output of
19	their work using Heather Mann or Ray DiRossi's
20	work using Maptitude?
21	A. No.
22	Q. Turning to the Ohio 2011 cycle, do you
23	recall who reached out to you to work on that?
24	A. Mark Braden.
25	Q. You say you were providing training let

50

	Page
1	J. MORGAN
2	me back up. Is it fair to say that you were
3	providing training to Heather Mann and Ray DiRossi on
4	Maptitude in 2011?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. And was that done in person in Ohio?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. Was that done at that July 7 and 8 meeting
9	that you referenced in the beginning of the
10	deposition?
11	A. Yes. I worked with them on the
12	legislative and, to some extent, on the congressional
13	redistricting.
14	Q. And that took place that was in the
15	afternoon of the 7th and the morning of the 8th, is
16	that right, in July 2011?
17	A. I'm not sure.
18	Q. Do you recall how long the training was?
19	A. No.
20	Q. Okay. Do you recall going back there for
21	more training, to give them more training after that
22	early July meeting?
23	A. Yes, I went back, I think, later in July.
24	Q. Around the 25th?
25	A. That sounds about right.

Page	51
------	----

	Page
1	J. MORGAN
2	Q. Okay. And what do you recall about that
3	training?
4	A. I helped them get their map computers up
5	and running and displayed the way they wanted them to
б	display the information.
7	Q. Do you recall initially let me back up.
8	When you left Ohio July 8th, did you expect to
9	come back later in July for further training?
10	A. I thought that was possible, yes.
11	Q. Okay. And was this second meeting, was
12	that back at the DoubleTree Hotel in Columbus?
13	A. I don't know where it was.
14	Q. Was it in a hotel room?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. A hotel room where they had taken the
17	normal beds and hotel furniture out and they just had
18	a work desk and computers; is that right?
19	A. Yes, that sounds right.
20	Q. About three computer screens?
21	A. That sounds about right.
22	Q. Anybody else there besides Ms. Mann and
23	Mr. DiRossi?
24	A. On one of the meetings I know Mark Braden
25	was there. I don't remember who else was there in

	Page 52
1	J. MORGAN
2	the hotel suite.
3	Q. Okay. Now after that visit to Ohio, did
4	you go back again?
5	A. No.
6	Q. Do you recall any questions they asked you
7	at the second meeting, this late July meeting?
8	A. No.
9	Q. Was that the last time you communicated
10	with Mr. DiRossi or Ms. Mann about the Ohio
11	redistricting in 2011?
12	A. I don't think so.
13	Q. You think you might have communicated with
14	them after that?
15	A. I think so.
16	Q. Did you make any further trips back to
17	Ohio?
18	A. No.
19	Q. So these communications were on the phone
20	or
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. So you had phone calls with them? Do you
23	recall about how many times you talked on the phone?
24	A. I only recall one time I spoke with them.
25	Q. Okay. When was that?

Page 53 1 J. MORGAN 2 I don't remember when it was. It was Α. \_ \_ Ι 3 don't know when it was exactly. 4 Do you recall what it was about? Q. 5 I don't recall what it was about. Α. 6 Did you email back and forth? 0. 7 Α. Not that I recall. 8 Did you have any shared sites, FTP 0. Okay. 9 sites or any other sites, where you could share 10 information? 11 Α. Not that I recall, no. 12 Did you ever send each other any hard copy Ο. 13 of anything regarding redistricting in 2011? 14 Α. No. 15 Other than your meeting on July 7 and 8 in Ο. 16 Ohio, did you attend any other meetings in Ohio about 17 redistricting? 18 I think that was the first one. Α. 19 Did you ever see any presentation by Ο. 20 anybody from Cleveland State University about 21 redistricting in Ohio in 2011? 22 Α. No. 23 Do you recall any reason given as to why Ο. 24 you were being asked to help out in Ohio in 2011? 25 Mr. Braden said he was working for the Α.

	Page 54
1	J. MORGAN
2	Ohio Redistricting Commission and that he wanted me
3	to be available to help out, and I said I thought I
4	could arrange it. And I had worked with him in other
5	states.
6	Q. Do you recall about when that conversation
7	took place?
8	A. Sometime in the summertime.
9	Q. Would it have been June?
10	A. I don't know.
11	MR. FRAM: We've been going a while. We
12	can take a break.
13	(Proceedings recessed at 10:15 a.m.)
14	(Session at 10:26 a.m.)
15	BY MR. FRAM:
16	Q. Before the break, we were talking about
17	different tools in Maptitude and you mentioned the
18	label tool. Do you remember that?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. The label tool in Maptitude, it's a
21	little there's an icon on the right-hand, excuse
22	me, on the left-hand side of the screen, is that
23	right, to click on the label tool?
24	A. I don't know. The placement of things
25	varies on how the screens are set up.

55

	Page
1	J. MORGAN
2	Q. Okay. But there's an icon on the screen
3	you can click on the label tool?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. When you click on the label tool, then if
6	you hover the cursor over a congressional district
7	and you click on it, what do you see then?
8	A. I don't know. That's not how I use the
9	label tool.
10	Q. How do you use it?
11	A. I use the label tool to put the name of
12	the locality that I'm interested in, say a township
13	or a county, and then some information about it.
14	Q. Okay. If you wanted to generate have
15	you ever generated a map where right on top of the
16	congressional district was a little box with certain
17	information about the district?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. And that information could be election
20	data information?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. Do you recall training Ms. Mann or
23	Mr. DiRossi how to do that in 2011?
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. And do you recall what tool you would use

	rag
1	J. MORGAN
2	to do that?
3	A. I would describe it as a label tool.
4	Q. Okay. So you could use the label tool.
5	And could you customize what election information you
6	wanted to see on top of that congressional district?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. And you could include an election average,
9	if that was your intent; is that right?
10	A. You can include any piece of data that's
11	in the software, yes.
12	Q. Do you recall any way in which the
13	election excuse me any way in which the label
14	tool was customized in your work in Ohio in 2011?
15	A. No.
16	Q. Do you recall any information they wanted
17	to see and be on top of a district?
18	A. Not specifically, no.
19	Q. Do you recall whether they wanted to see
20	election data of any kind?
21	A. Yes, they wanted to see election data.
22	Q. Do you recall whether they wanted to see
23	any average, election average, of any kind, not
24	saying which one?
25	A. I think so.

	rage rage
1	J. MORGAN
2	Q. But I take it, as you sit here now, you
3	don't recall which election average, if they wanted
4	one, that they wanted to see?
5	A. No, I don't know.
6	Q. As you trained them, did you ever watch
7	them actually do that, use the label tool to show a
8	little box of information on top of a district?
9	A. I showed them how to display labels in
10	that manner, yes.
11	Q. And including election data?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. Do you recall whether or not you trained
14	them in how to use the DataView 1 table?
15	A. Again, I'm not sure I understand what you
16	mean by the DataView 1 table.
17	Q. We can take a look at a document on that.
18	Did you ever look at did you show them how
19	to use the info tool?
20	A. I use the info tool. To the extent that
21	that was part of the training, then yes.
22	MR. FRAM: Why don't we mark as Exhibit 3,
23	it's a document, Bates number LWVOH_00008706 through
24	87 excuse me 07 on the other side of the page.
25	(Exhibit 3 marked for

	I dge
1	J. MORGAN
2	identification: Redistricting
3	Meetings Agenda
4	LWVOH_00008706 - LWVOH_00008707)
5	Q. I'll say that again. 00008706 through 07.
6	It's entitled Redistricting Meetings Agenda,
7	Thursday, July 7, 2011 at the top of the page, and
8	then down in the middle of the page it says Friday,
9	July 8, 2011.
10	My question to you, Mr. Morgan: Is this the
11	document that you looked at the other day in
12	preparing for your deposition?
13	A. No.
14	Q. Okay. Have you seen this document before?
15	A. No.
16	Q. Okay. Does this however, you do, I
17	take it, you do recall attending meetings on July 7
18	and July 8, 2011 in Ohio?
19	A. I do remember attending meetings around in
20	that time period, yes.
21	Q. And we'll start with July 7. Do you
22	recall starting out the day meeting with the
23	auditor's office?
24	A. I recall a meeting. I don't know if it
25	was at the auditor's office.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

DEPO\_SDOH\_0589

Page 59 1 J. MORGAN 2 Do you recall meeting with the Ο. Okay. 3 governor's staff on the morning of July 7? 4 I recall one meeting that seemed to have a Α. 5 lot of attendees. 6 Do you recall Governor Kasich at any of Ο. 7 these meetings? I don't think so. 8 Α. 9 0. Mark Braden was at these meetings? 10 I was with Mark Braden during that Α. Yes. 11 first trip to Ohio. 12 You flew out together? Ο. 13 I believe so. I really don't know. Α. Ι 14 don't know. 15 Do you recall any of the House or Senate Ο. 16 staff, Ohio House or Senate staff, that you met with? 17 Yes, I was introduced to Heather Mann and Α. 18 Ray DiRossi at that time, and there was one other 19 person, I think, that was with the speaker's staff. 20 Ο. Troy Judy? 21 I met him when I was out there, yes. Α. 22 Turn to the second page. You see there's Ο. 23 a whole list of staff and titles. Do you see that? 24 Okay. Α. 25 Take a look and see if it refreshes your Ο.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

DEPO\_SDOH\_0590

Page 60 1 J. MORGAN 2 recollection as to any of the people that you met 3 with while you were out there. 4 I remember meeting Mike Lenzo and Troy Α. 5 Judy, and I think I met Beth Hanson. Okay. Do you recall if you met Beth 6 0. 7 Hanson at the governor's office? 8 I don't recall. Α. 9 0. Okay. I think you already said you met 10 Ray DiRossi; is that right? 11 Α. Yes. 12 And is that the first time you met Ray Ο. 13 DiRossi? 14 Α. Yes. 15 And Heather Mann, did you meet her? 0. 16 Α. Yes. 17 Is that the first time you met her? 0. 18 Α. Yes. 19 I'm just going to stay with the morning 0. 20 before we get to the redistricting training. The 21 meetings you had -- let me break this up. 22 Do you remember there being meetings and then 23 separately there was a training set of meetings? 24 I don't know if it was the same day or Α. 25 over two days. That's what I'm really not clear on.

	Page 61
1	J. MORGAN
2	Q. Okay.
3	A. I remember that there was one meeting with
4	a very large group, and there was another meeting
5	with a smaller group.
б	Q. Okay. And let's break them apart. Who
7	was in the larger group?
8	A. It would have been the people that were
9	representing the statewide elected officials on the
10	reapportionment board.
11	Q. Reapportionment, that being the state
12	legislative?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. I'm not going to ask you about that.
15	A. Okay.
16	Q. But just focusing on the congressional
17	redistricting, was there other than the training
18	meetings, was there any meeting that concerned the
19	congressional redistricting?
20	A. I really don't distinguish between the
21	two. I was hired for work for both the legislative
22	and the congressional, so I don't know that the
23	meetings had different purposes.
24	Q. Okay. Do you recall anything of what was
25	discussed at the what I'll call the non-training

62

	Page
1	J. MORGAN
2	meeting?
3	MS. MCKNIGHT: Objection.
4	A. No, not really.
5	Q. Or what the purpose of that meeting was?
6	A. From my point of view, the purpose was to
7	make introductions. I really didn't know any of the
8	people that were involved in this process aside from
9	Mr. Braden.
10	Q. Do you recall anything that was said at
11	that meeting?
12	A. No.
13	Q. Okay. Why don't we turn to the training
14	meeting or meetings. Like you say, you're not sure
15	if it was one or two days. What do you recall about
16	that?
17	A. I recall working with Mr. Braden and Ray
18	and Heather and I think Troy Judy and Mike. Those
19	are the ones that I remember talking to in that
20	training-style session.
21	Q. What do you recall about the content of
22	the training?
23	A. I think I really don't remember. It
24	wasn't a formal training session like a classroom
25	style. So it wasn't like I was at a lectern talking.

Page	63
------	----

	Page
1	J. MORGAN
2	So it was really more of a looking at Maptitude
3	software.
4	Q. And did you have Maptitude up on the
5	screen that they could see?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. Did you show them how to use different
8	tools?
9	A. That's what I that's what I recall.
10	Q. And one of those was the label tool?
11	A. Yes, I think I worked with the label tool
12	there.
13	Q. What about the info tool?
14	A. I don't recall that.
15	Q. What about exporting data to Excel, did
16	you go over that in training?
17	A. I don't believe I did.
18	Q. Did you do that at any point with them?
19	A. I don't recall specifically.
20	Q. Do you recall any discussion of which
21	election data to include in Maptitude?
22	A. At that training session?
23	Q. At that training session.
24	A. No.
25	Q. Do you recall at any time?
1	

DEPO\_SDOH\_0594

1	J. MORGAN
2	A. Yes, I recall looking at the statewide
3	elections, and I suggested that close statewide
4	elections would be useful to look at in a general
5	sense.
6	Q. With whom did you have that conversation,
7	please?
8	A. With Ray and Heather.
9	Q. Do you recall if that would have been
10	that would have been after the July 7 and 8 meetings;
11	is that right?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. Would that have been before you returned
14	around July 25th to Ohio, or was it when you
15	returned?
16	A. It was when I returned.
17	Q. Okay. Do you recall why you suggested
18	looking at the state close elections?
19	A. I remember that the 2006 election results
20	were not favorable to the Republicans, and so in the
21	sense that you might treat that election as an
22	outlier, if you're looking for close elections.
23	Q. What about 2008 presidential? Do you
24	recall any conversation about that?
25	A. No.

Page	65
------	----

Page
J. MORGAN
Q. Now there you said Obama won the state
in 2008; is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you recall whether or not it would be a
good idea to rely on the McCain percentage or not?
A. I don't recall that conversation.
Q. What about the Senate elections? Do you
recall a conversation whether or not to rely on the
Senate elections in Ohio?
A. I'm aware of the Senate elections
generally. I don't recall specifically discussing
that.
Q. In some of those Senate elections the
Republicans did well; is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you have any discussion whether to not
include those elections because the Republicans did
too well?
A. No.
Q. Do you recall discussing excluding any
elections because the Republicans did too well?
A. No.
Q. Why did you want to look at close
elections?

	Page
1	J. MORGAN
2	A. In general terms, I would say that close
3	elections are useful if you're looking at statewide
4	election it just models close elections to look at
5	previous close elections.
б	Q. Why would you want to model close
7	elections?
8	A. It's just one of the elections to look at.
9	I think that, in a general sense, the close elections
10	are useful in showing, you know, what can happen
11	when, you know, when a future election is not certain
12	or going strongly one way or the other, and in most
13	cases a future election is unknown.
14	Q. So see if I understand you correctly. If
15	a Republican would prevail using election results
16	data from a close election, was that, in your view,
17	more accurate prediction that they would prevail in a
18	district in a future election?
19	A. Not necessarily. I just would say that,
20	in a general sense, I think that the close elections
21	are useful than elections that skew one way or the
22	other at the extremes.
23	Q. Useful to what purpose, to what end?
24	A. To just understanding how a state
25	performs.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

DEPO\_SDOH\_0597

1	J. MORGAN
2	Q. Okay. But useful in understanding how
3	they perform as to who might win the election?
4	A. Yes. And also a lot of times, when you
5	use statewide elections of any kind, from my point of
6	view, looking at candidate geography is also
7	important, where a candidate is from.
8	Q. I'm sorry. What did you mean by that,
9	please?
10	A. When you're looking at a general election
11	in a statewide contest, which is usually what would
12	be used in a statewide redistricting setting, it's
13	important, in my opinion, to look at where candidates
14	are from, because, typically, they would overperform
15	in their home areas versus other areas of the state.
16	That's just a general observation.
17	Q. But you did look at statewide election
18	data, correct?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. And that statewide election data does not
21	include congressional district level where the
22	candidate is from, correct?
23	A. I don't understand the question.
24	Q. Well, you said you would look I'm
25	trying to understand how you would include the

	Page
1	J. MORGAN
2	information about where the candidate is from. If
3	you're starting with statewide election data, then
4	how did you also include the information about where
5	the candidate is from?
б	A. It's not included in the data per se.
7	Q. Okay. Can you does Maptitude help you
8	capture that in some way?
9	A. In a general sense, it's possible to
10	identify a previous electoral district for a
11	candidate or to show an address where that candidate
12	resides.
13	Q. But as you say you said it's possible.
14	Do you recall doing that, training people how to do
15	that in Ohio in 2011?
16	A. No.
17	Q. Okay. Do you recall any questions that
18	were asked of you in the training sessions session
19	or sessions on July 7 and 8 in Ohio in 2011?
20	A. No, I don't recall specific questions.
21	Q. Did Ms. Mann or Mr. DiRossi have the
22	opportunity to actually go on a computer and practice
23	using Maptitude during those sessions?
24	A. Yes, I believe so.
25	Q. Anybody else do that?

Page
J. MORGAN
A. I don't think so. I really don't recall
that.
Q. And do you recall, when they were on the
computer practicing, what were they practicing doing?
A. Either drawing legislative districts or
drawing congressional districts.
Q. Okay. And when they drew congressional
districts, were they drawing them around census
blocks or were they drawing how were they drawing
them?
MS. MCKNIGHT: Objection.
A. I'm not sure I understand.
Q. Let's say they were drawing a
congressional district. How did they go about it?
What were they doing when they were doing that
drawing?
A. I remember there was information on the
map screens and there were, for example, existing
district boundaries, and the congressional districts
were just were drawn, basically, in the
point-and-click methodology. And I don't remember
I remember I don't remember finishing a
congressional district down to zero population, which
would be required for a final plan.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

DEPO\_SDOH\_0600

б

Page
J. MORGAN
Q. "Down to zero population," you mean down
to zero population deviation?
A. That's right.
Q. Okay. Do you recall them, as they were
practicing, learning how to have election data
displayed on the screen?
A. Yes.
Q. And would that appear using the label
tool?
A. Yes. I showed them how to use the label
tool, and they were self-empowered to put whatever
they wanted to on the labels.
Q. So at that time you don't recall do you
recall at that time what information they were
putting on top of the district using the label tool?
A. No.
Q. Do you recall at any time seeing that,
let's say, on your return trip?
A. On the return trip, I know that there was
a machine set up to draw, and there would have
there was information on the labels. I don't recall
what was on the labels.
Q. But by then there was political strike
that.

Page 71 1 J. MORGAN 2 By then there was election result data on the 3 labels; is that right? 4 MS. MCKNIGHT: Objection. 5 Α. I really don't -- I really don't know 6 that. 7 Q. Okay. 8 I was just saying that I showed them how Α. 9 to use the labels, and I didn't -- I don't know what 10 they put on the labels. 11 And, by the way, just so we're on the same Ο. 12 page, the labels, those are the little boxes that 13 appear on top of the district; is that right? 14 Yes, on top of the district or on top of Α. 15 the township or the county. They can appear at 16 different levels of geography. 17 And do you recall seeing labels on top of 0. 18 some unit of geography on your return trip around 19 July 25? 20 Α. Yes. 21 You just don't recall what was in the 0. 22 label; is that right? 23 Α. That's correct. 24 Do you recall if Troy Judy learned how to 0. 25 use the label tool during any of these trainings?

Page 72 1 J. MORGAN 2 I don't recall. Α. 3 Did he attend the training? Ο. 4 I don't know for sure. Α. 5 0. Did Mr. Braden attend the training? He was there at the July 7th-July 8th, 6 Α. 7 during that session. 8 For that session? 0. 9 Α. Yes. 10 0. Did he already know how to use Maptitude 11 before that session? 12 MS. MCKNIGHT: Objection. 13 Q. If you know. 14 Α. I don't think he used it during that 15 session. 16 Do you know if he knew how? Ο. 17 Α. I don't know. What we'll have marked next as 18 MR. FRAM: 19 Exhibit 4 is a document, Bates number Morgan\_000002, 20 five zeros and a 2, if I'm counting right. 21 (Exhibit 4 marked for 22 identification: Invoice dated 23 7/31/2011) 24 Mr. Morgan, is this one of the invoices 0. 25 you looked at preparing for your deposition?

	Page
1	J. MORGAN
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. Okay. I just want to go through the
4	different well, a couple things about it.
5	This one, this invoice, is dated July 31,
6	2011. Just stating that for the record. I'll also
7	state for the record, though, that this invoice has a
8	number, BH 2011-OH07. Do you see that?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. Is it your understanding that would mean,
11	using your invoicing system, that was the seventh
12	invoice for your work in Ohio?
13	A. No.
14	Q. Was this your first one?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. Okay. Do you have any idea why 07 was
17	included in the invoice number?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. Why?
20	A. Because it's the seventh month, July.
21	Q. I see. Okay. That helps. Now I have to
22	ask your counsel for the previous six. Thank you.
23	So I think we talked about what you did on the
24	7th and 8th a little bit, going down the line items
25	below, but there are entries for the 18th and 19th,

1	J. MORGAN
2	20th and 24th of July. Do you see those?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. And they all say "technical and map
5	drawing." Do you see that?
б	A. Yes.
7	Q. Do you recall what you did when it came to
8	technical and map drawing work?
9	A. Yes. During this time I was helping Clark
10	Benson work with the data that was used for the
11	legislative drawing process. There was an issue with
12	the boundaries of the Ohio cities and townships being
13	different from the Census boundaries, so it required
14	the data being adjusted in some way. It was related
15	to what you mentioned about Cleveland State, that
16	there was some data from there, and I was helping
17	Clark Benson work on that.
18	Q. Now was that only for the state
19	legislative map or was any of that for the
20	congressional map?
21	A. That was for the state legislative maps.
22	Q. So all those entries do any of those
23	entries concern work regarding the congressional map?
24	A. Not that I recall.
25	Q. And then there's this meeting back on the

Page 7
--------

	Page
1	J. MORGAN
2	25th and 26th back in Columbus. Do you see that?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. Now that was the meeting you went back in
5	person; is that right?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. Okay. The hours there, it was a lot more,
8	so that involved going back and spending time there?
9	A. Yes, that was two days.
10	Q. Two days and travel.
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. And some of that work, as we discussed,
13	just to be clear, that did concern congressional
14	redistricting also?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. And you were there working two days in
17	that hotel room with those with Mr. Mann and
18	excuse me Mr. DiRossi and Ms. Mann?
19	A. Yes. I should say a portion of the two
20	days.
21	Q. A portion of the two days.
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. And do you recall what the first thing you
24	needed to address when you got there?
25	A. No.

	Page
1	J. MORGAN
2	Q. Do you recall anything about the specific
3	content of what you did?
4	A. I worked on legislative redistricting and
5	congressional redistricting. So we worked hands on
б	with maps.
7	Q. And on congressional redistricting, what
8	do you recall doing hands on with maps?
9	A. I recall drawing a few districts in the
10	northeastern part of the state, I would say around
11	Cleveland and the extreme northeast of the state,
12	Youngstown, and that was what I worked on on that
13	day.
14	Q. So around Cleveland, do you recall, does
15	Congressional District 11 ring a bell?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. And around Youngstown, does District 13
18	ring a bell?
19	A. That sounds right. The district
20	numberings were changed because Ohio went from 18
21	congressional seats to 16. So in the sense there's
22	two different numbering systems between the pre-2010
23	districts and the post-2010 districts.
24	Q. And let's talk about the Cleveland
25	District 11 just for a little bit. What do you

	r dge
1	J. MORGAN
2	recall in terms of the map drawing as to that
3	district?
4	A. I remember that the district was the
5	district was essentially parts of Cleveland and it
б	extended down into Akron.
7	Q. And was there any discussion as to how far
8	south it should go?
9	A. No.
10	Q. Do you recall whether or not it was taking
11	out pieces of district that was otherwise bordering
12	on District 16?
13	A. I don't recall the district numbers. I
14	remember that the districts that I worked on during
15	that time period were primarily in the northeastern
16	corner, including the district that was what I recall
17	the Youngstown seat, the northeastern seat, and
18	Cleveland.
19	Q. Okay. Did you work on any borders of the
20	districts above the Youngstown seat up in District
21	14?
22	A. Yeah, that's the one I think I was
23	referring to.
24	Q. Okay. There's the Youngstown I'm
25	sorry. I apologize for interrupting you.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

DEPO\_SDOH\_0608

	Page
1	J. MORGAN
2	Were you looking at the districts all the way
3	up in the northeast corner or the one just south of
4	it also?
5	MS. MCKNIGHT: Objection.
6	A. Those are the districts that I recall
7	working on. That's the area of the state that I can
8	recall.
9	Q. Why were you working on those districts?
10	A. It was a corner of the state, and so I
11	think there was there was an effort to understand
12	how much the population changes were going to affect
13	that corner district, which would have to expand
14	because the population numbers had changed, in a
15	sense. From going from 18 districts to 16, each
16	district would have to gain more population, you
17	know, in most cases. There might be a circumstance
18	where a district had grown so much that it might not
19	need to gain additional territory to get to its
20	finishing population.
21	Q. Do you remember the name of Congressman
22	LaTourette?
23	A. Yeah.
24	Q. Do you recall any discussion about what
25	the political the election results would be for

Page 79 1 J. MORGAN 2 his district? 3 I remember that there was an Α. Yes. 4 expectation that LaTourette would not be in office 5 for the entire decade. 6 Any discussion about what the election 0. 7 results would be for his district? 8 I'm sorry. I don't understand that. Α. 9 0. Well, did you do any work regarding 10 drawing his district? 11 Α. Yes. 12 And do you remember any discussion of Ο. 13 election results data as regards the district you 14 were drawing there? 15 I remember looking at the political data Α. 16 for some of the towns on the edge of the district. 17 Because it was a corner district, it was pretty well 18 set for a large percentage of the district. And so 19 the question I remember was, you know, where does the 20 extra population come from. 21 Was there any discussion about how 0. 22 different, putting different populations in or out of 23 the district would affect the election results for 24 the district as a whole? 25 I don't remember that specifically. Α. Ι

J. MORGAN
-----------

just remember that the expansion was necessary for
 that district.

Q. And do you recall any discussion as to how that might affect -- I don't want to be -- you used the word specific, so I got to ask you this. Do you recall, generally, any general recollection as to how the election results for that district were to shake out?

A. I remember that the incumbent for district that was the Youngstown seat was -- it came into play because the Youngstown seat, I think his residence or his political base, as I understood it, was right in that Youngstown area. So District 14 could only go so far south before it would get into the Youngstown seat.

Q. And the Youngstown representative, is that
 Representative Ryan?

19

22

1

A. Yes, that's right.

20 Q. So you've got LaTourette up north of Ryan; 21 is that right?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. You were trying to figure out how far south you could bring LaTourette's district; is that right?

1	J. MORGAN
2	A. Yes. An issue in that area is that
3	Mahoning County has fairly large, populous townships.
4	So I think there was some question about how
5	whether or not to split a township, again, the large,
6	populated townships in Mahoning County, in that area,
7	so there was just I just remember there was some
8	question about the size of the townships making a
9	difference in where to draw.
10	Q. Now Representative Ryan's district, I'll
11	state for the record, 13, did you have an
12	understanding historically whether voted Democrat or
13	Republican?
14	A. Yes. It was a Democrat district.
15	Q. And the district to the north, District
16	14, LaTourette's district, had voted for Republicans
17	in the past; is that right?
18	A. For LaTourette, yes.
19	Q. For LaTourette?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. Was there any consideration as to whether
22	or not, where you drew the boundary, whether that
23	would make that district more or less Republican or
24	Democrat, the 14th?
25	MS. MCKNIGHT: Objection.

1	J. MORGAN
2	A. I mean, I was aware that District 14 was
3	held by a Republican, and, you know, District 13 was
4	held by a Democrat, and the areas to the south and
5	Mahoning County were fairly Democratic and to the
б	west and Cleveland were fairly Democratic.
7	Q. So the more farther south you brought 14,
8	the more Democrat?
9	MS. MCKNIGHT: Objection.
10	A. It depends on where.
11	Q. Depending on which township?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. I understand. Similarly, going to the
14	west, depends on where; is that right?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. And did you look at those considerations
17	when trying to figure out where to put the boundaries
18	of 14?
19	MS. MCKNIGHT: Objection.
20	A. In this case I was at the very beginning
21	in the process, so, you know, the boundaries were
22	fluid from my point of view.
23	Q. Who asked you to look at the question of
24	Districts 14 and 13 and 11?
25	A. I don't remember.

Page 8	83
--------	----

	Page
1	J. MORGAN
2	Q. Did you come up with that on your own or
3	did someone in Ohio suggest that you should look at
4	it?
5	A. I don't know.
6	Q. Okay. Did you do any looking at the
7	looking at District 11 for a minute. Did you look at
8	any question of how far south that should go?
9	A. I remember looking at Cleveland and Akron,
10	and that's about all I remember about how far south
11	it goes. So I remember going to Akron but not beyond
12	that. I don't know.
13	Q. Do you recall any reason any discussion
14	about that?
15	A. No.
16	Q. Do you recall any discussion of any effect
17	that the boundaries of 11 might have on
18	Representative Renacci's district?
19	A. Which district is that?
20	Q. 16.
21	A. Is that adjacent to
22	Q. Yes, to 11.
23	A Cleveland
24	Q. To 11.
25	A. I don't remember where the boundaries of

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

DEPO\_SDOH\_0614

	Page
1	J. MORGAN
2	that were at the time.
3	Q. Do you recall any discussion, though, of
4	what the impact on Representative Renacci's district
5	would have been by the manner you were drawing
6	District 11?
7	A. No.
8	MS. MCKNIGHT: Objection.
9	Q. Do you recall any discussion of the effect
10	of how you were drawing District 11 on Representative
11	Sutton?
12	MS. MCKNIGHT: Objection.
13	A. No, I don't remember that.
14	Q. Do you recall any discussion of
15	Representative Marcia Fudge during the discussions of
16	District 11?
17	A. She's the incumbent for District 11?
18	Q. Yes.
19	A. That I don't recall discussions about
20	that.
21	Q. Okay. Other than those three districts,
22	do you recall any discussion of any other districts
23	during your meetings on July 25 and 26?
24	A. Yes. I remember there being some
25	discussion about the possibility of combining

Page	85
------	----

1	J. MORGAN
2	District 11 and District 9, which was I don't
3	remember I think District 11 or district I
4	think it was District 11 was Congressman Kucinich.
5	I'm not sure what the number was.
6	Q. Kucinich might have been 10.
7	A. I don't know.
8	Q. Nine was Representative Kaptur. Do you
9	recall that?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. Do you recall any discussions about
12	that district has an interesting shape. Doesn't it
13	go along the lake?
14	MS. MCKNIGHT: Objection.
15	Q. Do you recall there being a district that
16	goes along the lake?
17	A. I'm not sure I understand.
18	Q. Do you recall there being a district in
19	northern Ohio along the lake?
20	A. There is one now, in the current enacted
21	congressional plan.
22	Q. Do you recall any discussion of that?
23	A. Only that that was a possibility, that
24	seats were going to have to be combined somewhere to
25	go from 18 to 16, and that's all I recall was that

	Page
1	J. MORGAN
2	that was one that was discussed as possibly
3	combining.
4	Q. Do you recall any discussion of the shape
5	of District 9?
6	A. No.
7	Q. Do you recall any discussion about any
8	other districts?
9	A. No.
10	Q. The district in the Columbus area, any
11	discussion of that?
12	A. No, not here.
13	Q. At any time?
14	A. I had a discussion with Mr. Braden about
15	it.
16	Q. Okay. Do you recall ever discussing
17	I'm not asking about legal opinion or advice, but
18	anything about the shape strike that any of the
19	contours of the district around Columbus?
20	MS. MCKNIGHT: Objection.
21	A. No, I have no recollection about that.
22	Q. By the way, the district around Columbus,
23	is District 3 something that you recall?
24	A. At the time there was no district number
25	associated with it. I now know that District 3 is

	Page
1	J. MORGAN
2	the enacted district number for the district that
3	encompasses Columbus.
4	Q. Okay. Do you recall any discussion at any
5	time with anyone about how the district around
6	Columbus would affect the two adjacent districts?
7	A. No.
8	Q. Do you recall any discussion of the effect
9	of creating a district in Columbus would affect
10	Representative Stivers' district?
11	A. No.
12	Q. Representative Tiberi's district?
13	A. No.
14	Q. District 12 or District 15, do you recall
15	anything about that?
16	MS. MCKNIGHT: Objection.
17	A. No.
18	Q. Do you recall any discussion at any point
19	about the shape of District 1 around Cincinnati?
20	A. No.
21	Q. What else did you talk about in your
22	meetings in Columbus on the 25th and 26th?
23	A. As I said, I looked at congressional and
24	legislative redistricting in that time period, and
25	the portion of the state in congressional was the

	Page
1	J. MORGAN
2	northeast that I described. That's the only portion
3	I worked on.
4	Q. Do you recall anything about any
5	discussion of any political indices that Mr. DiRossi
6	or Ms. Mann were using in their work?
7	A. No, not at that time.
8	Q. At any time?
9	A. No.
10	Q. Do you recall discussing what political
11	indices would be useful that involved congressional
12	redistricting in Ohio in 2011 with anyone at any
13	time?
14	A. No.
15	Q. Did you have discussions I don't want
16	to be too narrow about it. Did you have any
17	communications with anybody about what indices would
18	be useful in Ohio in 2011?
19	A. I didn't, no.
20	Q. Okay. Did the question of what indices
21	would be useful come up when you were meeting earlier
22	in July when you were visiting Ohio?
23	A. I don't recall that.
24	Q. Do you recall any reason why Mr. DiRossi
25	or Ms. Mann needed you to take a look at the

	Page
1	J. MORGAN
2	northeast corner of Ohio?
3	A. No, I don't recall a reason for that.
4	Q. Any reason did they say they were
5	having any technical difficulties?
б	A. I don't remember them having technical
7	difficulties, no.
8	Q. Do you recall it just happened to be the
9	thing they were working on that day?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. Had you planned to go back to sort of have
12	a further hands-on training for them? Did that
13	happen to be the day you were going to be there?
14	A. That was the day that was requested, yes.
15	MR. FRAM: We'll have this marked as
16	number 5. It's Bates-numbered Morgan_0000 four
17	zeros 18. It's another invoice. This one is
18	dated August 31, 2011.
19	(Exhibit 5 marked for
20	identification: Invoice dated
21	8/31/2011)
22	Q. Is this another one of the invoices you
23	looked at the other day?
24	A. I didn't look at this invoice the other
25	day.

	Page
1	J. MORGAN
2	Q. Okay. Does this look like an invoice that
3	you submitted to the Baker firm around August 31,
4	2011?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Okay. Just so we're clear for the record,
7	the invoices for the work you did for Ohio
8	redistricting in 2011, they all were submitted to the
9	Baker firm; is that right?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. Okay. And they're the ones who paid you?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. Okay. You never submitted any invoices to
14	anybody else; is that right?
15	A. No.
16	Q. Now there's a couple of data entries here,
17	August 2 and August 28. Do you see that?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. And they are both for technical and map
20	drawing?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. Do you recall what that work was about?
23	A. I don't.
24	Q. Do you recall whether you were doing any
25	technical or map drawing work regarding congressional

Page 91 1 J. MORGAN 2 redistricting in August 2011? 3 I don't recall that at all. Α. We'll mark as number 6 4 MR. FRAM: 5 Morgan\_000019 an invoice dated September 29, 2011. 6 (Exhibit 6 marked for 7 identification: Invoice dated 8 9/29/2011) 9 And, again, is this one of the invoices 0. 10 you looked at the other day? 11 I did not look at this one. Α. 12 Does it also look like an invoice Okay. Ο. 13 you submitted to the Baker firm on or about September 14 29, 2011? 15 Α. Yes. 16 And do you see there's five different date 0. 17 entries between August 31 and September 23? Do you 18 see that? 19 Α. Yes. 20 And they are all for technical and map Ο. 21 drawing? 22 Α. Yes. 23 Do you recall any work you did regarding 0. Ohio technical and map drawing in the time period? 24 25 During that time period I was working on Α.

	Page 92
1	J. MORGAN
2	the legislative and congressional, and, when I wasn't
3	in Ohio, most of the work that I did was focused on
4	helping Clark Benson with his data work.
5	Q. Let's break that apart a little bit.
б	A. Okay.
7	Q. So I think you said you were in Ohio on
8	July 25 and 26; is that right?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. So these are dates that come after that;
11	is that right?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. August 31 through were you in Ohio
14	during anytime between August 31 and September 23?
15	A. I don't think so.
16	Q. Okay. Did you ever go back to Ohio to do
17	any work on congressional redistricting at any time
18	after July 25, 2011?
19	A. I don't think so.
20	Q. Okay. What do you recall doing about work
21	on congressional redistricting? You say that was one
22	of the two things you were doing.
23	A. I really don't recall anything
24	specifically during this time period.
25	Q. Did you draw any maps?

	Page
1	J. MORGAN
2	A. No.
3	Q. Did you look at any maps anybody else had
4	drawn?
5	A. No.
6	Q. Do you recall having any communications
7	with Mr. DiRossi?
8	A. Yes. I recall a phone call with him.
9	Q. And before you weren't sure I don't
10	think you remembered what it was about; is that
11	right?
12	A. Right.
13	Q. Do you recall now?
14	A. No.
15	Q. Okay. Any conversations with anybody else
16	besides Mr. DiRossi on the phone?
17	A. Yes. I spoke with Heather Mann.
18	Q. Do you recall anything about that
19	conversation? Let me back up. How many times?
20	A. I don't know.
21	Q. Okay. Do you recall anything about that
22	conversation or conversations?
23	A. Not not regarding congressional, no.
24	Q. Did you talk to anybody else about
25	congressional redistricting?

	Page
1	J. MORGAN
2	A. No.
3	Q. You said you worked with Mr you said
4	you recall working with Mr. Benson at that time?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Was that in person or on the phone or by
7	email or some other way?
8	A. It would have been on the phone and
9	working with data that he sent me.
10	Q. Okay. And what do you recall about that
11	work?
12	A. The legislative reapportionment work was
13	all centered around getting the population numbers to
14	agree with the state university population numbers.
15	That was a difficult process.
16	Q. And what about the anything about the
17	congressional?
18	A. No.
19	Q. It was all about the state legislative?
20	A. With Clark Benson, yes.
21	Q. I should ask, what was difficult about the
22	process?
23	A. The population totals for the cities
24	differed from the population totals that the Census
25	had. So the local university official who produced

	Page
1	J. MORGAN
2	the data used some sort of system to allocate it that
3	was different from the system that was used in
4	Maptitude.
5	So there was a lot of effort trying to get
6	those to connect because the legislative
7	redistricting process is very specific about the
8	treatment of towns and cities, whereas the
9	congressional districts didn't have the same dataset
10	and it didn't have the same footprint of towns and
11	cities that the legislative did.
12	Q. Do you recall concerning the question of
13	how census blocks might be split by precincts in
14	Ohio?
15	MS. MCKNIGHT: Objection.
16	A. No.
17	Q. Do you recall any questions at all about
18	the Cleveland State University data as regards Ohio
19	in terms of splitting of census blocks?
20	A. No. I'm sorry. As it relates to the
21	legislative, that was my understanding is what I was
22	working on.
23	Q. Setting aside legislative or
24	congressional, just whether or not any questions at
25	all about being able to make the data provided by

1	J. MORGAN
2	Cleveland State University work with Maptitude?
3	A. Yes. That's what I was discussing.
4	Q. All right. And that was, you mentioned,
5	in terms of townships, I believe.
6	A. And cities and towns and townships.
7	Q. What about Census, making the Cleveland
8	State University data work with the census blocks for
9	Maptitude?
10	A. I think that's to be clear, I think
11	that's implied in what I'm discussing. It's the
12	municipal boundaries are what I'm talking about. So
13	those also include precinct boundaries, yes.
14	Q. Okay. And do you recall were you and
15	Mr. Benson trying to figure out ways to make the
16	Cleveland State University data work with Maptitude
17	in Ohio?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. And were you still working on that in the
20	September 2011 time period?
21	A. I think so.
22	Q. Were you working on it earlier than that?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. How early were you working on that
25	project?

	Page
1	J. MORGAN
2	A. I think it was in July and August, maybe a
3	little in August, but September.
4	Q. You started back in July?
5	A. I think so.
6	Q. And you were still working on it in
7	September?
8	A. There were different aspects of it. I
9	think there were two different vintages of data that
10	were released. And I think that some of the
11	difficulties were not known immediately, and so there
12	was different efforts to resolve that.
13	Q. Do you recall well, did it get
14	resolved?
15	A. I think so. I don't know.
16	Q. Do you recall about when?
17	A. I don't know.
18	Q. Do you recall was Mr. Benson primarily
19	involved in trying to resolve that or were you or was
20	it a joint effort?
21	A. I recall that he would do the data
22	resolution and then he would send me information on
23	that dataset. So I would have that data to use for
24	the legislative redistricting.
25	Q. And was the next step in the process you

	Page 98
1	J. MORGAN
2	then provided that to Ms. Mann and Mr. DiRossi?
3	A. If Clark didn't provide it directly, then
4	I would, yes.
5	Q. Okay.
6	A. But I was it was really my
7	understanding that I think it was provided to them
8	by Clark Benson.
9	Q. Was he primarily it was primarily his
10	task to provide the data they could use in Maptitude
11	and you were providing an additional set of eyes?
12	MS. MCKNIGHT: Objection.
13	A. I would say that, yes, I think that Clark
14	was providing the data, and my role was to utilize
15	the data.
16	Q. Okay.
17	A. Could we take a break?
18	MR. FRAM: Of course.
19	(Proceedings recessed at 11:20 a.m.)
20	(In session at 11:40 a.m.)
21	MR. FRAM: Why don't we mark next as 7,
22	it's a document that is without a Bates number
23	because it was produced as a screenshot, and so we
24	added this thing at the bottom that says Morgan
25	Document Production August 17, 2018 August 17,

1	Page
	J. MORGAN
2	2018. And it has a series of what appear to be
3	folders, files or zip files, they all have .zip on
4	them, zip files on the document 68. I should say at
5	the top there's an identifier, which, again, came
б	from us, it says Document Production Third Party
7	Morgan, John, 2018, 08-17.
8	MS. MCKNIGHT: Pardon me, Mr. Fram. I
9	don't believe the witness has a copy.
10	MR. FRAM: I'm sorry.
11	(Exhibit 7 marked for
12	identification: Screenshot Morgan
13	Document Production_August 17, 2018)
14	Q. What it says at the top is identifier and
15	Document Production, Third Party, Morgan, John,
16	2018.08.17, and then 20180817_001.zip, and then
17	20180817_001.
18	Again, that's my understanding that's
19	information we added, just so we're clear, on the top
20	and the very bottom, but the rest of it is a
21	screenshot of what was produced to us.
22	And my question to you, Mr. Morgan, does this
23	look like a screenshot of zip files that were on your
24	computer when you looked at your computer to produce
25	documents in this case?

Page 100
1 J. MORGAN
2 A. Yes.
<sup>3</sup> Q. We can just go through a little bit of
4 what these zip file names might be. You see there's
<sup>5</sup> a ccBlock_oh. Do you see that?
6 A. Yes.
7 Q. Does that mean census block for Ohio?
8 A. Yes.
9 Q. Okay. And then what is r05, if you
<pre>10 recall?</pre>
A. The number would be what I would describe
<sup>12</sup> as a vintage number.
Q. And what did that mean, what kind of
14 vintages?
A. It means that that was just a dataset that
<sup>16</sup> was current at that time, and my understanding was
$^{17}$ that the vintage 05 would predate the vintage 07.
Q. Okay. And so I see there's 05 and 07 for
<sup>19</sup> several of these zip files; is that right?
20 A. Yes.
Q. And so 07 was more current; is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. And was 07 a revision of 05 or was it a
superset of what was in 05? Was it everything in 05 $^{24}$
<sup>25</sup> plus some or were some in 05 changed?

	16950
	Page 101
1	J. MORGAN
2	MS. MCKNIGHT: Objection.
3	A. I don't know for sure.
4	Q. Okay. And going down to county OH, do you
5	see that?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. Are those what's your understanding was
8	in that zip folder?
9	A. That would be the county-level dataset and
10	map files for Maptitude.
11	Q. And same answer as to what the 05 and 07
12	meant?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. And then MCD, do you see that next?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. What's that refer to?
17	A. It's a Census abbreviation.
18	Q. Okay. And, let's see, does that is
19	that minor civil division?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. Okay. And what's that? So those are the
22	minor civil division datasets for Ohio; is that
23	right?
24	A. Yes. It would be commonly understood as
25	townships.

Case: 1:18-cv-00357-TSB-KNM-MHW Doc #: 230-34 Filed: 02/20/19 Page: 101 of 163 PAGEID #:

Page 102 1 J. MORGAN 2 And these are all for 2011? Ο. Okay. 3 Α. Yes. 4 And that's true for all the zip files Q. 5 we're looking at here? 6 Α. Yes. 7 And the same answer as to R05 and Q. Okay. 8 R07, two different vintages; is that right? 9 Α. Yes. 10 Now ccPlace\_Ohio -- OH -- do you see that? 0. 11 Α. Yes. 12 What's that refer to? 0. 13 Α. Place would be an abbreviation for Census 14 designated place. 15 And what would an example of that be? 0. 16 Α. It could be an unincorporated town or an 17 incorporated town, municipal boundary of some sort. 18 Now under that, do you see ccVTD? Do you 0. 19 see that? 20 Α. Yes. 21 What's VTD stand for, please? Q. 22 Α. It could be one of two things, but some 23 people would call it a voting tabulation district, 24 but there's another way to describe it as well. It 25 is similar to a voting precinct.

	Page 1
1	J. MORGAN
2	Q. In Ohio are the VTDs the precincts?
3	A. Not in every case, but mostly, yes.
4	Q. Okay. When they were precincts, do you
5	recall what they were in Ohio 2011?
6	A. Well, the other one that's on there is a
7	ward, which in some municipalities would be the
8	equivalent of what you might call a precinct
9	somewhere else.
10	Q. I see. Now there's another there is a
11	actual zip folder for wards. Do you see that?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. And that was all the wards in Ohio?
14	A. I don't know.
15	Q. Okay. It's not a very big folder. Do you
16	see there?
17	A. Okay.
18	Q. I just don't know if that helps you
19	remember.
20	A. I don't know. The wards could refer only
21	to wards of a city or other municipal entities that
22	have wards instead of precincts. Each state has
23	different classifications.
24	Q. Okay. And do you see the date modified,
25	it's all July 12, 2018? Do you see that?

	Page 1
1	J. MORGAN
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. All at 6:31 p.m.?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. Because that's when you went to go get the
б	documents to respond to the subpoena; is that right?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. Doesn't mean you actually changed the
9	data, just when you opened it up; is that right?
10	A. I zipped those files so they would be easy
11	to upload to the place where I sent them to the
12	attorneys.
13	Q. Thank you. Now I just want to look at one
14	of them.
15	MR. FRAM: Let's mark 8.
16	(Exhibit 8 marked for
17	identification: Screenshot Morgan
18	Document Production_File
19	Types_ccBlock_oh_r07)
20	Q. So Exhibit 8 here is going to be a
21	document, again, at the bottom it says Morgan
22	Document Production_File types_ccBlock_OH_R07, and
23	it's got an identifier at the top that we also added
24	with the same information.
25	So back on Exhibit 7, the second line was the

Page 105 1 J. MORGAN 2 ccBlock\_OH\_R07.zip. Do you see that? 3 Α. Yes. 4 If you click on that, is it your Q. 5 recollection you see what's on Exhibit 8? 6 Α. Okay. Yes. 7 0. Okay. And so is this a screenshot, then, 8 of the files that would be within the zip file 9 ccBlock OH R07? 10 Α. Yes. 11 Okay. Let's just walk through what they Ο. 12 are a little bit. 13 So ccBlock.bin, what would be in that file? 14 That's a binary file. The .bin is the Α. 15 binary suffix, and so that's going to be the bulk of 16 the information associated with this file. 17 0. Okay. And what kind of information would there be? 18 19 Α. You could describe that as something more 20 like a text or a database file of some sort. Like 21 that's functionally what it's closest and analogous 22 to. 23 And what would be the substantive -- the 0. 24 substance -- of that information? 25 It would have demographic and political Α.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

DEPO\_SDOH\_0636

	Page 106				
1	J. MORGAN				
2	data, whatever is in the underlying file at the block				
3	level.				
4	Q. So that would have election result data at				
5	the census-block level?				
6	A. I assume so, yes.				
7	Q. And going down to the next one,				
8	ccBlock.BX, what's that?				
9	A. That's an index file. It has to do with				
10	connecting the geographic spatial data to the, what				
11	you might call spreadsheet data.				
12	Q. What's the spreadsheet data, please?				
13	A. The data that we just discussed.				
14	Q. So that would connect the election results				
15	to specific geographies?				
16	A. All the data that's in the dataset, so				
17	election anything you'd put in the dataset				
18	election results, demographic data, anything that's				
19	in the dataset.				
20	Q. Okay. And then the next one is				
21	ccBlock.cdf. Do you see that?				
22	A. Yes.				
23	Q. And does the CDF stand for Caliper				
24	well, I should ask you. What does CDF stand for, if				
25	you have an understanding?				

1	J. MORGAN
2	A. Compact data file in my understanding.
3	It's a customized geographic file that Caliper
4	generates. It's analogous to what you might call a
5	shape file. It's a geographic boundary file. It's
6	independent of other data.
7	Q. Okay. And then ccBlock.DCB, what's that?
8	A. That's an index file that helps connect
9	the two. Generally speaking, the DCB and the CDF
10	files are generated in the same way at the same time.
11	Q. You say "connect the two." Connect which
12	two?
13	A. So an index file is essentially, on its
14	own, if you looked at it, you would not recognize it
15	as data like election data or demographic data. It
16	might be more like a pointer file. So it's saying
17	that, say, some internal designation references some
18	other internal designation. So it's an index file.
19	Q. And then ccBlock.sty, what's that?
20	A. I think that has to do with possibly the
21	display of the files, like it's it's a utility
22	file for how the other files are displayed so that it
23	can be brought up easily.
24	Q. Okay.
25	MR. FRAM: Now for 9 it's a multipage

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

DEPO\_SDOH\_0638

	Page 1				
1	J. MORGAN				
2	document. Actually there are eight pages. They all				
3	came from one file, and they came from the				
4	ccBlock_OH_R07_ccBlock.cdf.				
5	(Exhibit 9 marked for				
б	identification: Series of				
7	screenshots with attached Morgan				
8	<pre>modifier cdf_1, etc.)</pre>				
9	Q. And these are a series of screenshots that				
10	we received, and we added the identifier information				
11	here because it was produced in native form without				
12	numbers.				
13	So my first question is: Do you recognize				
14	this document in any way?				
15	A. The document is apparently a screenshot of				
16	Maptitude showing the files loaded up. It references				
17	at the bottom the specific file that was on the				
18	previous Exhibit 7 and 8.				
19	Q. Right. As a matter of fact, if you go				
20	back to Exhibit 8 and you look to the third line				
21	down, do you see ccBlock.cdf? Do you see that?				
22	A. Yeah. This appears to be a copy of that				
23	file, so I'm assuming it's the same file. I don't				
24	know that for a fact.				
25	Q. Do you understand, if you clicked on that,				

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

DEPO\_SDOH\_0639

Page 109 1 J. MORGAN 2 that this is what would pop up? 3 Α. That's not what the file same says at the bottom of this. 4 5 Q. Okay. 6 Α. It has \_1, so it is a, I believe, a copy 7 of the file. 8 Let me explain the \_1 situation. 0. 9 Α. Sure. 10 We added -- we added the identifier at the Ο. 11 We added \_s 1 through 8, so we can identify bottom. 12 each separate page of the document. 13 Α. So they are page numbers? 14 Exactly. But without that addition, does Ο. 15 this look like what would be what the contents of 16 what you produced ccBlock.cdf on Exhibit 8? 17 Yes, that's what it looks like. Α. 18 Okay. So just looking at this first page 0. 19 1 for a minute, do you have an understanding of what 20 we're looking at here? 21 Α. Yes. It's a map of the census blocks of 22 the state of Ohio --23 Q. Okay. 24 -- from 2010. Α. 25 This is something that could be 0. Okay.

	Page			
1	J. MORGAN			
2	loaded into Maptitude, is that right, or generated by			
3	Maptitude?			
4	MS. MCKNIGHT: Objection.			
5	Q. How does it work with Maptitude?			
6	MS. MCKNIGHT: Objection.			
7	A. I'm not sure what you're asking about.			
8	Q. Well, is this something that, using			
9	Maptitude, that you would be able to see?			
10	A. Maptitude data, when it's distributed in,			
11	my understanding is it includes census block			
12	geography, which is what this is here.			
13	Q. Right. And then you, going back before,			
14	you said that some of the files you had that are			
15	identified in Exhibit 8, one of them you think you			
16	helped in terms of showing how the display worked; is			
17	that right?			
18	A. I'm sorry?			
19	Q. Going back to Exhibit 8, I think you said			
20	the STY file might have been a file that was used to			
21	help make the display effective.			
22	A. I don't know that for certain. It could			
23	be a display file. It's a small file, so it's all			
24	of these files collectively make up what's in the zip			
25	file, and they they interrelate to each other so			

	Page 1
1	J. MORGAN
2	that, if you open those series of files on Maptitude,
3	you will get this geographic file, which has
4	geographic information and data associated with it.
5	Q. Okay. So using those files, we could
6	then you would then generate this screenshot?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. Okay. Great. Then turning down to
9	page on the second page, _2 of the document, a
10	couple things to look at here. Sorry. Stay with 1
11	for a minute.
12	Do you see the top where it says Map1 2010
13	Final Census Blocks (Ohio)? Do you see that?
14	A. I see that, yes.
15	Q. Is that your understanding what we see
16	here is the final census blocks that come out of the
17	2010 election; is that right?
18	MS. MCKNIGHT: Objection.
19	A. Yes, that's my understanding.
20	Q. All right. Now looking at page 2, turn to
21	the far left. Do you see there are a bunch of icons
22	down the left-hand column?
23	A. Okay.
24	Q. And you see how there's a little blue box
25	around the little i icon? Do you see that?

Page 112 1 J. MORGAN 2 Α. Yes, I see that. 3 That's the info tool; is that right? Ο. 4 Yes. Α. 5 Q. Okay. And then you see there's an i 6 sitting over in the middle of the map? Do you see 7 that? 8 Α. Yes. 9 And then looking over to the far right you 0. 10 see it says "Block 1006"? Do you see that? 11 Α. Yes. 12 It's your understanding that the i is over Ο. 13 census block 1006? 14 MS. MCKNIGHT: Objection. 15 I don't know that for certain. It could Α. 16 be. 17 If you wanted to see the data in DataView 0. 18 1, you would use the info tool; is that right? 19 MS. MCKNIGHT: Objection. 20 It's possible to do that. Α. 21 0. Okay. And if you wanted to see a census 22 block, you could click your cursor first to highlight 23 the info tool, and then you'd click it over a census 24 block; is that right? 25 Objection. MS. MCKNIGHT:

Page 113 J. MORGAN I suppose that's what you could do. Α. Have you ever done that to see the Ο. information on a census block using the info tool? MS. MCKNIGHT: Objection. I haven't done it in this way, but it's Α. possible to do it this way. 0. In what way have you done it? MS. MCKNIGHT: Objection. In other places I've looked at census Α. block data, but not in this way. 0. In what way have you done it, if you haven't done it --MS. MCKNIGHT: Objection. Pardon me. In this case I didn't do this, and what I Α. can do is I would select a single census block using the selection tool. Okay. And then instead of using the info 0. tool, you'd use the selection tool; is that right? Α. Yes. And then would you get, using the 0. selection tool, could you get data that would be of the form that appears on the right-hand side of this

- <sup>24</sup> exhibit?
- 25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

A. It depends on how things are configured,

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

DEPO SDOH 0644

16963						
Page 114						
J. MORGAN						
but it's possible to get data that's in the dataset.						
So you could get all this information.						
Q. Okay. And did you train folks in Ohio how						
to use the selection tool to get election data?						
MS. MCKNIGHT: Objection.						
A. I don't I don't think I did that in the						
way you're describing it, no.						
Q. Did you train them in Ohio to use any tool						
to get election data?						
A. Yes. I would use the label tool or						
it's possible the info tool as well but I didn't						
do it on a census block in this way.						

14 So did you train them to use the Okay. Q. 15 label tool for congressional districts?

16 For any district or township, MCD, Α. 17 precinct, whatever they wanted to look at.

18 Okay. But you don't recall doing it for Ο. 19 census blocks?

20

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

Α. No, I don't.

21 Using the -- let me move to Q. I understand. 22 page 4 briefly. Just going down the middle of the 23 page, where it says G02G\_DV, do you see that? 24 Α. I do. 25 Is that something -- is that an Q.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

DEPO SDOH 0645

Page 115 1 J. MORGAN 2 abbreviation you're familiar with? 3 Α. Yes. 4 0. What's that mean to you? 5 It is the general election from 2002 for Α. 6 the office of governor, the democratic vote share. 7 All right. The democratic vote period, Ο. 8 isn't it? It's not a share, DV. It says 33,000. Do 9 you see that over there? 10 Α. It's 33. 11 Oh, I see. It's a share, 33. You got 33 Ο. 12 percent? 13 No, not 33 percent. It's the votes. Α. 14 Just, right --0. 15 Α. It's not a percentage. 16 Right. It's just the votes, so 33,000? Ο. 17 Α. 33. 18 33 -- so then the dot with the three Ο. 19 zeros, what's that mean? 20 Just three zeros after the decimal point. Α. 21 Q. I see. So they got 33. Okay. 22 Α. Yes. 23 And then going down -- so G stands for Ο. 24 general, just so we're clear; is that right? 25 Yes. Α.

Case: 1:18-cv-00357-TSB-KNM-MHW Doc #: 230-34 Filed: 02/20/19 Page: 116 of 163 PAGEID #: 16965				
		Page 116		
1		J. MORGAN		
2	Q.	And 02 is the year, the 2002 election?		
3	Α.	Yes.		
4	Q.	And the next G is for governor?		
5	Α.	Yes.		
6	Q.	And then _DV, that's for the Democratic		
7	<sup>7</sup> vote share?			
8	Α.	Yes.		
9	Q.	Okay. And then we go down to G02G_RV. Do		
10	10 you see that?			
11	Α.	Yes.		
12	Q.	Is that the Republican vote share?		
13	Α.	Yes.		
14	Q.	Okay. And then under that is G02G_TV. Do		
15	you see t	hat?		
16	Α.	Yes.		
17	Q.	And that's the total vote?		
18	Α.	Yes.		
19	Q.	Okay. And also the governor's race		
20	Α.	Yes.		
21	Q.	in 2002? Okay. Now the next one is		
22	G02G_RP.	Do you see that?		
23	Α.	I do, yes.		
24	Q.	Is that the Republican percentage in the		

<sup>25</sup> 2002 governor race?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 117 J. MORGAN Yes. Α. Is that percentage calculated on a 0. two-party vote or do you know how that's calculated? Α. In this instance it appears to be a two-party vote. Okay. Now this information we've just Ο. described about the 2002 governor race, okay, were you able to see this in Maptitude using tools other than info tool? Α. Yes. You used that on the select tool? Ο. Α. Yes. And could you use that on the label tool? Ο. Α. If it was configured that way, yes. Anything else, any other way to access 0. this information? Yes. You could bring up a DataView Α. showing all the census blocks and you could look at an individual census block in a different format. Ιt would have the same data. Do you recall training Mr. DiRossi or 0. Ms. Mann in terms of how to be able to see this data using Maptitude and using different tools?

A. Not in this format.

Page 118 1 J. MORGAN 2 Not in the DataView format, I understand 0. 3 that, but any other format? 4 Α. Yes. 5 0. Okay. What format do you recall training 6 them in? 7 Just how to display the political data in Α. 8 a manner similar to this at other geographic levels 9 like precinct, town, county. 10 I see. And doing that, the tools were the Ο. 11 select tool or the label tool; is that right? 12 It could have been the info tool too. Α. 13 Again, you know, they're self-empowered to use the 14 tools. 15 Got it. So they were trained in all three Ο. 16 tools? 17 Not necessarily by me. I mean, they could Α. 18 use the tools. They were fairly skilled, as far as I 19 could tell. 20 But did you train them to use any of the Ο. 21 tools? 22 I don't specifically recall. Α. 23 Do you recall how they became 0. 24 self-empowered? 25 I worked with them, and, if they had Α.

	Page 119
1	J. MORGAN
2	questions, I answered them. They had some knowledge
3	already.
4	Q. So as far as you could tell, they could
5	use these tools to access this political data?
б	A. Some of it, yes.
7	Q. Any particular political data you're aware
8	they could access?
9	A. All the data was there. I don't know what
10	in particular they wanted to use.
11	Q. Okay. And then going through just some of
12	the other, I'm going to call them, in the column
13	entitled name, I'll just call them codes.
14	A. Yeah, election codes.
15	Q. Election codes, are you comfortable with
16	that?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. So we've got G02A. Do you see that?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. Is that for the attorney general's race in
21	2002?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. Okay. And you have the same set of data
24	we've just described for the governor. And then you
25	can see G02I, is that the auditor's race?

Page	120
------	-----

	Page 1
1	J. MORGAN
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. Okay. And then going down to T is
4	that the secretary of state?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. And then, by the way, the conventions for
7	these elections for the attorney general, auditor,
8	secretary of state, they're the same as for the
9	governor in terms of being Democratic vote,
10	Republican vote, total vote, and Republican
11	percentage; is that right?
12	A. I assume so, yes.
13	Q. And then G02J, that's the general election
14	2002 for the treasurer; is that right?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. And same set of codes that follow under it
17	until we get to G02H. Is that the 2002 what is
18	that, 2002 H, if you know?
19	A. That's Congress, House.
20	Q. Okay. And then going to page 5, G02Y, do
21	you see that?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. Is that the Senate?
24	A. No.
25	Q. What is G02Y?

Case: 1	L8-cv-00357-TSB-KNM-MHW Doc #: 230-34 Filed: 02/20/19 Page: 121 of 163 PAGEID #: 16970
	Page 121
1	J. MORGAN
2	A. It's the State Senate.
3	Q. State Senate. Okay. Thank you. And
4	G02X, do you see that?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. And what's that?
7	A. That's the State House.
8	Q. Okay. Now did you provide any training on
9	these codes, election codes, to anyone in Ohio in
10	2011?
11	A. If there were questions, I would have
12	answered them.
13	Q. Did you provide any information to folks
14	as to how to use what these codes meant?
15	A. I think so, yes.
16	Q. Was that in writing?
17	A. No.
18	Q. Was it a PowerPoint?
19	A. No.
20	Q. Part of a presentation you made?
21	A. Just explaining what's on the screen.
22	There's a mnemonic to these. Once you know the
23	mnemonic, they make sense.
24	Q. Sure. And you put it up on a screen. And

1	J. MORGAN
2	A. Again, all the data was there and the
3	letter designations for the election codes. Once you
4	understand the mnemonics, it's fairly easy to
5	remember them.
6	Q. Sure. I was just trying to put a date
7	around this. Do you recall at which meeting it
8	happened?
9	A. I don't know.
10	Q. Was it one of the earlier, when you were
11	first introducing the Ohio folks to Maptitude?
12	A. I don't remember this being much of an
13	issue.
14	Q. By the time you got there on July 25 they
15	already understood this; is that right?
16	A. I think so.
17	Q. Okay. So like you say, there's a
18	mnemonic never can say that right and I'm not
19	going to drag you through every single code for every
20	election in this table, but I just want to go over at
21	a high level that the same data exists for the 2004
22	elections, is that right, for the president? Is that
23	right?
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. That's G04P; is that right?

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Page	123
------	-----

	Page 1
1	J. MORGAN
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. Okay. And then there is a Senate, 2004,
4	the G04S. Do you see that?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Okay. And then the house, G04H?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. We're back to G04Y again for State Senate?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. Okay. And then G04X, the State House; is
11	that right?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. Okay. And that gets us to G06, and you've
14	got the there you've got information, I'll just
15	say, it appears to be for the Senate and the governor
16	and the attorney general and the auditor using the
17	same codes that we've already discussed; is that
18	right?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. Okay. Should make a complete record and
21	say there's also apparently in 2006 information on
22	the treasurer, and going on to page 6 here, and,
23	let's see, the secretary of state, and the State
24	Senate and State House, correct?
25	A. For 2006, yes.

1	J. MORGAN
2	Q. That's right. Okay. And then for 2008
3	we've got the same information for the president, the
4	House, the State Senate, the State House; is that
5	right?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. Did I leave anything out?
8	A. I don't think so.
9	Q. Okay. And then for 2010 we've got the
10	U.S. Senate at the bottom of page 6, and then going
11	over to page 7, the governor, the attorney general,
12	the auditor, secretary of state, treasurer, U.S.
13	Congress, State Senate and State House; is that
14	right?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. Did I leave anything out?
17	A. I don't think so.
18	Q. Okay. And while not appearing not
19	necessarily access to the DataView 1, because you
20	don't know how they did it, all this information was
21	available in Maptitude to Mr. DiRossi and Ms. Mann in
22	2011; is that right?
23	MS. MCKNIGHT: Objection.
24	A. I don't know what they used, but, as far
25	as I know, Maptitude, in the file that I have here,

	Page 125
1	J. MORGAN
2	had that information.
3	Q. The file you had here, what was the source
4	of the data?
5	A. I got this from Clark Benson.
6	Q. Okay. As far as you know, he provided the
7	same data to Mr. DiRossi and Ms. Mann that he
8	provided to you?
9	A. I don't know.
10	Q. So he provided this to you.
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. Okay. Do you recall about when he
13	provided it to you?
14	A. In July of 2011.
15	Q. Now going down towards the bottom of page
16	7, do you see there a reference to various EA fields
17	in the name column? Do you see that?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. And what's your understanding the EA
20	refers to here?
21	A. Election average.
22	Q. Okay. You see there's EA11, EA12, and
23	EA13, and then on the next page it goes up through,
24	let's see, EA14, EA15, EA16, EA21, EA31, and EA41.
25	Do you see that?

Case: 1:18-cv-0	0357-TS	B-KNM-MHW Doc #: 230-34 Filed: 02/20/19 Page: 126 of 163 PAGEID #: 16975
		Page 126
1		J. MORGAN
2	Α.	I see that.
3	Q.	Do you have any idea what those EAs refer
4 to?		
5	Α.	No.
б	Q.	Do you have anything to do with helping
<sup>7</sup> put	toget	her what these EAs were?
8	Α.	No.
9	Q.	Do you know do you have any idea of who
10 did	?	
11	Α.	I got this file from Mr. Benson. I don't
12 knov	w if h	e created these or not.
13	Q.	Do you have any understanding of what,
14 let	's say	, EA12_RP means? Do you see that towards
<sup>15</sup> the	botto	m of page 7?
16	A.	Yes. It's the Republican percentage for
17 tha	t elec	tion average.
18	Q.	Okay. And would that be for Census Block
19 100	6?	
20	A.	Yes.
21	Q.	Okay. Everything in this exhibit concerns
22 Cen	sus Bl	ock 1006; is that right?
23		MS. MCKNIGHT: Objection.
24	Α.	As far as I can tell.
25	Q.	Okay. Did you ever see any spreadsheets

	Page 12
1	J. MORGAN
2	regarding the let me restate that.
3	Do you recall ever seeing any spreadsheets
4	that provided political index scoring for proposed
5	congressional districts in 2011 in Ohio?
6	A. No.
7	Q. Do you recall ever seeing any spreadsheets
8	for any congressional districts in the map that was
9	enacted in Ohio that had political index scorings on
10	them?
11	A. I don't recall looking at that. I may
12	have received that information once the plan was
13	enacted.
14	Q. Okay. Do you recall, if you received it,
15	do you recall who you would have received it from?
16	A. From the Republican National Committee.
17	Q. Okay. And would those scores have
18	included let me back up.
19	Are you familiar with something called the
20	PVI?
21	A. I've heard that term or those
22	abbreviations.
23	Q. And do you have an understanding what that
24	means?
25	A. Could you define it, please?

	Page 1
1	J. MORGAN
2	Q. Well, would the Cook Political Report
3	generate something called PVI numbers?
4	A. My understanding is that PVI could be an
5	abbreviation for partisan voting index. That's what
6	I understand it to mean.
7	Q. Does that compare the votes in a district
8	against national party strength?
9	A. I really don't know. It depends. That's
10	a term that could be used for any partisan voting
11	index.
12	Q. Have you ever used PVI in any of your
13	work?
14	A. As discussed in this context, yes.
15	Q. Okay. Did you use it in Ohio in 2011?
16	A. I did not.
17	Q. How have you used it?
18	A. In this term the partisan voting index can
19	be keyed to any number of averages to a state. It's
20	usually configurable for that state. And I've seen
21	it used and I've used it in New Mexico and in
22	New Jersey.
23	MR. FRAM: Off the record, please.
24	(Discussion was had off the record.)
25	(Proceedings recessed at 12:18 p.m.)

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Page 129 1 J. MORGAN 2 AFTERNOON SESSION 3 (In session at 1:02 p.m.) 4 BY MR. FRAM: 5 0. You mentioned in preparing for your 6 deposition you looked at invoices. I took it from 7 your answer that you looked at more than one. 8 Α. I think I just looked at the one. 9 0. Just the one. 10 Α. I think so. 11 I wanted to make sure I hadn't made a 0. 12 mistake and forgot to show you a bunch of invoices. 13 No, I saw the three that you produced Α. 14 here. 15 There's not a whole bunch more Ο. Okay. 16 invoices I forgot about. Okay. That's good. 17 MR. FRAM: We'll mark Exhibit 10. It's a 18 PowerPoint. The cover title page is Drawing the 19 Lines, and is Bates number LENZO\_0002549 through 80. 20 And it says, on the title page, it also says John 21 Morgan, Applied Research Coordinates. 22 Please take a look at it. 0. 23 (Exhibit 10 marked for 24 identification: John Morgan Applied 25 Research Coordinates | Drawing the

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Page 130 1 J. MORGAN 2 lines LENZO\_0002549 - LENZO\_0002580) 3 Α. Okay. 4 Mr. Morgan, have you seen this PowerPoint Q. 5 before? 6 Α. Yes. 7 And is this a PowerPoint you created? 0. 8 Α. Yes. 9 0. And a PowerPoint that you have used in 10 presentations? 11 Α. Yes. 12 And do you recall how many presentations Ο. 13 you've used this in? 14 Α. Two or three. 15 Could you please identify for me the Ο. 16 presentations where you've used this PowerPoint? 17 Α. I believe this was used in 2010 at an NCSL 18 conference for Republican legislators. 19 And what else, please? 0. 20 I used a version of this in New Mexico in Α. 21 2011, and I think -- I think that's probably it for 22 the time periods that we're talking about here. 23 Did you ever use this PowerPoint in any Q. 24 presentation in Ohio? 25 Α. I don't think so.

	Fage
1	J. MORGAN
2	Q. Okay. Did you ever do you recall
3	whether or not Mr. DiRossi was present for the NCSL
4	presentation in 2010?
5	A. I don't know.
б	Q. Do you know whether Mr. Lenzo was present
7	at that presentation?
8	A. I'm not sure.
9	Q. Do you recall whether anyone from Ohio was
10	present at that presentation?
11	A. I'm not sure. There were a lot of people
12	there. There were Republican elected officials and
13	staff members. And so I met a lot of people. I
14	don't know who is who.
15	Q. Why don't we just go through a bit.
16	A. Sure.
17	Q. After the title page, the page with
18	0002550, do you see the heading on the PowerPoint is
19	"keep it secret, keep it safe," quote/unquote. Do
20	you see that?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. Now that's a quote, isn't it, from
23	Fellowship of the Ring, if I recall correctly?
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. The first book in the trilogy; is that

	Page
1	J. MORGAN
2	right?
3	A. Yes, that's right.
4	Q. And that's why there's a ring over on the
5	left in the picture there
6	A. Yes.
7	Q over next to the okay. And why did
8	you pick that title?
9	A. Throughout this presentation, I was using
10	little pieces from cartoons or, you know, commonly
11	known movies and books. So I just picked that to
12	keep people interested while I was talking about
13	stuff.
14	Q. Good strategy. Now do you recall who said
15	"keep it secret, keep it safe" in the book?
16	A. Yes. It was the Wizard Gandalf, and he
17	was talking about the Lord of the Rings ring, which
18	was advice he was giving to Frodo Baggins, who is a
19	Hobbit.
20	Q. And he gave that admonition to Frodo. Do
21	you recall why he gave him that admonition?
22	A. I think that the ring was being tested to
23	see whether it was the ring of power at that time,
24	and he wanted to make sure that no one got their
25	hands on it.

Page	133
Luge	т Э .

1	J. MORGAN
2	Q. Because it was so powerful?
3	A. Yes, or it could be. I don't know if they
4	knew that at the time, but, yeah, it was it was an
5	item of magic, and it was it ended up being the
6	one ring of power.
7	Q. That's how I've always understood it too.
8	Thank you.
9	So the question, then, is, some of the ways to
10	keep it secret. Okay. Talks about a controlled
11	access to location, do you see that?
12	A. In the bullet points? Yes.
13	Q. The first bullet point, yeah. Now, in
14	fact, when you went to Ohio, to that hotel room, you
15	could only get in the room with a key card; isn't
16	that right?
17	A. I don't know. I assume so.
18	Q. Somebody let you in, right?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. You didn't have a key card?
21	A. No.
22	Q. Do you recall who let you in?
23	A. It would be Ray or Heather, I think.
24	Q. Do you recall any other security they had
25	in place in that room at the time?

б

	Page 1
	J. MORGAN
Α.	I remember they had computers. I don't
know if t	hey had, like, screen passwords or something
like that	•
Q.	Okay. And do you recall discussing the
idea of h	aving a hotel room that was kept away from
distracti	ons when you were in Ohio for the July 7 and
8 meeting	s?
Α.	I'm sorry. Could you repeat the question?
Q.	You were in Ohio for July 7 and 8, 2011,
right?	
Α.	Yes.
Q.	And you talked to Mr. Mann sorry; I'll
repeat th	at Ms. Mann and Mr. DiRossi. Do you
recall th	at?
Α.	Yes.
Q.	Do you recall talking about that they
should se	cure a room that was away from distractions?
Α.	I didn't suggest that.
Q.	Was it discussed?
Α.	I believe that there was an indication
that they	would have office space available.
Q.	What about space in the hotel, was that
discussed	?
Α.	I don't remember that.

б

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Page 136 1 J. MORGAN 2 the record that is building around your actions," 3 closed quote. Do you see that? 4 Yes. Α. 5 0. Do you recall ever discussing that with 6 anyone in Ohio? 7 Not specifically about this, no. Α. 8 Do you recall anyone discussing in Ohio 0. 9 the need to be careful about the record that was 10 building? 11 Α. No. 12 Go to 2557 where it says, quote, "don't Ο. 13 get painted into a corner." Do you see that? 14 Α. Yes. 15 What did you mean -- I take it you said, Ο. 16 quote, "start from endpoint and work towards a 17 checkpoint." Do you see that? 18 Α. Yes. 19 Ο. What did you mean by that? 20 In general terms, it's useful to have Α. 21 defined regions so that, when you have multiple 22 people working on plans, you can put pieces of the 23 plans together and they -- you can knit them together 24 easily. 25 So, for example, in Ohio, an example of a 0.

Page 137 1 J. MORGAN 2 region would have been those Northeast Ohio districts 3 we were discussing before? 4 In general, it could be something like Α. 5 That wasn't a defined region that I was aware that. of. 6 7 Were there any defined regions in Ohio you 0. 8 were aware of? 9 Α. No. 10 Any idea whether or not folks in Ohio, in Ο. 11 fact, worked with certain regions? 12 Α. I don't know. 13 Do you see the last bullet, it says, Ο. 14 quote, don't commit to a specific district unless --15 strike that -- "don't commit to a specific district 16 until you test out the impact"? Do you see that? 17 Α. Yes. 18 What did you mean by that? Ο. 19 In the sense that you would have Α. 20 interested parties take a look at it, particularly in 21 this case I'm thinking mostly about incumbents. 22 Okay. And how would you test the impact? 0. 23 Well, in this process I work a lot of Α. 24 times with legislators, and they have input on 25 So, you know, knowing how districts work districts.

Page 138 1 J. MORGAN 2 together, checking with various members of the 3 legislature or other stakeholders in the process. 4 Would looking at the political -- strike Q. 5 that. 6 Would looking at the election data for 7 proposed districts be part of how you would test the 8 impact? 9 Α. It could be. 10 Turning to the next slide, 2558, do you 0. 11 see that? 12 Α. Yes. 13 It says "data"? Q. 14 Α. Yes. 15 Why don't we go through the different 0. 16 kinds of data. So there's Census PL-94-171 data. 17 What's that, please? 18 That's the data that the Census releases Α. 19 after it completes the census. It's the data that's 20 commonly used for redistricting. It's enumerated 21 data from the Census. 22 And then you say, quote, "use presidential Ο. 23 and statewide election data." Do you see that? 24 Α. Yes. 25 Why did you say that? Why should that be Q.

J.	MORGAN
----	--------

2	used?
	upcu.

1

15

A. That's -- the statewide and presidential election results are available data, and it's just data you can use.

Q. Is there any reason that's good data to
 <sup>7</sup> use?

A. I didn't say it was good or bad data. I just said it's data, and it's useful in the sense that it's at every part of the state for statewide and presidential as opposed to the next one, which are district-specific, legislative and congressional.

Q. Did you also recommend that that be used,
 legislative and congressional elections?

MS. MCKNIGHT: Objection.

A. It's on this slide. I don't know that I
 recommended it.

Q. Okay. You say under there, the next bullet is, quote, "limited local elections as needed," quote/unquote. Do you see that? A. Yes.

Q. What did you mean by "limited local elections"?

A. If some -- if someone were to determine that a mayor's race or some other race, a judge race,

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

1	J. MORGAN
2	or some other local election made sense to look at, a
3	supervisor, then you could look at that data too.
4	Q. The next bullet is, quote, racial bloc
5	data Democratic Democrat primaries let me
6	say that again. Quote, "Racial bloc data Democrat
7	primaries? Non-partisan?" Do you see that?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. What did you mean by that bullet?
10	A. Just other data that could be used.
11	Sometimes partisan primaries are looked at,
12	nonpartisan elections, judges, and then sometimes
13	there will be a racial bloc voting analysis that
14	could be done with that data and other data.
15	Q. Okay. Now the next bullet says, quote,
16	"use averages with caution," quote/unquote.
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. What did you mean by that?
19	A. In this sense, when I'm talking about
20	averages, using an average, it doesn't always take
21	into account the good years and bad years. So you
22	need to know what is being put into the average.
23	And, in addition to that, I mentioned before
24	there are regional variances with candidates. I
25	always want to make sure I'm aware of that. So in my

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Page	1	41
------	---	----

	Page
1	J. MORGAN
2	work I don't always use averages, but some clients
3	want to use averages.
4	Q. Just so we're clear, average would be
5	averages of different elections?
6	A. Generally, yes.
7	Q. Okay. Do you recall any discussion with
8	anyone in Ohio about whether they should be using
9	averages or not?
10	A. No.
11	Q. The next bullet, quote, "ACS will be
12	available, but it is not, quote, 'snapshot,' closed
13	quote, data." That's the end of the bullet, closed
14	quote. Do you see that?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. What did that mean?
17	A. ACS is American Community Survey. It's a
18	survey data that the Census publishes. It's
19	available on a one-year, three-year and a five-year
20	cycle. And it's not snapshot data because,
21	essentially, that data, it's survey data, and it's
22	collected over a period of time.
23	So that, while you have more responses by
24	using a larger period of time, therefore, you have a
25	greater number of samples to choose from, it's not

Page
J. MORGAN
contemporaneous. It doesn't exist at one single
point in time.
Q. Okay. And the next bullet, quote, "voter
file data? Micro-targeting data?" Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. What how would voter file data be used?
A. There's many ways you could use voter file
data. At the basic level, you could learn the total
number of registered voters in a voting precinct. In
some states there's partisan voting data, so you can
know the number of registered voters of a particular
party. And also in some cases you have actual street
addresses of voters, so it would be, theoretically,
possible to look at individual voters on a map.
Q. And when you say "micro-targeting data,"
what does that mean?
A. That would be other data that might be
associated with an individual registered voter or a
person.
Q. Okay. And have you used that in any of
your work, micro-targeting data?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you do any of that in Ohio?
A. No.

	Page 143
1	J. MORGAN
2	Q. The next slide talks about, quote,
3	"Anticipate bottlenecks." Do you see that?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. And there's a reference to, quote/unquote,
б	"VRA bottlenecks." Do you see that?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. What's that mean?
9	A. Voting Rights Act is the abbreviation.
10	Q. What would a Voting Rights Act bottleneck
11	be?
12	A. If there are districts that are drawn for
13	purposes of satisfying the Voting Rights Act,
14	sometimes they create an area that becomes fixed in a
15	map. And so in some cases those districts would be
16	drawn and not changed.
17	Q. Did you in your practice I know you're
18	not a lawyer, so I'm not going to ask you what you
19	think the right view of the law is, just in your
20	practice do you have any criteria that you apply
21	to determine whether a district satisfies the Voting
22	Rights Act?
23	A. No. I rely on legal counsel to determine
24	that.
25	Q. Then the next thing is "Political

	Fage
1	J. MORGAN
2	bottlenecks." Do you see that?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. What did you mean by that?
5	A. There are circumstances, in general, where
6	you would have two incumbents that are who live
7	close by or, you know, you would have circumstances
8	where, you know, districts have lost population in a
9	single area and you have to deal with the fallout
10	from making changes in those areas.
11	Q. What about "Internal bottlenecks," what
12	did you mean by that?
13	A. Those might be factors that are not
14	apparent at first, but when you start drawing them
15	you come back to the same problems. And so sometimes
16	a change of how the map drawer views an area can
17	resolve those.
18	Q. Okay. On 2564, you see the title
19	"Bartlett v. Strickland"?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. Did you draft this slide?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. Do you see here that there's a discussion
24	in the second bullet of the majority-minority rule.
25	Do you see that?

1	J. MORGAN
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. Is it your understanding that in 2010 that
4	one needed to apply majority-minority rule to
5	determine if a district was VRA compliant?
б	A. I don't know. I took these quotes
7	directly from the opinion.
8	Q. Okay. This is what you presented, though?
9	A. Yes. In this slide I took these directly
10	from the opinion.
11	Q. Do you recall ever having any discussion
12	with anyone in Ohio about what was involved in terms
13	of being VRA compliant?
14	A. No. That was left to the attorneys.
15	Q. Let's see 2569, quote, "Plan to think
16	outside the box." Do you see that?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. It says, quote, "Let others look at the
19	plans at the right time," quote/unquote. Do you
20	see that?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. What do you mean by "at the right time"?
23	A. Generally, in this process there's a lot
24	of preliminary drawing and concepts that are looked
25	at, and, you know, you want to show people the

Page	146
raye	T 7 0

	Page 1
1	J. MORGAN
2	results of the work that's being done when it's in a
3	presentable format. Sometimes things are just not
4	ready to be presented.
5	Q. It says down at the last bullet, quote,
6	"Anticipate your opponents." Do you see that?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. How can you do that?
9	A. Generally, you can see what people that
10	you consider opposing your viewpoint might say about
11	something and just anticipate what they would say in
12	the way that would you do for, you know, preparing
13	for an argument or something.
14	Q. In the context of a congressional district
15	map, how would you anticipate opponents of the map to
16	challenge what you're doing?
17	A. I really don't know. That's very
18	specific.
19	Q. Did you have any discussion with anyone in
20	Ohio about anticipating what opponents of the map
21	might do?
22	A. No.
23	Q. Did that come up at the July meeting?
24	A. No.
25	Q. Did that come up during your visit in

	Page 14	17
1	J. MORGAN	
2	July	
3	A. No.	
4	Q at the hotel room?	
5	A. No.	
б	Q. Any question about any objection one might	
7	make to the map ever come up at all?	
8	A. I really don't know. I didn't	
9	Q. With you.	
10	A. No.	
11	Q. I'm sorry to interrupt.	
12	On 2572 in the slide "Redistricting Math," it	
13	says, quote, "Know when to collapse a district." Do	
14	you see that?	
15	A. Yes.	
16	Q. Is there a certain point when it's	
17	appropriate to collapse a district?	
18	A. No, not not necessarily. It's just	
19	being aware of what happens if you collapse a	
20	district. In legislative redistricting especially,	
21	there will be population shifts in the state, and it	
22	would be possible to address these by continually	
23	going and getting more and more population outside of	
24	a region, or you could collapse a district, stabilize	
25	a region, and move the seat to another part of the	

	Page 148
1	J. MORGAN
2	state.
3	Q. When you were in Ohio in July 25-26, 2011,
4	do you recall there was a discussion about
5	Representative Kucinich's district? Do you recall
б	that?
7	A. Just a little bit, yes.
8	Q. About whether or not to collapse that
9	district?
10	A. I think that was something that was
11	considered.
12	Q. Do you recall anything about that
13	conversation?
14	A. No, just there needed to be two districts
15	combined and that that was a possibility.
16	Q. Do you recall talking about what the
17	consequences might be in doing that?
18	A. No.
19	Q. Go to the next slide, "Incumbent
20	pairings." Do you see that?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. Do you recall a discussion in Ohio about
23	the pairing of Representative Turner and
24	Representative Austria?
25	A. No.

			Page	149
1		J. MORGAN		
2	Q.	The pairing of Representative Johnson	and	
3	Representa	ative Gibbs?		
4	Α.	No.		
5	Q.	About any incumbent pairings?		
6	Α.	Just the one I mentioned.		
7	Q.	Kucinich and Kaptur?		
8	A.	Yes.		
9	Q.	At 2575 under the slide entitled "Sco	re	
10	the plans	," do you see that?		
11	A.	Yes.		
12	Q.	And you say, the first bullet is, quot	ce,	
13	"Determine	e what to include in a standard report,	," do	
14	you see tl	hat, quote/unquote? Do you see that?		
15	Α.	Yes.		
16	Q.	And under that you say, quote, "deviat	cion,	
17	racial da	ta, partisan data, other demographics,'	ı	
18	quote/unq	uote. Do you see that?		
19	Α.	Yes.		
20	Q.	So is it your recommendation that, and	ong	
21	other this	ngs, partisan data should be included i	in a	
22	standard :	report?		
23	Α.	Those are factors that could be includ	led.	
24	This is a	very general presentation. Yes.		
25	Q.	Right, but that was your		

	Page 150
1	J. MORGAN
2	A. That was included in the presentation,
3	yes.
4	Q. And then going down two more bullets it
5	says, quote, "What is the likely partisan outcome?"
6	Do you see that?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. And that was also included in the
9	presentation?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. Okay. Do you recall any discussion with
12	anyone at any time in 2011 in Ohio regarding
13	congressional redistricting about consideration of
14	what the likely partisan outcome would be?
15	A. No.
16	Q. The last slide, quote/unquote, "Stay
17	cool." Do you see that?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. The last bullet says, quote, "Play your
20	position," quote/unquote.
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. What do you mean by that?
23	A. Just what it says. If you have a job role
24	or a position in the process, stick to that position,
25	stay in your lane.

Case: 1:1	.8-cv-00357-TSB-KNM-MHW Doc #: 230-34 Filed: 02/20/19 Page: 151 of 163 PAGEID #: 17000
	Page 151
1	J. MORGAN
2	Q. Going back to score the plans, you talk
3	about
4	MS. MCKNIGHT: Which page?
5	MR. FRAM: It's 2575.
6	Q. Could you use Maptitude to determine the
7	partisan outcome of a district?
8	A. Not that I know of.
9	Q. You couldn't use it to determine using the
10	election data?
11	A. You can include the election data
12	certainly.
13	Q. Couldn't that tell you the partisan
14	outcome?
15	MS. MCKNIGHT: Objection.
16	A. I don't know. It could. It's just a
17	number. Usually somebody would look at it.
18	Q. If you didn't use Maptitude, how could you
19	determine the partisan outcome?
20	A. You could use other data sources, you
21	know. It doesn't have to be Maptitude.
22	Q. It doesn't have to be Maptitude, but could
23	it be Maptitude?
24	A. I mean, you can get political data that's
25	in the Maptitude program and display it district by

	Page 1
1	J. MORGAN
2	district certainly.
3	Q. Okay. What other data could you use to
4	determine the partisan outcome?
5	A. You can look at things that are not
6	included, like partisan registration, incumbency, you
7	know. A lot of times people will look at the
8	percentage of a district that's retained versus new
9	territory. There's a lot of other factors.
10	MR. FRAM: I'm going to mark next
11	testimony in the case Rima Ford Vesilind vs. Virginia
12	State Board of Elections. It's the trial proceedings
13	of March 14, 2017.
14	(Exhibit 11 marked for
15	identification: Rima Ford Vesilind
16	vs. Virginia State Board of
17	Elections Trial Proceedings
18	3/14/2017)
19	Q. Your testimony there's a lot of pages
20	here for completeness sake, but your testimony
21	actually starts around page, let's see
22	MS. MCKNIGHT: 516.
23	Q 516. I'm not going to ask you about
24	all your testimony, but I just want you to know where
25	to start. You're at the very bottom of 516.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Page 153

IJ. MORGANII was going to ask you some questions aboutyour testimony concerning the subject of compactness,which shows up around page 553 and going forward,554, around there, but you should look at as much ofyour testimony as you want to.A. I'm sorry. What are you directing me to?Q. I'm directing you to 553 and 554.Actually you can even start earlier than that. Youcan go back to 548 even and look at that. I thinkyou discuss compactness back there also. 548, 549,and then you can keep going through, but then itpicks up again at 553 and 554.MS. MCKNIGHT: Mr. Fram, do you want himto review all those pages?MR. FRAM: I'm going to ask him somequestions about it. He should review it for context.It's up to him. I don't want to mislead him or notgive him a chance to look at the whole thing.Roughly from 548 through 554.A. Okay. I've looked at some of thematerial.MS. MCKNIGHT: Pardon me, Mr. Fram.giving sworn testimony today, I just want to make	1	Page
3       your testimony concerning the subject of compactness,         4       which shows up around page 553 and going forward,         5       554, around there, but you should look at as much of         6       your testimony as you want to.         7       A. I'm sorry. What are you directing me to?         8       Q. I'm directing you to 553 and 554.         9       Actually you can even start earlier than that. You         10       can go back to 548 even and look at that. I think         11       you discuss compactness back there also. 548, 549,         12       and then you can keep going through, but then it         13       picks up again at 553 and 554.         14       MS. MCKNIGHT: Mr. Fram, do you want him         15       to review all those pages?         16       MR. FRAM: I'm going to ask him some         17       questions about it. He should review it for context.         18       It's up to him. I don't want to mislead him or not         19       give him a chance to look at the whole thing.         20       Roughly from 548 through 554.         21       A. Okay. I've looked at some of the         22       MS. MCKNIGHT: Pardon me, Mr. Fram.         23       MS. MCKNIGHT: Pardon me, Mr. Fram.         24       Because this is sworn	1	J. MORGAN
<ul> <li>which shows up around page 553 and going forward,</li> <li>554, around there, but you should look at as much of</li> <li>your testimony as you want to.</li> <li>A. I'm sorry. What are you directing me to?</li> <li>Q. I'm directing you to 553 and 554.</li> <li>Actually you can even start earlier than that. You</li> <li>can go back to 548 even and look at that. I think</li> <li>you discuss compactness back there also. 548, 549,</li> <li>and then you can keep going through, but then it</li> <li>picks up again at 553 and 554.</li> <li>MS. MCKNIGHT: Mr. Fram, do you want him</li> <li>to review all those pages?</li> <li>MR. FRAM: I'm going to ask him some</li> <li>questions about it. He should review it for context.</li> <li>It's up to him. I don't want to mislead him or not</li> <li>give him a chance to look at the whole thing.</li> <li>Roughly from 548 through 554.</li> <li>A. Okay. I've looked at some of the</li> <li>material.</li> <li>MS. MCKNIGHT: Pardon me, Mr. Fram.</li> </ul>	2	I was going to ask you some questions about
<ul> <li>554, around there, but you should look at as much of</li> <li>your testimony as you want to.</li> <li>A. I'm sorry. What are you directing me to?</li> <li>Q. I'm directing you to 553 and 554.</li> <li>Actually you can even start earlier than that. You</li> <li>can go back to 548 even and look at that. I think</li> <li>you discuss compactness back there also. 548, 549,</li> <li>and then you can keep going through, but then it</li> <li>picks up again at 553 and 554.</li> <li>MS. MCKNIGHT: Mr. Fram, do you want him</li> <li>to review all those pages?</li> <li>MR. FRAM: I'm going to ask him some</li> <li>questions about it. He should review it for context.</li> <li>It's up to him. I don't want to mislead him or not</li> <li>give him a chance to look at the whole thing.</li> <li>Roughly from 548 through 554.</li> <li>A. Okay. I've looked at some of the</li> <li>material.</li> <li>MS. MCKNIGHT: Pardon me, Mr. Fram.</li> </ul>	3	your testimony concerning the subject of compactness,
551, dround there, but you should rook at us much of999999999999101010111212131415151617181919101010101112121314151516171817181718171919101011121314151516171718171919111012131415151617181919191111121314151515161617171819191911111213141515	4	which shows up around page 553 and going forward,
7A. I'm sorry. What are you directing me to?7A. I'm sorry. What are you directing me to?8Q. I'm directing you to 553 and 554.9Actually you can even start earlier than that. You10can go back to 548 even and look at that. I think11you discuss compactness back there also. 548, 549,12and then you can keep going through, but then it13picks up again at 553 and 554.14MS. MCKNIGHT: Mr. Fram, do you want him15to review all those pages?16MR. FRAM: I'm going to ask him some17questions about it. He should review it for context.18It's up to him. I don't want to mislead him or not19give him a chance to look at the whole thing.20Roughly from 548 through 554.21A. Okay. I've looked at some of the23MS. MCKNIGHT: Pardon me, Mr. Fram.24Because this is sworn testimony and you're	5	554, around there, but you should look at as much of
8Q. I'm directing you to 553 and 554.9Actually you can even start earlier than that. You10can go back to 548 even and look at that. I think11you discuss compactness back there also. 548, 549,12and then you can keep going through, but then it13picks up again at 553 and 554.14MS. MCKNIGHT: Mr. Fram, do you want him15to review all those pages?16MR. FRAM: I'm going to ask him some17questions about it. He should review it for context.18It's up to him. I don't want to mislead him or not19give him a chance to look at the whole thing.20Roughly from 548 through 554.21A. Okay. I've looked at some of the23MS. MCKNIGHT: Pardon me, Mr. Fram.24Because this is sworn testimony and you're	6	your testimony as you want to.
9Actually you can even start earlier than that. You10can go back to 548 even and look at that. I think11you discuss compactness back there also. 548, 549,12and then you can keep going through, but then it13picks up again at 553 and 554.14MS. MCKNIGHT: Mr. Fram, do you want him15to review all those pages?16MR. FRAM: I'm going to ask him some17questions about it. He should review it for context.18It's up to him. I don't want to mislead him or not19give him a chance to look at the whole thing.20Roughly from 548 through 554.21A. Okay. I've looked at some of the23MS. MCKNIGHT: Pardon me, Mr. Fram.24Because this is sworn testimony and you're	7	A. I'm sorry. What are you directing me to?
<ul> <li>can go back to 548 even and look at that. I think</li> <li>you discuss compactness back there also. 548, 549,</li> <li>and then you can keep going through, but then it</li> <li>picks up again at 553 and 554.</li> <li>MS. MCKNIGHT: Mr. Fram, do you want him</li> <li>to review all those pages?</li> <li>MR. FRAM: I'm going to ask him some</li> <li>questions about it. He should review it for context.</li> <li>It's up to him. I don't want to mislead him or not</li> <li>give him a chance to look at the whole thing.</li> <li>Roughly from 548 through 554.</li> <li>A. Okay. I've looked at some of the</li> <li>material.</li> <li>MS. MCKNIGHT: Pardon me, Mr. Fram.</li> <li>Because this is sworn testimony and you're</li> </ul>	8	Q. I'm directing you to 553 and 554.
<ul> <li>you discuss compactness back there also. 548, 549,</li> <li>and then you can keep going through, but then it</li> <li>picks up again at 553 and 554.</li> <li>MS. MCKNIGHT: Mr. Fram, do you want him</li> <li>to review all those pages?</li> <li>MR. FRAM: I'm going to ask him some</li> <li>questions about it. He should review it for context.</li> <li>It's up to him. I don't want to mislead him or not</li> <li>give him a chance to look at the whole thing.</li> <li>Roughly from 548 through 554.</li> <li>A. Okay. I've looked at some of the</li> <li>material.</li> <li>MS. MCKNIGHT: Pardon me, Mr. Fram.</li> <li>Because this is sworn testimony and you're</li> </ul>	9	Actually you can even start earlier than that. You
12and then you can keep going through, but then it13picks up again at 553 and 554.14MS. MCKNIGHT: Mr. Fram, do you want him15to review all those pages?16MR. FRAM: I'm going to ask him some17questions about it. He should review it for context.18It's up to him. I don't want to mislead him or not19give him a chance to look at the whole thing.20Roughly from 548 through 554.21A. Okay. I've looked at some of the23MS. MCKNIGHT: Pardon me, Mr. Fram.24Because this is sworn testimony and you're	10	can go back to 548 even and look at that. I think
<ul> <li>picks up again at 553 and 554.</li> <li>MS. MCKNIGHT: Mr. Fram, do you want him</li> <li>to review all those pages?</li> <li>MR. FRAM: I'm going to ask him some</li> <li>questions about it. He should review it for context.</li> <li>It's up to him. I don't want to mislead him or not</li> <li>give him a chance to look at the whole thing.</li> <li>Roughly from 548 through 554.</li> <li>A. Okay. I've looked at some of the</li> <li>material.</li> <li>MS. MCKNIGHT: Pardon me, Mr. Fram.</li> <li>Because this is sworn testimony and you're</li> </ul>	11	you discuss compactness back there also. 548, 549,
MS. MCKNIGHT: Mr. Fram, do you want him to review all those pages? MR. FRAM: I'm going to ask him some questions about it. He should review it for context. It's up to him. I don't want to mislead him or not give him a chance to look at the whole thing. Roughly from 548 through 554. A. Okay. I've looked at some of the material. MS. MCKNIGHT: Pardon me, Mr. Fram. Because this is sworn testimony and you're	12	and then you can keep going through, but then it
<ul> <li>to review all those pages?</li> <li>MR. FRAM: I'm going to ask him some</li> <li>questions about it. He should review it for context.</li> <li>It's up to him. I don't want to mislead him or not</li> <li>give him a chance to look at the whole thing.</li> <li>Roughly from 548 through 554.</li> <li>A. Okay. I've looked at some of the</li> <li>material.</li> <li>MS. MCKNIGHT: Pardon me, Mr. Fram.</li> <li>Because this is sworn testimony and you're</li> </ul>	13	picks up again at 553 and 554.
MR. FRAM: I'm going to ask him some questions about it. He should review it for context. It's up to him. I don't want to mislead him or not give him a chance to look at the whole thing. Roughly from 548 through 554. A. Okay. I've looked at some of the material. MS. MCKNIGHT: Pardon me, Mr. Fram. Because this is sworn testimony and you're	14	MS. MCKNIGHT: Mr. Fram, do you want him
17questions about it. He should review it for context.18It's up to him. I don't want to mislead him or not19give him a chance to look at the whole thing.20Roughly from 548 through 554.21A. Okay. I've looked at some of the22material.23MS. MCKNIGHT: Pardon me, Mr. Fram.24Because this is sworn testimony and you're	15	to review all those pages?
18It's up to him. I don't want to mislead him or not19give him a chance to look at the whole thing.20Roughly from 548 through 554.21A. Okay. I've looked at some of the22material.23MS. MCKNIGHT: Pardon me, Mr. Fram.24Because this is sworn testimony and you're	16	MR. FRAM: I'm going to ask him some
<ul> <li>give him a chance to look at the whole thing.</li> <li>Roughly from 548 through 554.</li> <li>A. Okay. I've looked at some of the</li> <li>material.</li> <li>MS. MCKNIGHT: Pardon me, Mr. Fram.</li> <li>Because this is sworn testimony and you're</li> </ul>	17	questions about it. He should review it for context.
<ul> <li>Roughly from 548 through 554.</li> <li>A. Okay. I've looked at some of the</li> <li>material.</li> <li>MS. MCKNIGHT: Pardon me, Mr. Fram.</li> <li>Because this is sworn testimony and you're</li> </ul>	18	It's up to him. I don't want to mislead him or not
A. Okay. I've looked at some of the material. MS. MCKNIGHT: Pardon me, Mr. Fram. Because this is sworn testimony and you're	19	give him a chance to look at the whole thing.
<ul> <li>material.</li> <li>MS. MCKNIGHT: Pardon me, Mr. Fram.</li> <li>Because this is sworn testimony and you're</li> </ul>	20	Roughly from 548 through 554.
MS. MCKNIGHT: Pardon me, Mr. Fram. Because this is sworn testimony and you're	21	A. Okay. I've looked at some of the
<sup>24</sup> Because this is sworn testimony and you're	22	material.
becaube entry in Sworn cebermony and you re	23	MS. MCKNIGHT: Pardon me, Mr. Fram.
<sup>25</sup> giving sworn testimony today, I just want to make	24	Because this is sworn testimony and you're
	25	giving sworn testimony today, I just want to make

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

б

17003 17003 18 - KINW-MHW DOC #. 230-34 Filed. 02/20/19 Page. 154 01 163 PAGEID #.
Page 154
J. MORGAN
sure you've had a chance to review every single page
that he identified.
A. Okay.
Q. Yes. Please take your time.
A. Sure. Okay. I looked at the portions you
assigned me to look at with the direct examination.
Q. Okay.
A. Do you need me to look past page 556?
Q. No. That's all for now.
A. Okay.
Q. I have a question for you. So you
testified about the subject of compactness in this
in this redistricting, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Just so we're on the same page, what does
compactness mean to you?
A. Well, compactness, as it relates to
redistricting, usually refers to geographic tests
that are run in software, so they are, generally
speaking, about geographic compactness in that sense
as far as shapes go.
Someone can also speak of compactness as an
area that is, say, smaller than another area, might
be considered more compact. So if a district has a

Page 155 1 J. MORGAN 2 smaller size area-wise, it could be considered more compact in that context. That's a little different 3 4 than polygon tests. 5 A polygon test, does that include the 0. 6 Reock score? 7 Yes, that's one of the tests. Α. 8 And Polsby-Popper, is that another one? Ο. 9 Α. Yes. 10 Okay. So you're familiar with those two Ο. 11 scores? 12 I am. Α. 13 Okay. And is there an optimum score for 0. 14 compactness in Polsby-Popper? 15 Not that I'm aware of. Α. 16 What about 1.0? Is that considered to be 0. 17 a test for compactness in Polsby-Popper? 18 I'm sorry. I don't --Α. 19 The score 1.0, does that have any meaning 0. 20 to you in Polsby-Popper? 21 In what context? Α. 22 In terms of optimum compactness for a Ο. 23 district. 24 I don't know that there is such a number. Α. 25 Okay. You talk about there being Q.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

56

	Page 15
1	J. MORGAN
2	acceptable tolerances on page 554.
3	A. Okay.
4	Q. Do you see that?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. What did you mean by that? In your view,
7	what's an acceptable tolerance for compactness?
8	A. Well, in the case of Virginia, there was a
9	score on those measures that were upheld in the court
10	case from 2001. So it seemed that that would be
11	appropriate as a as a score for the 2011 plan for
12	the House of Delegates of Virginia.
13	Q. And do you recall what that number was?
14	A. No.
15	Q. Was that on your Polsby-Popper test?
16	A. I don't know which one it was.
17	Q. In your practice, is there any do you
18	have any notion do you have any criteria of
19	acceptable tolerances for compactness?
20	A. No. It varies state by state and, you
21	know, time by time.
22	Q. So a score as low as 0.15 can be
23	acceptable?
24	A. 0.15 of what?
25	Q. Polsby-Popper.

Page 157 1 J. MORGAN 2 It could be. Α. 3 Or it could not be. 0. Α. 4 I don't know. 5 0. Okay. What about irregular shapes? Do 6 you ever look at that in terms of compactness, just 7 like at certain points, if the shape is too irregular 8 to satisfy compactness? 9 People look at those, yes. Α. 10 Q. Have you ever done so? 11 I mean, I can look at any shape, yes. Α. 12 Have you ever looked at it and thought the Ο. 13 shape was too irregular to satisfy compactness? 14 I don't know that that would be Α. 15 determinative of compactness. 16 What would be? Ο. 17 Α. Most people would look at compactness 18 scores or other information about districts. 19 And looking at scores, is there any, in 0. 20 your experience -- you've done a lot of redistricting 21 work -- is there any level at which something is 22 below acceptable tolerance for its compactness? 23 I think each case is different, and these Α. 24 compactness scores are comparative. You have to 25 compare those scores to other scores.

Case: 1:18-cv-00357-TSB-KNM-MHW Doc #: 230-34 Filed: 02/20/19 Page: 158 of 163 PAGEID #: 17007			
	Page 158		
1	J. MORGAN		
2	MR. FRAM: Why don't we take a little		
3	break. I'll look at my notes, and I'll see where we		
4	are.		
5	MS. MCKNIGHT: Okay. Sure.		
6	(Proceedings recessed at 1:43 p.m.)		
7	(In session at 1:52 p.m.)		
8	MR. FRAM: Subject to any follow-up, any		
9	questions your counsel may have, I have no further		
10	questions for you.		
11	THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.		
12	MS. MCKNIGHT: Thank you.		
13	MR. FRAM: Thank you for your time.		
14	MS. MCKNIGHT: We'll reserve our right to		
15	read and sign.		
16			
17	//		
18	(The deposition of JOHN MORGAN concluded at		
19	1:52 p.m.)		
20	//		
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

	Page 159
1	J. MORGAN
2	ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT
3	I, JOHN MORGAN, do hereby acknowledge that I
4	have read and examined the foregoing testimony and
5	that the same is a true, correct and complete
б	transcription of the testimony given by me, with the
7	exception of the noted corrections, if any, appearing
8	on the attached errata page(s).
9	
10	
11	DATE JOHN MORGAN
12	
13	Subscribed and sworn to before me this day of
14	, 2018.
15	(Notary Public)
16	My Commission expires:
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Page 160

J. MORGAN CERTIFICATE

1

2

3

22

I, LINDA S. KINKADE, Registered Diplomate
 Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter, Registered
 Merit Reporter, Certified Shorthand Reporter, and
 Notary Public, do hereby certify that prior to the
 commencement of examination the deponent herein was
 duly sworn by me to testify truthfully under penalty
 of perjury.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that the foregoing is a true
 and accurate transcript of the proceedings as
 reported by me stenographically to the best of my
 ability.

<sup>15</sup> I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither counsel <sup>16</sup> for nor related to nor employed by any of the parties <sup>17</sup> to this case and have no interest, financial or <sup>18</sup> otherwise, in its outcome.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my
 hand and affixed my notarial seal this 3rd day of
 December 2018.

Stinkade

LINDA S. KINKADE
 My commission expires: July 31, 2022
 NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND FOR
 THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Case:	1:18-cv-00357-TSI	B-KNM-MHW Doc #: 230-34 Filed: 02/20/19 Page: 161 of 16 17010	3 PAGEID #:
			Page 161
1		EXHIBITS	
2			
3	NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
4	Exhibit 1	Subpoena to Produce Documents,	8
5		Information or Objects	
6	Exhibit 2	Re Proposal for services 2017	14
7		(City of Placentia) with attached	
8		CV	
9	Exhibit 3	Redistricting Meetings Agenda	57
10		LWVOH_00008706 - LWVOH_00008707	
11	Exhibit 4	Invoice dated 7/31/2011	72
12	Exhibit 5	Invoice dated 8/31/2011	89
13	Exhibit 6	Invoice dated 9/29/2011	91
14	Exhibit 7	Screenshot Morgan Document	99
15		Production_August 17, 2018	
16	Exhibit 8	Screenshot Morgan Document	104
17		Production_File	
18		Types_ccBlock_oh_r07	
19	Exhibit 9	Series of screenshots with	108
20		attached Morgan modifier cdf_1,	
21		etc.	
22	Exhibit 10	John Morgan Applied Research	129
23		Coordinates   Drawing the lines	
24		LENZO_0002549 - LENZO_0002580	
25			

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Case: 1:18-cv-00357-TSB-KNM-MHW Doc #: 230-34 Filed: 02/20/19 Page: 162 of 163	PAGEID #:
17011	

			Page	162
1	Exhibit 11	Rima Ford Vesilind vs. Virginia	152	
2		State Board of Elections Trial		
3		Proceedings 3/14/2017		
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

## Case: 1:18-cv-00357-TSB-KNM-MHW Doc #: 230-34 Filed: 02/20/19 Page: 163 of 163 PAGEID #: 17012

		Page	163
1	NAME OF CASE:		
2	DATE OF DEPOSITION:		
3	NAME OF WITNESS:		
4	Reason Codes:		
5	1. To clarify the record.		
6	2. To conform to the facts.		
7	3. To correct transcription errors.		
8	Page Line Reason		
9	From to		
10	Page Line Reason		
11	From to		
12	Page Line Reason		
13	From to		
14	Page Line Reason		
15	From to		
16	Page Line Reason		
17	From to		
18	Page Line Reason		
19	From to		
20	Page Line Reason		
21	From to		
22	Page Line Reason		
23	From to		
24			
25			

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, Freda J. Levenson, hereby certify that on this 10th day of December, 2021, I caused a

true and correct copy of this appendix to be served by email upon the counsel listed below:

Bridget C. Coontz, bridget.coontz@ohioago.gov Julie M. Pfeiffer, julie.pfeiffer@ohioago.gov Michael Walton, michael.walton@ohioago.gov

Counsel for Respondent Ohio Secretary of State LaRose

Phillip J. Strach, phil.strach@nelsonmullins.com Thomas A. Farr, tom.farr@nelsonmullins.com John E. Branch, III, john.branch@nelsonmullins.com Alyssa M. Riggins, alyssa.riggins@nelsonmullins.com

Counsel for Respondents House Speaker Robert R. Cupp and Senate President Matt Huffman

<u>/s/Freda J. Levenson</u> Freda J. Levenson (0045916) Counsel for Relators